

Census 2000 PHC-T-40. Estimated Daytime Population and Employment-Residence Ratios: 2000

Table 1. Leading Places on Percent Change in Daytime Population, by Size (Total Resident Population)

NOTE: Data based on a sample. For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, definitions, and count corrections see <http://factfinder.census.gov/home/en/datanotes/expsf3.htm>.

FIPS state code (1)	FIPS place code (2)	Total resident population (3)	Total workers working in the place (4)	Total workers living in the place (5)	Estimated daytime population (6) = (3)+(4)-(5)	Daytime population change due to commuting		Workers who lived and worked in the same place		Employment residence ratio (11) = (4)/(5)	Place name ^{1/}
						Number (7) = (6)-(3)	Percent (8) = (7)/(3)*100	Number (9)	Percent (10) = (9)/(5)*100		
Over 1 million population (all places in category)											
48	35000	1,953,631	1,244,999	841,686	2,356,944	403,313	20.6	681,785	81.0	1.48	Houston city, TX
48	19000	1,188,580	764,561	537,006	1,416,135	227,555	19.1	350,745	65.3	1.42	Dallas city, TX
06	66000	1,223,400	722,245	580,318	1,365,327	141,927	11.6	450,898	77.7	1.24	San Diego city, CA
04	55000	1,321,045	695,712	599,592	1,417,165	96,120	7.3	415,384	69.3	1.16	Phoenix city, AZ
36	51000	8,008,278	3,755,130	3,192,070	8,571,338	563,060	7.0	2,922,206	91.5	1.18	New York city, NY
42	60000	1,517,550	659,991	569,761	1,607,780	90,230	5.9	429,608	75.4	1.16	Philadelphia city, PA
48	65000	1,144,646	559,424	491,435	1,212,635	67,989	5.9	428,926	87.3	1.14	San Antonio city, TX
17	14000	2,896,016	1,334,467	1,192,139	3,038,344	142,328	4.9	841,329	70.6	1.12	Chicago city, IL
06	44000	3,694,820	1,622,772	1,494,895	3,822,697	127,877	3.5	943,489	63.1	1.09	Los Angeles city, CA
500,000 to 999,999 population (all places in category)											
11	50000	572,059	671,678	260,884	982,853	410,794	71.8	190,566	73.0	2.57	Washington city, DC
25	07000	589,141	520,555	278,463	831,233	242,092	41.1	184,954	66.4	1.87	Boston city, MA
53	63000	563,374	476,536	316,493	723,417	160,043	28.4	233,600	73.8	1.51	Seattle city, WA
08	20000	554,636	434,201	278,715	710,122	155,486	28.0	176,750	63.4	1.56	Denver city, CO
41	59000	529,121	392,739	270,996	650,864	121,743	23.0	200,158	73.9	1.45	Portland city, OR
06	67000	776,733	587,300	418,553	945,480	168,747	21.7	322,009	76.9	1.40	San Francisco city, CA
37	12000	540,828	395,183	280,528	655,483	114,655	21.2	230,558	82.2	1.41	Charlotte city, NC
47	52006	545,524	380,230	274,028	651,726	106,202	19.5	229,632	83.8	1.39	Nashville-Davidson (balance), TN
48	05000	656,562	480,437	353,109	783,890	127,328	19.4	307,600	87.1	1.36	Austin city, TX
40	55000	506,132	328,867	234,222	600,777	94,645	18.7	188,976	80.7	1.40	Oklahoma City city, OK
47	48000	650,100	377,677	274,934	752,843	102,743	15.8	231,355	84.1	1.37	Memphis city, TN
18	36003	781,870	507,170	385,208	903,832	121,962	15.6	315,658	81.9	1.32	Indianapolis city (balance), IN
24	04000	651,154	341,998	249,373	743,779	92,625	14.2	154,463	61.9	1.37	Baltimore city, MD
48	27000	534,694	310,625	235,799	609,520	74,826	14.0	144,032	61.1	1.32	Fort Worth city, TX
39	18000	711,470	449,907	367,387	793,990	82,520	11.6	254,193	69.2	1.22	Columbus city, OH
12	35000	735,617	412,681	350,458	797,840	62,223	8.5	319,728	91.2	1.18	Jacksonville city, FL
55	53000	596,974	285,753	249,889	632,838	35,864	6.0	151,145	60.5	1.14	Milwaukee city, WI
48	24000	563,662	215,119	208,101	570,680	7,018	1.2	182,077	87.5	1.03	El Paso city, TX
26	22000	951,270	318,790	319,449	950,611	-659	-0.1	154,933	48.5	1.00	Detroit city, MI
06	68000	894,943	377,915	427,984	844,874	-50,069	-5.6	212,187	49.6	0.88	San Jose city, CA

