



# ***The Hawaii State Plan***



# ***HOUSING***

***1989***


# ***The Hawaii State Plan***



# ***HOUSING***

*Preparation of this Functional Plan was coordinated by the*  
**HOUSING FINANCE & DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION**  
*in accordance with Chapter 226, Hawaii Revised Statutes.*

Submitted by  Date Jan 6, 1989  
*Joseph K. Conant, Executive Director*

Approved by  Date May 8, 1989  
*John Waihee, Governor, State of Hawaii*



EXECUTIVE CHAMBERS

HONOLULU

JOHN WAIHEE  
GOVERNOR

FOREWORD

Home ownership remains one of the most cherished pieces of the "American Dream." For many of Hawaii's people, it is also the most difficult to reach.

This revised Housing Functional Plan addresses our housing crisis through a plan of action based on State housing development, and joint public and private efforts to finance, build and maintain an adequate supply of affordable housing. The Plan focuses on a renewed State commitment to housing initiatives in six priority areas, including increased home ownership and rental availability, and attention to special needs groups.

With the generous housing-related appropriations by the 1988 Legislature, we have made a start in addressing Hawaii's housing shortage. This Housing Functional Plan will help ensure the timely development of our plans for more affordable housing for Hawaii's people.



JOHN WAIHEE

JOHN WAIHEE  
GOVERNOR



JOSEPH K. CONANT  
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

**STATE OF HAWAII**  
DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT  
**HOUSING FINANCE AND DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION**

P. O. BOX 29380  
HONOLULU, HAWAII 96820-1760

IN REPLY REFER

TO:

**PREFACE**

Chapter 226, Hawaii Revised Statutes, outlines a long range guide for Hawaii's future and establishes a statewide planning system. The system includes the formulation of State functional plans, including this plan on housing, to guide programs and actions and for the allocation of resources.

The Housing Finance and Development Corporation, charged with the responsibility of preparing a functional plan on housing, summarizes herein the results of its study to formulate a comprehensive plan for the development, preservation, and management of housing within the State. Aimed at implementing the goals, objectives, and policies of The Hawaii State Plan and county general plans, this Housing Functional Plan presents a balanced set of programs and projects directed towards meeting Hawaii's future housing needs.

The Plan was prepared in concert with housing-oriented public agencies and private entities. Public presentations of the preliminary plan were made to inform interested persons and organizations and to receive comments.

JOSEPH K. CONANT  
Executive Director

STATE HOUSING FUNCTIONAL PLAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Mr. Don J. Daley (Chairman)  
Consultant to the Chairman  
of the Board  
First Hawaiian Bank  
Honolulu, Hawaii

Mr. Kenneth Chong  
President  
Pacific Homes  
Honolulu, Hawaii

Ms. Nancy Taylor  
East-West Center  
Honolulu, Hawaii

Mr. Dan Davidson  
Executive Director  
Land Use Research Foundation  
Honolulu, Hawaii

Mr. Kenneth Takenaka  
Executive Director  
CILO  
Honolulu, Hawaii

Mr. Randolph G. Moore  
President  
Molokai Ranch Ltd.  
Honolulu, Hawaii

Mr. Leonard Hoshijo  
ILWU  
Honolulu, Hawaii

Mr. Norm Dyer  
Gentry Pacific, Ltd.  
Honolulu, Hawaii

Mr. Richard Melton  
Affordable Housing Alliance  
Honolulu, Hawaii

Mr. Frank Brandt  
Principal-in-Charge  
PBR Hawaii  
Honolulu, Hawaii

State Officials

Mr. Hideo Murakami  
Governor's Special Assistant  
on Housing  
Honolulu, Hawaii

The Honorable Winona E. Rubin  
Director  
Department of Human Services  
Honolulu, Hawaii

The Honorable Ilima A. Piianaia  
Director and Chairman  
Department of Hawaiian Home Lands  
Honolulu, Hawaii

Mr. Mituso Shito  
Executive Director  
Hawaii Housing Authority  
Honolulu, Hawaii

The Honorable Roger A. Ulveling  
Director  
Department of Business and  
Economic Development  
Honolulu, Hawaii

The Honorable William W. Paty  
Chairman  
Board of Land and Natural  
Resources  
Honolulu, Hawaii

The Honorable John C. Lewin  
Director  
Department of Health  
Honolulu, Hawaii

Mr. Rex Johnson  
Executive Director  
Hawaii Community Development  
Authority  
Honolulu, Hawaii

Mr. Sam Apuna  
Office of Hawaiian Affairs  
Honolulu, Hawaii

County Officials

Mr. Michael Scarfone, Director  
Department of Housing & Community  
Development  
Honolulu, Hawaii

Mr. A. Scott Leithead  
(Vice Chairman)  
Office of Housing and Community  
Development  
Hilo, Hawaii

Mr. Kenneth Rainforth  
Acting Housing Administrator  
Kauai Housing Agency  
Lihue, Kauai, Hawaii

Mr. Edwin T. Okubo  
Housing Administrator  
Department of Human Concerns  
Wailuku, Maui, Hawaii

Federal Officials

COL Benjamin Schlapak, USA  
Director, Oahu Consolidated Family  
Housing Office  
Fort Shafter, Hawaii

Mr. Gordon Furutani, Manager  
Honolulu Area Office  
U.S. Department of Housing and  
Urban Development

Mr. Daniel K. J. Lee  
State Director  
Hawaii State Office,  
Farmers Home Administration  
Hilo, Hawaii

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Housing Finance and Development Corporation, as the Plan preparing agency, has had the cooperation of and extensive review and comments from many planning and housing-oriented agencies at the Federal, State and County levels of government; from private organizations; and from interested citizens. For this and the broad range of public participation incidental to the preparation of this functional plan, the Housing Finance and Development Corporation is grateful.

The Corporation is particularly appreciative of the guidance of the Housing Functional Plan Advisory Committee. The experience and expertise of the members of the Committee were essential to the development and refinement of the Plan.

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Forward . . . . .	i
Preface . . . . .	ii
The Advisory Committee . . . . .	iii
Acknowledgments . . . . .	v
I. INTRODUCTION . . . . .	1
Purpose of State Functional Plans . . . . .	1
Role of the State Functional Plans . . . . .	2
State Functional Plan Advisory Committees . . . . .	2
Review and Revision . . . . .	3
Coordination . . . . .	3
II. FOCUS . . . . .	6
Background . . . . .	6
Scope . . . . .	7
Definitions . . . . .	8
III. OBJECTIVES, POLICIES AND IMPLEMENTING ACTIONS . . . . .	10
Homeownership . . . . .	11
Rental Housing . . . . .	19
Rental Housing for the Elderly and Other Special Need Groups . . . . .	23
Preservation of Housing Stock . . . . .	30
Land Acquisition for Affordable Housing Development . . . . .	33
Housing Information System . . . . .	37
Abbreviations . . . . .	39
IV. Appendix . . . . .	40

## CHAPTER I. INTRODUCTION

The Hawaii State Plan (Chapter 226, Hawaii Revised Statutes) provides a long-range guide for Hawaii's future. It establishes a Statewide Planning System to achieve State goals, objectives and policies. This system requires the development of State Functional Plans (SFPs) which are approved by the Governor. The functional plans guide the implementation of State and County actions in the areas of agriculture, conservation lands, education, employment, energy, health, higher education, historic preservation, housing, human services, recreation, tourism, transportation, water resources, and other areas as designated by the Governor.

In 1988, the State's focus has been the preparation of five Functional Plans relating to human services and resource needs:

<u>Area</u>	<u>Coordinating Agency</u>
* Education	Department of Education
* Employment	Department of Labor and Industrial Relations
* Health	Department of Health
* Housing	Housing Finance and Development Corporation
* Human Services	Department of Human Services

### PURPOSE OF THE STATE FUNCTIONAL PLANS

In conjunction with County General Plans, State Functional Plans are the primary guideposts for implementing the Hawaii State Plan. While the Hawaii State Plan establishes long-term objectives for Hawaii, the State Functional Plans delineate specific strategies of policies and priority actions that should be addressed in the short-term.

In addition, there is an increased emphasis on the implementation of programs and actions. Therefore, Functional Plans contain specific, implementable actions that can be directly related to budget items.

The purposes of the State Functional Plans with respect to Chapter 226, as amended by Act 336, SLH 1987, are to:

- \* Identify major statewide priority concerns;
- \* Define current strategies for the functional area;

- \* Identify major relationships among functional areas;
- \* Provide the direction and strategies for departmental policies, programs and priorities;
- \* Provide a guide for the allocation of resources to carry out various State activities in coordination with County activities; and
- \* Assist in reconciling and coordinating State and County roles and responsibilities in the implementation of the Hawaii State Plan.

#### ROLE OF THE STATE FUNCTIONAL PLANS

The Functional Plans primarily address priority actions that should be taken within a two- to six-year period. This time frame coincides with the Biennial Budget and Capital Improvement Program budgetary cycles. The plans primarily affect State operations; however, recommendations for coordinated actions at the Federal, County and private sector levels are also included.

State Functional Plans are intended to act in a coordinated fashion with County General Plans and Development Plans. Chapter 226, Hawaii Revised Statutes, as amended by Act 336, SLH 1987, states that County General Plans and Development Plans shall be used as a basis in the formulation of State Functional Plans. Conversely, the law also states that the Counties shall use approved State Functional Plans as guidelines in formulating, amending and implementing the County General Plans and Development Plans. Thus, State Functional Plans and the County General Plans and Development Plans each draw from the knowledge embodied in the other, and all are essential to implement the Hawaii State Plan. However, State Functional Plans are still not to be interpreted as law or statutory mandates, nor do they mandate County or private sector actions. The Functional Plans assure that problems and issues of statewide importance are addressed, while the County General and Development Plans indicate desired population and physical development patterns for each County, and assure that the unique problems and needs for each County are addressed.

#### STATE FUNCTIONAL PLAN ADVISORY COMMITTEES

State Functional Plan Advisory Committees are established for each Functional Plan and play a critical role by advising State Functional Plan agencies in the review, revision and

implementation of the Functional Plan. These committees have also been instrumental in providing outreach opportunities for participation by individuals and special interest groups in the review process.

Each Functional Plan Advisory Committee is composed of State Officials, public officials from each County, members of the public and experts in the particular functional area. Members are appointed by the Governor in accordance with provisions of the Hawaii State Plan, Section 226-57, Hawaii Revised Statutes.

### REVIEW AND REVISION

In order to be responsive to constantly changing needs and conditions, Functional Plans are subject to review and revision every two years; the timing of which is linked to the review process of the Hawaii State Plan.

In undertaking these reviews, some of the State Functional Plan agencies have developed Technical Reference Documents and/or other technical studies and resource materials which provide background information and supporting rationale for policies and actions contained in the Functional Plan.

### COORDINATION

This Functional Plan document has been produced by the Housing Finance and Development Corporation through extensive meetings and consultations with the State Housing Functional Plan Advisory Committee, the Office of State Planning, other affected State and County agencies, the private sector, and the general public.

The Functional Plan agencies initiate interagency coordination by identifying areas with complementary and competing interests. The review and monitoring activities conducted by their Advisory Committees provide assurance that areas of complementary and competing relationships continue to be addressed in the implementation process.

While each Functional Plan agency develops a process for public and agency input, overall responsibility for assuring coordination among functional plans on a continuing basis, rests with the Office of State Planning.

Each of the participating entities with their corresponding functions are identified in Figure 1.

