# WHO YOU GONNA CALL?

(Disaster Preparation)

#### **How Did This Come About?**

- Planning for the emergency we all hope never happens
- Reviewed current law and available programs
  - Montana Code (10-3-204, MCA) provides for an interstate aid compact with other states that also participate in the compact
    - The code provides that the Governor may enter into a compact with any state if that joint action is desirable in meeting common intergovernmental problems of emergency or disaster planning, prevention, response, and recovery

### The Compact

- Montana Code (10-3-207, MCA) is the Interstate Mutual Aid Compact, covering the:
  - Authorization to request or receive assistance in emergency situations when other resources are not available
  - Form in which the request must be made
  - Control of personnel and equipment
  - Reimbursement for costs associated with the assistance
  - Privileges, immunities, hold harmless clauses
  - Names of officials with authority to make requests and review provisions

#### Further Review

- The department was developing a Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP) to address emergency situations wasn't that enough?
  - Some of the questions were:
    - Where in Montana would we continue the operations of the department if the disaster hit Helena
    - What if the disaster were state-wide
    - What services would be the most critical to stand-up immediately

#### Additional Considerations

- Life and safety issues are always the first thing to consider in an emergency
- Revenue collection to pay for those life and safety issues would certainly be next
- Getting the money in the bank was what was important
- So, should we consider conducting services in another state in order to accomplish this

#### Another State?

- What services would be critical for Montana
- Would the other state need specific authority
- Would it matter what computer system they used
- What about staffing, unions, and personnel laws
- Should Montana staff be sent to the other state
- How would expenses be reimbursed
- Would it matter where the work was performed
- Would the state have to be a member of the Compact state agreement (EMAC)

# Specific Agreement Benefits

- Emergencies cause multi-level needs
- Resources are driven by the most critical need for the community, state, or region
- Standing up the Department of Revenue would probably not be at the top of an emergency list
- Processing the money to help aid with those needs would be critical
- A specific agreement designates the resources and the means of getting the money in the bank sooner

#### Where Did We Start?

- Established a review and development team
  - Process staff
  - Technical staff
  - Legal
- Identified critical functions that could impair the state's ability to operate in the case of a emergency or disaster
  - Money (tax payments)
  - Mail
  - Returns

#### What Did We Do Next?

- Identified the closest neighbors who might have similar needs
- Opened lines of communication with those neighbors
- Discussed:
  - Space and staffing issues
    - Do both agencies have the capacity to handle additional workload duties and people
  - Legal concerns
    - Statutory authority and possible restrictions
    - Signatory authority

### Drafting Begins

- Pub 1075 compliance Required a reference addressing the safeguard provisions for tax information
- Mail processing Identified the critical operating functions (manual and technical)
- Money Specified the processing requirements
- Work space Determined spacing needs and the potential period of time
- Staffing needs Stated staff numbers to be provided by the hosting state and the potential for temporary staff

### Processing and Revisions

The terms were drafted and a proposed Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) was presented to each state's legal counsel for review and consideration

 Potential legal restrictions or authorities were considered

 Other state agencies were consulted as needed (Homeland Security)

### Signed MOU - Now What?

- Review the MOU periodically to determine if amendments are necessary due to statutory, operational, or technical changes
- Develop a "Plan" and provide a copy to the other state

 Educate the appropriate staff regarding the specifics of the agreement

### Developing a Plan

- Assign an Operations Coordinator
- Determine internal players
- Acquire contact information for:
  - Directing the mail
  - Contracted services
  - Transmitting funds (Garda Security or other approved)
- Determine space location
- Identify equipment needs

#### Other Plan Considerations

- Time of year will dictate certain needs and limitations
- Staffing availability
- Transportation for agency staff
- Communications
- Coordination of private, state, and federal entities needs and practicing with these entities would be a challenge

### Safety Needs in Plan

- Establish secure storage location for documents when not being processed
- Provide copies of operational procedures and policies to prevent unauthorized disclosure of confidential information
- Establish notification protocol if there is an unauthorized disclosure
- Provide a copy of the state's records retention schedules and public records laws to the hosting agency

## Training and Exercising

- Train staff using the Plan
- Conduct "table top" exercises (different segments of the Plan) using a simulated disaster for:
  - Disaster occurred in Montana
    - During tax season
    - During non-tax season
  - Disaster occurred in Idaho (same as above)
- Review the results of the exercise
- Modify the Plan or training, as appropriate

#### What Else is Possible?

- Liquor Currently, we have two liquor MOU's pending with two neighboring states
- Tax processing Montana and Idaho use the same technology vendor so the MOU could be expanded for other purposes like filing tax returns

 Other areas of operation – consider areas that may be the same as a neighboring state

#### Questions

#### **Contact Information**

• QUESTIONS?????

- Cleo Anderson
- Montana Department of Revenue
- **406)** 444-5828
- canderson@mt.gov