

**REPORT TO THE TWENTY-FOURTH HAWAII STATE  
LEGISLATURE 2008**

**PURSUANT TO HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION 140/HOUSE  
RESOLUTION 113, HOUSE DRAFT 1, REQUESTING A STATISTICAL  
REPORT OF CASES OF ABUSE OF VULNERABLE ADULTS**

**DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES  
SOCIAL SERVICES DIVISION  
JANUARY 2008**

**REPORT ON H.C.R 140/H.R. 113, H.D. 1, ADOPTED BY THE 2007 HAWAII STATE LEGISLATURE REQUESTING THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES TO COLLECT DATA AND REPORT STATISTICS RELATED TO CASES OF ABUSE OF VULNERABLE ADULTS**

H.C.R. 140/H.R. 113, H.D. 1, requests the Department of Human Services (DHS) to provide statistical data on cases of abuse of vulnerable adults including: who is being served, who is being turned away, and the reasons why they are being turned away.

The current Hawaii Revised Statutes pertaining to the Dependent Adult Protective Services (Chapter 346, Part X, Hawaii Revised Statutes), specify that the following criteria be met for an adult protective services investigation to commence:

1. The reported adult must be dependent, defined in section 346-222, Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS), as any adult who, because of mental or physical impairment, is dependent upon another person, a care organization, or a care facility for personal health, safety, or welfare; and
2. There is reason to believe that the dependent adult has been abused and is threatened with imminent abuse. Imminent abuse is defined in section 346-222, HRS, as abuse that will occur or recur within the next ninety days.

During Fiscal Year 2007, the Department of Human Services received 1,387 calls statewide regarding adult protective services (APS.) Of that number, 575 reports (41%) were accepted for investigation of abuse, neglect, or exploitation, and 812 reports (59%) were not accepted for investigation. Statistical data about the APS reports investigated during Fiscal Year 2007 are described in **Attachment A**. Statistical data about the APS reports not accepted for investigation are described in **Attachment B**. **Attachment C** describes data collected from a statistically significant sample size of 260 reports that were randomly selected for review from the total data set of APS reports not accepted for investigation during Fiscal Year 2007.

**Summary of APS Reports Investigated in Fiscal Year 2007  
Attachment A**

<b>Area</b>	<b>Number of APS Reports Investigated</b>	<b>Number of APS Reports Confirmed</b>	<b>Confirmation Rate</b>
Oahu	441	95	22%
East Hawaii	55	5	9%
Kauai	34	6	18%
Maui County	27	6	22%
West Hawaii	18	6	33%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>575</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>21%</b>

**Highlights of Attachment A regarding APS Reports Investigated by DHS. See Attachment A for complete details.**

- **Age of Victim:** The largest single age category (44%) is the 80+ category. Seventy-four percent (74%) of the APS reports investigated involved victims age 60 and over.
- **Marital Status of Victim:** Sixty-three percent (63%) of the reported victims were widowed or single, never married.
- **Location of Abuse Incident:** Sixty-three percent (63%) of the reported abuses occurred in the victim’s own home.
- **Perpetrators:** Forty-two percent (42%) of the alleged perpetrators of abuse were relatives of the victim. Twenty-six percent (26%) of the victims were neglecting their own care (poor self-care or self-neglect). The remaining categories included facility staff (19%), caregivers who were not related to the victim and were not facility staff (11%), and perpetrators were unknown (3%).
- **Types of Abuses Reported:** Multiple problems were reported in this category. The most prevalent type of abuse reported was “neglect by others” (32%), followed by “poor self-care” (21%), “financial exploitation” (17%), “psychological abuse” (14%), “physical abuse” (14%), and “sexual abuse” (2%).

**Summary of Reports Not Investigated in Fiscal Year 2007  
Attachments B and C**

<b>Area</b>	<b>Number of Reports Not Investigated</b>	<b>Number of Reports Randomly Selected</b>	<b>% of Random Sample by Area</b>
Oahu	537	182	70%
East Hawaii	108	31	12%
Kauai	46	16	6%
Maui County	116	26	10%
West Hawaii	5	5	2%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>812</b>	<b>260</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Highlights of Attachment B regarding Reports NOT Investigated by DHS. See Attachment B for complete details.**

- **Attachment B** describes data on a total of 808 reports not accepted for APS investigation rather than the 812 reports previously indicated on Page 1 of this report and in the table presented above. The difference of four (4) reports is due to computer reports being run on different dates, approximately six (6) weeks apart. Data entered into the Department’s automated information system had changed during the interim.

