1	HAWAII EMF	PLOYER-UNION HEALTH BENEFITS TRUST FUND
2		Minutes of the Board of Trustees
3		Tuesday, January 29, 2002
4		
5		
6	TRUSTEES PRESENT:	Mr. Sam A. Callejo
7		Ms. Audrey Hidano
8		Ms. Joan Lewis
9		Ms. Kathryn S. Matayoshi
10		Mr. Neal Miyahira
11		Mr. Will Miyake
12		Mr. Chip Uwaine
13		Mr. Jimmy Yasuda (Arrived 10:15 a.m.)
14		Mr. Davis Yogi
15		0
16	TRUSTEE ABSENT:	Mr. Jim Williams (Out-of-State)
17		
18	ATTORNEY:	Mr. Brian Aburano, Deputy Attorney General
19		
20	BUDGET AND FINANCE	Ms. Wanda Kimura
21	PROJECT TEAM STAFF	Mr. Tracy Ban
22		Ms. Lynette Fukunaga
23		Ms. Karen Tom
24		Mr. Tom Morrison, The Segal Company
25		Ms. Shirley Kawamoto
26		5
27	OTHERS PRESENT:	Mr. Rod Tam, HMSA
28		Ms. Alana Deppe-Mariota, Kaiser Permanente
29		Mr. Norbert Mendes, HDS
30		Ms. Monica Engle, VSP
31		Mr. Gordon Murakami, Royal State
32		Mr. Melvin Higa, MBAH
33		Mr. Melvin Kutara, C&C of Honolulu, Dept. of Human Resources
34		Ms. Gertrude Nitta, HGEA-Retiree
35		Ms. Nani Crowell, HSTA
36		Mr. Maurice Morita, HSTA
37		Mr. Leroy Simms, HSTA
38		Mr. George Butterfield, HSTA-Retiree
39		Ms. Ruth Kim, HSTA-Retiree
40		Ms. Karen Muronaka, HSTA-Retiree
41		Ms. Jean Aoki, HSRTA
42		Ms. Julie Ugalde, DAGS/Risk Management
43		Mr. Charles Khim
44		
45	A. CALL TO ORDER	

1 2 3 4		The regular meeting of the Board of Trustees was called to order at 9:20 a.m. by Mr. Sam Callejo, Chairperson, in Conference Room 303/304, No. 1 Capitol District Building, 250 South Hotel Street, Honolulu, Hawaii, on Tuesday, January 29, 2002.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	B.	APPROVAL OF MINUTES This matter was deferred because the minutes were not yet prepared. Minutes of the January 24, 2002 meeting will be ready for review at the February 5, 2002 meeting. During the period that the board meets twice a week, a meeting's minutes will be ready for approval at the following third meeting, e.g., January 29, 2002 minutes will be on the February 7, 2002 agenda.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	C.	RECESS at 9:30 a.m. by request for Mr. Uwaine so that he could review a section of the recording of the January 24, 2002 meeting concerning the trustees' potential personal liability that was relevant to today's meeting, due to the fact that the staff had incorrectly fulfilled Mr. Uwaine's request made the day before to prepare an excerpt of the January 24, 2002 meeting. The meeting reconvened at 10:15 a.m. Mr. Yasuda joined the meeting at 10:15 a.m.
20 21 22 23 24 25	D.	FOLLOW-UP REPORT ON AGENDA ITEMS V. A - B Mr. Aburano, Deputy Attorney General, reported on follow-up items from the January 24, 2002 meeting. With respect to Item V.A., the Attorney General's letter, dated January 6, 2000, to Gregory M. Sato, Esq., Hawaii Public Employees Health Fund, was distributed to the trustees.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37		On Item V.B., Mr. Aburano reported that he had reviewed the State's crime policy and a sample fidelity bond policy provided by Marsh, Inc. Mr. Aburano found that the terms of the policies are very similar except for a couple of differences for which he is waiting for clarification from the broker/insurer. Mr. Aburano briefed the trustees on the material terms of the crime policy and sample fidelity bond. Mr. Aburano also reported that while the State's crime policy had a \$250,000 deductible, he had been informed by the State's risk management office that the State pays for losses under the \$250,000 and pursues the dishonest employee who caused the loss. If the broker/insurer responds that the couple of differences between the crime policy and fidelity bond do not adversely affect the fidelity coverage provided to the trustees under the crime policy, Mr. Aburano stated that he felt that the coverage provided by the State's crime policy and the State's risk management office could be used to satisfy the requirements of HRS §87A-25(5).
38 39 40 41 42		Mr. Aburano distributed to the board a copy of the Attorney General letter dated January 29, 2002, which responds to the board's question as to whether the board's sovereign immunity is waived by procuring primary fiduciary liability insurance.
43	E.	PROCUREMENT OF FIDUCIARY LIABILITY INSURANCE (Agenda V. C.)