Census 2000 PHC-T-40. Estimated Daytime Population and Employment-Residence Ratios: 2000

Table 1. Leading Places on Percent Change in Daytime Population, by Size (Total Resident Population)

NOTE: Data based on a sample. For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, definitions, and count corrections see <http://factfinder.census.gov/home/en/datanotes/expsf3.htm>.

FIPS state code (1)	FIPS place code (2)	Total resident population (3)	Total workers working in the place (4)	Total workers living in the place (5)	Estimated daytime population (6) = (3)+(4)-(5)	Daytime population change due to commuting		Workers who lived and worked in the same place		Employment residence ratio (11) = (4)/(5)	Place name ^{1/}
						Number (7) = (6)-(3)	Percent (8) = (7)/(3)*100	Number (9)	Percent (10) = (9)/(5)*100		
250,000 to 499,999 population (all places in category)											
13	04000	416,474	438,927	178,970	676,431	259,957	62.4	106,145	59.3	2.45	Atlanta city, GA
12	71000	303,447	279,476	135,425	447,498	144,051	47.5	90,798	67.0	2.06	Tampa city, FL
42	61000	334,563	280,035	141,844	472,754	138,191	41.3	98,005	69.1	1.97	Pittsburgh city, PA
12	45000	362,470	261,605	126,539	497,536	135,066	37.3	57,408	45.4	2.07	Miami city, FL
29	65000	348,189	262,981	140,747	470,423	122,234	35.1	82,480	58.6	1.87	St. Louis city, MO
21	48000	256,231	191,272	110,930	336,573	80,342	31.4	67,206	60.6	1.72	Louisville city, KY
39	15000	331,285	250,336	147,616	434,005	102,720	31.0	89,226	60.4	1.70	Cincinnati city, OH
15	17000	371,657	266,374	173,069	464,962	93,305	25.1	147,888	85.5	1.54	Honolulu CDP, HI
37	55000	276,093	220,848	151,655	345,286	69,193	25.1	101,516	66.9	1.46	Raleigh city, NC
27	43000	382,618	299,427	203,951	478,094	95,476	25.0	107,905	52.9	1.47	Minneapolis city, MN
06	64000	407,018	267,352	166,419	507,951	100,933	24.8	100,101	60.1	1.61	Sacramento city, CA
39	16000	478,403	290,567	175,727	593,243	114,840	24.0	98,292	55.9	1.65	Cleveland city, OH
29	38000	441,545	310,520	208,554	543,511	101,966	23.1	132,666	63.6	1.49	Kansas City city, MO
34	51000	273,546	147,395	87,720	333,221	59,675	21.8	36,319	41.4	1.68	Newark city, NJ
31	37000	390,007	273,359	196,801	466,565	76,558	19.6	170,544	86.7	1.39	Omaha city, NE
40	75000	393,049	262,448	187,612	467,885	74,836	19.0	156,679	83.5	1.40	Tulsa city, OK
36	11000	292,648	158,245	110,640	340,253	47,605	16.3	65,989	59.6	1.43	Buffalo city, NY
27	58000	287,151	180,564	139,067	328,648	41,497	14.5	62,898	45.2	1.30	St. Paul city, MN
22	55000	484,674	248,507	188,703	544,478	59,804	12.3	147,492	78.2	1.32	New Orleans city, LA
21	46027	260,512	167,128	136,793	290,847	30,335	11.6	117,584	86.0	1.22	Lexington-Fayette, KY
04	77000	486,699	259,768	216,314	530,153	43,454	8.9	172,709	79.8	1.20	Tucson city, AZ
35	02000	448,607	252,911	215,222	486,296	37,689	8.4	183,244	85.1	1.18	Albuquerque city, NM
06	02000	328,014	163,631	139,343	352,302	24,288	7.4	41,005	29.4	1.17	Anaheim city, CA
06	27000	427,652	187,956	156,569	459,039	31,387	7.3	118,757	75.8	1.20	Fresno city, CA
08	16000	360,890	204,819	183,806	381,903	21,013	5.8	151,480	82.4	1.11	Colorado Springs city, CO
06	69000	337,977	142,636	124,289	356,324	18,347	5.4	35,686	28.7	1.15	Santa Ana city, CA
20	79000	344,284	182,958	164,725	362,517	18,233	5.3	132,819	80.6	1.11	Wichita city, KS
39	77000	313,619	152,916	137,076	329,459	15,840	5.1	89,841	65.5	1.12	Toledo city, OH
06	62000	255,166	115,969	104,326	266,809	11,643	4.6	48,375	46.4	1.11	Riverside city, CA
48	17000	277,454	126,648	118,869	285,233	7,779	2.8	105,965	89.1	1.07	Corpus Christi city, TX
06	53000	399,484	181,467	170,503	410,448	10,964	2.7	67,089	39.3	1.06	Oakland city, CA
32	40000	478,434	221,923	210,806	489,551	11,117	2.3	101,780	48.3	1.05	Las Vegas city, NV
02	03000	260,283	136,474	131,228	265,529	5,246	2.0	126,955	96.7	1.04	Anchorage municipality, AK
06	43000	461,522	168,085	184,479	445,128	-16,394	-3.6	61,685	33.4	0.91	Long Beach city, CA
04	46000	396,375	143,263	182,582	357,056	-39,319	-9.9	72,272	39.6	0.78	Mesa city, AZ
51	82000	425,257	173,617	222,648	376,226	-49,031	-11.5	127,961	57.5	0.78	Virginia Beach city, VA
48	04000	332,969	130,805	172,355	291,419	-41,550	-12.5	67,185	39.0	0.76	Arlington city, TX
08	04000	276,393	91,309	142,136	225,566	-50,827	-18.4	45,188	31.8	0.64	Aurora city, CO