- **Age of Victim:** The largest single age category (37%) is the 80+ category. Sixty-nine percent (69%) of the reports not investigated involved individuals age 60 and over.
- **Marital Status of Victim:** Fifty-five percent (55%) of the individuals reported were widowed or single, never married.
- **Location of Abuse Incident:** Fifty-three percent (53%) of the reported abuses occurred in the individual's own home.
- **Perpetrators:** Thirty-four percent (34%) of the alleged perpetrators of abuse were relatives of the victim. Twenty-five percent (25%) of the individuals were reported to be neglecting their own care (poor self-care or self-neglect). The remaining categories included facility staff (21%), caregivers who were not related to the victim and were not facility staff (15%), and perpetrators were unknown (4%).
- **Types of Abuses Reported:** Multiple problems were reported in this category. The most prevalent type of abuse reported was "neglect by others" (29%), followed by "poor self-care" (22%), "financial exploitation" (18%), "psychological abuse" (16%), "physical abuse" (13%), and "sexual abuse" (2%).

**Highlights of Attachment C on Randomly Selected Reports NOT Investigated by DHS. See Attachment C for complete details.**

The Department was not able to extract information on the reasons why APS reports were not accepted for investigation directly from the Department's automated information system. This information had to be manually extracted from the intake information taken by individual social workers in each geographic area. The Department randomly chose a statistically significant sample size of 260 reports (32%) to review from the total data set of 808 APS reports not accepted for investigation. The 260 reports randomly selected for review from each geographic area were based upon the percentage of reports received in that area.

**Attachment C** contains the statistical data collected from the sample size of 260 reports, including the following:

1. **Age of the reported adult:** The largest single age category (38%) is the 80+ category. Seventy percent (70%) of the reports involved individuals age 60 and over. These percentages mirror the findings in **Attachment B** for the entire data set of 808 reports not investigated (39% and 69% for the 80+ and 60 and over age categories, respectively).
2. **Types of abuse reported:** Multiple problems were reported in this category. The most prevalent type of abuse reported was "neglect by others" (30%), followed by poor self-care (23%) and "financial exploitation" (18%), "psychological abuse" (15%), "physical abuse" (13%), and "sexual abuse" (1%). These percentages also mirror the findings in **Attachment B** for the entire data set

of 808 reports not investigated (29%, 22%, 18%, 16%, 13%, and 2% for these types of abuse, respectively).

3. **Reasons why the report was not accepted for investigation:** Multiple reasons were reported in this category (see table).

<b>Reasons Not Accepted for APS Investigation</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Percent</b>
No mental or physical impairments – not dependent on others for personal health, safety, or welfare	70	24%
No indication abuse occurred AND no risk of imminent harm (alleged victim able to protect self)	84	30%
No indication abuse occurred BUT there was a risk of imminent harm (alleged victim not able to protect self)	46	16%
Abuse did occur BUT there was NO risk of imminent harm (alleged perpetrator removed and had no further access to victim; protective intervention taken prior to report being made to DHS resulting in safety for alleged victim)	83	30%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>283</b>	<b>100%</b>

4. **Where the reporter was referred for additional help, if appropriate:** Individuals were referred to multiple service entities, depending upon the needs of the individual (see table).

<b>Referral for Services</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Percent</b>
* ACCSB/DHS Services, i.e., chore services, adult day care services, adult foster care case management services, Medicaid Waiver Programs such as NHWW, DD/MR, RACCP	48	21%
Case Management Agencies other than DHS	44	20%
* ACCSB/DHS/Oahu Only: Case Management for Elderly Victims of Crime Project (criteria: age 60+ and victim of abuse)	26	11%
Agencies Providing In-Home Services, i.e., home care or home health services, private-pay chore services	22	10%
Licensing Agency for Residential Settings, i.e., DOH-DDD, DOH-OHCA, CTA for RACCP Homes	19	9%
Law Enforcement (Police)	13	6%
Other (Unspecified)	51	23%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>223</b>	<b>100%</b>

- \* Referrals for services to programs within ACCSB/DHS occur simultaneously when the initial call is received and it is determined that the call will not be accepted for an APS investigation.