Without any objections, Agenda Item V. C. was moved to the beginning of the agenda. The board discussed the feasibility of utilizing the State's existing insurance policy (\$2 million deductable) or the procurement of private insurance through a private broker. Certain trustees voiced a concern as to the trustees' individual liability, the State's being willing to defend and indemnify the trustees, the desirability of obtaining defense through a private insurer, and the prudence of proceeding with any further board actions without insurance.

- MOTION was made by Mr. Uwaine and seconded by Mr. Miyake that the board take action to procure private insurance coverage for the board.
- DISCUSSION: The board discussed the amount of insurance to be procured and the type of insurance to obtain, e.g., a gap policy that covers the difference between the State's \$2 million self-insurance amount, coverage when the legislature does not fund a claim in the gap between the total cost of the liablility and the State's excess liability insurance, etc.
- 17 Mr. Uwaine stated that Ms. Ugalde and her State Risk Management office lacked 18 credibility, because Ms. Ugalde had been evasive in her answers to his questions at the 19 January 24, 2002 meeting. Mr. Uwaine then read a verbatim question/answer colloquy 20 which occurred at the January 24, 2002 meeting[, where Ms. Ugalde was evasive and failed to answer a simple, straight forward question from him]. Specifically, Mr. Uwaine 21 asked Ms. Ugalde if there is no action by the legislature or the AG to initiate legislative 22 23 action for the \$2 million deductible, would he be liable for the \$2 million. Ms. Ugalde 24 stated that she have not seen that occur.
- 26 PUBLIC COMMENTS:
- T. Morrison, Segal, Inc., commented that for fiduciary liability insurance, the board pays a premium and the individual trustees pay a premium which is a reimbursable expense. The insurance coverage is usually on a "claims made" basis and the insurance is only required to be in force at the time the claim is made, not when the action was taken that resulted in the claim. It is also possible for the trust to pay claims from its trust funds, i.e., the insurance deductible amount. Generally, a board's fiduciary duties are indicated by the board's rules or trust documents.
- C. Khim, a member of the public , commented on the fiduciary duties under the
  Employees Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended (ERISA), trustees'
  fiduciary duties under Act 88 which mandates the purchase of insurance, and recommended
  that the trustees purchase insurance. Mr. Khim provided his interpretation of §41D-2.(a),
  HRS, that providing insurance for the board is outside of the statutory scope of the State's
  risk management office.
- 42 M. Higa, member of the public, commented the board should purchase insurance to protect
  43 the trust's assets.
- 44