Figure 1

KEY PARTICIPANTS IN THE STATE FUNCTIONAL PLAN PROCESS

KEY PARTICIPANTS	REQUIRED ACTIONS ACCORDING TO THE HAWAII STATE PLAN, CHAPTER 226, HRS, AS AMENDED
GOVERNOR	<p>The Governor establishes SFP Advisory Committees for each SFP. (§226-57).</p> <p>The Governor approves SFPs.</p> <p>The Governor transmits approved SFPs to the Legislature, Mayors and County Councils for information. (§226-58).</p>
LEGISLATURE	<p>Legislature reviews State Functional Plans approved by the Governor; which are used as guidelines to implement State policies. (§226-58 and 59).</p>
OFFICE OF STATE PLANNING	<p>OSP prepares guidelines for the development, revision, and implementation of SFPs (§226-55). It provides recommendations to the Governor and the Policy Council. OSP also provides reports and special studies for the Governor and the Policy Council. (§226-55).</p>
BUDGET AND FINANCE	<p>The budgetary review and allocation process of the Department of Budget and Finance shall be in conformance with the Overall Theme, Goals, Objectives, and Policies, and shall utilize as guidelines the Priority Guidelines contained in the Hawaii State Plan and the State Functional Plans (§226-52).</p>
FUNCTIONAL PLAN AGENCIES	<p>State agencies designated by the Governor to be responsible for SFP areas prepare the SFPs, work in close cooperation with SFP Advisory Committees, State and County officials, and solicit public views and comments. (§226-57).</p>
FUNCTIONAL PLAN ADVISORY COMMITTEES	<p>SFP Advisory Committees work in close cooperation with SFP agencies and provide advice in preparing and implementing SFPs.</p>
STATE PLAN POLICY COUNCIL	<p>The Policy Council reviews SFPs to identify areas of potential conflict and to assure conformance with the State Plan. The Council submits its findings and recommendations to the Legislature on each SFP. (§226-54 and 58).</p> <p>The Council prepares a Biennial Report for the Legislature which contains recommendations for legislative consideration and action. (§226-54 and 62).</p>

KEY  
PARTICIPANTS

REQUIRED ACTIONS ACCORDING TO THE HAWAII STATE PLAN, CHAPTER 226, HRS, AS AMENDED

GENERAL  
PUBLIC

The general public participates in Statewide SFP Informational Meetings. Members of the public also serve on SFP Advisory Committees and the State Plan Policy Council, participate in statewide surveys, and provide comments and concerns to preparing SFP agencies (§226-53 and 57).

## CHAPTER II. FOCUS

### BACKGROUND

Today, in the late 1980's, the 1949 national goal of "a decent home and a suitable living environment for all Americans" is as important (if not even more important) as ever. Yet the nation, and Hawaii in particular, is far from reaching this goal, especially in the area of affordable housing.

In 1986, Hawaii experienced America's highest housing costs for both owner-occupied and rental housing. Indications of Hawaii's extremely tight housing market also include the following:

- 1) An estimated housing production shortfall of over 20,000 units of which 14,000 are needed by low- and moderate-income families. (If no action is taken, the total housing need is estimated to grow to 86,000 housing units by the year 2000. Of this it is estimated that some 64,000 would be needed by low- and moderate-income families.)
- 2) Rental vacancy rates which have dropped to as low as 1% in areas which are experiencing economic growth. This is far below the 5% level which indicates a healthy housing market with adequate availability of units.
- 3) Nearly 45,000 families living in overcrowded units.<sup>1</sup>
- 4) About 6,500 families living in substandard units.<sup>2</sup>
- 5) A rising homeless population. The number of homeless persons and families in 1987 was estimated at 4,200, this compares to the 1983 population which was estimated at between 1,450 and 1,600 persons.

---

1 Overcrowding is represented by those units with an average ratio in excess of one person per room.

2 Substandard housing is represented by units with inadequate plumbing facilities in which any of the following three characteristics is present: (1) there is hot and cold piped water, a flush toilet and a bathtub or shower inside the housing unit, but these three plumbing facilities are also used by another household; (2) some but not all of the plumbing facilities are present; and (3) none of the specified plumbing facilities is present.

These indicators of a housing crisis point to the need to develop and implement a bold plan of action to address Hawaii's many housing problems. The purpose of this State Housing Functional Plan is to set forth that plan of action.

The Plan is based largely on joint public/private efforts to finance, build, and maintain an adequate supply of affordable housing. It will be a working tool to guide the State, the counties, as well as the private sector in meeting the overall goal that every Hawaii resident will have the opportunity to live in a safe, decent and affordable home.

In order to respond to Hawaii's housing needs, a new statewide housing plan must focus on a renewed State commitment to housing, and the preservation and expansion of affordable housing. This will require active cooperation and collaboration between the private and public sectors, including federal, state, and local governments; housing developers, contractors and subcontractors, property managers, financial institutions, realtors, and investors; non-profit housing corporations, religious and civic groups; and housing consumers.

As a society, we must support efforts to ensure that our low-income population is housed in adequate shelter. Further, we must recognize that dependent populations and many working poor cannot secure decent housing without public intervention.

## SCOPE

### Major Concerns Addressed

The Implementing Actions of the State Housing Functional Plan focus on six broad areas on a statewide level:

1. Increasing homeownership;
2. Expanding rental housing opportunities;
3. Expanding rental opportunities for the elderly and other special need groups;
4. Preserving housing stock;
5. Designating and acquiring land that is suitable for residential development; and
6. Establishing and maintaining a housing information system.

The State Housing Functional Plan is one of several areas of housing planning in the State. For reason of brevity and clarity, this document must assume the use of existing programs at both State and County levels to attain the goals and objectives of The Hawaii State Plan. A comprehensive listing of existing State, County and Federal housing programs appears in the Technical Reference Document.

## Geographic Coverage

The State Housing Functional Plan addresses housing issues on a statewide basis.

## DEFINITIONS

As used in this Plan:

"Affordable housing" in the context of identifying the persons or families intended to be served by such housing, primarily includes housing for persons or families whose incomes are identified as one hundred forty percent or less of the area median income for each of the counties of Hawaii, Maui, Honolulu, and Kauai as determined by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) from time to time, and as adjusted by family size. For the purpose of this Plan, such persons or families include persons or families within the following income groups:

- (1) "Very low income" - those earning 50% of the area median income and below;
- (2) "Lower income" - those earning between 50% and 80% of the area median income;
- (3) "Moderate income" - those earning between 80% and 120% of the area median income; or
- (4) "Above-moderate income" - those earning between 120% and 140% of the area median income.

The term "affordable housing" is generally broken down into two sub-categories, namely "affordable rental housing" and "affordable for-sale housing".

A rule of thumb states that a family should not have to pay more than 30 percent of its adjusted gross annual income for rent and utilities. This being the case, a family with an adjusted gross annual income of \$20,000 should not have to pay more than \$600 a month for rent and utilities. The target group for affordable rental housing is guided by the income limits established by HUD and includes persons and families within the income groups named in (1) and (2) above.

Since the income level for the affordable rental housing target group is capped at 80% of the HUD established median income, the income level for the affordable for-sale target group continues on from the 80% level and ranges upward to approximately 140% of the HUD established median income. This target group generally includes the above-referenced moderate

and above-moderate income groups. However, families with earnings as low as 50% of the area median income could also be assisted utilizing programs such as the Farmers Home Administration 502 Program which subsidizes mortgage interest rates to as low as 1%.

It should be noted that the definition of "affordable housing", particularly the definitions used to describe the four income groups, may conflict with definitions used in other documents or for other programs.

"Homeless person" means an individual who lacks a fixed, regular and adequate nighttime residence; and an individual who has a primary nighttime residence that is (1) a supervised publicly or privately operated shelter designed to provide temporary living accommodations, (2) an institution that provides a temporary residence for individuals intended to be institutionalized, or (3) a public or private place not designated for, or ordinarily used as, a regular accommodation for human beings. Homeless persons may include, but are not limited to, elders, substance abusers, the mentally ill, abused persons, youth runaways, single- and two-parent families, and others with special needs.

"Non-residential units" means those housing units other than condominium units in rental pools and which are intended for transient occupancy.

"Special needs housing" means housing for persons for whom social problems, age, or physical or mental handicaps impair their ability to live independently and for whom such ability can be improved by more suitable housing conditions.

### CHAPTER III. OBJECTIVES, POLICIES, AND IMPLEMENTING ACTIONS

This chapter presents the problem statements, objectives, strategies, policies, and implementing actions of the State Housing Functional Plan. It should be noted that although the plan contains a number of objectives to be achieved by the year 2000, the specific implementing actions contained in this Plan are generally actions to be achieved within a two-year timeframe.

ISSUE AREA: HOMEOWNERSHIP

PROBLEM STATEMENT: The ratio of owner- and renter-occupied units in the State of Hawaii has not materially changed since 1975. Owner-occupied housing units represent roughly 44% of total resident housing stock which compares poorly with the national average owner-occupancy rate of 64 percent in 1986. Although housing stock has steadily increased, much of that increase is attributable to growth in the number of condominium units in rental pools intended for present occupancy. From 1980 to 1987, these nonresidential units increased by 88.2%, compared with a growth rate of 10.5% for the rest of the inventory. Furthermore, the average priced new (or resale) single family home is unaffordable to families earning the median income.

OBJECTIVE A: HOMEOWNERSHIP FOR AT LEAST SIXTY PERCENT, OR ROUGHLY 248,500 HOUSEHOLDS BY THE YEAR 2000.<sup>3</sup>

STRATEGY: Expand the supply of affordably priced residential units through joint public/private sector efforts. Mobilize resources to better assist families seeking homeownership opportunities. Alternate or innovative approaches to developing housing should also be pursued.

POLICY A(1): Direct Federal, State and county resources and efforts toward the development of affordable for-sale housing units.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION A(1)(a): Develop infill projects on Oahu, Hawaii, Maui, Molokai, Lanai and Kauai. (Generally, infill projects are located within urbanized areas where basic infrastructure (e.g., roads, water, sewer, utilities and drainage) are available.)

Lead Organizations: HFDC, Counties

Assisting Organizations: HCDA, FmHA, private sector

Starting Date: Ongoing

Measures of effectiveness: (1) No. of units completed by the estimated completion dates indicated in Appendix A which are affordable to families earning 50% of the area median income and below; those earning between 50% and 80% of the area median income; those earning between 80% and 120% of the area median income; and those earning between 120% and 140% of the area median income; and (2) reduction in indicators of housing crisis (e.g., overcrowded units, persons living in substandard units, etc.).

IMPLEMENTING ACTION A(1)(b): Initiate the development of large scale, master planned residential communities on Oahu, Hawaii, Maui and Kauai which includes opportunities for owner builders.

Lead Organizations: HFDC, DHHL, Counties

Assisting Organizations: Private Sector

Starting Date: Ongoing

Measures of Effectiveness: (1) Units completed by the estimated completion dates indicated in Appendix A which are affordable to families earning 50% of the area median income and below; those earning between 50% and 80% of the area median income; those earning between 80% and 120% of the area median income; and those earning between 120% and 140% of the area median income; and (2) reduction in indicators of housing crisis.

POLICY A(2): Encourage increased private sector participation in the development of affordable for-sale housing units.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION (A)(2)(a): Create and offer incentives to private developers for providing affordable for-sale housing units.

