Mr. Brian Choy  
Director  
Office of Environmental Quality Control  
220 South King Street, 4th Floor  
Honolulu, Hawaii  96813

September 8, 1993

Dear Mr. Choy:

Subject: Final Environmental Assessment (FEA) in Anticipation of A Negative Declaration for the Proposed Ke Ala Pupukea Bikeway  

Oahu, Hawaii, TMK: 5-9-1, 2, 3, 4, 19, 20

This letter constitutes a notice of determination by this department after the potential impacts of the proposed project had been assessed according to Title II, Chapter 200, Environmental Impact Statement Rules, and Chapter 343 of the Hawaii Revised Statues relating to the environmental impact statements. The determination has been made that an environmental impact statement is not required based on the environmental assessment (EA) that was prepared by our consultants, Engineers Surveyors Hawaii, Inc.

Based on our determination, we are filing the FEA in anticipation of a Negative Declaration for this project. Attached are four copies of the EA and a document for publication form.

Should there be any questions, please contact Thomas Baba of my staff at 527-5009.

Sincerely,

JOSEPH M. MICALDI, JR.  
Director

Attachments

cc: Engineers Surveyors Hawaii, Inc.
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I. SUMMARY

CHAPTER 343, HRS
ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT (EA)

Action: Agency

Project Name: Ke Ala Pupukea Pedestrian Pathway and Bikeway

Project Description: The proposed project involves the design and construction of approximately 3.5 miles of improved pedestrian paths and bikeways makai of Kamehameha Highway. These pathways will be placed on existing paths through parks, along existing frontage roads, and across bridges that will span stream beds along the alignment. Wherever possible, the alignment will be at a minimum of 40' makai of Kamehameha Highway, except when it is on the Highway shoulder areas.

Project Location: The project begins at the first residential units of Waimea, and end before the University of Hawaii Waiakea Livestock Research Farm. (See Figure 1)

Tax Map Key: 5-9-1, 2, 3, 4, 19, 20

Area: Approximately 3.5 miles

State Land Use Designation: Urban

County Zoning Designation: Public Facilities, Residential, Park

Landowner: City and County of Honolulu; State of Hawaii

Agent: Engineers Surveyors, Hawaii, Inc.
1020 Auahi Street, Building 6, Suite 1
Honolulu, HI 96814
II. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Technical Characteristics

1. The Department of Transportation Services is planning and designing a bike/pedestrian pathway system along the makai boundaries of Kamehameha Highway. All proposed improvements will be placed on existing City owned streets or State highway Rights-of-Way. (See Figure 2) The paths will be approximately 10' wide without shoulders, sufficient to accommodate a 2 lane bikeway and a pedestrian pathway. The limited construction portion will be path surfaces using asphaltic concrete on base course graded to final grade (1 - 4%) equal to streets and highway gradients. Approximately 2000 cubic yards of material will be excavated along the 3.5 mile long alignment. It is estimated that approximately 400 cubic yards of embankment or Fill material will be used to stabilize the profile cut out for the Bikeway alignment. The proposed pathways will be striped and appropriate street signage installed to clearly delineate the planned usage from vehicular traffic.

A second drain crossing will consist of extending the existing culvert. A third crossing involves widening an existing foot bridge to accommodate the bikeway. (No piers or obstructions within the stream area will be affected.) Note: All italicized material differs from the Preliminary Environmental Assessment reviewed for pre-agency comments last May-June, 1992. The revisions are a reflection of the concerns expressed by agencies and individuals during the 1992 Preliminary Review.

B. Social and Economic Characteristics

1. Historically, the Sunset Beach Community Association has pursued the pedestrian path/bikeway concept for several years. The broad community wide support demonstrated by the over 2700 signatures on petitions submitted to Governor Waihee and Mayor Fasi indicates the serious nature of the petition signers. Many of these Sunset Beach residents are parents who want to have a safe pathway for their school age children to get to and from Sunset Beach Elementary School and the Sunset
Beach Christian School. In the past two years, there have been eight traffic related accidents along Kamehameha Highway involving school age children. Mud, standing water, and parked cars often force pedestrians out into traffic lanes, placing them at risk. The following concept plan description is taken from the Sunset Beach Community Association documentation submitted to the State and the City & County of Honolulu for the Ke Ala Pupukea pedestrian / Bikeway plan.