Census 2000 PHC-T-40. Estimated Daytime Population and Employment-Residence Ratios: 2000

Table 1. Leading Places on Percent Change in Daytime Population, by Size (Total Resident Population)

NOTE: Data based on a sample. For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, definitions, and count corrections see <http://factfinder.census.gov/home/en/datanotes/expsf3.htm>.

FIPS state code (1)	FIPS place code (2)	Total resident population (3)	Total workers working in the place (4)	Total workers living in the place (5)	Estimated daytime population (6) = (3)+(4)-(5)	Daytime population change due to commuting		Workers who lived and worked in the same place		Employment residence ratio (11) = (4)/(5)	Place name ^{1/}
						Number (7) = (6)-(3)	Percent (8) = (7)/(3)*100	Number (9)	Percent (10) = (9)/(5)*100		
100,000 to 249,999 population (first twenty places based on percent change in daytime population)											
06	36770	143,072	178,507	72,870	248,709	105,637	73.8	28,326	38.9	2.45	Irvine city, CA
49	67000	181,743	221,367	90,187	312,923	131,180	72.2	60,859	67.5	2.45	Salt Lake City city, UT
12	53000	185,951	226,310	94,809	317,452	131,501	70.7	46,758	49.3	2.39	Orlando city, FL
06	69084	102,361	119,124	54,676	166,809	64,448	63.0	15,522	28.4	2.18	Santa Clara city, CA
32	54600	186,070	208,771	92,965	301,876	115,806	62.2	44,589	48.0	2.25	Paradise CDP, NV
45	16000	116,278	122,507	54,288	184,497	68,219	58.7	34,842	64.2	2.26	Columbia city, SC
25	11000	101,355	114,133	54,959	160,529	59,174	58.4	25,554	46.5	2.08	Cambridge city, MA
09	37000	121,578	106,869	41,009	187,438	65,860	54.2	18,252	44.5	2.61	Hartford city, CT
12	24000	152,397	150,863	70,732	232,528	80,131	52.6	32,968	46.6	2.13	Fort Lauderdale city, FL
47	14000	155,554	149,468	69,127	235,895	80,341	51.6	57,562	83.3	2.16	Chattanooga city, TN
47	40000	173,890	159,077	79,042	253,925	80,035	46.0	61,057	77.2	2.01	Knoxville city, TN
53	05210	109,569	100,324	56,474	153,419	43,850	40.0	21,634	38.3	1.78	Bellevue city, WA
29	70000	151,580	133,008	73,930	210,658	59,078	39.0	65,460	88.5	1.80	Springfield city, MO
04	73000	158,625	149,001	89,233	218,393	59,768	37.7	35,454	39.7	1.67	Tempe city, AZ
06	08954	100,316	84,806	48,430	136,692	36,376	36.3	14,781	30.5	1.75	Burbank city, CA
