

JOHN WAIHEE
GOVERNOR



STATE OF HAWAII
DEPARTMENT OF ACCOUNTING AND GENERAL SERVICES
DIVISION OF PUBLIC WORKS
P. O. BOX 119, HONOLULU, HAWAII 96810

RUSSEL S. NAGATA
COMPTROLLER

JAMES H. YASUDA
DEPUTY COMPTROLLER

LETTER NO. (P)1218.0

RECEIVED
90 MAR 15 A8:52
MAR 14 DEC. OF ENVIRONMENTAL
QUALITY CONTROL

Dr. Marvin Miura
Director
Office of Environmental
Quality Control
465 South King Street, Rm. 104
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Dear Dr. Miura:

Subject: Maui Community Correctional Center
Rigid Membrane Structure
Negative Declaration

This is in response to your February 7, 1990 request for additional information on the subject Negative Declaration.

The Corrections Division of the Department of Public Safety has decided against the use of the Rigid Membrane Structure. Therefore, we request that the submitted Negative Declaration be cancelled.

Should there be any questions, please have your staff contact Mr. Cedric Takamoto of the Planning Branch at 548-7192.

Very truly yours,

TEUANE TOMINAGA
State Public Works Engineer

CT:em

1990-02-08-MAFPA

FILE COPY


NEGATIVE DECLARATION
* MAUI COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER
RIGID MEMBRANE STRUCTURE *
JANUARY 24, 1990

- A. PROPOSING AGENCY: Department of Accounting and General Services for the Department of Corrections.
- B. APPROVING AGENCY: Not applicable.
- C. AGENCY CONSULTED: Department of Corrections.
- D. GENERAL DESCRIPTION TECHNICAL, ECONOMIC, SOCIAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL CHARACTERISTICS:
1. Technical: A rigid membrane structure will be constructed to temporarily house 20 inmates. Supporting utilities will be provided.
 2. Economic: The estimated cost of the project is \$200,000.00. Since the project will be constructed within the government-owned land, no land will be removed from the tax base.
 3. Social: The project will provide the Department with a much-needed facility to implement its program.
 4. Environmental: The project will not create any major environmental impact.
- E. SUMMARY DESCRIPTION OF THE AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT, INCLUDING SITE MAPS: See attached Figures 1 and 2.
- F. DISCUSSION OF THE ASSESSMENT PROCESS: The following assessments are made to determine whether or not the anticipated effects constitute a "significant effect":
1. The proposed action will not involve an irrevocable commitment to loss or destruction of any natural or cultural resources.
 2. The proposed action will not curtail the range of beneficial uses of the environment.
 3. The proposed action will not conflict with the State's long-term environmental policies.
 4. The proposed action will not substantially affect the economic or social welfare of the community or State.

5. The proposed action will not involve substantial secondary impacts, such as population changes or effects on public facilities.
 6. The proposed action will not involve a substantial degradation of environmental quality.
 7. The proposed action will not substantially affect any rare, threatened or endangered species of flora or fauna or habitat. No endangered species of flora or fauna are known to exist in the project site.
 8. The proposed action will not detrimentally affect air or water quality or ambient noise levels.
 9. The proposed action will not be located in any environmentally sensitive area, such as a flood plain, tsunami zone, erosion-prone area, geologically hazardous land, estuary, fresh water, or coastal waters.
- G. SUMMARY OF MAJOR IMPACTS: From the above assessment, no major adverse environmental impact is anticipated. The project will result in the following minor adverse impacts:
1. Depletion of labor and material resources for construction.
 2. Some dust, noise and silting during construction.
- H. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED: The "no action" alternative was considered but deemed to be unacceptable.
- I. PROPOSED MITIGATION MEASURES: The temporary dust, noise and silting which would occur during construction will be controlled by application of appropriate pollution control measures.
- J. DETERMINATION: It is determined that an Environmental Impact Statement should not be required for this project.

- K. FINDINGS AND REASONS SUPPORTING DETERMINATION: The project site is generally free of flood, tsunami, erosion, and landslide hazards. No rare or endangered species of flora are known to exist at the site. No rare or endangered species of fauna are known to inhabit the site. There are no recorded archaeological or historical sites within the existing site.


For the reasons sited above, the proposed action will not have any significant effect in the context of Chapter 343, Hawaii Revised Statutes and Section 11-200-12 of the State Administrative Rules.



SMITH, YOUNG & ASSOC., INC.
CONSULTING ENGINEERS

14/

FIG. 1



**MAUI INTAKE SERVICE CENTER /
COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER**

PREPARED FOR DEPARTMENT OF ACCOUNTING & GENERAL SERVICES	PREPARED BY SMITH, YOUNG & ASSOC., INC. CONSULTING ENGINEERS
--	--

EXISTING ZONING MAP



SMITH, YOUNG & ASSOC., INC.
CONSULTING ENGINEERS

FIG. 2