"Ke Ala Pupukea is a concept and plan to integrate the Sunset Beach area’s Beach Parks, Beach Accesses, and Public Facilities with a community path which:
* runs makai and off Kamehameha Highway from Waimea Bay to the planned Waiale’e Beach Park;
* uses existing public roads and Rights-of-Way (Ke Iki, Ke Waena, Ke Nui, Hoalua) and existing beach parks and beach accesses;
* will be linked by pedestrian/bicycle bridges and paths where gaps exist;
* will provide a much safer pathway for walking, jogging, and biking between homes, schools, parks, and beach areas;
* will connect the world’s best and most famous surfing sites Waimea Bay, Banzai Pipeline, and Sunset Beach;
* will connect the popular surfing and windsurfing sites on the North Shore including Rock Piles, Off-the-Walls, Ehukai, Pupukea, Gas Chambers, Rocky Point, Kammieland, Sunset Point, Backyards, and Velzeyland;
* will connect the popular Beach Parks at Waimea, Three Tables, Shark’s Cove, Banzai Rock, Ehukai, Sunset, and the proposed Waiale’e Beach Park;
* and has broad community support as demonstrated by over 2,700 signatures submitted to Governor Waihee and Mayor Fasi."

2. Funding for this proposal has been established from both the State of Hawaii and the City & County of Honolulu, with the City’s funds to be used for paving the public roads. The State’s funds will be used for the design and construction of the culvert extension and pathway. This will complete the first three plus miles of this pedestrian/bikeway path, and provide a convenient and safe off-highway path for adults and school age children.
C. **Environmental Characteristics**

A. **Project Location**

The proposed project alignment will be placed within an existing 40’ Railroad Right-of-Way or if terrain does not permit, the pathway will be placed on the makai shoulder of Kamehameha Highway. (See Figure 2) There will also be an extension of an existing drain culvert. The terrain features of the proposed alignment are level and have been improved for the existing public roads. As described earlier, the pathway alignment will not consist of major construction work, but there will be necessary grading prior to the actual asphaltic concrete paving. *All grading work shall be done in accordance with applicable County and State standards. Mitigative measures will be implemented during construction to alleviate any impacts to the Coastal Zone.*

There will be impacts to the ambient air and noise standards due to the project, but these will not be considered significant, and with proper planning, impacts to the affected residents and the traffic patterns on Kamehameha Highway can be mitigated adequately.

B. **Geographical Characteristics**

1. **Topography**

The 3.5 mile alignment is located on existing public roads that have been paved and in use for many years. The slope follows the existing terrain features and above the steep incline at the project start point, there is an incline of 5%, which levels off to an average of 3%.

2. **Soils**

The predominant soil type on the alignment is identified by the "Soil Survey of Islands of Kauai, Oahu, Maui, Molokai, and Lanai, State of Hawaii" U.S. Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, August, 1972" as Waialua Silty Clay, WkA, 0-3% slopes - "This soil is on smooth coastal plains, and is used for sugarcane, truck crops, orchards, and pasture. Natural vegetation is swollen fingergrass, halele koa, and uhaloa. Annual rainfall is 25-50" and most of it occurs between November and April."
C. Hydrological Characteristics

1. Drainage

The proposed improvements will utilize existing drainage patterns, i.e. those release points that move in a makai direction via existing drainage stream beds. Percolation will also provide relief due to the well drained soils description of the prevailing soil types. Surface runoff is not anticipated to become a significant factor. The impacts if any, will be temporary or short term, and are also are limited in total area and quantity of material.

2. Flood Insurance Study Designation

Portions of the proposed alignment is located in Zone AE (Base Flood Elevations determined), and Zone VE Coastal Flood with velocity hazard, (wave action); base flood elevations determined. "Community Panel Number 150001 0003B of Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) National Flood Insurance Program, September 4, 1987. (See Figure 4)

D. Biological Characteristics

1. Flora and Fauna

The Project alignment is not considered a protected or endangered habitat for indigenous species of flora or fauna. This is due to improved, urbanized setting of the alignment for public roads, and residential community uses. The adjacent stream beds are primarily dry, with flows limited to rain and surface runoff draining to the ocean.