05	41000	183,133	153,606	87,711	249,028	65,895	36.0	72,045	82.1	1.75	Little Rock city, AR
01	07000	242,820	184,034	96,725	330,129	87,309	36.0	59,697	61.7	1.90	Birmingham city, AL
36	63000	219,773	166,933	89,467	297,239	77,466	35.2	52,822	59.0	1.87	Rochester city, NY
51	67000	197,790	157,003	88,924	265,869	68,079	34.4	51,534	58.0	1.77	Richmond city, VA
26	49000	100,545	83,368	48,856	135,057	34,512	34.3	13,417	27.5	1.71	Livonia city, MI
50,000 to 99,999 population (first twenty places based on percent change in daytime population)											
45	30850	56,002	82,478	27,967	110,513	54,511	97.3	15,678	56.1	2.95	Greenville city, SC
06	55282	58,598	78,657	30,950	106,305	47,707	81.4	11,049	35.7	2.54	Palo Alto city, CA
26	80700	80,959	105,445	41,434	144,970	64,011	79.1	12,127	29.3	2.54	Troy city, MI
54	14600	53,421	62,102	24,015	91,508	38,087	71.3	17,640	73.5	2.59	Charleston city, WV
26	74900	78,296	94,386	38,877	133,805	55,509	70.9	9,041	23.3	2.43	Southfield city, MI
36	01000	95,658	109,348	42,605	162,401	66,743	69.8	26,301	61.7	2.57	Albany city, NY
24	07125	55,277	67,685	29,463	93,499	38,222	69.1	8,170	27.7	2.30	Bethesda CDP, MD
12	07300	74,764	84,138	34,374	124,528	49,764	66.6	16,085	46.8	2.45	Boca Raton city, FL
13	49756	58,748	68,662	31,705	95,705	36,957	62.9	9,115	28.7	2.17	Marietta city, GA
24	78425	51,793	55,074	24,570	82,297	30,504	58.9	7,603	30.9	2.24	Towson CDP, MD
36	81677	53,077	55,921	26,032	82,966	29,889	56.3	8,348	32.1	2.15	White Plains city, NY
12	64175	52,715	51,712	22,820	81,607	28,892	54.8	11,374	49.8	2.27	Sarasota city, FL
26	21000	97,775	87,946	37,881	147,840	50,065	51.2	13,893	36.7	2.32	Dearborn city, MI
06	70000	84,084	88,449	45,933	126,600	42,516	50.6	14,789	32.2	1.93	Santa Monica city, CA
12	55925	56,255	52,486	24,587	84,154	27,899	49.6	12,721	51.7	2.13	Pensacola city, FL
37	02140	68,889	65,708	32,125	102,472	33,583	48.7	22,611	70.4	2.05	Asheville city, NC
12	76600	82,103	75,948	37,043	121,008	38,905	47.4	15,221	41.1	2.05	West Palm Beach city, FL
23	60545	64,249	64,946	34,626	94,569	30,320	47.2	21,439	61.9	1.88	Portland city, ME
17	68003	75,386	77,490	43,306	109,570	34,184	45.3	10,822	25.0	1.79	Schaumburg village, IL
47	38320	55,469	51,007	26,155	80,321	24,852	44.8	19,185	73.4	1.95	Johnson City city, TN