## **Legend of Acronyms Used in Table:**

**ACCSB/DHS:** Adult and Community Care Services Branch, Department of Human Services. Individuals are referred to programs offered by DHS when it is likely the individuals will meet eligibility requirements for the respective programs, including Nursing Home Without Walls (NHWW), Home and Community-Based Services for Persons with Developmental Disabilities/Mental Retardation (DD/MR), and Residential Alternatives Community Care Program (RACCP.)

**ACCSB/DHS/Oahu Only: Case Management for Elderly Victims of Crime Project:** DHS has continued to contract on a year-to-year basis with the Department of the Attorney General, Crime Prevention and Justice Assistance Division, to provide case management services for elderly victims of crime on Oahu only. Individuals must be age 60 or older and must have been a victim of crime. For the purposes of this project, a “crime” may include being a victim of elder abuse, neglect, or financial exploitation, excluding self-neglect. This project has been on-going since October 2002, but annual approvals from the Department of the Attorney General are required. Eighty percent (80%) of the project’s funding is from the Federal Victims of Crime Act. The remaining 20% of the project’s funding is provided by DHS as a State match.

**DOH-DDD:** Department of Health, Developmental Disabilities Division, which is responsible for the certification of Adult Foster Homes.

**DOH-OHCA:** Department of Health, Office of Health Care Assurance, which is responsible for the licensure of health care facilities, including hospitals, special treatment facilities, nursing facilities, assisted living facilities, adult residential care homes, and expanded adult residential care homes.

**CTA:** Community Ties of America. This agency is contracted by DHS to license home and community-based case management agencies and to certify community care foster family homes for the Residential Alternatives Community Care Program (RACCP.)

## **Conclusions:**

1. The 575 reports that were investigated in Fiscal Year 2007 by DHS adult protective services workers involved reported victims who had physical and/or mental impairments, who had already been abused, neglected, or financially exploited, and who were in danger of being abused again within the next ninety (90) days. These individuals were not able to protect themselves from being abused, and because of their impairments, were not likely to be able to protect themselves from further abuse.
2. The State’s intervention in the form of an adult protective services investigation focused upon providing appropriate services for the vulnerable individuals who could not protect

themselves from abuse or neglect perpetrated by others or perpetrated by themselves. Statistical data on the 575 investigated reports are contained in **Attachment A**.

3. Statistical data on the 808 reports that were not investigated in Fiscal Year 2007 by DHS adult protective services workers are contained in **Attachment B**. Demographic data describing the ages, marital status, location of the abuse incident, perpetrators, and types of abuses were consistent in both **Attachments A and B**, indicating that the population base being reported to DHS for assistance was the same.
4. Specific information regarding the reports that were not investigated by DHS was obtained through a statistically significant sample of 260 reports which was 32% of the total data set of 808 reports. Statistical data regarding age of the reported adult, types of abuses reported, reasons why the report was not accepted for an APS investigation, and where the reporter was referred for additional help are contained in **Attachment C**.

The ages of the reported adult and the types of abuses reported for the sample survey of 260 reports were consistent with statistical data obtained on the entire data set of 808 reports not investigated in Fiscal Year 2007. The largest age category was the 80+ category, and the most prevalent type of abuse reported was “neglect by others”, followed by “poor self-care” and “financial exploitation.”

#### **Reasons for NOT Accepting the Report for APS Investigation:**

Reports not accepted for APS investigation did not meet the statutory criteria for commencing an investigation which are: 1) the alleged victim must be a dependent adult; and 2) there is reason to believe that the dependent adult had been abused and is threatened with imminent abuse (occurring or reoccurring within ninety (90) days).

