

STATE OF HAWAII
LAND USE COMMISSION

Minutes of Meeting

Conference Rooms A & B
State Office Building
3060 Ewa Street
Lihue, Kauai

approved
3/1/91

February 15, 1991

HEARING OFFICER: Benjamin Matsubara, Esq.
STAFF PRESENT: Bert Saruwatari, Planner
Leo Asuncion, Student Helper
Darlene Y. Kinoshita, Chief Clerk
Holly Hackett, Court Reporter

Benjamin Matsubara, Hearing Officer, called the meeting to order.

HEARING

A90-661 - C. BREWER PROPERTIES, INC. (Kauai)

Pursuant to a notice published in the Honolulu Advertiser and The Garden Island on December 28, 1990 and notices sent to all parties, a hearing was called by the Hearing Officer in the matter of the petition by C. Brewer Properties, Inc. to reclassify approximately 16.489 acres of land currently in the Conservation District into the Agricultural District and approximately 0.167 acre of land currently in the Agricultural District into the Conservation District at Kalihiwai, Kilauea, Kauai for agricultural use.

Appearances

Walton Hong, Esq., Attorney for Petitioner
Craig Champion, for Petitioner
Peter Morimoto, Esq., Deputy County Attorney, County of Kauai
Rick Eichor, Esq., Deputy Attorney General, Office of State Planning
Karen Yamamoto, Land Use Division, Office of State Planning

PUBLIC WITNESSES

1. Michael R. Strong
2. Jack Gushiken

Leo Asuncion, Student Helper, oriented the Hearing Officer to the area requested for reclassification on the Land Use District Boundaries and tax maps.

EXHIBITS

1. Petitioner's Exhibit A through F, G, G-1, H through J, J-1, J-2, K, K-1, L through R were admitted into evidence by the hearing officer.
2. State's Exhibit 1 and 2 were admitted into evidence by the hearing officer.

PETITIONER'S WITNESSES

1. Craig Champion
2. George Yuen
3. Thomas Witten

STATE'S WITNESS

1. Karen Yamamoto

COUNTY'S WITNESS

1. Peter Nakamura

PETITIONER'S WITNESS

1. Craig Champion (Recalled)

Post hearing procedures were explained to the parties by the Hearing Officer. Proposed Findings of Fact are due on March 22, 1991 and the parties have until April 1, 1991 to respond. The parties have ten working days after the hearing officer issues his report to submit their response. The hearing was declared closed.

The meeting was adjourned at 3:40 p.m.