41

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

16

25

34

1		FURTHER DISCUSSION:
2		The Board asked if Mr. Higa could provide information about the type of insurance and
3		amounts of insurance purchased for the employee organization trusts with which he works.
4		Ms. N. Crowell, HSTA/Member Benefits Corp., offered to supply HSTA's benefit trust
5		insurance information also.
6		
7		PUBLIC COMMENTS:
8		G. Butterfield, a member of the public, commented that the current Public Employees
9		Health Fund has no plans to continue beyond 2003.
10		
11		FURTHER DISCUSSION: Mr. Aburano commented that §41D-2.(a)(3), HRS, provides
12		the scope of the comptroller's risk management and insurance program responsibilities,
13		e.g., means that the comptroller does not have to do the job of the Public Employees
14		Health Fund in procuring health insurance coverage for public employees. He felt that
15		§41D-2.(a)(3) does not limit the trustees' immunity or indemnity under §26-35.5, HRS, or
16		the coverage of the State's insurance with respect to the trustees. Mr. Aburano was
17		requested to provide his comments in writing.
18		
19		Mr. Uwaine clarified his motion that the board purchase private industry insurance to cover
20		fiduciary liability insurance and errors and omissions insurance of all trustees as provided
21		in Act 88 ,SLH 2001, section 25.
22		
23		Discussion clarified that a private insurance broker quotations and recommendations are not
24		binding on the board, the board would work directly with the private broker, and multiple
25		brokers should be contacted.
26		
27		RECESS at 11:50 a.m. Meeting reconvened at 12:00 p.m.
28		
29		VOTE on the motion was passed unanimously.
30		(Public employer trustees/ 5 YES; Employee-Beneficiary trustees/4 YES)
31		Chaimanan nagammandad that Mr. Unusing and Mr. Matawashi mank to gather to some un
32		Chairperson recommended that Mr. Uwaine and Ms. Matayoshi work together to come up
33		with a committee to work out details and timing to obtain the insurance information.
34	Б	DECENTATION DV THE SECAL CO. COVEDING THISTEE DUTIES AND
35	F.	PRESENTATION BY THE SEGAL, CO. COVERING TRUSTEE DUTIES AND
36		RULES OF CONDUCT, ROTATION OF BOARD LEADERSHIP, ADVISORS, AND
37		THIRD PARTY ADMINISTRATOR (TPA)
38 39		Without objection, agenda item IV.B.2.c-f was moved to accommodate Mr. Morrison's
39 40		presentation because he is unable to attend the next meeting. The handout of
40 41		Mr. Morrison's PowerPoint presentation was provided at the meeting of January 24, 2002.
41 42	G.	ADOPTION OF OPERATING PROCEDURES (Agenda Item IV.A.1.)
42 43	G.	Mr. Miyake reviewed the revised language as proposed during the January 24, 2002
43 44		meeting. Additional changes were made:
<del>44</del>		meening. Auunonai changes were maue.

1		• Item 3, changed by deleting "the most current version of" and adding "10 <sup>th</sup>
2		Edition," to "Robert's Rules of Order, Newly Revised".
3		• Item 7, line 1, has a typographical error
4		• Item 7, last bullet, replace "their findings to the full board" with "a summary of
5		their disagreement(s) to the full board."
6		• Item 8, clarify the employee organization with which the board will consult with a
7		listing of: "exclusive employee organizations, exclusive employee representatives,
8		retiree organizations, and all other employee organizations registered with the
9		board".
10		
11		MOTION was made by Ms. Matayoshi and seconded by Mr. Yogi to adopt the proposed
12		operating procedures with corrections as discussed, in principle, subject to consultation
13		with the employee and retiree groups concerning the proposed operating procedures, and
14		subject to changes to the proposed operating procedures which may occur as a result of
15		these consultations.
16		
17		PUBLIC COMMENT
18		C. Khim, member of the public and attorney, recommended the use of "representative"
19		instead of "organization".
20		
21		M. Higa, member of the public, commented that he provided a letter from Mutual Benefit
22		Association of Hawaii to the board dated January 28, 2002, listing the employee
23		organizations with which the Public Employees Health Trust Fund currently deals.
24 25		C. Dutterfield commented that information on the makeits will exist in access to
25 26		G. Butterfield commented that information on the website will assist in access to
26 27		information and his concern that retiree groups could not register as retirees are not
27		considered employees.
28 29		R. Kim, HGEA, commented that she regularly receives written notices by calling the
30		number indicated.
31		hamber indicated.
32		FURTHER DISCUSSION: Board discussed registration procedure and that only an
33		organization may register and there should be one official contact for the organization.
34		
35		VOTE on the motion was passed unanimously.
36		(Public employer trustees/ 5 YES; Employee-beneficiary trustees/4 YES)
37		The proposed procedures will be sent for consultation by the end of this week and
38		organizations will be provided two weeks for comment.
39		
40		PUBLIC COMMENT
41		M. Higa, member of the public, commented that they would be providing written
42		comments on all agenda items as they arise.
43		
44	Н.	ASSIGNMENT OF COMMITTEES (Agenda item IV.A.2.)