Of the 260 reports that were randomly selected for review, 30% or 84 reports, indicated that no abuse had occurred and there was no risk of imminent harm to the alleged victim at the time the call was made to DHS. Another 30% or 83 reports, indicated that abuse had occurred, but there was no risk of imminent harm to the alleged victim because steps had already been taken to ensure the safety of the alleged victim. Twenty-four percent (24%) or 70 reports, indicated that the reported victim had no mental or physical impairments and was not dependent upon others for personal health, safety, or welfare.

According to these statistics, there was no apparent need for protective services intervention by DHS because 1) there was no indication that abuse had occurred; or 2) if abuse had occurred, action had already been taken to alleviate the risk of further harm. In at least 24% of these reports, the reported victim had no impairments, was not dependent upon others for care, and could presumably protect themselves from any actual or potential abuses that may occur.

Sixteen percent (16%) or 46 reports, indicated that no abuse had occurred, but there was a risk of imminent harm to the reported victim due to possible mental or physical impairments. A summary of these 46 reports is as follows:

- No individual was left without services, unless the individual chose to refuse services (3 individuals).
- Twenty (20) individuals (43%) were already known to DHS for services or were known to other case management agencies in the community. These case managers were notified by DHS that a call for assistance had been received by DHS and that APS would not be conducting an investigation.
- Fourteen (14) individuals (30%) were referred to community case management agencies for services or were referred to services within DHS when the individual met eligibility requirements for services. One (1) person was referred to a home health or home care agency for personal assistance.
- Eight (8) individuals (17%) already had services in place and no further intervention was deemed necessary.

**Where the Reporter was Referred for Additional Help:**

Of the 260 reports that were randomly selected for review, the reporters were referred to the following agencies for additional help:

- ACCSB/DHS for services offered within DHS: 21%
- Case Management Agencies other than DHS: 20%
- Referral to Oahu Case Management for Elderly Victims of Crime Project 11%
- Agencies Providing In-Home Services: 10%
- Licensing Agency for Residential Settings: 9%
- Law Enforcement (Police) 6%
- Other (unspecified) 23%
- TOTAL: 100%

**Summary of Reports NOT Investigated by DHS:**

Adult protective services, as defined by chapter 346, Part X, HRS, were not deemed appropriate for the individuals described above. These individuals had other expressed needs, including a need for case management services to help them navigate the resources available in the community, or the provision of in-home personal care services to enable the individual to remain living at home for as long as possible. DHS filled this need for services by providing information about the available programs within DHS as well as in the communities where the reported individuals lived. While the need for services was consistent within the reports randomly sampled, this expressed need does not necessarily mean that the provision of adult protective services is the appropriate avenue to fill the gap in service provision for these individuals.

## ATTACHMENT A

**DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES  
ADULT PROTECTIVE SERVICES  
SUMMARY DATA - CENTRAL REGISTRY  
FISCAL YEAR 2007 (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)**

**APS REPORTS INVESTIGATED: 575**

<u>SEX</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percentage</u>
Female	341	59%
Male	234	41%
<b>Total</b>	<b>575</b>	<b>100%</b>

<u>AGE OF VICTIM</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percentage</u>
18-29	23	4%
30-39	21	4%
40-49	41	7%
50-59	49	9%
60-69	77	13%
70-79	100	17%
80+	254	44%
Unknown	10	2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>575</b>	<b>100%</b>

<u>ETHNICITY OF VICTIM</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percentage</u>
Caucasian	178	31%
Japanese	133	23%
Filipino	49	9%
Hawn/Pt. Hawn	108	19%
Mixed/Not Pt. Hawn	21	4%
Chinese	26	5%
Hispanic	9	2%
Korean	9	2%
Black	2	0%
Samoan	4	1%
Other Pacific Islander	7	1%
Laotian	1	0%
Cambodian	0	0%
American Indian	1	0%
Vietnamese	0	0%
Unknown	27	5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>575</b>	<b>100%</b>

<u>MARITAL STATUS OF VICTIM</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percentage</u>
Widowed	208	36%
Single, never married	156	27%
Married	79	14%
Divorced	55	10%
Married/Separated	25	4%
Married/Invol. Separated	17	3%
Unknown	33	6%
Common Law (7+ years)	2	0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>575</b>	<b>100%</b>

