From:	Merz, Jeff
To:	HI Office of Environmental Quality Control
Subject:	one change in HAR 11-200
Date:	Monday, July 31, 2017 3:16:43 PM

The revised HAR 11-200 changes look good, Scott.

One typo – Page 9-4, line 40 "instead" not "install".

Jeff Merz, AICP, LEED AP Associate Planner-Project Manager Buildings+Places, Hawaii D +1-808-356-5318 M +1-808-258-7802 jeff.merz@aecom.com

AECOM

1001 Bishop Street Suite 1600 Honolulu, HI 96813, USA T +1-808-521-3051 aecom.com

Built to deliver a better world

LinkedIn Twitter Facebook Instagram



Comments on the Report of the Environment Council Permitted Interaction Group Preliminary Draft of Proposed Revisions to Hawai'i Administrative Rules Title 11 Department of Health Chapter 200 Environmental Impact Statement Rules

August 1, 2017

Aloha,

The Good Shepherd Foundation is happy to see that the OEQC is addressing the updating of HAR 11-200. We hope the following comments helps improve this important document.

1. Publication of Exemption Notices should be mandatory in the Periodic Bulletin.

Section (g) on page 11-200-8(g) on page 25 it states:

Each agency shall maintain records of such128 actions, called exemption notices, 129 which it has found to be exempt from the requirements for preparation of an environmental assessment EA in chapter 343, HRS, and each agency shall produce the records for review upon request. The agency shall provide a means to notify and accept input from the public in a timely manner after the exemption declaration is made. An agency may request the office to publish the exemption notice in the periodic bulletin. The public's right to judicial proceeding on the lack of an assessment under chapter 343, HRS shall commence from the date the public is notified of the exemption through the agency's means or publication in the bulletin, whichever of the two is earliest.

I applaud the Council addressing the need for clear dates for legal challenges. However, I do suggest that publication in the Periodic Bulletin become mandatory for these exemption notices, and that the date of publication in the Periodic Bulletin be used as the sole standard for legal review.

The public should not be expected to regularly check government agency websites or look at the minutes of agency meetings to know that an exemption was issued for a project that the public may not have known was exempted, or that it was even planned. The advantage of having the Periodic Bulletin is that it is the one-stop shop for Hawaii's environmental notices. The public should be able to rely on the Periodic Bulletin to have information about all HRS 343 environmental documents, whether these are an EA, EIS, or a notice of exemption.

There should also be one, clear way to get this information announced to the public for legal review purposes. The current wording of this section would allow agencies to either publish exemption notices themselves with or without the OEQC, or not publish the exemption notice themselves and instead ask the OEQC to do it. This will create confusion if we cannot trust that

information about exempted projects will be in the Periodic Bulletin. "Sometimes it is, sometimes it isn't," will weaken the value of, and trust in, the Periodic Bulletin.

There will also be questions as to the criteria used by an agency in how to announce an exemption notice. Which exempted actions will they announce in the minutes of a meeting versus in the Periodic Bulletin? I can hear people already arguing that agencies tried to hide the exemption in the meeting minutes, which virtually nobody in the public knows about, rather than making it public with a notice in the Periodic Bulletin.

There needs to be a clear date for legal actions to begin, which is why there needs to be one, unambiguous, reliable source of information — the Periodic Bulletin.

You are already saying that "An agency may request the office to publish the exemption notice in the periodic bulletin." Since you are accepting the burden of these publication requests, hopefully having all exemption notices published in the Periodic Bulletin is not overly burdensome. A separate section dedicated to exemption notices would be very helpful to the public.

Therefore, I suggest you change the wording of this section to:

Each agency shall maintain records of such128 actions, called exemption notices,129 which it has found to be exempt from the requirements for preparation of an environmental assessment EA in chapter 343, HRS, and each agency shall produce the records for review upon request. The agency shall provide a means to notify and accept input from the public in a timely manner after the exemption declaration is made. An agency may shall submit to the office the exemption notice for publication in the Periodic Bulletin. The public's right to judicial proceeding on the lack of an assessment under chapter 343, HRS shall commence from the date the public is notified of the exemption through the agency's means or publication in the bulletin, whichever of the two is earliest.

2. What are "cultural practices of the State"?

In the definition of "significant effect" on page 12, the proposed addition — "cultural practices of the community and State" — is being added to comply with Act 50. However Act 50 does not make clear what it meant by the term "State".

Act 50 states,

DESCRIPTION:

Amends the environmental impact statement law by amending the definition of "environmental impact statement" or "statement" to include effects on the cultural practices of the community and State. Also amends the definition of "significant effect" to include adverse effects on cultural practices. (HB2895 HD1)

It's clear what the cultural practices of a community may involve. What are the cultural practices of the "State"?

If by "State" it is meant a political entity, then are we supposed to consider the government's "culture"? How is that defined?

If by "State" it is meant the Hawaiian Islands, which are populated by multiple cultures and communities, what would the culture of the "State" be?

I realize that this is an issue to be addressed with Act 50. However, by incorporating that language into 11-200, you take the ambiguity of that language into this administrative rule.

Perhaps to mitigate this, you can simply change the term "cultural practices of the community and State" to "cultural practices in compliance with Act 50." This would bring the issue back to Act 50, instead of leaving it to you to define the culture of the "State" for 11-200.

This term is also used in 11-200-12(b)(4) on page 42.

3. PIG? OMG!

I don't know what a Permitted Interaction Group means, and can probably come up with some amusing guesses. But the acronym of PIG beats it all. "The PIG drafted language...Following the Council's establishment of the PIG, the PIG set a meeting...The PIG reviewed the 2012 draft...the Council agreed that the PIG could present its report..."

Was this a deliberate attempt at a joke? It certainly doesn't help this report seem serious, coming, as it is, from a PIG. As an anthropologist I study language, among other things, and the use of the term PIG does not help promote the recommendations that this PIG is suggesting. As soon as the Council suggested calling the group PIG someone involved should have squealed.

I hope these comments helps you improve this important administrative rule.

Sincerely,

Sydney Ross Singer Environmental Anthropologist Director, Good Shepherd Foundation P.O. Box 1880 Pahoa, HI 96778 808-935-5563

Comments on Draft rules.

Chuck Prentiss (08/08/2017)

1. Page 25, Para. (f), line 3: After "concurrence" add "or review".

Reason: Current rules call for a periodic review of lists. Our procedure is to review exemption lists older than five years. Those lists that do not need any changes do not need another Council concurrence, and are given a reviewed date. These lists are considered current even though the concurrence date has not changed.

2. Add a note somewhere in 11-200-8 as follows (or similar): "Exemption lists existing prior to the adoption of theses revised rules may continue to refer to "types" as "classes" until the list is revised."

Reason: If this is not done, all exemption lists would require updating to change "classes" to "types." Given Council and OEQC resources, updating all lists at once, is not feasible.

Additional Comments : EC's PIG Draft, VR 0.1

<u>§11-200-9</u>

Page 27: (a) (4) Does the agency (proposing or accepting) need to explain/inform if it issues a FONSI?

Page 29: (1) Do we need to expand/define "consult with" in the context of "consult with ... citizen groups and individuals...". What would satisfy this requirement, and how should it be reported? For example, would a simple list of groups and individuals contacted be sufficient, or would there need to be more information, such as dates of meetings, what was discussed, who participated, etc. Would <u>one</u> community meeting satisfy this requirement?

<u>§11-200-10</u>

Page 35: The initial sentence makes this appear to be applicable just to "proposing agency or approving agency" but not to applicants. Yet, (1) says "Identification of applicant or proposing agency." Perhaps the first sentence should include "applicant."

Page 35: (3) "Identification of agencies, citizen groups and individuals consulted in preparing the assessment." Similar to comments above, is "identification" sufficient here? Shouldn't there be more than a simple listing of names?

<u>§11-200-11.1</u>

Page 37: (b) Do we need to be more precise in terms of time limits: "The proposing agency or approving agency shall file the notice and supporting draft EA with the office <u>as early as possible</u> after the determination is made."

<u>§11-200-11.2</u>

Page 39: (2) Is "Reviewing any public and agency comments" sufficient, or should it require "reviewing and responding to" or in some way indicating that those comments were incorporated in the determination (especially if it's a FONSI?)

<u>§11-200-14</u>

Page 45: This assumes that the preparing agency is responsible for 1-7, but would it be helpful to include a statement of who is actually responsible for 1-7; e.g., "The EIS process shall involve at a minimum <u>the preparing party</u>" Or, does/can the accepting authority conduct the scoping meeting?

Page 45: (2) Requiring "no fewer than one" public scoping meeting is a good addition. Can we strengthen this without being too prescriptive? For example, is there a wellthought-out guide to these kinds of meetings for which we could footnote a link; is there a website that would be helpful? Are there minimum components for a public scoping meeting, such as making sure it is recorded, or that it's in the affected community? [So many "public" meetings for issues that directly impact Lana'i are held solely on Maui. For example, see DOBOR's recent public meeting schedule for review of its draft revised rules.]

<u>§11-200-15</u>

Page 46: (b) and (e) We need to find a way to honor and support the value of oral comments. Perhaps it's requiring a narrative that captures the nature of those oral comments, or acknowledges those oral comments that appear to reflect a consensus????

<u>§11-200-18</u>

Page 55: "The final EIS shall consist of:" Should we also require a narrative report on the (now required) Public Scoping meeting?

<u>§11-200-XX</u>

Page 68: Who/which agency determines if a PEIS is required, rather than an EIS?

Report of the Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group

Preliminary Draft of Proposed Revisions to Hawai'i Administrative Rules Title 11 Department of Health Chapter 200 Environmental Impact Statement Rules

Prepared for the July 27, 2017 Environmental Council Meeting

2 Prepared by the Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group ("PIG") established on February

3 23, 2016, with the support of the Office of Environmental Quality Control. The Permitted

4 Interaction Group members are: Scott Glenn, Onaona Thoene, Ron Terry, and Mahina Tuteur.

5 Deputy Attorney General Diane Agor advised the Permitted Interaction Group.

6 Background

1

7 The current Hawai'i Administrative Rules (HAR) Title 11 Department of Health (DOH) Chapter

8 200 Environmental Impact Statements ("HAR Chapter 11-200") were promulgated and compiled

9 in 1996. An amendment to add an exemption class for the acquisition of land for affordable

10 housing was added in 2007, although it has not been compiled with into the rest of the rules.

11 In 2011, the public formally petitioned the Environmental Council (Council) to update HAR

- 12 Chapter 11-200. The Council initiated consultation with state and county agencies for
- 13 recommendations on issues to address and language revisions. In 2012, the Council released a
- 14 preliminary draft of revisions to HAR Chapter 11-200 (referred to as "Version 1") that
- 15 incorporated proposed revisions from previous Council efforts and issues raised by agencies
- 16 and the public. The Council also distributed an Excel file called a "comment matrix" to receive 17
- feedback on Version 1. Agencies and the public (including applicants, consultants, and nonprofit 18
- organizations) submitted comments via the comment matrix. The Council organized the 19
- feedback into a master comment matrix and tasked the Rules Committee with addressing the 20 feedback and making revisions to the language of HAR 11-200. The Rules Committee met regularly over the
- 21 course of 2012-2014 to revise Version 1. However, due to various administrative challenges, 22
- including maintaining quorum, the Council was not able to complete its work.
- 23 In February 2016, following Governor Ige's appointment of seven members to the Council, the 24 Council addressed these the challenges and resumed moving forward on revisions to HAR Chapter
- 25 11-200. As part of this effort, the Council wanted to recognize the extensive outreach and
- 26 drafting that the 2012 Council conducted.
- 27 At the February 23, 2016 Council meeting, the Council established a Permitted Interaction 28 Group ("PIG") to draft revisions to HAR Chapter 11-200. The PIG was to investigate and consider

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Commented [s1]: Is this "former" and/or "current"

Formatted: Highlight

applicants?

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Version 0.1

|--|

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

- specific language for inclusion in HAR Chapter 11-200, which would not be <u>used</u> for <mark>the purpose-</mark> 1 2 decision making, but would, to be brought to the Rules Committee for its to consider ation and decide sion whether to forward 3 making to make recommendations to the EC. 4 **Permitted Interaction Group Principles** 5 The PIG drafted language within the context of the principles established by the Council: 6 • Be consistent with the intent and language of Hawai'i Revised Statutes Chapter 343. 7 Align statute, case law, and practice wherever feasible. 8 Increase clarity for in the process and requirements. 9 Use the National Environmental Policy Act for language and guidance where applicable. • 10 Permitted Interaction Group Process 11 Following the Council's establishment of the PIG, the PIG set a monthly or biweekly meeting 12 schedule to review the previous Council's work. The PIG reviewed the 2012 draft rules language, 13 public comments in the comment matrix the 2012 Rules Committee produced, and responses to 14 the public comments that the Rules Committee developed over 2012-2014. The PIG 15 categorized the comment matrix into two groups: 1) comments resolved and direction provided; 16 and 2) outstanding comments still needing policy direction or draft language. For the former 17 group, the PIG integrated the language the resolved language into a draft it called Version 1.1. 18 For the second group, the PIG developed language in consultation with the Rules Committee 19 and the Office of Environmental Quality Control (OEQC). Further, the PIG developed language 20 in response to requests from the Rules Committee and OEQC for issues that arose since 2012. At the July 11, 2017 meeting, the Council agreed that the PIG could present its report directly to 21 22 the Council at *its it's the Council's* next meeting. 23 Permitted Interaction Group Recommendations 24 This report synthesizes Version 1.1 with 1) additional revisions the PIG made to address 25. -unresolved comments from the 2012 Council<u>; 2)</u>, direction the Rules Committee <mark>gave</mark> provided on conflicting
- <u>2625</u> comments or recent issues, and <u>3)</u> current topics the OEQC raised.

2726 The following revisions are the recommendations of the PIG to the Council as a baseline 2827 starting point for discussion going forward. Among the themes addressed are:

- ²⁹²⁸ "Housekeeping" revisions that modernize grammar and clarify language.
- Clarifying roles and responsibilities at various stages of environmental review.
- Modernizing submittals and deadlines to recognize electronic communication.
- Setting clearer thresholds for exemptions and the role of exemption lists.
- 3332 Clarifying when and how to proceed to directly preparing an EIS instead of an EA.

2

- 3433 Clarifying when and how to do programmatic EISs and supplemental EISs.
- 3534 Responding to comments in EAs and EISs.
- Conducting joint federal-state environmental review.

Version 0.1

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Formatted: Strikethrough
Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Commented [s2]: This is unclear, is it the PIG's next meeting or the Council's?

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Not Strikethrough

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

1	HAR Chapter 11-200 Environmental Impact Statement Rules	5
2	§11-200-1 Purpose	5
3	§11-200-2 Definitions and Terminology	6
4	§11-200-3 Periodic Bulletin	13
5	§11-200-4 Identification of Approving Agency and Accepting Authority	15
6	§11-200-5 Agency Actions	17
7	§11-200-6 Applicant Actions	19
8	§11-200-7 Multiple or Phased Applicant or Agency Actions	21
9	§11-200-8 Exempt Classes of Action Exemption Notices	22
10	§11-200-9 Assessment of Agency Actions and Applicant Actions	27
11	§11-200-9.1 Public Review & Response Requirements for Draft Environmental Assessi	ments
12	for Anticipated Negative Declaration Finding of No Significant Impact Determinations &	
13	Addenda to Draft Environmental Assessments	32
14	§11-200-10 Contents of an Environmental Assessment	35
15	§11-200-11 REPEALED.	36
16	§11-200-11.1 Notice of Determination for Draft Environmental Assessments	37
17	§11-200-11.2 Notice of Determination for Final Environmental Assessments	39
18	§11-200-12 Significance Criteria	42
19	§11-200-13 Consideration of Previous Determinations and Accepted Statements	44
20	§11-200-14 General Provisions	45
21	§11-200-15 Consultation Prior to Filing a Draft Environmental Impact Statement	46
22	§11-200-16 Content Requirements	48
23	§11-200-17 Content Requirements; Draft Environmental Impact Statement	49
24	§11-200-18 Content Requirements; Final Environmental Impact Statement	55
25	§11-200-19 Environmental Impact Statement Style	56
26	§11-200-20 Filing of an Environmental Impact Statement	57
27	§11-200-21 Distribution	58
28	§11-200-22 Public Review of Environmental Impact Statements and Addenda to Draft	
29	Environmental Impact Statements-Public Review and Response Requirements for Draf	<u>t</u>
30	EISs and Addenda	59
31	§11-200-23 Acceptability	61
32	§11-200-24 Appeals to the Council	64
33	§11-200-25 National Environmental Policy Act Actions: Applicability to Chapter 343, HF	₹S 65
34	Proposed §11-200-XX Programmatic Environmental Impact Statements	68
35	Proposed §11-200-XX Content Requirements; Draft Programmatic Environmental Impa	ict
36	<u>Statement</u>	69
37	§11-200-26 Supplemental EIS General Provisions	70
	Version 0.1 3 July 2	27, 2017

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

1	§11-200-27 Supplemental EIS Determination of Applicability	72
2	§11-200-28 Supplemental EIS Contents	73
3	§11-200-29 Supplemental EIS Procedures	74
4	§11-200-30 Severability	75
5	Note	75

PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

HAR Chapter 11-200 Environmental Impact **Statement Rules**

Subchapter 1 Purpose 1

§11-200-1 Purpose

- 2 Chapter 343, Hawaii Revised Statutes, (HRS)¹, establishes a system of environmental review at
- 3 the state and county levels which that² shall ensure that environmental concerns are given
- 4 appropriate consideration in decision making along with economic and technical considerations.
- 5 The purpose of this chapter is to provide agencies and persons with procedures, specifications
- 6 regarding the of environmental assessments ("EA") and environmental impact statements ("EIS"), and criteria
- 7 and definitions of statewide application.
- 8 [Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-1, 343-6)

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Formatted: Strikethrough

housekeeping purposes, unless otherwise noted.

Version 0.1

⁹ ¹ Housekeeping.

² Housekeeping. This is a global edit throughout the document. Any instance of this edit is for 10 11

	PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report	
	Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements	
1	Subchapter 2 Definitions and Terminology	
	§11-200-2 Definitions and Terminology	
2	As used in this chapter:	
3	"Acceptance" means a formal determination of acceptability^s that the document required to be	
4	filed pursuant to Cehapter 343, HRS, fulfills the definitions and requirements of an environmental	
5	impact statement (EIS), ⁴ adequately describes identifiable environmental impacts, and	
6	satisfactorily responds to comments received during the review of the statement as prescribed	
7	by section 11-200-23. ⁵ Acceptance does not mean that the action is environmentally sound or	
8	unsound, but only that the document complies with <u>C</u> ehapter 343, HRS, and this chapter. A	
9	determination of acceptance is required prior to implementing or approving the proposed action.	
10	"Accepting authority" means the final ⁶ official who ⁷ or agency that determines the acceptability of	
11	the EIS document makes the determination that a final EIS required to be filed pursuant to	
12	Cehapter 343, HRS, fulfills the definitions and requirements of an EIS ⁸ .	
13	"Action" means any program or project to be initiated proposed by an agency or applicant.	Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
14	"Addendum" means an attachment to a draft <u>EA <mark>environmental assessment</mark> or draft</u> environmental	Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
15	impact statement <u>EIS⁹</u> , prepared at the discretion of the proposing agency or approving agency,	
16	and distinct from a supplemental statement, for the purpose of disclosing and addressing	
17	clerical errors such as inadvertent omissions, corrections, or clarifications to information already	
18	contained in the draft environmental assessment EA ¹⁰ or the draft environmental impact	
19	statement <u>EIS</u> already filed with the <mark>office</mark> . <u>of environmental quality control.</u>	Commented [s3]: You haven't identified "office" yet, so should spell out this time.
20	"Agency" means any department, office, board, or commission of the state or county	
21	government which that is part of the executive branch of that government.	
22	³ Housekeeping.	
23	⁴ Housekeeping.	
24 25	⁵ Removes redundant language containing a subset of the requirements for an EIS to reduce uncertainty	
25 26	that other EIS sections may not apply because they are omitted in the definition. ⁶ Removes "final" because it does not contribute additional meaning to the definition.	
27	⁷ Housekeeping.	
28	⁸ Clarifies that the accepting authority role is about the acceptability about a final EIS.	
00		

⁹ Housekeeping. This is a global edit throughout the document. Any instance of this edit is for housekeeping purposes, unless otherwise noted. 29

- 30 31
 - ¹⁰ Housekeeping. This is a global edit throughout the document. Any instance of this edit is for

Version 0.1

6

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements housekeeping purposes, unless otherwise noted.

32

	PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES		
	Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report		
	Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements		
1	"Applicant" means any person <mark>who₋that,</mark> pursuant to statute, ordinance, or rule, officially requests		Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
2	approval from an agency for a proposed action.	÷	Formatted: Not Strikethrough
			Formatted: Not Strikethrough
3	"Approval" means a discretionary consent required from an agency prior to actual ¹¹		
4	implementation of an action. Discretionary consent means a consent, sanction, or		
5	recommendation from an agency for which judgment and free will may be exercised by the		
6	issuing agency, as distinguished from a ministerial consent. Ministerial consent means a		
7	consent, sanction, or recommendation from an agency upon a given set of facts, as prescribed		
8	by law or rule without the use of judgment or discretion. ¹²		
9	"Approving agency" means an agency that issues an approval prior to actual ¹³ implementation		
10	of an applicant's ¹⁴ action, determines the need for an EA or EIS, and issues the exemption,		
11	FONSI, or acceptance determination. ¹⁵ The approving agency may be an accepting authority for		
12	an applicant's final EIS. ¹⁶		Commented [s4]: This is so confusing and sounds vaguely
			to be entirely self-serving. Perhaps a parenthetical i.e.
13	"Concurrence" means the discretionary consent of the environmental council to an agency		would be useful.
	exemption list. ¹⁷		
14	"Council" or "EC" means the environmental council.		
15	"Cumulative impact" means the impact on the environment which that results from the		
16	-incremental impact of the proposed action when added to other past, present, and reasonably		
10	foreseeable		Formatted: Left
17	_future actions regardless of what agency or person(<u>s) has undertaken or</u> undertakes such other ←		Formatted: Left, Indent: Hanging: 0.42"
	actions. Cumulative_		
18 —	impacts can result from individually minor but collectively significant actions taking place over a_		
19 16	_period of time.		
20 17	"Discretionary consent" means a consent, sanction, or recommendation from an agency for which		
21 18	judgment and free will may be exercised by the issuing agency, as distinguished from a		

2219 ministerial consent. Ministerial consent means a consent, sanction, or recommendation from an

8

²³²⁰_11 Does not add meaning to sentence so removing the word.

^{2421 &}lt;sup>12</sup> Removed "discretionary consent" from the definition and made it a standalone definition that mirrors the 2522 statute. 2623 ¹³ Does not add meaning to sentence so removing the word.

²⁷²⁴_14 Approving agencies are only in the case of applicants.

^{2825 15} The approving agency makes the decision about level of review and if the applicant has satisfied HRS 2926_Chapter 343.

³⁰²⁷_16 In the case of applicants, the approving agency is also the accepting authority. This adds clarification to 3128 the definition.

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements 3229 ¹⁷ Adds a definition for the council's concurrence of agency exemption lists. Concurrence is discretionary 3330 because it is up to the council to be satisfied with the agency exemption list. The discretionary consent is 3431 not an approval because it does not apply to a specific project.

	Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements		
1 2	agency upon a given set of facts, as prescribed by law or rule, without the use of judgment or discretion. ¹⁸		
3	"Draft environmental assessment" means the environmental assessment.<u>EA</u> submitted by a		
4	proposing agency or an approving agency for public review and comment when that agency		Commented [s5]: Never by an applicant?
5	anticipates a negative declaration finding of no significant impact (FONSI) ¹⁹ determination.		
6	"Effects" or "impacts" as used in this chapter are synonymous. Effects (or impacts) may include ecological		
7	effects (such as the effects on natural resources and on the components, structures, and		
8	functioning of affected ecosystems), aesthetic effects, historic effects, cultural effects, economic		
9	effects, social effects, or health effects, whether primary, secondary, or cumulative, immediate or		
10	- <u>delayed²⁰. Effects (or impacts)</u> may also include those effects resulting from actions which <u>that</u> → may have		Formatted: Left, Indent: Hanging: 0.41"
11	-both beneficial and detrimental effects, even if on balance the agency believes that the effect		Formatted: Left, Indent: Hanging: 0.42", Space Before:
	will be beneficial.		2.05 pt
13 11 1412	"EIS public scoping meeting" means a meeting open to the public, held by the proposing agency or applicant, or their representative, within the thirty-day public consultation period described in	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Commented [s6]: Why is this needed? I would delete as unnecessary, confusing, and contrary to the intent of the preceding sentence.
15	-section 11-200-15, that invites inviting the participation of those agencies, citizen groups, and	1	Formatted: Left, Space Before: 2.05 pt
	individuals_		Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
-16	-reasonably believed to be potentially affected by the proposed action (including those who might		Formatted: Strikethrough
47	-not be in accord with the proposed action), to assist the preparing party in determining the range		Formatted: Left
18	-of actions, alternatives, impacts, and proposed mitigation measures to be considered in the draft		Formatted: Underline color: Auto, Strikethrough,
19	- <u>EIS and the significant issues to be analyzed in depth in the draft EIS.</u>	1	Highlight
2013	EIS public scoping meeting are considered to be advisory and not mandatory.	$\langle \cdot \rangle$	Formatted: Underline color: Auto, Strikethrough
21 14	"Emergency action" means an action to prevent or mitigate loss or damage to life, health,	$\langle \cdot \rangle$	Formatted: Left, Indent: Hanging: 0.42"
	property, or essential public services in response to a sudden unexpected occurrence		Formatted: Strikethrough
23	demanding such immediate action. a project or program that normally would be subject to the provisions and requirements of C chapter 343, HRS, but is exemptednet because of a state of emergency declared by the governor. ²¹		Commented [s7]: Is it really necessary to say this? It almost leaves one with the message, then why bother? Isn't it sufficient that the objectives and requirements of the scoping meeting are fully laid out?
	governor.	N.	Formatted: Strikethrough
25 17	"Environment" means humanity's surroundings, inclusive of all the physical, economic, cultural,	Ì	Formatted: Left, Space Before: 2.05 pt
	and social conditions that exist within the area affected by a proposed action, including land,		Commented [s8]: Should this include "health" since you
27<u>19</u>	_human and animal communities, air, water, minerals, flora, fauna, ambient noise, and objects of _historic <u>, cultural,²² or aesthetic significance</u> .		listed health in "impacts"? I.e., "all of the physical (including health), economic"
	-		

PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

10

3224 housekeeping purposes, unless otherwise noted. Version 0.1

²⁹²¹_18 Definition removed from "approval" and made stand_alone. Mirrors HRS § 343-2 language and expands 3022_on ministerial definition (which is existing language in HAR § 11-200-2).

^{3123 &}lt;sup>19</sup> Housekeeping. This is a global edit throughout the document. Any instance of this edit is for

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements 3325_20 Incorporates the language from the definition of "environmental impact" which is proposed for deletion. 3426 ²¹ Redefines an emergency action to be an action undertaken during a particular emergency proclamation 3527_issued by the governor.

3628 22 Adds cultural to the definition of environment to make the definition in line with Act 50 (2000).

Version 0.1

PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

- 1 "Environmental assessment" or "EA"²³ means a written evaluation to determine whether an 2 action may have a significant environmental effect. that serves to provides -sufficient evidence 3 and analysis to determine whether a proposedn action may have a significant environmental effect.24 H. 4 Ttogether with a FONSI, it satisfies Cehapter 343, HRS, when no EIS is necessary, and facilitates Formatted: Highlight 5 preparation of an EIS when one is necessary and the proposing agency or applicant, based on its Formatted: Not Strikethrough judgment 6 and experience, has not previously determined that it would proceed directly with the 7 preparation of an EISPN, or the agency, based on its judgment and experience, has not 8 previously authorized the applicant, to choose to proceed directly with the preparation of an 9 EISPN.25 Formatted: Strikethrough Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight 10 "Environmental impact" means an effect of any kind, whether immediate or delayed, on any 11 component of the environment.26 12 "Environmental impact statement," "statement," or "EIS" means an informational document 13 prepared in compliance with chapter 343, HRS, and this chapter and which fully complies with 14 subchapter 7 of this chapter²⁷. The initial statement EIS²⁸ filed for public review shall be referred 15 to as the draft environmental impact statement EIS and shall be distinguished from the final 16 environmental impact statement EIS, which is the document that has incorporated the public's 17. -comments and the applicant's or agency's [?] responses to those comments. The final environmental impact statement-Line spacing: Multiple 1.18 li 1817 EIS is the document that shall be evaluated for acceptability by the respective²⁹ accepting authority. 1918 "Exempt classes of action" means exceptions from the requirements of chapter 343, HRS, to 2019 prepare environmental assessments, for a class of actions, based on a determination by the 2420 proposing agency or approving agency that the class of actions will probably have a minimal or 2221 no significant effect on the environment.³⁰
 - 2322_"Exemption notice" means a brief notice kept on file by the proposing agency, in the case of a 2423 public action, or the agency with the power of approval, in the case of a private action, when it 2524_has determined that the proposed project action is an exempt or emergency project action -

-	Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
1	

Commented [s9]: In 2009 C&C went straight to an EISPN, recognizing that Big Wind would never qualify for an EA, no agency was involved in this determination.

Formatted: Underline color: Auto

Formatted: Left, Right: 0.53", Space Before: 2.05 pt,

Commented [s10]: Is this code for "governmental"? Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

12

²⁶²⁵_23 Adds common abbreviation for use throughout the rules.

²⁷²⁶_24 Adds to the statutory definition to emphasize that an EA needs to provide sufficient evidence to make a

²⁸²⁷_significance determination rather than merely an assertion or lengthy analysis.

²⁹²⁸_25 Incorporates direct-to-EIS pathway into definition of an EA.

³⁰²⁹ _26 Deletes because the definition is unnecessary. Combining the definitions of "effect" and "environment" <u>3130</u> provides more clarity than this definition.

³²³¹_27 Redundant because if it complies with chapter 343, HRS, then it necessarily complies with this chapter.

³³³²_28 Housekeeping. This is a global edit throughout the document. Any instance of this edit is for

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements 3433 housekeeping purposes, unless otherwise noted. 3534 ²⁹ Unnecessary language so recommend removing.

3635 30 Removes the definition because the concept of "classes of actions" is removed in section 8.

	PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report	
	Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements	
1	"Final environmental assessment" means either the environmental assessment <u>EA</u> submitted by	
2	a proposing agency or an approving agency following the public review and comment period for	
3	the draft environmental assessment <u>EA</u> and in support of either a <u>FONSI (finding of no significant</u> <u>impact)</u> or a preparation notice	
4	an EISPN ³¹ determination; or the environmental assessment submitted by a proposing agency	
5	or an approving agency subject to a public consultation period when such an agency clearly	
6	determines at the outset that the proposed action may have a significant effect and hence will	
7	require the proparation of a statement.	
8	"Finding of no significant impact" or "FONSI" means a determination by an agency based on an	
9	–EA that an action not otherwise exempt from Chapter 343, HRS does not have the potential for a ←	Formatted: Left, Indent: Hanging
	significant effect on the	
10		Formatted: Left, Indent: Hanging
<u>119</u>	<u>to implementing or approving the proposed</u> action. ³²	2.05 pt
13<u>11</u> 14	"National Environmental Policy Act" <u>or "NEPA"</u> ³³ means the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, Public Law 91-190, 42 U.S.C. §4321-4347, as amended.	
15	"Negative declaration" or "finding of no significant impact" means a determination by an agency	
16	based on an environmental assessment that a given action not otherwise exempt does not have	
17	a significant effect on the environment and therefore does not require the preparation of an EIS.	
18	A negative declaration is required prior to implementing or approving the action. ³⁴	
19	"Office" means the office of environmental quality control.	
20 21	"Periodic bulletin" means the document required by <u>se</u> ection 343-3, HRS, and published by the office.	
22	"Person" includes any individual, partnership, firm, association, trust, estate, private corporation,	
23	or other legal entity, other than an agency.	
24	<u>"Power generating facility" means:</u>	
25	1. <u>A new, fossil-fueled, electricity-generating facility, where the electrical output</u>	
26	rating of the new equipment exceeds 5.0 megawatts; or	

0.42"

0.41", Space Before:

²⁷ $^{\mbox{\tiny 31}}$ Housekeeping. This is a global edit throughout the document. Any instance of this edit is for 28

housekeeping purposes, unless otherwise noted.

²⁹ 30 ³² Moves the language for the deleted "Negative declaration" into alphabetical order under "FONSI".

³³ Adds common abbreviation for use throughout the rules. Version 0.1 July 27, 2017 14

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements ³⁴ Moves the language for the deleted "Negative declaration" into alphabetical order under "FONSI".

Version 0.1

	PREL	MINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES		
		Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report		
	<u>Poter</u>	ntial Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements		
1	<u>2.</u>	An expansion in generating capacity of an existing, fossil-fueled,		
2		electricity-generating facility, where the incremental electrical output rating of the		
3		new equipment exceeds 5.0 megawatts.35		
4	"Preparation	notice <u>.</u> " or-"EIS preparation notice. ^{"36} or "EISPN" ³⁷ means a determination based		
5		nmental assessment that the subject that an ³⁸ action may have a significant effect		
6	on the enviro	onment and, therefore, will therefore require the preparation of an environmental		Formatted: Left
7 6	impact_ statement_FI	S, based on either an EA or an agency's judgment and experience that the		Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
8		tion may have a significant effect on the environment; -an EIS is and therefore		
0		the without first requiring an EA		Formatted: Left, Space Before: 2.05 pt
9 7		lation of an EIS without first requiring an EA. ³⁹		Commented [s11]: Isn't is also possible that an applicant can voluntarily make this assessment and go straight to an EIS? See C&C comment above.
10<u>8</u>	"Primary imp	pact <u>,</u> " or -"primary effect <u>,</u> " or -"direct impact <u>,</u> " ⁴⁰ or "direct effect" means effects which		
11<u>9</u>	<u> </u>	be caused by the proposed action and occur at the same time and place.		Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
1210	A			
12 10		natic EIS" or "PEIS" is an EIS that assesses the environmental impacts of: (1) a		
13 11		eparate actions in a given geographic area which, if considered singly, may have		
<u>+412</u>		ts, but if considered together may have significant impacts; (2) a sequence of		
15 13		emplated by a single agency or applicant; (3) separate actions having generic or		
-16		pacts; (4) an entire program or plan having wide application that could <mark>or</mark> restrict <mark>ing</mark>		Formatted: Left
	the range		100	Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
		rnative policies or projects, including new or significant changes to existing land use	\sim	Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
-18		opment plans, zoning regulations, or agency comprehensive resource management	<u></u> ,	
19 —		plementation of a single project or multiple projects over a long timeframe; or (6)		Formatted: Left, Indent: Left: 0.08", Hanging: 0.42"
20 14	implementat	ion of a single project or program over a large geographic area. ⁴¹		
21<u>15</u> 22	"Proposing a 343, HRS. ⁴²	igency" means any state or county agency that proposes an action under Cehapter		
23	"Secondary	impact <u>,</u> " or "secondary effect," or "indirect impact," ⁴³ or "indirect effect" means		
2 4	effects which distance.	+ <u>that</u> are caused by the <u>proposed</u> action and are later in time or farther removed in		
25 24	-	easonably foreseeable. Indirect effects may include growth-inducing effects and		
0005				
	³⁵ Adds definit ³⁶ Housekeep	tion from HRS § 343-2.		
		ing. Ion abbreviation for use throughout the rules.		
		EA language to the end of the paragraph and combines it with the new direct-to-EIS		
	language.			
		rect-to-EIS pathway to the definition of an EISPN.		
	⁴⁰ Housekeep			
33<u>32</u>	41 Adds a defi	nition to go along with new sections on how to do environmental review for an action this is Most environmental review focuses on projects. By providing language on a programmatic		

Version 0.1

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements 3534_look, the rules give direction on how to address projects or programs at risk of being viewed as 3635_segmented and acknowledges the tension between earliest practicable time with project specificity. 3736_42 Added definition because the term is used frequently throughout the rules. 3837_43 Housekeeping.

PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

other effects related to induced changes in the pattern of land use, population density or growth
 rate, and related effects on air, and water,⁴⁴ and other natural systems, including ecosystems.

³ "Significant effect" or "significant impact" means the sum of effects on the quality of the

⁴ environment, including actions that irrevocably commit a natural resource, curtail the range of

⁵ beneficial uses of the environment, are contrary to the state's <u>State's</u>⁴⁵ environmental policies or

⁶ long-term environmental goals and guidelines as established by law, or ⁴⁶ adversely affect the

⁷ economic or social welfare, <u>cultural practices of the community and State</u>,⁴⁷ or are otherwise set

⁸ forth in section 11-200-12 of this chapter⁴⁸.

9 "Substantial commencement" means that a project or program has reached the stage where its

10 last approval has been granted, or, for government programs for which an approval is not

11 required, the project or program has advanced to the point where financial commitments are in

¹² place and scheduled, and design is essentially completed.⁴⁹

13 "Supplemental statement <u>EIS</u>" means an additional environmental impact statement <u>updated</u>

¹⁴ <u>EIS⁵⁰ prepared for an action for which a statement an EIS was previously accepted, but which</u>

has yet to progress to substantial commencement and, since acceptance, the action,

<u>circumstances, or anticipated impacts have⁵¹ changed substantively in size, scope, intensity,</u>
 use, location, or timing, among other things.

18 "Wastewater treatment unit" means any plant or facility used in the treatment of wastewater.⁵²

¹⁹ [Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-2, 343-6)

- ⁴⁹ Definition is proposed to help clarify when an action has progressed sufficiently to no longer require
- examination for supplemental environmental review. This language draws on other statutes.
- 27 ⁵⁰ Housekeeping.
- 28 ⁵¹ Incorporates substantial commencement into the definition and emphasizes that changes can apply to

29 the proposed action, the environment, or knowledge (ties to supplemental sections).

30 ⁵² Adds definition from HRS § 343-2.

July 27, 2017

Commented [s12]: Should this is "action" to be consistent?

^{20 &}lt;sup>44</sup> Housekeeping.

^{21 &}lt;sup>45</sup> Housekeeping.

^{22 &}lt;sup>46</sup> Housekeeping.

⁴⁷ Updates language to match Act 50 (2000) on cultural practices.

^{24 &}lt;sup>48</sup> Housekeeping.

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

1 Subchapter 3 Periodic Bulletin

§11-200-3 Periodic Bulletin

2	(a)	The office shall inform the public through the publication of a periodic bulletin of the	
3		following:	
4		(1) Notices filed by agencies of the availability of environmental assessments EAs	
5		and appropriate addendum documents for review and comments;	
6		(2) Notices filed by agencies of determinations that statements <u>EISs</u> are required or	
7		not required;	
8		(3) The availability of statements <u>EISs</u> , supplemental statements <u>EISs</u> and	
9		appropriate addendum documents for review and comments;	
10		(4) The acceptance or non-acceptance of statements EISs; and	
11		(5) Other notices required by the rules of the council.	
12	(b)	The bulletin shall be made available to any person upon request. Copies of the bulletin	
13		shall also be sent to the state library system and other depositories or clearinghouses. ⁵³	
14	(e <u>b</u> ⁵⁴)	The bulletin shall be issued on the eighth and twenty-third days of each month. All	
15		agencies and applicants submitting draft environmental assessments EAs, negative	
16		declarations <u>FONSIs</u> , preparation notices <u>EISPNs</u> 55, environmental impact statements	
17		EISs, acceptance or nonzacceptance determinations, addenda, supplemental statements	Formatted: Highlight
18		EISs, supplemental preparation notices EISPNs, revised documents, withdrawals, and	<u> </u>
19		other notices required to be published in the bulletin shall submit such documents or	
20		notices to the office before the close of business eight <u>four</u>⁵⁶ working <u>business</u>⁵⁷ days	
21		prior to the issue date. In case the deadline falls on a state holiday or nonworking	
22		non-business ⁵⁸ day, the deadline shall be the next working business ⁵⁹ day.	
23	(<u>dc</u>)	All submittals to the office for publication in the bulletin shall be accompanied by a	
24	,	completed informational form which that provides whatever information the office needs	
25		to properly notify the public. The information requested may include the following: the	
26		title of the action; the islands affected by the proposed action; tax map key numbers;	
27		street addresses; nearest geographical landmarks; latitudinal and longitudinal	
28 29 30 31	paper o ⁵⁴ Hous	rule is no longer required as the periodic bulletin is available to everyone electronically and no copies are produced by the office. sekeeping. Renumbers paragraphs. sekeeping. This is a global edit throughout the document. Any instance of this edit is for	
32	house	keeping purposes, unless otherwise noted.	

⁵⁶ OEQC does not need eight business days anymore to prepare the periodic bulletin.
 ⁵⁷ Housekeeping.

⁵⁷ Housekeeping.
 ⁵⁸ Housekeeping.

⁵⁸ Housekeeping.
 ⁵⁹ Housekeeping.

Version 0.1

	Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report	
	Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements	
1	coordinates or other geographic data ⁶⁰ ; applicable permits, including discretionary	
2	approvals requiring preparation of the document under Cehapter 343, HRS;61 whether the	
3	proposed action is an agency or an applicant action; a citation of the applicable federal	
4	or state statutes requiring preparation of the document; the type of document prepared;	
5	—the names, addresses and contact persons as applicable for, of the accepting authority,	For
	the_	For
6	—proposing agency, the approving agency, the applicant, and <mark>the</mark> any consultant(s); and a _ ◆ brief_	For
7	—narrative summary of the proposed action which that provides sufficient detail to convey_	For
8 <u>5</u>	the full impact of the proposed action to the public.	
9 <u>6 (ed</u>) 10	The office may provide recommendations to the agency responsible for the environmental assessment <u>EA</u> or EIS regarding any requirements applicable to	
10	administrative content	For
<u>447</u>	requirements set forth in this chapter.	
128(f<u>e</u>) 139	The office may, on a space available basis, publish other notices not specifically relatedto Cehapter 343, HRS.	

[Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §341-3, 343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §341-3, 343-3, 343-6)

Formatted: Strikethrough Formatted: Left Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight Formatted: Left, Space Before: 12 pt Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Formatted: Left, Indent: Hanging: 0.91"

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements ⁶⁰ Clarifies that OEQC may ask for geographic data such as that included in a standard GIS shapefile file. The existing rules already allows for this but this language is to make it clearer. ⁶¹ Clarifies that the agency is required to identify the specific discretionary approval that requires an

- 17
- 18
- 19 applicant to go through environmental review.