1		
2		RECESSED AT 1:05 p.m.; reconvened at 1:15 p.m.
3		Trustees were assigned to committees as follows:
4		
5		ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE
6		Audrey Hidano
7		Kathy Matayoshi
8		• Jim Williams
9		Jimmy Yasuda
10		
11		BENEFITS COMMITTEE
12		Audrey Hidano
13		Neal Miyahira
14		• Joan Lewis
15		Will Miyake
16		······································
17		RULES COMMITTEE
18		Neal Miyahira
19		Davis Yogi
20		Will Miyake
21		Chip Uwaine
22		chip e traine
23	I.	VOTING AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION (Agenda item IV.2.a – b)
23 24	I.	VOTING AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION (Agenda item IV.2.a – b) Voting of the board has been covered in the Operating Procedures. Mr. Aburano reviewed
24	I.	Voting of the board has been covered in the Operating Procedures. Mr. Aburano reviewed
24 25	I.	•
24	I.	Voting of the board has been covered in the Operating Procedures. Mr. Aburano reviewed a suggested dispute resolution process that will be considered by the Rules Committee.
24 25 26	I.	<ul><li>Voting of the board has been covered in the Operating Procedures. Mr. Aburano reviewed a suggested dispute resolution process that will be considered by the Rules Committee.</li><li>Mr. Morrison was asked to provide information on other processes with which he may</li></ul>
24 25 26 27	I.	<ul><li>Voting of the board has been covered in the Operating Procedures. Mr. Aburano reviewed a suggested dispute resolution process that will be considered by the Rules Committee.</li><li>Mr. Morrison was asked to provide information on other processes with which he may experience. Processes are similar with a difference in Taft-Hartley plans that there may be</li></ul>
24 25 26 27 28	I.	<ul><li>Voting of the board has been covered in the Operating Procedures. Mr. Aburano reviewed a suggested dispute resolution process that will be considered by the Rules Committee.</li><li>Mr. Morrison was asked to provide information on other processes with which he may</li></ul>
24 25 26 27 28 29	I.	<ul><li>Voting of the board has been covered in the Operating Procedures. Mr. Aburano reviewed a suggested dispute resolution process that will be considered by the Rules Committee.</li><li>Mr. Morrison was asked to provide information on other processes with which he may experience. Processes are similar with a difference in Taft-Hartley plans that there may be mandatory resolution of a deadlock and there is a breathing space between meetings with</li></ul>
24 25 26 27 28 29 30	I.	<ul> <li>Voting of the board has been covered in the Operating Procedures. Mr. Aburano reviewed a suggested dispute resolution process that will be considered by the Rules Committee.</li> <li>Mr. Morrison was asked to provide information on other processes with which he may experience. Processes are similar with a difference in Taft-Hartley plans that there may be mandatory resolution of a deadlock and there is a breathing space between meetings with two successive deadlocks before a petition to the court by either side to move to dispute</li> </ul>
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	I.	<ul> <li>Voting of the board has been covered in the Operating Procedures. Mr. Aburano reviewed a suggested dispute resolution process that will be considered by the Rules Committee.</li> <li>Mr. Morrison was asked to provide information on other processes with which he may experience. Processes are similar with a difference in Taft-Hartley plans that there may be mandatory resolution of a deadlock and there is a breathing space between meetings with two successive deadlocks before a petition to the court by either side to move to dispute</li> </ul>
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	I.	Voting of the board has been covered in the Operating Procedures. Mr. Aburano reviewed a suggested dispute resolution process that will be considered by the Rules Committee. Mr. Morrison was asked to provide information on other processes with which he may experience. Processes are similar with a difference in Taft-Hartley plans that there may be mandatory resolution of a deadlock and there is a breathing space between meetings with two successive deadlocks before a petition to the court by either side to move to dispute resolution.
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	I.	Voting of the board has been covered in the Operating Procedures. Mr. Aburano reviewed a suggested dispute resolution process that will be considered by the Rules Committee. Mr. Morrison was asked to provide information on other processes with which he may experience. Processes are similar with a difference in Taft-Hartley plans that there may be mandatory resolution of a deadlock and there is a breathing space between meetings with two successive deadlocks before a petition to the court by either side to move to dispute resolution.
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	I.	<ul> <li>Voting of the board has been covered in the Operating Procedures. Mr. Aburano reviewed a suggested dispute resolution process that will be considered by the Rules Committee.</li> <li>Mr. Morrison was asked to provide information on other processes with which he may experience. Processes are similar with a difference in Taft-Hartley plans that there may be mandatory resolution of a deadlock and there is a breathing space between meetings with two successive deadlocks before a petition to the court by either side to move to dispute resolution.</li> <li>This subject was assigned to the Rules Committee for review and recommendation.</li> </ul>
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	I.	<ul> <li>Voting of the board has been covered in the Operating Procedures. Mr. Aburano reviewed a suggested dispute resolution process that will be considered by the Rules Committee.</li> <li>Mr. Morrison was asked to provide information on other processes with which he may experience. Processes are similar with a difference in Taft-Hartley plans that there may be mandatory resolution of a deadlock and there is a breathing space between meetings with two successive deadlocks before a petition to the court by either side to move to dispute resolution.</li> <li>This subject was assigned to the Rules Committee for review and recommendation.</li> <li>PUBLIC COMMENT</li> </ul>