<b><u>VICTIMS RECEIVING PUBLIC ASSISTANCE</u></b>	<b><u>Number</u></b>	<b><u>Percentage</u></b>
No	346	60%
Yes	214	37%
Unknown	15	3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>575</b>	<b>100%</b>

<b><u>LIVING ARRANGEMENT OF VICTIM WHEN ABUSE REPORT WAS MADE</u></b>	<b><u>Number</u></b>	<b><u>Percentage</u></b>
Own Home	357	62%
Nursing Facility (ICF/SNF)	24	4%
Adult Residential Care Home-Type I	29	5%
Adult Residential Care Home-Type II	4	1%
Expanded Adult Residential Care Home	3	1%
Community Care Foster Family Home	43	7%
Developmentally Disabled Foster Home	16	3%
Developmentally Disabled Dom. Home	5	1%
ICF/MR Home	2	0%
Assisted Living Facility	3	1%
Psychiatric Facility	1	0%
Other relative's home	13	2%
Adult offspring's home	28	5%
Unrelated person's home	19	3%
Hospital	7	1%
Other	18	3%
Unknown	3	1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>575</b>	<b>100%</b>

<b><u>LOCATION OF ABUSE INCIDENT</u></b>	<b><u>Number</u></b>	<b><u>Percentage</u></b>
Own Home	365	63%
Nursing Facility (ICF/SNF)	23	4%
Adult Residential Care Home-Type I	26	5%
Adult Residential Care Home-Type II	0	0%
Expanded Adult Residential Care Home	4	1%
Community Care Foster Family Home	25	4%
Developmentally Disabled Foster Home	8	1%
Developmentally Disabled Dom. Home	5	1%
ICF/MR Home	2	0%
Assisted Living Facility	5	1%
Psychiatric Facility	3	1%
Other relative's home	12	2%
Adult offspring's home	19	3%
Unrelated person's home	11	2%
Hospital	14	2%
Adult Day Care/DD Day Program	6	1%
Other	31	5%
Unknown	16	3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>575</b>	<b>100%</b>

<u>MEDICAL TREATMENT REQUIRED FOR VICTIM</u>	<u>* Number</u>	<u>Percentage</u>
No	503	67%
Yes	72	10%
Unknown	175	23%
<b>Total</b>	<b>750</b>	<b>100%</b>

\* Multiple Problems Reported

<u>NUMBER OF VICTIMS WITH TWO OR MORE CONFIRMED INCIDENCES OF ABUSE WITHIN 12 MONTHS</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percentage</u>
Female	4	80%
Male	1	20%
<b>Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>100%</b>

<u>REPORTER</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percentage</u>
Public Agency	43	7%
Private Agency	56	10%
Other	135	23%
Relative	117	20%
Hospital	47	8%
Nurse	50	9%
Friend/Neighbor	36	6%
Anonymous	10	2%
Law Enforcement/Court	17	3%
Day Care Provider	9	2%
Doctor	28	5%
Victim	15	3%
Financial Institution	5	1%
School	3	1%
Clergy	1	0%
Caregiver	2	0%
Perpetrator	1	0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>575</b>	<b>100%</b>

<u>CONFIRMATION</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percentage</u>
No	457	79%
Yes	118	21%
<b>Total</b>	<b>575</b>	<b>100%</b>

<u>PERPETRATOR</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percentage</u>
Relative	240	42%
Staff of caring facility	109	19%
Self	147	26%
Non-relative	63	11%
Unknown	16	3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>575</b>	<b>100%</b>

<u>SEX OF PERPETRATOR</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percentage</u>
Female	228	53%
Male	161	38%
Unknown	39	9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>428</b>	<b>100%</b>

<u>AGE OF PERPETRATOR</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percentage</u>
39/Younger	65	15%
40-59	180	42%
60-79	62	14%
80+	12	3%
Unknown	109	25%
<b>Total</b>	<b>428</b>	<b>100%</b>

<u>ETHNICITY OF PERPETRATOR</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percentage</u>
Filipino	85	20%
Caucasian	74	17%
Hawn/Pt. Hawn	50	12%
Japanese	35	8%
Mixed/Not Pt. Hawn	26	6%
Chinese	5	1%
Other Pacific Islander	3	1%
Samoan	8	2%
Black	3	1%
Vietnamese	0	0%
Korean	6	1%
Laotian	1	0%
Unknown	132	31%
<b>Total</b>	<b>428</b>	<b>100%</b>