Lead Organization: HFDC

Assisting Organizations: Counties, DoTAX, HCDA, Legislature

Starting Date: Ongoing

Measures of effectiveness: No. of projects and types of assistance provided.

Comments: The following is a list of possible incentives which could be offered alone or in combination with other incentives:

1. Preferential tax treatment

Exemption from the State general excise tax  
Income tax abatement

---

3 Based on the State Department of Business and Economic Development Series M-K population projection of 1,294,200 persons in the year 2000. Assumes 4 percent of the population resides in group quarters and an average household size of 3 persons.

2. Continue waivers from subdivision and building codes which do not compromise the public's health and safety  
Density bonuses  
Increased height limits
3. Financing  
Below-market interest rate construction financing  
Below-market interest rate take-out financing  
Seed loans  
Tax increment financing  
Tax-exempt infrastructure financing
4. Assistance in developing off-site infrastructure
5. Expedited processing of land use, planning and zoning applications
6. Issuance of development rights to public lands

IMPLEMENTING ACTION A(2)(b): Form public/private partnerships and/or enter into public/private development agreements to develop affordable housing.

Lead Organizations: HFDC, counties, private sector  
 Assisting Organizations: N/A  
 Starting Date: Ongoing  
 Measures of Effectiveness: Number of units developed as a result of joint venture partnerships and/or development agreements and which are affordable to families earning 50% of the area median income and below; those earning between 50% and 80% of the area median income; those earning between 80% and 120% of the area median income; and those earning between 120% and 140% of the area median income.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION A(2)(c): Promote predictability and reliance in land use matters by supporting existing residential zoning and approved housing projects. If such projects are meeting the conditions of their approvals and are proceeding in good faith and in a timely manner, then these properties whether through zoning, development agreement, subdivision approval or otherwise should be defended from any subsequent down zoning actions or other actions that would delay their development.

Lead Organization: SLUC, County land use  
decision making bodies  
Assisting Organizations: OSP, counties, HFDC  
Starting Date: Ongoing  
Measure of effectiveness: Perceived  
predictability in land use matters.

POLICY A(3): Ensure that (1) housing projects and (2) projects which impact housing provide a fair share/adequate amount of affordable homeownership opportunities.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION A(3)(a): Impose realistic and fair housing conditions on projects seeking land use redesignations, general or development plan amendments, rezoning, SMA permits and building permits.

Lead Organizations: SLUC, County land use  
decision making bodies  
Assisting Organizations: OSP, HFDC, County  
housing agencies  
Starting Date: Ongoing  
Measures of Effectiveness: (1) Number of housing units affordable to persons and families earning 50% of the area median income and below; those earning between 50% and 80% of the area median income; those earning between 80% and 120% of the area median income; and those earning between 120% and 140% of the area median income developed in projects assessed with affordable housing conditions; and (2) effect of condition.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION A(3)(b): Monitor projects which have been assessed with affordable housing conditions to ensure that homeownership opportunities are actually being made available to low- and moderate-income persons and families.

Lead Organization: HFDC  
Assisting Organizations: Private sector, Counties  
Starting Date: FY 1989  
Measures of effectiveness: Number of families assisted as a result of developers satisfying their housing requirements  
Comments: Funds may be needed if a consultant is hired.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION A(3)(c): Study the impact of assessing developers with housing conditions and exactions to determine if the conditions and exactions are actually increasing the supply of affordable housing for low- and moderate-income families.

Lead Organization: HFDC  
Assisting Organizations: Counties, Private developers  
Starting Date: FY 1991  
Budget Estimate: \$50,000 which has not been included in the 1989-91 biennium budget.  
Measure of Effectiveness: Completion of study.

POLICY A(4): Assist first time homebuyers in purchasing a home.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION A(4)(a): Promote the benefits of the Individual Housing Accounts (IHA) savings program for the purchase of a first home.

Lead Organization: HFDC, Private lenders  
Assisting Organization: DOTax  
Starting Date: FY 1990  
Measure of Effectiveness: No. of new IHAs established each fiscal year.

Comments: In May 1988, HFDC surveyed 18 financial institutions to find out if they participated in the IHA savings program. Only 3 of the institutions offered this program to consumers; moreover, none of them actively advertised the program.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION A(4)(b): Promote and support Federal legislation to establish a homebuyers savings account program by introducing legislation requesting adoption of a resolution expressing the State's support of the savings program and urging positive congressional action.

Lead Organization: HFDC  
Assisting Organizations: Congressional delegation, State legislature  
Starting Date: 1989 Legislative session  
Measure of Effectiveness: Passage of a joint resolution by the State legislature

Comments: This program would be similar to Hawaii's IHA program, and would be exempt from federal income taxation.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION A(4)(c): Promote and support State and Federal action which would allow homebuyers to draw on Individual Retirement Accounts (IRA) and other self-funded benefit plan moneys without penalty if those moneys are used for a down payment for the purchase of a first home or if monies are to be placed in an escrow account to be used by owner-occupants to offset monthly mortgage payments under a predetermined graduated payment plan.

Lead Organizations: HFDC  
Assisting Organization: DOTax, Congressional delegation, State legislature, private lenders  
Starting Date: 1989 Legislative session  
Measures of effectiveness: Passage of State and Federal legislation and written program guidelines which are acceptable to lenders.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION A(4)(d): Introduce legislation to revise and fund the Hawaii Housing Authority's Down Payment Reserve Program, which is aimed at encouraging State public housing tenants to save for the down payment required to purchase a home.

Lead Organization: HHA  
Assisting Organization: State legislature  
Starting Date: 1990 Legislative session  
Total Budget Estimate: \$ Undetermined  
Measure of Effectiveness: Funding of revised program.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION A(4)(e): Initiate a letter writing campaign from public and private officials to encourage the Federal Housing Administration (FHA) and the Veterans Administration (VA) to make adjustable-rate mortgages fully available to borrowers, in order to assist those who lack the high downpayment requirements.

Lead Organizations: Non-profits, HFDC  
Assisting Organizations: HUD, VA  
Starting Date: FY 1989  
Measures of Effectiveness: Number of letters forwarded to FHA and VA.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION (A)(4)(f): Establish a taxable mortgage securities program as a source of permanent mortgage financing.

Lead Organization: HFDC, counties  
Assisting Organization: B&F, private sector  
Starting Date: FY 1989  
Measures of Effectiveness: Number of mortgages provided through the issuance of taxable bonds.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION A(4)(g): Lobby for continuation of the Federal tax-exempt mortgage revenue bond program, as well as the easing of restrictions currently placed on the program.

Lead Organization: HFDC  
Assisting Organizations: Counties, private non-profits  
Starting Date: FY 1989  
Measure of Effectiveness: Continuation of program

IMPLEMENTING ACTION A(4)(h): Establish a Mortgage Credit Certificate program as authorized by the federal Deficit Reduction Act of 1984.

Lead Organization: HFDC  
Assisting Organization: B&F, State Legislature  
Starting Date: 1989 Legislative session  
Measure of Effectiveness: Establishment of program.

Comments: Legislative authorization to implement the federal program is required.

POLICY A(5): Use alternative approaches in providing affordable housing for sale.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION A(5)(a): Lobby the legislature and county councils to appropriate funds to support the development of affordable housing through self-help efforts.

Lead Organizations: HFDC, County housing agencies  
Assisting Organizations: Private, non-profit organizations  
Starting Date: FY 1991  
Measure of effectiveness: Sufficient funding for at least two or three self-help organizations to operate.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION A(5)(b): Assist non-profit organizations to develop homeownership opportunities through limited equity housing cooperatives by offering below-market financing options and federal grants.

Lead Organization: Private non-profit organization

Assisting Organizations: HFDC, County housing agencies

Starting Date: FY 1990 to 1991

Measures of Effectiveness: Conversion of at least one rental project to the limited equity housing cooperative form within 2 years if two-thirds of the residents agree to such conversion. (The pilot project should involve an apartment that is already or is about to be on the market for sale.)

IMPLEMENTING ACTION A(5)(c): Promote innovative designs, site use, construction methods, factory-built, kit, modular and shell homes by providing finished lots for the construction and display of model homes.

Lead Organization: HFDC

Assisting Organizations: Private sector, UH, DLNR, DBED

Starting Date: FY 1990

Budget Estimate: \$ Undetermined

Measure of Effectiveness: Construction of at least one experimental home a year.

ISSUE AREA: RENTAL HOUSING

PROBLEM STATEMENT: Hawaii is reported to have one of the tightest rental markets in the nation (almost 99% occupancy), and an average rental rate that is at least 40% above the national average. (Bankoh, Construction in Hawaii, 1984) The tight rental market can be attributed to a number of factors; the keys being (1) severe cutbacks in federal funding for public housing; (2) the cessation of the federal Section 8 New Construction program, which provided rental subsidies to encourage private sector production of affordable rental housing; and (3) the passage of the Tax Reform Act of 1986, which eliminated many of the incentives available to private investors desiring to develop or rehabilitate rental housing projects.

OBJECTIVE B: SUFFICIENT AMOUNT OF AFFORDABLE RENTAL HOUSING UNITS BY THE YEAR 2000 SO AS TO INCREASE THE STATE'S RENTAL VACANCY RATE TO AT LEAST 3%.<sup>4</sup>

STRATEGY: Expand the supply of affordable rental units through a joint public/private effort. Mobilize resources to better assist families seeking rental housing opportunities. And, pursue sources of funding for rent subsidies.

POLICY B(1): Direct State, county and federal resources toward the financing and development of rental housing projects.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION B(1)(a): Participate in the development of below-market rental projects.

Lead Organizations: HFDC, Counties

Assisting Organizations: HCDA, private sector

Starting Date: Ongoing

Measures of Effectiveness: (1) No. of rental units completed by the estimated completion dates indicated in Appendix B which are affordable to families earning 50% of the area median income and below; those earning between 50% and 80% of the area median income; and those earning between 80% and 120% of the area median income; and (2) reduction in indicators of housing crisis (e.g., overcrowded units, persons living in substandard units, etc.).

<sup>4</sup> In 1987, the statewide estimate of rental units exclusively for resident use was 199,645 units. Assuming a rental vacancy rate of 1%, approximately 4,000 more rental units would be needed to achieve a vacancy level of 3%. It is important to note, however, that more rental units should become available as the production of affordable for-sale units increases.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION B(1)(b): Develop rental housing units for students and faculty of the University of Hawaii system.

Lead Organization: UH  
Assisting Organization: Private sector  
Starting Date: FY 1991  
Budget Estimate: \$15.9 million for student dormitory on the UH Manoa campus; Undetermined for faculty units  
Measures of Effectiveness: Completion of the 300-bed student dormitory on the UH Manoa campus; and approximately 150 transitional rental housing units for faculty in Manoa Valley.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION B(1)(c): Develop housing units for military families as follows:

<u>Name of Project</u>	<u># of Units</u>	<u>Target Date</u>
<u>Oahu</u>		
Helemano	200	FY 88
Helemano	140	FY 89
Helemano	90	FY 90
Schofield Barracks	100	FY 88
Waikakalaua	500	FY 88
Kipapa Gulch	300	FY 89
Catlin Park	60	FY 88
Kaneohe Marine	40	FY 90
Hickam AFB	20	FY 90
Oahu Various	<u>1,000</u>	FY 90
	2,450	

Lead Organization: Federal government  
Assisting Organizations: HFDC, Counties, Private sector  
Starting Date: Ongoing  
Measures of effectiveness: No. of units completed by estimated completion date.