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	I.	<ul> <li>Voting of the board has been covered in the Operating Procedures. Mr. Aburano reviewed a suggested dispute resolution process that will be considered by the Rules Committee.</li> <li>Mr. Morrison was asked to provide information on other processes with which he may experience. Processes are similar with a difference in Taft-Hartley plans that there may be mandatory resolution of a deadlock and there is a breathing space between meetings with two successive deadlocks before a petition to the court by either side to move to dispute resolution.</li> <li>This subject was assigned to the Rules Committee for review and recommendation.</li> <li>PUBLIC COMMENT</li> <li>C. Khim, member of the public and attorney, commented that the dispute resolution is not</li> </ul>
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	I. J.	<ul> <li>Voting of the board has been covered in the Operating Procedures. Mr. Aburano reviewed a suggested dispute resolution process that will be considered by the Rules Committee.</li> <li>Mr. Morrison was asked to provide information on other processes with which he may experience. Processes are similar with a difference in Taft-Hartley plans that there may be mandatory resolution of a deadlock and there is a breathing space between meetings with two successive deadlocks before a petition to the court by either side to move to dispute resolution.</li> <li>This subject was assigned to the Rules Committee for review and recommendation.</li> <li>PUBLIC COMMENT</li> <li>C. Khim, member of the public and attorney, commented that the dispute resolution is not mandatory but permissive for this board.</li> <li>PROCUREMENT OF FIDELITY BOND (Agenda Item V. D.)</li> </ul>
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38		<ul> <li>Voting of the board has been covered in the Operating Procedures. Mr. Aburano reviewed a suggested dispute resolution process that will be considered by the Rules Committee.</li> <li>Mr. Morrison was asked to provide information on other processes with which he may experience. Processes are similar with a difference in Taft-Hartley plans that there may be mandatory resolution of a deadlock and there is a breathing space between meetings with two successive deadlocks before a petition to the court by either side to move to dispute resolution.</li> <li>This subject was assigned to the Rules Committee for review and recommendation.</li> <li>PUBLIC COMMENT</li> <li>C. Khim, member of the public and attorney, commented that the dispute resolution is not mandatory but permissive for this board.</li> <li>PROCUREMENT OF FIDELITY BOND (Agenda Item V. D.)</li> <li>Ms. Matayoshi suggested that insurance applications provided by the State's risk</li> </ul>
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39		<ul> <li>Voting of the board has been covered in the Operating Procedures. Mr. Aburano reviewed a suggested dispute resolution process that will be considered by the Rules Committee.</li> <li>Mr. Morrison was asked to provide information on other processes with which he may experience. Processes are similar with a difference in Taft-Hartley plans that there may be mandatory resolution of a deadlock and there is a breathing space between meetings with two successive deadlocks before a petition to the court by either side to move to dispute resolution.</li> <li>This subject was assigned to the Rules Committee for review and recommendation.</li> <li>PUBLIC COMMENT</li> <li>C. Khim, member of the public and attorney, commented that the dispute resolution is not mandatory but permissive for this board.</li> <li>PROCUREMENT OF FIDELITY BOND (Agenda Item V. D.)</li> </ul>
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40		<ul> <li>Voting of the board has been covered in the Operating Procedures. Mr. Aburano reviewed a suggested dispute resolution process that will be considered by the Rules Committee.</li> <li>Mr. Morrison was asked to provide information on other processes with which he may experience. Processes are similar with a difference in Taft-Hartley plans that there may be mandatory resolution of a deadlock and there is a breathing space between meetings with two successive deadlocks before a petition to the court by either side to move to dispute resolution.</li> <li>This subject was assigned to the Rules Committee for review and recommendation.</li> <li>PUBLIC COMMENT</li> <li>C. Khim, member of the public and attorney, commented that the dispute resolution is not mandatory but permissive for this board.</li> <li>PROCUREMENT OF FIDELITY BOND (Agenda Item V. D.)</li> <li>Ms. Matayoshi suggested that insurance applications provided by the State's risk management office be completed by staff except that the trustees must complete items 12 and 15 on the CHUBB application which requires personal information.</li> </ul>
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41		<ul> <li>Voting of the board has been covered in the Operating Procedures. Mr. Aburano reviewed a suggested dispute resolution process that will be considered by the Rules Committee.</li> <li>Mr. Morrison was asked to provide information on other processes with which he may experience. Processes are similar with a difference in Taft-Hartley plans that there may be mandatory resolution of a deadlock and there is a breathing space between meetings with two successive deadlocks before a petition to the court by either side to move to dispute resolution.</li> <li>This subject was assigned to the Rules Committee for review and recommendation.</li> <li>PUBLIC COMMENT</li> <li>C. Khim, member of the public and attorney, commented that the dispute resolution is not mandatory but permissive for this board.</li> <li>PROCUREMENT OF FIDELITY BOND (Agenda Item V. D.)</li> <li>Ms. Matayoshi suggested that insurance applications provided by the State's risk management office be completed by staff except that the trustees must complete items 12</li> </ul>

