Dr. Bruce Anderson  
Acting Interim Director  
Office of Environmental  
Quality Control  
465 South King Street, Rm. 104  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813  

Dear Dr. Anderson:

Subject: Hilo Hospital  
Renal Dialysis Expansion  

Attached for your appropriate action are the following:

1. OEQC Form for Publication of EIS Documents in the OEQC Bulletin.

2. Four copies of the Negative Declaration.

If there are any questions, please have your staff call Mr. Gordon Sam of the Planning Branch at 548-3921.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]  
TEUANE TOMINAGA  
State Public Works Engineer

GS:hc  
Attachments
NEGATIVE DECLARATION
OF HILO HOSPITAL
RENAL DIALYSIS EXPANSION
SEPTEMBER 11, 1990

A. PROPOSING AGENCY: Department of Accounting and General Services for the Department of Education.

B. APPROVING AGENCY: Not applicable.

C. AGENCY CONSULTED: Department of Education.

D. GENERAL DESCRIPTION TECHNICAL, ECONOMIC, SOCIAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL CHARACTERISTICS:

1. Technical: This is to construct an addition of approximately 2,500 square feet to the existing wooden frame building housing the renal dialysis unit at Hilo Hospital.

2. Economic: The estimated cost of the project is $575,000. Since the project will be constructed within the existing hospital site, no land will be removed from the tax base.

3. Social: The project will provide the hospital with a much-needed facility to implement its program of providing needed health care to the community.

4. Environmental: The project will not create any major environmental impact.

E. SUMMARY DESCRIPTION OF THE AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT, INCLUDING SITE MAPS: The locations of the Hilo Hospital and the proposed addition are shown on the attached Figures 1 and 2, respectively (TMK Third Division 2-3-27:2). The Hilo Hospital site is almost completely developed with buildings, parking areas and roads covering most of the site.

F. DISCUSSION OF THE ASSESSMENT PROCESS: The following assessments are made to determine whether or not the anticipated effects constitute a "significant effect":

1. The proposed action will not involve an irrevocable commitment to loss or destruction of any natural or cultural resources.

2. The proposed action will not curtail the range of beneficial uses of the environment.
3. The proposed action will not conflict with the State's long-term environmental policies.

4. The proposed action will not substantially affect the economic or social welfare of the community or State.

5. The proposed action will not involve substantial secondary impacts, such as population changes or effects on public facilities.

6. The proposed action will not involve a substantial degradation of environmental quality.

7. The proposed action will not substantially affect any rare, threatened or endangered species of flora or fauna or habitat. No endangered species of flora or fauna are known to exist in the project site.

8. The proposed action will not detrimentally affect air or water quality or ambient noise levels.

9. The proposed action will not be located in any environmentally sensitive area, such as flood plain, tsunami zone, erosion-prone area, geologically hazardous land, estuary, fresh water, or coastal waters.

G. SUMMARY OF MAJOR IMPACTS: From the above assessment, no major adverse environmental impact is anticipated. The project will result in the following minor adverse impacts:

1. Depletion of labor and material resources for construction.

2. Some dust, noise and silting during construction.

H. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED: The "no action" alternative was considered but deemed to be unacceptable.

I. PROPOSED MITIGATION MEASURES: The temporary dust, noise and silting which would occur during construction will be controlled by application of appropriate pollution control measures.
J. **DETERMINATION:** It is determined that an Environmental Impact Statement should not be required for this project.

K. **FINDINGS AND REASONS SUPPORTING DETERMINATION:** The project site is generally free of flood, tsunami, erosion, and landslide hazards. No rare or endangered species of flora are known to exist at the site. No rare or endangered species of fauna are known to inhabit the site. There are no recorded archaeological or historical sites within the existing site.

For the reasons sited above, the proposed action will not have any significant effect in the context of Chapter 343, Hawaii Revised Statutes and Section 11-200-12 of the State Administrative Rules.