Census 2000 PHC-T-40. Estimated Daytime Population and Employment-Residence Ratios: 2000

Table 1. Leading Places on Percent Change in Daytime Population, by Size (Total Resident Population)

NOTE: Data based on a sample. For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, definitions, and count corrections see <http://factfinder.census.gov/home/en/datanotes/expsf3.htm>.

FIPS state code (1)	FIPS place code (2)	Total resident population (3)	Total workers working in the place (4)	Total workers living in the place (5)	Estimated daytime population (6) = (3)+(4)-(5)	Daytime population change due to commuting		Workers who lived and worked in the same place		Employment residence ratio (11) = (4)/(5)	Place name ^{1/}
						Number (7) = (6)-(3)	Percent (8) = (7)/(3)*100	Number (9)	Percent (10) = (9)/(5)*100		
25,000 to 49,999 population (first twenty places based on percent change in daytime population)											
48	25452	27,508	51,551	14,104	64,955	37,447	136.1	2,693	19.1	3.66	Farmers Branch city, TX
29	46586	25,756	44,301	14,863	55,194	29,438	114.3	3,120	21.0	2.98	Maryland Heights city, MO
34	55950	25,737	38,484	11,576	52,645	26,908	104.5	2,647	22.9	3.32	Paramus borough, NJ
53	57535	45,256	72,319	25,638	91,937	46,681	103.1	10,433	40.7	2.82	Redmond city, WA
06	06308	33,784	50,365	15,673	68,476	34,692	102.7	4,117	26.3	3.21	Beverly Hills city, CA
13	01696	34,854	53,073	18,795	69,132	34,278	98.3	5,786	30.8	2.82	Alpharetta city, GA
13	31908	25,578	35,874	10,811	50,641	25,063	98.0	6,122	56.6	3.32	Gainesville city, GA
48	26736	33,711	50,405	18,222	65,894	32,183	95.5	15,725	86.3	2.77	Fort Hood CDP, TX
17	23256	34,727	51,386	19,188	66,925	32,198	92.7	4,537	23.6	2.68	Elk Grove Village village, IL
13	21380	27,912	37,773	12,067	53,618	25,706	92.1	8,013	66.4	3.13	Dalton city, GA
34	02080	40,517	51,759	14,639	77,637	37,120	91.6	10,972	75.0	3.54	Atlantic City city, NJ
37	31060	37,222	49,037	18,955	67,304	30,082	80.8	12,070	63.7	2.59	Hickory city, NC
37	24260	29,183	41,197	17,804	52,576	23,393	80.2	15,475	86.9	2.31	Fort Bragg CDP, NC
24	56337	38,610	51,356	20,595	69,371	30,761	79.7	3,595	17.5	2.49	North Bethesda CDP, MD
12	50750	45,943	54,334	18,439	81,838	35,895	78.1	13,165	71.4	2.95	Ocala city, FL