1

2 3

4

40

Ms. Matayoshi and Mr. Uwaine were asked by Chairperson Callejo to coordinate the submission of applications to brokers for recommendations and information quotations with the staff.

5 K. PRESENTATION OF THE RIGHTS OF TRUSTEES REPRESENTING EMPLOYEE-6 BENEFICIARIES (Agenda V. E.)

7 Mr. Khim, personal attorney for employee-beneficiary trustees, stated that trustees are free 8 at any time to consult with any individual attorneys they have retained; to consult 9 individually or collectively with their attorney regarding their rights, duties obligations, and liabilities or questions they may have regarding legal issues as they relate to board 10 11 proceedings. Group consultation with their attorney is permitted when each trustee does not 12 have conflicting interests with each other. Trustees as a group may consult with their private attorney for a second opinion on the aforesaid legal issues and items in order to 13 14 make a decision on what actions should or should not be taken. An individual trustee may 15 waive attorney-client confidentiality for advice provided by his or her private attorney as well as the advice of the attorney general and share that information with others. Mr. Khim 16 17 commented that there is no duty for a trustee to share legal advice received from a private 18 attorney with the other trustees. 19

20 Mr. Aburano commented that he is aware that some trust treatises have suggested that there is a duty for a trustee to prevent a co-trustee of breaching the co-trustee's fiduciary duty. 21 22 Thus, if a trustee has received information or advice that a co-trustee may be breaching a 23 fiduciary duty, that trustee may have a duty to inform the co-trustee of the information or 24 advice regarding that trustees prospective action which constitutes a breach of fiduciary 25 duty. Mr. Aburano said that when he consulted with an attorney from OIP about a group 26 of more than two trustees at a time meeting privately with their attorney during a public meeting, he was informally advised that this may [or may not] be a violation of the 27 Sunshine Law, as there is no [provision] exception in the Sunshine Law concerning group 28 29 consultations of trustees with private counsel. Mr. Aburano suggested that the board or 30 trustees seek an opinion from OIP on this issue. In addition, if private consultation 31 between trustees and their private attorney occurs during a public meeting and that consultation extends to discussions on board policy, Mr. Aburano said the question arises 32 33 as to whether such discussions should occur as public comment. Mr. Aburano is not aware of limited individual waivers of the attorney-client client-privilege; in his experience, once 34 a waiver is given, then the information is available to anyone. Finally, Mr. Aburano noted 35 36 that the attorney general is counsel to the board and that it is his opinion that waiver of 37 confidentiality where it concerns the attorney general's advice is a decision of the board 38 and it is his opinion that an individual trustee may not individually waive the board's 39 attorney-client confidentiality in so far as it concerns the attorney general.