E. Historical and Archaeological Characteristics

1. The long term urban use of the proposed alignment precludes the existence of archaeological or historic remains. During construction, the general contractor will be required to halt work and advise the State Historic Division, Department of Land and Natural Resources of any sites uncovered during construction.
F. Coastal Views

Impacts on the Coastal zone view perspective will for the most part, be uninterrupted. There will be no structural intrusion on the view plane in a makai direction. The balance of the alignment is on existing frontage roads and the residential developments makai of the pedestrian/bikeway paths preclude any visual impacts from this proposed project.
III. SUMMARY OF MAJOR IMPACTS AND MITIGATIVE MEASURES

A. Impacts

Impacts due to the implementation of the proposed project can be viewed in two areas - short and long term. Short term impacts, beneficial and adverse, generally result from construction related activities. These are consequently, of short duration and are limited to the actual construction phase of improvements to the site, i.e. onsite and structural. Long term impacts, beneficial and adverse, result from the implementation and operation of the proposed project. Topographic alterations will result from the minimal grading prior to the actual paving of the pathways. A total of approximately 2000 cubic yards of existing material will be excavated along the total 3.5 mile long alignment at the various locations requiring improvement. Approximately 400 cubic yards of Embankment or Fill material will be placed where necessary to stabilize the profile outline.

Impacts to onsite flora and fauna is not considered significant since the existing conditions are urbanized and the predominant species are for the most part, exotic or introduced species. Previous disturbances to install the public roads have precluded the existence of historic or archaeologically significant sites. No significant impacts attributable to this project are anticipated. Typical construction impacts will result but these impacts will be mitigated to the extent required by applicable building code ordinances, as well as by good housekeeping procedures. In the event that archaeological sites are uncovered during the construction phase, all work will be stopped and the Historic Sites Division of the State Department of Land and Natural Resources will be contacted to study and determine the find’s quality.
IV. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

1. Proposed Use

The Sunset Beach Community Association has patiently waited for the planned improvements and the dual benefits to both the SBCA and the community at large have met with State and City government approval.

2. "No Action"

The Do Nothing or No Action alternative was also considered, but the proposed use was selected on the basis that the City's increasing population density and resulting traffic was impacting the North Shore communities and traffic patterns adversely to the point that a positive solution was necessary.
V. FUNDING AND PHASING

This project is planned to be built in one continuous phase, with work to commence at the Waimea Bay end of the alignment. Estimated time and cost for the total project is eight months, and a total cost of one million dollars. Work has commenced on the design and permit review and approval process, and actual construction will commence in the second quarter of 1994 after all government permits have been obtained.
VI. DETERMINATION, FINDINGS, AND REASONS SUPPORTING THE DETERMINATION

After completing an assessment of the potential environmental effects of the proposed project, and consulting with other government agencies, it has been determined that an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not required. Therefore, this document constitutes a Notice of Negative Declaration.

Reasons supporting the Negative Declaration determination are as follows, using as the criteria, the policy, guideline and provisions of Chapters 342, 343, and 344, Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS).

1. The proposed action will not adversely affect the physical and social environment. There will be temporary, short term impacts to the ambient Noise and Air quality standards during the construction phase, but these will be mitigated through working with the contractor and adhering to applicable building code standards of operation of heavy equipment.

2. No residences or businesses will be displaced by the proposed alignment. All work will take place within existing public roads and State Highway rights-of-way.

3. There are no known endangered animal or plant species along the proposed alignment.

4. There are no known natural, historic, or archaeological sites within the proposed project alignment. If during the construction phase, sites are discovered, work is to be halted and the State Historic Preservation Division, Department of Land and Natural Resources advised for their review and advice.

5. The Project is compatible with the Development Plan Land Use map and the Public Facilities map for Oahu.

6. There are no secondary adverse effects on future development, population and public facilities.

7. This Notice of Negative Declaration shall serve to meet the requirements of Chapter 343, HRS.
VII. LIST OF AGENCIES CONSULTED DURING THE PREPARATION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT.