16

PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

Subchapter 4 Responsibilities 1

Identification of Approving Agency and⁶² §11-200-4 **Accepting Authority**

2 (a)	Whenever an agency proposes an action, the final [®] authority to accept a statement an		
3	EIS shall rest with:		
4	(1) The governor, or an the governor's ⁶⁴ authorized representative, whenever an		
5	action proposes the use of state lands or the use of⁶⁵ s tate funds or ,⁶⁶ whenever		
6	a state agency proposes an action within <u>under</u>⁶⁷ section 11-200-6(b); or		
7	(2) The mayor, or an-the mayor's ⁶⁸ authorized representative, of the respective		
8	county whenever an action proposes only the use of county lands or county		
9	funds.		
10	—In the event that an action involves, state and county lands, state and county funds, or both state and county lands and	Formatted: Left	
11	funds, the governor or the governor's authorized representative shall have authority to		
12 10	accept the EIS. ⁶⁹		
13<u>11</u>(b)	Whenever an applicant proposes an action, the authority for requiring an EA or ⁷⁰		
<u>1412</u>	statements EIS, and for making a determination regarding any required EA, and ⁷¹		
15 13	accepting any required statements EIS that have been prepared shall rest with the		
16 14	approving ⁷² agency initially receiving and agreeing that initially received and agreed ⁷³ to		
<u>1715</u>	process the request for an approval. With respect to EISs, the approving agency is also		
18 16	called the accepting authority. ⁷⁴		

~~

4917 62 Expand the content of this section to also identify the agency with responsibility in cases of EAs.

- 2422_67 Housekeeping.
- 2523 68 Housekeeping.

- 3028 language is that the OEQC is explicitly empowered for situations involving EISs and implicitly for
- 3129_situations involving EAs.

3230_71 Adds EAs to the identification of which agency has responsibility. Language is phrase so that the

- 3331 agency can make a FONSI or EISPN determination.
- 3432_72 Housekeeping. Clarifies the agency is called the approving agency.

3533 ⁷³ Housekeeping. Version 0.1

July 27, 2017

Indent: Left: 0.09", Hanging: 0.91"

^{2018 &}lt;sup>63</sup> Removes the word final because it does not add to the meaning of the sentence.

^{2119 64} Housekeeping.

²²²⁰_65 Housekeeping.

²³²¹_66 Housekeeping.

²⁶²⁴ _69 Clarifies cases where a proposed action has mixed state and county lands or funds or both lands and 2725_funds. This language is modified from the original language in section 11-200-23.

²⁸²⁶_70 Adds EAs to the identification of which agency has responsibility. Note that this change also means that 2927_the OEQC is explicitly empowered to determine the agency in situations involving EAs, whereas existing

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report <u>Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements</u> <u>3634</u>⁷⁴ Clarifies that approving agency is the accepting authority for applicants.

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

 1
 (c)⁷⁵
 In the event that there is more than one agency that is proposing the an action or, in the

 2
 case of applicants,⁷⁶-hmore than one agency has jurisdiction over the proposed action,

 3
 and these agencies are unable to agree as to which agency has the responsibility for

 4
 complying with section 343-5(c) Centapter 343⁷⁷, HRS, the office, after consultation with

 5
 the agencies involved, shall determine which agency is responsible. In making the

 6
 determination, the office shall take into consideration, including, but not limited to, the

 7
 following factors consider⁷⁸:

- (1) The agency with the greatest responsibility for supervising or approving the action as a whole;
- (2) The agency that can most adequately fulfill the requirements of <u>C</u>ehapter 343, HRS, and this chapter;
- (3) The agency that has special expertise or greatest⁷⁹ access to information; and
- (4) The extent of participation of each agency in the proposed action after approval.
- ¹³ [Eff 12/6/85; am and Comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-5, 343-6)

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Formatted: Strikethrough

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Commented [s13]: Information relevant to what? The proposed action, assessment of likely impacts, reporting/enforcement data relevant to any conditions imposed?

8

9

10

11

12

⁷⁵ Creates new paragraph to clarify that OEQC can make this determination for applicants and for

agencies when they are unable to agree on who is the proposing agency or approving agency. The

¹⁶ paragraph applies in cases where multiple agencies refuse to be the responsible agency; not only when 17 multiple agencies want the responsibility.

⁷⁶ Clarifies OEQC's authority for determining who has responsibility for <u>C</u>ehapter 343, HRS compliance.

⁷⁷ Housekeeping. Section paragraphs change over time, so language adjusted to just refer to the statute.

^{20 &}lt;sup>78</sup> Housekeeping.

⁷⁹ Helps to distinguish among agencies - all agencies have access to information.

PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements Subchapter 5 Applicability 1 §11-200-5 Agency Actions 2 For all proposed agency⁸⁰ actions which that are not exempt.⁸¹ as defined in section (a) 3 11-200-8, the proposing⁸² agency shall assess at the earliest practicable time the 4 significance of potential impacts of its actions the proposed agency's proposed action83, including 5 the overall, cumulative impact in light of related past, present, and reasonably 6 foreseeable⁸⁴ actions in the region area(s) affected⁸⁵ and further actions contemplated.⁸⁶ 7 (b) The applicability of Cehapter 343, HRS, to specific agency proposed actions is 8 conditioned by the agency's proposed use of state or county lands or funds. Therefore, 9 when an agency proposes to implement an action to use state or county lands or funds, 10 it shall be subject to the provisions of chapter 343, HRS, and this chapter.⁸⁷ 11 Use of state or county funds shall include any form of funding assistance flowing from (c) 12 the State or a county, and use of state or county lands includes any use (title, lease, 13 permit, easement, license<mark>s</mark>, etc.) or entitlement to those lands. Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight With respect to agency actions, Chapter 343, HRS, generally exempts agencies from 14 (d) Formatted: Left, Indent: Left: 0.08", Hanging: 0.92" conFor agency actions, chapter 343, HRS, exempts from ducting applicability any feasibility or -planning study for possible future programs or projects which that the agency has not 16 -approved, adopted, or funded. Nevertheless, if an agency is studying the feasibility of a_ 17. -proposal, it shall consider environmental factors and available alternatives and disclose -these in any future assessment EA or subsequent statement EIS. If, however,⁸⁸ the 18. 19_ -planning and feasibility studies involve testing or other actions which that may have a _significant impact on the environment, then⁸⁹ an environmental assessment <u>EA or EIS</u> 90 20 2114_shall be prepared. 2215 80 Housekeeping. 2316 ⁸¹ Housekeeping. 24<u>17</u>⁸² Housekeeping. 2518 83 Housekeeping. ^{.84} Clarifies what is considered as part of a cumulative **leok** <u>assessment.</u> Language is drawn from NEPA, 40 CFR_

27 1508 7

- 28 ⁸⁵ Replaces "region" with "area affected" to tie the geographic nexus to the potential impacts.
- 29 ⁸⁶ Removes "further actions contemplated" because it is captured in the language of "reasonably

30 foreseeable.'

31 87 Housekeeping. Redundant language. 32

⁸⁸ Housekeeping.

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Formatted: Left Indent: Left: -0.34"

July 27, 2017

Formatted: Left, Indent: Left: -0.34", Hanging: 0.42", Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.15" + Indent at: 0.5"

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements ⁸⁹ Housekeeping. ⁹⁰ Acknowledges direct-to-EIS pathway.

33

34

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

1	(e)	Any amendment to existing county general plans, however denominated, which may
2		include but not be limited to development plans, ⁹¹ or community plans, where the
3		amendment would result in designations other than agriculture, conservation, or
4		preservation, ⁹² requires an environmental assessment <u>EA</u> or <u>EIS</u> ⁹³ . (Actions by a county
5		initiating a comprehensive review toward effectuating either a general plan or
6		amendment thereof may be excepted. General plan amendments requested by a private
7		owner or developer outside of the comprehensive review process are not excepted.)
0	1000	

⁸ [Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-5(b),

9 343-6)

^{10 &}lt;sup>91</sup> Housekeeping.

⁹² Housekeeping.

⁹³ Direct-to-EIS is also an option.

PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

§11-200-6 Applicant Actions

1 2	(a)	•	er 343, HRS, shall apply to persons who are required to obtain an agency val prior to proceeding with:	
3		(1)	Implementing actions which that are either located in certain specified areas or	
4		()	contain certain specified elements ⁹⁴ ; or	
5		(2)	Actions that require certain types of amendments to existing county general	
6		()	plans.	
7		<u>The a</u>	proving agency that initially received and agreed to process the request for	
8		appro	val shall require the applicant to prepare an EA of the proposed action at the	
9		earlie	st practicable time to determine whether an EIS is likely to be required; provided	
10		<u>that if</u>	the approving agency determines, through its judgment and experience, that an	
11		<u>EIS is</u>	likely to be required, the approving agency may authorize the applicant to choose	
12		<u>not to</u>	prepare an EA and instead prepare an EIS that begins with the preparation of an	
13		EISPI	<u>I.</u> 95	
14	(b)	Chapt	er 343, HRS, establishes certain categories of action which that require the	
15		-	y <mark>processing</mark> an applicant's request for approval to prepare an environmental	Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
16 —			sment <mark>the</mark> an applicant to prepare an EA ⁹⁶ . There are seven geographical	Formatted: Strikethrough
4740			pries,	Formatted: Left, Indent: Left: 0.08", Hanging: 0.92"
<u>1716</u>			oject proposal elements ⁹⁷ , and two administrative categories.	Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
<u>1817</u>		_(1)	The seven geographical categories are:	Formatted. Strikethough, Highlight
<u>1918</u>			_(A) The use of state or county lands;	
20<u>19</u>			(B) Any use within any land classified as conservation district by the state	
21 <u>20</u>			land use commission under chapter 205, HRS;	
22 21			_(C) Any use within the shoreline area as defined in section 205A-41, HRS;	
23			-(D) Any use within any historic site <u>or district [?]</u> as designated in the <u>N</u> -ational - <u>R</u> register or_	Formatted: Left, Indent: Left: 0.08", Hanging: 1.92"
2 4 <u>22</u>			_Hawaii <u>R</u> register_of Historic Places;	Formatted: Left, Tab stops: 1.5", Left
25 23			(E) Any use within the Waikiki area of O`ahu, the boundaries of which are	
26 24			delineated in the land use ordinance as amended, establishing the	
27 25			"Waikiki Special District";	
28 26			_(F) Any reclassification of any land classified as conservation district by the	
29 27			state land use commission under chapter 205, HRS; and	
30<u>28</u>			_(G) The construction of <u>a new</u> , or the expansion or modification of <u>an</u> existing	
31<u>29</u>			helicopter facilities <u>facility</u>98 within the State which <u>that</u> by way of their <u>its</u>99	

3230_94 Acknowledges the "project" type triggers (e.g., waste-to-energy facility).

3331 95 Adopts language from Act 172 (2012) for direct-to-EIS and that the applicant has the responsibility to

34<u>32</u> prepare the document.

3533 96 Housekeeping.

3634_97 Acknowledges the "project" type triggers (e.g., waste-to-energy facility).

3735 98 Clarifies that the trigger can apply to a facility; trigger does not require multiple facilities.

Version 0.1

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report <u>Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements</u> <u>3836</u>⁹⁹ Clarifies that the trigger can apply to a facility; trigger does not require multiple facilities.

		E	Y WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES invironmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report endments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements		
4					
1			activities may affect. ¹⁰⁰ any land classified as conservation district by the		
2			state land use commission under chapter 205, HRS; the shoreline area		
3			as defined in section 205A-41, HRS; or, ¹⁰¹ any historic site- <u>or district</u> as		
4			designated in the National Register or Hawaii Register as provided for in-		
5			Preservation Act of 1966, Public Law 98-665, or chapter 6E, HRS of		
6			Historic Places ¹⁰² ; or, until the statewide historic places inventory is		
7			completed, any historic site found by a field reconnaissance of the area		
8			affected by the helicopter facility and which that is under consideration for		
9			placement on the National Register or the Hawaii Register of Historic		
10		- , ,	Places.		
11	<u>(2)</u>		ive project-proposal elements are:		
12		<u>(A)</u>	Wastewater treatment unit, except an individual wastewater system or		
13			wastewater treatment unit serving fewer than fifty single-family dwellings		
14			or the equivalent;		
15		<u>(B)</u>	Waste-to-energy facility:		
16		<u>(C)</u>	Landfill;		
17		(D)	Oil refinery; or		
18	(00)	<u>(E)</u>	Power-generating facility. ¹⁰³		
19	(<u>23</u>)		wo administrative categories are:		
20		(A)	Any amendment to existing county general plans, however denominated,		
21			which may include, but <u>are not be limited to</u> development plans, ¹⁰⁴ or		
22			community plans, where the amendment would result in designations		
23			other than agriculture, conservation, or preservation. (Actions by a county		
24			initiating a comprehensive review toward effectuating either a general		
25			plan or amendment thereof may be excepted. General plan amendments		
26			requested by a private owner or developer outside of the comprehensive		
27		(5)	review process are not excepted.); and		
28		(B)	The use of state or county funds, other than funds to be used for		
29			feasibility or planning studies for possible future programs or projects		
30			which that the agency has not approved, adopted, or funded, or funds to		
31			be used for the acquisition of unimproved real property; provided that the		
32			agency shall consider environmental factors and available alternatives in		
33			<u>Atsany feasibility or planning study</u> it conducts or causes to be	<	Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
					Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
34	[Eff 12/6/85;	am and	comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-5, 343-6)		

I

Version 0.1

³⁵ 36 37 38 39

 ¹⁰⁰ Housekeeping.
 ¹⁰¹ Housekeeping.
 ¹⁰² Housekeeping. Unnecessary specificity.
 ¹⁰³ Acknowledges the "project" type triggers (e.g., waste-to-energy facility).
 ¹⁰⁴ Housekeeping.

PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

§11-200-7 Multiple or Phased Applicant or Agency Actions

1 2	A group of a when:	ctions proposed by an agency or an applicant shall be treated as a single action	
3	(1)	The component actions are phases or increments of a larger total undertaking;	
4	(2)	An individual project is a necessary precedent for to a larger project;	Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
5	(3)	An individual project represents a commitment to the completion of a larger	
	proje	ct; or	
6	(4)	The actions in question are essentially identical and a single statement <u>EIS will</u>	
7		-adequately address the impacts of each individual action and as well as those of	Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
		the <u>a</u> group_	
8 7		of actions as a whole.	

9 [Eff 12/6/85; comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-6)

Version 0.1

PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

§11-200-8 Exempt Classes of Action Exemption Notices¹⁰⁵

1 (a)	Chapter 343, HRS, states that procedures whereby specific types of actions, because	
	they will probably have minimal or no significant effects on_	
2	the environment, either individually and or cumulatively, on	Formatted: Left, Indent: Left: 0.15", Hanging: 0.85"
3 2	the environment, can be declared exempt from the preparation of an EA. ¹⁰⁶ a list of	Formatted: Left, Indent: Left: 0.15", Space Before: 2.25
4 <u>3</u>	_classes of actions shall be drawn up which, because they will probably have minimal or	pt
5 <u>4</u>	no significant effect on the environment, may be declared exempt by the proposing	
6 <u>5</u>	agency or approving agency from the preparation of an environmental assessment	
7 <u>6</u>	provided that agencies declaring an action exempt under this section shall obtain the	
8 <u>7</u>	advice of other outside agencies or individuals having jurisdiction or expertise as to the	Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
9 8	propriety of the exemption. Government activities that do not rise to the level of being a	Formatted: Strikethrough
<u> 109</u>	project or program, or are ordinary functions that by their nature do not have the	
<u>1110</u>	potential to adversely affect the environment more than negligibly, which may include,	
12 11	among other activities, routine repair, maintenance, purchase of supplies, and	
13 12	administrative actions involving personnel only, shall not be considered projects or	
14<u>13</u>	programs for the purposes of Chapter 343, HRS. ¹⁰⁷ Actions declared exempt from the	
<u> 1514 </u>	preparation of an EA environmental assessment under this section are not exempt from	Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
16 15	complying with any other applicable statute or rule. The following types of projects or	
17<u>16</u>	programs are eligible for exemption ¹⁰⁸ list represents exempt classes of action:	
18<u>17</u>	(1) Operations, repairs, or maintenance of existing structures, facilities, equipment,	
19 18	or topographical features, involving negligible_minor ¹⁰⁹ or no expansion or change	
20 19	of use beyond that previously existing;	
21 20	(2) Replacement or reconstruction of existing structures and facilities where the new	
22 21	structure will be located generally on the same site and will have substantially the	
23 22	same purpose, capacity, density, height, and dimensions as the structure	
2 4 <u>23</u>	replaced;	
25 24	(3) Construction and location of single, new, small facilities or structures and the	
26 25	alteration and modification of the same and installation of new, small, equipment	
27 26	and facilities and the alteration and modification of same, including, but not	
28 27	limited to:	

²⁹²⁸_105 Renames to shift focus from the "classes" (a term no longer used) to the notice.

- 3231 review. Chapter 343, HRS, does not define a project or program, so leaves it to agencies and the courts
- <u>3332</u> to decide whether a particular activity constitutes such.
- 34<u>33</u>¹⁰⁸ Replaces "classes" language with "types".

36<u>35</u> can have little or no significant impact. Version 0.1

^{3029 106} Incorporates language direction from chapter 343, HRS.

^{34&}lt;u>30</u>¹⁰⁷ Establishes a *de minimis* level of government activity for being considered eligible for environmental

³⁵³⁴_109 Replaces "negligible" with "minor" because in some cases minor operations, repairs, or maintenance

Poten	tial Am	nendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements	
	(A)	Single-family residences less than 3,500 square feet, as measured by the	
		controlling law under which the proposed action is being considered, ¹¹⁰	
	(D)	if not in conjunction with the building of two or more such units;	
	(B)	Multi-unit structures designed for not more than four dwelling units iff not Formatted: Highlight	
	$\langle \mathbf{O} \rangle$	in conjunction with the building of two or more such structures;	
	(C)	Stores, offices, and restaurants designed for total occupant load of twenty	
		persons or less per structure, if not in conjunction with the building of two or more such structures; and	
	(D)	Water, sewage, electrical, gas, telephone, and other essential public utility	
	(U)	services extensions to serve such structures or facilities; accessory or	
		appurtenant structures including garages, carports, patios, swimming	
		pools, and fences; and, acquisition of utility easements;	
(4)	Minor	or alterations in the conditions of land, water, or vegetation;	
(5)		ic data collection, research, experimental management, and resource and	
(-)			the FN attached to this stay
		ous or major disturbance to an environmental resource; place? Concern is that "inf	rastructure testing" can me
(6)			FN will disappear, a parenth
(7)	Interi	rior alterations involving things such as partitions, plumbing, and electrical	
		veyances;	
(8)	Demo	nolition of structures, except those structures located on any historic site as	
	provie	ignated in the <u>Nnational R</u> register or Hawaii <u>R</u> register <u>of Historic Places</u> as - ided for in the	
		ional Historic Preservation Act of 1966, Public Law 89-665, 16 U.S.C. §470,	
(2)		mended, or chapter 6E, HRS ¹¹² ;	
(9) (10)		ing variances except shoreline set-back variances; and¹¹³	
(10)		ttinuing administrative activities including, but not limited to purchase of plies and personnel-related actions. ¹¹⁴	
(110 ¹¹		uisition of land and existing structures, including single or multi-unit dwelling	
(170	<i>,</i> .	s, for the provision of affordable housing, involving on material change of use	
		ond that previously existing uses, and for which the legislature has Formatted: Strikethroug	-h Uiabliabt
		ropriated or	n, Highlight
	other	erwise authorized funding ¹¹⁶ - <u>; and¹¹⁷</u>	
		n different agencies within counties, measure residence area differently. This ges the difference.	
orporates	s infrast	structure testing such as temporary interventions on roadways to test new designs or	
s on traffi	ic patter	erns.	
necessar		uage.	
usekeepi letes land	0	because it is addressed at the beginning of paragraph (a).	
-		enumbering this and subsequent paragraphs.	
-	-	cil formally amended HAR Section 11-200-8 to add the exemption category for	

³⁹ ¹¹⁶ In 2007, the Council formally amended HAR Section 11-200-8 to add the exemption category for acquisition of land for affordable housing. The Council has not compiled the amendment to HAR Section

Version 0.1

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements 11-200-8 with HAR Chapter 11-200. This language incorporates and compiles the 2007 change.

42 ¹¹⁷ Housekeeping.

41

	PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES	
	Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report	
	Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements	
	······································	
1	(11) New construction of affordable housing-that where the only requirement for undergoing Chapter 343, HRS review is the only has use of state or county lands	
2	or funds, as the requirement for undergoing chapter 343, HRS, and as proposed	Formatted: Left, Indent: Left: 0.15", Hanging: 0.85",
3	is consistent with existing state urban land classification, existing county	Space Before: 0 pt, Tab stops: 1", Left
4	residential or mixed use zoning classification, and applicable federal, state, and	
5 <u>1</u>	county development standards. ¹¹⁸	
6 <u>2</u> (b)	All exemptions under the classes types ¹¹⁹ in this section are inapplicable when the	
7 <u>3</u>	cumulative impact of planned successive actions in the same place, over time, is	
8 <u>4</u>	significant, or when an action that is normally insignificant in its impact on the	
9 5	environment may be significant in a particularly sensitive environment.	
<u> 106</u> _(c)	Any agency, at any time, may request that a new exemption class type ¹²⁰ be added, or	
44 <u>7</u>	that an existing one be amended or deleted. The request shall be submitted to the	
<u>128</u>	council, in writing, and contain detailed information to support the request as set forth in	
<u>139</u>	section 11-201-16, environmental council rules.	
<u>44<u>10</u>(d)</u>	Each agency, through time and experience, shall develop its own list of specific types of	
15<u>11</u>	actions which <u>it considers</u> fall within the exem <u>pt classes types</u> above ¹²¹ , as long as these	
1010	lists are	
<u>1612</u>	consistent with both the letter and intent expressed in these exempt classes here ¹²² and	
1713 1914	<u>C</u> ehapter 343, HRS. These lists and any amendments to the lists shall be submitted to the	Commented [s15]: How often? Annually? Every five years, per (f)?
18 <u>14</u> 19 <u>15</u>	council for review and concurrence. The lists shall be reviewed periodically by thecouncil.	
1010		
20 <u>(e)</u> ¹²	²³ Actions that are clearly covered by an agency exemption list that has received council	
21	concurrence and do not have any potential to produce significant impacts do not require	
22	documentation. ¹²⁴ Actions with no documentation may still be subject to the public's right	
23	to a judicial proceeding on the lack of an assessment, pursuant to <u>C</u> ehapter 343, HRS. ¹²⁵	
	dds affordable housing as an exemption type, with <mark>caveats</mark> the <u>following caveats: 1) that the only</u> er is use state or county lands or funds (other triggers would mean the exemption is not applicable)	Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
	that 2) the proposed action is consistent with existing land use controls so that it does not require	
	g before the LUC or Planning Commissions to get a change in SLUD or zoning.	
	lousekeeping.	
	nserts new paragraphs; subsequent paragraphs are renumbered. Removes documentation obligation for agencies for activities that are just above the threshold of <i>de</i>	
	imis but may not require the level of consultation and documentation associated with typical projects	
	rograms.	
	ffirms the public's right to challenge borderline cases that may not be discovered until "the bulldozers	
	out" and the agency may have erred in its decision to not prepare an EA.	
Verei	on 0.1 bits 07, 0047	

are out" and the agency may have erred in its decision to not prepare an EA. Version 0.1 July 27, 2017

PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES
Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

1	<u>(f)</u>	For an action that an agency considersed exempt according to the criteria in paragraph
2		(a) but is not clearly covered by the agency's exemption list, or is on the agency's
3		exemption list but that list has not received council concurrence within the past five
4		years, the agency shall undertake a systematic analysis to determine whether the action
5		merits exemption consistent with one or several of the types listed in paragraph (a). ¹²⁶
6		For such actions, the agency shall obtain the advice of outside agencies or individuals
7		
		may not
8		– <u>be segmented per section 11-200-7 so as to appear to be consistent with several types</u>
9 7		<u>listed in paragraph (a).¹²⁷</u>
<u>108</u>	_(e <u>g</u>)	Each agency shall maintain records of such ¹²⁸ actions, called exemption notices, ¹²⁹
<u>119</u>		_which it has found to be exempt from the requirements for preparation of an
12 —		environmental assessment EA in under Cehapter 343, HRS, and each agency shall
		produce the
13 —		records exemption notices for review upon request. The agency shall provide a means to
		notify and accept
-14		-input from the public in a timely manner after the exemption declaration is made. An
15 ——		agency may request the office to publish the exemption notice(s) in the periodic bulletin.
16		-The public's right to judicial proceeding on the lack of an assessment under Cehapter 343.
17		HRS shall commence from the date the public is notified of the exemption through the
18<u>10</u>		agency's means or publication in the bulletin, whichever of the two is earliest. ¹³⁰
19 11	_(f <u>h</u>)	In the event the governor declares a state of emergency pursuant to Cehapter 127A,

	<u>HRS</u> ,	
2	2 <u>012</u>	¹³¹ the governor may exempt any affected program or action from complying with this
2	<u>413</u>	_chapter. has authority to suspend laws, including Cehapter 343, HRS. In such an event,
- 2	2 14	no exemption declaration is required and the proposing agency or approving agency

2315 126 Requires agencies to do consultation for exemptions that are borderline cases or for lists that have not

2416 received council concurrence within the past five years. The five years concurrence threshold is an

 $\frac{2517}{100}$ incentive for agencies to regularly refresh their exemption lists with the council, but allows for consultation $\frac{2618}{100}$ so that agencies can continue to use the list but with a higher burden of due diligence.

36

spreadsheet of in meeting minutes. This helps to close the gap between when an agency makes a

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Formatted: Left, Indent: Left: 0.15"

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

```
Formatted: Left, Indent: Left: 0.15", Hanging: 0.85", Space Before: 2.05 pt
```

Formatted: Left, Indent: Left: 0.08", Hanging: 0.92"
Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
Formatted: Not Strikethrough
Formatted: Strikethrough
Commented [s16]: Request by whom? OEQC? Anyone?
Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

²⁷¹⁹_127 Reminds agencies that an action may not be broken up into smaller pieces to fit within several

²⁸²⁰ exemption types.

²⁹²¹_128 Housekeeping.

^{3022 129} Connects to the exemption notice definition and emphasizes that an agency has duty to maintain these 3123 as a record.

³²²⁴_1³⁰ Requires agencies to make exemption notices publicly available either through the periodic bulletin or 3325_through their own means. Some agencies already do this by posting them to their website in a

<u>3426</u>_spreadsheet or in meeting minutes. This helps to close the gap between when an agency makes a

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements 3527_determination and how the public is supposed to know, so that everyone has a clear date for when legal 3628_challenge begins and ends, without making the disclosure process overly burdensome to agencies or 3729_OEQC.

3830_131 States the name of the statute for emergency proclamations.

		PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements
1 2		shall file an exemption notice in its records that the emergency action was undertaken pursuant to <u>-a specific emergency proclamation</u> . ¹³²
3 4 5	<u>(i)</u>	<u>An</u> emergency <u>action that is not initiated within the period of the governor's emergency</u> proclamation shall no longer be considered an emergency action and therefore shall be <u>subject to Cehapter 343, HRS</u> . ¹³³

[Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-6) 6

⁷ ¹³² Removes unnecessary language because the governor can exempt any program by statute. Adds that the agency has a responsibility to record that the action occurred during a specific emergency proclamation in case a question arises about the lack of an assessment. ¹³³ Narrows the risk of an emergency proclamation being a free-for-all by removing actions that did not 8

⁹

¹⁰

¹¹ start during the emergency proclamation from being covered by the emergency proclamation.

PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

1 Subchapter 6 Determination of Significance

§11-200-9 Assessment of Agency Actions and Applicant Actions

- (a) For agency actions, except those actions exempt from the preparation of an
 environmental assessment <u>EA</u> pursuant to section 343-5, HRS, or section 11-200-8, the
 proposing agency shall:
 - (1) Seek, at the earliest practicable time, the advice and input of the county agency responsible for implementing the county's general plan for each county in which the proposed action is to occur, and consult with other agencies having jurisdiction or expertise as well as those citizen groups and individuals which that the proposing agency reasonably believes to may¹³⁴ be affected;
 - (2) Identify the accepting authority pursuant to section 11-200-4 and specify what the ¹³⁵ statutory conditions under section 343-5(a), HRS, <u>that</u>¹³⁶ require the preparation of an environmental assessment <u>EA</u>;
 - (3) Prepare an environmental assessment <u>EA</u> pursuant to section 11-200-10 of this chapter which shall also identify that identifies¹³⁷ potential impacts, evaluate evaluates¹³⁸ the potential significance of each impact, and provide provides¹³⁹ for detailed study of significant impacts;
 - (4) Determine, after reviewing the environmental assessment <u>EA</u> described in paragraph (3), and considering the significance criteria in section 11-200-12, whether the proposed action warrants an anticipated negative declaration <u>FONSI</u> or an environmental impact statement preparation notice <u>EISPN</u>, provided that for an environmental impact statement preparation notice <u>EISPN</u>, the proposing agency shall inform the accepting authority of the proposed action;
- 23
 (5)
 File the appropriate notice of determination (anticipated negative declaration

 24
 FONSI or environmental impact statement preparation notice EISPN in

 25
 accordance with section 11-200-11.1 or 11-200-11.2, as appropriate), the

 26
 completed informational form referenced¹⁴⁰ in section 11-200-3(d)¹⁴¹, and four

 27
 copies of ¹⁴² the supporting environmental assessment EA (a draft environmental assessment EA (a draft environmental assessment EA (a for the anticipated negative declaration FONSI or a final
- ²⁹ ¹³⁴ Housekeeping.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 30 ¹³⁵ Housekeeping.
- 31 ¹³⁶ Housekeeping.
- 32 ¹³⁷ Housekeeping.
- 33 ¹³⁸ Housekeeping.
- 34 ¹³⁹ Housekeeping.
- 35 ¹⁴⁰ Housekeeping.
- 36 ¹⁴¹ Housekeeping.
- ³⁷ ¹⁴² OEQC only needs one copy, not four.

		RELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES
		Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report
		Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements
1		environmental assessment EA for the environmental impact statement
2		preparation notice EISPN) with the office in accordance with sections 11-200-3,
3		11-200-11.1, 11-200-11.2, and other applicable sections of this chapter;
4		(6) Distribute <u>Circulate</u> ¹⁴³ , concurrently with the filing in paragraph (5), the draft
5		environmental assessment <u>EA</u> to other agencies having jurisdiction or expertise
6		as well as citizen groups and individuals which that the proposing agency
7		reasonably believes to <u>may</u>¹⁴⁴ be affected;
8		(7) Deposit, concurrently with the filing in paragraph (5), one <u>paper¹⁴⁵</u> copy of the
9		draft environmental assessment <u>EA</u> at the nearest state library in each county in
10		which the proposed action is to occur and one paper copy at the Hawaii
11		Documents Center ¹⁴⁶ ;
12		(8) Receive and respond to public comments in accordance with:
13		 (A) section 11-200-9.1 for draft environmental assessments <u>EAs</u> for
14		anticipated negative declaration FONSI determinations; or
15		(B) section 11-200-15 for environmental assessments <u>EAs</u> for preparation
16		notices - <u>EISPNs</u> .
17		For draft environmental assessments <u>EAs</u> , the proposing agency shall revise the
18		environmental assessment <u>EA</u> to incorporate public comments as appropriate,
19		and append copies of comment letters and responses in the environmental
20		assessment <u>EA</u> (the draft environmental assessment <u>EA</u> as revised, shall be filed
21		as a final environmental assessment <u>EA</u> as described in section 11-200-11.2);
22		and
23		(9) As appropriate, issue either a negative declaration <u>FONSI</u> determination or an
24		environmental impact statement preparation notice-EISPN pursuant to the
25		requirements of section 11-200-11.2 , provided that for. For¹⁴⁷ preparation notice
26		EISPN determinations, the proposing agency shall proceed to section 11-200-15
27		after fulfilling the requirements of sections 11-200-10, 11-200-11.2, 11-200-13,
28		and 11-200-14, as appropriate.
29	(b)	For applicant actions, except those actions exempt from the preparation of an
30		environmental assessment <u>EA</u> pursuant to section 343-5, HRS, or those actions which

DELIMINARY MORKING DRAFT NOT FINAL

 ¹⁴³ The term "distribute" is the section heading of §11-200-21, thus giving the term a particular role in HAR
 chapter 11-200, so the verb "circulate" is proposed instead.

^{33 &}lt;sup>144</sup> Housekeeping.

³⁴ ¹⁴⁵ Emphasizes that a printed, paper hard copy is to be deposited at the nearest state library so that the people nearest the proposed action without electronic access are able to review the document.

people nearest the proposed action without electronic access are able to review the document.
 ¹⁴⁶ Adds a request from the State Library that only two hard copies be submitted to the state library

³⁷ system, one for the local library near the proposed action as an environmental/social justice concern and

one at the document center for archival records. Ideally, these are the only two hard copies produced of a

³⁹ draft EA.

^{40 &}lt;sup>147</sup> Housekeeping.

Potent	Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report ial Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements
	e approving agency declares exempt pursuant to section 11-200-8, the approvin y shall:
(1)	Require the applicant, at the earliest practicable time, to seek the advice and input of the lead county agency responsible for implementing the county's general plan for each county in which the proposed action is to occur, and consult with other agencies having jurisdiction or expertise as well as those citizen groups and individuals which that the approving agency reasonably believes to be affected;
(2)	Require the applicant to provide whatever information the approving agency deems necessary to ¹⁴⁸ complete the preparation of an environmental assessmed <u>EA</u> in accordance with section 11-200-10;
(3)	 Within thirty days from the date of receipt of the applicant's completed request if approval to the approving agency: (A) prepare an environmental assessment pursuant to section 11-200-10; a (B) determine, after reviewing the environmental assessment and considering the significance criteria in section 11-200-12 whether the proposed action warrants an anticipated negative declaration or an environmental impact statement preparation notice; require the applicant¹⁴⁹ to prepare a draft EA pursuant to section 11-200-10.¹⁵⁰
<u>(4)</u> ¹⁵¹	Determine, after reviewing the draft EA and considering the significance criteria in section 11-200-12, whether the proposed action warrants an anticipated FONSI or an EISPN: ¹⁵²
(4 <u>5</u>)	File the appropriate notice of determination (anticipated negative declaration <u>FONSI</u> or environmental impact statement preparation notice <u>EISPN</u> in accordance with section 11-200-11.1 or 11-200-11.2), the completed informational form <u>referenced</u> ¹⁵³ in section 11-200-3(d) ¹⁵⁴ and four copies of the supporting environmental assessment <u>EA</u> (a draft environmental assessment <u>E</u> for the anticipated negative declaration- <u>FONSI</u> or a final environmental assessment <u>EA</u> for the environmental impact statement preparation notice

³⁰ ¹⁴⁸ Narrows the language to focus on the EA on the content requirements.

³¹ ¹⁴⁹ Shifts the focus of preparation to the applicant per Act 172 (2012).

³² ¹⁵⁰ Removes the thirty-day requirement for an approving agency to prepare, review, and issue an

anticipated FONSI or EISPN. Instead, makes the agency tell the applicant within 30 days of receipt of a

³⁴ request for approval which course of environmental review the applicant is to take.

³⁵ ¹⁵¹ Inserts a new paragraph for the agency to decide whether an anticipated FONSI or EISPN is

³⁶ appropriate. Subsequent paragraphs are renumbered.

 ¹⁵² Makes this step explicit; it was not stated before but it the step that occurs between the draft EA stage
 and filing an anticipated FONSI.

³⁹¹⁵³ Housekeeping.

^{40 &}lt;sup>154</sup> Housekeeping.

PRELI	MINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES
	Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report
Poten	tial Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements
	EISPN) with the office in accordance with sections 11-200-3, and 11-200-11.1, or
	11-200-11.2 <u>, and other applicable sections of this chapter¹⁵⁵;</u>
(<u>56</u>)	Distribute Circulate ¹⁵⁶ , or require the applicant to distribute circulate ¹⁵⁷ ,
	concurrently with the filing in paragraph (4), the draft environmental assessment
	EA to other agencies having jurisdiction or expertise as well as citizen groups
	and individuals which that the approving agency reasonably believes to be
	affected;
(6 <u>7</u>)	Deposit or require the applicant to deposit, concurrently with the filing in
	paragraph (4), one <u>paper¹⁵⁸ copy</u> of the draft environmental assessment.<u>EA</u> at
	the nearest state library in each county in which the proposed action is to occur
	and one paper copy at the Hawaii Documents Center ¹⁵⁹ ;
(7 <u>8</u>)	Receive public comments, transmit copies of public comments to the applicant
	and require the applicant to respond to public comments, all in accordance with
	section 11-200-9.1 for draft environmental assessment EAs, or 11-200-15 for
	preparation notices EISPNs and their associated final environmental assessment
	EAs. For draft environmental assessment EAs, the approving agency shall require
	the applicant:
	(A) ¹⁶⁰ to provide revise the draft EA with ¹⁶¹ whatever information the approving
	agency deems necessary <u>in accordance with section 11-200-10¹⁶² to.</u>
	revise the draft environmental assessment to inform its determination for
	<u>a FONSI or EISPN, taking into account comments on the draft EA¹⁶³;</u>
	(B) to incorporate comments as appropriate; and,
	(C) to include copies of comment letters and the applicant's responses.
	(the <u>The</u> ¹⁶⁴ draft environmental assessment <u>EA,</u> as revised, shall be filed as a
	final environmental assessment <u>EA</u> as described in section 11-200-11.2) ¹⁶⁵ ; and

²⁶ ¹⁵⁵ Adds language to ensure that other sections are fulfilled as well.

³¹ ¹⁵⁸ Emphasizes that a printed, paper hard copy is to be deposited at the nearest state library so that the ³² people nearest the proposed action without electronic access are able to review the document.

³³ ¹⁵⁹ Adds a request from the State Library that only two hard copies be submitted to the state library

20

42

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

 ¹⁵⁶ Replaces the term "distribute" because that term is the section heading of §11-200-21, thus giving the
 term a particular role in HAR chapter 11-200, so the verb "circulate" is proposed instead.

¹⁵⁷ Replaces the term "distribute" because that term is the section heading of §11-200-21, thus giving the term a particular role in HAR chapter 11-200, so the verb "circulate" is proposed instead.

system, one for the local library near the proposed action as an environmental/social justice concern and one at the document center for archival records. Ideally, these are the only two hard copies produced of a draft EA.

³⁷ ¹⁶⁰ Breaks up the paragraph so that the three requirements for the applicant are easier to read.

^{38 &}lt;sup>161</sup> Housekeeping.

 ¹⁶² Emphasizes that the final EA content should still meet the EA content requirements as set for in
 section 10.

 ¹⁶³ Emphasizes that the point of revisions to the final EA is to move toward a decision on a FONSI or
 EISPN based on the content and draft EA comments.

⁴³ ¹⁶⁴ Changes the sentence from a parenthetical statement to a standalone sentence.

⁴⁴ ¹⁶⁵ Changes the sentence from a parenthetical statement to a standalone sentence.

Formatted: Strikethrough

		PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements		
1		(89) As appropriate, issue a negative declaration FONSI determination or an		
2		environmental impact statement preparation notice <u>EISPN</u> with appropriate		
3		notice of determination thereof pursuant to section 11-200-11.2 within thirty days		
4		from the end of the thirty-day public comment period of receiving information		Commented [s17]: With the preceding strikeouts, not
5		required for delivery to the approving agency pursuant to paragraph 8 ¹⁶⁶ . For		sure this is a complete sentenceor whether this was
6		preparation notice EISPN determinations, the approving agency shall proceed to		missed strikeout.
7		section 11-200-15 after fulfilling the requirements of sections 11-200-10,		
8		11-200-11.2, 11-200-13, and 11-200-14, as appropriate.		
9	(c)	For agency or applicant actions, the proposing agency or the approving agency, as		
10	()	appropriate, shall analyze or cause to be analyzed in the EA a reasonable range of 167		
11		alternatives, in addition to the proposed action <u>proposed</u> in the environmental assessment <u>EA</u> .		
12	— <u>(d)</u>	For agency or applicant actions, if the agency determines, through its judgment and		Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.08", Hanging: 0.92"
13 12	exper	rience, that an EIS is likely to be required for an agency or applicant action, the agency may	1	Formatted: Highlight

 Appendice, that are EIS is likely to be required for an agency or applicant action, the agency matrix act

¹⁶ [Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-5, 343-6)

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, Tab stops: 0.5", Left

24 assessment and instead prepare an EIS beginning with the EISPN. Also allows agencies to authorize

26 EIS beginning with the EISPN. Version 0.1

^{17 &}lt;sup>166</sup> Changes the deadline from 30 days after the close of the public comment period to 30 days after 18 receipt of the final EA.

¹⁶⁷ Clarifies that the alternatives to be examined are done so in the environmental assessment, not

²⁰ independent of it, and that the agency directs the applicant to analyze alternatives in an

applicant-prepared EA, as provided for in Act 172, (2012). Inserts the term reasonable to emphasize that not all possible alternatives are required to be analyzed.

^{23 &}lt;sup>168</sup> Incorporates language from Act 172 (2012) allowing agencies to bypass preparing the environmental

applicants to bypass the environmental assessment, should the applicant desire, and instead prepare an

PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

§11-200-9.1 **Public Review & Response Requirements** for Draft Environmental Assessments for Anticipated Negative Declaration Finding of No Significant Impact¹⁶⁹ Determinations & Addenda to Draft Environmental Assessments

This section shall apply only if a proposing agency or an approving agency anticipates a 1 (a) 2 negative declaration FONSI determination for a proposed action and that agency has 3 completed the draft EA requirements of section 11-200-9(a), paragraphs (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6) and (7) for agencies¹⁷⁰, or section 11-200-9(b), paragraphs (1), (2), (3), (4), (5) 4 and (6) for applicants¹⁷¹, as appropriate. 5

6 The period for public review and for submitting written comments for both agency actions (b) 7 and applicant actions shall begin as of the initial issue date that notice of availability of 8 the draft environmental assessment EA was published in the periodic bulletin and shall 9 continue for a period of thirty days. The period for public review and for submitting written comments regarding proposed For agency actions and applicant actions, the period 10 for public review and for submitting written comments shall commence from the date that of-11 notice of availability of the draft EA is initially issued in the periodic bulletin and shall 12 continue for a period of thirty calendar days.¹⁷² Written comments sent to the proposing 139 agency or approving agency, whichever is applicable, and/or with a copy of the comments sent to the applicant, if applicable, ¹⁷³ or proposing agency, ¹⁷⁴ shall be received by or postmarked 44. to 1510 the proposing agency or approving agency, within the thirty-day period. Any comments 1611 outside of the thirty-day period need not be responded to nor considered or responded to. However, for a 1712 proposed site for a new correctional facility or for the expansion of an existing 18 correctional facility, pursuant to section 353-16.35, HRS, the public review and public commenting period thirty-day period shall be a 1913 sixty calendar d-days period.175

1	Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
-	Formatted: Left, Indent: Hanging: 0.92", Space Before: 2.05 pt
1	Formatted: Left, Indent: Hanging: 0.92"
1	Formatted: Left, Indent: Hanging: 0.92", Space Before: 2.05 pt
1	Formatted: Left, Indent: Hanging: 0.92"
1	Formatted: Left, Indent: Hanging: 0.92", Space Before: 2.05 pt

	Formatted: Left, Indent: Hanging:	0.92", Space Before:
	2.05 pt	
4	Formatted: Striketbrough	

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

2415_170 These paragraphs refer to requirements for agencies preparing an EA through distributing and filing 2216_the Draft EA.

2317_171 These paragraphs refer to requirements for applicants preparing an EA through distributing and filing 2418_the Draft EA.

2519_172 Measures time consistently in the process. Adds clarity to how to count days (distinguishes from July 27, 2017 Version 0.1 44

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

²⁰¹⁴_169 Housekeeping.

