

**Table 13.13-- PERFORMANCE RANKINGS FOR METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS, URBAN HONOLULU AND PROVO-OREM, UTAH: 2017 AND 2018**

[See also 'Methodology' <<http://www.best-cities.org/2018-best-performing-cities-our-process.html>> accessed June 1, 2019]

Category and location	2017		2018	
	Period	Rank 1/	Period	Rank 1/
Urban Honolulu				
Overall	2017	152	2018	141
Job growth (5-year)	2011 to 2016	109	2012 to 2017	139
Job growth (1-year)	2015 to 2016	135	2016 to 2017	145
Wage growth (5-year)	2010 to 2015	111	2011 to 2016	102
Wage growth (1-year)	2014 to 2015	104	2015 to 2016	98
Short-term job growth	August: 2016 to 2017	172	August: 2017 to 2018	73
High tech GDP growth (5-year)	2011 to 2016	129	2012 to 2017	130
High tech GDP growth (1-year)	2015 to 2016	130	2016 to 2017	172
High-tech GDP concentration 2/	2016	167	2017	172
Number of high-tech GDP industries with location quotients over 1 2/	2016	178	2017	176
Provo-Orem, Utah				
Overall	2017	1	2018	1
Job growth (5-year)	2011 to 2016	1	2012 to 2017	1
Job growth (1-year)	2015 to 2016	1	2016 to 2017	3
Wage growth (5-year)	2010 to 2015	4	2011 to 2016	3
Wage growth (1-year)	2014 to 2015	3	2015 to 2016	2
Short-term job growth	August: 2016 to 2017	7	August: 2017 to 2018	5
High tech GDP growth (5-year)	2011 to 2016	3	2012 to 2017	3
High tech GDP growth (1-year)	2015 to 2016	12	2016 to 2017	80
High-tech GDP concentration 2/	2016	16	2017	13
Number of high-tech GDP industries with location quotients over 1 2/	2016	20	2017	22

1/ Rank of 1 indicates most favorable.

2/ Measures the number of highly concentrated high-tech industries or those with a location quotient (LQ) compared to the U.S. average of 1.0.

Source: Milken Institute, *Best Performing Cities: 2017, Where America's Jobs Are Created and Sustained* (January 2018) '<<http://www.best-cities.org/>>' accessed January 17, 2017; and *Ibid.* 2018 (January 2019) accessed January 25, 2019.