ORGANIZATIONS AND AGENCIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Date of Consultation</th>
<th>Date Comment Received</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Federal</td>
<td>5-7-92</td>
<td>6-12-92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Army Corps of Engineers</td>
<td>5-7-92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Department of Interior</td>
<td>5-7-92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish &amp; Wildlife Service</td>
<td>5-7-92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State of Hawaii</td>
<td>5-7-92</td>
<td>6-15-92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Land and Natural Resources</td>
<td>5-7-92</td>
<td>6-1-92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Health Environmental Management</td>
<td>5-7-92</td>
<td>6-1-92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Transportation Highways Division</td>
<td>5-7-92</td>
<td>6-4-92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City &amp; County of Honolulu</td>
<td>5-7-92</td>
<td>6-2-92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Land Utilization</td>
<td>5-7-92</td>
<td>6-2-92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Affairs Branch</td>
<td>5-7-92</td>
<td>5-29-92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Transportation Services</td>
<td>5-7-92</td>
<td>5-29-92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of General Planning</td>
<td>5-7-92</td>
<td>6-4-92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Public Works</td>
<td>5-7-92</td>
<td>5-29-92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Shore Neighborhood Board No. 27 Attn: Jimmy Awai</td>
<td>5-7-92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunset Beach Community Assn</td>
<td>5-7-92</td>
<td>5-26-92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Lucky Cole, President</td>
<td>5-7-92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.O. Box 471</td>
<td>5-7-92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haleiwa, HI 96712</td>
<td>5-7-92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VIII. LIST OF PREPARERS

Engineers Surveyors, Hawaii, Inc.
Project Management, Civil Engineering

Thomas Lum & Associates, Inc.
Structural Design
SMA and Flood Hazard Boundary Area Designations

Figure 3
TYPICAL BIKE PATH SECTION

NOTE:
PROVIDE 4" TOP SOIL & GRASS FOR SLOPE (CUT & FILL) AREAS
Mr. Kendall Hee  
Engineers Surveyors Hawaii, Inc.  
1020 Auahi St., Bldg. 6, Rm. 1  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96814  

Dear Mr. Hee:

SUBJECT: Shoreline Certification Request  
Applicant: Engineers Surveyors Hawaii, Inc.  
Property Owner: City & County of Honolulu  
Location: Island: Oahu  
District: Koolauloa  
Tax Map Key: 5-9-2:38 & 5-9-2  
Property Description:  
Por. of Pupukea-Paumalu  
(Sunset) Beach Park, E.O. 2598  
Land Management Case No. OA-361

This is to inform you that the subject shoreline certification request has been:

xx certified and no appeal has been received.

5 copies of map are enclosed herewith.

Should you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Steve Lau of our Land Management Division at 587-0439.

Very truly yours,

WILLIAM W. PATY  
Board of Land and Natural Resources

Enclosures
Plan Showing
Portion of Pupukea-Paumalu (Sunset) Beach Park
Executive Order 2588
at Pupukea, Ko Olina, Oahu, Hawaii
T.M.K. : 5-9-1 : parcel 38
T.M.K. : 5-9-2

Client: City & County
Purpose: For Special Management Permit

Henderson, Hawaii
1029 Awaena Street

ENGINEERS SURVEYORS HAWAII, INC.
April 1, 1992

Ms. Lynn Nolan
59-301 Ke Nui Road
Haleiwa, Hawaii 96712

Dear Ms. Nolan:

This is in response to your letter regarding fences across Ke Nui Road. I have asked Mr. Donald A. Clegg, Director of the Department of Land Utilization, to take action. He informs me that the Department of Transportation Services will inspect the road for illegal structures and encroachments onto City property. All obstructions will be removed.

Access from Kam Highway is under the jurisdiction of the State Department of Transportation. However, individuals may not utilize the City's section of the railroad right-of-way to access the highway. This issue will be reviewed by the Department of Parks and Recreation (DPR). Appropriate action will be taken to prohibit access over City land. I am also asking DPR to initiate steps to clear the sea walls from the City's Sunset Beach Park property.

I agree with your concerns and intend to take the necessary action to prevent unwarranted and unauthorized use of City property.

Warm personal regards.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

FFF:et
May 28, 1992

Mr. F. J. Rodriguez, President
Environmental Communications Inc.
1146 Fort Street Mall, Suite 200
P. O. Box 536
Honolulu, Hawaii 96809

Dear Mr. Rodriguez:

Subject: Ke Ala Pupukea Bikeway
Environmental Assessment (EA)
TMK: 5-9-1, 2, 3, 4, 19, 20

This is in response to your memorandum dated May 7, 1992 requesting our comments on the subject project.