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements 2620_working days) and that the publication date is counted as day zero.

2721 173 Clarifies that applicants are not always involved and when not involved, not copy of the comments <u>2822</u>_need to be sent to the applicant.

2923_174 Redundant; the proposing agency is already as identified as receiving comments.

3024 175 Incorporates the public comment period and time limit from HRS § 353-16.35.

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

1	(c)	For agency actions, the proposing agency shall respond in writing to all comments
2		-received or postmarked during the thirty-day review period, <u>shall</u> incorporate comments
3		<u>into</u> <u>the final EA</u> ¹⁷⁶ as appropriate, ¹⁷⁷ and <u>shall</u> append the comments and responses in <u>to</u> ¹⁷⁸ the
4		
5 2		_commenting, with copies of the response also sent to the office. If a number of
6 3		comments are identical or very similar, the proposing agency may group the comments
74		and prepare a single standard response for each group. The comments must be
8 5		attached to the final EA regardless of whether the agency believes the comments merit
9 6		individual discussion in the body of the final EA. ¹⁷⁹

<u> 107</u> _(d) For applicant actions, the applicant shall respond in writing to all comments received or 118 postmarked during the thirty-day review period, and the approving agency shall¹⁸⁰ 129 incorporate or comments into the final EA as appropriate, and 181 append the comments <u>1310</u> and responses in to¹⁸² the final environmental assessment EA. If a number of comments 1411 are identical or very similar, the applicant may group the comments and prepare a single 1512 standard response for each group. The comments must be attached to the final EA 1613 regardless of whether the approving agency believes the comments merit individual discussion in the body of the final EA.¹⁸³ Each response shall be sent directly to the 1714 person commenting with a copy to the office.¹⁸⁴ A copy of each response shall be sent to 1815 1916 the approving agency for its timely preparation of a determination and notice thereof 20 pursuant to sections 11-200-9(b) and 11-200-11.1 or 11-200-11.2.185

23 ¹⁷⁸ Housekeeping.

¹⁷⁹ Because the responses are included in the final EA, it is not necessary to send an individual response letter to each person who comments. The requirement to send a response to every individual person commenting can be burdensome without a benefit that cannot be satisfied by notifying the person via publication of the final EA. This language is drawn from the CEQ 40 questions, #29a and aligns with

28 NEPA practice, which allows grouping of identical or similar comments and providing one response that

- 29 covers the issues raised in the identical or similar comments. Because individual responses would no
- 30 longer be sent, the requirement for OEQC to receive a copy of the response is no longer relevant.
- 31 ¹⁸⁰ The applicant prepares the document so has the responsibility to incorporate the comments and 32 responses into the document.
- 33 ¹⁸¹ Clarifies that the comments are incorporated into the final EA.
- 34 ¹⁸² Housekeeping.

¹⁸³ Because the responses are included in the final EA, it is not necessary to send an individual response letter to each person who comments. The requirement to send a response to every individual person commenting can be burdensome without a benefit that cannot be satisfied by notifying the person via publication of the final EA. This language is drawn from the CEQ 40 questions, #29a and aligns with NEPA practice, which allows grouping of identical or similar comments and providing one response that

covers the issues raised in the identical or similar comments.

Version 0.1

Formatted: Left, Indent: Left: 0.15", Space Before: 2.05 pt

Formatted: Left, Indent: Left: 0.15", Hanging: 0.85"

Formatted: Left, Indent: Left: 0.15", Space Before: 2.05 pt

^{21 &}lt;sup>176</sup> Clarifies that the comments are included in the final EA.

^{22 &}lt;sup>177</sup> Housekeeping.

 ¹⁸⁴ Because individual responses would no longer be sent, the requirement for OEQC to receive a copy of
 the response is no longer relevant.

¹⁸⁵ Under Act 192 (2012), applicants prepare their own documents, so the timely preparation requirement

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements is no longer applicable.

Version 0.1

44

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

- 1
 (e)
 An addendum document to a draft environmental assessment EA shall reference the

 2
 original draft environmental assessment EA it attaches to and shall comply with all applicable public review and comment requirements set forth in sections 11-200-3 and

 4
 11-200-9.
- ⁵ [Eff and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-3, 343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-3, 343-5,

6 343-6)

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Formatted: Strikethrough

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

§11-200-10 Contents of an Environmental Assessment

1 The proposing agency (if agency action) or approving agency (if applicant or agency action) shall prepare a<mark>ny</mark> draft or final environmental 2 assessment EA of peach for any proposed action and determine whether the anticipated effects 3 constitute a significant effect in the context of Cehapter 343, HRS, and section 11-200-12. The 4 environmental assessment EA shall contain, but not be limited to, the following information: 5 Identification of applicant or proposing agency; (1)6 (2)Identification of approving agency, if applicable; 7 (3)Identification of agencies, citizen groups, and individuals consulted in making 8 preparing¹⁸⁶ the assessment; 9 General description of the action's technical, economic, social, and (4)10 environmental characteristics; 11 (5) Summary description of the affected environment, including suitable and 12 adequate regional, location and site maps such as Flood Insurance Rate Maps, Floodway Boundary Maps, or United States Geological Survey topographic 13 14 maps: Identification and summary analysis¹⁸⁷ of impacts and alternatives considered; 15 (6) 16 Proposed mitigation measures; (7)17 Agency determination or, for draft environmental assessments EAs only, an (8) 18 anticipated determination; 19 (9) Findings and reasons supporting the agency determination or anticipated 20 determination: 21 (10) Agencies to be consulted in the preparation of the EIS, if an EIS is to be 22 prepared: 23 List of all required¹⁸⁸ permits and approvals (State, federal, county) required and (11) 24 identification of which are considered to be discretionary¹⁸⁹; and 25 (12) Written comments and responses to the comments under received pursuant to 190 26 the early consultation provisions of sections 11-200-9(a)(1), 11-200-9(b)(1), or

²⁹ ¹⁸⁷ Focuses on analyzing instead of summarizing impacts. The use of this word should not be understood

11-200-15, and statutorily-prescribed public review periods.

- 30 to mean a lengthy discussion. It means that the impact discussion section should identify an impact and
- 31 provide a detailed discussion sufficient to support a conclusion. Summaries tend to be assertions of 32 impact and the degree of significance without presenting a supporting argument.
- impact and the degree of significance without presenting a supporting argument.
 Housekeeping. Moves the word required from the end of the clause to before the word "permits".
- ³⁴ ¹⁸⁹ Adds identification of approvals that are considered discretionary. This helps to inform why an

27

Commented [s18]: Is this the correct interpretation? It's very hard to keep straight, I'm checking myself.

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

²⁸ ¹⁸⁶ Uses more accurate time consistent with language in the rules....

applicant is undergoing <u>Cehapter 343</u>, HRS<u>review</u>, and when a proposed action has reached "substantial commencement" for the purposes of a supplemental FIS

commencement" for the purposes of a supplemental EIS.
 ¹⁹⁰ Housekeeping.

Version 0.1

PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

[Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-5(c),
 343-6)

§11-200-11 REPEALED.

³ [R AUG 31 1996]

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

§11-200-11.1 Notice of Determination for Draft Environmental Assessments

1	(a)	After: <u>191</u>
2		(1) preparing-Preparing, or causing to be prepared, ¹⁹² an environmental assessment
3		<u>a draft EA, and 193</u>
4		(2) reviewing <u>Reviewing any</u> public and agency comments, if any, and ¹⁹⁴
5		(3) applying <u>Applying</u> the significance criteria in section 11-200-12,
6		if the proposing agency or the approving agency anticipates that the proposed action is
7		not likely to have a significant effect, i t the proposing agency or approving agency¹⁹⁵ shall
8		issue a notice of determination which that ¹⁹⁶ shall be an anticipated negative declaration
9		FONSI, subject to the public review provisions of section 11-200-9.1.
10	<u>(b)</u> ¹⁹⁷	The proposing agency or approving agency shall also f ile such <u>the</u>¹⁹⁸ notice <u>and</u>
11		supporting draft EA ¹⁹⁹ with the office as early as possible after the determination is made
12		pursuant to and in accordance with section 11-200-9 ^{,200} and the requirements in
13		subsection (cd ²⁰¹) along with four copies of the supporting environmental assessment ²⁰² .
14		In addition to the above, the anticipated negative declaration determination for any
15		applicant action shall be mailed to the requesting applicant by the approving agency. For
16		applicant actions, the approving agency shall also send the anticipated FONSI to the
17		applicant. ²⁰³

¹⁹¹ Housekeeping. Breaks out three conditions into 3 items and capitalizes each of the numbered items to make the language clearer.

¹⁹² Aligns the process with Act 172 (2012), Direct-to-EIS, which requires the applicant to prepare

²¹ documents instead of the approving agency.

^{22 &}lt;sup>193</sup> Housekeeping. Specifies draft EA.

^{23 &}lt;sup>194</sup> Housekeeping.

^{24 &}lt;sup>195</sup> Housekeeping.

^{25 &}lt;sup>196</sup> Housekeeping.

¹⁹⁷ Housekeeping. Renumbering of all subsequent paragraphs of this section.

^{27 &}lt;sup>198</sup> Housekeeping.

¹⁹⁹ Simplifies the submittal requirement to one copy of the notice of determination and one copy of the final EA. Electronic documentation can be submitted.

^{30 &}lt;sup>200</sup> Housekeeping.

^{31 &}lt;sup>201</sup> Housekeeping.

^{32 &}lt;sup>202</sup> Simplifies the submittal requirement to one copy of the notice of determination and one copy of the 33 final EA. Electronic documentation can be submitted.

²⁰³ Clarifies that approving agencies have a responsibility to send their determination to the applicant

directly, but not necessarily via postal mail (electronic distribution would also be acceptable).

	Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report						
	Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements						
1 (b c)	The office shall publish notice of availability of the draft environmental assessment <u>EA</u>						
2	-including for the anticipated negative declaration FONSI in the periodic bulletin following	*	Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight				
	the date_		Formatted: Left, Indent: Left: 0.15"				
3 <u>2</u>	_of receipt by the office in accordance with section 11-200-3.	×.	Formatted: Strikethrough				
			Tornatted. Suikethiough				
4 <u>3 (ed</u>)	The notice of determination shall indicate in a concise manner:						
5 <u>4</u>	_(1) Identification of <u>the</u> ²⁰⁴ applicant or proposing agency;						
<u>65</u>	(2) Identification of <u>the approving agency or²⁰⁵ accepting authority;</u>						
7 6	_(3) Brief <u>A brief</u> ²⁰⁶ description of <u>the</u> ²⁰⁷ proposed action;						
8 <u>7</u>	_(4) Determination The FONSI determination ²⁰⁸ ;						
9 8	_(5) Reasons supporting <u>the</u> ²⁰⁹ d <u>FONSI d</u> etermination; and						
10 9	(6) Name The name ²¹⁰ , title, contact information, including the email address,						
11 10	physical ²¹¹ address, and phone number of contact person for further information.						
12<u>11</u> (d<u>e</u>)	When an agency withdraws a <u>document,</u> determination <u>, or both²¹² pursuant to its the</u>						
13 12	<u>agency's²¹³ rules</u> , the agency shall submit to the office a written letter informing the office						
14<u>13</u>	_of <mark>.teit's</mark> the _withdrawal. The office shall publish notice of agency withdrawals in		Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight				
15 14	accordance		Formatted: Not Strikethrough, Not Highlight				
10 14	_with section 11-200-3.		Formatted: Strikethrough				

16 [Eff and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS § 343-5(c), 343-6)

PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES Environmental Council Dermitted Interaction Crown Depart

Formatted: Strikethrough

²⁰⁴ Housekeeping. 17

- 19 EISs and, in the case of applicant EISs, the accepting authority and approving agency are the same.
- ²⁰⁶ Housekeeping. 20
- ²⁰⁷ Housekeeping.
- 21 22 ²⁰⁸ Housekeeping.
- 23 ²⁰⁹ Housekeeping.
- 24 ²¹⁰ Housekeeping.
- 25 ²¹¹ Includes email as a requirement for contact information. Most communication is done by email so 26 providing that is just as important as a phone number or physical mail address.

30 determinations rest with the agency and are made pursuant to that agency's rules, procedures, and

Version 0.1

²⁰⁵ Adds approving agency for the case of applicants because accepting authority only is applicable for 18

²⁷ ²¹² Clarifies that an agency may withdraw a document (i.e., FEA) as well as being able to withdraw a 28 determination (i.e., EISPN or FONSI).

²⁹ ²¹³ Clarifies that the withdrawal is pursuant to the agency's own rules rather than the EC's rules;

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements practices.

31

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

§11-200-11.2 Notice of Determination for Final Environmental Assessments

1	(a)	After. ²¹⁴
2		(1) preparing-Preparing, or causing to be prepared. ²¹⁵ a final environmental
3		assessment <u>EA</u> ,
4		(2) reviewing <u>Reviewing any public</u> and agency comments, if any, and ²¹⁶
5		(3) applying <u>Applying</u> the significance criteria in section 11-200-12,
6		the proposing agency or the approving agency shall issue one of the following notices a
7		notice ²¹⁷ of determination for an EISPN or FONSI ²¹⁸ in accordance with section
8		11-200-9(a) or 11-200-9(b), and file the notice with the office addressing the
9		requirements in subsection (c), along with four copies of the supporting final
10		environmental assessment, 219 provided that in addition to the above, all notices of
11		determination for any applicant action shall be mailed to the requesting applicant by the
12		approving agency: ²²⁰
13	(<u>1</u> <u>b</u> ²²¹)	Environmental impact statement preparation notice EISPN. If the proposing agency or
14		approving agency determines that a proposed action may have a significant effect, it
15		shall issue a notice of determination which that shall be an environmental impact
16		statement preparation notice EISPN and such notice shall be filed as early as possible
17		after the determination is made pursuant to and in accordance with section 11-200-9 ²²² .
18	$(0, \mathbf{z})$	
	(<u>2c</u>)	Negative declaration FONSI. If the proposing agency or approving agency determines
19		that a proposed action is not likely to have a significant effect, it shall issue a notice of
20		determination which that ²²³ shall be a negative declaration FONSI, and the proposing
21		agency or approving agency shall file such notice with the office as early as possible

²² after the determination is made pursuant to and in accordance with section 11-200-9²²⁴.

 ²¹⁴ Housekeeping. Breaks out three conditions into 3 items and capitalizes each of the numbered items to
 make the language clearer.

²⁵ ²¹⁵ Aligns the process with Act 172 (2012), Direct-to-EIS, which requires the applicant to prepare

²⁶ documents instead of the approving agency.

^{27 &}lt;sup>216</sup> Housekeeping.

^{28 &}lt;sup>217</sup> Housekeeping.

²⁹ ²¹⁸ Clarifies which of two determinations is to be issued.

^{30 &}lt;sup>219</sup> Removes unnecessary language on final EA filing requirements

³¹²²⁰ This requirement is now addressed in the new proposed paragraph D.

^{32 &}lt;sup>221</sup> Housekeeping. Renumbering of all subsequent paragraphs of this section.

³³ ²²² Removes this language from the paragraph and adds it as part of the new proposed paragraph D.

^{34 &}lt;sup>223</sup> Housekeeping.

³⁵ ²²⁴ Removes this language from the paragraph and adds it as part of the new proposed paragraph D.

		PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES				
		Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report				
		Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements				
1	<u>(d)</u>	The proposing agency or approving agency shall file the notice and the supporting final				
2	(d)	EA with the office as early as possible after the determination is made in accordance				
3		with section 11-200-9, addressing the requirements in subsection (f). ²²⁵ For applicant				
1		actions, the approving agency shall send the notice of determination for an EISPN or				
5						
5		FONSI to the applicant. ²²⁶				
6	(b e)	The office shall publish the appropriate notice of determination in the periodic bulletin				
7		-following receipt of the documents described in subsection (a) by the office in accordance ◄	Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.15", First line: 0"			
with	L .					
7		_8 section 11-200-3. ▲	Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.15", First line: 0", Numbered			
			+ Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, + Start at: 1 +			
9	(e <u>f</u>)	The notice of determination for a FONSI ²²⁷ shall indicate in a concise manner:	Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.15" + Indent at: 0.5"			
10		 Identification of <u>the</u>²²⁸ applicant or proposing agency; 				
11		 Identification of <u>the approving agency or²²⁹ accepting authority;</u> 				
12		 Brief <u>A brief</u>²³⁰ description of <u>the</u>²³¹ proposed action; 				
13		(4) Determination The determination ²³² ;				
14		(5) Reasons supporting the ²³³ determination; and				
15		(6) Name The name ²³⁴ , title, contact information, including the email address,				
16		physical ²³⁵ address, and phone number of contact person for further information.				
17		The notice of determination for an EISPN shall be prepared pursuant to section				
18		<u>11-200-15.</u> ²³⁶				

20 requirement to one copy of the notice of determination and one copy of the final EA. Electronic

21 documentation can be submitted.

23 directly, but not necessarily via postal mail (electronic distribution would also be acceptable).

²²⁸ Housekeeping. 26

Version 0.1

²²⁵ Consolidates language from above paragraphs to reduce redundancy. Simplifies the submittal 19

²² ²²⁶ Clarifies that approving agencies have a responsibility to send their determination to the applicant

²⁴ ²²⁷ Separates the notice of determination for a FONSI from an EISPN. The EISPN details are now listed in 25 section 11-200-15.

²⁷ ²²⁹ Adds approving agency for the case of applicants because accepting authority only is applicable for

²⁸ EISs and, in the case of applicant EISs, the accepting authority and approving agency are the same. 29

²³⁰ Housekeeping.

³⁰ ²³¹ Housekeeping.

³¹ ²³² Housekeeping. ²³³ Housekeeping. 32

³³ ²³⁴ Housekeeping.

³⁴

²³⁵ Includes email as a requirement for contact information. Most communication is done by email so 35 providing that is just as important as a phone number or physical mail address.

³⁶ ²³⁶ Refers to the EISPN section of the rules for what to include in an EISPN. This addresses direct-to-EIS 37 concerns for the EISPN so that no matter how one arrives at an EIS, the content requirement of the

³⁸ EISPN is identical.

PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

- 1
 (dg)
 When an agency withdraws a document, determination, or both²³⁷ pursuant to its-the

 2
 agency's²³⁸ rules, the agency shall submit to the office a written letter informing the office

 3
 of its withdrawal. The office shall publish notice of agency withdrawals in accordance

 4
 with section 11-200-3.
- ⁵ [Eff and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS § 343-5(c), 343-6)

8 ²³⁸ Clarifies that the withdrawal is pursuant to the agency's own rules rather than the EC's rules;

 ⁶ ²³⁷ Clarifies that an agency may withdraw a document (i.e., FEA) as well as being able to withdraw a
 7 determination (i.e., EISPN or FONSI).

⁹ determinations rest with the agency and are made pursuant to that agency's rules, procedures, and 10 practices.

PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

§11-200-12 **Significance Criteria**

In considering the significance of potential environmental effects, agencies shall consider (a) 2 the sum of effects on the quality of the environment,²³⁹ and shall evaluate the overall and 3 cumulative effects of an action.

4 (b) In determining whether an action may have a significant effect on the environment, the 5 agency shall consider every phase of a proposed action, the expected consequences, 6 both primary and secondary, and the cumulative as well as the short-term and long-term 7 effects of the action. In most instances, an action shall be determined to have a 8 significant effect on the environment if it:

- (1) Involves an irrevocable commitment to loss or destruction of any natural or cultural resource Irrevocably commits a natural resource²⁴⁰;
- (2) Curtails the range of beneficial uses of the environment;
- Conflicts with the state's long-term environmental policies or long-term (3)environmental²⁴¹ goals and guidelines as expressed in Cehapter 344, HRS, or other laws,²⁴² and any revisions thereof and amendments thereto, court decisions. or executive orders:
- (4) Substantially Adversely²⁴³ affects the economic welfare, or social welfare, cultural resources or
- cultural practices²⁴⁴ of the community or State;
 - (5) Substantially affects public health;
- Involves substantial secondary impacts, such as population changes or effects (6) on public facilities;
- (7) Involves a substantial degradation of environmental guality:
- Is individually limited but cumulatively has considerable effect upon the (8) environment or involves a commitment for larger actions;
 - (9) Substantially affects a rare, threatened, or endangered species, or its habitat;
 - (10)Detrimentally affects air or water quality or ambient noise levels;
- 26 Affects or is likely to suffer damage by being located in an environmentally (11)27 sensitive area such as a flood plain, tsunami zone, beach, erosion-prone area, 28 geologically hazardous land, estuary, fresh water, or coastal waters;
- 29 ²³⁹ Housekeeping.

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

34 such as the State Planning Act.

37 such as the State Planning Act.

Version 0.1

July 27, 2017

Commented [s19]: If this is removed, it should be reinserted in (4)

³⁰ ²⁴⁰ Revises language to match the definition of "significance" in Section 343-2, HRS.

³¹ ²⁴¹ Revises language to match the definition of "significance" in Section 343-2, HRS.

³² ²⁴² Revises language to match the definition of "significance" in Section 343-2, HRS. Statutory language is 33 not narrowed to chapter 344, HRS. This language acknowledges other laws with environmental goals

³⁵ ²⁴³ Revises language to match the definition of "significance" in Section 343-2, HRS. Statutory language is 36 not narrowed to chapter 344, HRS. This language acknowledges other laws with environmental goals

³⁸ ²⁴⁴ Revises language to match the definition of "significance" in Section 343-2, HRS. Statutory language

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report <u>Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements</u> ³⁹ was amended by Act 50 (2000) to include cultural practices as part of significance.

Version 0.1

PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

1	(12)	Substantially affects scenic vistas and viewplanes identified in county or state
2		plans or studies; or,
3	(13)	Requires substantial energy consumption.

4 [Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-2, 343-6)

PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

§11-200-13 Consideration of Previous Determinations and Accepted Statements

- (a) Chapter 343, HRS, provides that whenever an agency proposes to implement an action
 or receives a request for approval, the agency may consider and, when applicable and
 appropriate, incorporate by reference, in whole or in part, previous determinations of
 whether a statement an EIS is required, and any previously accepted statements EIS.
- 5(b)Previous determinations and previously accepted statementsEISs may be incorporated6by applicants and agencies whenever the information contained therein is pertinent to7the decision at hand and has logical relevancy and bearing to the action being8considered.
- 9(c)Agencies shall not, without considerable pre-examination and comparison, use past10determinations and previous statement EISs to apply to the action at hand. The action11for which a determination is sought shall be thoroughly reviewed prior to the use of12previous determinations and previously accepted statements EISs. Further, when13previous determinations and previous statements EISs are considered or incorporated14by reference, they shall be substantially similar to and relevant to the action then being15considered.

¹⁶ [Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-5, 343-6)

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

1 Subchapter 7 Preparation of Draft & Final Environmental Impact Statements

§11-200-14 General Provisions

2 Chapter 343, HRS, directs that in both agency and applicant actions where statements EISs are 3 required, the preparing party shall prepare the EIS, submit it for review and comments, and 4 revise it, taking into account all critiques and responses. Consequently, the EIS process 5 involves more than the preparation of a document; it involves the entire process of research, 6 discussion, preparation of a statement, and review. The EIS process shall involve at a minimum: 7 <u>(1)</u>²⁴⁵ identifying Identifying environmental concerns, 8 <u>(2)</u> Conducting no fewer than one EIS public scoping meeting in the area or community that will be impacted by the proposed action, 9 <u>(3)</u> obtaining Obtaining various relevant data, 10 (4) conducting Conducting necessary studies, 11 receiving-Receiving public and agency input, <u>(5)</u> 12 evaluating Evaluating alternatives, and <u>(6)</u> 13 proposing Proposing measures for avoiding, minimizing, rectifying or reducing (7) 14 adverse impacts. 15 An EIS is meaningless without the conscientious application of the EIS process as a whole, and 16 shall not be merely a self-serving recitation of benefits and a rationalization of the proposed 17 action. Agencies shall ensure that statements EISs are prepared at the earliest opportunity in 18. the planning and decision-making process. This shall assure an early and open forum for discussion 19_ of adverse effects and available alternatives, and that the decision-makers will be enlightened-

²¹ [Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-6)

_any environmental consequences of the proposed action prior to decision making²⁴⁷.

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left, Indent: Left: 0.08", Hanging: 0.42", Space Before: 2.05 pt

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

²⁴⁵ Housekeeping. Breaks the paragraph up and helps to see the minimum elements of the EIS process.
 Renumbers paragraphs based on addition of public scoping meeting.

Version 0.1

regarding **to**

2018

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements
 ²⁴⁶ Requires at least one public scoping meeting for an EIS.
 ²⁴⁷ Emphasizes that the EIS process is to occur before committing to a particular course of action.

24 25

Version 0.1

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

§11-200-15 Consultation Prior to Filing a Draft Environmental Impact Statement

1	<u>(a)</u> 248	An EIS	SPN, including one resulting from an agency authorizing the preparation of an EIS	
2		withou ⁻	t first requiring an EA, shall indicate in a concise manner:	
3		<u>(1)</u>	Identification of the proposing agency or applicant;	
4		<u>(2)</u>	Identification of the accepting authority;	
5		<u>(3)</u>	The determination;	
6		<u>(4)</u>	Reasons supporting the determination;	
7		<u>(5)</u>	A description of the proposed action and its location;	
8		<u>(6)</u>	A description of the affected environment and include regional, location, and site	
9			maps;	
10		<u>(7)</u>	Possible alternatives to the proposed action;	
11		<u>(8)</u>	The proposing agency's or applicant's proposed scoping process, including when	
12			and where the EIS public scoping meeting or meetings will be held;	
13		<u>(9)</u>	The name, title, contact information, including the email address, physical	
14			address, and phone number of contact person for further information. ²⁴⁹	
15	(<u>ab</u>)		preparation of a draft EIS, proposing agencies and applicants shall consult all	
16			priate agencies noted in section 11-200-10(10), and other ²⁵⁰ citizen groups, and	
17			rned individuals as noted in sections 11-200-9 and 11-200-9.1. To this end,	
18		-	ies and applicants shall endeavor to develop a fully acceptable $\frac{draft^{251}}{draft}$ EIS prior to	
19			the EIS is filed with the office, through a full and complete consultation process,	
20			all not rely solely upon the review process to expose environmental concerns. At	
21			cretion of the proposing agency or an applicant, a <u>A</u> public scoping meeting to	
22			e comments on the final environmental assessment (for the EIS preparation notice	
23			nination) setting forth <u>addressing</u> the scope of the draft EIS may <u>shall</u> ²⁵² be held	
24			the thirty-day public review and comment period in subsection (bc), provided that	
25		•	pposing agency or applicant shall treat oral and written comments received at such	
26		a meet	ting as indicated in subsection (d) ²⁵³ .	

July 27, 2017

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

²⁷ ²⁴⁸ Creates a new paragraph and renumbers subsequent paragraphs.

 ²⁴⁹ Creates a standard set of content for an EISPN determination no matter the result of an EA or going
 directly to preparing the EIS.

^{30 &}lt;sup>250</sup> Housekeeping.

³¹²⁵¹ Clarifies that the document is a draft EIS.

 ²⁵² Makes the public scoping meeting a requirement and emphasizes that the meeting is about what the
 scope of the draft EIS should be.

^{34 &}lt;sup>253</sup> Shifts the focus to written comments submitted during the EISPN phase and public scoping meeting to

³⁵ add clarity to the comment submitted and removes the preparer's interpretation of oral comments.

		PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements
1 2 3 4 5 6	(<u>əc</u>)	Upon publication of a preparation notice an EISPN in the periodic bulletin, agencies, groups, or individuals shall have a period of thirty days from the initial <u>publication</u> date in which to request to become a consulted party and to make written comments regarding the environmental effects of the proposed action. Upon written request by the consulted party and upon good cause shown, the approving agency or accepting authority may extend the period for comments for a period not to exceed thirty additional days.
7 8 9 10 11 12	(e <u>d</u>)	Upon receipt of the request, the proposing agency or applicant shall provide the consulted party with a copy of the environmental assessment or requested portions thereof and ²⁵⁴ the environmental impact statement preparation notice- <u>EISPN</u> . Additionally, the proposing agency or applicant may provide any other information it deems necessary. The proposing agency or applicant may also contact other agencies, groups, or individuals which it feels may provide pertinent additional information.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	(<u>4e</u>)	Any substantive <u>written</u> ²⁵⁵ comments received by the proposing agency or applicant pursuant to this section shall be responded to in writing and as appropriate, incorporated into the draft EIS by the proposing agency or applicant prior to the filing of the draft EIS with the approving agency or accepting authority. Letters submitted which contain no comments on the project but only serve to acknowledge receipt of the document do not require a written response. Acknowledgement of receipt of these items must be included in the final environmental assessment or ²⁵⁶ final statement draft EIS ²⁵⁷ .

[Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-6) 20

21 22 23 24 25 ²⁵⁴ Removes the requirement to provide a copy because the EISPN is available online to anyone at any ²⁵⁵ Adds written as a requirement for being responded to and reproduced in the draft EIS.
 ²⁵⁶ Removes final EA requirement because a final EA may not have been prepared.
 ²⁵⁷ Replaces final EIS with draft EI, mirroring the previous sentence.

Version 0.1

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

§11-200-16 Content Requirements

- 1 Each, For draft and final EISs, The environmental impact statement the document an
- 2 explanation of the environmental consequences of the proposed action, pursuant to section
- ³ <u>11-200-17</u>²⁵⁹. The contents shall fully declare the environmental implications of the proposed
- 4 action and shall discuss all relevant and feasible reasonably foreseeable²⁶⁰ consequences of the
- ⁵ action. In order that the public can be fully informed and that the agency can make a sound
- 6 decision based upon the full range of responsible opinion on environmental effects, a statement
- 7 an EIS shall include responsible opposing views, if any, on significant environmental issues
- ⁸ raised by the proposal.

⁹ [Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-2, 343-5,

10 343-6)

Formatted: Strikethrough

- Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
- Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
- Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
- **Commented [s20]:** Who gets to decide what is "responsible"? And is there a corollary requirement that the preparer identify and explain why comments were rejected as "irresponsible"? Is OEQC the arbiter of this?

Version 0.1

²⁵⁸ Clarifies that Section 16 applies to both draft and final EISs.

²⁵⁹ Explicitly connects HAR §11-200-16 and §11-200-17.

^{13 &}lt;sup>260</sup> Replaces "relevant and feasible" with "reasonably foreseeable," a phrase in line with NEPA, with more

¹⁴ case history, and federal guidance to provide clarity on the desired standard.

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

§11-200-17 **Content Requirements; Draft Environmental** Impact Statement

1	(a)	The draft EIS, at a minimum, shall contain the information required in this section.
2	(b)	The draft EIS shall contain a summary sheet which that concisely discusses the
3		following:
4		(1) Brief description of the action;
5		(2) Significant beneficial and adverse impacts (including cumulative impacts and
6		secondary impacts);
7		(3) Proposed mitigation measures;
8		(4) Alternatives considered;
9		(5) Unresolved issues; and
10		(6) Compatibility with land use plans and policies, and listing of permits or
11		approvals- <u>: and</u> ²⁶¹
12		(7) A list of relevant documents, including EAs and EISs, used to identify potential
13		segmentation or cumulative impacts. ²⁶²
	<i>(</i>)	
14	(c)	The draft EIS shall contain a table of contents.
15	(d)	The draft EIS shall contain a separate and distinct section that includes a statement of
16	(u)	the ²⁶³ purpose and need for the proposed action.
17	(e)	The draft EIS shall contain a project description which shall include the following
18	. /	information, but need not supply extensive detail beyond that needed for evaluation and
19		review of the environmental impact:

- A detailed map (preferably a United States Geological Survey topographic map, (1) Flood Insurance Rate Maps or Floodway Boundary Maps as applicable) and a related regional map;
 - Statement of objectives Objectives of the proposed action²⁶⁴; (2)

20

21

22

23

²⁴ ²⁶¹ Housekeeping.

²⁵ ²⁶² This list is meant to help readers be aware that the proponent considered other actions that may be

²⁶ relevant from the perspective of segmentation or cumulative impacts and thereby be able to bring other 27 documents to the attention of the proponent or decision maker. The list could be included in references,

²⁸ which is already a content requirement.

²⁹ ²⁶³ "Statement" is a technical word in HRS 343 and HAR 11-200, so removed the word because it is used 30 in a different sense here.

³¹ ²⁶⁴ "Statement" is a technical word in HRS 343 and HAR 11-200, so removed the word because it is used 32 in a different sense here.

PF	RELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES	
-	Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report	
<u> </u>	Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements	
1 (3) General description of the action's technical, economic, social, <u>cultural.²⁶⁵</u> and	
2	environmental characteristics;	
3 (*	 Use of public state or county funds or lands for the action; 	Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
4 (Phasing and timing of <u>the proposed</u> action; 	
•	6) Summary <u>of</u> technical data, diagrams, and other information necessary to permit an	
	6 evaluation of potential environmental impact by commenting agencies and	Formatted: Left, Indent: Left: 1.5", No bullets or
	the	numbering
7 <u>6</u>	public; and	
8 <u>7 (</u>	7) Historic perspective.	Commented [s21]: Unclear what this is, former
		use/history of property?
<u>98_</u> (f) Т	he draft EIS shall describe in a separate and distinct section reasonable ²⁶⁶ alternatives	
<u>109</u> ₩	which that could attain the objectives of the action, regardless of cost, in sufficient detail	
44 <u>10 t</u>	e explain why they were rejected and for alternatives that were eliminated from detailed	
<u>1211 s</u>	tudy, a brief Hy discussion of the reasons for eliminating them ²⁶⁷ . The section shall include	Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
a		
13<u>12</u>	igorous exploration and objective evaluation of the environmental impacts of all such	
14 <u>13</u> a	Iternative actions. Particular attention shall be given to alternatives that might enhance	
<u>1514 е</u>	environmental quality or avoid, reduce, or minimize some or all of the adverse	
	environmental effects, costs, and risks <u>of the proposed action</u> . Examples of alternatives nclude:	
	1) The alternative of no action;	
,	2) Alternatives requiring actions of a significantly different nature which that would	
19 18	provide similar benefits with different environmental impacts;	
20 19 ()	3) Alternatives related to different designs or details of the proposed actions which	
21 20	that would present different environmental impacts:	
22 21 (The alternative of postponing action pending further study; and, 	
•	5) Alternative locations for the proposed action project.	Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
	n each case, the analysis shall be sufficiently detailed to allow the comparative	romatea. Strikethrough, Fighinghe
	evaluation of the environmental benefits, costs, and risks of the proposed action and	
	each reasonable alternative. For any agency actions, the discussion of alternatives shall	
	nclude, where relevant, those alternatives not within the existing authority of the agency.	
28<u>27</u> (g) T	he draft EIS shall include a description of the environmental setting, including a	
	lescription of the environment in the vicinity of the action, as it exists before	
	commencement of the <u>proposed</u> action, from both a local and regional perspective. Special	
<u>з130 е</u>	emphasis shall be placed on environmental resources that are rare or unique to the	
32 31 r	egion and the project site (including natural or human-made resources of historic,	

 3332
 265 Adds cultural to the characteristics, in line with Act 50 (2000).

 3433
 266 Incorporates language from NEPA's 40 CFR 1502.14(a): Rigorously explore and objectively evaluate

 3534
 all reasonable alternatives, and for alternatives which were eliminated from detailed study, briefly discuss

67

Version 0.1

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements 3635_the reasons for their having been eliminated.

³⁷³⁶²⁶⁷ Incorporates language from NEPA's 40 CFR 1502.14(a): Rigorously explore and objectively evaluate ³⁸³⁷all reasonable alternatives, and for alternatives which were eliminated from detailed study, briefly discuss ³⁹³⁸the reasons for their having been eliminated.

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements <u>cultural</u> , ²⁶⁸ archaeological, or aesthetic significance); specific reference to related projects, public and private, existent or planned in the region shall also be included for purposes of examining the possible overall cumulative impacts of such actions. Proposing agencies and applicants shall also identify, where appropriate, population and growth characteristics of the affected area, and any population and growth assumptions used to justify the action, and determine any ²⁶⁹ secondary population and growth impacts resulting from the proposed action and <u>each of the</u> its <u>identified</u> alternatives. In any event, it is essential that the sources of data used to identify, qualify, or evaluate any and all environmental consequences be expressly noted in the draft EIS ²⁷⁰ .	Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
projects, public and private, existent or planned in the region shall also be included for purposes of examining the possible overall cumulative impacts of such actions. Proposing agencies and applicants shall also identify, where appropriate, population and growth characteristics of the affected area, and any population and growth assumptions used to justify the action, and determine any ²⁶⁹ secondary population and growth impacts resulting from the proposed action and <u>each of the</u> jts identified alternatives. In any event, it is essential that the sources of data used to identify, qualify, or evaluate any and all environmental consequences be expressly noted in the draft EIS ²⁷⁰ .	Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
purposes of examining the possible overall cumulative impacts of such actions. Proposing agencies and applicants shall also identify, where appropriate, population and growth characteristics of the affected area, and any population and growth assumptions used to justify the action, and determine any ²⁶⁹ secondary population and growth impacts resulting from the proposed action and <u>each of the</u> jts identified alternatives. In any event, it is essential that the sources of data used to identify, qualify, or evaluate any and all environmental consequences be expressly noted in the draft EIS ²⁷⁰ .	Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
Proposing agencies and applicants shall also identify, where appropriate, population and growth characteristics of the affected area, and any population and growth assumptions used to justify the action, and determine any ²⁶⁹ secondary population and growth impacts resulting from the proposed action and each of the <i>its</i> identified alternatives. In any event, it is essential that the sources of data used to identify, qualify, or evaluate any and all environmental consequences be expressly noted in the draft EIS ²⁷⁰ .	Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
growth characteristics of the affected area, and any population and growth assumptions used to justify the action, and determine any ²⁶⁹ secondary population and growth impacts resulting from the proposed action and <u>each of the</u> its identified alternatives. In any event, it is essential that the sources of data used to identify, qualify, or evaluate any and all environmental consequences be expressly noted in the draft EIS ²⁷⁰ .	Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
used to justify the action, and determine any ²⁶⁹ secondary population and growth impacts resulting from the proposed action and each of the jts identified alternatives. In any event, it is essential that the sources of data used to identify, qualify, or evaluate any and all environmental consequences be expressly noted in the draft EIS ²⁷⁰ .	Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
impacts resulting from the proposed action and <u>each of the</u> <u>its</u> <u>identified</u> alternatives. In any event, it is essential that the sources of data used to identify, qualify, or evaluate any and all environmental consequences be expressly noted <u>in the draft EIS</u> ²⁷⁰ .	Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
any event, it is essential that the sources of data used to identify, qualify, or evaluate any and all environmental consequences be expressly noted in the draft EIS ²⁷⁰ .	Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
environmental consequences be expressly noted in the draft EIS ²⁷⁰ .	
The draft EIS shall include a statement description ²⁷¹ of the relationship of the proposed	
absence of full reconciliation. The draft EIS shall also contain a list of necessary	
approvals, required for the action, from governmental agencies, boards, or commissions	
or other similar groups having jurisdiction. The status of each identified approval shall	
also be described.	
The draft EIS shall include a statement an analysis ²⁷⁵ of the probable impact of the	
on the <u>action</u> . project, which This analysis shall include consideration of all phases of the	Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
consideration of all consequences on the environment;, including direct and indirect	
effects shall be included ²⁷⁶ . The interrelationships and cumulative environmental impacts	
	Formatted: Highlight
should be realized. The draft EIS should recognize ²⁷⁷ that several actions, in particular	Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
dds cultural, in line with Act 50 (2000).	
•	approvals, required for the action, from governmental agencies, boards, or commissions or other similar groups having jurisdiction. The status of each identified approval shall also be described. The draft EIS shall include a statement an analysis ²⁷⁵ of the probable impact of the proposed action on the environment, and impacts of the natural or human environment on the action. project, which This analysis shall include consideration of all phases of the action and consideration of all consequences on the environment; including direct and indirect effects shall be included ²⁷⁶ . The interrelationships and cumulative environmental impacts of the proposed action and other related and existing [?] projects shall be discussed in the draft EIS. Jf should be realized-The draft EIS should recognize ²⁷⁷ that several actions, in particular

^{31 &}lt;sup>270</sup> Housekeeping.

³² ²⁷¹ Removes the word statement, which is a technical word in chapter 343, HRS, that refers to an EIS.

³³ Uses "description" similar to other paragraphs.

³⁴ ²⁷² Includes natural resource plans such as water management plans.

^{35 &}lt;sup>273</sup> Includes natural resource plans such as water management plans.

^{36 &}lt;sup>274</sup> Clarifies that this applies to draft EISs.

³⁷ ²⁷⁵ Removes the word statement, which is a technical word in chapter 343, HRS, that refers to an EIS.

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements Emphasizes that an analysis is important for the impact discussion. ²⁷⁶ Housekeeping.

39

40 ²⁷⁷ Housekeeping.

38

		PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES
		Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report
		Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements
1		those that involve the construction of public facilities or structures (e.g., highways,
2		airports, sewer systems, water resource projects, etc.) may well stimulate or induce
3		secondary effects. These secondary effects may be equally important as, or more
4		important than, primary effects, and shall be thoroughly discussed to fully describe the
5		probable impact of the proposed action on the environment. The population and growth
6		impacts of an action shall be estimated if expected to be significant, and an evaluation
7		shall be ²⁷⁸ made of the effects of any possible change in population patterns or growth
8		upon the resource base <u>(s)</u> , including but not limited to land use, water, and public services,
9		of the area in question. Also, if the proposed action constitutes a direct or indirect source
10		of pollution as determined by any governmental agency, necessary data regarding these
11		impacts ²⁷⁹ shall be incorporated into the EIS. The significance of the impacts shall be
12		discussed in terms of subsections (j), (k), (l), and (m).
13	(j)	The draft EIS shall include in a separate and distinct section a description of the
14		relationship between local short-term uses of humanity's environment and the
15		maintenance and enhancement of long-term productivity. The extent to which the
16		proposed action involves trade-offs among short-term and long-term gains and losses
17		shall be discussed. The discussion shall include the extent to which the proposed action
18		forecloses future options, narrows the range of beneficial uses of the environment, or
19		poses long-term risks to health <u>and/</u> or safety. In this context, short-term and long-term do not
20		necessarily refer to any fixed time periods, but shall be viewed in terms of the
21		environmentally significant consequences of the proposed action.
22	(k)	The draft EIS shall include in a separate and distinct section a description of all
23		irreversible and irretrievable commitments of resources that would be involved in the
24		proposed action should it be implemented. Identification of unavoidable impacts and the
25		extent to which the action makes use of non-renewable resources during the phases of
26		the action, or irreversibly curtails the range of potential uses of the environment, shall
27		also be included. The possibility of environmental accidents resulting from any phase of
28		the action shall also be considered. Agencies shall avoid construing the term "resources"
29		to mean only the labor and materials devoted to an action. "Resources" also means the
30		natural and cultural resources committed to loss or destruction by the action.
31		"Resources" shall be construed to also mean the natural and cultural resources
32		irreversibly and irretrievably committed to the action and not only to the labor and

33 <u>materials committed to the action.</u>²⁸⁰

(I) The draft EIS shall address all probable adverse environmental effects which that cannot
 be avoided. Any adverse effects such as water or air pollution, urban congestion, threats

³⁷²⁷⁹ Clarifies what the data should be about.