POLICY B(2): Encourage increased private sector participation in the development of affordable rental housing.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION B(2)(a): Offer developers tax incentives, financing tools and other incentives to make the development of affordable rental housing projects possible.

Lead Organization: HFDC  
Assisting Organization: Counties  
Starting Date: Ongoing  
Measure of Effectiveness: No. of projects and types of assistance provided. (Tax credits, GET exemptions, fast-tracking, interim financing, rent subsidies, technical help, etc.)

IMPLEMENTING ACTION B(2)(b): Form public/private partnerships and/or enter into public/private development agreements to develop affordable rental housing projects.

Lead Organizations: HFDC, Counties, Private sector  
Assisting Organizations: N/A  
Starting Date: Ongoing  
Measure of effectiveness: No. of projects/units developed as a result of joint venture partnerships or development agreements.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION B(2)(c): Effectively use new and existing federal, state and county funds to finance the development of affordable rental housing.

Lead Organizations: HFDC, HUD, Counties  
Assisting Organizations: HCDA, State Legislature  
Starting Date: Ongoing  
Measure of effectiveness: No. of units in projects financed by (1) Homes Revolving Fund, (2) DURF, (3) CDBG, (4) rent subsidy payments, (5) FmHA 515 and (6) other funds.

POLICY B(3): Ensure that projects which impact housing provide affordable rental opportunities for employees.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION B(3)(a): Impose realistic and fair employee housing requirements on projects seeking land use redesignations, general or development plan amendments, rezoning, SMA permits, and building permits.

Lead Organizations: SLUC, County land use making bodies  
Assisting Organizations: OSP, HFDC, County housing agencies  
Starting Date: Ongoing  
Measures of Effectiveness: Number of employee housing units produced as a result of housing conditions.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION B(3)(b): Monitor projects which have been assessed with employee housing conditions to ensure that rental opportunities are actually being made available.

Lead Organization: HFDC, Counties  
Assisting Organizations: Private Sector  
Starting Date: Ongoing  
Measures of Effectiveness: Number of employee housing units constructed.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION B(3)(c): Expand the State Teacher Housing Program administered by the HHA to include rental housing for other State employees assigned to work in rural areas of the State where housing is not readily available.

Lead Organization: HHA, DHS, DOH  
Starting Date: FY 1991  
Budget Estimate: \$ Undetermined  
Measures of Effectiveness: Adequate number of State employee housing units constructed to satisfy the need.

Comments: DHS and DOH are two State agencies currently facing difficulties in hiring employees to work on Lanai and Molokai because of the lack of housing. It is proposed that the DHS and DOH seek the necessary legislative funding. Acquired funds would be turned over to the HHA, which would be responsible for developing and managing the rental units.

POLICY B(4): Fully utilize rental subsidy programs funded by the Federal, State or county governments.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION B(4)(a): Pursue a continuous source of funding for the Rental Assistance Program, which subsidizes rents of qualified tenants in newly constructed rental projects.

Lead Organization: HFDC  
Assisting Organizations: State Legislature  
Starting Date: 1989 Legislature  
Budget Estimate: \$15M in FY1990; \$15 M in FY1991  
Measure of Effectiveness: Provision of continuous source of funding by the Legislature.

Comments: HFDC will be seeking legislative appropriations of \$15 million in FY 1990 and \$15 million in FY 1991.

ISSUE AREA: RENTAL HOUSING FOR THE ELDERLY AND OTHER SPECIAL NEED GROUPS.

PROBLEM STATEMENT: Special need groups include persons for whom social problems, age, or physical or mental handicaps impair their ability to live independently, and for whom such ability can be improved by more suitable housing conditions. Safe, decent and affordable rental housing opportunities for special need groups in suitable environments are very limited. Barriers to housing not only include limited affordable rental housing stock, but also fixed income, mental or physical impairments, and "not in my backyard" attitudes.

OBJECTIVE C: INCREASED DEVELOPMENT OF RENTAL HOUSING UNITS FOR THE ELDERLY AND OTHER SPECIAL NEED GROUPS TO AFFORD THEM AN EQUAL ACCESS TO HOUSING.

STRATEGY: The supply of rental units suitable for special needs groups must be increased by mobilizing limited public resources to develop new units; converting existing building to housing; and pursuing alternative living arrangements. A continuum of support services such as a network of information and social services, must also accompany housing.

POLICY C(1): Effectively use public resources to provide rental housing projects for elderly and handicapped persons.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION C(1)(a): Develop affordable rental projects for elderly and handicapped persons.

Lead Organizations: HFDC, Counties, non-profits

Assisting Organizations: HCDA, private sector

Starting Date: FY 1990

Budget Estimate: \$3.15 million for a 60-unit project in Wahiawa and \$10.4 million for a 160-unit project in Waipahu

Measures of effectiveness: (1) Completion of units indicated in Appendix B which are affordable to those elderly/handicapped persons earning 50% of the area median income or less, and to those earning between 50% and 80% of the area median income; and (2) reduction in indicators of housing crisis (e.g., overcrowded units, persons living in substandard units, etc.).

Comments: Development of affordable rental projects for the elderly and other special need

groups with fixed incomes requires very deep subsidies in order for rents to be affordable to these segments of the population. Increased development of affordable elderly rental projects will necessitate increased State CIP funding for land acquisition, project planning, design, and construction.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION C(1)(b): Effectively use new and existing federal, state, and county funds to finance the development of rental housing projects for elderly and handicapped persons.

Lead Organization: HUD, FmHA, HFDC, county housing agencies, non-profits  
Assisting Organizations: HCDA  
Starting Date: Ongoing  
Measures of effectiveness: No. of completed rental units in projects receiving financing assistance from (1) HUD Section 202; (2) CDBG; (3) DURF; (4) CIP; and (5) HFDC's Rental Housing System.

POLICY C(2): Convert existing buildings to housing for the elderly and handicapped.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION C(2)(a): Study the feasibility of converting classrooms in closed schools for elderly housing.

Lead Organization: HFDC, DLNR  
Assisting Organizations: HHA, EOA, DOE, private non-profits  
Starting Date: FY 1989-90  
Measures of Effectiveness: Report findings on feasibility and make recommendations.

POLICY C(3): Increase the use of alternative living arrangements for the elderly.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION C(3)(a): Establish a pilot project under the State Rent Supplement Program which would allow elderly tenants to share a unit, yet still qualify for assistance under the Program.

Lead Organization: HHA  
Assisting Organization: EOA, private non-profits  
Starting Date: FY 1989  
Measures of Effectiveness: Establishment of pilot program and number of participants in the program.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION C(3)(b): Incorporate congregate and other support services necessary for "aging in place".

Lead Organization: EOA  
Assisting Organization: HHA, counties  
Starting Date: Ongoing  
Measures of Effectiveness: Experiment with the incorporation of effective designs and support services in at least one HHA owned elderly project a year.

POLICY C(4): Provide a continuum of housing for homeless persons and families to enable them to achieve greater independence and stability.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION C(4)(a): Acquire, renovate, rehabilitate or convert buildings for use as homeless shelters.

Lead Organization: HHA  
Assisting Organizations: HFDC, private non-profits  
Starting Date: FY 1990-91  
Budget Estimate: \$ Undetermined  
Measures of Effectiveness: Completion of planned projects on the islands of Hawaii, Maui, Oahu and Kauai.

Comments: The budget estimates for the acquisition, renovation, rehabilitation, or conversion of buildings for use as homeless shelters will be contingent upon completion of plans and designs. It should be noted that funding for support services must be provided in tandem with the shelters.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION C(4)(b): Provide funding for the planning, design, acquisition, renovation or construction of emergency shelters and transitional housing to address the needs of victims of domestic abuse, youth runaways, displacees, and other homeless individuals and families.

Lead Organizations: Legislature, non-profits, HHA  
Assisting Organizations: Counties, HFDC, EOA, DHS, DOH  
Starting Date: FY 1989-91  
Budget Estimate: \$660,000  
Measure of Effectiveness: Eight additional shelters provided.

Comments: The estimated \$660,000 is required for the construction of an emergency shelter in Lahaina, Maui. Additionally, the budget estimates for construction or rehabilitation of eight structures, which are as yet undetermined, will be contingent upon the completion of the plans and designs. It should be noted that funding for support services must be provided in tandem with the shelters.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION C(4)(c): Construct at least one single room occupancy hotel (SRO) as a permanent housing option for single persons.

Lead Organization: HFDC, HHA  
Assisting Organization: Counties, private non-profits, federal government  
Starting Date: FY 90-91  
Budget Estimate: \$3 million which has not been budgeted in the 1989-91 biennium budget  
Measure of Effectiveness: Completion of SRO.

POLICY C(5): Provide improved support services for the homeless population and other special need groups.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION C(5)(a): Develop an informational Statewide data system on the homeless population for planning and monitoring purposes.

Lead Organization: HHA  
Assisting Organizations: EOA, DHS, DOH, DOE, B&F  
Starting Date: FY 1989-90  
Budget Estimate: \$ Undetermined  
Measures of Effectiveness: Establishment of a Statewide data system.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION C(5)(b): Lobby the Legislature to provide funds for a purchase of service grant to develop a tracking system that will work with the coordinated network of services at the local level to prevent the homeless from "falling between the cracks."

Lead Organizations: DHS, DOH  
Assisting Organizations: HHA, non-profit service agencies  
Starting Date: FY 1990  
Budget Estimate: \$ Undetermined  
Measure of Effectiveness: Number of individuals and families assisted through tracking system.

Comments: This item has not been included in either the DHS or DOH FY 1989-91 biennium budgets.

POLICY C(6): Provide an array of community based settings designed to offer comprehensive and coordinated rehabilitation and supportive services for persons with severe disabling mental illnesses (SDMI).

IMPLEMENTING ACTION C(6)(a): Establish a charitable non-profit development entity under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code, which would also qualify as a Local Development Corporation.

Lead Organization: DOH  
Assisting Organization: HFDC  
Starting Date: FY 1989  
Measure of Effectiveness: Establishment of non-profit entity.

Comments: The development entity would be created and structured pursuant to Chapter 416, HRS, the applicable State law relating to non-profit corporations. The charter and by-laws would be drafted to qualify the development entity as: (1) a charitable non-profit under provisions of the Internal Revenue Code; and (2) a "Local Development Corporation" under the provisions of the Community Development Block Grant Program. As a charitable non-profit, the entity would be able to receive private donations and would also be able to issue tax-exempt securities to finance its activities (i.e., low-income housing development). The establishment of the non-profit as a Local Development Corporation would enable it to receive grants of CDBG funds from the counties. Additionally, the development entity's status as a non-governmental body would also enable it to qualify for funding sources such as HUD 202 direct loans for elderly/handicapped dwellings. This program is particularly valuable because the HUD 202 loan program is supported by Section 8 certificates that are tied to the project.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION C(6)(b): Acquire and rehabilitate existing homes and begin planning for the development/construction of new dwelling units for SDMI clients.

Lead Organization: Non-profit development entity  
 Assisting Organizations: HFDC, DOH  
 Starting Date: FY 1990-1991  
 Budget Estimate: \$1.5 million in FY90;  
 \$3.0 million in FY91  
 Measures of Effectiveness: Number of units acquired and rehabilitated.