24	67675	47,388	60,437	23,888	83,937	36,549	77.1	5,414	22.7	2.53	Rockville city, MD
42	32800	48,950	56,130	20,520	84,560	35,610	72.7	9,210	44.9	2.74	Harrisburg city, PA
21	58836	26,307	28,801	10,162	44,946	18,639	70.9	7,651	75.3	2.83	Paducah city, KY
47	55120	27,387	30,823	11,887	46,323	18,936	69.1	8,035	67.6	2.59	Oak Ridge city, TN
29	37000	39,636	45,766	18,860	66,542	26,906	67.9	16,331	86.6	2.43	Jefferson City city, MO
15,000 to 24,999 population (first twenty places based on percent change in daytime population)											
51	79952	18,540	65,430	11,319	72,651	54,111	291.9	2,554	22.6	5.78	Tyson's Corner CDP, VA
06	22412	16,033	55,231	9,092	62,172	46,139	287.8	2,919	32.1	6.07	El Segundo city, CA
06	69154	17,438	50,083	6,256	61,265	43,827	251.3	1,112	17.8	8.01	Santa Fe Springs city, CA
12	17935	20,438	53,131	9,767	63,802	43,364	212.2	2,623	26.9	5.44	Doral CDP, FL
26	04105	19,837	48,672	10,752	57,757	37,920	191.2	2,520	23.4	4.53	Auburn Hills city, MI
29	17272	16,500	38,631	8,184	46,947	30,447	184.5	1,927	23.5	4.72	Creve Coeur city, MO
34	66570	15,931	35,226	7,331	43,826	27,895	175.1	1,970	26.9	4.81	Secaucus town, NJ
12	47625	20,976	35,991	7,138	49,829	28,853	137.6	4,006	56.1	5.04	Naples city, FL
53	72625	17,181	32,064	8,656	40,589	23,408	136.2	1,502	17.4	3.70	Tukwila city, WA
42	39736	18,511	34,969	10,708	42,772	24,261	131.1	2,930	27.4	3.27	King of Prussia CDP, PA
29	08398	15,550	28,035	7,716	35,869	20,319	130.7	1,641	21.3	3.63	Bridgeton city, MO
26	69420	22,979	38,358	10,254	51,083	28,104	122.3	2,838	27.7	3.74	Romulus city, MI
36	32732	20,100	32,852	10,257	42,695	22,595	112.4	1,754	17.1	3.20	Hauppauge CDP, NY
55	03425	17,634	28,321	9,568	36,387	18,753	106.3	3,633	38.0	2.96	Ashwaubenon village, WI
45	49075	22,759	35,160	12,430	45,489	22,730	99.9	7,855	63.2	2.83	Myrtle Beach city, SC
42	31200	15,889	22,187	7,006	31,070	15,181	95.5	2,819	40.2	3.17	Greensburg city, PA
13	11560	15,600	20,854	5,961	30,493	14,893	95.5	3,925	65.8	3.50	Brunswick city, GA
25	09875	22,876	34,004	12,210	44,670	21,794	95.3	3,315	27.1	2.78	Burlington CDP, MA
24	18250	19,388	30,184	11,742	37,830	18,442	95.1	2,656	22.6	2.57	Cockeysville CDP, MD
51	26496	21,498	31,381	11,845	41,034	19,536	90.9	2,712	22.9	2.65	Fairfax city, VA