Version 0.1

^{36 &}lt;sup>278</sup> Housekeeping.

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements 280 Clarified the language so that everyone, not just agencies, understand the use of the term "resources".

Version 0.1

		PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements	
1		to public health, or other consequences adverse to environmental goals and guidelines	
2		established by environmental response laws, coastal zone management laws, pollution	
3		control and abatement laws, and environmental policy such as that including those ²⁸¹	
4		found in chapters 128D, 205A, 342B, 342C, 342D, 342E, 342F, 342G, 342H, 342I, 342J,	
5		342L, 342N, 342P, and 344, HRS, shall be included, including and 282 those effects	
6		discussed in other actions subsections of this paragraph section ²⁸³ which that are	
7		adverse and unavoidable under the proposed action. Also, the rationale for proceeding	
8		with a proposed action, notwithstanding unavoidable effects, shall be clearly set forth in	
9		this section. The draft EIS shall indicate what other interests and considerations of	
10		governmental policies are thought to offset the adverse environmental effects of the	
11		proposed action. The statement EIS shall also indicate the extent to which these stated	
12		countervailing benefits could be realized by following reasonable alternatives to the	
13		proposed action that would avoid some or all of the adverse environmental effects.	
14	(m)	The draft EIS shall consider mitigation measures proposed to avoid, minimize, rectify, or	
15		reduce impact impacts ²⁸⁴ , including provision for compensation for losses of cultural,	
16		community, historical, archaeological, fish and wildlife resources, including the	
17		acquisition of land, waters, and interests therein. Description of any mitigation measures	
18		included in <mark>the</mark> an action plan to reduce significant, unavoidable, adverse impacts to	Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
19		insignificant levels, and the basis for considering these levels acceptable, shall be	
20		included. Where a particular mitigation measure has been chosen from among several	
21		alternatives, the measures shall be discussed and reasons given for the choice made.	
22		Included_The draft EIS shall include, where possible and appropriate ²⁸⁵ , should be ²⁸⁶	
23		specific reference to the timing of each step proposed to be taken in the <u>any²⁸⁷ mitigation</u>	
24		process, what performance bonds, if any, may be posted, and <mark>what</mark> any other provisions <mark>are</mark>	Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
25		proposed to assure that the mitigation measures will in fact be taken <u>and/or performed</u> .	Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
26	(n)	The draft EIS shall include a separate and distinct section that summarizes unresolved	
27		issues and contains either a discussion of how such issues will be resolved prior to	
28		commencement of the action, or what overriding reasons there are for proceeding	
29		without resolving the <u>issues</u> problems .	Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
30	(o)	The draft EIS shall include a separate and distinct section that contains a list identifying	
31		all governmental agencies, other organizations and private individuals consulted in	
32	281 Hc	busekeeping.	
33		busekeeping.	
34		emoves	
35		busekeeping.	
36	²°° Re	moves redundant language.	

37

 ²⁸⁶ Housekeeping.
 ²⁸⁷ Changes reference to any mitigation measure process that may result from the analysis. 38 Version 0.1 73

		PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report	
		Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements	
1		preparing the statement, and the identity of the persons, firms, or agency preparing the	
2		statement, by contract or other authorization, shall be disclosed.	
3	(p)	The draft EIS shall include a separate and distinct section that contains reproductions of	
4		all substantive written comments and responses made during the consultation process	
5		thirty-day consultation period pursuant to section 11-200-15, responses to those	
6		comments, and a summary of any the EIS public scoping meeting(s).288 A list of those	
7		persons or agencies who were consulted and had no comment shall be included in the	
		draft EIS.	
8	[Eff 1:	2/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-2, 343-5,	

9 343-6)

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
Formatted: Not Strikethrough

 ²⁸⁸ Clarifies that the draft EIS must contain the written comments, responses to them, and a summary of
 the public scoping meeting (or meetings).

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

§11-200-18 **Content Requirements; Final Environmental Impact Statement**

1 The final EIS shall consist of:

2	(1)	The draft EIS prepared in compliance with section 11-200-17, as ²⁸⁹ revised to
3		incorporate substantive ²⁹⁰ comments received during the consultation and review
4		processes;
5	(2)	Reproductions of all letters-written comments received containing substantive
6		questions, comments, or recommendations and, as applicable, summaries of any
7		scoping meetings held during the consultation and review processes ²⁹¹ ;
8	(3)	A list of persons, organizations, and public agencies commenting on the draft
9		EIS;
10	(4)	The responses of the applicant or proposing agency to each substantive
11		question, comment, or recommendation written comments ²⁹² received in the
12		review and consultation processes <u>-; and²⁹³</u>
13	(5)	The text of the final EIS which shall be ²⁹⁴ written in a format which that allows the
14		reader to easily distinguish changes made to the text of the draft EIS.

[Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-2, 343-5, 15 16 343-6)

18 ²⁹⁰ Removes the word for lack of clarity. EIS rules already require a commensurate response to a

²⁸⁹ Connects this section with the previous section content requirements. 17

¹⁹ comment and new language has been added to allow for grouping of identical or similar comments in the 20 way that NEPA allows.

²⁹¹ Áligns language with the EISPN and draft EIS requirements. 21

²² ²⁹² Removes the word for lack of clarity. EIS rules already require a commensurate response to a

²³ comment and new language has been added to allow for grouping of identical or similar comments in the 24 25 way that NEPA allows. ²⁹³ Housekeeping.

²⁶ ²⁹⁴ Housekeeping.

PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

§11-200-19 Environmental Impact Statement Style

1 In developing the <u>draft and final²⁹⁵ EISs</u>, preparers shall make every effort to convey the required

information succinctly in a form easily understood, both by members of the public and by public
 decision-makers, giving paying more attention to the substance of the information conveyed rather than to
 the particular form, or length, or detail²⁹⁶ of the statement EIS. The scope of the statement EIS

may vary with the scope of the proposed action and its <u>anticipated</u> impacts. Data and analyses in an EIS

6 statement shall be commensurate with the importance of the impacts, and less important material

7 may be summarized, consolidated, or simply referenced. Statements <u>EISs</u> shall indicate at

⁸ appropriate points in the text any underlying studies, reports, and other information obtained

⁹ and considered in preparing the statement <u>EIS</u>, including cost benefit analyses and reports

¹⁰ required under other legal authorities. Care shall be taken to concentrate on important issues

and to ensure that the statement EIS remains an essentially self-contained document, capable of

¹² being understood by the reader without the need for undue cross-reference.

13 [Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-6)

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Formatted: Strikethrough

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Formatted: Strikethrough

Version 0.1

^{14 &}lt;sup>295</sup> Clarifies this section applies to draft and final EISs.

^{15 &}lt;sup>296</sup> Removes detail because detail is already discussed as being commensurate with the potential for

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements impact.

16

§11-200-20 Filing of an Environmental Impact Statement

1	(a)	The proposing agency or applicant shall file the original (signed) ²⁹⁷ draft EIS with the
2		accepting authority , along with a minimum number of copies determined by the
3		accepting authority ²⁹⁸ . Simultaneously, a minimum number of four copies of ²⁹⁹ the draft
4		EIS shall be filed with the office.

- (b) The proposing agency or applicant shall file the original (signed) final EIS with the
 accepting authority, along with a minimum number of copies determined by the
 accepting authority³⁰⁰. Simultaneously, four copies of³⁰¹ the final EIS shall be filed with
 the office.
- 9 (c) An EIS may be filed at any time at the office by the proposing agency or applicant in
 10 accordance with section 11-200-3.³⁰²
- 11(dc303)The proposing agency or applicant shall sign and date the original copy of the draft or12final EIS and shall indicate that the statement-EIS and all ancillary documents were13prepared under the signatory's direction or supervision and that the information14submitted, to the best of the signatory's knowledge fully addresses document content15requirements as set forth in sections 11-200-17 and 11-200-18, as appropriate.
- ¹⁶ [Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-3, 343-6)

^{17 &}lt;sup>297</sup> Removes "original, signed" as it does not make sense for digital documents.

 ²⁹⁸ Removes minimum number of copies requirement as it does not make sense for digital documents.
 ²⁹⁹ OEQC only needs one copy, not four.

^{20 &}lt;sup>300</sup> Removes minimum number of copies requirement as it does not make sense for digital documents.

²¹ ³⁰¹ OEQC only needs one copy, not four.

³⁰² Removes the paragraph because the language is unnecessary.

 $^{^{303}}$ Renumbers the paragraph.

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

§11-200-21 Distribution

1 The office shall be responsible for the publication of the notice of availability of the EIS in its

2 bulletin. The office shall develop a distribution list of reviewers (i.e., persons and agencies with

3 jurisdiction or expertise in certain areas relevant to various actions) and a list of public

4 depositories, which shall include public libraries, where copies of the statements shall be

available, and to the extent possible, the ... The 304 proposing agency or applicant shall make 5

6 copies of the EIS available to individuals requesting the EIS. The office's distribution list may be

7 developed cooperatively among the applicant or proposing agency, the accepting authority, and 8

the office; provided that³⁰⁵ the office shall be responsible for determining the final list. The

9 applicant or proposing agency shall directly distribute the required copies to those on the

10 distribution list after the office has verified to the applicant or proposing agency the accuracy of 11 the distribution list. For final statements, the agency or applicant shall give the commentor an

12 option of requesting a copy of the final EIS or portions thereof.306

13 [Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-3, 343-5, 14 343-6)

¹⁵ ³⁰⁴ Removes outdated depositories requirement as all documents and determinations are available online

¹⁶ to anyone.

¹⁷ ³⁰⁵ Housekeeping.

¹⁸ ³⁰⁶ Removes outdated requirement to provide the commenter with an option to request the document or a

¹⁹ portion of it as all documents and determinations are available online to anyone.

§11-200-22 Public Review of Environmental Impact Statements and Addenda to Draft Environmental Impact Statements Public Review and Response Requirements for Draft EISs and Addenda³⁰⁷

1 (a) Public review shall not substitute for early and open discussion with interested persons 2 and agencies, 308 concerning the environmental impacts of a proposed action. Review of 3 the draft³⁰⁹ EIS shall serve to provide the public and other agencies an opportunity to 4 discover the extent to which a proposing agency or applicant has examined 5 environmental concerns and available alternatives. 6 (b) The period for public review and for submitting written comments shall commence as of 7 from on the date that³¹⁰ notice of availability of the draft EIS is initially issued in the Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight periodic 8 bulletin and shall continue for a period of forty-five days. Written comments to the 9 approving agency or accepting authority, whichever is applicable, with a copy of the 10 comments to the applicant or proposing agency, shall be received or postmarked to the 11 approving agency or accepting authority, within said the 311 forty-five-day comment 312 12 period. Any comments outside of the forty-five day comment period need not be_ Formatted: Left, Indent: Left: 0.08", Hanging: 0.92" responded to nor <u>1312</u> .considered <mark>or responded to</mark>. Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight The proposing agency or applicant shall respond in writing³¹³ to the comments received <u>1413 (c)</u> 1514 or postmarked during the forty-five-day review period and incorporate the comments and 1615 responses in the final EIS. The response to comments shall include: 1716 Point-by-point discussion of the validity, significance, and relevance of (1) 1817 comments; and 1918 (2) Discussion as to how each comment was evaluated and considered in planning 2019 the proposed action preparing the final EIS³¹⁴. 2120 The response shall endeavor to resolve conflicts, inconsistencies, or concerns. 2221 Response letters reproduced in the text of the final EIS shall indicate verbatim changes 2322 that have been made to the text of the draft EIS. The response shall describe the 2423_307 Rephrases title so that it is clearer that the whole section is about draft EISs.

2524 ³⁰⁸ Housekeeping.

2726 310 Housekeeping.

2827_³¹¹ Housekeeping.

2928 312 Clarifies that the forty-five days is for the comment period.

3029 313 Removes phrase because the response must be in the final EIS, which is written.

Version 0.1

80

^{2625 309} Clarifies that the document is a draft EIS.

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report <u>Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements</u> ³⁴30_314</sup> Focus on how the comment is addressed in the final EIS rather than just action.

		Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report
		Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements
1		disposition of significant environmental issues raised (e.g., revisions to the proposed
2		p <mark>reject</mark> action to mitigate anticipated impacts or objections, etc.). In particular, <mark>the</mark> any issues raised
3		when the applicant's or proposing agency's position is at variance with recommendations
4		and objections raised in the comments shall be addressed in detail, giving reasons why
5		specific comments and suggestions were not accepted, and factors of overriding
6		importance warranting an override of the suggestions. If a number of comments are
7		identical or very similar, the proposing agency or applicant may group the comments and
8		prepare a single standard response for each group. The comments must be attached to
9		the final EIS regardless of whether the agency or applicant believes they merit individual
10		discussion in the body of the final EIS. ³¹⁵
11	(d)	An addendum document³¹⁶ to a draft environmental impact statement <u>EIS</u> shall
12		reference the original draft environmental impact statement EIS to which ³¹⁷ it attaches to
13		³¹⁸ and comply with all applicable filing, public review, and comment requirements set

- forth in subchapter 7, beginning with section 11-200-14³¹⁹. 14
- 15 [Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-5, 343-6)

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Version 0.1

³¹⁵ Because the responses are included in the final EIS, it is not necessary to send an individual response 16

¹⁷ letter to each person who comments. The requirement to send a response to every individual person commenting can be burdensome without a benefit that cannot be satisfied by notifying the person via 18

publication of the final EA. This language is drawn from the CEQ 40 questions, #29a and aligns with 19

²⁰ NEPA practice, which allows grouping of identical or similar comments and providing one response that

²¹ 22 covers the issues raised in the identical or similar comments. Because individual responses would no

longer be sent, the requirement for OEQC to receive a copy of the response is no longer relevant.

²³ ³¹⁶ Removes the word document as it is unnecessary.

³¹⁷ Housekeeping. 24

³¹⁸ Housekeeping. 25

³¹⁹ Clarifies that an addendum must begin with the general provisions and consultation prior to filing a 26 27 draft EIS (i.e., and EISPN).

§11-200-23 Acceptability

4

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

Acceptability of a statement a final EIS³²⁰ shall be evaluated on the basis of whether the 1 (a) 2 statement final EIS³²¹, in its completed form, represents an informational instrument 3 which fulfills the definition of an EIS intent and provisions of Cchapter 343, HRS, 322 and adequately discloses and describes all identifiable environmental impacts and 5 satisfactorily responds to review comments.

- (b) A statement final EIS³²³ shall be deemed to be an acceptable document by the accepting 6 7 authority or approving agency only if all of the following criteria are satisfied:
 - (1)The procedures for assessment, consultation process, review, and the preparation and submission of the statement EIS, from proposal of the action to publication of the final EIS,³²⁴ have all been completed satisfactorily as specified in this chapter;
 - (2) The content requirements described in this chapter have been satisfied; and
 - (3) Comments submitted during the review process have received responses satisfactory to the accepting authority, or approving agency, and have been appropriately 325 incorporated in into the statement final EIS 326, and comments and responses have been appended to the final EIS³²⁷.
- 17 (c) For actions proposed by agencies, the proposing agency may request the office to make 18 a recommendation regarding the acceptability or non-acceptability of the EIS. In all 19 cases involving state funds or lands, the governor or an the governor's 328 authorized 20 representative shall have final authority to accept the EIS. In cases involving only county 21 funds or lands, the mayor of the respective county or an the mayor's ³²⁹ authorized 22 representative shall have final authority to accept the EIS. The accepting authority shall 23 take prompt measures to determine the acceptability or non-acceptability of the 24 proposing agency's statement. In the event that a proposed the action involves both state and

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

- 25 ³²⁰ Clarifies that the document is a final EIS.
- 26 ³²¹ Clarifies that the document is a final EIS.
- 27 ³²² Clarifies that the EIS must meet all applicable elements of environmental review.
- 28 323 Clarifies that the document is a final EIS.
- 29 ³²⁴ Clarifies that the criterion applies to the process from when a proposing agency or applicant initiates
- 30 environmental review. This captures the direct-to-EIS and the EA-to-EIS pathways.
- 31 ³²⁵ Recognizes that not all comments are incorporated into an EIS.
- 32 ³²⁶ Clarifies that the document is a final EIS.
- 33 ³²⁷ Distinguishes comments responded to and resulted in changes to the final EIS and ensuring
- 34 comments and responses are appended to the document. 35 ³²⁸ Housekeeping.

Version 0.1

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements ³²⁹ Housekeeping.

Version 0.1

36

PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES
Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report
Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements
county lands or, funds, or both state and county lands and funds. ³³⁰ the governor or a

- 1 an the governor's331 2
- authorized representative shall have final authority to accept the EIS.
- (d)³³² 3 Upon acceptance or non-acceptance of the EIS, a notice shall be filed by the appropriate 4 accepting authority with both the proposing agency and the office. For any non-accepted 5 EIS, the notice shall contain specific findings and reasons for non-acceptance. The office 6 shall publish notice of the determination of acceptance or non-acceptance in the periodic 7 bulletin in accordance with section 11-200-3. Acceptance of a required statement shall 8 be a condition precedent to the use of state or county lands or funds in implementing the 9 proposed action.
- 10 For actions proposed by applicants requiring approval from an agency, the applicant or (<u>de</u>) accepting authority, which is the approving agency, 333 may request the office to make a 11 12 recommendation regarding the acceptability or non-acceptability of the statement EIS. If 13 the office decides to make a recommendation, it shall submit the recommendation to the 14 applicant and the approving agency within the thirty-day period requiring an approving 15 agency to determine the acceptability of the final EIS and as³³⁴ described in section 16 343-5(c), HRS. Upon acceptance or non-acceptance by the approving agency, the 17 agency shall notify the applicant of its determination, and provide specific findings and 18 reasons. The agency shall also provide a copy of this determination to the office for 19 publication of a notice³³⁵ in the periodic bulletin. Acceptance of the required EIS shall be 20 a condition precedent to approval of the request and commencement of the proposed 21 action. An approving agency shall take prompt measures to determine the acceptability 22 or non-acceptability of the applicant's statement. The agency shall notify the applicant 23 and the office of the acceptance or non-acceptance of the final EIS within thirty days of the final EIS submission to the agency 336, 337 provided that the thirty-day period may, at 24 25 the request of the applicant, be extended at the request of the applicant³³⁸ for a period 26 not to exceed fifteen days. Any such The request shall be made to the accepting authority in writing. Upon receipt of an applicant's written³³⁹ request for an extension of the thirty-day 27
- 28 acceptance period, the accepting authority shall notify the office and applicant in writing

31 331 Housekeeping.

July 27, 2017

Commented [s22]: This is made redundant by the sentence that follows it.

²⁹ ³³⁰ Clarifies cases where a proposed action has mixed state and county lands or funds or both lands and 30 funds

³³² Breaks the paragraph up to enhance readability. Subsequent paragraphs renumbered. 32

³³ ³³³ Clarifies that in the case of applicant EISs, the approving agency is the accepting authority.

³⁴ 334 Housekeeping. 35

³³⁵ Housekeeping.

³⁶ ³³⁶ Clarifies that the thirty days counts from the date the agency receives the final EIS from the applicant;

³⁷ not when the office publishes the final EIS in the periodic bulletin. 38 337 Housekeeping.

Version 0.1

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

39 Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements
 338 Housekeeping.

⁴⁰ ³³⁹ Connects to the previous sentence at the request shall be made in writing.

Version 0.1

	PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report
	Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements
	of its decision to grant or deny the request. The notice shall be accompanied by a copy of the applicant's request. An extension of the thirty-day acceptance period shall not be allowed granted merely for the convenience of the accepting authority. In the event that the
	agency fails to make a determination of acceptance or non-acceptance, <u>for</u> of the <u>statement</u> EIS within thirty days of the receipt of the final EIS, then the <u>EIS</u> statement shall be deemed accepted.
(e <u>f</u>)	A non-accepted EIS may be revised by a proposing agency or applicant. The revision shall take the form of a revised draft EIS document ³⁴⁰ which shall fully address the inadequacies of the non-accepted EIS and shall completely and thoroughly discuss the changes made. The requirements for filing, distribution, publication of availability for review, acceptance or non-acceptance, and notification and publication of acceptability shall be the same as the requirements prescribed by sections 11-200-20, 11-200-21,
	11-200-22, and 11-200-23 for an EIS submitted for acceptance. In addition, the revised draft EIS and the subsequent revised final EIS ³⁴¹ shall be evaluated for acceptability on

(fg) A proposing agency or applicant may withdraw an EIS by <u>simultaneously³⁴²</u> sending a
 letter-written <u>notification³⁴³</u> to the office <u>and to the accepting authority³⁴⁴</u> informing the
 office of the <u>proposing³⁴⁵</u> agency's or applicant's withdrawal. Subsequent resubmittal of
 the EIS shall meet all requirements for filling, distribution, publication, review,

the basis of whether it satisfactorily addresses the findings and reasons for

21 acceptance, and notification as a new-draft³⁴⁶ EIS.

non-acceptance.

22 [Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-5, 343-6)

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

- Formatted: Strikethrough
- Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
- Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Formatted: Not Strikethrough

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Commented [s23]: This is so unfair, the applicant gets a 15-day extension for any reason at all, so long as submitted in writing, while the agency's functioning appears of no account, and automatic approval ensues. I hate this phrase no matter where it appears. There should at least be a "for good cause shown" provision for agencies.

Commented [s24]: Is the second layer of review limited to this? whether they cured the first round of faults?

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13 14

15

16

²⁸ ³⁴⁵ Clarifies that the agency withdrawing the proposal is the proposing agency.

Version 0.1

^{23 &}lt;sup>340</sup> Housekeeping.

²⁴ ³⁴¹ Added revised final EIS as the next step following a revised draft EIS.

²⁵ ³⁴² Requires the office and accepting authority to be notified of the withdrawal at the same time.

²⁶ ³⁴³ Removes the requirement for a letter and simply requires written notification, such as by email.

^{27 &}lt;sup>344</sup> Includes the accepting authority (i.e., approving agency, governor, or mayor, or delegated authority).

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report <u>Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements</u> ³⁴⁶ Replaces "new" with "draft" to clarify at which stage the withdrawn EIS resumes.

Version 0.1

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

Subchapter 8 Appeals 1

§11-200-24 Appeals to the Council

- An applicant, within sixty days after <u>a³⁴⁷</u> non-acceptance <u>determination by the approving agency</u> 2
- 3 under section 11-200-23³⁴⁸ of a statement a final EIS³⁴⁹ by an agency³⁵⁰, may, to choose to³⁵¹
- appeal the non-acceptance to the council, which within thirty sixty³⁵² days of receipt of the 4
- 5 appeal, shall notify the applicant of its determination to affirm the approving agency's
- 6 non-acceptance or to reverse it³⁵³. The council chairperson shall include the appeal on the
- 7 agenda of the council meeting immediately following the chairperson's receipt of the appeal.
- 8 The council shall be deemed to have received the appeal on the date of the meeting for which
- 9 the appeal is agendized.³⁵⁴ In any affirmation or reversal of an appealed non-acceptance, the
- 10 council shall provide the applicant and the agency with specific findings and reasons for its
- 11 determination. The agency shall abide by the council's decision. Pursuing an appeal by to the council
- 12 does not abrogate an applicant's option under section 343-7(c), HRS, to bring judicial action.355
- 13 [Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-5, 343-6)

Formatted: Strikethrough

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

- 347 Housekeeping. 14
- ³⁴⁸ Clarifies the agency issuing the non-acceptance and ties it to the acceptability criteria in section 23 15 ³⁴⁹ Clarifies that the document is a final EIS. 16
- ³⁵⁰ Clarifies the agency issuing the non-acceptance and ties it to the acceptability criteria in section 23 17
- 18 ³⁵¹ "Choose to appeal" emphasizes that this appeal pathway is optional, not mandatory.
- ³⁵² Because the Council regularly meets monthly, obtaining quorum and executing all responsibilities 19
- 20 under HAR Chapter 11-201 is extremely difficult to accomplish within 30 days.
- 21 ³⁵³ Clarifies the Council's determination.

- 23 ³⁵⁵ Clarifies that applicants may still pursue judicial remedies by directly going to court at any time, even 24
- while appealing in front of the council. This provision is in case the council is unable to obtain quorum 25 after an applicant appeals to the council.

Version 0.1

²² ³⁵⁴ Connects receipt of the notice to appeal with the timing of the next EC meeting.

PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

1 Subchapter 9 National Environmental Policy Act

§11-200-25 National Environmental Policy Act Actions: Applicability to Chapter 343, HRS

When the situation occurs where³⁵⁶ a certain action will be subject both the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (Public Law 91-190, as amended by Public Law 94-52 and Public Law 94-83; 42 U.S.C. §4321-4347) and Cehapter 343, HRS, the following shall occur: The applicant or agency, upon discovery of its proposed action being subject to (1)both Cehapter 343, HRS, and the National Environmental Policy Act-NEPA³⁵⁷, shall notify the responsible federal agency, the office, and any agency with a definite interest in the action (as prescribed by Cehapter 343, HRS) of the situation. 358 <u>(2)</u> Where a federal agency determines that the proposed action is exempt from review under the NEPA, the determination does not automatically constitute an exemption for the purposes of this chapter. In such cases, state and county agencies remain responsible for compliance with this chapter. However, the federal exemption may be considered in the any state or county agency determination.359 Where a federal agency issues a FONSI and concludes that a statement is not (3) required under the NEPA, the determination does not automatically constitute compliance with this chapter. In such cases, state and county agencies remain responsible for compliance with this chapter. However, the federal FONSI may be considered in the any state or county agency determination.360 The National Environmental Policy Act NEPA³⁶¹ requires that draft³⁶² statements (24)EISs³⁶³ be prepared by the responsible federal agency. In the case of actions for

23 ³⁵⁶ Housekeeping.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 24 ³⁵⁷ Housekeeping.
- 25 ³⁵⁸ Housekeeping

26 ³⁵⁹ States that federal categorical exemptions do not automatically result in HEPA exemptions. State and 27 county agencies must still make a determination that the action is exempt, requires an EA, or may

which an EIS pursuant to the NEPA has been prepared by the responsible

28 proceed directly to preparing an EIS.

²⁹ ³⁶⁰ Clarifies that a federal agency may issue a FONSI for its purposes, but a state or county agency may

30 still require an EA or EIS for its purposes, or issue an exemption based on the federal FONSI so long as

31 the state or county agency has considered HEPA-specific content requirements, either through the federal

32 FONSI or through its own judgment and experience.

33 ³⁶¹ Housekeeping.

³⁶² Language is applicable to draft and final.

Version 0.1

July 27, 2017

Commented [s25]: You seem to uniformly avoid using "statement" in favor of EA or EIS...so not clear what this refers to.

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements ³⁶³ Housekeeping.

Version 0.1

35

Potent	tial Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements	
	federal agency, the draft and final federal statements may be submitted to comply	 Commented [s26]: Same comment
	with this chapter. ³⁶⁴ so long as the federal EIS satisfies the EIS content	
	requirements of this chapter and is not found to be inadequate under the NEPA	
	by a court; by the council on environmental quality (CEQ) (or is at issue in	 Commented [s27]: Is this a different "council"?
	pre-decision referral to CEQ) under the NEPA regulations; or by the administrator	 Formatted: Highlight
	of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") under section 309	
	of the Clean Air Act, 41 U.S.C. 1857. ³⁶⁵ The responsible federal agency's supplemental	
	EIS requirements shall apply in these [?] cases in place of this chapter's	
	supplemental	 Formatted: Highlight
	EIS requirements. ³⁶⁶	
<u>(5)</u> ³⁶⁷	When the responsibility of preparing an EIS is delegated to a state or county	
	agency, this chapter shall apply in addition to federal requirements under the	
	National Environmental Policy Act- <u>NEPA³⁶⁸</u> . The office and state or county	
	agencies shall cooperate with federal agencies to the fullest extent possible to	
	reduce duplication between federal and state requirements. This cooperation, to	
	the fullest extent possible, shall include joint environmental impact statements-	
	EISs with concurrent public review and processing at both levels of government.	
	Where federal law has environmental impact statement <u>EIS</u> requirements in	
	addition to but not in conflict with this chapter, the office and agencies shall	 Commented [s28]: And if there is a conflict, what
	cooperate in fulfilling the requirements so that one document shall comply with all applicable laws.	happens?
(3 <u>6</u>)	In all actions where the use of state land or funds is proposed, the final statement	
	EIS shall be submitted to the governor or an authorized representative. In all	
	actions when the use of county land or funds is proposed, the final statement <u>EIS</u>	
	shall be submitted to the mayor, or an authorized representative. The final	
	statement <u>EIS</u> in these instances shall first be accepted by the governor or mayor	
	(or an authorized representative), prior to the submission of the same to the	
	Environmental Protection Agency or ³⁶⁹ responsible federal agency.	
sed on M	 lassachusetts' language that federally-prepared EISs are sufficient for the purposes of	
	The goal is to allow a federal EIS to meet this chapter's requirements provided it addresses	
-	content requirements. In this case, state and county agencies can provide the information	
federal r	preparer for inclusion in its document rather than the state or county agency preparing a	
	ent. se from Washington WAC to ensure that the federally-prepared statement meets federal	
nd docum		
nd docum Ids a clau		
nd docum ds a clau ards for q		
nd docum lds a clau lards for q arifies tha	quality.	
nd docum ds a clau ards for q arifies tha le to feder parated t	quality. It in the case of joint documents, the preparation of any supplemental documentation would ral requirements and that HEPA supplemental requirements would not apply. he existing language into two paragraphs; one about when a federal agency prepares the	
nd docum lds a clau lards for q arifies tha le to feder eparated t	quality. It in the case of joint documents, the preparation of any supplemental documentation would ral requirements and that HEPA supplemental requirements would not apply. he existing language into two paragraphs; one about when a federal agency prepares the bout when a federal agency delegates the responsibility to a state or county agency.	

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

40 Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200. Environmental Impact Statements 369 Clarifies that it is the responsible federal agency issuing the acceptance to reduce confusion about the

⁴¹ role of the Environmental Protection Agency in these circumstances.

Version 0.1

1 2 3 (4<u>7</u>) Any acceptance obtained pursuant to paragraphs (1) to (3) this section³⁷⁰ shall satisfy <u>Cehapter 343</u>, HRS, and no other statement <u>EIS</u> for the proposed action shall be required.

⁴ [Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-5, 343-6)

5 6

³⁷⁰ Changes language to "this section" instead of the enumerated paragraphs because existing paragraphs have been rearranged and additional paragraphs have been added.

1 Proposed New Subchapter X Programmatic EISs

of the proposal.

Proposed §11-200-XX Programmatic Environmental Impact Statements³⁷¹

2 Proposing agencies may prepare a PEIS on the by adopting on of a comprehensive plan <u>(a)</u> 3 prepared in accordance with relevant laws. Impacts of individual actions proposed to be 4 carried out in conformance with these adopted plans and regulations and the thresholds 5 or conditions identified in the PEIS may require no or limited further review. 6 Approving agencies may allow applicants to prepare a PEIS on the by adopting on of a (b) 7 comprehensive plan prepared in accordance with relevant laws. Impacts of individual 8 actions proposed to be carried out in conformance with these adopted plans and 9 regulations and the thresholds or conditions identified in the PEIS may require no or 10 limited further review. 11 (c) Upon acceptance of a final programmatic PEIS: 12 (1) If a PEIS evaluates project-level issues such as precise project footprints or 13 specific design details, no further compliance with this chapter is required if a 14 subsequent proposed action will be carried out in conformance with the 15 conditions and thresholds established for such actions in the PEIS. 16 (2)Further Cchapter 343, HRS, environmental review must be prepared if a 17 subsequent proposed action was not addressed in the PEIS or the subsequent 18 proposed action exceeds the thresholds evaluated in the PEIS, and the 19 subsequent action may have a significant impact on the environmental. Further 20. review may be in the form of an EIS, EA, or exemption request, for specific components

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
Formatted: Strikethrough

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
Formatted: Strikethrough

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Formatted: Left

³⁷¹ Provides directions on when environmental review covers a program type of action. Focus is on EISs
 and when analysis is sufficient versus further, project-level review is warranted.

Version 0.1

2120

		PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements Dosed §11-200-XX Content Requirements: Draft	
		grammatic Environmental Impact Statement ³⁷²	
1		-	
2	<u>(a)</u>	<u>The content requirements for a PEIS shall be the same as those for an EIS set forth in</u> subchapter 7, with the understanding that the level of detail in a PEIS may be less than	
3		that of a project-specific EIS. The level of detail in a PEIS must be sufficient to allow informed	
4		choice among planning-level alternatives and to develop broad mitigation strategies. A	
5		PEIS should examine the interaction among proposed projects or plan elements, and	
6		assess the cumulative effects. Like a project-level EIS, a PEIS must also include	Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
7		examination of alternatives.	Formatted: Strikethrough
8	<u>(b)</u> evalua	The PEIS may be broader and more general than a project-specific EIS and omit	
9	oraidi	project-level issues that are not yet ready for decision at the planning level, or it may	
10		evaluate project-level issues such as precise project footprints or specific design details.	
11	<u>(c)</u>	A PEIS should discuss the logic and rationale for the choices advanced. It may also	
12		include an assessment of specific impacts if such details are available and specific	
13		mitigation measures. It may be based on conceptual information in some cases. It may	Commented [s29]: Incomplete sentence
14		discuss in general terms the constraints and sequences of events likely to result in a <u>py</u> narrowing of future	Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
15		options. It may present and analyze in general terms hypothetical scenarios that are	
16		likely to occur.	

Version 0.1

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements ³⁷² Adds direction on content for a programmatic EIS. Acknowledges that a programmatic EIS may not have the same level of detail as a project-specific EIS.

18

17

Version 0.1

1 Subchapter 10 Supplemental Statements

§11-200-26 Supplemental EIS³⁷³ General Provisions

2	(a)	A sta	tement <u>An EIS</u> that is accepted with respect to a particular action is usually					
3		quali	fied by the size, scope, location, intensity, use, and timing of the action, among					
4			things. A statement An EIS that is accepted with respect to a particular action shall					
5			iv the requirements of this chapter and no other supplemental statement-EIS for that					
6			bsed action shall be required, to the extent that the action has not changed					
7			tantively in size, scope, intensity, use, location or timing, among other things. If					
8			is any change in any of these characteristics which may have a significant effect,					
9			riginal statement that was changed shall no longer be valid because an essentially					
10			ent action would be under consideration and a supplemental statement shall be					
11			ared and reviewed as provided by this chapter. unless:					
		prop	and the revewed to provide by the entplot. diffess.					
12		<u>(1)</u>	The project has changed substantively in the following characteristics: size,					
13			scope, use, location or timing, among other things, which may have a significant					
14			effect: or ³⁷⁴					
15		<u>(2)</u>	New information indicating significant effects, which was not known and could not					
16			have been known at the time the EIS was accepted as complete, becomes					
17			available. ³⁷⁵					
18	(b)	In the	e case of newly discovered information, the decision to require preparation of a					
19	<u></u>		lemental EIS must be based on the following criteria:					
20		(1)	The information can be from any source.					
21		(2)	The information must be newly discovered. It cannot be information that could					
22		<u> </u>	have been included in comments filed in the original draft EIS or final EIS.	Commented [s30]: "comments" or "analysis"?				
23		(3)	The information must be important, indicating probably significant environmental					
24		<u></u>	impacts.	Commented [s31]: "the likelihood of"?				
25		<u>(4)</u>	The information must not have been addressed in the prior EIS, or must have					
26		<i>₩</i>	been inadequately addressed. ³⁷⁶					
27	(c)	As lo	ng as there is no change in a proposed action <u>or new information indicating</u>					
	signif		ng do dhoro io no shango in a proposod dolon <u>or now mornalion indiodang</u>					
28		effec	ts resulting in individual or cumulative impacts not originally disclosed, the					
29			ment <u>EIS</u> associated with that action shall be deemed to comply with this chapter.					
30	373 CI	⁷³ Clarifies in the title that this is about supplemental EISs (to distinguish from regular EISs and						
31		ammatic						
32		⁴ Reproduces the language from the definition and above paragraph, pairing it with item 2.						
33			ange in knowledge as a potential reason to require a supplemental EIS.					
34			fications to what can be considered new knowledge so that not any change in knowledge					

could be used as a reason to require a supplemental EIS.

1 [Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-5, 343-6)

Version 0.1

§11-200-27 <u>Supplemental EIS</u>³⁷⁷ Determination of Applicability

The accepting authority or approving agency in coordination with the original accepting authority

- shall be responsible for determining whether a supplemental statement <u>EIS</u> is required. <u>If a</u>
 period of five years has elapsed since the acceptance of the final EIS, and the proposed action
- project or project or
- ⁴ program has not substantially commenced, the accepting authority or approving agency shall
- 5 formally re-evaluate the need for a supplemental statement EIS and make a determination of
- 6 whether a supplemental statement EIS is required. A written summary of this evaluation and the³⁷⁸
- 7 This determination will be submitted to the office for publication in the periodic bulletin.
- 8 Proposing agencies or applicants shall prepare for public review supplemental statements <u>EISs</u>
- ⁹ whenever the proposed action for which an <u>statement EIS</u> was accepted has been modified to
- 10 the extent that new or different environmental impacts are anticipated. A supplemental
- 11 statement EIS shall be warranted when the scope of an action has been substantially increased,
- ¹² when the intensity of environmental impacts will be increased, when the mitigating measures
- 13 originally planned are will not to be fully implemented, or where new circumstances or evidence have
- ¹⁴ brought to light different or likely increased environmental impacts not previously dealt with.
- ¹⁵ [Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-5, 343-6)

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

```
Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
```

```
Formatted: Strikethrough
```

17 programmatic EISs).

³⁷⁸ Sets a default five-year period for agencies to take a look at whether a supplemental EIS may or may not be required, but also puts a boundary on when that period is no longer relevant but setting substantial

^{16 &}lt;sup>377</sup> Clarifies in the title that this is about supplemental EISs (to distinguish from regular EISs and

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements commencement as a point where supplemental EISs may no longer be required. A definition for substantial commencement is proposed in section 11-200-2.

20 21

Version 0.1

July 27, 2017

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

§11-200-28 Supplemental EIS³⁷⁹ Contents

1 The contents of the supplemental statement <u>EIS</u> shall be the same as required by this chapter

² for the EIS and may incorporate by reference unchanged material from the same; however, in

³ addition, it shall fully document the proposed changes from the original EIS, including changes

⁴ in ambient conditions or available information that have a bearing on a proposed action or its

⁵ impacts, the positive and negative aspects of these changes, and shall comply with the content

⁶ requirements of section 11-200-16 as they relate to the changes.

7 [Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-6)

 ³⁷⁹ Clarifies in the title that this is about supplemental EISs (to distinguish from regular EISs and
 programmatic EISs).

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

§11-200-29 Supplemental EIS³⁸⁰ Procedures

- ¹ The requirements of the thirty-day consultation, filing <u>of</u> public notice, distribution, the forty-fiveday
- ² public review, comments and response, and acceptance procedures, shall be the same for the
- ³ supplemental statement <u>EIS</u> as is prescribed by this chapter for an EIS.
- 4 [Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-6)

 ⁵ ³⁸⁰ Clarifies in the title that this is about supplemental EISs (to distinguish from regular EISs and
 ⁶ programmatic EISs).

PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

1 Subchapter 11 Severability

§11-200-30 Severability

² If any provision of this chapter or the application thereof to any person or circumstance is held

- invalid, the invalidity shall not affect other provisions or applications of this chapter which can be
 given effect without the invalid provision or application; and to this end, the provisions of this
- ⁵ chapter are declared to be severable.
- 6 [Eff 12/6/85; comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-6, 343-8)

Note

- 7 Historical Note: Chapter 11-200, HAR, is based substantially on the Environmental Impact
- 8 Statement Regulations of the Environmental Quality Commission. [Eff 6/2/75; R 12/6/85]
- 9 Amendments to and compilation of chapter 200, title 11, Hawaii Administrative Rules, and the
- ¹⁰ repeal of section 11-200-11, Hawaii Administrative Rules were adopted on March 27, 1996
- ¹¹ following public hearings held on November 14, 1995, November 16, 1995, November 17, 1995,
- ¹² November 20, 1995 and November 21, 1995 after public notice was given in the Honolulu
- 13 Advertiser, Honolulu Star-Bulletin, Maui News, The Garden Island, West Hawaii Today, Hawaii
- ¹⁴ Tribune-Herald and Molokai Dispatch on October 12, 1995.

Amendment in 2007 to section 11-200-8 to include an exemption class for affordable housing. It has not been compiled.

July 27, 2017

Aloha Council Members,

I'm writing to comment on your Permitted Interaction Group's proposed amendments to HAR chap. 11-200. Apologies for the last minute testimony.

These were my concerns upon a brief reading:

(1) removal of the inter-agency consultation requirement for exemptions. HAR 11-200-8. Particularly with land uses, it is important that DLNR or ADC be required to examine, for instance, health impacts of agricultural practices.

2) removal of "cultural resources" from significant impacts listed in 11-200-12(b)(1). The rationale is that the amendment coincides with the definition of significant effect under 11-200-2, but "significant effect" under 11-200-2 also includes adverse impacts on the cultural practices of the community and state. Addition of "cultural practices" in line with economic and social welfare may also under-emphasize the continuity between cultural and natural resources in actual protected practices.

3) removal of documentation requirement from for exemptions that have OEQC concurrence and on exemption lists, HAR 11-200-8(e). The documentation requirement is often the only way the public can learn about the agency rationale for its exemption determinations.

4) request to clarify potential tension between HAR 11-200-8(a)(1), which provides for permits etc. for uses not beyond "previously existing" use, and HAR 11-200-8(b), which says exemption does not apply where the "cumulative impact of planned successive actions in the same place, over time, is significant[.]" This seems to be how some revocable permits get reissued for significant actions, despite having cumulative impacts.

Mahalo for the work you're doing. Yrs, Bianca

Bianca Isaki, Ph.D., Esq. mobile 808.

NOTICE: This information and attachments are intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged and/or confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited and may be punishable under state and federal law. If you have received this communication and/or attachments in error, please notify the sender via email immediately and destroy all electronic and paper copies of the original message.

Report of the Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group

Preliminary Draft of Proposed Revisions to Hawai'i Administrative Rules Title 11 Department of Health Chapter 200 Environmental Impact Statement Rules

Prepared for the July 27, 2017 Environmental Council Meeting

Prepared by the Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group established on February 23, 2016, with the support of the Office of Environmental Quality Control. The Permitted Interaction Group members are: Scott Glenn, Onaona Thoene, Ron Terry, and Mahina Tuteur. Deputy Attorney General Diane Agor advised the Permitted Interaction Group.

Background

The current Hawai'i Administrative Rules (HAR) Title 11 Department of Health (DOH) Chapter 200 Environmental Impact Statements ("HAR Chapter 11-200") were promulgated and compiled in 1996. An amendment to add an exemption class for the acquisition of land for affordable housing was added in 2007, although it has not been compiled with the rest of the rules.

In 2011, the public formally petitioned the Environmental Council (Council) to update HAR Chapter 11-200. The Council initiated consultation with state and county agencies for recommendations on issues to address and language revisions. In 2012, the Council released a preliminary draft of revisions to HAR Chapter 11-200 (referred to as "Version 1") that incorporated proposed revisions from previous Council efforts and issues raised by agencies and the public. The Council also distributed an Excel file called a "comment matrix" to receive feedback on Version 1. Agencies and the public (including applicants, consultants, and nonprofit organizations) submitted comments via the comment matrix. The Council organized the feedback into a master comment matrix and tasked the Rules Committee with addressing the feedback and making revisions to the language. The Rules Committee met regularly over the course of 2012-2014 to revise Version 1. However, due to various administrative challenges, including maintaining quorum, the Council was not able to complete its work.