Comments: The housing acquisition goals for SDMI units are as follows:

<u>Year</u>	<u>Type of Unit</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u># of Units</u>
FY 1989-90	Crises	Oahu	1
FY 1989-90	Rehab/Respite	Oahu	1
FY 1989-90	Spec. Long Term Care	Oahu	4
FY 1989-90	Independent	Oahu	8
FY 1990-91	Crises	Oahu	1
FY 1990-91	Rehab/Respite	Oahu	1
FY 1990-91	Spec. Long Term Care	Oahu	4
FY 1990-91	Independent	Oahu	20
FY 1990-91	Various	N. Island	6
		Total	46

POLICY C(7): Integrate special needs housing in new and existing neighborhoods.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION C(7)(a): Formulate a community acceptance strategy to foster a better understanding of housing needs for special needs groups.

Lead Organization: HFDC, HHA  
 Assisting Organization: Counties, non-profit entities, DHS, DOH  
 Starting Date: FY 1989  
 Measures of Effectiveness: Formulation of a workable plan that is acceptable to the public, including suitable public relations materials.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION C(7)(b): As part of any affordable housing condition to land use approvals, require all developers of residential projects to make at least one percent of the total number of units in the project available to special needs groups.

Lead Organizations: SLUC, County land use  
decision making bodies  
Assisting Organizations: OSP, HFDC, County  
housing agencies  
Starting Date: FY 1989  
Measures of Effectiveness: Integration of  
special needs housing units within new  
communities.

Comments: This action is not intended to exclude  
the provision of housing for individuals with  
special needs who are capable of living  
independently, such as paraplegics.

ISSUE AREA: PRESERVATION OF HOUSING STOCK

PROBLEM STATEMENT: Federally subsidized housing is the core of the State's low-income housing supply. Older often substandard privately owned units also comprise a major component of the supply of affordable housing. However, much of the current housing stock is now at risk due to physical deterioration and conversion to higher and more profitable uses.

OBJECTIVE D: PRESERVATION OF EXISTING PUBLIC AND PRIVATE HOUSING STOCK.

STRATEGY: Seek a sufficient amount of State and federal funding for the repair and maintenance of aging and deteriorating housing stock. Also formulate a plan to keep federally assisted, but privately owned rental housing units in the affordable housing inventory.

POLICY D(1): Ensure proper and timely maintenance of current public housing stock.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION D(1)(a): Obtain Comprehensive Improvement Assistance Program (CIAP) funds from the U.S. Department of HUD and perform maintenance work on federal low-rent public housing projects in accordance with plans submitted to HUD in 1987.

Lead Organization: HHA  
Assisting Organization: HUD  
Starting Date: Ongoing  
Measures of Effectiveness: Number of housing projects which are improved or maintained with CIAP funds.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION D(1)(b): Continue to seek and utilize State CIP and R&M funding for the improvement and maintenance of public housing projects.

Lead Organization: HHA  
Assisting Organization: State Legislature  
Starting Date: FY 1989-91 for Phase I  
Budget Estimate: Approximately \$3.5 M is included in the FY1989-91 biennium budget for Phase I of the repair and maintenance program. However, it is estimated that a total of \$37 M is required for extraordinary repairs and other improvements in HHA's public housing projects.  
Measures of Effectiveness: Number of housing projects which are improved or maintained with CIP and R&M funds.

POLICY D(2): Rehabilitate deteriorating housing stock.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION D(2)(a): Provide necessary resources to support efforts by non-profit groups, such as the Neighborhood Housing Services and Mainstreet U.S.A., which are aimed at improving deteriorating neighborhoods.

Lead Organization: Counties, HFDC  
Assisting Organization: Private sector,  
Legislature  
Starting Date: Ongoing  
Budget Estimate: \$211,200 for NHS for FY 1989-91  
Measures of Effectiveness: Number of communities  
and housing units which are preserved or  
upgraded.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION D(2)(b): Publicize the availability of tax credits for the rehabilitation of low-rent housing projects.

Lead Organization: HFDC  
Assisting Organization: DOTax, private sector  
Starting Date: FY 1989  
Measures of Effectiveness: Number of low-rent  
housing projects rehabilitated under this  
program.

POLICY D(3): Preserve the inventory of federally-subsidized below-market rental housing projects

Comments: Approximately 2,437 below-market rental housing units have been subsidized by the federal government under such programs as the Section 8 New Construction and Sections 202, 221(d)(3) and 236. Many of these rental housing units may be lost from the affordable rental housing stock as a result of mortgage prepayments and the expiration of subsidy contracts within the next 10 years.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION D(3)(a): Lobby Hawaii's congressional delegation to support proposed federal legislation tailored at preserving HUD-assisted rental housing stock which is now at risk due to expiring use restrictions, sale of properties, mortgage prepayment, or physical deterioration.

Lead Organization: HFDC, State Legislature  
Assisting Organization: HUD, Congressional  
delegation  
Starting Date: FY 1989

**Measures of Effectiveness:** 1) Adoption of concurrent resolution by State Legislature asking for Congressional support; and 2) co-sponsorship of legislation by Hawaii's Congressional delegation.

**Comments:** Federal incentives include renewal by the federal government of subsidy contracts, allowing owners a higher rate of return on their investment, allowing owners greater access to appreciated equity and residual reserve accounts, grants and loans for capital repairs, tax abatements, and restricted sale to non-profit housing organizations, housing finance agencies, or tenants.

**IMPLEMENTING ACTION D(3)(b):** Formulate a plan of action to purchase HUD-assisted rental units if those units are placed on the market by the owners.

**Lead Organization:** HFDC, County housing agencies  
**Assisting Organization:** HUD, private sector, HHA  
**Starting Date:** FY 1989  
**Measures of Effectiveness:** Formulation of a plan.

**Comments:** Alternatives include acquisition of units by the HFDC or county housing agencies through the issuance of tax-exempt revenue bonds; or acquisition by the private sector, with low-income housing tax credits and rental assistance payments being provided to make such acquisition feasible.

ISSUE AREA: LAND ACQUISITION FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT

PROBLEM STATEMENT: One of the major barriers to housing development is the lack of land suitable for residential development.

STRATEGY: Acquire public and, where applicable, privately-owned lands for future residential development.

OBJECTIVE E: ACQUIRE AND DESIGNATE LANDS SUITABLE FOR HOUSING DEVELOPMENT IN SUFFICIENT AMOUNT TO LOCATE THE DEFICIT IN HOUSING UNITS BY THE YEAR 2000.

POLICY E(1): Promote design and location of housing developments taking into account the physical setting, accessibility to public facilities and services, employment and other concerns of existing communities and surrounding areas.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION E(1)(a): Assess, delineate, and where feasible, acquire, master plan and develop lands suitable for future residential development.

Lead Organization: HFDC, County housing agencies

Assisting Organizations: DBED, DOA, OSP, DLNR, DHHL, private sector

Starting Date: FY 1989

Measures of Effectiveness: (1) Number of units developed in master planned projects initiated; and (2) development occurring in areas that are appropriate to mitigate urban sprawl.

Comments: The intent of this action is to insure the availability of lands for future residential use and to undertake the planning for those areas in an organized manner, reflecting the Priority Guidelines of The Hawaii State Plan and the concerns of the other State Functional Plans. Information and analysis relative to land availability and suitability for housing require continual review and update.

Identification of lands suitable for future housing development strive to be in consonance with general and development plans and prime agricultural lands.<sup>5</sup>

<sup>5</sup> See Agricultural Functional Plan, Technical Reference Document, 1985, for guidelines for the identification of important agricultural lands.

The following guidelines provide a framework which should be followed to address statewide concerns:

1. Water availability must be considered.
2. The location of areas identified for future housing development should consider the proximity of existing and planned urban developments and social infrastructure.
3. Consideration should be given to the cost of building infrastructure, utilities and providing open space.
4. Consideration should be given to the feasibility of using existing urban lands for housing.
5. Development should avoid critical environmental areas, including but not limited to, watershed and recharge areas, important wildlife habitats (land and ocean), endangered species habitats, streams and water bodies, scenic or recreational shoreline, and historic and cultural sites.

POLICY E(2): Wherever practical, develop affordable housing projects on public lands.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION E(2)(a): Survey and reserve for future development State and county owned lands which are suitable for housing development.

Lead Organizations: HFDC, County housing agencies  
Assisting Organization: DLNR, DOT, DOA, DOE  
Starting Date: FY 1989  
Measures of Effectiveness: State and county owned lands reserved for housing development.

Comments: Sites which should be considered for future housing developments include Hawi, Lalamilo and Ooma Mauka in West Hawaii.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION E(2)(b): Acquire at a cost of \$1.00, State-owned lands controlled by DLNR for the development of affordable rental housing projects.

Lead Organization: HFDC  
Assisting Organizations: County housing agencies, DLNR  
Starting Date: FY 1990  
Measures of Effectiveness: Number of rental housing projects developed on land controlled by DLNR.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION E(2)(c): Assess the feasibility of providing State lands for the development of housing for military families.

Lead Organization: HFDC  
Assisting Organizations: Military, Private sector  
Starting Date: FY 1989  
Measures of Effectiveness: Submittal of report to the 1989 State Legislature.

Comments: The proposed housing program would be based on a concept in which the State enters into a written agreement with a developer for the construction of rental housing on state lands that are either given at no cost or sold to the developer at a nominal cost, and the developer sets aside a certain number of units for occupancy by military families. The developer would receive profits from the rental of units at rental rates negotiated between the State and the developer. (See S.R. No. 49 which was adopted by the Senate during the Regular Session of 1988.)

POLICY E(3): Where feasible, acquire privately owned lands that are suitable for housing development.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION E(3)(a): Solicit churches and other organizations to donate land suitable for the development of affordable rental housing projects.

Lead Organization: Non-profit organizations  
Assisting Organization: HFDC, County housing agencies  
Starting Date: FY 1990  
Measures of Effectiveness: Number of rental units developed on lands donated by churches and other organizations.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION E(3)(b): Locate privately owned lands suitable for residential development and either purchase or condemn for future housing development.

Lead Organization: HFDC, County housing agencies  
Assisting Organizations: DLNR, Private sector  
Starting Date: FY 1990  
Measures of Effectiveness: Number of acres  
acquired and land-banked for residential  
development.

ISSUE AREA: HOUSING INFORMATION SYSTEM

PROBLEM STATEMENT: A comprehensive information system of existing and new data useful in estimating housing need, describing the details of that need, preparing Housing Assistance Plans, supporting marketing and planning information and characterizing the housing market in a form allowing periodic updating is lacking and needs to be developed.

OBJECTIVE F: MAINTAIN A STATEWIDE HOUSING DATA SYSTEM FOR USE BY PUBLIC AND PRIVATE AGENCIES ENGAGED IN THE PROVISION OF HOUSING.

POLICY F(1): Coordinate housing-related information systems which are currently maintained by individual public and private agencies.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION (F)(1)(a): Conduct a statewide survey of agencies who are engaged in the provision of or the planning, development, construction, financing, sale, lease or management of housing to ascertain the scope of housing data maintained by these agencies, as well as their housing information needs.

Lead Organization: HFDC  
Assisting Organizations: County housing agencies, DBED  
Starting Date: FY 1988-89  
Budget Estimate: \$ Undetermined  
Measures of Effectiveness: Assessment of information availability and needs.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION F(1)(b): Produce and disseminate a report on sources of housing information to housing related agencies and the general public.

Lead Organization: HFDC  
Assisting Organizations: County housing agencies, DBED  
Starting Date: FY 1990  
Budget Estimate: \$ Undetermined  
Measures of Effectiveness: Production of report.

