Dr. Bruce Anderson  
Acting Interim Director  
Office of Environmental  
Quality Control  
465 South King Street, Rm. 104  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Dear Dr. Anderson:

Subject: Waialua Elementary  
8-Classroom Building

Attached for your appropriate action are the following:

1. OEQC Form for Publication of EIS Documents in the OEQC Bulletin.

2. Four copies of the Negative Declaration.

If there are any questions, please have your staff call Mr. Gordon Sam of the Planning Branch at 548-3921.

Very truly yours,

TEUANE TOMINAGA  
State Public Works Engineer

GS:hc  
Attachments
NEGATIVE DECLARATION
WAIALUA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
8-CLASSROOM BUILDING
NOVEMBER 2, 1990

A. PROPOSING AGENCY: Department of Accounting and General
   Services for the Department of Education.

B. APPROVING AGENCY: Not applicable.

C. AGENCY CONSULTED: Department of Education.

D. GENERAL DESCRIPTION TECHNICAL, ECONOMIC, SOCIAL AND
   ENVIRONMENTAL CHARACTERISTICS:

   1. Technical: This is to construct a new concrete and
      masonry, 2-story building, approximately 8,700
      square feet in size.

   2. Economic: The estimated cost of the project is
      $1,391,000. Since the project will be constructed
      within the existing school campus, no land will be
      removed from the tax base.

   3. Social: The project will provide the school with a
      much-needed facility to implement its program in
      accordance with the Educational Specifications.

   4. Environmental: The project will not create any
      major environmental impact.

E. SUMMARY DESCRIPTION OF THE AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT, INCLUDING SITE MAPS:
   The location of Waialua Elementary School is shown in Figures 1 and 2, respectively (TMK 1st Division 6-07-01:10). The school site is almost completely
   developed with other buildings and facilities.

F. DISCUSSION OF THE ASSESSMENT PROCESS: The following
   assessments are made to determine whether or not the
   anticipated effects constitute a "significant effect":

   1. The proposed action will not involve an irrevocable
      commitment to loss or destruction of any natural or
      cultural resources.

   2. The proposed action will not curtail the range of
      beneficial uses of the environment.
3. The proposed action will not conflict with the State's long-term environmental policies.

4. The proposed action will not substantially affect the economic or social welfare of the community or State.

5. The proposed action will not involve substantial secondary impacts, such as population changes or effects on public facilities.

6. The proposed action will not involve a substantial degradation of environmental quality.

7. The proposed action will not substantially affect any rare, threatened or endangered species of flora or fauna or habitat. No endangered species of flora or fauna are known to exist in the project site.

8. The proposed action will not detrimentally affect air or water quality or ambient noise levels.

9. The proposed action will not be located in any environmentally sensitive area, such as flood plain, tsunami zone, erosion-prone area, geologically hazardous land, estuary, fresh water, or coastal waters.

G. SUMMARY OF MAJOR IMPACTS: From the above assessment, no major adverse environmental impact is anticipated. The project will result in the following minor adverse impacts:

1. Depletion of labor and material resources for construction.

2. Some dust, noise and silting during construction.

H. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED: The "no action" alternative was considered but deemed to be unacceptable.
I. PROPOSED MITIGATION MEASURES: The temporary dust, noise and silting which would occur during construction will be controlled by application of appropriate pollution control measures.

J. DETERMINATION: It is determined that an Environmental Impact Statement should not be required for this project.

K. FINDINGS AND REASONS SUPPORTING DETERMINATION: The project site is generally free of flood, tsunami, erosion, and landslide hazards. No rare or endangered species of flora are known to exist at the site. No rare or endangered species of fauna are known to inhabit the site. There are no recorded archaeological or historical sites within the existing site.

For the reasons sited above, the proposed action will not have any significant effect in the context of Chapter 343, Hawaii Revised Statutes and Section 11-200-12 of the State Administrative Rules.