In February 2016, following Governor Ige's appointment of seven members to the Council, the Council addressed its challenges and resumed moving forward on revisions to HAR Chapter 11-200. As part of this effort, the Council wanted to recognize the extensive outreach and drafting that the 2012 Council conducted.

At the February 23, 2016 Council meeting, the Council established a Permitted Interaction Group (PIG) to draft revisions to HAR Chapter 11-200. The PIG was to investigate and consider specific language for inclusion in HAR Chapter 11□200, which would not be for the purpose of

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

decision making, but to be brought to the Rules Committee for its consideration and decision making to make recommendations to the EC.

Permitted Interaction Group Principles

The PIG drafted language within the principles established by the Council:

- Be consistent with the intent and language of Hawai'i Revised Statutes Chapter 343.
- Align statute, case law, and practice wherever feasible.
- Increase clarity for the process and requirements.
- Use the National Environmental Policy Act for language and guidance where applicable.

Permitted Interaction Group Process

Following the Council's establishment of the PIG, the PIG set a monthly or biweekly meeting schedule to review the previous Council work. The PIG reviewed the 2012 draft rules language, public comments in the comment matrix the 2012 Rules Committee produced, and responses to the public comments that the Rules Committee developed over 2012-2014. The PIG categorized the comment matrix into two groups: 1) comments resolved and direction provided and 2) outstanding comments still needing policy direction or draft language. For the former group, the PIG integrated the language the resolved language into a draft it called Version 1.1. For the second group, the PIG developed language in consultation with the Rules Committee and the Office of Environmental Quality Control (OEQC). Further, the PIG developed language in response to requests from the Rules Committee and OEQC for issues that arose since 2012. At the July 11, 2017 meeting, the Council agreed that the PIG could present its report directly to the Council at its next meeting.

Permitted Interaction Group Recommendations

This report synthesizes Version 1.1 with additional revisions the PIG made to address unresolved comments from the 2012 Council, direction the Rules Committee gave on conflicting comments or recent issues, and current topics the OEQC raised.

The following revisions are the recommendations of the PIG to the Council as a baseline starting point for discussion going forward. Among the themes addressed are:

- "Housekeeping" revisions that modernize grammar and clarify language.
- Clarifying roles and responsibilities at various stages of environmental review.
- Modernizing submittals and deadlines to recognize electronic communication.
- Setting clearer thresholds for exemptions and the role of exemption lists.
- Clarifying when and how to proceed to directly preparing an EIS instead of an EA.
- Clarifying when and how to do programmatic EISs and supplemental EISs.
- Responding to comments in EAs and EISs.
- Conducting joint federal-state environmental review.

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

HAR Chapter 11-200 Environmental Impact Statement Rules	5
§11-200-1 Purpose	5
§11-200-2 Definitions and Terminology	6
§11-200-3 Periodic Bulletin	13
§11-200-4 Identification of Approving Agency and Accepting Authority	15
§11-200-5 Agency Actions	17
§11-200-6 Applicant Actions	19
§11-200-7 Multiple or Phased Applicant or Agency Actions	21
§11-200-8 Exempt Classes of Action Exemption Notices	22
§11-200-9 Assessment of Agency Actions and Applicant Actions	27
§11-200-9.1 Public Review & Response Requirements for Draft Environmental Assessments for Anticipated Negative Declaration <u>Finding of No Significant Impact</u> Determinations & Addenda to Draft Environmental Assessments	32
§11-200-10 Contents of an Environmental Assessment	35
§11-200-11 REPEALED.	36
§11-200-11.1 Notice of Determination for Draft Environmental Assessments	37
§11-200-11.2 Notice of Determination for Final Environmental Assessments	39
§11-200-12 Significance Criteria	42
§11-200-13 Consideration of Previous Determinations and Accepted Statements	44
§11-200-14 General Provisions	45
§11-200-15 Consultation Prior to Filing a Draft Environmental Impact Statement	46
§11-200-16 Content Requirements	48
§11-200-17 Content Requirements; Draft Environmental Impact Statement	49
§11-200-18 Content Requirements; Final Environmental Impact Statement	55
§11-200-19 Environmental Impact Statement Style	56
§11-200-20 Filing of an Environmental Impact Statement	57
§11-200-21 Distribution	58
§11-200-22 Public Review of Environmental Impact Statements and Addenda to Draft Environmental Impact Statements Public Review and Response Requirements for Draft	
EISs and Addenda	59
§11-200-23 Acceptability	61
§11-200-24 Appeals to the Council	64
§11-200-25 National Environmental Policy Act Actions: Applicability to Chapter 343, HRS	
Proposed §11-200-XX Programmatic Environmental Impact Statements	68
Proposed §11-200-XX Content Requirements; Draft Programmatic Environmental Impact	60
Statement	69 70
§11-200-26 <u>Supplemental EIS</u> General Provisions	70 71
§11-200-27 <u>Supplemental EIS</u> Determination of Applicability	
§11-200-28 <u>Supplemental EIS</u> Contents §11-200-29 Supplemental EIS Procedures	72 73
311-200-29 Supplemental EIS Procedures	13

4

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

§11-200-30 Severability Note

74 74

HAR Chapter 11-200 Environmental Impact Statement Rules

Subchapter 1 Purpose

§11-200-1 Purpose

Chapter 343, <u>Hawaii Revised Statutes</u>, (HRS)¹, establishes a system of environmental review at the state and county levels which <u>that</u>² shall ensure that environmental concerns are given appropriate consideration in decision making along with economic and technical considerations. The purpose of this chapter is to provide agencies and persons with procedures, specifications of contents of environmental assessments and environmental impact statements, and criteria and definitions of statewide application.

[Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-1, 343-6)

¹ Housekeeping.

² Housekeeping. This is a global edit throughout the document. Any instance of this edit is for housekeeping purposes, unless otherwise noted.

Subchapter 2 Definitions and Terminology

§11-200-2 Definitions and Terminology

As used in this chapter:

"Acceptance" means a formal determination of acceptability³ that the document required to be filed pursuant to chapter 343, HRS, fulfills the definitions and requirements of an environmental impact statement (<u>EIS</u>),⁴ adequately describes identifiable environmental impacts, and satisfactorily responds to comments received during the review of the statement as prescribed by section 11-200-23.⁵ Acceptance does not mean that the action is environmentally sound or unsound, but only that the document complies with chapter 343, HRS, and this chapter. A determination of acceptance is required prior to implementing or approving the action.

"Accepting authority" means the final⁶ official <u>who⁷</u> or agency that determines the acceptability of the EIS document <u>makes the determination that a final EIS required to be filed pursuant to</u> <u>chapter 343, HRS, fulfills the definitions and requirements of an EIS⁸.</u>

"Action" means any program or project to be initiated by an agency or applicant.

"Addendum" means an attachment to a draft environmental assessment or draft environmental impact statement <u>EIS</u>⁹, prepared at the discretion of the proposing agency or approving agency, and distinct from a supplemental statement, for the purpose of disclosing and addressing clerical errors such as inadvertent omissions, corrections, or clarifications to information already contained in the draft environmental assessment <u>EIA</u>¹⁰ or the draft environmental impact statement <u>EIS</u> already filed with the office.

"Agency" means any department, office, board, or commission of the state or county government which that is part of the executive branch of that government.

"Applicant" means any person who, pursuant to statute, ordinance, or rule, officially requests approval from an agency for a proposed action.

³ Housekeeping.

⁴ Housekeeping.

⁵ Removes redundant language containing a subset of the requirements for an EIS to reduce uncertainty that other EIS sections may not apply because they are omitted in the definition.

⁶ Removes "final" because it does not contribute additional meaning to the definition.

⁷ Housekeeping.

⁸ Clarifies that the accepting authority role is about the acceptability about a final EIS.

⁹ Housekeeping. This is a global edit throughout the document. Any instance of this edit is for housekeeping purposes, unless otherwise noted.

¹⁰ Housekeeping. This is a global edit throughout the document. Any instance of this edit is for housekeeping purposes, unless otherwise noted.

"Approval" means a discretionary consent required from an agency prior to actual¹¹ implementation of an action. Discretionary consent means a consent, sanction, or recommendation from an agency for which judgment and free will may be exercised by the issuing agency, as distinguished from a ministerial consent. Ministerial consent means a consent, sanction, or recommendation from an agency upon a given set of facts, as prescribed by law or rule without the use of judgment or discretion.¹²

"Approving agency" means an agency that issues an approval prior to actual¹³ implementation of an <u>applicant¹⁴</u> action, <u>determines the need for an EA or EIS</u>, and issues the exemption, <u>FONSI</u>, or acceptance determination.¹⁵ The approving agency may be an accepting authority for an applicant final EIS.¹⁶

"Concurrence" means the discretionary consent of the council to an agency exemption list.¹⁷

"Council" or "EC" means the environmental council.

"Cumulative impact" means the impact on the environment which that results from the incremental impact of the action when added to other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions regardless of what agency or person undertakes such other actions. Cumulative impacts can result from individually minor but collectively significant actions taking place over a period of time.

Discretionary consent means a consent, sanction, or recommendation from an agency for which judgment and free will may be exercised by the issuing agency, as distinguished from a ministerial consent. Ministerial consent means a consent, sanction, or recommendation from an agency upon a given set of facts, as prescribed by law or rule without the use of judgment or discretion.¹⁸

¹¹ Does not add meaning to sentence so removing the word.

¹² Removed "discretionary consent" from the definition and made it a standalone definition that mirrors the statute.

¹³ Does not add meaning to sentence so removing the word.

¹⁴ Approving agencies are only in the case of applicants.

¹⁵ The approving agency makes the decision about level of review and if the applicant has satisfied HRS Chapter 343.

¹⁶ In the case of applicants, the approving agency is also the accepting authority. This adds clarification to the definition.

¹⁷ Adds a definition for the council's concurrence of agency exemption lists. Concurrence is discretionary because it is up to the council to be satisfied with the agency exemption list. The discretionary consent is not an approval because it does not apply to a specific project.

¹⁸ Definition removed from "approval" and made standalone. Mirrors HRS § 343-2 language and expands on ministerial definition (which is existing language in HAR § 11-200-2).

"Draft environmental assessment" means the environmental assessment <u>EA</u> submitted by a proposing agency or an approving agency for public review and comment when that agency anticipates a negative declaration finding of no significant impact (FONSI)¹⁹ determination.

"Effects" or "impacts" as used in this chapter are synonymous. Effects may include ecological effects (such as the effects on natural resources and on the components, structures, and functioning of affected ecosystems), aesthetic effects, historic effects, cultural effects, economic effects, social effects, or health effects, whether primary, secondary, or cumulative, immediate or delayed²⁰. Effects may also include those effects resulting from actions which that may have both beneficial and detrimental effects, even if on balance the agency believes that the effect will be beneficial.

"EIS public scoping meeting" means a meeting open to the public held by the proposing agency or applicant, or their representative, within the thirty-day public consultation period described in section 11-200-15, inviting the participation of those agencies, citizen groups, and individuals reasonably believed to be potentially affected by the proposed action (including those who might not be in accord with the proposed action), to assist the preparing party in determining the range of actions, alternatives, impacts, and proposed mitigation measures to be considered in the draft EIS and the significant issues to be analyzed in depth in the draft EIS. Suggestions made at the EIS public scoping meeting are considered to be advisory and not mandatory.

"Emergency action" means an action to prevent or mitigate loss or damage to life, health, property, or essential public services in response to a sudden unexpected occurrence demanding such immediate action. a project or program that normally would be subject to chapter 343, HRS, but is not because of a state of emergency declared by the governor.²¹

"Environment" means humanity's surroundings, inclusive of all the physical, economic, cultural, and social conditions that exist within the area affected by a proposed action, including land, human and animal communities, air, water, minerals, flora, fauna, ambient noise, and objects of historic, <u>cultural</u>,²² or aesthetic significance.

"Environmental assessment" <u>or "EA"</u>²³ means a written evaluation-to determine whether an action may have a significant environmental effect. that serves to provide sufficient evidence and analysis to determine whether an action may have a significant environmental effect.²⁴ It, together with a FONSI, satisfies chapter 343, HRS, when no EIS is necessary, and facilitates

¹⁹ Housekeeping. This is a global edit throughout the document. Any instance of this edit is for housekeeping purposes, unless otherwise noted.

²⁰ Incorporates the language from the definition of "environmental impact" which is proposed for deletion.
²¹ Redefines an emergency action to be an action undertaken during a particular emergency proclamation issued by the governor.

²² Adds cultural to the definition of environment to make the definition in line with Act 50 (2000).

²³ Adds common abbreviation for use throughout the rules.

²⁴ Adds to the statutory definition to emphasize that an EA needs to provide sufficient evidence to make a significance determination rather than merely an assertion or lengthy analysis.

preparation of an EIS when one is necessary and the proposing agency, based on its judgment and experience, has not previously determined that it would proceed directly with the preparation of an EISPN, or the agency, based on its judgment and experience, has not previously authorized the applicant to choose to proceed directly with the preparation of an EISPN.²⁵

"Environmental impact" means an effect of any kind, whether immediate or delayed, on any component of the environment.²⁶

"Environmental impact statement," "statement," or "EIS" means an informational document prepared in compliance with chapter 343, HRS, and this chapter and which fully complies with subchapter 7 of this chapter²⁷. The initial statement <u>EIS</u>²⁸ filed for public review shall be referred to as the draft environmental impact statement <u>EIS</u> and shall be distinguished from the final environmental impact statement <u>EIS</u>, which is the document that has incorporated the public's comments and the responses to those comments. The final environmental impact statement <u>EIS</u> is the document that shall be evaluated for acceptability by the respective²⁹ accepting authority.

"Exempt classes of action" means exceptions from the requirements of chapter 343, HRS, to prepare environmental assessments, for a class of actions, based on a determination by the proposing agency or approving agency that the class of actions will probably have a minimal or no significant effect on the environment.³⁰

"Exemption notice" means a brief notice kept on file by the proposing agency, in the case of a public action, or the agency with the power of approval, in the case of a private action, when it has determined that the proposed project is an exempt or emergency project.

"Final environmental assessment" means either the environmental assessment <u>EA</u> submitted by a proposing agency or an approving agency following the public review and comment period for the draft environmental assessment <u>EA</u> and in support of either a <u>FONSI</u> or a preparation notice <u>an EISPN³¹</u> determination; or the environmental assessment submitted by a proposing agency or an approving agency subject to a public consultation period when such an agency clearly determines at the outset that the proposed action may have a significant effect and hence will require the preparation of a statement.

³¹ Housekeeping. This is a global edit throughout the document. Any instance of this edit is for housekeeping purposes, unless otherwise noted.

²⁵ Incorporates direct-to-EIS pathway into definition of an EA.

²⁶ Deletes because the definition is unnecessary. Combining the definitions of "effect" and "environment" provides more clarity than this definition.
²⁷ Redundant because if it complies with chapter 343, HRS, then it necessarily complies with this chapter.

 ²⁷ Redundant because if it complies with chapter 343, HRS, then it necessarily complies with this chapter.
 ²⁸ Housekeeping. This is a global edit throughout the document. Any instance of this edit is for

housekeeping purposes, unless otherwise noted.

²⁹ Unnecessary language so recommend removing.

³⁰ Removes the definition because the concept of "classes of actions" is removed in section 8.

<u>"Finding of no significant impact" or "FONSI" means a determination by an agency based on an EA that an action not otherwise exempt does not have the potential for a significant effect on the environment and therefore does not require the preparation of an EIS. A FONSI is required prior to implementing or approving the action.³²</u>

"Issue date" means the date imprinted on the periodic bulletin required by section 343-3, HRS.

"National Environmental Policy Act" <u>or "NEPA"</u>³³ means the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, Public Law 91-190, 42 U.S.C. §4321-4347, as amended.

"Negative declaration" or "finding of no significant impact" means a determination by an agency based on an environmental assessment that a given action not otherwise exempt does not have a significant effect on the environment and therefore does not require the preparation of an EIS. A negative declaration is required prior to implementing or approving the action.³⁴

"Office" means the office of environmental quality control.

"Periodic bulletin" means the document required by section 343-3, HRS, and published by the office.

"Person" includes any individual, partnership, firm, association, trust, estate, private corporation, or other legal entity other than an agency.

"Power generating facility" means:

- 1. A new, fossil-fueled, electricity-generating facility, where the electrical output rating of the new equipment exceeds 5.0 megawatts; or
- 2. An expansion in generating capacity of an existing, fossil-fueled, electricitygenerating facility, where the incremental electrical output rating of the new equipment exceeds 5.0 megawatts.³⁵

"Preparation notice," or "EIS preparation notice,"³⁶ or "EISPN"³⁷ means a determination based on an environmental assessment that the subject that an³⁸ action may have a significant effect on the environment and, therefore, will require the preparation of an environmental impact statement EIS, based on either an EA or an agency's judgment and experience that the

³² Moves the language for the deleted "Negative declaration" into alphabetical order under "FONSI".

³³ Adds common abbreviation for use throughout the rules.

³⁴ Moves the language for the deleted "Negative declaration" into alphabetical order under "FONSI".

³⁵ Adds definition from HRS § 343-2.

³⁶ Housekeeping.

³⁷ Adds common abbreviation for use throughout the rules.

³⁸ Moves the EA language to the end of the paragraph and combines it with the new direct-to-EIS language.

proposed action may have a significant effect on the environment and therefore authorizes the preparation of an EIS without first requiring an EA.³⁹

"Primary impact," or "primary effect," or "direct impact,"⁴⁰ or "direct effect" means effects which that are caused by the action and occur at the same time and place.

A "programmatic EIS" or "PEIS" is an EIS that assesses the environmental impacts of: (1) a number of separate actions in a given geographic area which, if considered singly, may have minor impacts, but if considered together may have significant impacts; (2) a sequence of actions contemplated by a single agency or applicant; (3) separate actions having generic or common impacts; (4) an entire program or plan having wide application or restricting the range of future alternative policies or projects, including new or significant changes to existing land use plans, development plans, zoning regulations, or agency comprehensive resource management plans; (5) implementation of a single project or multiple projects over a long timeframe; or (6) implementation of a single project or program over a large geographic area.⁴¹

<u>"Proposing agency" means any state or county agency that proposes an action under chapter</u> <u>343, HRS.</u>⁴²

"Secondary impact<u>," or "secondary effect," or "indirect impact,"⁴³ or "indirect effect" means</u>

effects which that are caused by the action and are later in time or farther removed in distance, but are still reasonably foreseeable. Indirect effects may include growth inducing effects and other effects related to induced changes in the pattern of land use, population density or growth rate, and related effects on air, and water,⁴⁴ and other natural systems, including ecosystems.

"Significant effect" or "significant impact" means the sum of effects on the quality of the environment, including actions that irrevocably commit a natural resource, curtail the range of beneficial uses of the environment, are contrary to the state's <u>State's</u>⁴⁵ environmental policies or long-term environmental goals and guidelines as established by law, or⁴⁶ adversely affect the economic or social welfare, <u>cultural practices of the community and State</u>,⁴⁷ or are otherwise set forth in section 11-200-12 of this chapter⁴⁸.

Commented [RT1]: I read this sentence as "the action.....therefore authorizes..." that needs fixin'

Commented [RT2]: As these all mean the same thing.....I think it would be better if we said effects....or if we say "means an effect that is..."

Commented [RT3]: I hate this definition, but it is in the statute. It does not make syntactical sense. Is there some way we could at least do that? Start it like this: Significant effects or significant impacts irrevocably commit...., curtail...., etc. Stick "the sum of effects in there somewhere.

³⁹ Adds the direct-to-EIS pathway to the definition of an EISPN.

⁴⁰ Housekeeping.

⁴¹ Adds a definition to go along with new sections on how to do environmental review for an action this is a "program". Most environmental review focuses on projects. By providing language on a programmatic look, the rules give direction on how to address projects or programs at risk of being viewed as segmented and acknowledges the tension between earliest practicable time with project specificity. ⁴² Added definition because the term is used frequently throughout the rules.

⁴³ Housekeeping.

⁴⁴ Housekeeping.

⁴⁵ Housekeeping.

⁴⁶ Housekeeping.

⁴⁷ Updates language to match Act 50 (2000) on cultural practices.

⁴⁸ Housekeeping.

"Substantial commencement" means that a project or program has reached the stage where its last approval has been granted, or, for government programs for which an approval is not required, the project or program has advanced to the point where financial commitments are in place and scheduled and design is essentially complete.49

"Supplemental statement EIS" means an additional environmental impact statement updated EIS⁵⁰ prepared for an action for which a statement an EIS was previously accepted, but which has yet to progress to substantial commencement and since acceptance the action, circumstances, or anticipated impacts have⁵¹ changed substantively in size, scope, intensity, use, location, or timing, among other things.

"Wastewater treatment unit" means any plant or facility used in the treatment of wastewater.52

[Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-2, 343-6)

⁴⁹ Definition is proposed to help clarify when an action has progressed sufficiently to no longer require examination for supplemental environmental review. This language draws on other statutes.

⁵⁰ Housekeeping.

⁵¹ Incorporates substantial commencement into the definition and emphasizes that changes can apply to the proposed action, the environment, or knowledge (ties to supplemental sections).

⁵² Adds definition from HRS § 343-2.

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

Subchapter 3 Periodic Bulletin

§11-200-3 Periodic Bulletin

- (a) The office shall inform the public through the publication of a periodic bulletin of the following:
 - Notices filed by agencies of the availability of environmental assessments <u>EAs</u> and appropriate addendum documents for review and comments;
 - (2) Notices filed by agencies of determinations that statements <u>EISs</u> are required or not required;
 - (3) The availability of statements <u>EISs</u>, supplemental statements <u>EISs</u> and appropriate addendum documents for review and comments;
 - (4) The acceptance or non-acceptance of statements EISs; and
 - (5) Other notices required by the rules of the council.
- (b) The bulletin shall be made available to any person upon request. Copies of the bulletin shall also be sent to the state library system and other depositories or clearinghouses.⁵³
- (eb⁵⁴) The bulletin shall be issued on the eighth and twenty-third days of each month. All agencies and applicants submitting draft environmental assessments <u>EAs</u>, negative declarations <u>FONSIs</u>, preparation notices <u>EISPNs</u>⁵⁵, environmental impact statements <u>EISs</u>, acceptance or nonacceptance determinations, addenda, supplemental statements <u>EISs</u>, supplemental preparation notices <u>EISPNs</u>, revised documents, withdrawals, and other notices required to be published in the bulletin shall submit such documents or notices to the office before the close of business eight four⁵⁶ working business⁵⁷ days prior to the issue date. In case the deadline falls on a state holiday or nonworking nonbusiness⁵⁸ day, the deadline shall be the next working business⁵⁹ day.
- (dc) All submittals to the office for publication in the bulletin shall be accompanied by a completed informational form which that provides whatever information the office needs to properly notify the public. The information requested may include the following: the title of the action; the islands affected by the proposed action; tax map key numbers; street addresses; nearest geographical landmarks; latitudinal and longitudinal

⁵³ This rule is no longer required as the periodic bulletin is available to everyone electronically and no paper copies are produced by the office.

⁵⁴ Housekeeping. Renumbers paragraphs.

⁵⁵ Housekeeping. This is a global edit throughout the document. Any instance of this edit is for

housekeeping purposes, unless otherwise noted.

⁵⁶ OEQC does not need eight business days anymore to prepare the periodic bulletin.

⁵⁷ Housekeeping.

⁵⁸ Housekeeping.

⁵⁹ Housekeeping.

PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

coordinates <u>or other geographic data⁶⁰</u>; <u>applicable permits, including discretionary</u> <u>approvals requiring preparation of the document under chapter 343, HRS</u>;⁶¹ whether the proposed action is an agency or an applicant action; a citation of the applicable federal or state statutes requiring preparation of the document; the type of document prepared; the names, addresses and contact persons as applicable of the accepting authority, the proposing agency, the approving agency, the applicant, and the consultant; and a brief narrative summary of the proposed action which <u>that</u> provides sufficient detail to convey the full impact of the proposed action to the public.

- (ed) The office may provide recommendations to the agency responsible for the environmental assessment <u>EA</u> or EIS regarding any applicable administrative content requirements set forth in this chapter.
- (fe) The office may, on a space available basis, publish other notices not specifically related to chapter 343, HRS.

[Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §341-3, 343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §341-3, 343-3, 343-6)

⁶⁰ Clarifies that OEQC may ask for geographic data such as that included in a standard GIS shapefile file. The existing rules already allows for this but this language is to make it clearer.

⁶¹ Clarifies that the agency is required to identify the specific discretionary approval that requires an applicant to go through environmental review.

Subchapter 4 Responsibilities

Identification of Approving Agency and⁶² §11-200-4 **Accepting Authority**

- Whenever an agency proposes an action, the final⁶³ authority to accept a statement an (a) EIS shall rest with:
 - The governor, or an the governor's⁶⁴ authorized representative, whenever an (1)action proposes the use of state lands or the use of 65 state funds or, 66 whenever a state agency proposes an action within under67 section 11-200-6(b); or
 - (2) The mayor, or an the mayor's 68 authorized representative, of the respective county whenever an action proposes only the use of county lands or county funds.

In the event that an action involves state and county lands, funds, or both lands and funds, the governor or the governor's authorized representative shall have authority to accept the EIS.69

(b) Whenever an applicant proposes an action, the authority for requiring an EA or⁷⁰ statements EIS, and for making a determination regarding any required EA, and⁷¹ accepting any required statements EIS that have been prepared shall rest with the approving⁷² agency initially receiving and agreeing that initially received and agreed⁷³ to process the request for an approval. With respect to EISs, the approving agency is also called the accepting authority.74

⁶² Expand the content of this section to also identify the agency with responsibility in cases of EAs.

⁶³ Removes the word final because it does not add to the meaning of the sentence.

⁶⁴ Housekeeping.

⁶⁵ Housekeeping.

⁶⁶ Housekeeping.

⁶⁷ Housekeeping.

⁶⁸ Housekeeping.

⁶⁹ Clarifies cases where a proposed action has mixed state and county lands or funds or both lands and funds. This language is modified from the original language in section 11-200-23.

⁷⁰ Adds EAs to the identification of which agency has responsibility. Note that this change also means that the OEQC is explicitly empowered to determine the agency in situations involving EAs, whereas existing language is that the OEQC is explicitly empowered for situations involving EISs and implicitly for situations involving EAs. ⁷¹ Adds EAs to the identification of which agency has responsibility. Language is phrase so that the

agency can make a FONSI or EISPN determination.

⁷² Housekeeping. Clarifies the agency is called the approving agency.

⁷³ Housekeeping.

⁷⁴ Clarifies that approving agency is the accepting authority for applicants.

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report <u>Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements</u>

- (c)⁷⁵ In the event that there is more than one agency that <u>is proposing the action or, in the</u> <u>case of applicants</u>,⁷⁶ has jurisdiction over the action, and these agencies are unable to agree as to which agency has the responsibility for complying with section 343-5(c) <u>chapter 343</u>⁷⁷, HRS, the office, after consultation with the agencies involved, shall determine which agency is responsible. In making the determination, the office shall take into consideration, including, but not limited to, the following factors <u>consider</u>⁷⁸:
 - The agency with the greatest responsibility for supervising or approving the action as a whole;
 - (2) The agency that can most adequately fulfill the requirements of chapter 343, HRS, and this chapter;
 - (3) The agency that has special expertise or <u>greatest</u>⁷⁹ access to information; and
 - (4) The extent of participation of each agency in the action.

[Eff 12/6/85; am and Comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-5, 343-6)

⁷⁵ Creates new paragraph to clarify that OEQC can make this determination for applicants and for agencies when they are unable to agree on who is the proposing agency or approving agency. The paragraph applies in cases where multiple agencies refuse to be the responsible agency; not only when multiple agencies want the responsibility.

⁷⁶ Clarifies OEQC's authority for determining who has responsibility for chapter 343, HRS compliance.

⁷⁷ Housekeeping. Section paragraphs change over time, so language adjusted to just refer to the statute.
⁷⁸ Housekeeping.

⁷⁹ Helps to distinguish among agencies - all agencies have access to information.

Subchapter 5 Applicability

§11-200-5 Agency Actions

- (a) For all proposed <u>agency</u>⁸⁰ actions <u>which that</u> are not exempt.⁸¹ as defined in section 11-200-8, the <u>proposing</u>⁸² agency shall assess at the earliest practicable time the significance of potential impacts of its actions the <u>proposed agency's action</u>⁸³, including the overall, cumulative impact in light of related <u>past, present, and reasonably foreseeable</u>⁸⁴ actions in the region <u>area affected</u>⁸⁵ and further actions contemplated.⁸⁶
- (b) The applicability of chapter 343, HRS, to specific agency proposed actions is conditioned by the agency's proposed use of state or county lands or funds. Therefore, when an agency proposes to implement an action to use state or county lands or funds, it shall be subject to the provisions of chapter 343, HRS, and this chapter.⁸⁷
- (c) Use of state or county funds shall include any form of funding assistance flowing from the State or county, and use of state or county lands includes any use (title, lease, permit, easement, licenses, etc.) or entitlement to those lands.
- (d) For agency actions, chapter 343, HRS, exempts from applicability any feasibility or planning study for possible future programs or projects which that the agency has not approved, adopted, or funded. Nevertheless, if an agency is studying the feasibility of a proposal, it shall consider environmental factors and available alternatives and disclose these in any future ascessment <u>EA</u> or subsequent statement <u>EIS</u>. If, however,⁸⁸ the planning and feasibility studies involve testing or other actions which that may have a significant impact on the environment, then⁸⁹ an environmental assessment <u>EA or EIS</u>⁹⁰ shall be prepared.
- (e) Any amendment to existing county general plans, however denominated, which may include but not be limited to development plans,⁹¹ or community plans, where the

- ⁸² Housekeeping.
- ⁸³ Housekeeping.

- ⁸⁵ Replaces "region" with "area affected" to tie the geographic nexus to the potential impacts.
 ⁸⁶ Removes "further actions contemplated" because it is captured in the language of "reasonably
- foreseeable."
- ⁸⁷ Housekeeping. Redundant language.
- ⁸⁸ Housekeeping.
- ⁸⁹ Housekeeping.
- ⁹⁰ Acknowledges direct-to-EIS pathway.
- ⁹¹ Housekeeping.

Commented [RT4]: I think these two words are redundant and should be eliminated.

Commented [RT5]: Add a hyphen here?

⁸⁰ Housekeeping.

⁸¹ Housekeeping.

⁸⁴ Clarifies what is considered as part of a cumulative look. Language is drawn from NEPA, 40 CFR 1508.7.

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

amendment would result in designations other than agriculture, conservation, or preservation, ⁹² requires an environmental assessment <u>EA</u> or <u>EIS</u>⁹³. (Actions by a county initiating a comprehensive review toward effectuating either a general plan or amendment thereof may be excepted. General plan amendments requested by a private owner or developer outside of the comprehensive review process are not excepted.)

[Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-5(b), 343-6)

⁹² Housekeeping.

⁹³ Direct-to-EIS is also an option.

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

§11-200-6 Applicant Actions

- (a) Chapter 343, HRS, shall apply to persons who are required to obtain an agency approval prior to proceeding with:
 - (1) Implementing actions which that are either located in certain specified areas or contain certain specified elements⁹⁴; or
 - (2) Actions that require certain types of amendments to existing county general plans.

The approving agency that initially received and agreed to process the request for approval shall require the applicant to prepare an EA of the proposed action at the earliest practicable time to determine whether an EIS is likely to be required; provided that if the approving agency determines, through its judgment and experience, that an EIS is likely to be required, the approving agency may authorize the applicant to choose not to prepare an EA and instead prepare an EIS that begins with the preparation of an EISPN.⁹⁵

- (b) Chapter 343, HRS, establishes certain categories of action which <u>that</u> require the agency processing an applicant's request for approval to prepare an environmental assessment <u>the applicant to prepare an EA⁹⁶</u>. There are seven geographical categories, <u>five proposal elements⁹⁷</u>, and two administrative categories.
 - (1) The seven geographical categories are:
 - (A) The use of state or county lands;
 - (B) Any use within any land classified as conservation district by the state land use commission under chapter 205, HRS;
 - (C) Any use within the shoreline area as defined in section 205A-41, HRS;
 - Any use within any historic site as designated in the national register or Hawaii register;
 - (E) Any use within the Waikiki area of Oahu, the boundaries of which are delineated in the land use ordinance as amended, establishing the "Waikiki Special District";
 - (F) Any reclassification of any land classified as conservation district by the state land use commission under chapter 205, HRS; and
 - (G) The construction of <u>a</u> new, or the expansion or modification of <u>an</u> existing helicopter <u>facilities facility</u>⁹⁸ within the State <u>which that</u> by way of <u>their its</u>⁹⁹ activities may <u>affect</u>¹⁰⁰ any land classified as conservation district by the

¹⁰⁰ Housekeeping.

Commented [RT6]: Delete word "processina"?

Commented [RT7]: Not sure about other Counties, but Hawaii County Planning Department, with advice from Corp Counsel, has stated that ANY helicopter facility has the potential to affect the CD,, historic sites, etc. because the chopper can fly over it.

⁹⁴ Acknowledges the "project" type triggers (e.g., waste-to-energy facility).

⁹⁵ Adopts language from Act 172 (2012) for direct-to-EIS and that the applicant has the responsibility to prepare the document.

⁹⁶ Housekeeping.

⁹⁷ Acknowledges the "project" type triggers (e.g., waste-to-energy facility).

⁹⁸ Clarifies that the trigger can apply to a facility; trigger does not require multiple facilities.

⁹⁹ Clarifies that the trigger can apply to a facility; trigger does not require multiple facilities.

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

state land use commission under chapter 205, HRS; the shoreline area as defined in section 205A-41, HRS; er;¹⁰¹ any historic site as designated in the National Register or Hawaii Register as provided for in the Historic Preservation Act of 1966, Public Law 98-665, or chapter 6E, HRS of <u>Historic Places</u>¹⁰²; or, until the statewide historic places inventory is completed, any historic site found by a field reconnaissance of the area affected by the helicopter facility and which that is under consideration for placement on the National Register or the Hawaii Register of Historic Places.

- (2) The five proposal elements are:
 - (A) Wastewater treatment unit, except an individual wastewater system or wastewater treatment unit serving fewer than fifty single-family dwellings or the equivalent;
 - (B) Waste-to-energy facility;
 - (C) Landfill;
 - (D) Oil refinery; or
 - (E) Power-generating facility.¹⁰³
- (23) The two administrative categories are:
 - (A) Any amendment to existing county general plans, however denominated, which may include, but <u>are</u> not be limited to, development plans,¹⁰⁴ or community plans, where the amendment would result in designations other than agriculture, conservation, or preservation. (Actions by a county initiating a comprehensive review toward effectuating either a general plan or amendment thereof may be excepted. General plan amendments requested by a private owner or developer outside of the comprehensive review process are not excepted.); and
 - (B) The use of state or county funds, other than funds to be used for feasibility or planning studies for possible future programs or projects which that the agency has not approved, adopted, or funded, or funds to be used for the acquisition of unimproved real property; provided that the agency shall consider environmental factors and available alternatives in its feasibility or planning studies.

[Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-5, 343-6)

¹⁰¹ Housekeeping.

¹⁰² Housekeeping. Unnecessary specificity.

¹⁰³ Acknowledges the "project" type triggers (e.g., waste-to-energy facility).

¹⁰⁴ Housekeeping.

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

§11-200-7 Multiple or Phased Applicant or Agency Actions

A group of actions proposed by an agency or an applicant shall be treated as a single action when:

- (1) The component actions are phases or increments of a larger total undertaking;
- (2) An individual project is a necessary precedent for a larger project;
- (3) An individual project represents a commitment to a larger project; or
- (4) The actions in question are essentially identical and a single statement <u>EIS</u> will adequately address the impacts of each individual action and those of the group of actions as a whole.

[Eff 12/6/85; comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-6)

§11-200-8 Exempt Classes of Action Exemption Notices¹⁰⁵

- Chapter 343, HRS, states that procedures whereby specific types of actions, because (a) they will probably have minimal or no significant effects, individually and cumulatively, on the environment, can be declared exempt from the preparation of an EA.¹⁰⁶ a list of classes of actions shall be drawn up which, because they will probably have minimal or no significant effect on the environment, may be declared exempt by the proposing agency or approving agency from the preparation of an environmental assessment provided that agencies declaring an action exempt under this section shall obtain the advice of other outside agencies or individuals having jurisdiction or expertise as to the propriety of the exemption. Government activities that do not rise to the level of being a project or program, or are ordinary functions that by their nature do not have the potential to adversely affect the environment more than negligibly, which may include, among other activities, routine repair, maintenance, purchase of supplies, and administrative actions involving personnel only, shall not be considered projects or programs for the purposes of Chapter 343, HRS.¹⁰⁷ Actions declared exempt from the preparation of an environmental assessment under this section are not exempt from complying with any other applicable statute or rule. The following types of projects or programs are eligible for exemption¹⁰⁸ list represents exempt classes of action:
 - Operations, repairs, or maintenance of existing structures, facilities, equipment, or topographical features, involving negligible minor¹⁰⁹ or no expansion or change of use beyond that previously existing;
 - (2) Replacement or reconstruction of existing structures and facilities where the new structure will be located generally on the same site and will have substantially the same purpose, capacity, density, height, and dimensions as the structure replaced;
 - (3) Construction and location of single, new, small facilities or structures and the alteration and modification of the same and installation of new, small, equipment and facilities and the alteration and modification of same, including, but not limited to:

¹⁰⁸ Replaces "classes" language with "types".

¹⁰⁵ Renames to shift focus from the "classes" (a term no longer used) to the notice.

¹⁰⁶ Incorporates language direction from chapter 343, HRS.

¹⁰⁷ Establishes a *de minimis* level of government activity for being considered eligible for environmental review. Chapter 343, HRS, does not define a project or program, so leaves it to agencies and the courts to decide whether a particular activity constitutes such.

¹⁰⁹ Replaces "negligible" with "minor" because in some cases minor operations, repairs, or maintenance can have little or no significant impact.

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

- Single-family residences less than 3,500 square feet, as measured by the controlling law under which the proposed action is being considered,¹¹⁰ not in conjunction with the building of two or more such units;
- (B) Multi-unit structures designed for not more than four dwelling units if not in conjunction with the building of two or more such structures;
- (C) Stores, offices, and restaurants designed for total occupant load of twenty persons or less per structure, if not in conjunction with the building of two or more such structures; and
- (D) Water, sewage, electrical, gas, telephone, and other essential public utility services extensions to serve such structures or facilities; accessory or appurtenant structures including garages, carports, patios, swimming pools, and fences; and, acquisition of utility easements;
- (4) Minor alterations in the conditions of land, water, or vegetation;
- (5) Basic data collection, research, experimental management, and resource and infrastructure testing and¹¹¹ evaluation activities which that do not result in a serious or major disturbance to an environmental resource;
- (6) Construction or placement of minor structures accessory to existing facilities;
- (7) Interior alterations involving things such as partitions, plumbing, and electrical conveyances;
- (8) Demolition of structures, except those structures located on any historic site as designated in the national register or Hawaii register as provided for in the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, Public Law 89-665, 16 U.S.C. §470, as amended, or chapter 6E, HRS¹¹²;
- (9) Zoning variances except shoreline set-back variances; and¹¹³
- (10) Continuing administrative activities including, but not limited to purchase of supplies and personnel-related actions.¹¹⁴
- (140¹¹⁵)Acquisition of land and existing structures, including single or multi-unit dwelling units, for the provision of affordable housing, involving no material change of use beyond that previously existing, and for which the legislature has appropriated or otherwise authorized funding¹¹⁶. and¹¹⁷
- (11) New construction of affordable housing that only has use of state or county lands or funds as the requirement for undergoing chapter 343, HRS, and as proposed

¹¹⁷ Housekeeping.

Commented [RT8]: Undergoing...hmmmm....undertaki ng? Complying with? Not sure

¹¹⁰ Counties and even different agencies within counties, measure residence area differently. This language acknowledges the difference.

¹¹¹ Incorporates infrastructure testing such as temporary interventions on roadways to test new designs or effects on traffic patterns.

¹¹² Unnecessary language.

¹¹³ Housekeeping.

¹¹⁴ Deletes language because it is addressed at the beginning of paragraph (a).

¹¹⁵ Housekeeping. Renumbering this and subsequent paragraphs.

¹¹⁶ In 2007, the Council formally amended HAR Section 11-200-8 to add the exemption category for acquisition of land for affordable housing. The Council has not compiled the amendment to HAR Section 11-200-8 with HAR Chapter 11-200. This language incorporates and compiles the 2007 change.

is consistent with existing state urban land classification, existing county residential or mixed use zoning classification, and applicable federal, state, and county development standards.¹¹⁸

- (b) All exemptions under the classes types¹¹⁹ in this section are inapplicable when the cumulative impact of planned successive actions in the same place, over time, is significant, or when an action that is normally insignificant in its impact on the environment may be significant in a particularly sensitive environment.
- (c) Any agency, at any time, may request that a new exemption class type¹²⁰ be added, or that an existing one be amended or deleted. The request shall be submitted to the council, in writing, and contain detailed information to support the request as set forth in section 11-201-16, environmental council rules.
- (d) Each agency, through time and experience, shall develop its own list of specific types of actions which fall within the exempt classes types above¹²¹, as long as these lists are consistent with both the letter and intent expressed in these exempt classes here¹²² and chapter 343, HRS. These lists and any amendments to the lists shall be submitted to the council for review and concurrence. The lists shall be reviewed periodically by the council.
- (e)¹²³ Actions that are clearly covered by an agency exemption list that has received council concurrence and do not have any potential to produce significant impacts do not require documentation.¹²⁴ Actions with no documentation may still be subject to the public's right to a judicial proceeding on the lack of an assessment, pursuant to chapter 343, HRS.¹²⁵
- (f) For an action that an agency considered exempt according to the criteria in paragraph (a) but is not clearly covered by the agency's exemption list, or is on the agency's exemption list but that list has not received council concurrence within the past five years, the agency shall undertake a systematic analysis to determine whether the action

¹²² Housekeeping.

Commented [RT9]: Add highlighted word "of" in footnote

¹¹⁸ Adds affordable housing as an exemption type, with caveats the following caveats: 1) that the only trigger is of use state or county lands or funds (other triggers would mean the exemption is not applicable) and that 2) the proposed action is consistent with existing land use controls so that it does not require going before the LUC or Planning Commissions to get a change in SLUD or zoning.

¹¹⁹ Housekeeping.

¹²⁰ Housekeeping.

¹²¹ Housekeeping.

¹²³ Inserts new paragraphs; subsequent paragraphs are renumbered.

¹²⁴ Removes documentation obligation for agencies for activities that are just above the threshold of *de minimis* but may not require the level of consultation and documentation associated with typical projects or programs.