IMPLEMENTING ACTION F(1)(c): Where feasible, network with agency housing information systems.

Lead Organization: HFDC  
Assisting Organizations: B&F  
Starting Date: FY 1990-91  
Budget Estimate: \$ Undetermined  
Measures of Effectiveness: Coordinated information network.

Comments: Funds for Implementing Actions in this policy area may be requested in the supplemental budget.

## ABBREVIATIONS

The following is a list of abbreviations of various organizations and agencies referred to in the plan:

B&F	- Department of Budget and Finance
DBED	- Department of Business and Economic Development
DHHL	- Department of Hawaiian Home Lands
DHS	- Department of Human Services
DLNR	- Department of Land and Natural Resources
DOA	- Department of Agriculture
DOE	- Department of Education
DOH	- Department of Health
DOTax	- Department of Taxation
EOA	- Executive Office on Aging
FmHA	- Farmers Home Administration
HCDA	- Hawaii Community Development Authority
HFDC	- Housing Finance and Development Corporation
HHA	- Hawaii Housing Authority
HUD	- U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
OSP	- Office of State Planning
SLUC	- State Land Use Commission
UH	- University of Hawaii
VA	- Veterans Administration

## APPENDIX A

The following is a very preliminary schedule of homeownership projects which government is proposing to develop in cooperation with the private sector. Also included are lists of affordable housing conditions placed by government on privately-sponsored projects.

The satisfaction of these housing requirements will contribute significantly to the affordable housing stock. However, it should be noted that some projects may have already satisfied a portion of their housing requirement. Additionally, many of these projects may not come to fruition. Therefore, the information provided for both public and private projects should not be used for other purposes except as a preliminary indication of potential housing development.

Finally, while the lists of affordable housing conditions are included in this section on projected homeownership developments, both for-sale and rental units may be produced.

SUMMARY OF PROJECTED HOMEOWNERSHIP DEVELOPMENTS ON HAWAII

<u>Lead Entity</u>	<u>Name of Project</u>	<u>NO. OF UNITS COMPLETED BY:</u>					<u>Total Units</u>
		<u>FY1989</u>	<u>FY1990</u>	<u>FY1991</u>	<u>FY1992</u>	<u>Future</u>	
County	Ainakea	---	10	---	---	7	17
	Waikoloa Master Plan	---	300	---	100	800	1,200
	Kona	---	---	---	12	---	12
	Hilo	---	---	---	---	8	8
County/HFDC	Piihonua Meadows	---	37	---	---	---	37
	Hale Nama Olama	---	---	---	41	---	41
HFDC	Lalamilo	31	---	---	---	---	31
	HCC Model Home	1	1	1	1	2	6
	Kealakehe	---	28	10	---	---	38
	Milolii	---	21	---	---	---	21
	Upper Lalamilo	---	---	---	50	250	300
	Kealakehe Master Plan	---	200	250	345	2,325	3,120
DHHL	DHHL houselots	<u>50</u>	---	<u>454</u>	---	<u>523</u>	<u>1,027</u>
TOTAL PROJECTED HOUSING UNITS ON HAWAII		82	597	715	549	3,915	5,858

LIST OF PROJECTS WITH HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE COUNTY OF HAWAII

<u>Project</u>	<u>Acreage</u>	<u>Condition</u>
Gamlon Corporation	173.6	SLUC requirement that 10% of the proposed 500 units be offered for sale on a preferential basis to residents of low- and moderate-income as determined by HHA or the County of Hawaii and approved by the Hawaii County Housing Agency (HCHA).
Y-O Ltd. Partnership	408.7	SLUC requirement that 10% of the proposed 1,431 units be offered for sale on a preferential basis to residents of low- and moderate-income as determined by HHA and/or County of Hawaii.
Oceanic Properties Ainakea	74.0	SLUC requirement that 10% of the proposed 100 single family improved lots and/or units be offered for sale on a preferential basis to residents of low- and moderate-income as determined by HHA and/or County of Hawaii. The developer has offered 10 lots for use as part of the Ainakea Self-Help Housing Project. The developer has also donated a 5.7 acre elderly housing site to the County as a contribution back to the community.
DPED-Host Park	547.0	Preparation of a housing needs study to be approved by the Planning Director and the HCHA prior to approval of industrial lot subdivision.
Colony Assoc.	39.5	Housing assessment of \$2,500 per unit to satisfy the SLUC requirement that 10% of the units be affordable. In December 1984, \$31,250 was paid to satisfy the housing assessment for the first increment. A balance of \$143,750, for the remaining 57.5 units, is outstanding.

LIST OF PROJECTS WITH HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE COUNTY OF HAWAII

<u>Project</u>	<u>Acreage</u>	<u>Condition</u>
Mauna Kea Properties	399.3	Preparation of an employee housing needs study estimating the resort housing demand generated by the proposed hotel development. Also, SIUC requirement that 10% of the proposed 710 units be offered for sale or lease at prices determined by the HCHA and the County of Hawaii.
Waikoloa Beach/ Transcontinental	500.0	Preparation of an employee housing needs study estimating the resort housing demand generated by the proposed hotel development. To the extent housing is insufficient, a plan showing the manner and number of units to be provided must be submitted. Temporary quarters for construction employees are also required.  Transcontinental satisfied housing requirement for first hotel by donating 2.3 acres of land on which ILWU constructed a 32 unit complex. For the Hyatt hotel and remainder of resort allocation, a 300-acre parcel is being transferred to the County in satisfaction of their employee housing requirement.

LIST OF PROJECTS WITH HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE COUNTY OF HAWAII

<u>Project</u>	<u>Acreage</u>	<u>Condition</u>
Keauhou-Kona Resort	778.0+	Provision of 10% of the developed hotel units, subject to submission of an affordable housing needs study estimating future demand, and any adjustment based on the findings of the report.
Mauna Lani Resorts	N/A	1977 rezoning permits the development of 3,000 hotel units and over 3,000 residential units. The developer was required to provide at least 28 employee housing units prior to the occupancy of the first hotel. Additionally, employee housing requirements for subsequent hotels are to be based on housing need studies and are to be determined at subsequent permits stages.
Kona Village	5.6	To date, Mauna Lani has complied with its affordable housing requirements for 1,450 hotel units and 450 resort residential units.  Provision of affordable housing units to meet the direct and indirect resort hotel employee housing demands generated by the resort development or the undertaking of other related development or the undertaking of other related mitigation measures. In February 1988, the developer agreed to pay an affordable housing assessment of \$100,000. During the same month, the developer submitted a check for \$50,000 which would allow occupancy permits to be issued for the first 25 of 50 hotel units. Occupancy permits for the remaining units are contingent upon submittal of another \$50,000.

LIST OF PROJECTS WITH HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE COUNTY OF HAWAII

<u>Project</u>	<u>Acreage</u>	<u>Condition</u>
Punalu'u Resort	174.0	Preparation of an affordable housing need study to be submitted with the SMA Use Permit application for the first hotel. The manner in which the required units are to be provided must be approved by the Planning Director with the concurrence of the HCHA prior to commencing construction of the hotel. The requirement must be satisfied prior to the issuance of occupancy permits for the resort units.
Kaupulehu Resort	623.0	Approximately 1,600 resort and residential units are planned for development. Based upon this development scenario, a maximum of 718 affordable housing units will be required (with adjustments made depending on the actual number of resort and residential units developed). Distribution guidelines for the affordable units are 17% affordable to families earning less than 80% of the area median income; 33% affordable to families earning between 80-120% of the area median income; and, 50% affordable to families earning between 120% and 140% of the area median income. The 1988 dollar value equivalent of the affordable housing requirement for 718 units is \$5,771,520, subject to adjustment for inflation.

LIST OF PROJECTS WITH HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE COUNTY OF HAWAII

<u>Project</u>	<u>Acreage</u>	<u>Condition</u>
Kukio Beach	667.0	Approximately 2,870 resort and residential units are proposed for development. Based on this development scenario, a maximum of 1,497 affordable housing units will be required. (The actual number of affordable units required may increase or decrease proportionally along with the actual number of resort and residential units developed.) Stated in 1988 dollars and subject to adjustment for inflation, the dollar value equivalent of the affordable housing requirement involving 1,497 units shall be a maximum of \$12 million. The suggested distribution of the required affordable housing units is the same as that for Kaupulehu Resort.
Kona Beach	470.0	Approximately 1,950 resort and residential units are proposed for development. Based on this development scenario, a maximum of 945 affordable housing units will be required. (The actual number of affordable units required may increase or decrease proportionally along with the actual number of resort and residential units developed.) Stated in 1988 dollars and subject to adjustment for inflation, the dollar value equivalent of the affordable housing requirement involving 945 units shall be a maximum of \$7,575,120. The suggested distribution of the required affordable housing units is the same as that for Kaupulehu Resort.

LIST OF PROJECTS WITH HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE COUNTY OF HAWAII

<u>Project</u>	<u>Acreage</u>	<u>Condition</u>
Kohala Joint Venture	1,288.0	The development proposal includes a master planned residential community of approximately 3,000 homes with support facilities and recreational amenities. The SLUC required that at least 10% of the units be offered to Hawaii residents with incomes below 80% of the area median; 20% of the units be available to families with incomes ranging from 80-120% of the area median; and 30% of the units be available to families with incomes from 120-140% of the area median. Satisfaction of this housing condition is subject to the approval of HFDC and the County of Hawaii. These requirements must take into consideration affordable on-site or off-site housing units or cash payments or other in lieu contributions that satisfy the then current housing needs, or other necessary or desirable community or infrastructure facilities as may be determined.
Keahou Investment & Kona Coast Properties	44.0	SLUC requirement that 10% of the residential units be affordably priced. However, the developers are requesting a zone change to allow the development of approximately 231 multi-family and 79 single family units on the property. The OHCD is recommending that the affordable housing requirement be increased to 60% of the total units developed, 186 affordable units. The recommended distribution is 17% affordable to families earning less than 80% of the area median; 33% affordable to families earning between 80-120%; and 50% affordable to families earning 120-140% of median. An in lieu fee amounting to \$1,482,960 based on the 186 affordable units was offered as an alternative.

LIST OF PROJECTS WITH HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE COUNTY OF HAWAII

<u>Project</u>	<u>Acreage</u>	<u>Condition</u>
Signal Puako	1,060.0	The development proposal includes a master planned residential community of approximately 2,700 homes with support facilities and recreational amenities. The SLUC required that at least 30% of the units be available to families with incomes ranging up to 120% of the area median income; and 30% of the units be available to families with incomes ranging from 120%-140% of the area median. Satisfaction of this housing condition is subject to the approval of the HFDC and the County of Hawaii through projects, under such terms and conditions as may be mutually agreeable between the petitioner and the HFDC. The affordable housing requirement may also be fulfilled, with the approval of the HFDC, through the construction of rental units to be made available at rents which families in specified income ranges can afford.

