

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS AND CONSULTANTS

PARTNERS

JOHN W. MERINA, CPA • KAMALA K. AUSTIN, CPA

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Hawaii Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs (DCCA), solely to assist you with respect to the review of the franchise fee collection and payment process of Time Warner Entertainment Co., LP, (TWE) dba Oceanic Cablevision (Oahu) for the period January 1, 2003 through December 31, 2006. Time Warner Entertainment Co., LP, dba Oceanic Cablevision (Oahu)'s management is responsible for the company's accounting records which support the franchise fee collection and payment process. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of those parties specified in the report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

For the period January 1, 2003 through December 31, 2006, the procedures performed by Merina & Company, LLP and the accompanied findings are listed below:

<u>Procedure 1:</u> Review DCCA's applicable franchise fee requirements as detailed in Decision and Order No. 261 and Administrative Rule 16-132.

<u>Finding 1:</u> We reviewed DCCA's applicable franchise fee requirements as detailed in Decision and Order No. 261 and Administrative Rule 16-132.

<u>Procedure 2:</u> Document TWE's policies and procedures for preparing annual "Revenue Statements" that TWE submits to DCCA for franchise fee purposes.

<u>Finding 2:</u> TWE's policies and procedures for preparing the annual "Revenue Statements" submitted to DCCA for franchise fee purposes were documented.

<u>Procedure 3:</u> Obtain the Revenue Statements prepared by TWE for the years 2003, 2004, 2005, and 2006. Determine if TWE's revenue calculation was made in accordance with DCCA Decision and Order No. 261 and Administrative Rule 16-132, which defines, in part, how gross revenues are to be determined for the purpose of calculating franchise fees.

Finding 3: We obtained the Revenue Statements prepared by TWE for the years 2003, 2004, 2005, and 2006 and determined TWE's revenue calculations were made in accordance with DCCA Decision and Order No. 261 and Administrative Rule 16-132.

The Revenue Statements for the periods January 1, 2003 through December 31, 2006 are included in this report as Attachment I.

Procedure 4: Determine that the revenue amounts in TWE's Revenue Statements used in the franchise fee computations agree to TWE's books of account.

<u>Finding 4:</u> The amounts used in each year's Revenue Statement for the franchise fee computation were traced to TWE's books of account and found to agree for the four years.

<u>Procedure 5:</u> Obtain or prepare a schedule of collections and remittances for each of the three elements of the franchise fee and determine the amount of over/ (under) collections from the period January 1, 2003 through December 31, 2006. Verify that the beneficiary has received the proper amount of franchise fees under the applicable D&O.

<u>Finding 5:</u> We prepared a schedule of collections and remittances for each of the three elements of the franchise fee and determined the amount of over/ (under) collections from the period January 1, 2003 through December 31, 2006 with consideration given to Decision and Order No. 316. Our procedures have disclosed no instances of PEG access organization, Hawaii Public Television, or DCCA not receiving the proper amount of franchise fees.

For the period January 1, 2003 through December 31, 2006 TWE collected different amounts of franchise fees from subscribers than it was required to pay to the designated recipients. The results of the Carry-Forward Analysis are summarized in the table below.

	Franchise Fee Element					
Oahu	Access Operating	НРВА	Administrative	Total		
Accrual basis over/(under)	£(00.070)	((0, 000)	¢40.750	#(4.4.000)		
collected balances	\$(22,979)	\$(9,833)	\$18,750	\$(14,062)		

A detailed report of the "Carry-Forward Analysis" calculation is included as Attachment II.

<u>Procedure 6:</u> Inquire of TWE what their understanding is of the factors that give rise to the difference between amounts collected from subscribers and the amounts remitted to the beneficiary.

Finding 6: TWE Revenue Statements, which are used to compute the Franchise Fees owed to the beneficiary are prepared based on TWE's calendar year revenues and are generated from the general ledger accounting system. The amounts actually collected from subscribers for the same year are generated from TWE's billing and collection system which is separate from the general ledger. Theoretically, collections during the year should equal the payments made in the following year. However, collections and remittances are going to differ for a variety reasons. Some are:

 The Revenue Statement is calculated using revenues for the calendar year, but customers billings can span two calendar years (i.e. a billing period that covers 12/15/06 – 1/15/07).
 This causes a mismatch between the billing system and the general ledger accounting system, since the billing will straddle two calendar years.

Depending on when customers are actually billed and amounts are collected:

Collections recorded in the billing system for the current fiscal period would reflect amounts that are actually applicable to the next fiscal period (i.e. \$2 of franchise fees billed for the period 12/15/06 – 1/15/07 were collected on 12/31/06; therefore \$1 of the franchise fees collected were for the 2007 fiscal period, but recorded in the billing system for the 2006 fiscal period), or