¹²⁵ Affirms the public's right to challenge borderline cases that may not be discovered until "the bulldozers are out" and the agency may have erred in its decision to not prepare an EA.

merits exemption consistent with one or several of the types listed in paragraph (a).¹²⁶ For such actions, the agency shall obtain the advice of outside agencies or individuals having jurisdiction or expertise as to the propriety of the exemption. An action may not be segmented per section 11-200-7 so as to appear to be consistent with several types listed in paragraph (a).¹²⁷

- (eg) Each agency shall maintain records of <u>such</u>¹²⁸ actions, <u>called exemption notices</u>,¹²⁹ which it has found to be exempt from the requirements for preparation of an <u>environmental assessment EA</u> in chapter 343, HRS, and each agency shall produce the records for review upon request. <u>The agency shall provide a means to notify and accept input from the public in a timely manner after the exemption declaration is made. An agency may request the office to publish the exemption notice in the periodic bulletin. <u>The public's right to judicial proceeding on the lack of an assessment under chapter 343</u>, <u>HRS shall commence from the date the public is notified of the exemption through the agency's means or publication in the bulletin, whichever of the two is earliest.</u>¹³⁰</u>
- (fh) In the event the governor declares a state of emergency <u>pursuant to chapter 127A</u>, <u>HRS</u>,¹³¹ the governor may exempt any affected program or action from complying with this chapter. has authority to suspend laws, including chapter 343, HRS. In such an event, no exemption declaration is required and the proposing agency or approving agency shall file an exemption notice in its records that the emergency action was undertaken pursuant to a specific emergency proclamation.¹³²

¹²⁶ Requires agencies to do consultation for exemptions that are borderline cases or for lists that have not received council concurrence within the past five years. The five years concurrence threshold is an incentive for agencies to regularly refresh their exemption lists with the council, but allows for consultation so that agencies can continue to use the list but with a higher burden of due diligence.

¹²⁷ Reminds agencies that an action may not be broken up into smaller pieces to fit within several exemption types.

Commented [RT10]: Agree about the segmentation but puzzled as to the reference to several types of exemptions. You shouldn't segment even if the set of related actions is consistent with only one type; a legitimate exemption may be consistent with several types.

Commented [RT11]: Should we add "per 11-200-8-f", to reinforce idea that no documentation is needed on 11-200-8-e-type actions?

Commented [RT12]: But the action may not qualify for an exemption, it could need an EA or even an EIS. Is "exemption" really relevant here? Interesting use of the word.

¹²⁸ Housekeeping.

¹²⁹ Connects to the exemption notice definition and emphasizes that an agency has duty to maintain these as a record.

¹³⁰ Requires agencies to make exemption notices publicly available either through the periodic bulletin or through their own means. Some agencies already do this by posting them to their website in a spreadsheet or in meeting minutes. This helps to close the gap between when an agency makes a determination and how the public is supposed to know, so that everyone has a clear date for when legal challenge begins and ends, without making the disclosure process overly burdensome to agencies or OEQC.

¹³¹ States the name of the statute for emergency proclamations.

¹³² Removes unnecessary language because the governor can exempt any program by statute. Adds that the agency has a responsibility to record that the action occurred during a specific emergency proclamation in case a question arises about the lack of an assessment.

(i) An emergency action that is not initiated within the period of the governor's emergency proclamation shall no longer be considered an emergency action and therefore shall be subject to chapter 343, HRS.¹³³

[Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-6)

¹³³ Narrows the risk of an emergency proclamation being a free-for-all by removing actions that did not start during the emergency proclamation from being covered by the emergency proclamation.

Subchapter 6 Determination of Significance

§11-200-9 Assessment of Agency Actions and Applicant Actions

- (a) For agency actions, except those actions exempt from the preparation of an environmental assessment <u>EA</u> pursuant to section 343-5, HRS, or section 11-200-8, the proposing agency shall:
 - (1) Seek, at the earliest practicable time, the advice and input of the county agency responsible for implementing the county's general plan for each county in which the proposed action is to occur, and consult with other agencies having jurisdiction or expertise as well as those citizen groups and individuals which that the proposing agency reasonably believes to may¹³⁴ be affected;
 - (2) Identify the accepting authority pursuant to section 11-200-4 and specify what <u>the</u>¹³⁵ statutory conditions under section 343-5(a), HRS, <u>that</u>¹³⁶ require the preparation of an <u>environmental assessment EA</u>;
 - (3) Prepare an environmental assessment <u>EA</u> pursuant to section 11-200-10 of this chapter which shall also identify that identifies¹³⁷ potential impacts, evaluate evaluates¹³⁸ the potential significance of each impact, and provide provides¹³⁹ for detailed study of significant impacts;
 - (4) Determine, after reviewing the environmental assessment <u>EA</u> described in paragraph (3), and considering the significance criteria in section 11-200-12, whether the proposed action warrants an anticipated negative declaration <u>FONSI</u> or an environmental impact statement preparation notice <u>EISPN</u>, provided that for an environmental impact statement preparation notice <u>EISPN</u>, the proposing agency shall inform the accepting authority of the proposed action;
 - (5) File the appropriate notice of determination (anticipated negative declaration <u>FONSI</u> or environmental impact statement preparation notice <u>EISPN</u> in accordance with section 11-200-11.1 or 11-200-11.2, as appropriate), the completed informational form <u>referenced¹⁴⁰</u> in section 11-200-3(d)¹⁴¹, and four copies of ¹⁴² the supporting environmental assessment <u>EA</u> (a draft environmental assessment <u>EA</u> for the anticipated negative declaration <u>FONSI</u> or a final environmental assessment <u>EA</u> for the environmental impact statement

- 136 Housekeeping.
- ¹³⁷ Housekeeping.
- 138 Housekeeping.
- ¹³⁹ Housekeeping.
- ¹⁴⁰ Housekeeping.

¹³⁴ Housekeeping.

¹³⁵ Housekeeping.

¹⁴¹ Housekeeping.

¹⁴² OEQC only needs one copy, not four.

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

preparation notice <u>EISPN</u>) with the office in accordance with sections 11-200-3, 11-200-11.1, 11-200-11.2, and other applicable sections of this chapter;

- (6) Distribute <u>Circulate</u>¹⁴³, concurrently with the filing in paragraph (5), the draft environmental assessment <u>EA</u> to other agencies having jurisdiction or expertise as well as citizen groups and individuals which <u>that</u> the proposing agency reasonably believes to <u>may</u>¹⁴⁴ be affected;
- (7) Deposit, concurrently with the filing in paragraph (5), one <u>paper¹⁴⁵</u> copy of the draft environmental assessment <u>EA</u> at the nearest state library in each county in which the proposed action is to occur <u>and one paper copy at the Hawaii</u> Documents Center¹⁴⁶:
- (8) Receive and respond to public comments in accordance with:
 - (A) section 11-200-9.1 for draft environmental assessments <u>EAs</u> for anticipated negative declaration <u>FONSI</u> determinations; or
 - (B) section 11-200-15 for environmental assessments <u>EAs</u> for preparation netices <u>EISPNs</u>.

For draft environmental assessments <u>EAs</u>, the proposing agency shall revise the environmental assessment <u>EA</u> to incorporate public comments as appropriate, and append copies of comment letters and responses in the environmental assessment <u>EA</u> (the draft environmental assessment <u>EA</u> as revised, shall be filed as a final environmental assessment <u>EA</u> as described in section 11-200-11.2); and

- (9) As appropriate, issue either a negative declaration <u>FONSI</u> determination or an environmental impact statement preparation notice <u>EISPN</u> pursuant to the requirements of section 11-200-11.2, provided that for. For¹⁴⁷ preparation notice <u>EISPN</u> determinations, the proposing agency shall proceed to section 11-200-15 after fulfilling the requirements of sections 11-200-10, 11-200-11.2, 11-200-13, and 11-200-14, as appropriate.
- (b) For applicant actions, except those actions exempt from the preparation of an environmental assessment <u>EA</u> pursuant to section 343-5, HRS, or those actions which that the approving agency declares exempt pursuant to section 11-200-8, the approving agency shall:
 - (1) Require the applicant, at the earliest practicable time, to seek the advice and input of the lead county agency responsible for implementing the county's

¹⁴³ The term "distribute" is the section heading of §11-200-21, thus giving the term a particular role in HAR chapter 11-200, so the verb "circulate" is proposed instead.

¹⁴⁴ Housekeeping.

¹⁴⁵ Emphasizes that a printed, paper hard copy is to be deposited at the nearest state library so that the people nearest the proposed action without electronic access are able to review the document.
¹⁴⁶ Adds a request from the State Library that only two hard copies be submitted to the state library

system, one for the local library near the proposed action as an environmental/social justice concern and one at the document center for archival records. Ideally, these are the only two hard copies produced of a draft EA.

¹⁴⁷ Housekeeping.

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

general plan for each county in which the proposed action is to occur, and consult with other agencies having jurisdiction or expertise as well as those citizen groups and individuals which that the approving agency reasonably believes to be affected;

- (2) Require the applicant to provide whatever information the approving agency deems necessary to¹⁴⁸ complete the preparation of an environmental assessment EA in accordance with section 11-200-10;
- (3) Within thirty days from the date of receipt of the applicant's complete request for approval to the approving agency:
 - (A) prepare an environmental assessment pursuant to section 11-200-10; and
 - (B) determine, after reviewing the environmental assessment and considering the significance criteria in section 11-200-12 whether the proposed action warrants an anticipated negative declaration or an environmental impact statement preparation notice;

require the applicant¹⁴⁹ to prepare a draft EA pursuant to section 11-200-10;¹⁵⁰

- (4)¹⁵¹ Determine, after reviewing the draft EA and considering the significance criteria in section 11-200-12, whether the proposed action warrants an anticipated FONSI or an EISPN:¹⁵²
- (4<u>5</u>) File the appropriate notice of determination (anticipated negative declaration <u>FONSI</u> or environmental impact statement preparation notice <u>EISPN</u> in accordance with section 11-200-11.1 or 11-200-11.2), the completed informational form <u>referenced</u>¹⁵³ in section 11-200-3(d)¹⁵⁴ and four copies of the supporting environmental assessment <u>EA</u> (a draft environmental assessment <u>EA</u> for the anticipated negative declaration <u>FONSI</u> or a final environmental assessment <u>EA</u> for the environmental impact statement preparation notice <u>EISPN</u>) with the office in accordance with sections 11-200-3, and 11-200-11.1, or 11-200-11.2, and other applicable sections of this chapter¹⁵⁵;

¹⁴⁸ Narrows the language to focus on the EA on the content requirements.

¹⁴⁹ Shifts the focus of preparation to the applicant per Act 172 (2012).

¹⁵⁰ Removes the thirty-day requirement for an approving agency to prepare, review, and issue an anticipated FONSI or EISPN. Instead, makes the agency tell the applicant within 30 days of receipt of a request for approval which course of environmental review the applicant is to take.

¹⁵¹ Inserts a new paragraph for the agency to decide whether an anticipated FONSI or EISPN is appropriate. Subsequent paragraphs are renumbered. ¹⁵² Makes this atea applicit it is a contract of the second sec

¹⁵² Makes this step explicit; it was not stated before but it the step that occurs between the draft EA stage and filing an anticipated FONSI.

¹⁵³ Housekeeping.

¹⁵⁴ Housekeeping.

¹⁵⁵ Adds language to ensure that other sections are fulfilled as well.

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

(56) Distribute Circulate¹⁵⁶, or require the applicant to distribute circulate¹⁵⁷, concurrently with the filing in paragraph (4), the draft environmental assessment EA to other agencies having jurisdiction or expertise as well as citizen groups and individuals which that the approving agency reasonably believes to be affected;

- (67) Deposit or require the applicant to deposit, concurrently with the filing in paragraph (4), one <u>paper¹⁵⁸</u> copy of the draft environmental assessment <u>EA</u> at the nearest state library in each county in which the proposed action is to occur <u>and one paper copy at the Hawaii Documents Center¹⁵⁹;</u>
- (78) Receive public comments, transmit copies of public comments to the applicant and require the applicant to respond to public comments, all in accordance with section 11-200-9.1 for draft environmental assessment <u>EA</u>, or 11-200-15 for preparation notices <u>EISPNs</u> and their associated final environmental assessment <u>EA</u>. For draft environmental assessment <u>EA</u>, the approving agency shall require the applicant:
 - (A)¹⁶⁰ to provide revise the draft EA with¹⁶¹ whatever information the approving agency deems necessary in accordance with section 11-200-10¹⁶² to revise the draft environmental assessment to inform its determination for a FONSI or EISPN, taking into account comments on the draft EA¹⁶³;
 - (B) to incorporate comments as appropriate; and,
 - (C) to include copies of comment letters and the applicant responses. -(the <u>The</u>¹⁶⁴ draft environmental assessment <u>EA</u> as revised shall be filed as a final environmental assessment <u>EA</u> as described in section 11-200-11.2)¹⁶⁵; and
- (89) As appropriate, issue a negative declaration FONSI determination or an environmental impact statement preparation notice EISPN with appropriate notice of determination thereof pursuant to section 11-200-11.2 within thirty days from the end of the thirty day public comment period of receiving information

¹⁵⁶ Replaces the term "distribute" because that term is the section heading of §11-200-21, thus giving the term a particular role in HAR chapter 11-200, so the verb "circulate" is proposed instead.

¹⁵⁷ Replaces the term "distribute" because that term is the section heading of §11-200-21, thus giving the term a particular role in HAR chapter 11-200, so the verb "circulate" is proposed instead.

¹⁵⁸ Emphasizes that a printed, paper hard copy is to be deposited at the nearest state library so that the people nearest the proposed action without electronic access are able to review the document.
¹⁵⁹ Adds a request from the State Library that only two hard copies be submitted to the state library

system, one for the local library near the proposed action as an environmental/social justice concern and one at the document center for archival records. Ideally, these are the only two hard copies produced of a draft EA.

¹⁶⁰ Breaks up the paragraph so that the three requirements for the applicant are easier to read.
¹⁶¹ Housekeeping.

¹⁶² Emphasizes that the final EA content should still meet the EA content requirements as set for in section 10.

¹⁶³ Emphasizes that the point of revisions to the final EA is to move toward a decision on a FONSI or EISPN based on the content and draft EA comments.

¹⁶⁴ Changes the sentence from a parenthetical statement to a standalone sentence.

¹⁶⁵ Changes the sentence from a parenthetical statement to a standalone sentence.

<u>required for delivery to the approving agency pursuant to paragraph 8¹⁶⁶</u>. For preparation notice <u>EISPN</u> determinations, the approving agency shall proceed to section 11-200-15 after fulfilling the requirements of sections 11-200-10, 11-200-11.2, 11-200-13, and 11-200-14, as appropriate.

- (c) For agency or applicant actions, the proposing agency or the approving agency, as appropriate, shall analyze <u>or cause to be analyzed in the EA a reasonable range of</u>¹⁶⁷ alternatives, in addition to the proposed action in the environmental assessment <u>EA</u>.
- (d) For agency or applicant actions, if the agency determines, through its judgment and experience, that an EIS is likely to be required, the agency may choose not to prepare an EA, or authorize the applicant to choose not to prepare an EA, as applicable, and instead shall prepare an EIS that begins with an EISPN.¹⁶⁸

[Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-5, 343-6)

¹⁶⁸ Incorporates language from Act 172 (2012) allowing agencies to bypass preparing the environmental assessment and instead prepare an EIS beginning with the EISPN. Also allows agencies to authorize applicants to bypass the environmental assessment, should the applicant desire, and instead prepare an EIS beginning with the EISPN.

Commented [RT13]: "...or cause to have prepared ..."

¹⁶⁶ Changes the deadline from 30 days after the close of the public comment period to 30 days after receipt of the final EA.

¹⁶⁷ Clarifies that the alternatives to be examined are done so in the environmental assessment, not independent of it, and that the agency directs the applicant to analyze alternatives in an applicant-prepared EA, as provided for in Act 172, (2012). Inserts the term reasonable to emphasize that not all possible alternatives are required to be analyzed.
¹⁶⁸ Incorporates language from Act 172 (2012) allowing agencies to bypass preparing the environmental

- §11-200-9.1 Public Review & Response Requirements for Draft Environmental Assessments for Anticipated Negative Declaration Finding of No Significant Impact¹⁶⁹ Determinations & Addenda to Draft Environmental Assessments
- (a) This section shall apply only if a proposing agency or an approving agency anticipates a negative declaration <u>FONSI</u> determination for a proposed action and that agency has completed the <u>draft EA</u> requirements of section 11-200-9(a), <u>paragraphs (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6) and (7) for agencies</u>¹⁷⁰, or section 11-200-9(b), <u>paragraphs (1), (2), (3), (4), (5)</u> and (6) for applicants¹⁷¹, as appropriate.
- (b) The period for public review and for submitting written comments for both agency actions and applicant actions shall begin as of the initial issue date that notice of availability of the draft-environmental assessment <u>EA</u> was published in the periodic bulletin and shall continue for a period of thirty days. For agency actions and applicant actions, the period for public review and for submitting written comments shall commence from the date of notice of availability of the draft EA is initially issued in the periodic bulletin and shall continue for a period of thirty calendar days.¹⁷² Written comments to the proposing agency or approving agency, whichever is applicable, with a copy of the comments to the applicant, <u>if applicable</u>.¹⁷³ or proposing agency,¹⁷⁴ shall be received or postmarked to the proposing agency or approving agency, within the thirty-day period. Any comments outside of the thirty-day period need not be considered or responded to. <u>Howeverl, for a</u> proposed site for a new correctional facility or for the expansion of an existing correctional facility, pursuant to section 353-16.35, HRS, the thirty-day period shall be a sixty-day period.¹⁷⁵
- (c) For agency actions, the proposing agency shall respond in writing to all comments received or postmarked during the thirty-day review period, incorporate comments into

Commented [14]: This language could be broadened to just acknowledge that there are special cases that have different comment period lengths set by statute and in those cases that statute's requirement must be followed.

Commented [RT15R14]: Good idea, but since this is currently the only one, we should name it specifically.

Commented [16]: Revise to say something like "statutorily mandated"? That leaves it open for acknowledging other statute comment periods

Commented [RT17R16]: Sounds good.

¹⁶⁹ Housekeeping.

¹⁷⁰ These paragraphs refer to requirements for agencies preparing an EA through distributing and filing the Draft EA.

¹⁷¹ These paragraphs refer to requirements for applicants preparing an EA through distributing and filing the Draft EA.

¹⁷² Measures time consistently in the process. Adds clarity to how to count days (distinguishes from working days) and that the publication date is counted as day zero.

¹⁷³ Clarifies that applicants are not always involved and when not involved, not copy of the comments need to be sent to the applicant.

¹⁷⁴ Redundant; the proposing agency is already as identified as receiving comments.

¹⁷⁵ Incorporates the public comment period and time limit from HRS § 353-16.35.

the final EA¹⁷⁶ as appropriate,¹⁷⁷ and append the comments and responses in to¹⁷⁸ the final environmental assessment EA. Each response shall be sent directly to the person commenting, with copies of the response also sent to the office. If a number of comments are identical or very similar, the proposing agency may group the comments and prepare a single standard response for each group. The comments must be attached to the final EA regardless of whether the agency believes the comments merit individual discussion in the body of the final EA.¹⁷⁹

- (d) For applicant actions, the applicant shall respond in writing to all comments received or postmarked during the thirty-day review period and the approving agency shall¹⁸⁰ incorporate or comments into the final EA as appropriate, and¹⁸¹ append the comments and responses in to¹⁸² the final environmental assessment EA. If a number of comments are identical or very similar, the applicant may group the comments and prepare a single standard response for each group. The comments must be attached to the final EA regardless of whether the approving agency believes the comments merit individual discussion in the body of the final EA.¹⁸³ Each response shall be sent directly to the person commenting with a copy to the office.¹⁸⁴ A copy of each response shall be sent to the approving agency for its timely preparation of a determination and notice thereof pursuant to sections 11-200 9(b) and 11-200 11.1 or 11-200 11.2.¹⁸⁵
- (e) An addendum document to a draft environmental assessment <u>EA</u> shall reference the original draft environmental assessment <u>EA</u> it attaches to and shall comply with all

Commented [RT18]: Typo, subs. and for a

Commented [RT19]: I think we need to add that in the case of multiple identical comments such as preprinted postcards or letters with no original content by the sender, only one example of the item need be included. The document should include the stated names((if provided in a legible form) of all senders of such identical items.

¹⁷⁶ Clarifies that the comments are included in the final EA.

¹⁷⁷ Housekeeping.

¹⁷⁸ Housekeeping.

¹⁷⁹ Because the responses are included in the final EA, it is not necessary to send an individual response letter to each person who comments. The requirement to send a response to every individual person commenting can be burdensome without a benefit that cannot be satisfied by notifying the person via publication of the final EA. This language is drawn from the CEQ 40 questions, #29a and aligns with NEPA practice, which allows grouping of identical or similar comments and providing one response that covers the issues raised in the identical or similar comments. Because individual responses would no longer be sent, the requirement for OEQC to receive a copy of the response is no longer relevant.
¹⁸⁰ The applicant prepares the document so has the responsibility to incorporate the comments and responses into the document.

¹⁸¹ Clarifies that the comments are incorporated into the final EA.

¹⁸² Housekeeping.

¹⁸³ Because the responses are included in the final EA, it is not necessary to send an individual response letter to each person who comments. The requirement to send a response to every individual person commenting can be burdensome without a benefit that cannot be satisfied by notifying the person via publication of the final EA. This language is drawn from the CEQ 40 questions, #29a and aligns with NEPA practice, which allows grouping of identical or similar comments and providing one response that covers the issues raised in the identical or similar comments.

¹⁸⁴ Because individual responses would no longer be sent, the requirement for OEQC to receive a copy of the response is no longer relevant.

¹⁸⁵ Under Act 192 (2012), applicants prepare their own documents, so the timely preparation requirement is no longer applicable.

applicable public review and comment requirements set forth in sections 11-200-3 and 11-200-9.

[Eff and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-3, 343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-3, 343-5, 343-6)

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

§11-200-10 Contents of an Environmental Assessment

The proposing agency or approving agency shall prepare any draft or final environmental assessment EA of each proposed action and determine whether the anticipated effects constitute a significant effect in the context of chapter 343, HRS, and section 11-200-12. The environmental assessment EA shall contain, but not be limited to, the following information:

- Identification of applicant or proposing agency; (1)
- Identification of approving agency, if applicable; (2)
- Identification of agencies, citizen groups, and individuals consulted in making (3)preparing¹⁸⁶ the assessment;
- (4)General description of the action's technical, economic, social, and environmental characteristics;
- Summary description of the affected environment, including suitable and (5)adequate regional, location and site maps such as Flood Insurance Rate Maps, Floodway Boundary Maps, or United States Geological Survey topographic maps:
- Identification and summary analysis¹⁸⁷ of impacts and alternatives considered; (6)
- Proposed mitigation measures; (7)
- Agency determination or, for draft environmental assessments EAs only, an (8)anticipated determination;
- (9) Findings and reasons supporting the agency determination or anticipated determination;
- Agencies to be consulted in the preparation of the EIS, if an EIS is to be (10) prepared;
- List of all required¹⁸⁸ permits and approvals (State, federal, county) required and (11) identification of which are considered to be discretionary¹⁸⁹; and
- Written comments and responses to the comments under received pursuant (12) to¹⁹⁰the early consultation provisions of sections 11-200-9(a)(1), 11-200-9(b)(1), or 11-200-15, and statutorily prescribed public review periods.

[Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-5(c), 343-6)_____

Commented [RT20]: For footnote 187, maybe say "a discussion detailed enough to support a conclusion"?

¹⁸⁶ Uses more accurate time consistent with language in the rules..

¹⁸⁷ Focuses on analyzing instead of summarizing impacts. The use of this word should not be understood to mean a lengthy discussion. It means that the impact discussion section should identify an impact and provide a detailed discussion sufficient to support a conclusion. Summaries tend to be assertions of impact and the degree of significance without presenting a supporting argument.

¹⁸⁸ Housekeeping. Moves the word required from the end of the clause to before the word "permits". ¹⁸⁹ Adds identification of approvals that are considered discretionary. This helps to inform why an applicant is undergoing chapter 343, HRS, and when a proposed action has reached "substantial commencement" for the purposes of a supplemental EIS.¹⁹⁰ Housekeeping.

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

§11-200-11 REPEALED.

[R AUG 31 1996]

PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

§11-200-11.1 Notice of Determination for Draft Environmental Assessments

(a) After:¹⁹¹

- (1) preparing <u>Preparing</u>, or causing to be prepared,¹⁹² an environmental assessment a draft EA, and¹⁹³
- (2) reviewing Reviewing any public and agency comments, if any, and 194
- (3) applying Applying the significance criteria in section 11-200-12,

if the proposing agency or the approving agency anticipates that the proposed action is not likely to have a significant effect, it <u>the proposing agency or approving agency</u>¹⁹⁵ shall issue a notice of determination which that¹⁹⁶ shall be an anticipated negative declaration <u>FONSI</u> subject to the public review provisions of section 11-200-9.1.

- (b)¹⁹⁷ The proposing agency or approving agency shall also file such the¹⁹⁸ notice and supporting draft EA¹⁹⁹ with the office as early as possible after the determination is made pursuant to and in accordance with section 11-200-9²⁰⁰ and the requirements in subsection (ed²⁰¹) along with four copies of the supporting environmental assessment²⁰². In addition to the above, the anticipated negative declaration determination for any applicant action shall be mailed to the requesting applicant by the approving agency. For applicant actions, the approving agency shall also send the anticipated FONSI to the applicant.²⁰³
- (bc) The office shall publish notice of availability of the draft environmental assessment <u>EA</u> for the anticipated negative declaration <u>FONSI</u> in the periodic bulletin following the date of receipt by the office in accordance with section 11-200-3.

- ¹⁹⁵ Housekeeping.
- ¹⁹⁶ Housekeeping.

¹⁹⁸ Housekeeping.

- 200 Housekeeping.
- ²⁰¹ Housekeeping.

²⁰² Simplifies the submittal requirement to one copy of the notice of determination and one copy of the final EA. Electronic documentation can be submitted.

²⁰³ Clarifies that approving agencies have a responsibility to send their determination to the applicant directly, but not necessarily via postal mail (electronic distribution would also be acceptable).

⁽ed) The notice of determination shall indicate in a concise manner:

¹⁹¹ Housekeeping. Breaks out three conditions into 3 items and capitalizes each of the numbered items to make the language clearer.

¹⁹² Aligns the process with Act 172 (2012), Direct-to-EIS, which requires the applicant to prepare documents instead of the approving agency.

¹⁹³ Housekeeping. Specifies draft EA.

¹⁹⁴ Housekeeping

¹⁹⁷ Housekeeping. Renumbering of all subsequent paragraphs of this section.

¹⁹⁹ Simplifies the submittal requirement to one copy of the notice of determination and one copy of the final EA. Electronic documentation can be submitted.

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

- Identification of <u>the</u>²⁰⁴ applicant or proposing agency; (1)
- Identification of the approving agency or 205 accepting authority; (2)
- Brief <u>A brief</u>²⁰⁶ description of <u>the</u>²⁰⁷ proposed action; (3)
- Determination The determination²⁰⁸; (4)
- Reasons supporting the209 determination; and (5)
- Name The name²¹⁰, title, contact information, including the email address, (6)physical²¹¹ address, and phone number of contact person for further information.
- When an agency withdraws a document, determination, or both²¹² pursuant to its the (de) agency's²¹³ rules, the agency shall submit to the office a written letter informing the office of its withdrawal. The office shall publish notice of agency withdrawals in accordance with section 11-200-3.

[Eff and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS § 343-5(c), 343-6)

²⁰⁴ Housekeeping.

- ²⁰⁹ Housekeeping.
- ²¹⁰ Housekeeping.

Commented [RT21]: "and the rationale for the withdrawal"??

²⁰⁵ Adds approving agency for the case of applicants because accepting authority only is applicable for EISs and, in the case of applicant EISs, the accepting authority and approving agency are the same.

²⁰⁶ Housekeeping.

²⁰⁷ Housekeeping.

²⁰⁸ Housekeeping.

²¹¹ Includes email as a requirement for contact information. Most communication is done by email so providing that is just as important as a phone number or physical mail address. ²¹² Clarifies that an agency may withdraw a document (i.e., FEA) as well as being able to withdraw a

determination (i.e., EISPN or FONSI).

²¹³ Clarifies that the withdrawal is pursuant to the agency's own rules rather than the EC's rules; determinations rest with the agency and are made pursuant to that agency's rules, procedures, and practices.

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

§11-200-11.2 Notice of Determination for Final Environmental Assessments

(a) After:²¹⁴

(1) preparing Preparing, or causing to be prepared,²¹⁵ a final environmental assessment EA,

(2) reviewing <u>Reviewing any</u> public and agency comments, if any, and²¹⁶

(3) applying Applying the significance criteria in section 11-200-12,

the proposing agency or the approving agency shall issue one of the following notices <u>a</u> notice²¹⁷ of determination for an EISPN or FONSI²¹⁸ in accordance with section 11-200-9(a) or 11-200-9(b), and file the notice with the office addressing the requirements in subsection (c), along with four copies of the supporting final environmental assessment, ²¹⁹ provided that in addition to the above, all notices of determination for any applicant action shall be mailed to the requesting applicant by the approving agency:²²⁰

- (1^b²²¹) Environmental impact statement preparation notice <u>EISPN</u>. If the proposing agency or approving agency determines that a proposed action may have a significant effect, it shall issue a notice of determination which <u>that</u> shall be an environmental impact statement preparation notice <u>EISPN</u> and such notice shall be filed as early as possible after the determination is made pursuant to and in accordance with section 11-200 9²²².
- (2c) Negative declaration <u>FONSI</u>. If the proposing agency or approving agency determines that a proposed action is not likely to have a significant effect, it shall issue a notice of determination which <u>that</u>²²³ shall be a negative declaration <u>FONSI</u>, and the proposing agency or approving agency shall file such notice with the office as early as possible after the determination is made pursuant to and in accordance with section 11-200-9²²⁴.
- (d) The proposing agency or approving agency shall file the notice and the supporting final EA with the office as early as possible after the determination is made in accordance

²²³ Housekeeping.

²¹⁴ Housekeeping. Breaks out three conditions into 3 items and capitalizes each of the numbered items to make the language clearer.

²¹⁵ Aligns the process with Act 172 (2012), Direct-to-EIS, which requires the applicant to prepare documents instead of the approving agency.

²¹⁶ Housekeeping.

²¹⁷ Housekeeping.

²¹⁸ Clarifies which of two determinations is to be issued.

²¹⁹ Removes unnecessary language on final EA filing requirements

²²⁰ This requirement is now addressed in the new proposed paragraph D.

²²¹ Housekeeping. Renumbering of all subsequent paragraphs of this section.

Removes this language from the paragraph and adds it as part of the new proposed paragraph D.

²²⁴ Removes this language from the paragraph and adds it as part of the new proposed paragraph D.

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

with section 11-200-9, addressing the requirements in subsection (f).²²⁵ For applicant actions, the approving agency shall send the notice of determination for an EISPN or FONSI to the applicant.226

- (be) The office shall publish the appropriate notice of determination in the periodic bulletin following receipt of the documents in subsection (a) by the office in accordance with section 11-200-3.
- The notice of determination for a FONSI²²⁷ shall indicate in a concise manner: (cf)
 - Identification of the²²⁸ applicant or proposing agency; (1)
 - Identification of the approving agency or 229 accepting authority; (2)
 - Brief <u>A brief</u>²³⁰ description of <u>the</u>²³¹ proposed action; Determination <u>The determination</u>²³²; (3)
 - (4)
 - Reasons supporting the²³³ determination; and (5)
 - Name The name²³⁴, title, contact information, including the email address, (6)physical²³⁵ address, and phone number of contact person for further information. The notice of determination for an EISPN shall be prepared pursuant to section 11-200-15.²³⁶
- When an agency withdraws a document, determination, or both²³⁷ pursuant to its the (dg) agency's²³⁸ rules, the agency shall submit to the office a written letter informing the office

228 Housekeeping.

²²⁹ Adds approving agency for the case of applicants because accepting authority only is applicable for EISs and, in the case of applicant EISs, the accepting authority and approving agency are the same. ²³⁰ Housekeeping.

- ²³¹ Housekeeping.
- ²³² Housekeeping.
- ²³³ Housekeeping.
- ²³⁴ Housekeeping.

²²⁵ Consolidates language from above paragraphs to reduce redundancy. Simplifies the submittal requirement to one copy of the notice of determination and one copy of the final EA. Electronic documentation can be submitted.

²²⁶ Clarifies that approving agencies have a responsibility to send their determination to the applicant directly, but not necessarily via postal mail (electronic distribution would also be acceptable).

²²⁷ Separates the notice of determination for a FONSI from an EISPN. The EISPN details are now listed in section 11-200-15.

²³⁵ Includes email as a requirement for contact information. Most communication is done by email so providing that is just as important as a phone number or physical mail address.

²³⁶ Refers to the EISPN section of the rules for what to include in an EISPN. This addresses direct-to-EIS concerns for the EISPN so that no matter how one arrives at an EIS, the content requirement of the EISPN is identical.

²³⁷ Clarifies that an agency may withdraw a document (i.e., FEA) as well as being able to withdraw a determination (i.e., EISPN or FONSI).

²³⁸ Clarifies that the withdrawal is pursuant to the agency's own rules rather than the EC's rules; determinations rest with the agency and are made pursuant to that agency's rules, procedures, and practices.

of its withdrawal. The office shall publish notice of agency withdrawals in accordance with section 11-200-3.

[Eff and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS § 343-5(c), 343-6)

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

§11-200-12 Significance Criteria

- (a) In considering the significance of potential environmental effects, agencies shall consider the sum of effects on the quality of the environment,²³⁹ and shall evaluate the overall and cumulative effects of an action.
- (b) In determining whether an action may have a significant effect on the environment, the agency shall consider every phase of a proposed action, the expected consequences, both primary and secondary, and the cumulative as well as the short-term and long-term effects of the action. In most instances, an action shall be determined to have a significant effect on the environment if it:
 - Involves an irrevocable commitment to loss or destruction of any natural or cultural resource Irrevocably commits a natural resource²⁴⁰;
 - (2) Curtails the range of beneficial uses of the environment;
 - (3) Conflicts with the state's long term environmental policies or long-term environmental²⁴¹ goals and guidelines as expressed in chapter 344, HRS, or other laws,²⁴² and any revisions thereof and amendments thereto, court decisions, or executive orders;
 - Substantially <u>Adversely²⁴³</u> affects the economic <u>welfare</u>, or social welfare, or <u>cultural practices²⁴⁴</u> of the community or <u>State</u>;
 - (5) Substantially affects public health;
 - Involves substantial secondary impacts, such as population changes or effects on public facilities;
 - (7) Involves a substantial degradation of environmental quality;
 - Is individually limited but cumulatively has considerable effect upon the environment or involves a commitment for larger actions;
 - (9) Substantially affects a rare, threatened, or endangered species, or its habitat;
 - (10) Detrimentally affects air or water quality or ambient noise levels;
 - (11) Affects or is likely to suffer damage by being located in an environmentally sensitive area such as a flood plain, tsunami zone, beach, erosion-prone area, geologically hazardous land, estuary, fresh water, or coastal waters;
 - (12) Substantially affects scenic vistas and viewplanes identified in county or state plans or studies; or,

Commented [RT22]: That definition, however ingrained in the statute, is problematic. This is probably why the section in the Rules was written this way. Whereas the Oxford online dictionary defines adverse as "preventing success or development; harmful; unfavorable", i.e., implying significance, Webster-Merriam includes among its definitions "opposed to one's interests". So if you have \$100 in your wallet and I take a dollar out, it can certainly be argued that it is an adverse impact. In EIA practice, this is often how it is used. You can have negligible, minor or significant adverse impacts. In fact, it is used in that sense in both the existing and proposed revised Rules. But with this change, an adverse economic, social or cultural practice impact will be by definition significant. If fact, IF WE ADOPT THIS, WE NEED TO DEFINE ADVERSE IN THE OXFORD WAY.

²³⁹ Housekeeping.

²⁴⁰ Revises language to match the definition of "significance" in Section 343-2, HRS.

²⁴¹ Revises language to match the definition of "significance" in Section 343-2, HRS.

²⁴² Revises language to match the definition of "significance" in Section 343-2, HRS. Statutory language is not narrowed to chapter 344, HRS. This language acknowledges other laws with environmental goals such as the State Planning Act.

²⁴³ Revises language to match the definition of "significance" in Section 343-2, HRS. Statutory language is not narrowed to chapter 344, HRS. This language acknowledges other laws with environmental goals such as the State Planning Act.

²⁴⁴ Revises language to match the definition of "significance" in Section 343-2, HRS. Statutory language was amended by Act 50 (2000) to include cultural practices as part of significance.

(13) Requires substantial energy consumption.

[Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-2, 343-6)

§11-200-13 Consideration of Previous Determinations and Accepted Statements

- (a) Chapter 343, HRS, provides that whenever an agency proposes to implement an action or receives a request for approval, the agency may consider and, when applicable and appropriate, incorporate by reference, in whole or in part, previous determinations of whether a statement an EIS is required, and previously accepted statements EIS.
- (b) Previous determinations and previously accepted statements <u>EISs</u> may be incorporated by applicants and agencies whenever the information contained therein is pertinent to the decision at hand and has logical relevancy and bearing to the action being considered.
- (c) Agencies shall not, without considerable pre-examination and comparison, use past determinations and previous statement <u>EISs</u> to apply to the action at hand. The action for which a determination is sought shall be thoroughly reviewed prior to the use of previous determinations and previously accepted statements <u>EISs</u>. Further, when previous determinations and previous statements <u>EISs</u> are considered or incorporated by reference, they shall be substantially similar to and relevant to the action then being considered.

[Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-5, 343-6)

PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

Subchapter 7 Preparation of Draft & Final Environmental Impact Statements

§11-200-14 General Provisions

Chapter 343, HRS, directs that in both agency and applicant actions where statements <u>EISs</u> are required, the preparing party shall prepare the EIS, submit it for review and comments, and revise it, taking into account all critiques and responses. Consequently, the EIS process involves more than the preparation of a document; it involves the entire process of research, discussion, preparation of a statement, and review. The EIS process shall involve at a minimum:

- (1)²⁴⁵ identifying Identifying environmental concerns,
- (2) Conducting no fewer than one EIS public scoping meeting.²⁴⁶
- (3) obtaining Obtaining various relevant data,
- (4) conducting Conducting necessary studies,
- (5) receiving <u>Receiving</u> public and agency input,
- (6) evaluating Evaluating alternatives, and
- (7) proposing Proposing measures for avoiding, minimizing, rectifying or reducing adverse impacts.

An EIS is meaningless without the conscientious application of the EIS process as a whole, and shall not be merely a self-serving recitation of benefits and a rationalization of the proposed action. Agencies shall ensure that statements <u>EISs</u> are prepared at the earliest opportunity in the planning and decision-making process. This shall assure an early open forum for discussion of adverse effects and available alternatives, and that the decision-making ²⁴⁷.

[Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-6)

²⁴⁵ Housekeeping. Breaks the paragraph up and helps to see the minimum elements of the EIS process. Renumbers paragraphs based on addition of public scoping meeting.

²⁴⁶ Requires at least one public scoping meeting for an EIS.

²⁴⁷ Emphasizes that the EIS process is to occur before committing to a particular course of action.

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

§11-200-15 Consultation Prior to Filing a Draft Environmental Impact Statement

- (a)²⁴⁸ An EISPN, including one resulting from an agency authorizing the preparation of an EIS without first requiring an EA, shall indicate in a concise manner:
 - (1) Identification of the proposing agency or applicant;
 - (2) Identification of the accepting authority;
 - (3) The determination;
 - (4) Reasons supporting the determination;
 - (5) A description of the proposed action and its location;
 - (6) A description of the affected environment and include regional, location, and site maps;
 - (7) Possible alternatives to the proposed action;
 - (8) The proposing agency's or applicant's proposed scoping process, including when and where the EIS public scoping meeting or meetings will be held;
 - (9) The name, title, contact information, including the email address, physical address, and phone number of contact person for further information.²⁴⁹
- (ab) In the preparation of a draft EIS, proposing agencies and applicants shall consult all appropriate agencies noted in section 11-200-10(10), and other²⁵⁰ citizen groups, and concerned individuals as noted in sections 11-200-9 and 11-200-9.1. To this end, agencies and applicants shall endeavor to develop a fully acceptable draft²⁵¹ EIS prior to the time the EIS is filed with the office, through a full and complete consultation process, and shall not rely solely upon the review process to expose environmental concerns. At the discretion of the proposing agency or an applicant, a <u>A</u> public scoping meeting to receive comments on the final environmental assessment (for the EIS preparation notice determination) setting forth addressing the scope of the draft EIS may shall²⁵² be held within the thirty-day public review and comment period in subsection (bc), provided that the proposing agency or applicant shall treat oral and written comments received at such a meeting as indicated in subsection (d)²⁵³.
- (bc) Upon publication of a preparation notice an EISPN in the periodic bulletin, agencies, groups, or individuals shall have a period of thirty days from the initial issue date in which to request to become a consulted party and to make written comments regarding

²⁴⁸ Creates a new paragraph and renumbers subsequent paragraphs.

²⁴⁹ Creates a standard set of content for an EISPN determination no matter the result of an EA or going directly to preparing the EIS.

²⁵⁰ Housekeeping.

²⁵¹ Clarifies that the document is a draft EIS.

²⁵² Makes the public scoping meeting a requirement and emphasizes that the meeting is about what the scope of the draft EIS should be.

²⁵³ Shifts the focus to written comments submitted during the EISPN phase and public scoping meeting to add clarity to the comment submitted and removes the preparer's interpretation of oral comments.

the environmental effects of the proposed action. Upon written request by the consulted party and upon good cause shown, the approving agency or accepting authority may extend the period for comments for a period not to exceed thirty days.

- (ed) Upon receipt of the request, the proposing agency or applicant shall provide the consulted party with a copy of the environmental assessment or requested portions thereof and²⁵⁴ the environmental impact statement preparation notice <u>EISPN</u>.
 Additionally, the proposing agency or applicant may provide any other information it deems necessary. The proposing agency or applicant may also contact other agencies, groups, or individuals which it feels may provide pertinent additional information.
- (de) Any substantive <u>written²⁵⁵</u> comments received by the proposing agency or applicant pursuant to this section shall be responded to in writing and as appropriate, incorporated into the draft EIS by the proposing agency or applicant prior to the filing of the draft EIS with the approving agency or accepting authority. Letters submitted which contain no comments on the project but only serve to acknowledge receipt of the document do not require a written response. Acknowledgement of receipt of these items must be included in the final environmental assessment or²⁵⁶ final statement <u>draft EIS</u>²⁵⁷.

[Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-6)

²⁵⁴ Removes the requirement to provide a copy because the EISPN is available online to anyone at any time.

²⁵⁵ Adds written as a requirement for being responded to and reproduced in the draft EIS.

²⁵⁶ Removes final EA requirement because a final EA may not have been prepared.

²⁵⁷ Replaces final EIS with draft EI, mirroring the previous sentence.

