BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

GRANITE TELECOMMUNICATIONS, LLC

Notice of Failure to Comply With Hawaii Revised Statutes and Commission's Regulations; Order to Show Cause Why Respondent's Operating Authority Should Not Be Suspended or Revoked.

DOCKET NO. 2006-0291

<u>ORDER NO. 23183</u>

ス RECEIVE JAN ł $\dot{\infty}$ Filed January 5, 2007 At 12 o'clock P.M. \triangleright çọ S \bigcirc More K. Kure de Chief Clerk of the Commission

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

----- In the Matter of -----) GRANITE TELECOMMUNICATIONS, LLC) Notice of Failure to Comply) With Hawaii Revised Statutes) and Commission's Regulations;) Order to Show Cause Why) Respondent's Operating) Authority Should Not Be) Suspended or Revoked.)

Docket No. 2006-0291 Order No. 23183

ORDER

this Order, the commission By grants GRANITE TELECOMMUNICATIONS, LLC's ("Respondent") motion for reconsideration, thus Decision and vacates and Order No. 22957, filed on October 19, 2006, subject to certain conditions, as described herein.

I.

Background

By Order No. 22767, filed on August 17, 2006, the commission ordered Respondent to appear at 465 South King Street, Room B3, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813, at 9:00 a.m., on September 28, 2006, to show cause why Respondent's certificate of authority ("COA") should not be suspended or revoked for failure to pay its contribution to the telecommunications relay services ("TRS") fund that was due on July 26, 2004 and July 26, 2005, pursuant to Order No. 20193. As Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, the commission revoked Respondent's COA by Decision and Order No. 22957, filed on October 19, 2006.

On November 20, 2006, Respondent filed a motion for reconsideration of Decision and Order No. 22957 and a motion for enlargement of time to file its motion for reconsideration, as the motion for reconsideration was untimely.¹

II.

Discussion

HAR § 6-61-23(a)(2), which governs requests for enlargement of time, states in relevant part:

- (a) When by this chapter or by notice or by order of the commission, any act is required or allowed to be done at or within a specified time, the commission for good cause shown may at any time, in its discretion:
 - (2) Upon motion made after the expiration of the specified period, permit the act to be done where the failure to act was the result of excusable neglect . . .

HAR § 6-61-23(a)(2). Thus, section 6-61-23(a)(2) allows Respondent to file a motion for reconsideration only upon a showing of excusable neglect.

HRS § 269-16.9, however, allows the commission to waive regulatory requirements applicable to telecommunications providers if it determines that competition will serve the same purpose as public interest regulation. Specifically, HAR

2006-0291

2

¹HAR § 6-61-137 provides that a motion for reconsideration must be filed within ten (10) days of service of the decision and order. HAR § 6-61-21(e) allows for an additional two days when service is effected by mail. Thus, in this instance, Respondent's motion for reconsideration should have been filed on or before October 31, 2006.

§ 6-80-135 permits the commission to waive the applicability of any of the provisions of HRS chapter 269 or any rule, upon a determination that a waiver is in the public interest.

Here, prior to its COA being revoked by Decision and Order No. 22957, Respondent was a non-dominant telecommunications carrier in the State whose telecommunications services were fully competitive. In addition, with respect to non-dominant carriers in the telecommunications industry, competition often serves the same purpose as public interest regulation, as it would in this particular instance. Accordingly, a waiver of the provisions of HAR § 6-61-23(a)(2) would be appropriate under the circumstances.

motion With respect to its for reconsideration. Respondent states, among other things: (1) that upon receipt, the commission's correspondence was improperly routed; (2) that the Respondent's offices in move of February 2006 may have lack contributed to the of responsiveness to commission correspondence and orders; (3) that Respondent has taken affirmative steps to ensure the proper routing of all regulatory correspondence and verification of reporting obligations well in advance of filing deadlines; and (4) that Respondent is prepared to resolve all delinquent TRS contribution obligations upon the commission's disposition of its motion for reconsideration.

Upon careful review, the commission finds good cause to grant Respondent's motion for reconsideration provided that Respondent pay its contribution to the TRS fund that was due on July 26, 2004 and July 26, 2005, no later than thirty days from the date of this Order.

3

<u>Orders</u>

THE COMMISSION ORDERS:

1. The requirements of HAR § 6-61-23(a)(2) are waived.

2. Respondent's motion for reconsideration is granted, subject to the condition set forth below.

3. Decision and Order No. 22957, filed on October 19, 2006, is vacated.

4. Within thirty (30) days from the filing of this Order, Respondent shall pay its contribution to the TRS fund that was due on July 26, 2004 and July 26, 2005. Failure to comply within the time specified constitutes cause for this commission to vacate this Order.

DONE at Honolulu, Hawaii _____ JAN - 5 2007

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

Βv

Carlito P. Caliboso, Chairman

By. John E. Cole, Commissioner

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Stacey Kawasaki Djou Commission Counsel

2006-0291.eh

4

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this date served a copy of the 23183 upon the following parties, by foregoing Order No causing a copy hereof to be mailed, postage prepaid, and properly addressed to each such party.

CATHERINE P. AWAKUNI EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND CONSUMER AFFAIRS DIVISION OF CONSUMER ADVOCACY P. O. Box 541 Honolulu, HI 96809

GRANITE TELECOMMUNICATIONS, LLC 100 Newport Avenue Ext. Quincy, MA 02171

Grander K. Karel

DATED: JAN - 5 2007