SUMMARY OF PROJECTED HOMEOWNERSHIP DEVELOPMENTS ON KAUAI

<u>Lead Entity</u>	<u>Name of Project</u>	<u>NO. OF UNITS COMPLETED BY:</u>					<u>Total Units</u>
		<u>FY1989</u>	<u>FY1990</u>	<u>FY1991</u>	<u>FY1992</u>	<u>Future</u>	
County/Private County	Wailele Self Help	---	16	---	---	---	16
	Koloa (1)	---	---	---	---	160	160
	Weliweli	---	---	---	---	250	250
HFDC	Puhi	13	---	---	---	---	13
	Hanapepe	---	128	128	---	---	256
	Hanamaulu	---	---	150	150	---	300
	Wailua Master Plan	---	---	120	180	1,260	1,560
DHHL	DHHL houselots	<u>57</u>	---	<u>155</u>	---	<u>70</u>	<u>282</u>
<b>TOTAL PROJECTED UNITS ON KAUAI</b>		70	144	553	330	1,740	2,837

(1) See Project No. 2, A&B Properties on list of housing conditions imposed by the County of Kauai.

LIST OF PROJECTS WITH HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE COUNTY OF KAUAI

<u>Project</u>	<u>Condition</u>
1. Kikiaola Land	41 lot residential subdivision in Waimea. Require a 10% exaction, or 4 lots, for low/moderate income families. Estimate delivery of affordable units by 1990.
2. A&B Properties - Kukuiula	Phase I of resort complex requires a rezoning of 213 acres for open, commercial, multifamily and single family residential use. Approximately 1,600 single and multi-family dwelling units are proposed for development. 10%, or approximately 160 units, are required to be affordably priced; of which 50% should be affordable to low/moderate income families and 50% should be affordable to moderate income families. Additionally, the developer is required to dedicate to the County approximately 4 acres of land located next to the County's Paanau site in Koloa. Estimate satisfaction of affordable housing requirement by the year 2000.
3. Princeville	Requirement for the expansion of the Princeville Shopping Center is 100 units of affordable housing, either for rent or for sale in fee simple, of which 75% must be affordable. Estimate satisfaction of requirement by the year 2000.
4. Kauai Realty	All 54 units in the Kilauea residential subdivision must be affordable. Estimate delivery of units by 1990.
5. Grove Farm	Approximately 1,250 acres in the Lihue/Puhi Project District are planned for commercial, light industrial, residential and special purpose uses. The developer must develop an affordable housing program for an undetermined number of units or dedicate land for the provision of affordable housing.

LIST OF PROJECTS WITH HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE COUNTY OF KAUAI

<u>Project</u>	<u>Condition</u>
6. Hemmeter/ VMS III	Developer is proposing a second hotel in its Kauai Lagoons resort development which consists of 750 rooms. A housing assessment of \$375,000 must be paid upon building permit approval.
7. Princeville II	Developer is proposing to develop, the Western Plateau, a 1,660 unit resort complex comprised of single family and multifamily dwellings and hotel rooms Ten percent of the single family units must be affordable housing. It is estimated that 75 affordable rental units will be produced by the year 2000.
8. Waialeale Partners Kapaa Homesteads	A 240 lot residential subdivision proposed to be sold as affordable house and lot packages. It is estimated that 160 affordable units will be delivered by 1990 and an additional 80 affordable units by the year 2000. A cash payment of \$125,000 is required upon subdivision approval.
9. Amfac Property Hanamaulu	Phase I of this three phase residential lot subdivision project includes 160 lots. In addition to holding off development prior to the completion of the Hanamaulu By-Pass Road (which is now complete), developer must sell 16 improved lots, scattered throughout Phase I, at 10% below cost to the County.

SUMMARY OF PROJECTED HOMEOWNERSHIP DEVELOPMENTS ON OAHU

<u>Lead Entity</u>	<u>Name of Project</u>	<u>NO. OF UNITS COMPLETED BY:</u>						<u>Total Units</u>
		<u>FY1989</u>	<u>FY1990</u>	<u>FY1991</u>	<u>FY1992</u>	<u>Future</u>	<u>Future</u>	
County	Kahuku Village	---	248	---	---	---	248	
	Waiola Estates (1)	---	---	---	---	1,345	1,345	
HFDC	Leilehua Village	40	---	---	---	---	40	
	Makaha Meadows	4	---	---	---	---	4	
	Halona Street	---	2	---	---	---	2	
	Crown Properties	---	60	---	---	---	60	
	Keystone	---	80	140	180	---	400	
	Waikele	---	---	100	---	---	100	
	Kakaako	---	---	200	200	2,875	3,275	
	Kapolei Village	---	125	400	450	3,030	4,005	
DHHL	DHHL houselots	<u>47</u>	---	<u>412</u>	---	<u>295</u>	<u>754</u>	
<b>TOTAL PROJECTED FOR SALE UNITS ON OAHU</b>		91	515	1,252	830	7,545	10,233	

(1) Preliminary project which has not yet received necessary approvals. Therefore, production schedule is not available at this time.

LIST OF PROJECTS WITH HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE CITY & COUNTY OF HONOLULU

<u>Ord. No.</u>	<u>Project</u>	<u>Affordable Commitment</u>
PH 4084	Village Park	15% low and moderate income units.
80-74	Pearl Horizons	A program acceptable to DHCD with the following options: (1) 10% low and moderate income housing; (2) 10% buildable land area; or (3) 10% of fair market value of land.
80-92	Waterfront Manor	A program acceptable to DHCD for moderate income housing.
81-24	Pahu Gardens	A program acceptable to DHCD for low and moderate income housing.
82-45	Crosspointe Townhouses	A program acceptable to DHCD with 10% low and moderate income and gap group units.
83-48	Kaopa IV	A program acceptable to DHCD with 10% low and moderate income housing or an in-kind contribution.
83-49/50	Makakilo	A program acceptable to DHCD with 10% low and moderate income housing.
84-94	Pearl Meadows	Participate in a housing program with DHCD with 10% low and moderate income housing or a higher percentage if inclusionary zoning is enacted.
85-41	Mililani Plantation	10% low and moderate income units.

Note: The definition of "affordable" housing may not fall in line with the definition used in this State Housing Functional Plan.

LIST OF PROJECTS WITH HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE CITY & COUNTY OF HONOLULU

<u>Ord. No.</u>	<u>Project</u>	<u>Affordable Commitment</u>
85-42	Mililani Unit 60	Participate in a housing program with DHCD with 10% low and moderate income housing.
85-44	Ewa Marina	10% low and moderate income units.
85-88	Mililani Unit 61	10% low and moderate income units.
86-09	West Beach	Pay a stated schedule of fees or provide 10% of the units that are not a part of the hotel operations for low-moderate income families.
86-10	Puuloa Homes	10% sold to buyers earning less than 80% of the area median income.
86-72	Melemanu Woodlands	15% low and moderate income cluster or PDH units.
86-88	Hawaii Kai Marina	10% of the units sold to buyers earning less than 80% of the area median income.
86-99	Turtle Bay	10% of dwelling units not part of a full service hotel operation, within or outside of the project site to buyers earning less than 80% of the area median income.

Note: The definition of "affordable" housing may not fall in line with the definition used in this State Housing Functional Plan.

LIST OF PROJECTS WITH HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE CITY & COUNTY OF HONOLULU

<u>Ord. No.</u>	<u>Project</u>	<u>Affordable Commitment</u>
86-143	Waikele	Sales at stipulated prices, which are adjustable based on the Consumer Price Index, and which are affordable to households in the following income ranges: (1) 10% of units for those earning less than 80% of the area median income; (2) 8% for those earning no more than 120% of the area median; (3) 7% for those earning no more than 140% of the area median; (4) 10% for those earning no more than 150% of the area median; (5) 15% for those earning no more than 185% of the area median income.
86-150	Kahi Kani	100% to households at or below 120% of the area median income, with at least 10% for households earning 80% or less of the area median income. Low-moderate income units may be sales or rental and may be constructed on or off the project site.
86-153	Lumekula	10% to families earning less than 80% of the area median income.
86-159	Village Park 2	10% to families earning less than 80% of the area median income.
87-2	Benjamin and Dolores Lum Apt.	10% to families earning less than 80% of the area median income.

Note: The definition of "affordable" housing may not fall in line with the definition used in this State Housing Functional Plan.

LIST OF PROJECTS WITH HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE CITY & COUNTY OF HONOLULU

<u>Ord. No.</u>	<u>Project</u>	<u>Affordable Commitment</u>
87-98	Millilani Unit 19	10% low and moderate income housing.
88-02	Village Park	150 sales or rental units to persons earning 80% of the area median income or less. Monthly rents shall not exceed 30% of adjusted gross income for households earning 80% of the area median income. 20% of the affordable units will be reserved for the elderly on a preferential basis for 90 days from the opening of the marketing program.
88-94	Millilani Unit 64	50% affordable to families with income ranges from 80% to 140% of the area median income.
	(1)	30% reserved for families with an income range from 80% to 120% of the area median income;
	(2)	10% for low and moderate income families; and,
	(3)	20% reserved for families with an income range from 120% to 140% of the area median income.

Note: The definition of "affordable" housing may not fall in line with the definition used in this State Housing Functional Plan.

LIST OF PROJECTS WITH HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE CITY & COUNTY OF HONOLULU

<u>Project</u>	<u>Acreage</u>	<u>Condition</u>
Millilani Mauka	1,200.4	Phase I of this project consists of 723 acres. Of the approximately 3,500 units planned for development in this phase, the SLUC required that 30% of the units be offered for sale to families earning from 80% to 120% of the area median income; and that 20% of the units be offered for sale at prices affordable to families earning from 120% to 140% of the area median. This requirement may be fulfilled through projects under such terms as may be mutually agreeable between the petitioner, the City & County of Honolulu, the HFDC, or other appropriate governmental agency.
Gentry Waiawa	1,395.0	Approximately 7,900 units are proposed for development in this master planned project. About 4,000 of those units are targeted as a retirement village. The SLUC required that for the first 1,000 units, 30% be offered for sale at prices affordable to families earning from 80% to 120% of the area median income; and that another 30% be offered for sale at prices affordable to families earning from 120% to 140% of the area median. The housing requirement may be fulfilled through projects under such terms as may be mutually agreeable between the petitioner, the City & County, the HFDC, or other appropriate governmental agency. The requirement may also be fulfilled with the approval of the HFDC through the construction of rental units to be made available at rents which families in specified income ranges can afford.

The affordable housing requirement for the remaining balance of the units would be subject to the HFDC and City & County approval. Consideration will be given to the provision of on-site or off-site units or cash payments that then satisfy the then current housing needs, or other necessary or desirable community facilities.

LIST OF PROJECTS WITH HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE CITY & COUNTY OF HONOLULU

<u>Project</u>	<u>Acreage</u>	<u>Condition</u>
West Loch Estates	252.0	Of the approximately 1,500 units proposed for development, the SLUC required that 60% be offered for sale or rental, on a preferential basis, to low and low-moderate income families (as determined by the HFDC).
Kapolei Village	830.0	Of the approximately 5,000 units proposed for development, the SLUC required that 60% be offered for sale or rental, on a preferential basis, to low, low-moderate and moderate income families.