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

§11-200-16 Content Requirements

<u>For draft and final EISs, The environmental impact statement the document</u>²⁵⁸ shall contain an explanation of the environmental consequences of the proposed action, <u>pursuant to section 11-</u>200-17²⁵⁹. The contents shall fully declare the environmental implications of the proposed action and shall discuss all relevant and feasible <u>reasonably foreseeable</u>²⁶⁰ consequences of the action. In order that the public can be fully informed and that the agency can make a sound decision based upon the full range of responsible opinion on environmental effects, a statement an EIS shall include responsible opposing views, if any, on significant environmental issues raised by the proposal.

[Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-2, 343-5, 343-6)

²⁵⁸ Clarifies that Section 16 applies to both draft and final EISs.

²⁵⁹ Explicitly connects HAR §11-200-16 and §11-200-17.

²⁶⁰ Replaces "relevant and feasible" with "reasonably foreseeable," a phrase in line with NEPA, with more case history, and federal guidance to provide clarity on the desired standard.

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

§11-200-17 Content Requirements; Draft Environmental Impact Statement

- (a) The draft EIS, at a minimum, shall contain the information required in this section.
- (b) The draft EIS shall contain a summary sheet which <u>that</u> concisely discusses the following:
 - (1) Brief description of the action;
 - Significant beneficial and adverse impacts (including cumulative impacts and secondary impacts);
 - (3) Proposed mitigation measures;
 - (4) Alternatives considered;
 - (5) Unresolved issues; and
 - (6) Compatibility with land use plans and policies, and listing of permits or approvals.<u>; and</u>²⁶¹
 - (7) A list of relevant documents, including EAs and EISs, used to identify potential segmentation or cumulative impacts.²⁶²
- (c) The draft EIS shall contain a table of contents.
- (d) The draft EIS shall contain a separate and distinct section that includes a statement of <u>the²⁶³</u> purpose and need for the proposed action.
- (e) The draft EIS shall contain a project description which shall include the following information, but need not supply extensive detail beyond that needed for evaluation and review of the environmental impact:
 - A detailed map (preferably a United States Geological Survey topographic map, Flood Insurance Rate Maps or Floodway Boundary Maps as applicable) and a related regional map;
 - (2) Statement of objectives Objectives of the proposed action²⁶⁴;
 - General description of the action's technical, economic, social, <u>cultural</u>,²⁶⁵ and environmental characteristics;
 - (4) Use of public funds or lands for the action;

²⁶¹ Housekeeping.

²⁶² This list is meant to help readers be aware that the proponent considered other actions that may be relevant from the perspective of segmentation or cumulative impacts and thereby be able to bring other documents to the attention of the proponent or decision maker. The list could be included in references, which is already a content requirement.

²⁶³ "Statement" is a technical word in HRS 343 and HAR 11-200, so removed the word because it is used in a different sense here.

²⁶⁴ "Statement" is a technical word in HRS 343 and HAR 11-200, so removed the word because it is used in a different sense here.

²⁶⁵ Adds cultural to the characteristics, in line with Act 50 (2000).

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

- (5) Phasing and timing of action;
- (6) Summary technical data, diagrams, and other information necessary to permit an evaluation of potential environmental impact by commenting agencies and the public; and
- (7) Historic perspective.
- (f) The draft EIS shall describe in a separate and distinct section <u>reasonable</u>²⁶⁶ alternatives which <u>that</u> could attain the objectives of the action, <u>regardless of cost</u>, in <u>sufficient detail</u> to explain why they were rejected and for alternatives that were eliminated from detailed <u>study</u>, <u>briefly discuss the reasons for eliminating them</u>²⁶⁷. The section shall include a rigorous exploration and objective evaluation of the environmental impacts of all such alternative actions. Particular attention shall be given to alternatives that might enhance environmental quality or avoid, reduce, or minimize some or all of the adverse environmental effects, costs, and risks. Examples of alternatives include:
 - (1) The alternative of no action;
 - Alternatives requiring actions of a significantly different nature which that would provide similar benefits with different environmental impacts;
 - Alternatives related to different designs or details of the proposed actions which that would present different environmental impacts;
 - (4) The alternative of postponing action pending further study; and,
 - (5) Alternative locations for the proposed project.

In each case, the analysis shall be sufficiently detailed to allow the comparative evaluation of the environmental benefits, costs, and risks of the proposed action and each reasonable alternative. For any agency actions, the discussion of alternatives shall include, where relevant, those alternatives not within the existing authority of the agency.

(g) The draft EIS shall include a description of the environmental setting, including a description of the environment in the vicinity of the action, as it exists before commencement of the action, from both a local and regional perspective. Special emphasis shall be placed on environmental resources that are rare or unique to the region and the project site (including natural or human-made resources of historic, <u>cultural.</u>²⁶⁸ archaeological, or aesthetic significance); specific reference to related projects, public and private, existent or planned in the region shall also be included for purposes of examining the possible overall cumulative impacts of such actions. Proposing agencies and applicants shall also identify, where appropriate, population and growth characteristics of the affected area, and any population and growth assumptions

²⁶⁶ Incorporates language from NEPA's 40 CFR 1502.14(a): Rigorously explore and objectively evaluate all reasonable alternatives, and for alternatives which were eliminated from detailed study, briefly discuss the reasons for their having been eliminated.

²⁶⁷ Incorporates language from NEPA's 40 CFR 1502.14(a): Rigorously explore and objectively evaluate all reasonable alternatives, and for alternatives which were eliminated from detailed study, briefly discuss the reasons for their having been eliminated.

²⁶⁸ Adds cultural, in line with Act 50 (2000).

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

used to justify the action, and determine any269 secondary population and growth impacts resulting from the proposed action and its alternatives. In any event, it is essential that the sources of data used to identify, qualify, or evaluate any and all environmental consequences be expressly noted in the draft EIS²⁷⁰.

- The draft EIS shall include a statement description²⁷¹ of the relationship of the proposed (h) action to land use and resource²⁷² plans, policies, and controls for the affected area. Discussion of how the proposed action may conform or conflict with objectives and specific terms of approved or proposed land use and resource²⁷³ plans, policies, and controls, if any, for the area affected shall be included. Where a conflict or inconsistency exists, the statement draft EIS274 shall describe the extent to which the agency or applicant has reconciled its proposed action with the plan, policy, or control, and the reasons why the agency or applicant has decided to proceed, notwithstanding the absence of full reconciliation. The draft EIS shall also contain a list of necessary approvals, required for the action, from governmental agencies, boards, or commissions or other similar groups having jurisdiction. The status of each identified approval shall also be described.
- The draft EIS shall include a statement an analysis²⁷⁵ of the probable impact of the (i) proposed action on the environment, and impacts of the natural or human environment on the project, which shall include consideration of all phases of the action and consideration of all consequences on the environment;, including direct and indirect effects shall be included²⁷⁶. The interrelationships and cumulative environmental impacts of the proposed action and other related projects shall be discussed in the draft EIS. It should be realized The draft EIS should recognize²⁷⁷ that several actions, in particular those that involve the construction of public facilities or structures (e.g., highways, airports, sewer systems, water resource projects, etc.) may well stimulate or induce secondary effects. These secondary effects may be equally important as, or more important than, primary effects, and shall be thoroughly discussed to fully describe the probable impact of the proposed action on the environment. The population and growth impacts of an action shall be estimated if expected to be significant, and an evaluation shall be²⁷⁸ made of the effects of any possible change in population patterns or growth

²⁶⁹ Housekeeping.

²⁷⁰ Housekeeping.

²⁷¹ Removes the word statement, which is a technical word in chapter 343, HRS, that refers to an EIS. Uses "description" similar to other paragraphs.

²⁷² Includes natural resource plans such as water management plans.

²⁷³ Includes natural resource plans such as water management plans.

²⁷⁴ Clarifies that this applies to draft EISs.

²⁷⁵ Removes the word statement, which is a technical word in chapter 343, HRS, that refers to an EIS. Emphasizes that an analysis is important for the impact discussion.

²⁷⁶ Housekeeping.

²⁷⁷ Housekeeping.

²⁷⁸ Housekeeping.

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report <u>Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements</u>

upon the resource base, including but not limited to land use, water, and public services, of the area in question. Also, if the proposed action constitutes a direct or indirect source of pollution as determined by any governmental agency, necessary data <u>regarding these</u> <u>impacts</u>²⁷⁹ shall be incorporated into the EIS. The significance of the impacts shall be discussed in terms of subsections (j), (k), (l), and (m).

- (j) The draft EIS shall include in a separate and distinct section a description of the relationship between local short-term uses of humanity's environment and the maintenance and enhancement of long-term productivity. The extent to which the proposed action involves trade-offs among short-term and long-term gains and losses shall be discussed. The discussion shall include the extent to which the proposed action forecloses future options, narrows the range of beneficial uses of the environment, or poses long-term risks to health or safety. In this context, short-term and long-term do not necessarily refer to any fixed time periods, but shall be viewed in terms of the environmentally significant consequences of the proposed action.
- (k) The draft EIS shall include in a separate and distinct section a description of all irreversible and irretrievable commitments of resources that would be involved in the proposed action should it be implemented. Identification of unavoidable impacts and the extent to which the action makes use of non-renewable resources during the phases of the action, or irreversibly curtails the range of potential uses of the environment shall also be included. The possibility of environmental accidents resulting from any phase of the action shall also be considered. Agencies shall avoid construing the term "resources" to mean only the labor and materials devoted to an action. "Resources" also means the natural and cultural resources committed to loss or destruction by the action. "Resources" shall be construed to also mean the natural and cultural resources irreversibly and irretrievably committed to the action and not only to the labor and materials committed to the action and not only to the labor and materials committed to the action and not only to the labor and materials committed to the action.
- (I) The draft EIS shall address all probable adverse environmental effects which that cannot be avoided. Any adverse effects such as water or air pollution, urban congestion, threats to public health, or other consequences adverse to environmental goals and guidelines established by environmental response laws, coastal zone management laws, pollution control and abatement laws, and environmental policy such as that including those²⁸¹found in chapters 128D, 205A, 342B, 342C, 342D, 342E, 342F, 342G, 342H, 342I, 342J, 342L, 342N, 342P, and 344, HRS, shall be included, including and²⁸² those effects discussed in other actions subsections of this paragraph section²⁸³ which that are adverse and unavoidable under the proposed action. Also, the rationale for proceeding

²⁷⁹ Clarifies what the data should be about.

²⁸⁰ Clarified the language so that everyone, not just agencies, understand the use of the term "resources".

²⁸¹ Housekeeping.

²⁸² Housekeeping.

²⁸³ Removes

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report <u>Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements</u>

with a proposed action, notwithstanding unavoidable effects, shall be clearly set forth in this section. The draft EIS shall indicate what other interests and considerations of governmental policies are thought to offset the adverse environmental effects of the proposed action. The statement EIS shall also indicate the extent to which these stated countervailing benefits could be realized by following reasonable alternatives to the proposed action that would avoid some or all of the adverse environmental effects.

- (m) The draft EIS shall consider mitigation measures proposed to avoid, minimize, rectify, or reduce impact impacts²⁸⁴, including provision for compensation for losses of cultural, community, historical, archaeological, fish and wildlife resources, including the acquisition of land, waters, and interests therein. Description of any mitigation measures included in the action plan to reduce significant, unavoidable, adverse impacts to insignificant levels, and the basis for considering these levels acceptable shall be included. Where a particular mitigation measure has been chosen from among several alternatives, the measures shall be discussed and reasons given for the choice made. Included <u>The draft EIS shall include</u>, where possible and appropriate²⁸⁵, should be²⁸⁶ specific reference to the timing of each step proposed to be taken in the any²⁸⁷ mitigation process, what performance bonds, if any, may be posted, and what other provisions are proposed to assure that the mitigation measures will in fact be taken.
- (n) The draft EIS shall include a separate and distinct section that summarizes unresolved issues and contains either a discussion of how such issues will be resolved prior to commencement of the action, or what overriding reasons there are for proceeding without resolving the problems.
- (o) The draft EIS shall include a separate and distinct section that contains a list identifying all governmental agencies, other organizations and private individuals consulted in preparing the statement, and the identity of the persons, firms, or agency preparing the statement, by contract or other authorization, shall be disclosed.
- (p) The draft EIS shall include a separate and distinct section that contains reproductions of all substantive written comments and responses made during the consultation process thirty-day consultation period pursuant to section 11-200-15, responses to those comments, and a summary of any EIS public scoping meetings.²⁸⁸ A list of those persons or agencies who were consulted and had no comment shall be included in the draft EIS.

²⁸⁴ Housekeeping.

²⁸⁵ Removes redundant language.

²⁸⁶ Housekeeping.

²⁸⁷ Changes reference to any mitigation measure process that may result from the analysis.

²⁸⁸ Clarifies that the draft EIS must contain the written comments, responses to them, and a summary of the public scoping meeting (or meetings).

[Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-2, 343-5, 343-6)

§11-200-18 **Content Requirements; Final Environmental** Impact Statement

The final EIS shall consist of:

- (1) The draft EIS prepared in compliance with section 11-200-17, as²⁸⁹ revised to incorporate substantive²⁹⁰ comments received during the consultation and review processes;
- (2)Reproductions of all letters written comments received containing substantive questions, comments, or recommendations and, as applicable, summaries of any scoping meetings held during the consultation and review processes²⁹¹;
- (3) A list of persons, organizations, and public agencies commenting on the draft FIS:
- (4) The responses of the applicant or proposing agency to each substantive question, comment, or recommendation written comments292 received in the review and consultation processes-; and 293
- The text of the final EIS which shall be²⁹⁴ written in a format which that allows the (5) reader to easily distinguish changes made to the text of the draft EIS.

[Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-2, 343-5, 343-6)

Commented [RT23]: Do we need to rehash the response requirements for comment letters on the EIS, as we do for EAs in §11-200-9.1, or at least refer to it? I see the footnote, but ...

²⁸⁹ Connects this section with the previous section content requirements.

²⁹⁰ Removes the word for lack of clarity. EIS rules already require a commensurate response to a comment and new language has been added to allow for grouping of identical or similar comments in the way that NEPA allows. ²⁹¹ Aligns language with the EISPN and draft EIS requirements.

²⁹² Removes the word for lack of clarity. EIS rules already require a commensurate response to a

comment and new language has been added to allow for grouping of identical or similar comments in the way that NEPA allows. 293 Housekeeping.

²⁹⁴ Housekeeping.

PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

§11-200-19 Environmental Impact Statement Style

In developing the <u>draft and final</u>²⁹⁵ EIS, preparers shall make every effort to convey the required information succinctly in a form easily understood, both by members of the public and by public decision-makers, giving attention to the substance of the information conveyed rather than to the particular form, or length, or detail²⁹⁶ of the statement <u>EIS</u>. The scope of the statement <u>EIS</u> may vary with the scope of the proposed action and its impact. Data and analyses in a statement shall be commensurate with the importance of the impact, and less important material may be summarized, consolidated, or simply referenced. Statements <u>EIS</u> shall indicate at appropriate points in the text any underlying studies, reports, and other information obtained and considered in preparing the statement <u>EIS</u>, including cost benefit analyses and reports required under other legal authorities. Care shall be taken to concentrate on important issues and to ensure that the statement remains an essentially self-contained document, capable of being understood by the reader without the need for undue cross-reference.

[Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-6)

²⁹⁵ Clarifies this section applies to draft and final EISs.

²⁹⁶ Removes detail because detail is already discussed as being commensurate with the potential for impact.

§11-200-20 Filing of an Environmental Impact Statement

- (a) The proposing agency or applicant shall file the original (signed)²⁹⁷ draft EIS with the accepting authority, along with a minimum number of copies determined by the accepting authority²⁹⁸. Simultaneously, a minimum number of four copies of²⁹⁹ the draft EIS shall be filed with the office.
- (b) The proposing agency or applicant shall file the original (signed) final EIS with the accepting authority, along with a minimum number of copies determined by the accepting authority³⁰⁰. Simultaneously, four copies of³⁰¹ the final EIS shall be filed with the office.
- (c) An EIS may be filed at any time at the office by the proposing agency or applicant in accordance with section 11-200-3.³⁰²
- (dc³⁰³) The proposing agency or applicant shall sign and date the original copy of the draft or final EIS and shall indicate that the statement <u>EIS</u> and all ancillary documents were prepared under the signatory's direction or supervision and that the information submitted, to the best of the signatory's knowledge fully addresses document content requirements as set forth in sections 11-200-17 and 11-200-18, as appropriate.

[Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-3, 343-6)

²⁹⁷ Removes "original, signed" as it does not make sense for digital documents.

²⁹⁸ Removes minimum number of copies requirement as it does not make sense for digital documents.

²⁹⁹ OEQC only needs one copy, not four.

³⁰⁰ Removes minimum number of copies requirement as it does not make sense for digital documents.

³⁰¹ OEQC only needs one copy, not four.

³⁰² Removes the paragraph because the language is unnecessary.

³⁰³ Renumbers the paragraph.

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report <u>Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements</u>

§11-200-21 Distribution

The office shall be responsible for the publication of the notice of availability of the EIS in its bulletin. The office shall develop a distribution list of reviewers (i.e., persons and agencies with jurisdiction or expertise in certain areas relevant to various actions) and a list of public depositories, which shall include public libraries, where copies of the statements shall be available, and to the extent possible, the <u>The</u>³⁰⁴ proposing agency or applicant shall make copies of the EIS available to individuals requesting the EIS. The office's distribution list may be developed cooperatively among the applicant or proposing agency, the accepting authority, and the office; provided <u>that³⁰⁵</u> the office shall be responsible for determining the final list. The applicant or proposing agency shall directly distribute the required copies to those on the distribution list after the office has verified to the applicant or proposing agency the accuracy of the distribution list. For final statements, the agency or applicant shall give the commentor an option of requesting a copy of the final EIS or portions thereof.³⁰⁶

[Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-3, 343-5, 343-6)

³⁰⁴ Removes outdated depositories requirement as all documents and determinations are available online to anyone.

³⁰⁵ Housekeeping.

Commented [RT24]: How about "....make the EIS available...." there is similar language change above..

³⁰⁶ Removes outdated requirement to provide the commenter with an option to request the document or a portion of it as all documents and determinations are available online to anyone.

§11-200-22 Public Review of Environmental Impact Statements and Addenda to Draft Environmental Impact Statements Public Review and Response Requirements for Draft EISs and Addenda³⁰⁷

- (a) Public review shall not substitute for early and open discussion with interested persons and agencies,³⁰⁸ concerning the environmental impacts of a proposed action. Review of the <u>draft</u>³⁰⁹ EIS shall serve to provide the public and other agencies an opportunity to discover the extent to which a proposing agency or applicant has examined environmental concerns and available alternatives.
- (b) The period for public review and for submitting written comments shall commence as of from the date that³¹⁰ notice of availability of the draft EIS is initially issued in the periodic bulletin and shall continue for a period of forty-five days. Written comments to the approving agency or accepting authority, whichever is applicable, with a copy of the comments to the applicant or proposing agency, shall be received or postmarked to the approving agency or accepting authority, within said the³¹¹ forty-five-day comment³¹²period. Any comments outside of the forty-five day comment period need not be considered or responded to.
- (c) The proposing agency or applicant shall respond in writing³¹³ to the comments received or postmarked during the forty-five-day review period and incorporate the comments and responses in the final EIS. The response to comments shall include:
 - (1) Point-by-point discussion of the validity, significance, and relevance of comments; and
 - (2) Discussion as to how each comment was evaluated and considered in planning the proposed action preparing the final EIS³¹⁴.

The response shall endeavor to resolve conflicts, inconsistencies, or concerns. Response letters reproduced in the text of the final EIS shall indicate verbatim changes that have been made to the text of the draft EIS. The response shall describe the disposition of significant environmental issues raised (e.g., revisions to the proposed project to mitigate anticipated impacts or objections, etc.). In particular, the issues raised

³⁰⁷ Rephrases title so that it is clearer that the whole section is about draft EISs.

³⁰⁸ Housekeeping.

³⁰⁹ Clarifies that the document is a draft EIS.

³¹⁰ Housekeeping.

³¹¹ Housekeeping.

³¹² Clarifies that the forty-five days is for the comment period.

³¹³ Removes phrase because the response must be in the final EIS, which is written.

³¹⁴ Focus on how the comment is addressed in the final EIS rather than just action.

when the applicant's or proposing agency's position is at variance with recommendations and objections raised in the comments shall be addressed in detail, giving reasons why specific comments and suggestions were not accepted, and factors of overriding importance warranting an override of the suggestions. <u>If a number of comments are</u> <u>identical or very similar, the proposing agency or applicant may group the comments and</u> <u>prepare a single standard response for each group. The comments must be attached to</u> <u>the final EIS regardless of whether the agency or applicant believes they merit individual</u> <u>discussion in the body of the final EIS.</u>³¹⁵

(d) An addendum document³¹⁶ to a draft environmental impact statement <u>EIS</u> shall reference the original draft environmental impact statement <u>EIS</u> to which³¹⁷ it attaches te³¹⁸ and comply with all applicable filing, public review, and comment requirements set forth in subchapter 7, <u>beginning with section 11-200-14³¹⁹</u>.

[Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-5, 343-6)

³¹⁵ Because the responses are included in the final EIS, it is not necessary to send an individual response letter to each person who comments. The requirement to send a response to every individual person commenting can be burdensome without a benefit that cannot be satisfied by notifying the person via publication of the final EA. This language is drawn from the CEQ 40 questions, #29a and aligns with NEPA practice, which allows grouping of identical or similar comments and providing one response that covers the issues raised in the identical or similar comments. Because individual responses would no longer be sent, the requirement for OEQC to receive a copy of the response is no longer relevant. ³¹⁶ Removes the word document as it is unnecessary.

³¹⁷ Housekeeping.

³¹⁸ Housekeeping.

³¹⁹ Clarifies that an addendum must begin with the general provisions and consultation prior to filing a draft EIS (i.e., and EISPN).

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

§11-200-23 Acceptability

- (a) Acceptability of a statement a final EIS³²⁰ shall be evaluated on the basis of whether the statement final EIS³²¹, in its completed form, represents an informational instrument which fulfills the definition of an EIS intent and provisions of chapter 343, HRS,³²² and adequately discloses and describes all identifiable environmental impacts and satisfactorily responds to review comments.
- (b) A statement final EIS³²³ shall be deemed to be an acceptable document by the accepting authority or approving agency only if all of the following criteria are satisfied:
 - (1) The procedures for assessment, consultation process, review, and the preparation and submission of the statement <u>EIS</u>, <u>from proposal of the action to</u> <u>publication of the final EIS</u>,³²⁴ have all been completed satisfactorily as specified in this chapter;
 - (2) The content requirements described in this chapter have been satisfied; and
 - (3) Comments submitted during the review process have received responses satisfactory to the accepting authority, or approving agency, and have been <u>appropriately</u> ³²⁵incorporated in <u>into</u> the statement <u>final EIS</u> ³²⁶, <u>and comments</u> <u>and responses have been appended to the final EIS</u> ³²⁷.
- (c) For actions proposed by agencies, the proposing agency may request the office to make a recommendation regarding the acceptability or non-acceptability of the EIS. In all cases involving state funds or lands, the governor or an the governor's³²⁸ authorized representative shall have final authority to accept the EIS. In cases involving only county funds or lands, the mayor of the respective county or an the mayor's³²⁹ authorized representative shall have final authority to accept the EIS. The accepting authority shall take prompt measures to determine the acceptability or nonacceptability of the proposing agency's statement. In the event that the action involves both state and county lands or, funds, or both lands and funds,³³⁰ the governor or an the governor's³³¹ authorized representative shall have final authority to accept the EIS.

³²⁵ Recognizes that not all comments are incorporated into an EIS.

³²⁰ Clarifies that the document is a final EIS.

³²¹ Clarifies that the document is a final EIS.

³²² Clarifies that the EIS must meet all applicable elements of environmental review.

³²³ Clarifies that the document is a final EIS.

³²⁴ Clarifies that the criterion applies to the process from when a proposing agency or applicant initiates environmental review. This captures the direct-to-EIS and the EA-to-EIS pathways.

³²⁶ Clarifies that the document is a final EIS.

³²⁷ Distinguishes comments responded to and resulted in changes to the final EIS and ensuring

comments and responses are appended to the document.

³²⁸ Housekeeping.

³²⁹ Housekeeping.

³³⁰ Clarifies cases where a proposed action has mixed state and county lands or funds or both lands and funds.

³³¹ Housekeeping.

- (d)³³²_Upon acceptance or non-acceptance of the EIS, a notice shall be filed by the appropriate accepting authority with both the proposing agency and the office. For any non-accepted EIS, the notice shall contain specific findings and reasons for non-acceptance. The office shall publish notice of the determination of acceptance or non-acceptance in the periodic bulletin in accordance with section 11-200-3. Acceptance of a required statement shall be a condition precedent to the use of state or county lands or funds in implementing the proposed action.
- (de) For actions proposed by applicants requiring approval from an agency, the applicant or accepting authority, which is the approving agency, 333 may request the office to make a recommendation regarding the acceptability or non-acceptability of the statement EIS. If the office decides to make a recommendation, it shall submit the recommendation to the applicant and the approving agency within the thirty-day period requiring an approving agency to determine the acceptability of the final EIS and as³³⁴ described in section 343-5(c), HRS. Upon acceptance or non-acceptance by the approving agency, the agency shall notify the applicant of its determination, and provide specific findings and reasons. The agency shall also provide a copy of this determination to the office for publication of a notice³³⁵ in the periodic bulletin. Acceptance of the required EIS shall be a condition precedent to approval of the request and commencement of the proposed action. An approving agency shall take prompt measures to determine the acceptability or nonacceptability of the applicant's statement. The agency shall notify the applicant and the office of the acceptance or non-acceptance of the final EIS within thirty days of the final EIS submission to the agency³³⁶, 337 provided that the thirty-day period may, at the request of the applicant, be extended at the request of the applicant³³⁸ for a period not to exceed fifteen days. The request shall be made to the accepting authority in writing. Upon receipt of an applicant's written³³⁹ request for an extension of the thirty-day acceptance period, the accepting authority shall notify the office and applicant in writing of its decision to grant or deny the request. The notice shall be accompanied by a copy of the applicant's request. An extension of the thirty-day acceptance period shall not be allowed merely for the convenience of the accepting authority. In the event that the agency fails to make a determination of acceptance or non-acceptance for the statement within thirty days of the receipt of the final EIS, then the statement shall be deemed accepted.

³³² Breaks the paragraph up to enhance readability. Subsequent paragraphs renumbered.

³³³ Clarifies that in the case of applicant EISs, the approving agency is the accepting authority.

³³⁴ Housekeeping.

³³⁵ Housekeeping.

³³⁶ Clarifies that the thirty days counts from the date the agency receives the final EIS from the applicant; not when the office publishes the final EIS in the periodic bulletin.

³³⁷ Housekeeping.

³³⁸ Housekeeping.

³³⁹ Connects to the previous sentence at the request shall be made in writing.

- (ef) A non-accepted EIS may be revised by a proposing agency or applicant. The revision shall take the form of a revised draft EIS document³⁴⁰ which shall fully address the inadequacies of the non-accepted EIS and shall completely and thoroughly discuss the changes made. The requirements for filing, distribution, publication of availability for review, acceptance or non-acceptance, and notification and publication of acceptability shall be the same as the requirements prescribed by sections 11-200-20, 11-200-21, 11-200-22, and 11-200-23 for an EIS submitted for acceptance. In addition, the revised draft EIS and the subsequent revised final EIS³⁴¹ shall be evaluated for acceptability on the basis of whether it satisfactorily addresses the findings and reasons for non-acceptance.
- (fg) A proposing agency or applicant may withdraw an EIS by <u>simultaneously³⁴²</u> sending a letter written <u>notification³⁴³</u> to the office <u>and to the accepting authority³⁴⁴</u> informing the office of the <u>proposing³⁴⁵</u> agency's or applicant's withdrawal. Subsequent resubmittal of the EIS shall meet all requirements for filing, distribution, publication, review, acceptance, and notification as a new <u>draft³⁴⁶</u> EIS.

[Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-5, 343-6)

³⁴⁰ Housekeeping.

³⁴¹ Added revised final EIS as the next step following a revised draft EIS.

³⁴² Requires the office and accepting authority to be notified of the withdrawal at the same time.

³⁴³ Removes the requirement for a letter and simply requires written notification, such as by email.

³⁴⁴ Includes the accepting authority (i.e., approving agency, governor, or mayor, or delegated authority).

³⁴⁵ Clarifies that the agency withdrawing the proposal is the proposing agency.

³⁴⁶ Replaces "new" with "draft" to clarify at which stage the withdrawn EIS resumes.

Subchapter 8 Appeals

§11-200-24 Appeals to the Council

An applicant, within sixty days after <u>a</u>³⁴⁷ non-acceptance <u>determination by the approving agency</u> <u>under section 11-200-23</u>³⁴⁸ of a statement <u>a final EIS</u>³⁴⁹ by an agency³⁵⁰, may <u>to choose to</u>³⁵¹ appeal the non-acceptance to the council, which within thirty <u>sixty</u>³⁵² days of receipt of the appeal, shall notify the applicant of its determination <u>to affirm the approving agency's non-</u> <u>acceptance or to reverse it</u>³⁵³. <u>The council chairperson shall include the appeal on the agenda of</u> the council meeting immediately following the chairperson's receipt of the appeal. The council <u>shall be deemed to have received the appeal on the date of the meeting for which the appeal is</u> <u>agendized</u>.³⁵⁴ In any affirmation or reversal of an appealed non-acceptance, the council shall provide the applicant and the agency with specific findings and reasons for its determination. The agency shall abide by the council's decision. <u>Pursuing an appeal by council does not</u> <u>abrogate an applicant's option under section 343-7(c)</u>, HRS, to bring judicial action.³⁵⁵

[Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-5, 343-6)

³⁴⁷ Housekeeping.

³⁴⁸ Clarifies the agency issuing the non-acceptance and ties it to the acceptability criteria in section 23 ³⁴⁹ Clarifies that the document is a final EIS.

³⁵⁰ Clarifies the agency issuing the non-acceptance and ties it to the acceptability criteria in section 23

³⁵¹ "Choose to appeal" emphasizes that this appeal pathway is optional, not mandatory.

³⁵² Because the Council regularly meets monthly, obtaining quorum and executing all responsibilities under HAR Chapter 11-201 is extremely difficult to accomplish within 30 days.

³⁵³ Clarifies the Council's determination.

³⁵⁴ Connects receipt of the notice to appeal with the timing of the next EC meeting.

³⁵⁵ Clarifies that applicants may still pursue judicial remedies by directly going to court at any time, even while appealing in front of the council. This provision is in case the council is unable to obtain quorum after an applicant appeals to the council.

Subchapter 9 National Environmental Policy Act

§11-200-25 National Environmental Policy Act Actions: Applicability to Chapter 343, HRS

When the situation occurs where³⁵⁶ a certain action will be subject both to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (Public Law 91-190, as amended by Public Law 94-52 and Public Law 94-83; 42 U.S.C. §4321-4347) and chapter 343, HRS, the following shall occur:

- (1) The applicant or agency, upon discovery of its proposed action being subject to both chapter 343, HRS, and the National Environmental Policy Act <u>NEPA</u>³⁵⁷, shall notify the responsible federal agency, the office, and any agency with a definite interest in the action (as prescribed by chapter 343, HRS) of the situation.³⁵⁸
- (2) Where a federal agency determines that the proposed action is exempt from review under the NEPA, the determination does not automatically constitute an exemption for the purposes of this chapter. In such cases, state and county agencies remain responsible for compliance with this chapter. However, the federal exemption may be considered in the state or county agency determination.³⁵⁹
- (3) Where a federal agency issues a FONSI and concludes that a statement is not required under the NEPA, the determination does not automatically constitute compliance with this chapter. In such cases, state and county agencies remain responsible for compliance with this chapter. However, the federal FONSI may be considered in the state or county agency determination.³⁶⁰
- (24) The National Environmental Policy Act <u>NEPA</u>³⁶¹ requires that draft³⁶² statements <u>EISs</u>³⁶³ be prepared by the responsible federal agency. In the case of actions for which an EIS pursuant to the NEPA has been prepared by the responsible federal agency, the draft and final federal statements may be submitted to

³⁶¹ Housekeeping.

³⁶³ Housekeeping.

³⁵⁶ Housekeeping.

³⁵⁷ Housekeeping.

³⁵⁸ Housekeeping.

³⁵⁹ States that federal categorical exemptions do not automatically result in HEPA exemptions. State and county agencies must still make a determination that the action is exempt, requires an EA, or may proceed directly to preparing an EIS.
³⁶⁰ Clarifies that a federal agency may issue a FONSI for its purposes, but a state or county agency may

³⁶⁰ Clarifies that a federal agency may issue a FONSI for its purposes, but a state or county agency may still require an EA or EIS for its purposes, or issue an exemption based on the federal FONSI so long as the state or county agency has considered HEPA-specific content requirements, either through the federal FONSI or through its own judgment and experience.

³⁶² Language is applicable to draft and final.

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

comply with this chapter,³⁶⁴ so long as the federal EIS satisfies the EIS content requirements of this chapter and is not found to be inadequate under the NEPA by a court; by the council on environmental quality (CEQ) (or is at issue in predecision referral to CEQ) under the NEPA regulations; or by the administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency under section 309 of the Clean Air Act, 41 U.S.C. 1857.³⁶⁵ The responsible federal agency's supplemental EIS requirements shall apply in the cases in place of this chapter's supplemental EIS requirements.³⁶⁶

- (5)³⁶⁷ When the responsibility of preparing an EIS is delegated to a state or county agency, this chapter shall apply in addition to federal requirements under the National Environmental Policy Act <u>NEPA</u>³⁶⁸. The office and agencies shall cooperate with federal agencies to the fullest extent possible to reduce duplication between federal and state requirements. This cooperation, to the fullest extent possible, shall include joint environmental impact statements <u>EISs</u> with concurrent public review and processing at both levels of government. Where federal law has environmental impact statement <u>EIS</u> requirements in addition to but not in conflict with this chapter, the office and agencies shall cooperate in fulfilling the requirements so that one document shall comply with all applicable laws.
- (36) In all actions where the use of state land or funds is proposed, the final statement <u>EIS</u> shall be submitted to the governor or an authorized representative. In all actions when the use of county land or funds is proposed, the final statement <u>EIS</u> shall be submitted to the mayor, or an authorized representative. The final statement <u>EIS</u> in these instances shall first be accepted by the governor or mayor (or an authorized representative), prior to the submission of the same to the <u>Environmental Protection Agency or³⁶⁹ responsible federal agency.</u>

³⁶⁸ Housekeeping.

Commented [RT25]: I am unclear as the rationale for this.

³⁶⁴ Based on Massachusetts' language that federally-prepared EISs are sufficient for the purposes of Chapter 343. The goal is to allow a federal EIS to meet this chapter's requirements provided it addresses this chapter's content requirements. In this case, state and county agencies can provide the information to the federal preparer for inclusion in its document rather than the state or county agency preparing a second document.

³⁶⁵ Adds a clause from Washington WAC to ensure that the federally-prepared statement meets federal standards for quality.

³⁶⁶ Clarifies that in the case of joint documents, the preparation of any supplemental documentation would be due to federal requirements and that HEPA supplemental requirements would not apply.

³⁶⁷ Separated the existing language into two paragraphs; one about when a federal agency prepares the EIS and one about when a federal agency delegates the responsibility to a state or county agency.

³⁶⁹ Clarifies that it is the responsible federal agency issuing the acceptance to reduce confusion about the role of the Environmental Protection Agency in these circumstances.

PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

(4<u>7</u>) Any acceptance obtained pursuant to paragraphs (1) to (3) this section³⁷⁰ shall satisfy chapter 343, HRS, and no other statement <u>EIS</u> for the proposed action shall be required.

[Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-5, 343-6)

³⁷⁰ Changes language to "this section" instead of the enumerated paragraphs because existing paragraphs have been rearranged and additional paragraphs have been added.

Proposed New Subchapter X Programmatic EISs

Proposed §11-200-XX Programmatic Environmental Impact Statements³⁷¹

(a) Proposing agencies may prepare a PEIS on the adoption of a comprehensive plan prepared in accordance with relevant laws. Impacts of individual actions proposed to be carried out in conformance with these adopted plans and regulations and the thresholds or conditions identified in the PEIS may require no or limited further review.

(b) Approving agencies may allow applicants to prepare a PEIS on the adoption of a comprehensive plan prepared in accordance with relevant laws. Impacts of individual actions proposed to be carried out in conformance with these adopted plans and regulations and the thresholds or conditions identified in the PEIS may require no or limited further review.

- (c) Upon acceptance of a final programmatic EIS:
- (1) If a PEIS evaluates project-level issues such as precise project footprints or specific design details, no further compliance with this chapter is required if a subsequent proposed action will be carried out in conformance with the conditions and thresholds established for such actions in the PEIS.
- (2) Further chapter 343, HRS, environmental review must be prepared if a subsequent proposed action was not addressed in the PEIS or the subsequent proposed action exceeds the thresholds evaluated in the PEIS, and the subsequent action may have a significant impact on the environmental. Further review may be in the form of an EIS, EA, or exemption, for specific components of the proposal.

³⁷¹ Provides directions on when environmental review covers a program type of action. Focus is on EISs and when analysis is sufficient versus further, project-level review is warranted.

Commented [26]: Can there be a supplemental programmatic EIS? (SPEIS?)

Commented [RT27R26]: Should we just say (or presume) that in all other respects, a PEIS is exactly the same as an EIS?

Proposed §11-200-XX Content Requirements; Draft Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement³⁷²

(a) The content requirements for a PEIS shall be the same as those for an EIS set forth in subchapter 7, with the understanding that the level of detail in a PEIS may be less than that of a project EIS. The level of detail in a PEIS must be sufficient to allow informed choice among planning-level alternatives and to develop broad mitigation strategies. A PEIS should examine the interaction among proposed projects or plan elements, and assess the cumulative effects. Like a project-level EIS, a PEIS also includes an examination of alternatives.

(b) The PEIS may be broader and more general than a project EIS and omit evaluating project-level issues that are not yet ready for decision at the planning level, or it may evaluate project-level issues such as precise project footprints or specific design details.

(c) A PEIS should discuss the logic and rationale for the choices advanced. It may also include an assessment of specific impacts if such details are available and specific mitigation measures. It may be based on conceptual information in some cases. It may discuss in general terms the constraints and sequences of any narrowing of future options. It may present and analyze in general terms hypothetical scenarios that are likely to occur.

³⁷² Adds direction on content for a programmatic EIS. Acknowledges that a programmatic EIS may not have the same level of detail as a project-specific EIS.

Subchapter 10 Supplemental Statements

Supplemental EIS³⁷³ General Provisions §11-200-26

- A statement An EIS that is accepted with respect to a particular action is usually (a) qualified by the size, scope, location, intensity, use, and timing of the action, among other things. A statement An EIS that is accepted with respect to a particular action shall satisfy the requirements of this chapter and no other supplemental statement EIS for that proposed action shall be required, to the extent that the action has not changed substantively in size, scope, intensity, use, location or timing, among other things. If there is any change in any of these characteristics which may have a significant effect, the original statement that was changed shall no longer be valid because an essentially different action would be under consideration and a supplemental statement shall be prepared and reviewed as provided by this chapter. unless:
 - (1) The project has changed substantively in the following characteristics: size, scope, use, location or timing, among other things, which may have a significant effect; or374
 - (2) New information indicating significant effects, which was not known and could not have been known at the time the EIS was accepted as complete, becomes available.375
- In the case of newly discovered information, the decision to require preparation of a (b) supplemental EIS must be based on the following criteria:
 - The information can be from any source. (1)
 - The information must be newly discovered. It cannot be information that could (2) have been included in comments filed in the original draft EIS or final EIS.
 - (3) The information must be important, indicating probably significant environmental impacts.
 - The information must not have been addressed in the prior EIS, or must have (4) been inadequately addressed.376
- (c) As long as there is no change in a proposed action or information indicating significant effects resulting in individual or cumulative impacts not originally disclosed, the statement EIS associated with that action shall be deemed to comply with this chapter.

[Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-5, 343-6)

³⁷³ Clarifies in the title that this is about supplemental EISs (to distinguish from regular EISs and programmatic EISs). ³⁷⁴ Reproduces the language from the definition and above paragraph, pairing it with item 2.

³⁷⁵ Adds a change in knowledge as a potential reason to require a supplemental EIS.

³⁷⁶ Adds qualifications to what can be considered new knowledge so that not any change in knowledge could be used as a reason to require a supplemental EIS.

§11-200-27 <u>Supplemental EIS</u>³⁷⁷ Determination of Applicability

The accepting authority or approving agency in coordination with the original accepting authority shall be responsible for determining whether a supplemental statement <u>EIS</u> is required. <u>If a</u> period of five years has elapsed since the acceptance of the final EIS, and the project or program has not substantially commenced, the accepting authority or approving agency shall formally re-evaluate the need for a supplemental statement and make a determination of whether a supplemental statement is required. A written summary of this evaluation and the³⁷⁸ This determination will be submitted to the office for publication in the periodic bulletin. Proposing agencies or applicants shall prepare for public review supplemental statements <u>EISs</u> whenever the proposed action for which a statement <u>EIS</u> was accepted has been modified to the extent that new or different environmental impacts are anticipated. A supplemental statement <u>statement EIS</u> shall be warranted when the scope of an action has been substantially increased, when the intensity of environmental impacts will be increased, when the mitigating measures originally planned are not to be implemented, or where new circumstances or evidence have brought to light different or likely increased environmental impacts not previously dealt with.

[Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-5, 343-6)

³⁷⁷ Clarifies in the title that this is about supplemental EISs (to distinguish from regular EISs and programmatic EISs).
³⁷⁸ Sets a default five-year period for agencies to take a look at whether a supplemental EIS may or may

³⁷⁸ Sets a default five-year period for agencies to take a look at whether a supplemental EIS may or may not be required, but also puts a boundary on when that period is no longer relevant but setting substantial commencement as a point where supplemental EISs may no longer be required. A definition for substantial commencement is proposed in section 11-200-2.

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report <u>Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements</u>

§11-200-28 Supplemental EIS³⁷⁹ Contents

The contents of the supplemental statement <u>EIS</u> shall be the same as required by this chapter for the EIS and may incorporate by reference unchanged material from the same; however, in addition, it shall fully document the proposed changes from the original EIS, including changes in ambient conditions or available information that have a bearing on a proposed action or its impacts, the positive and negative aspects of these changes, and shall comply with the content requirements of section 11-200-16 as they relate to the changes.

[Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-6)

³⁷⁹ Clarifies in the title that this is about supplemental EISs (to distinguish from regular EISs and programmatic EISs).

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

§11-200-29 Supplemental EIS³⁸⁰ Procedures

The requirements of the thirty-day consultation, filing public notice, distribution, the forty-five-day public review, comments and response, and acceptance procedures, shall be the same for the supplemental statement <u>EIS</u> as is prescribed by this chapter for an EIS.

[Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-6)

³⁸⁰ Clarifies in the title that this is about supplemental EISs (to distinguish from regular EISs and programmatic EISs).

Subchapter 11 Severability

§11-200-30 Severability

If any provision of this chapter or the application thereof to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the invalidity shall not affect other provisions or applications of this chapter which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application; and to this end, the provisions of this chapter are declared to be severable.