Based on our review of the preliminary environmental assessment, we have no comments or objections to the proposed project at this time.

Should you have any questions, please contact Lance Watanabe of my staff at 523-4199.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

JOSEPH M. MAGALDI, JR.
Director
May 26, 1992

Mr. F.J. Rodriguez
Environmental Communications, Inc.
P. O. Box 536
Honolulu, Hawaii  96809

Dear Mr. Rodriguez:

Subject: Environmental Assessment (EA)
Ke Ala Pupukea Pedestrian Path/Bikeway
TMK: 5-9-1, 2, 3, 4, 12 & 20

We have reviewed the subject EA and have the following comment:

Construction within the City right-of-way should be in accordance with the City standards.

Very truly yours,

C. Michael Street
C. MICHAEL STREET
Acting Director and Chief Engineer

MAY 29 1992
May 26, 1992

Mr. Fred J. Rodriguez
President
Environmental Communications, Inc.
1146 Fort Street Mall, Suite 200
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Dear Mr. Rodriguez:

Subject: Preliminary Environmental Assessment (EA)
Ke Ala Pupukea Pedestrian Path/Bikeway
North Shore, Oahu, Hawaii

Thank you for allowing us to review and comment on the subject project.

We have no environmental concerns at this time. However, as this is a very preliminary assessment, we may have comments at a later date when more details become available. During the construction phase of the project, there must be conformance with all the applicable sections of Department of Health Administrative Rules, Chapter 11-43, "Community Noise Control for Oahu" and Chapter 11-60, "Air Pollution Control."

Very truly yours,

John C. Lewin, M.D.
Director of Health
June 1, 1992

Mr. Fred J. Rodriguez
Environmental Communications, Inc.
1146 Fort Street Mall, Suite 200
P.O. Box 536
Honolulu, Hawaii 96809

Dear Mr. Rodriguez:

Environmental Assessment (EA) For The
Ke Ala Pupukea Bikeway Project
From Waimea Bay Beach Park to Waialae Beach Park

We have reviewed the above referenced EA and do not find any mitigation measures to prevent surface runoff during construction of the bikeway and the two pedestrian/bikeway bridges. Also, minimal grading is mentioned in the "Summary of Major Impacts and Mitigative Measures" on page 7. How much grading will be done? The project will require a major Special Management Area Use Permit.

If you have any questions, please contact Dana Teramoto of our staff at 522-4648.

Very truly yours,

Donald Clegg
DONALD A. CLEGG
Director of Land Utilization
Ref: LN-SL

Mr. Kendall Hee
Engineers Surveyors Hawaii, Inc.
1020 Auahi St., Bldg. 6, Rm. 1
Honolulu, Hawaii 96814

Dear Mr. Hee:

SUBJECT: Shoreline Certification Request
Applicant: Engineers Surveyors Hawaii, Inc.
Property Owner: City & County of Honolulu
Location: Island: Oahu  District: Koolauola
Tax Map Key: 5-9-2:38 & 5-9-2
Property Description: Por. of Pupukea-Paumalu
(Sunset) Beach Park, E.O. 2598
Land Management Case No. OA-361

This is to inform you that the subject shoreline certification request has been:

XX certified, but is subject to appeal. Map withheld to appeal deadline (June 28, 1992).

Should you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Steve Lau of our Land Management Division at 587-0439.

Very truly yours,

WILLIAM W. PATY
Board of Land and Natural Resources

JUN 12 1992
Mr. Fred Rodriguez
Environmental Communications, Inc.
1146 Fort Street Mall
P.O. Box 536
Honolulu, Hawaii 96809

Dear Mr. Rodriguez:

SUBJECT: Ke Ala Pupukea Pedestrian Path/Bikeway,
North Shore, Oahu

Thank you for giving our Department the opportunity to comment on this matter. We have reviewed the submitted environmental assessment and have the following comments.

Brief Discussion:

The project involves construction of a 3.5 mile system of pedestrian paths/bikeways along the North Shore of Oahu. Several streams will be crossed.

Division of Water and Land Development Comments:

Structures for pedestrian path/bikeways should not increase flooding upstream or downstream of PPB.

