

(An Enterprise Fund of the State of Hawaii)

Single Audit Reports

Year ended June 30, 2016

(With Independent Auditors' Reports Thereon)

Submitted by

THE AUDITOR STATE OF HAWAII

(An Enterprise Fund of the State of Hawaii)

Table of Contents

	Page
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	1
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance For Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control over Compliance; and Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards as Required by the Uniform Guidance	3
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	6
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	7
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	8



KPMG LLP Suite 2100 1003 Bishop Street Honolulu, HI 96813-6400

Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

The Auditor State of Hawaii:

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Airports Division, Department of Transportation, State of Hawaii (an enterprise fund of the State of Hawaii) (the Airports Division) which comprise the statement of net position as of June 30, 2016, and the related statements of revenues, expenses, and changes in net position and cash flows for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 22, 2016. Our report contains emphasis of matter paragraphs that state the basic financial statements do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the State of Hawaii as of June 30, 2016, the changes in its financial position, or, where applicable, its cash flows for the year then ended, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and the Airport Division's adoption of Government Accounting Standards Board Statement (GASB) No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*, and GASB Statement No. 82, *Pension Issues – an amendment of GASB Statements No. 67, 68, and No. 73*.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Airports Division's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Airports Division's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Airports Division's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit, we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Airports Division's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, including applicable provisions of the Hawaii Public Procurement Code (Chapter 103D, Hawaii Revised Statutes) and procurement rules, directives, and circulars, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of This Report

The purpose of this report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Airports Division's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Airports Division's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

KPMG LLP

Honolulu, Hawaii December 22, 2016



KPMG LLP Suite 2100 1003 Bishop Street Honolulu, HI 96813-6400

Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance For Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control over Compliance; and Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards as Required by the Uniform Guidance

The Auditor State of Hawaii:

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the Airports Division, Department of Transportation, State of Hawaii (an enterprise fund of the State of Hawaii) (the Airports Division), compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the Airports Division's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2016. The Airports Division's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the Airports Division's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Airports Division's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination on the Airports Division's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the Airports Division complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2016.



Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the Airports Division is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the Airports Division's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Airports Division's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the Airports Division as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and have issued our report thereon dated December 22, 2016, which contained an unmodified opinion on those financial statements. Our report contained emphasis of matter paragraphs that state the financial statements do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the State of Hawaii as of June 30, 2016, the changes in its financial position, or, where applicable, its cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and the Airport Division's adoption of Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB) No. 72, Fair Value Measurement and Application, and GASB Statement No. 82, Pension Issues - an amendment of GASB Statements No. 67, No. 68, and No. 73. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the



United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditure of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.



Honolulu, Hawaii March 21, 2017

(An Enterprise Fund of the State of Hawaii)

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

Year ended June 30, 2016

Federal grantor/program title	CFDA number		Federal expenditures	
U.S. Department of Transportation: Federal Aviation Administration – Airport Improvement Program	20.106	\$	26,487,865	
U.S. Department of Homeland Security: Law Enforcement Officer Reimbursement Agreement Program National Explosives Detection Canine Team Program	97.090 97.072	_	1,823,611 335,955	
Total federal expenditures		\$	28,647,431	

See accompanying independent auditors' report on compliance for each major federal program; report on internal control over compliance; and report on schedule of expenditures of federal awards as required by the Uniform Guidance and notes to schedule of expenditures of federal awards.

(An Enterprise Fund of the State of Hawaii)

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

Year ended June 30, 2016

(1) General

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards includes the federal grant activity of the Airports Division, Department of Transportation, State of Hawaii (the Airports Division). The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Guidance.

(2) Basis of Accounting

The accompanying schedule is prepared on the accrual basis of accounting.

(3) Relationship to Federal Financial Reports

Amounts reported in the accompanying schedule agree in all material respects with the amounts reported in the related federal financial reports.

(4) Indirect Cost Rate

The Airports Division did not elect to use the 10% de minimis indirect cost rate as discussed in the Uniform Guidance Section 200.414.

(An Enterprise Fund of the State of Hawaii)
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs
Year ended June 30, 2016

(1) Summary of Auditors' Results

- (a) Type of report issued on whether the financial statements were prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles: **Unmodified**
- (b) Internal control deficiencies over financial reporting disclosed by the audit of the financial statements:
 - Material weaknesses: No
 - Significant deficiencies: None reported
- (c) Noncompliance material to the financial statements: No
- (d) Internal control deficiencies over major programs disclosed by the audit:
 - Material weaknesses: No
 - Significant deficiencies: None reported
- (e) Type of report issued on compliance for major programs: Unmodified
- (f) Audit findings that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516(a): No
- (g) Major program:

CFDA No. 20.106 – U.S. Department of Transportation – Federal Aviation Administration – Airport Improvement Program

- (h) Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs: \$859,423
- (i) Auditee qualified as a low risk auditee: Yes
- (2) Findings Relating to the Financial Statements Reported in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

None

(3) Findings and Questioned Costs Relating to Federal Awards

None