## 41 L. RECRUITMENT AND APPOINTMENT OF THE ADMINISTRATOR AND OTHER 42 FUND STAFF (Agenda Item V.F.)

43 Mr. Uwaine addressed the issue of proper staffing of the EUTF Board. While praising the 44 competency of the project team staff, he questioned the appropriateness of the EUTF staff

1 being paid by the Departments of Budget and Finance (B&F) and Human Resources 2 Development (DHRD), which Directors serve as Trustees on the EUTF. He further 3 explained that staffing of EUTF should be employees of the Board without mixed loyalties to the EUTF and Directors/Trustees Yogi and Miyahara. 4 5 6 Board discussed the use and compensation of the project team staff as interim trust staff 7 until decisions on permanent staff or a third party administrator are made. To avoid the 8 staff having to decide who's direction to follow, EUTF Board or Departmental, Mr. 9 Uwaine proposed that the trust should reimburse the B&F and DHRD for the project team 10 staff's wages and cost of benefits until June 30, 2002. 11 12 RECESS at 2:30 p.m.; reconvened at 2:45 p.m. 13 14 B&F Director/Trustee Miyahira stated that B&F will attempt to work with the Legislature 15 to include a proviso in pending legislation that will have the effect of shifting current B&F and DHRD resources to the trust so that additional funding is available to the trust for staff 16 17 salaries. The staff will be assigned to the trust as employees, effective immediately. 18 Individual salary information for staff must be treated as confidential. 19 20 It was agreed that should the legislative attempt to shift B&F staff funding to EUTF fail, the staffing cost would be reimbursed to B&F and DHRD from the \$300,000 funding of 21 22 Act 88. 23 24 The Administrative Committee is assigned to research third party administrators and the 25 details of the assignment and compensation of project team staff to the trust. Additional items, e.g., cost of consultant, insurance, etc., must also be added to the trust budget for 26 27 review. 28 29 **PUBLIC COMMENTS:** 30 C. Khim, member of the public and attorney, commented that the Trust has not consulted 31 on its Operating Procedures with the employee organizations or public employers and it is, 32 therefore, premature to staff and begin work at the committee level. 33 34 FURTHER DISCUSSION: Mr. Aburano commented that the Operating Procedures are internal procedures and are not 35 36 considered as rules. Thus, he felt that the committees could begin to meet. Any comments 37 from consultation with the employee-organizations and public employers may still be 38 incorporated in the Operating Procedures. 39 40 Board concluded that the committees would not meet until after consultation on the Operating Procedures had been completed. The board will continue to meet and begin to 41 42 review items as a committee of the whole until the Operating Procedures are adopted. 43

44 M. STAFF ADMINISTRATIVE COMMENTS

1 2 3 4 5		MOTION was made by Mr. Miyake and seconded by J. Lewis that Mr. Uwaine be delegated Secretary-Treasurer for the January 24, 2002, and January 29, 2002 meetings to review the minutes in the absence of Mr. Williams. The motion was unanimously passed. (Public employer trustees/ 5 YES; Employee-beneficiary trustees/4 YES)
6	N.	FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS AND NEXT MEETING DATE
7		Next meeting is Thursday, January 31, 2002, 9:00 a.m. – 12 Noon.
8		
9	О.	ADJOURNMENT
10		Motion to adjourn was made by Ms. Lewis and seconded by Ms. Matayoshi. The motion
11		was unanimously passed. (Public employer trustees/ 5 YES; Employee-beneficiary
12		trustees/4 YES)
13		
14		The meeting was adjourned at 3:12 p.m.
15		
16		
17		Respectfully submitted,
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		Clifford T. Uwaine, Secretary-Treasurer
23		
24 25		OVED AS CORRECTED
25 26	on rei	bruary 26, 2002.
20 27	Docur	nents distributed:
28	1.	Board of Trustees Operating Procedures (Ramseyer and Clean). (7 pages)
20 29	1. 2.	Responsibilities of Board Officers. (3 pages)
30	2. 3.	Letter dated January 6, 2002, to Gregory Sato, Chair, Board of Trustees, Hawaii Public
31	0.	Employees Health Fund, from the Department of the Attorney General. (11 pages)
32	4.	Letter dated January 29, 2002, to the Hawaii Employer-Union Health Benefits Trust Fund
33		from the Department of the Attorney General. (2 pages)
34	5.	Letter dated January 28, 2002, to Mr. Sam Callejo, Chair, Trustees of the Hawaii
35		Employer-Union Health Benefits Trust Fund from Mr. Melvin Higa, Mutual Benefit
36		Association of Hawaii. (1 page)