[Eff 12/6/85; comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-6, 343-8)

Note

Historical Note: Chapter 11-200, HAR, is based substantially on the Environmental Impact Statement Regulations of the Environmental Quality Commission. [Eff 6/2/75; R 12/6/85] Amendments to and compilation of chapter 200, title 11, Hawaii Administrative Rules, and the repeal of section 11-200-11, Hawaii Administrative Rules were adopted on March 27, 1996 following public hearings held on November 14, 1995, November 16, 1995, November 17, 1995, November 20, 1995 and November 21, 1995 after public notice was given in the Honolulu Advertiser, Honolulu Star-Bulletin, Maui News, The Garden Island, West Hawaii Today, Hawaii Tribune-Herald and Molokai Dispatch on October 12, 1995.

Amendment in 2007 to section 11-200-8 to include an exemption class for affordable housing. It has not been compiled.

"Applicant" means any person who, pursuant to statute, ordinance, or rule, officially requests
 approval from an agency for a proposed action.

Approval[®] means a discretionary consent required from an agency prior to actual¹¹
 timplementation of an action. Discretionary consent means a consent, sanction, or
 recommendation from an agency for which judgment and free will may be exercised by the
 issuing agency, as distinguished from a ministerial consent. Ministerial consent means a
 consent, sanction, or recommendation from an agency upon a given set of facts, as prescribed
 by law or rule without the use of judgment or discretion.¹²

⁹ "Approving agency" means an agency that issues an approval prior to actual¹³ implementation

10 of an <u>applicant¹⁴</u> action, <u>determines the need for an EA or EIS</u>, and issues the exemption,

¹¹ FONSI, or acceptance determination.¹⁵ The approving agency may be an accepting authority for

12 an applicant final EIS.¹⁶

¹³ <u>"Concurrence" means the discretionary consent of the council to an agency exemption list.</u>¹⁷

- ¹⁴ "Council" or "EC" means the environmental council.
- 15 "Cumulative impact" means the impact on the environment which that results from the

16 incremental impact of the action when added to other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable

17 future actions regardless of what agency or person undertakes such other actions. Cumulative

¹⁸ impacts can result from individually minor but collectively significant actions taking place over a

19 period of time.

Discretionary consent means a consent, sanction, or recommendation from an agency for which
 judgment and free will may be exercised by the issuing agency, as distinguished from a
 ministerial consent. Ministerial consent means a consent, sanction, or recommendation from an

Definition of these two terms not that clear. For example, traffic control plans require approval based on judgement and discretion, but does not mean an EA TS required.

- 23 ¹¹ Does not add meaning to sentence so removing the word.
- 24 ¹² Removed "discretionary consent" from the definition and made it a standalone definition that mirrors the 25 statute.
- ¹³ Does not add meaning to sentence so removing the word.
- ¹⁴ Approving agencies are only in the case of applicants.
- ¹⁵ The approving agency makes the decision about level of review and if the applicant has satisfied HRS Chapter 343.
- ³⁰¹⁶ In the case of applicants, the approving agency is also the accepting authority. This adds clarification to ³¹the definition.
- ¹⁷ Adds a definition for the council's concurrence of agency exemption lists. Concurrence is discretionary
- 33 because it is up to the council to be satisfied with the agency exemption list. The discretionary consent is
- 34 not an approval because it does not apply to a specific project.

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

- 1
- 2. An expansion in generating capacity of an existing, fossil-fueled,
- 2 3

electricity-generating facility, where the incremental electrical output rating of the

- new equipment exceeds 5.0 megawatts.35
- "Preparation notice," or "EIS preparation notice,"36 or "EISPN"37 means a determination based 4
- on an environmental assessment that the subject that an³⁸ action may have a significant effect 5
- on the environment and, therefore, will require the preparation of an environmental impact 6
- statement EIS, based on either an EA or an agency's judgment and experience that the 7
- proposed action may have a significant effect on the environment and therefore authorizes the 8
- preparation of an EIS without first requiring an EA.39 9
- "Primary impact," or "primary effect," or "direct impact,"40 or "direct effect" means effects which 10 that are caused by the action and occur at the same time and place. 11
- A "programmatic EIS" or "PEIS" is an EIS that assesses the environmental impacts of: (1) a 12
- number of separate actions in a given geographic area which, if considered singly, may have 13
- minor impacts, but if considered together may have significant impacts; (2) a sequence of 14
- actions contemplated by a single agency or applicant; (3) separate actions having generic or 15
- common impacts; (4) an entire program or plan having wide application or restricting the range 16
- of future alternative policies or projects, including new or significant changes to existing land use 17
- plans, development plans, zoning regulations, or agency comprehensive resource management 18
- plans; (5) implementation of a single project or multiple projects over a long timeframe; or (6) 19
- implementation of a single project or program over a large geographic area.41 20

"Proposing agency" means any state or county agency that proposes an action under chapter 21 343, HRS.42 22

- "Secondary impact," or "secondary effect," or "indirect impact,"43 or "indirect effect" means 23
- effects which that are caused by the action and are later in time or farther removed in distance, 24
- but are still reasonably foreseeable. Indirect effects may include growth inducing effects and 25
- ³⁵ Adds definition from HRS § 343-2. 26
- 27 ³⁶ Housekeeping.
- ³⁷ Adds common abbreviation for use throughout the rules. 28
- ³⁸ Moves the EA language to the end of the paragraph and combines it with the new direct-to-EIS 29 30 language.
- ³⁹ Adds the direct-to-EIS pathway to the definition of an EISPN. 31
- 32 ⁴⁰ Housekeeping.
- ⁴¹ Adds a definition to go along with new sections on how to do environmental review for an action this is 33 a "program". Most environmental review focuses on projects. By providing language on a programmatic 34
- look, the rules give direction on how to address projects or programs at risk of being viewed as 35
- segmented and acknowledges the tension between earliest practicable time with project specificity. 36

⁴² Added definition because the term is used frequently throughout the rules. - Is this the right word? 11 How about "trade off"? 37 38

⁴³ Housekeeping.

Version 0.1 review >

July 27, 2017

that

other effects related to induced changes in the pattern of land use, population density or growth rate, and related effects on air, and water,⁴⁴ and other natural systems, including ecosystems.

³ "Significant effect" or "significant impact" means the sum of effects on the quality of the

4 environment, including actions that irrevocably commit a natural resource, curtail the range of

5 beneficial uses of the environment, are contrary to the state's <u>State's</u>⁴⁵ environmental policies or

6 long-term environmental goals and guidelines as established by law, or 46 adversely affect the

- 7 economic or social welfare, <u>cultural practices of the community and State</u>.⁴⁷ or are otherwise set
- 8 forth in section 11-200-12 of this chapter⁴⁸.

ladversely affect

9 "Substantial commencement" means that a project or program has reached the stage where its

10 last approval has been granted, or, for government programs for which an approval is not

11 required, the project or program has advanced to the point where financial commitments are in

12 place and scheduled and design is essentially complete.⁴⁹

13 "Supplemental statement EIS" means an additional environmental impact statement updated

14 EIS⁵⁰ prepared for an action for which a statement an EIS was previously accepted, but which

15 has yet to progress to substantial commencement and since acceptance the action,

16 <u>circumstances</u>, or anticipated impacts have⁵¹ changed substantively in size, scope, intensity,

17 use, location, or timing, among other things.

¹⁸ <u>"Wastewater treatment unit" means any plant or facility used in the treatment of wastewater.⁵²</u>

19 [Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-2, 343-6)

20 ⁴⁴ Housekeeping.

21 ⁴⁵ Housekeeping.

24 ⁴⁸ Housekeeping.

26 examination for supplemental environmental review. This language draws on other statutes.

27 ⁵⁰ Housekeeping.

28 ⁵¹ Incorporates substantial commencement into the definition and emphasizes that changes can apply to

29 the proposed action, the environment, or knowledge (ties to supplemental sections).

30 ⁵² Adds definition from HRS § 343-2.

Not sure why we have this phrase only for this item.

^{22 &}lt;sup>46</sup> Housekeeping.

^{23 &}lt;sup>47</sup> Updates language to match Act 50 (2000) on cultural practices.

^{25 &}lt;sup>49</sup> Definition is proposed to help clarify when an action has progressed sufficiently to no longer require

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

1 Subchapter 3 Periodic Bulletin

§11-200-3 Periodic Bulletin

2 The office shall inform the public through the publication of a periodic bulletin of the (a) 3 following: 4 Notices filed by agencies of the availability of environmental assessments EAs (1)5 and appropriate addendum documents for review and comments; Notices filed by agencies of determinations that statements EISs are required or 6 (2)7 not required; The availability of statements EISs, supplemental statements EISs and 8 (3)9 appropriate addendum documents for review and comments; The acceptance or non-acceptance of statements EISs; and 10 (4) Other notices required by the rules of the council. 11 (5)12 The bulletin shall be made available to any person upon request. Copies of the bulletin (b) shall also be sent to the state library system and other depositories or clearinghouses.53 13 The bulletin shall be issued on the eighth and twenty-third days of each month. All (eb^{54}) 14 agencies and applicants submitting draft environmental assessments EAs, negative 15 declarations FONSIs, preparation notices EISPNs⁵⁵, environmental impact statements 16 EISs, acceptance or nonacceptance determinations, addenda, supplemental statements 17 EISs, supplemental preparation notices EISPNs, revised documents, withdrawals, and 18 other notices required to be published in the bulletin shall submit such documents or 19 notices to the office before the close of business eight four56 working business57 days 20 prior to the issue date. In case the deadline falls on a state holiday or nonworking 21 non-business⁵⁸ day, the deadline shall be the next working business⁵⁹ day. 22 All submittals to the office for publication in the bulletin shall be accompanied by a 23 (dc) completed informational form which that provides whatever information the office needs 24 to properly notify the public. The information requested may include the following: the 25 title of the action; the islands affected by the proposed action; tax map key numbers; 26 street addresses; nearest geographical landmarks; latitudinal and longitudinal 27 ⁵³ This rule is no longer required as the periodic bulletin is available to everyone electronically and no 28 paper copies are produced by the office. 29 30 ⁵⁴ Housekeeping, Renumbers paragraphs. ⁵⁵ Housekeeping. This is a global edit throughout the document. Any instance of this edit is for 31 housekeeping purposes, unless otherwise noted. 32 ⁵⁶ OEQC does not need eight business days anymore to prepare the periodic bulletin. 33 (b) The bulletin shall be made available electronically. Notice of the publication of the bulletin shall be emailed to 13 any person upon request. 34 ⁵⁷ Housekeeping. 35 ⁵⁸ Housekeeping. 36 ⁵⁹ Housekeeping. Version 0.1

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report <u>Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements</u>

- 1 For an action that an agency considered exempt according to the criteria in paragraph <u>(f)</u> (a) but is not clearly covered by the agency's exemption list, or is on the agency's 2 exemption list but that list has not received council concurrence within the past five 3 years, the agency shall undertake a systematic analysis to determine whether the action 4 merits exemption consistent with one or several of the types listed in paragraph (a).126 5 For such actions, the agency shall obtain the advice of outside agencies or individuals 6 having jurisdiction or expertise as to the propriety of the exemption. An action may not 7 be segmented per section 11-200-7 so as to appear to be consistent with several types 8 Listed in paragraph (a).¹²⁷ This is qualitative. Why not Each agency shall maintain records of <u>such</u>¹²⁸ actions, <u>called exemption notices</u>.¹²⁹ 9
- 10 (eg) 11 which it has found to be exempt from the requirements for preparation of an 12 environmental assessment EA in chapter 343, HRS, and each agency shall produce the records for review upon request. The agency shall provide a means to notify and accept 13 input from the public in a timely manner after the exemption declaration is made. An 14 agency may request the office to publish the exemption notice in the periodic bulletin. 15 The public's right to judicial proceeding on the lack of an assessment under chapter 343. 16 HRS shall commence from the date the public is notified of the exemption through the 17 agency's means or publication in the bulletin, whichever of the two is earliest. 130 18
- (fh) In the event the governor declares a state of emergency <u>pursuant to chapter 127A, HRS</u>,
 ¹³¹ the governor may exempt any affected program or action from complying with this
 chapter. has authority to suspend laws, including chapter 343, HRS. In such an event.
 no exemption declaration is required and the proposing agency or approving agency

- Requires agencies to make exemption notices publicly available either through the periodic bulletin or through their own means. Some agencies already do this by posting them to their website in a
- 34 spreadsheet or in meeting minutes. This helps to close the gap between when an agency makes a
- determination and how the public is supposed to know, so that everyone has a clear date for when legal

37 OEQC.

^{23 &}lt;sup>126</sup> Requires agencies to do consultation for exemptions that are borderline cases or for lists that have not 24 received council concurrence within the past five years. The five years concurrence threshold is an

incentive for agencies to regularly refresh their exemption lists with the council, but allows for consultation so that agencies can continue to use the list but with a higher burden of due diligence.

^{27 &}lt;sup>127</sup> Reminds agencies that an action may not be broken up into smaller pieces to fit within several

²⁸ exemption types.

^{29 &}lt;sup>128</sup> Housekeeping.

^{30 &}lt;sup>129</sup> Connects to the exemption notice definition and emphasizes that an agency has duty to maintain these 31 as a record.

³⁶ challenge begins and ends, without making the disclosure process overly burdensome to agencies or

³⁸ ¹³¹ States the name of the statute for emergency proclamations.

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

1	that the a	approving agency declares exempt pursuant to section 11-200-8, the approving
2	agency s	shall:
3	(1) R	Require the applicant, at the earliest practicable time, to seek the advice and
4	ir	nput of the lead county agency responsible for implementing the county's
5	g	eneral plan for each county in which the proposed action is to occur, and
6	с	consult with other agencies having jurisdiction or expertise as well as those
7	с	itizen groups and individuals which that the approving agency reasonably
8	b	pelieves to be affected;
9	(2) / R	Require the applicant to provide whatever information the approving agency
10	e	leems necessary to ¹⁴⁸ complete the preparation of an environmental assessment
11	TLE	EA in accordance with section 11-200-10;
12	(3) V	Vithin thirty days from the date of receipt of the applicant's complete request for
13	a	approval to the approving agency:
14	4	A) prepare an environmental assessment pursuant to section 11-200-10; and
15	(4	B) determine, after reviewing the environmental assessment and considering
16		the significance criteria in section 11-200-12 whether the proposed action
17		warrants an anticipated negative declaration or an environmental impact
18		statement preparation notice;
19		equire the applicant ¹⁴⁹ to prepare a draft EA pursuant to section 11-200-10: ¹⁵⁰
20	<u>(4)</u> ¹⁵¹ <u></u>	Determine, after reviewing the draft EA and considering the significance criteria
21	ir	n section 11-200-12, whether the proposed action warrants an anticipated
22		FONSI or an EISPN: ¹⁵²
23		ile the appropriate notice of determination (anticipated negative declaration
24	E	<u>FONSI</u> or environmental impact statement preparation notice EISPN in
25		accordance with section 11-200-11.1 or 11-200-11.2), the completed
26	N N	nformational form <u>referenced¹⁵³ in section 11-200-3(d)¹⁵⁴ and four copies of the</u>
27		supporting environmental assessment <u>EA</u> (a draft environmental assessment <u>EA</u>
28		or the anticipated negative declaration FONSI or a final environmental
29		assessment <u>EA</u> for the environmental impact statement preparation notice
		Confusing. Seems to be saying the same

30 ¹⁴⁸ Narrows the language to focus on the EA on the content requirements.

¹⁴⁹ Shifts the focus of preparation to the applicant per Act 172 (2012). 31

Consiste ¹⁵⁰ Removes the thirty-day requirement for an approving agency to prepare, review, and issue an 32

anticipated FONSI or EISPN. Instead, makes the agency tell the applicant within 30 days of receipt of a 33 request for approval which course of environmental review the applicant is to take. 34

¹⁵¹ Inserts a new paragraph for the agency to decide whether an anticipated FONSI or EISPN is 35

36 appropriate. Subsequent paragraphs are renumbered.

¹⁵² Makes this step explicit; it was not stated before but it the step that occurs between the draft EA stage 37 38 and filing an anticipated FONSI.

¹⁵³ Housekeeping. 39

40 ¹⁵⁴ Housekeeping.

Version 0.1

July 27, 2017

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

1		EISPN) with the office in accordance with sections 11-200-3, and 11-200-11.1, or	
2		11-200-11.2, and other applicable sections of this chapter ¹⁵⁵ ;	
3	(<u>56</u>)	Distribute <u>Circulate¹⁵⁶</u> , or require the applicant to distribute <u>circulate¹⁵⁷</u> ,	
4		concurrently with the filing in paragraph (4), the draft environmental assessment	
5		EA to other agencies having jurisdiction or expertise as well as citizen groups	
6		and individuals which that the approving agency reasonably believes to be	
7		affected;	
8	(<u>67</u>)	Deposit or require the applicant to deposit, concurrently with the filing in	
9		paragraph (4), one <u>paper¹⁵⁸</u> copy of the draft environmental assessment <u>EA</u> at	
10		the nearest state library in each county in which the proposed action is to occur	
11		and one paper copy at the Hawaii Documents Center ¹⁵⁹ ;	
12	(7 <u>8</u>)	Receive public comments, transmit copies of public comments to the applicant	
13		and require the applicant to respond to public comments, all in accordance with	
14		section 11-200-9.1 for draft environmental assessment EA, or 11-200-15 for	
15		preparation notices EISPNs and their associated final environmental assessment	
16		EA. For draft environmental assessment EA, the approving agency shall require	av
17		the applicant:	out
18		(A) ¹⁶⁰ to provide revise the draft EA with ¹⁶¹ whatever information the approving	
19		agency deems necessary in accordance with section 11-200-10 ¹⁶² to	
20		revise the draft environmental assessment to inform its determination for	
21		a FONSI or EISPN, taking into account comments on the draft EA ¹⁶³ ;	
22		(B) to incorporate comments as appropriate; and,	
23		(C) to include copies of comment letters and the applicant responses.	
24		-(the The ¹⁶⁴ draft environmental assessment EA as revised shall be filed as a	
25		final environmental assessment EA as described in section 11-200-11.2) ¹⁶⁵ ; and	
		age to ensure that other sections are fulfilled as well.	2
26		age to ensure that other sections are fulfilled as well.	1
27		e term "distribute" because that term is the section heading of §11-200-21, thus giving the	
28 29		ar role in HAR chapter 11-200, so the verb "circulate" is proposed instead. e term "distribute" because that term is the section heading of §11-200-21, thus giving the	
30		ar role in HAR chapter 11-200, so the verb "circulate" is proposed instead.	
31	¹⁵⁸ Emphasizes	s that a printed, paper hard copy is to be deposited at the nearest state library so that the	
32		the proposed action without electronic access are able to review the document.	
33		lest from the State Library that only two hard copies be submitted to the state library	
34		r the local library near the proposed action as an environmental/social justice concern and	
35 36	draft EA.	ument center for archival records. Ideally, these are the only two hard copies produced of a	
37		he paragraph so that the three requirements for the applicant are easier to read.	
38	¹⁶¹ Housekeepi		
39		s that the final EA content should still meet the EA content requirements as set for in	
40	section 10.		
41 42		s that the point of revisions to the final EA is to move toward a decision on a FONSI or on the content and draft EA comments.	
42		e sentence from a parenthetical statement to a standalone sentence.	
44		e sentence from a parenthetical statement to a standalone sentence.	
		00 http://www.uke.org/10/47	

re

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

		Environmental Council r ennitted interaction Croup report
		Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements
		this
1		(89) As appropriate, issue a negative declaration FONSI determination or an stress for the second se
2		environmental impact statement preparation notice EISPN with appropriate
3		notice of determination thereof pursuant to section 11-200-11.2 within thirty days
4		from the end of the thirty day public comment period of receiving information
5		required for delivery to the approving agency pursuant to paragraph 8 ¹⁶⁶ . For
6		preparation notice EISPN determinations, the approving agency shall proceed to
7		section 11-200-15 after fulfilling the requirements of sections 11-200-10,
8		11-200-11.2, 11-200-13, and 11-200-14, as appropriate.
9	(c)	For agency or applicant actions, the proposing agency or the approving agency, as
10		appropriate, shall analyze or cause to be analyzed in the EA a reasonable range of ¹⁶⁷
11		alternatives, in addition to the proposed action in the environmental assessment EA.
12	<u>(d)</u>	For agency or applicant actions, if the agency determines, through its judgment and
13		experience, that an EIS is likely to be required, the agency may choose not to prepare
14		an EA, or authorize the applicant to choose not to prepare an EA, as applicable, and
15		instead shall prepare an EIS that begins with an EISPN. ¹⁶⁸
16	[Eff 12	2/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-5, 343-6)

¹⁶⁶ Changes the deadline from 30 days after the close of the public comment period to 30 days after 17

receipt of the final EA. 18

26 EIS beginning with the EISPN.

¹⁶⁷ Clarifies that the alternatives to be examined are done so in the environmental assessment, not 19

independent of it, and that the agency directs the applicant to analyze alternatives in an 20

²¹ applicant-prepared EA, as provided for in Act 172, (2012). Inserts the term reasonable to emphasize that 22 not all possible alternatives are required to be analyzed.

¹⁶⁸ Incorporates language from Act 172 (2012) allowing agencies to bypass preparing the environmental 23

assessment and instead prepare an EIS beginning with the EISPN. Also allows agencies to authorize 24

²⁵ applicants to bypass the environmental assessment, should the applicant desire, and instead prepare an

		Additional R. Ade
		(Comments - 8/20/17)
	PRELI	MINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES
		Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report
	Poten	tial Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements
	who	t about applicant? Not clear what applicants role is. Later sections for Els use terms like -10 Contents of an Environmental Assessment "proposing
(§11-200-	-10 Contents of an Environmental Assessment "proposing
1_	The proposin	g agency or approving agency shall prepare any draft or final environmental agencies and
2	assessment	EA of each proposed action and determine whether the anticipated effects applicants.
3	constitute a s	significant effect in the context of chapter 343, HRS, and section 11-200-12. The (See ρ , 51.)
4	environmenta	al assessment <u>EA</u> shall contain, but not be limited to, the following information:
5	(1)	Identification of applicant or proposing agency:
6	(1)	Identification of applicant or proposing agency; Identification of approving agency, if applicable:
7	(3)	Identification of agencies, citizen groups, and individuals consulted in making
8	(-)	186 ()
9	(4)	General description of the action's technical, economic, social, and
10		environmental characteristics;
11	(5)	Summary description of the affected environment, including suitable and
12		adequate regional, location and site maps such as Flood Insurance Rate Maps, app Kcant
13		Floodway Boundary Maps, or United States Geological Survey topographic
14		maps;
15	(6)	Identification and summary analysis ¹⁸⁷ of impacts and alternatives considered;
16	(7)	Proposed mitigation measures; Guel and 7 to 10
17	(8)	Agency determination or, for draft environmental assessments EAs only an
18		anticipated determination for draft 545. A) this
19	(9)	Findings and reasons supporting the agency determination or anticipated
20		determination; clearer
21	(10)	Agencies to be consulted in the preparation of the EIS, if an EIS is to be
22		prepared;
23	(11)	List of all <u>required¹⁸⁸ permits and approvals (State, federal, county) required and</u>
24		identification of which are considered to be discretionary ¹⁸⁹ ; and
25	(12)	Written comments and responses to the comments under received pursuant to ¹⁹⁰
26		the early consultation provisions of sections 11-200-9(a)(1), 11-200-9(b)(1), or
27		11-200-15, and statutorily prescribed public review periods.

^{28 &}lt;sup>186</sup> Uses more accurate time consistent with language in the rules..

²⁹¹⁸⁷ Focuses on analyzing instead of summarizing impacts. The use of this word should not be understood

³⁰ to mean a lengthy discussion. It means that the impact discussion section should identify an impact and 31 provide a detailed discussion sufficient to support a conclusion. Summaries tend to be assertions of

³² impact and the degree of significance without presenting a supporting argument.

³³¹⁸⁸ Housekeeping. Moves the word required from the end of the clause to before the word "permits".

¹⁸⁹ Adds identification of approvals that are considered discretionary. This helps to inform why an

applicant is undergoing chapter 343, HRS, and when a proposed action has reached "substantial
 commencement" for the purposes of a supplemental EIS.

^{37 &}lt;sup>190</sup> Housekeeping.

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

- 1 (bc) The office shall publish notice of availability of the draft environmental assessment EA 2 for the anticipated negative declaration FONSI in the periodic bulletin following the date 3 of receipt by the office in accordance with section 11-200-3.
- 4 The notice of determination shall indicate in a concise manner: (ed)
 - Identification of the²⁰⁴ applicant or proposing agency; (1)
 - Identification of the approving agency or²⁰⁵ accepting authority; (2)
 - Brief <u>A brief</u>²⁰⁶ description of <u>the</u>²⁰⁷ proposed action; (3)
 - (4)Determination The determination²⁰⁸;
 - Reasons supporting the209 determination; and (5)
 - Name The name²¹⁰, title, contact information, including the email address, (6)
 - physical²¹¹ address, and phone number of contact person for further information.
- When an agency withdraws a document, determination, or both²¹² pursuant to its the 12 (de) 13 agency's²¹³ rules, the agency shall submit to the office a written letter informing the office of its withdrawal. The office shall publish notice of agency withdrawals in accordance 14 15 with section 11-200-3.
- 16 [Eff and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS § 343-5(c), 343-6)

17 ²⁰⁴ Housekeeping.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- ²⁰⁵ Adds approving agency for the case of applicants because accepting authority only is applicable for 18
- EISs and, in the case of applicant EISs, the accepting authority and approving agency are the same. 19
- 20 ²⁰⁶ Housekeeping.
- 21 ²⁰⁷ Housekeeping.
- 22 ²⁰⁸ Housekeeping.
- 23 ²⁰⁹ Housekeeping.
- ²¹⁰ Housekeeping. 24 25
- Confinition on p. 7 indicates "may" be the same. Resolve conflict? ²¹¹ Includes email as a requirement for contact information. Most communication is done by email so
- 26 providing that is just as important as a phone number or physical mail address.
- 27 ²¹² Clarifies that an agency may withdraw a document (i.e., FEA) as well as being able to withdraw a 28 determination (i.e., EISPN or FONSI).
- 29 ²¹³ Clarifies that the withdrawal is pursuant to the agency's own rules rather than the EC's rules;
- 30 determinations rest with the agency and are made pursuant to that agency's rules, procedures, and 31 practices.

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report <u>Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements</u>

§11-200-12 Significance Criteria

(a) In considering the significance of potential environmental effects, agencies shall consider the sum of effects on the quality of the environment,²³⁹ and shall evaluate the overall and cumulative effects of an action.

4

6

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

1

2

3

In determining whether an action may have a significant effect on the environment, the (b) agency shall consider every phase of a proposed action, the expected consequences, both primary and secondary, and the cumulative as well as the short-term and long-term effects of the action. In most instances, an action shall be determined to have a significant effect on the environment if it: Should this be defined? Involves an irrevocable commitment to loss or destruction of any natural or Seems (1) 1 cultural resource Irrevocable commits a natural resource²⁴⁰. like oil, rocks (2)Conflicts with the state's long-term environmental policies or long-term week (3)environmental²⁴¹ goals and guidelines as expressed in chapter 344, HRS, or other laws,²⁴² and any revisions thereof and amendments thereto, court decisions, or executive orders; Substantially Adversely²⁴³ affects the economic welfare, or social welfare, or (4)cultural practices²⁴⁴ of the community or State; Substantially affects public health; (5)Involves substantial secondary impacts, such as population changes or effects (6)> Not defined? Qualitative? on public facilities; Involves a substantial degradation of environmental quality; (typical all (7)Is individually limited but cumulatively has considerable effect upon the over (8)environment or involves a commitment for larger actions; (9) Substantially affects a rare, threatened, or endangered species, or its habitat; (10)Detrimentally affects air or water quality or ambient noise levels; (11)Affects or is likely to suffer damage by being located in an environmentally sensitive area such as a flood plain, tsunami zone, beach, erosion-prone area, geologically hazardous land, estuary, fresh water, or coastal waters; ²³⁹ Housekeeping. ²⁴⁰ Revises language to match the definition of "significance" in Section 343-2, HRS.

³¹²⁴¹ Revises language to match the definition of "significance" in Section 343-2, HRS.

²⁴² Revises language to match the definition of "significance" in Section 343-2, HRS. Statutory language is
 not narrowed to chapter 344, HRS. This language acknowledges other laws with environmental goals
 such as the State Planning Act.

²⁴³ Revises language to match the definition of "significance" in Section 343-2, HRS. Statutory language is
 not narrowed to chapter 344, HRS. This language acknowledges other laws with environmental goals
 such as the State Planning Act.

²⁴⁴ Revises language to match the definition of "significance" in Section 343-2, HRS. Statutory language
 was amended by Act 50 (2000) to include cultural practices as part of significance.

Should these be larger + bolder. Include in Table PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

Subchapter 7 Preparation of Draft & Final Environmental Impact Statements - Shald this be defined better? Includes

General Provisions applicants? Line 1 on page 35 **§11-200-14**

Chapter 343, HRS, directs that in both agency and applicant actions where statements EISs are 2 required, the preparing party shall prepare the EIS, submit it for review and comments, and 3 applicar revise it, taking into account all critiques and responses. Consequently, the EIS process 4 involves more than the preparation of a document; it involves the entire process of research, 5 discussion, preparation of a statement, and review. The EIS process shall involve at a minimum: 6 7

Doesn

- identifying Identifying environmental concerns, $(1)^{245}$
 - Conducting no fewer than one EIS public scoping meeting.²⁴⁶ (2)
- obtaining Obtaining various relevant data, (3)

8

9

10

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

- conducting Conducting necessary studies, (4)
- receiving Receiving public and agency input, 11 (5)
 - evaluating Evaluating alternatives, and (6)
 - proposing Proposing measures for avoiding, minimizing, rectifying or reducing (7)adverse impacts.

An EIS is meaningless without the conscientious application of the EIS process as a whole, and shall not be merely a self-serving recitation of benefits and a rationalization of the proposed action. Agencies shall ensure that statements EISs are prepared at the earliest opportunity in the planning and decision-making process. This shall assure an early open forum for discussion of adverse effects and available alternatives, and that the decision-makers will be enlightened to any environmental consequences of the proposed action prior to decision making²⁴⁷.

[Eff 12/6/85; am and comp AUG 31 1996] (Auth: HRS §343-5, 343-6) (Imp: HRS §343-6)

It seems all of this should be considered

²⁴⁵ Housekeeping. Breaks the paragraph up and helps to see the minimum elements of the EIS process. 22

for Eks also

Renumbers paragraphs based on addition of public scoping meeting. 23

²⁴ ²⁴⁶ Requires at least one public scoping meeting for an EIS.

²⁴⁷ Emphasizes that the EIS process is to occur before committing to a particular course of action. 25

PRELIMINARY WORKING DRAFT - NOT FINAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

§11-200-15 Consultation Prior to Filing a Draft Environmental Impact Statement

1	<u>(a)</u> ²⁴⁸	An EISPN, including one resulting from an agency authorizing the preparation of an EIS	
2	ba ba	without first requiring an EA, shall indicate in a concise manner:	
3		(1) Identification of the proposing agency or applicant;	
4		(2) Identification of the accepting authority:	
5		(3) The determination:	
6		(4) Reasons supporting the determination;	
7		(5) A description of the proposed action and its location;	
8		(6) A description of the affected environment and include regional, location, and site	
9		maps;	
10		(7) Possible alternatives to the proposed action;	
11		(8) The proposing agency's or applicant's proposed scoping process, including when	
12		and where the EIS public scoping meeting or meetings will be held;	
13		(9) The name, title, contact information, including the email address, physical	
14		address, and phone number of contact person for further information. ²⁴⁹	
15	(<u>ab</u>)	In the preparation of a draft EIS, proposing agencies and applicants shall consult all	
16		appropriate agencies noted in section 11-200-10(10), and other ²⁵⁰ citizen groups, and	
17		concerned individuals as noted in sections 11-200-9 and 11-200-9.1. To this end,	
18		agencies and applicants shall endeavor to develop a fully acceptable draft ²⁵¹ EIS prior to.	
19	7	the time the EIS is filed with the office, through a full and complete consultation process,	
20		and shall not rely solely upon the review process to expose environmental concerns. At	
21 1	eed	the discretion of the proposing agency or an applicant, a <u>A</u> public scoping meeting to	
22 +	hrs:	receive comments on the final environmental assessment (for the EIS preparation notice	
23 24	ens	determination) setting forth addressing the scope of the draft EIS may shall ²⁵² be held	
24	i c.ng	within the thirty-day public review and comment period in subsection (bc), provided that	
25	ward.	the proposing agency or applicant shall treat oral and written comments received at such	
26		a meeting as indicated in subsection (d) ²⁵³ .	

²⁷²⁴⁸ Creates a new paragraph and renumbers subsequent paragraphs.

35 add clarity to the comment submitted and removes the preparer's interpretation of oral comments.

plural?

 ²⁴⁹ Creates a standard set of content for an EISPN determination no matter the result of an EA or going
 directly to preparing the EIS.

^{30 &}lt;sup>250</sup> Housekeeping.

^{31 &}lt;sup>251</sup> Clarifies that the document is a draft EIS.

³²²⁵² Makes the public scoping meeting a requirement and emphasizes that the meeting is about what the

³³ scope of the draft EIS should be.

³⁴²⁵³ Shifts the focus to written comments submitted during the EISPN phase and public scoping meeting to

Acceptability §11-200-23

1

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

- Acceptability of a statement a final EIS³²⁰ shall be evaluated on the basis of whether the (a) statement final EIS³²¹, in its completed form, represents an informational instrument 2 which fulfills the definition of an EIS intent and provisions of chapter 343, HRS, 322 and adequately discloses and describes all identifiable environmental impacts and satisfactorily responds to review comments. 5
- A statement final EIS³²³ shall be deemed to be an acceptable document by the accepting 6 (b) authority or approving agency only if all of the following criteria are satisfied: 7
 - The procedures for assessment, consultation process, review, and the (1)preparation and submission of the statement EIS, from proposal of the action to publication of the final EIS.³²⁴ have all been completed satisfactorily as specified in this chapter:
 - The content requirements described in this chapter have been satisfied; and (2)
- Comments submitted during the review process have received responses 13 (3)satisfactory to the accepting authority, or approving agency, and have been 14 appropriately ³²⁵ incorporated in into the statement final EIS³²⁶, and comments and 15 responses have been appended to the final EIS³²⁷. 16
- For actions proposed by agencies, the proposing agency may request the office to make 17 (C) a recommendation regarding the acceptability or non-acceptability of the EIS. In all 18 cases involving state funds or lands, the governor or an the governor's 328 authorized 19 representative shall have final authority to accept the EIS. In cases involving only county 20 funds or lands, the mayor of the respective county or an the mayor's³²⁹ authorized 21 representative shall have final authority to accept the EIS. The accepting authority shall 22 take prompt measures to determine the acceptability or nonacceptability of the 23 proposing agency's statement. In the event that the action involves both state and 24

- EIS? Should scan document and fix all.

- ³²⁰ Clarifies that the document is a final EIS. 25
- ³²¹ Clarifies that the document is a final EIS. 26

- 28 ³²³ Clarifies that the document is a final EIS.
- ³²⁴ Clarifies that the criterion applies to the process from when a proposing agency or applicant initiates 29 environmental review. This captures the direct-to-EIS and the EA-to-EIS pathways. 30
- ³²⁵ Recognizes that not all comments are incorporated into an EIS. 31
- ³²⁶ Clarifies that the document is a final EIS. 32
- ³²⁷ Distinguishes comments responded to and resulted in changes to the final EIS and ensuring 33
- comments and responses are appended to the document. 34
- 35 ³²⁸ Housekeeping.
- ³²⁹ Housekeeping. 36

³²² Clarifies that the EIS must meet all applicable elements of environmental review. 27

Subchapter 9 National Environmental Policy Act

National Environmental Policy Act Actions: §11-200-25 Applicability to Chapter 343, HRS

When the situation occurs where³⁵⁶ a certain action will be subject both to the National 3 Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (Public Law 91-190, as amended by Public Law 94-52 and Public Law 94-83; 42 U.S.C. §4321-4347) and chapter 343, HRS, the following shall occur:

5 6 7 (1)

1

2

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

The applicant or agency, upon discovery of its proposed action being subject to both chapter 343. HRS, and the National Environmental Policy Act NEPA³⁵⁷, shall notify the responsible federal agency, the office, and any agency with a definite interest in the action (as prescribed by chapter 343, HRS) of the situation. 358

- Where a federal agency determines that the proposed action is exempt from (2)review under the NEPA, the determination does not automatically constitute an exemption for the purposes of this chapter. In such cases, state and county an Ess? agencies remain responsible for compliance with this chapter. However, the federal exemption may be considered in the state or county agency determination.359
- Where a federal agency issues a FONSI and concludes that a statement is not 15 (3) required under the NEPA, the determination does not automatically constitute 16 compliance with this chapter. In such cases, state and county agencies remain 17 responsible for compliance with this chapter. However, the federal FONSI may be 18 considered in the state or county agency determination.³⁶⁰ 19
- The National Environmental Policy Act NEPA³⁶¹ requires that draft³⁶² statements 20 (24)EISs³⁶³ be prepared by the responsible federal agency. In the case of actions for 21 which an EIS pursuant to the NEPA has been prepared by the responsible 22 Need to be defined?
- 23 ³⁵⁶ Housekeeping.
- 24 ³⁵⁷ Housekeeping.
- 25 ³⁵⁸ Housekeeping.
- 26 ³⁵⁹ States that federal categorical exemptions do not automatically result in HEPA exemptions. State and
- 27 county agencies must still make a determination that the action is exempt, requires an EA, or may 28 proceed directly to preparing an EIS.
- ³⁶⁰ Clarifies that a federal agency may issue a FONSI for its purposes, but a state or county agency may 29
- still require an EA or EIS for its purposes, or issue an exemption based on the federal FONSI so long as 30
- the state or county agency has considered HEPA-specific content requirements, either through the federal 31
- 32 FONSI or through its own judgment and experience.

33 ³⁶¹ Housekeeping.

34 ³⁶² Language is applicable to draft and final.

35 ³⁶³ Housekeeping.

Environmental Council Permitted Interaction Group Report

Potential Amendments to HAR Chapter 11-200, Environmental Impact Statements

1 Subchapter 10 Supplemental Statements

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

emental Statements for Supplemental EIS³⁷³ General Provisions §11-200-26

(a) A statement An EIS that is accepted with respect to a particular action is usually qualified by the size, scope, location, intensity, use, and timing of the action, among other things. A statement An EIS that is accepted with respect to a particular action shall satisfy the requirements of this chapter and no other supplemental statement EIS for that proposed action shall be required, to the extent that the action has not changed substantively in size, scope, intensity, use, location or timing, among other things. If there is any change in any of these characteristics which may have a significant effect, the original statement that was changed shall no longer be valid because an essentially different action would be under consideration and a supplemental statement shall be prepared and reviewed as provided by this chapter. unless:

(1)	The project has changed substantively in the following characteristics: size,
	scope, use, location or timing, among other things, which may have a significant
	effect; or ³⁷⁴
<u>(2)</u>	New information indicating significant effects, which was not known and could not
	have been known at the time the EIS was accepted as complete, becomes
	available. ³⁷⁵
	<u>(1)</u> (2)

18	<u>(b)</u>	In the	case of newly discovered information, the decision to require preparation of a
19		<u>suppl</u>	emental EIS must be based on the following criteria:
20		(1)	The information can be from any source.
21		(2)	The information must be newly discovered. It cannot be information that could
22			have been included in comments filed in the original draft EIS or final EIS.
23		<u>(3)</u>	The information must be important, indicating probably significant environmental
24			impacts.
25		<u>(4)</u>	The information must not have been addressed in the prior EIS, or must have
26			been inadequately addressed. 376

27 (c) As long as there is no change in a proposed action or information indicating significant 28 effects resulting in individual or cumulative impacts not originally disclosed, the 29 statement EIS associated with that action shall be deemed to comply with this chapter.

³⁷⁴ Reproduces the language from the definition and above paragraph, pairing it with item 2. 32

³⁰ ³⁷³ Clarifies in the title that this is about supplemental EISs (to distinguish from regular EISs and 31 programmatic EISs).

³³ ³⁷⁵ Adds a change in knowledge as a potential reason to require a supplemental EIS.

³⁷⁶ Adds qualifications to what can be considered new knowledge so that not any change in knowledge 34 35 could be used as a reason to require a supplemental EIS.

Edits to Rules Version 2017.01 footnotes

Footnote 22: Add quotes around "cultural" and "environment" Just a thought: "align the definition with Act 50" instead of current language

Footnote 41, 1st line: replace "this" with "that"

Footnote 56: put "anymore" at the end of the sentence

Footnote 63: Add quotes around the word "final"

Footnote 71: change "phrase" to "phrased" (think we discussed this already)

Footnote 72: change to Clarifies that the "agency" is the "approving agency."

Footnote 74: add the word "the" between "that" and "approving"

Footnote 106: change "direction" to "direct"

Footnote 116, last line: not sure "and compiles" is necessary

Footnote 118, 2nd line: add "of" between "use" and "state" 3rd line: should "and" be "or"? Just checking

Footnote 126, 2nd line: change "five years concurrence" to "five-year concurrence"

Footnote 129: and "a" between "has" and "duty"

Footnote 143: change "distribute" to "distribution" to match section heading

Footnote 150, 2nd line: change "30" to "thirty" to be consistent with first line

Footnote 156: change "distribute" to "distribution" to match section heading

Footnote 157: change "distribute" to "distribution" to match section heading

Footnote 167: delete comma after "Act 172"

Footnote 172: change "to" to "regarding" \rightarrow to read: Adds clarity regarding how to count days

Footnote 173: change "not" to "no"

Footnote 174: delete "as"

Footnote 179, 5th line: change "and providing" to "to provide" 6th line: delete "the" before "identical"

Footnote 180: add comma after "document" and change "so" to "and therefore" \rightarrow to read: The applicant prepares the document, and therefore has the responsibility . .

Footnote 183: same comments as Footnote 179

Footnote 186: think this footnote could use more clarity

Footnote 191: change "3" to "three" to be consistent

Footnote 212: change "as well as being able to" to "and may"

Footnote 214: change "3" to "three" to be consistent

Footnote 219: missing period at end of sentence

Footnote 245: change "to see" to "clarify"

Footnote 257: change "EI" to "EIS"

Footnote 260: perhaps change "case history" to "case law"

Footnote 265: add quotes around the word "cultural"

Footnote 268: add quotes around the word "cultural"

Footnote 271: add quotes around the word "statement"

Footnote 275: add quotes around the word "statement"

Footnote 283: finish sentence

Footnote 287: add quotes around the word "any"

Footnote 296: add "that" between clarifies and this

Footnote 296: add quotes around the word "detail"

Footnote 315: same comments as Footnote 179

Footnote 319: delete "i.e.,"

Footnote 330: maybe replace "cases" with "situations" to clarify that we're not referring to legal cases

Footnote 339: maybe add a comma after "sentence" and change "at" to "clarifying that"

Footnote 348: missing period

Footnote 350: missing period

Footnote 354: change "EC" to "Council"

Footnote 355: capitalize "Council" to be consistent

Footnote 364: add the word "statutory" in "Massachusetts language"

Footnote 365: maybe spell out what "WAC" is

Footnote 371: add the word "when" between "versus" and "further"

Footnote 376: change "could" to "can"

Footnote 378: change "boundary" to "limit" and put quotes around "substantial commencement"