**PRIMARY DEPENDENCY STATUS BY SEX**

	*MR/DD	**MI	Physically Disabled	Other	Drug/Alcohol	Other Mental Impairment	Unknown	TOTAL
Male	35	19	105	20	1	48	6	234
Female	27	22	150	31	0	108	3	341
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>255</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>575</b>

\* Mentally Retarded/Developmentally Disabled  
\*\* Mentally Ill

**PROBLEMS BY SEX** (Multiple Problems Reported)

	Physical Abuse	Psycholog Abuse	Sexual Abuse	Neglect by Others	Poor Self Care	Financial Exploitation	TOTAL
Male	31	30	2	97	77	52	289
Female	72	76	11	142	82	78	461
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>239</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>750</b>

**PROBLEMS BY ETHNICITY** (Mutiple Problems Reported)

	Physical Abuse	Psycholog Abuse	Sexual Abuse	Neglect by Others	Poor Self Care	Financial Exploitation	TOTAL
Caucasian	25	31	4	68	57	50	235
Japanese	25	17	3	52	44	18	159
Hawn/Pt Hawn	21	23	4	49	21	28	146
Filipino	5	8	1	20	14	11	59
Mixed/Not Pt Hawn	6	6	0	12	4	2	30
Hispanic	2	3	0	3	3	1	12
Chinese	8	7	0	13	5	7	40
Korean	2	2	0	2	4	1	11
Black	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
Samoan	1	0	0	4	0	1	6
Other Pac Islander	4	0	0	3	0	0	7
Am. Indian/Eskimo	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Laotian	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Vietnamese	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unknown	4	9	1	11	6	10	41
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>239</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>750</b>

**PROBLEMS BY AREA** (Multiple Problems Reported)

	<b>Physical Abuse</b>	<b>Psycholog Abuse</b>	<b>Sexual Abuse</b>	<b>Neglect by Others</b>	<b>Poor Self Care</b>	<b>Financial Exploitation</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
Oahu	79	80	8	179	132	86	564
Kauai	8	7	0	17	5	8	45
East Hawaii	8	10	2	25	15	18	78
West Hawaii	2	3	2	6	5	7	25
Maui	4	5	1	12	2	11	35
Molokai	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
Lanai	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>239</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>750</b>

## ATTACHMENT B

**DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES  
 ADULT PROTECTIVE SERVICES  
 SUMMARY DATA - CENTRAL REGISTRY  
 FISCAL YEAR 2007 (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)**

**APS REPORTS NOT ACCEPTED FOR INVESTIGATION: 808**

<u>SEX</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percentage</u>
Female	452	56%
Male	356	44%
<b>Total</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>100%</b>

<u>AGE OF VICTIM</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percentage</u>
18-29	31	4%
30-39	22	3%
40-49	43	5%
50-59	73	9%
60-69	86	11%
70-79	167	21%
80+	298	37%
Unknown	88	11%
<b>Total</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>100%</b>

<u>ETHNICITY OF VICTIM</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percentage</u>
Caucasian	238	29%
Japanese	165	20%
Filipino	79	10%
Hawn/Pt. Hawn	119	15%
Mixed/Not Pt. Hawn	12	1%
Chinese	26	3%
Hispanic	14	2%
Korean	9	1%
Black	4	0%
Samoan	6	1%
Other Pacific Islander	6	1%
Laotian	0	0%
Cambodian	0	0%
American Indian	2	0%
Vietnamese	0	0%
Unknown	128	16%
<b>Total</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>100%</b>

<u>MARITAL STATUS OF VICTIM</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percentage</u>
Widowed	254	31%
Single, never married	193	24%
Married	81	10%
Divorced	86	11%
Married/Separated	34	4%
Married/Invol. Separated	36	4%
Unknown	123	15%
Unmarried Partner	1	0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>100%</b>

<b><u>VICTIMS RECEIVING PUBLIC ASSISTANCE</u></b>	<b><u>Number</u></b>	<b><u>Percentage</u></b>
No	411	51%
Yes	320	40%
Unknown	77	10%
<b>Total</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>100%</b>

