Table 1.70-- TOP DOMESTIC OUT-MIGRATION STATES: 2015
[Ranked by largest estimate. Population 1 year and over. State of residence in 2014 was Hawaii, but different state of residence in 2015. Data based on a sample]

| Rank | State | Estimate | Margin of error 1/ | \% <br> Estimate 2/ | Margin of error for \% 1/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total out-migrants 3/ | 60,459 | +/-6,680 | (X) | (X) |
| 1 | California | 10,475 | +/-1,968 | 17.3 | +/-2.6 |
| 2 | Washington | 4,386 | +/-1,465 | 7.3 | +/-2.3 |
| 3 | Colorado | 4,277 | +/-2,037 | 7.1 | +/-3.3 |
| 4 | Nevada | 3,747 | +/-1,663 | 6.2 | +/-2.7 |
| 5 | Oregon | 3,476 | +/-1,459 | 5.7 | +/-2.3 |
| 6 | Texas | 3,342 | +/-1,349 | 5.5 | +/-2.1 |
| 7 | Maryland | 3,228 | +/-1,831 | 5.3 | +/-3.0 |
| 8 | Florida | 2,978 | +/-1,527 | 4.9 | +/-2.5 |
| 9 | North Carolina | 2,910 | +/-1,238 | 4.8 | +/-2.0 |
| 10 | Utah | 2,239 | +/-1,517 | 3.7 | +/-2.5 |
| 11 | Arizona | 2,069 | +/-842 | 3.4 | +/-1.3 |
| 12 | Virginia | 1,912 | +/-996 | 3.2 | +/-1.6 |
| 13 | Illinois | 1,697 | +/-1,245 | 2.8 | +/-2.0 |
| 14 | Missouri | 1,357 | +/-779 | 2.2 | +/-1.3 |
| 15 | Tennessee | 1,124 | +/-920 | 1.9 | +/-1.5 |
| 16 | Georgia | 995 | +/-604 | 1.6 | +/-1.0 |
| 17 | Michigan | 847 | +/-408 | 1.4 | +/-0.7 |
| 18 | Alaska | 732 | +/-626 | 1.2 | +/-1.0 |
| 19 | Oklahoma | 679 | +/-428 | 1.1 | +/-0.7 |
| 20 | New Mexico | 638 | +/-433 | 1.1 | +/-0.7 |
| 21 | South Carolina | 602 | +/-423 | 1.0 | +/-0.7 |
| 22 | New Jersey | 597 | +/-623 | 1.0 | +/-1.0 |

X Not applicable.
1/ Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error. The value shown here is the 90 percent margin of error. The margin of error can be interpreted roughly as providing a 90 percent probability that the interval defined by the estimate minus the margin of error and the estimate plus the margin of error (the lower and upper confidence bounds) contains the true value. In addition to sampling variability, the estimates are subject to nonsampling error. The effect of nonsampling error is not represented in these tables.

2/ Calculated figures were based on more precise figures than those shown in the table. Therefore, figures such as percentages may differ from expected values due to rounding.

3/ Total includes out-migrants from Hawaii leaving for any of the 50 states and the District of Columbia. Only states comprising of $1 \%$ or more of the total out-migrant population are listed in this table.

Source: U. S. Census Bureau, 2015 American Community Survey 1-Year Estimates, "Table 1. State-to-State Migration Flows: 2015" <http://www.census.gov/data/tables/time-series/demo/ geographic-mobility/state-to-state-migration.html> accessed November 23, 2016; and calculations by the Hawaii State Department of Business, Economic Development \& Tourism.