Census 2000 PHC-T-40. Estimated Daytime Population and Employment-Residence Ratios: 2000

Table 1. Leading Places on Percent Change in Daytime Population, by Size (Total Resident Population)

NOTE: Data based on a sample. For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, definitions, and count corrections see <http://factfinder.census.gov/home/en/datanotes/expsf3.htm>.

FIPS state code (1)	FIPS place code (2)	Total resident population (3)	Total workers working in the place (4)	Total workers living in the place (5)	Estimated daytime population (6) = (3)+(4)-(5)	Daytime population change due to commuting		Workers who lived and worked in the same place		Employment residence ratio (11) = (4)/(5)	Place name ^{1/}
						Number (7) = (6)-(3)	Percent (8) = (7)/(3)*100	Number (9)	Percent (10) = (9)/(5)*100		
5,000 to 14,999 population (first twenty places based on percent change in daytime population)											
06	14974	12,568	48,567	3,882	57,253	44,685	355.5	818	21.1	12.51	Commerce city, CA
17	54534	8,702	34,344	3,834	39,212	30,510	350.6	551	14.4	8.96	Oak Brook village, IL
08	33035	11,035	40,690	5,333	46,392	35,357	320.4	1,444	27.1	7.63	Greenwood Village city, CO
36	21985	5,400	19,408	2,615	22,193	16,793	311.0	293	11.2	7.42	East Farmingdale CDP, NY
39	86660	6,656	20,460	2,918	24,198	17,542	263.6	1,925	66.0	7.01	Wright-Patterson AFB CDP, OH
13	55776	8,410	25,210	4,237	29,383	20,973	249.4	691	16.3	5.95	Norcross city, GA
06	10561	8,854	26,363	4,318	30,899	22,045	249.0	2,454	56.8	6.11	Camp Pendleton South CDP, CA
34	22380	7,063	20,616	3,508	24,171	17,108	242.2	775	22.1	5.88	Fairfield CDP, NJ
39	52010	6,897	19,577	3,390	23,084	16,187	234.7	1,016	30.0	5.77	Moraine city, OH
17	43666	6,108	16,349	2,697	19,760	13,652	223.5	336	12.5	6.06	Lincolnshire village, IL
24	29400	9,882	26,457	4,884	31,455	21,573	218.3	3,195	65.4	5.42	Fort Meade CDP, MD
39	07300	12,513	32,704	6,551	38,666	26,153	209.0	1,549	23.6	4.99	Blue Ash city, OH
06	22594	6,882	18,097	4,155	20,824	13,942	202.6	920	22.1	4.36	Emeryville city, CA
39	37240	7,109	17,048	3,345	20,812	13,703	192.8	783	23.4	5.10	Independence city, OH
17	37907	8,302	20,320	4,375	24,247	15,945	192.1	737	16.8	4.64	Itasca village, IL
36	46514	14,533	34,488	6,662	42,359	27,826	191.5	1,040	15.6	5.18	Melville CDP, NY
29	14572	12,825	30,043	6,018	36,850	24,025	187.3	1,511	25.1	4.99	Clayton city, MO
36	78960	5,216	12,027	2,436	14,807	9,591	183.9	579	23.8	4.94	Webster village, NY
29	07966	6,050	13,508	3,043	16,515	10,465	173.0	2,167	71.2	4.44	Branson city, MO
48	01240	14,166	32,432	9,064	37,534	23,368	165.0	1,172	12.9	3.58	Addison town, TX
Under 5,000 population (first twenty places based on percent change in daytime population)											
12	37625	16	30,768	10	30,774	30,758	192237.5	4	40.0	3076.80	Lake Buena Vista city, FL
06	82422	91	37,472	36	37,527	37,436	41138.5	17	47.2	1040.89	Vernon city, CA
34	72480	18	6,697	13	6,702	6,684	37133.3	3	23.1	515.15	Teterboro borough, NJ
06	36490	777	52,223	240	52,760	51,983	6690.2	75	31.3	217.60	Industry city, CA
08	50012	184	7,905	112	7,977	7,793	4235.3	10	8.9	70.58	Meridian CDP, CO
17	04572	574	17,021	310	17,285	16,711	2911.3	38	12.3	54.91	Bedford Park village, IL
18	18982	213	5,959	105	6,067	5,854	2748.4	24	22.9	56.75	Dune Acres town, IN
17	65104	145	3,941	71	4,015	3,870	2669.0	25	35.2	55.51	Rock Island Arsenal CDP, IL
08	07025	118	2,879	88	2,909	2,791	2365.3	29	33.0	32.72	Black Hawk city, CO
36	22065	979	23,591	485	24,085	23,106	2360.2	38	7.8	48.64	East Garden City CDP, NY
08	54750	549	11,439	178	11,810	11,261	2051.2	46	25.8	64.26	North Washington CDP, CO
18	15652	203	3,852	89	3,966	3,763	1853.7	34	38.2	43.28	Crane town, IN
17	45564	254	4,780	126	4,908	4,654	1832.3	32	25.4	37.94	McCook village, IL
48	77620	207	3,633	99	3,741	3,534	1707.2	28	28.3	36.70	Westlake town, TX
17	67756	249	3,576	80	3,745	3,496	1404.0	34	42.5	44.70	Sauget village, IL
39	19806	599	7,425	270	7,754	7,155	1194.5	79	29.3	27.50	Cuyahoga Heights village, OH
12	43900	1,098	12,776	353	13,521	12,423	1131.4	89	25.2	36.19	Medley town, FL
48	41563	283	2,885	68	3,100	2,817	995.4	0	0.0	42.43	Las Colonias CDP, TX
06	36826	1,446	13,341	571	14,216	12,770	883.1	123	21.5	23.36	Irwindale city, CA
47	05140	674	6,330	442	6,562	5,888	873.6	65	14.7	14.32	Berry Hill city, TN

^{1/}Based on data for places with either 2,500 workers living in the place or 2,500 workers working in the place.