<b><u>LIVING ARRANGEMENT OF VICTIM WHEN ABUSE REPORT WAS MADE</u></b>	<b><u>Number</u></b>	<b><u>Percentage</u></b>
Own Home	465	58%
Nursing Facility (ICF/SNF)	104	13%
Adult Residential Care Home-Type I	16	2%
Adult Residential Care Home-Type II	4	0%
Expanded Adult Residential Care Home	3	0%
Community Care Foster Family Home	18	2%
Developmentally Disabled Foster Home	12	1%
Developmentally Disabled Dom. Home	11	1%
ICF/MR Home	9	1%
Assisted Living Facility	7	1%
Psychiatric Facility	7	1%
Other relative's home	18	2%
Adult offspring's home	31	4%
Unrelated person's home	10	1%
Hospital	39	5%
Other	34	4%
Unknown	20	2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>100%</b>

<b><u>LOCATION OF ABUSE INCIDENT</u></b>	<b><u>Number</u></b>	<b><u>Percentage</u></b>
Own Home	429	53%
Nursing Facility (ICF/SNF)	111	14%
Adult Residential Care Home-Type I	15	2%
Adult Residential Care Home-Type II	3	0%
Expanded Adult Residential Care Home	4	0%
Community Care Foster Family Home	16	2%
Developmentally Disabled Foster Home	10	1%
Developmentally Disabled Dom. Home	7	1%
ICF/MR Home	8	1%
Assisted Living Facility	5	1%
Psychiatric Facility	6	1%
Other relative's home	15	2%
Adult offspring's home	26	3%
Unrelated person's home	4	0%
Hospital	40	5%
Adult Day Care/DD Day Program	16	2%
Other	63	8%
Unknown	30	4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>100%</b>

<b>MEDICAL TREATMENT REQUIRED FOR VICTIM</b>	<b>* Number</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
No	0	0%
Yes	0	0%
Unknown	921	100%
<b>Total</b>	<b>921</b>	<b>100%</b>

\* Multiple Problems Reported

<b>REPORTER</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Public Agency	40	5%
Private Agency	59	7%
Other	172	21%
Relative	145	18%
Hospital	101	13%
Nurse	93	12%
Friend/Neighbor	55	7%
Anonymous	34	4%
Law Enforcement/Court	23	3%
Day Care Provider	7	1%
Doctor	24	3%
Victim	30	4%
Financial Institution	14	2%
School	1	0%
Clergy	0	0%
Caregiver	9	1%
Perpetrator	0	0%
Medical Examiner/Coroner	1	0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>100%</b>

<b>PERPETRATOR</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Relative	276	34%
Staff of caring facility	171	21%
Self	203	25%
Non-relative	123	15%
Unknown	35	4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>100%</b>

<b>SEX OF PERPETRATOR</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Female	271	45%
Male	201	33%
Unknown	133	22%
<b>Total</b>	<b>605</b>	<b>100%</b>

<b>AGE OF PERPETRATOR</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
39/Younger	48	8%
40-59	147	24%
60-79	48	8%
80+	9	1%
Unknown	353	58%
<b>Total</b>	<b>605</b>	<b>100%</b>

<u>ETHNICITY OF PERPETRATOR</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percentage</u>
Filipino	72	12%
Caucasian	71	12%
Hawn/Pt. Hawn	43	7%
Japanese	43	7%
Mixed/Not Pt. Hawn	18	3%
Chinese	6	1%
Other Pacific Islander	3	0%
Samoan	1	0%
Black	3	0%
Vietnamese	0	0%
Korean	1	0%
Laotian	0	0%
Unknown	344	57%
<b>Total</b>	<b>605</b>	<b>100%</b>

**PRIMARY DEPENDENCY STATUS BY SEX**

	*MR/DD	**MI	Physically Disabled	Other	Drug/Alcohol	Other Mental Impairment	Unknown	TOTAL
Male	39	20	122	76	3	46	50	356
Female	37	33	144	102	3	64	69	452
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>266</b>	<b>178</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>808</b>