- Amounts applicable to the current fiscal period may not be collected and recorded until the next fiscal period (i.e. \$2 of franchise fees billed for the period 12/15/06 – 1/15/07 were collected at 1/1/07; therefore \$0 of the franchise fees were actually collected and recorded in the billing system for the 2006 fiscal period).
- Bad debts are booked to an expense account in the general ledger accounting system and
 are netted against revenues for the Franchise fee calculation. However, amounts reported as
 billed to subscribers are based on the billing system, which is not reduced for bad debts. The
 disconnect between the two systems potentially creates another reconciling item between the
 amounts billed and collected from subscribers and amounts remitted to the beneficiary. For
 the purpose of this report, Attachment II, amounts reported as billed to subscribers were
 reduced for bad debts based on actual amounts reported on the general ledger.
- TWE uses a billing system to charge subscribers and adjustments are frequently made to subscriber billings for a wide variety of reasons, such as service charges, upgrades, downgrades, stops, starts, etc. This creates a constant stream of prorates and adjustments which in turn affects franchise fee charges and revenue recognition. Also, these adjustments may not always make their way to the general ledger accounting systems, as these two systems are not integrated. Per TWE, a continual effort is being made to reduce the reconciling differences between the two systems, especially as new products and services are being added.

We were not engaged to, nor did we, conduct an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion, on the accounting records. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs and Time Warner Entertainment Co., LP, dba Oceanic Cablevision (Oahu) and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than those specified parties.

Merina & Company, LLP

Certified Public Accountants and Consultants

West Linn, Oregon December 12, 2007

Time Warner Entertainment Co., LP, dba Oceanic Cablevision (Oahu) Revenue Statements

For the Year Ending December 31, 2003

		Hawaii Public Broadcasting PEG Authority			Administrative	
Total Revenues Basic Revenues	\$	149,582,211	\$	149,582,211		95,401,446
Less: Military Franchise Revenues		(10,820,961)		(10,820,961)		
Revenues subject to Access Operating Fee Rate		138,761,250 3%		138,761,250 1%		95,401,446 1%
Access Operating Fee Due	\$	4,162,838	\$	1,387,613	\$_	954,014

For the Year Ending December 31, 2004

		PEG		Hawaii Public Broadcasting Authority		Administrative	
Total Revenues Basic Revenues	\$	163,042,731	\$	163,042,731		101,318,952	
Less: Military Franchise Revenues		(10,336,313)	\$	(10,336,313)			
Revenues subject to Access Operating Fee Rate		152,706,418 3%		152,706,418 1%		101,318,952	
Access Operating Fee Due	\$	4,581,193	_\$_	1,527,064	\$	1,013,190	

Time Warner Entertainment Co., LP, dba Oceanic Cablevision (Oahu) Revenue Statements

For the Year Ending December 31, 2005

	PEG	Administrative	
Total Revenues Basic Revenues	\$ 175,668,252	\$ 175,668,252	89,710,907
Less: Military Franchise Revenues	(10,523,465)	(10,523,465)	
Revenues subject to Access Operating Fee Rate	165,144,787 3%	165,144,787 1%	89,710,907 1%
Access Operating Fee Due	\$ 4,954,344	\$ 1,651,448	\$ 897,109

For the Year Ending December 31, 2006

	PEG	Admin	
Total Revenues Basic Revenues	\$ 191,366,176	\$ 191,366,176	_ **
Less: Military Franchise Revenues	(11,887,278)	(11,887,278)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Revenues subject to Access Operating Fee Rate	179,478,898 3%	179,478,898 1%	_ 1%
Access Operating Fee Due	\$ 5,384,367	\$ 1,794,789	**

^{**} DCCA suspended collection on November 6, 2005

Time Warner Entertainment Co., LP, dba Oceanic Cablevision (Oahu) Carry-Forward Analysis As of December 31, 2006

	Access Operating	НРВА	Administrative	Total
Original Reported Numbers for the period January 1, 1995 through December 31, 2002	\$ 636,522	\$ (206,199)	\$ 53,436	\$ 483,759
D&O 316	(636,522)	206,199	(53,436)	(483,759)
Total January 1, 2003 after D&O 316	-	٠	-	-
Collections 2003 Amounts remitted in 2004, based on 2003 revenues Amounts deposited in INET escrow account	4,125,981 (3,952,058) (210,780)	1,375,328 (1,387,613)	872,467 (954,014) -	6,373,776 (6,293,685) (210,780)
Billed to subscribers 2004 Amounts remitted in 2005, based on 2004 revenues Amounts deposited in INET escrow account	4,569,021 (4,088,404) (492,789)	1,520,833 (1,527,064)	966,520 (1,013,190) -	7,056,374 (6,628,658) (492,789)
Billed to subscribers 2005 Amounts remitted in 2006, based on 2005 revenues Amounts deposited in INET escrow account	4,935,823 (4,220,423) (733,921)	1,645,274 (1,651,448)	1,044,076 (897,109) -	7,625,173 (6,768,980) (733,921)
Billed to subscribers 2006 Amounts remitted in 2007, based on 2006 revenues Amounts deposited in INET escrow account	5,428,938 (4,323,105) (1,061,262)	1,809,646 (1,794,789)	_ ** _ **	* 7,238,584 * (6,117,894) (1,061,262)
Total accrual basis over/(under) collected balances	\$ (22,979)	\$ (9,833)	\$ 18,750	\$ (14,062)

^{**} Accrual for HPBA is already accounted for to maintain consistency with prior reports issued by the prior consultants

^{***} DCCA suspended collection on November 6, 2005