NOTES

Workers – people 16 years and over who were employed and at work during the reference week. The estimate of workers includes part-time and full-time civilian personnel and people in the Armed Forces.

(Col. 1) FIPS state code – the two-digit code used in the Federal Information Processing Standards to identify each state.

(Col. 2) FIPS place code – the five-digit code used in the Federal Information Processing Standards to identify each place, both incorporated places and census designated places (CDP), within each state.

(Col. 3) Total resident population – the total number of persons living in the place, as shown in Census 2000 100-percent data such as Summary File 1.

(Col. 4) Total workers working in the place – this is the number of workers who reported working in the place, regardless of their place of residence. In other words, it is the total that worked there no matter where they lived. Residence locations are not considered, only workplace locations are reflected in this number.

(Col. 5) Total workers living in the place – this is sometimes referred to as the number of resident workers. It is the number of people living in the place who are workers. It includes workers who live there regardless of where they worked, or in other words, no matter where their workplace was located. Place of work location is not considered, only residence location is reflected in this number.

(Col. 6) Estimated daytime population – this is the estimate arrived at by adjusting the total resident population by the number of incommuters and outcommuters to the place, using data from Census 2000. It does not adjust for people entering or leaving the place for purposes other than commuting, nor does the commuting adjustment take the time of day of the work trips into account. The estimate is calculated by adding the total resident population (col. 3) and the total workers working in the place (col. 4), and then subtracting from that result the total workers living in the place (col. 5). This method yields the same result as would be obtained by adding the incommuters and subtracting the outcommuters from the total resident population.

(Col. 7) Daytime population change due to commuting: number – this is the numeric increase or decrease in the population of the place as a result of work-related commuting. It is the net change in the population due to work travel and is computed by subtracting the total resident population (col. 3) from the estimated daytime population (col. 6). Positive numbers indicate more commuters entering the place than leaving it. Negative numbers occur when more workers leave the place to go to work than enter it to come to work.

(Col. 8) Daytime population change due to commuting: percent – this is the percentage increase or decrease in the population of the place as a result of work-related commuting. It is calculated by dividing the numeric change due to commuting (col. 7) by the total resident population (col. 3), and multiplying the result by 100. Positive figures denote the percentage increase experienced by the population, while negative numbers show the percentage decrease in the population as a result of commuting.

(Col. 9) Workers who lived and worked in the same place: number – this value shows how many workers who lived in a particular place also worked in that same place. It is derived from place of residence location information and responses to the question on workplace location during the week prior to filling out the census questionnaire.

(Col. 10) Workers who lived and worked in the same place: percent – this measure is sometimes used as an indicator of worker retention, but it does not reflect variation in area size or other attributes very well. It is computed by dividing the number of workers who lived and worked in the same place (col. 9) by the total workers living there (col. 5) and multiplying the result by 100.

(Col. 11) Employment-residence (E-R) ratio – this is a measure of the total number of workers working in a place (col. 4), relative to the total number of workers living in the place (col. 5). It is often used as a rough indication of the jobs-workers balance in a place, although it does not take into account whether the resident workers possess the skills needed for the jobs that are available. E-R ratios greater than 1.00 occur when there are more workers working in the place than living there. These places can be considered as net importers of labor. For example, an E-R ratio of 1.19 means that there are 19 percent more workers working in the place than living in the place. Values less than 1.00 indicate places that send more workers to other areas than they receive, i.e., they are net exporters of labor.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000.

Contact: Journey to Work and Migration Statistics Branch, Population Division, 301-763-2454.