\* Mentally Retarded/Developmentally Disabled  
 \*\* Mentally Ill

**PROBLEMS BY SEX** (Multiple Problems Reported)

	Physical Abuse	Psycholog Abuse	Sexual Abuse	Neglect by Others	Poor Self Care	Financial Exploitation	TOTAL
Male	51	44	3	122	111	74	405
Female	68	99	11	149	95	94	516
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>271</b>	<b>206</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>921</b>

**PROBLEMS BY ETHNICITY** (Mutiple Problems Reported)

	Physical Abuse	Psycholog Abuse	Sexual Abuse	Neglect by Others	Poor Self Care	Financial Exploitation	TOTAL
Caucasian	32	37	5	67	73	54	268
Japanese	26	30	3	62	38	29	188
Hawn/Pt Hawn	14	18	3	50	31	26	142
Filipino	16	14	1	24	16	21	92
Mixed/Not Pt Hawn	2	3	0	8	0	0	13
Hispanic	0	1	0	4	2	8	15
Chinese	4	5	0	11	6	4	30
Korean	1	2	0	4	3	0	10
Black	1	1	0	1	1	1	5
Samoan	3	1	0	2	0	1	7
Other Pac Islander	1	2	0	2	0	2	7
Am. Indian/Eskimo	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
Laotian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vietnamese	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unknown	19	29	2	34	36	22	142
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>271</b>	<b>206</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>921</b>

**PROBLEMS BY AREA** (Multiple Problems Reported)

	<b>Physical Abuse</b>	<b>Psycholog Abuse</b>	<b>Sexual Abuse</b>	<b>Neglect by Others</b>	<b>Poor Self Care</b>	<b>Financial Exploitation</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
Oahu	71	94	9	161	153	119	607
Kauai	7	9	0	20	10	4	50
East Hawaii	16	21	0	36	27	27	127
West Hawaii	1	0	0	3	2	1	7
Maui	23	19	5	47	13	17	124
Molokai	1	0	0	4	1	0	6
Lanai	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>271</b>	<b>206</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>921</b>

## ATTACHMENT C

ATTACHMENT C

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES  
 ADULT PROTECTIVE SERVICES  
 SUMMARY DATA - REVIEW OF APS INTAKES NOT ACCEPTED  
 FISCAL YEAR (7/1/06 - 6/30/07)

**State:** 260 APS INTAKES REVIEWED

**AGE IN YEARS**

Type of Abuse	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70-79	80+	N/R	Total
Physical Abuse	3	1	4	3	4	3	13	6	37
Psychological Abuse	0	1	0	1	7	9	20	7	45
Sexual Abuse	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	4
Neglect by Others	1	1	5	10	10	10	41	9	87
Poor Self Care	1	1	2	6	10	28	16	4	68
Financial Exploitation	2	2	1	7	4	6	21	10	53
<b>Total</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>294</b>

**AGE IN YEARS**

Reason(s) Not Accepted for APS Investigation	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70-79	80+	N/R	Total
No Impairments (no dependency)	3	1	1	4	12	16	16	17	70
No indication abuse occurred and no risk of imminent harm	2	3	8	11	9	12	31	8	84
No indication abuse occurred but there is a risk of imminent harm	0	0	0	5	7	14	14	6	46
Abuse occurred but no risk of imminent abuse; perp. Removed, no access to victim, protective intervention prior to DHS report	3	3	3	6	6	15	41	6	83
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>283</b>

**AGE IN YEARS**

Referral for Service	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70-79	80+	N/R	Total
VOCA Project (Oahu)	0	0	0	0	3	3	11	9	26
Medicaid Waiver Program Case Management Agency	2	2	5	6	8	3	10	0	36
Other Case Management Agency	2	2	4	7	6	10	10	3	44
Home Care Agency	0	1	0	2	3	2	6	2	16
Private Hire Chore	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	2	6
ACCSB Services (Chore/ARCH)	0	0	1	3	1	4	3	0	12
Other	0	0	0	6	7	16	15	5	49
Police	0	0	1	3	3	1	3	2	13
<b>Licensing Agency</b>									
DOH-DDD	1	1	2	0	2	0	0	1	7
DOH-OHCA	0	0	1	1	0	3	4	0	9
CTA (RACCP)	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	3
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>223</b>