Table 13.13-- PERFORMANCE RANKINGS FOR METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREA, HONOLULU AND SAN FRANCISCO-SAN MATEO-REDWOOD CITY, CALIFORNIA: 2013 AND 2014

[Top performer in 2014, San Francisco-San Mateo-Redwood City, California = 1]

	2013		2014	
Category and location 1/	Period	Rank	Period	Rank
Honolulu				
Overall	2013	105	2014	99
Job growth (5-year)	2007 to 2012	76	2008 to 2013	66
Job growth (1-year)	2011 to 2012	65	2012 to 2013	93
Wage growth (5-year)	2006 to 2011	52	2007 to 2012	59
Wage growth (1-year)	2010 to 2011	97	2011 to 2012	97
Short-term job growth	July: 2012 to 2013	144	August: 2013 to 2014	115
High tech GDP growth (5-year)	2007 to 2012	130	2008 to 2013	118
High tech GDP growth (1-year)	2011 to 2012	136	2012 to 2013	131
High-tech GDP concentration 2/	2012	161	2013	168
Number of high-tech GDP industries				
with location quotients over 1 2/	2012	186	2013	187
San Francisco-San Mateo-Redwood City	I /, CA I			
Overall	2013	3	2014	1
Job growth (5-year)	2007 to 2012	36	2008 to 2013	11
Job growth (1-year)	2011 to 2012	3	2012 to 2013	5
Wage growth (5-year)	2006 to 2011	44	2007 to 2012	1
Wage growth (1-year)	2010 to 2011	8	2011 to 2012	1
Short-term job growth	July: 2012 to 2013	44	August: 2013 to 2014	10
High tech GDP growth (5-year)	2007 to 2012	4	2008 to 2013	2
High tech GDP growth (1-year)	2011 to 2012	2	2012 to 2013	20
High-tech GDP concentration 2/	2012	8	2013	8
Number of high-tech GDP industries				
with location quotients over 1 2/	2012	12	2013	12

^{1/} Austin-Round Rock-San Marcos, Texas was shown in this table in 2013 Data Book as ranking #1, achieved an overall rank of 2 in 2014.

Source: Milken Institute, *Best Performing Cities: 2014, Where America's Jobs Are Created and Sustained* (January 2015) http://www.best-cities.org/2014/best-performing-cities-report-2014.pdf accessed January 12, 2015. See also San Francisco-San Mateo-Redwood City, CA for 2013 http://www.best-cities.org/bestcities.taf?rankyear=2013&type=large-cities&metro=DMSAF.

^{2/} Measures the number of highly concentrated high-tech industries or those with a location quotient (LQ) compared to the U.S. average of 1.0.