SUMMARY OF PROJECTED HOMEOWNERSHIP DEVELOPMENTS ON MAUI

<u>Lead Entity</u>	<u>Name of Project</u>	<u>NO. OF UNITS COMPLETED BY:</u>					<u>Total Units</u>
		<u>FY1989</u>	<u>FY1990</u>	<u>FY1991</u>	<u>FY1992</u>	<u>Future</u>	
County	Ohukai Subdivision Komohana Hale	---	---	---	---	106 42	106 42
HFDC	Waiehu Waiehu B&C Lahaina Master Plan	100 ---	---	---	---	---	100 500 3,120
DHHL	DHHL houselots	<u>73</u>	---	<u>14</u>	---	<u>376</u>	<u>463</u>
<b>TOTAL PROJECTED UNITS ON MAUI</b>		173	100	574	560	2,924	4,331

LIST OF PROJECTS WITH HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE COUNTY OF MAUI

<u>Project</u>	<u>No. Hotel Rooms</u>	<u>Affordable Housing Requirement</u>
Wailea:		
Grand Hyatt Wailea	800 rooms	Option of providing employee housing units (133 units), providing land or making an in-lieu payment.
Four Seasons	378 rooms	Option of providing employee housing (63 units), providing land or making an in-lieu payment.
Grand Champion	450 rooms	75 units.
Embassy Suites	450 rooms	75 units.
Kihei:		
Maui Isana	48 rooms	8 units.
Maui Comfort Partners	350 rooms	58 units.
Edwin Ige Hotel	105 rooms	17 units.

LIST OF PROJECTS WITH HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE COUNTY OF MAUI

Affordable Housing Requirement

No. Hotel Rooms

Project

Napili:

Napilikai Beach	31 rooms	Option of providing employee housing (5 units), providing land, or making an in-lieu payment.
Kapalua Village	450 rooms	Option of providing employee housing (75 units), providing land, or making an in-lieu payment. To date, developer has donated 13.5 acres of land on which the County developed 71 house lots. Need to satisfy affordable housing option for the balance of 4 units.

SUMMARY OF PROJECTED HOMEOWNERSHIP DEVELOPMENTS ON MOLOKAI AND LANAI

<u>MOLOKAI</u>		NO. OF UNITS COMPLETED BY:				Total
<u>Lead Entity</u>	<u>Name of Project</u>	<u>FY1989</u>	<u>FY1990</u>	<u>FY1991</u>	<u>FY1992</u>	<u>Units</u>
County	West Molokai	---	---	---	62	62
DHHL	DHHL houselots	---	---	88	341	429
TOTAL PROJECTED UNITS ON MOLOKAI		0	0	88	0	491

<u>LANAI</u>		NO. OF UNITS COMPLETED BY:				Total
<u>Lead Entity</u>	<u>Name of Project</u>	<u>FY1989</u>	<u>FY1990</u>	<u>FY1991</u>	<u>FY1992</u>	<u>Units</u>
Private	Lalakoa III	---	---	---	---	112
	Lower Wailua	---	---	---	---	120
TOTAL PROJECTED UNITS ON LANAI		0	0	0	0	232

## APPENDIX B

The following is a preliminary schedule of rental projects which the public and private sectors are proposing to develop. It should be noted that additional rental projects may be produced as a result of the satisfaction of government imposed affordable housing conditions on privately-sponsored projects. (Lists of these conditions are included in Appendix A.)

This information is being provided as an indication of potential rental housing development and should not be used for other purposes.

SUMMARY OF PROJECTED RENTAL DEVELOPMENTS ON HAWAII

<u>Lead Entity</u>	<u>Name of Project</u>	NO. OF UNITS COMPLETED BY:					<u>Total Units</u>
		<u>FY1989</u>	<u>FY1990</u>	<u>FY1991</u>	<u>FY1992</u>	<u>Future</u>	
County	Below Market Projects:						
	Waikoloa	---	200	---	---	325	525
	Hale Ulu Hoi	---	18	---	---	---	18
	Elderly Projects:						
	Waimea	---	25	---	---	25	50
	Kona	---	25	---	---	25	50
	Ainakea	---	21	---	---	29	50
	Hilo	---	---	---	25	25	50
	Honokaa	---	---	---	---	50	50
	Papaaloa	---	---	---	---	25	25
	Pahala	---	---	---	---	10	10
	Puna	---	---	---	---	25	25
HFDC	Noelani II	24	---	---	---	---	24
	La'ililani	200	---	---	---	---	200
	Kealakehe Rental	---	---	---	200	---	200
	Kealakehe Elderly	---	---	---	---	385	385

APPENDIX B

SUMMARY OF PROJECTED RENTAL DEVELOPMENTS ON HAWAII

<u>Lead Entity</u>	<u>Name of Project</u>	<u>NO. OF UNITS COMPLETED BY:</u>					<u>Total Units</u>
		<u>FY1989</u>	<u>FY1990</u>	<u>FY1991</u>	<u>FY1992</u>	<u>Future</u>	
HHA	East Hawaii Emergency Shelter	---	---	4	---	---	4
	Waimea Teacher Housing	---	2	---	---	---	2
DOH	Units for SDMI Clients	---	---	2	---	---	2
<b>TOTAL PROJECTED UNITS ON HAWAII</b>		224	291	6	225	924	1,670

SUMMARY OF PROJECTED RENTAL DEVELOPMENTS ON KAUAI

<u>Lead Entity</u>	<u>Name of Project</u>	<u>NO. OF UNITS COMPLETED BY:</u>					<u>Total Units</u>
		<u>FY1989</u>	<u>FY1990</u>	<u>FY1991</u>	<u>FY1992</u>	<u>Future</u>	
County/Private	Paanau Paanau II (1)	---	60	---	---	---	60 60
Private	Princeville (2) Hanamaulu, Charles River (3) Waipouli, Blackfield (4) Princeville (5)	---	100	---	---	---	100 75 65 75
HFDC	ARC Kauai Rental Wailua Rental Wailua Elderly	1	---	---	---	---	1 100 100 185

Footnotes: Refer to Kauai County housing requirements of private developers in Appendix A.

- (1) See requirement for A&B Properties, housing exaction 2.
- (2) See requirement for Princeville Development, housing exaction 5.
- (3) A proposed 250 unit market rental project with preliminary commitment of 30% for affordable housing.
- (4) A proposed 225 unit SRO hotel/rental project, assuming a 30% affordable housing assessment.
- (5) See requirement for Princeville Development, housing exaction 7.

APPENDIX B

SUMMARY OF PROJECTED RENTAL DEVELOPMENTS ON KAUAI

<u>Lead Entity</u>	<u>Name of Project</u>	<u>NO. OF UNITS COMPLETED BY:</u>					<u>Total Units</u>
		<u>FY1989</u>	<u>FY1990</u>	<u>FY1991</u>	<u>FY1992</u>	<u>Future</u>	
HHA	HUD Low-Rent Public Housing	---	---	25	---	---	25
	Emergency Shelter (beds)	---	---	30	---	---	30
DOH	Units for SDMI Clients	---	---	2	---	---	2
<b>TOTAL PROJECTED UNITS ON KAUAI</b>		1	160	157	100	460	878

SUMMARY OF PROJECTED RENTAL DEVELOPMENTS ON OAHU

<u>Lead Entity</u>	<u>Name of Project</u>	<u>NO. OF UNITS COMPLETED BY:</u>						<u>Total Units</u>
		<u>FY1989</u>	<u>FY1990</u>	<u>FY1991</u>	<u>FY1992</u>	<u>Future</u>		
County	ARC Fern Street Apts.	---	13	---	---	---	13	
	ARC Lusitana Street (beds)	---	15	---	---	---	15	
	Kunawai Lane Elderly (beds)	---	5	---	---	---	5	
	ARC Hawaii Kai (beds)	---	6	---	---	---	6	
	Manoa Elderly (1)	---	---	---	---	75	75	
	Phillip Street Elderly (1)	---	---	---	---	21	21	
	Chinatown Gateway (1)	---	---	---	---	200	200	
	River-Nimitz (1)	---	---	---	---	90	90	
	Lanakila (1)	---	---	---	---	28	28	
	HFDC	ARC	2	---	---	---	---	2
		Kapuna Elderly II	206	---	---	---	---	206
		Wahiawa Elderly	---	60	---	---	---	60
		Crown Elderly	---	---	160	---	---	160
Wahiawa Elderly II		---	---	60	---	---	60	
Waikele		---	---	100	---	---	100	
Kakaako Family		---	---	---	225	---	225	
Kapolei Village		---	---	170	---	258	428	
Kapolei Village Elderly		---	---	---	219	---	438	
Kakaako Elderly		---	---	---	280	---	280	

(1) Preliminary projects which have not yet received necessary approvals.

SUMMARY OF PROJECTED RENTAL DEVELOPMENTS ON OAHU

<u>Lead Entity</u>	<u>Name of Project</u>	<u>NO. OF UNITS COMPLETED BY:</u>						<u>Total Units</u>
		<u>FY1989</u>	<u>FY1990</u>	<u>FY1991</u>	<u>FY1992</u>	<u>Future</u>		
HHA	HUD Low-Rent Public Housing	---	---	25	---	---	25	
	Maili Emergency Shelter	---	---	46	---	---	46	
	Emergency Shelter (beds)	---	---	60	---	---	60	
DOH	Units for SDMI Clients	---	14	26	---	---	40	
UH	Manoa Student Dorm (beds)	---	---	---	300	---	300	
	Manoa Faculty Transitional	---	---	---	150	---	150	
Military	Helemano	340	90	---	---	---	430	
	Schofield Barracks	100	---	---	---	---	100	
	Waikakalaua	500	---	---	---	---	500	
	Catlin Park	60	---	---	---	---	60	
	Kipapa Gulch	300	---	---	---	---	300	
	Kaneohe Marine	---	40	---	---	---	40	
	Hickam AFB	---	20	---	---	---	20	
	Oahu various	---	1,000	---	---	---	1,000	
<b>TOTAL PROJECTED UNITS ON OAHU</b>		<b>1,508</b>	<b>1,263</b>	<b>647</b>	<b>1,174</b>	<b>891</b>	<b>5,483</b>	

APPENDIX B

SUMMARY OF PROJECTED RENTAL DEVELOPMENTS ON MAUI

<u>Lead Entity</u>	<u>Name of Project</u>	<u>NO. OF UNITS COMPLETED BY:</u>					<u>Total Units</u>
		<u>FY1989</u>	<u>FY1990</u>	<u>FY1991</u>	<u>FY1992</u>	<u>Future</u>	
County	Komohana Hale	---	---	---	---	20	20
HFDC	Waiehu Handicapped	20	---	---	---	---	20
	Waiehu Elderly	---	20	20	---	---	40
	Waiehu Family	---	---	60	---	---	60
	Honokowai	---	---	---	150	---	150
	Lahaina Family	---	---	---	200	---	200
	Lahaina Elderly	---	---	---	---	385	385
HHA	Lahaina Emerg. Shelter (beds)	---	---	30	---	---	30
DOH	Units for SDMI Clients	---	---	2	---	---	2
TOTAL PROJECTED UNITS ON MAUI		20	20	112	350	405	907

APPENDIX B

SUMMARY OF PROJECTED RENTAL DEVELOPMENTS ON MOLOKAI AND LANAI

<u>Lead Entity</u>	<u>Name of Project</u>	NO. OF UNITS COMPLETED BY:				<u>Total Units</u>
		<u>FY1989</u>	<u>FY1990</u>	<u>FY1991</u>	<u>FY1992</u>	
<u>MOLOKAI</u>						
HHA	HUD Low-Rent Public Housing	---	---	25	---	25

<u>Lead Entity</u>	<u>Name of Project</u>	NO. OF UNITS COMPLETED BY:				<u>Total Units</u>
		<u>FY1989</u>	<u>FY1990</u>	<u>FY1991</u>	<u>FY1992</u>	
<u>LANAI</u>						
Private	Lanai City Apts.	---	---	---	---	24
	Lalakoia III	---	---	---	---	32
	Queen's Multifamily	---	---	---	---	100
<u>TOTAL PROJECTED UNITS ON LANAI</u>		0	0	0	0	156