Division of Aquatic Resources Comments:

From the attached plans it appears that stream crossings will be via existing bridges. Under this circumstance, we have no objections to the project as long as precautions are taken to avoid siltation, refuse disposal, or toxic substance releases into the stream and areas disturbed by the construction activity are properly stabilized to prevent post-project erosion. It should be noted that any modifications to the stream bed or bottom would require a Stream Channel Alteration Permit from the State Commission on Water Resource Management.

JUN 15 1992
Historic Preservation Division Comments:

This project proposes 10' wide pathways be constructed along existing street and highway rights-of-way. Stream crossings will utilize existing pier footings. Since existing rights-of-way have been modified, we believe that this project will have "no effect" on historic sites.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter. Please feel free to call Sam Lemno at our Office of Conservation and Environmental Affairs, at 567-0377, should you have any questions.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

WILLIAM W. PATY
Mr. F. J. Rodriguez  
Environmental Communications, Inc.  
1146 Fort Street Mall, Suite 200  
P.O. Box 536  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96809  

Dear Mr. Rodriguez:

Ke Ala Pupukea Pedestrian Path/Bikeway  
Preliminary Environmental Assessment

Thank you for your letter of May 7, 1992, requesting our comments on the subject preliminary environmental assessment.

Conceptually, the proposed bike paths along the North Shore are reflected in the Bikeway Master Plan, which is currently being revised. Details of the pedestrian paths/bikeways, however, are not contained in the assessment. Further coordination on the use of the State right-of-way will be required.

Very truly yours,

T. Harano  
Chief  
Highways Division

JUN 04 1992
May 22, 1992

Mr. F. J. Rodriguez
Environmental Communications, Inc.
P.O. Box 536
Honolulu, Hawaii  96809

Dear Mr. Rodriguez:

Ke Ala Pupukea Pedestrian Path/Bikeway
Draft Environmental Assessment

This is in response to your memorandum dated May 7, 1992. We have reviewed the subject Draft Environmental Assessment (EA), and conclude the proposed pedestrian path/bikeway improvements have no significant environmental impacts.

We have no objections to the proposed project, and we concur with your determination of a negative declaration for this project.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this Draft EA. Should you have any questions, please contact Eugene Takahashi of our staff at 527-6022.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

BENJAMIN B. LEE
Chief Planning Officer

BBL:ft

JUN 04 1992
May 21, 1992

Fred J. Rodriguez, President
Environmental Communications Inc.
Post Office Box 539
Honolulu, Hawaii 96809

Dear Mr. Rodriguez:

Subject: Ke Ala Pupukea Pedestrian Path/Bikeway

The SBCA voted to support a negative declaration of the Environmental Assessment. The vote took place at 10:10 PM on Wednesday, May 20, 1992, over 30 members voted with only one dissenting member.

The SBCA position is based on the fact that the two concrete bridges will be built on the existing pier footings in the stream beds to be crossed. These piers supported the railroad in the 1800's and are an excellent choice for supporting Ke Ala Pupukea in the late 1900's. The piers are in excellent condition having withstood the giant surf of 1963 and countless tsunamis. We can't think of a more solid foundation for Ke Ala Pupukea.

Also, solid is the community support for Ke Ala Pupukea with over 2500 signatures, the vast majority from the North Shore, on a clear, well defined petition delivered to the State and City. SBCA remains firm in its support of this path which benefits the recreational user of our popular beaches as well as our community.

There is opposition to the path from a small minority of our members. The leaders of the opposition, coincidentally, are the landowners who happen to be blocking the public use of about 700 feet of the Haleiwa end of Ke Nui Road. The SBCA strongly opposes the continued blocking of this public road as well as the blocking of the Kahuku end of Ke Iki Road. This blocking of Ke Nui Road forces parked cars, joggers, walkers and bikers onto the shoulder of Kamehameha Highway at the very popular "Bonsai" and "Off-the-Walls" surfing spots. This section of the highway shoulder is particularly dangerous because parked cars and parking of runoffs force the joggers and biker into the highway lane. This is a real environmental concern of the community.

Attached are: 1. a letter from Mayor Pao regarding the opening of Ke Nui Road and 2. the SBCA survey results with appropriate sections highlighted. Our concerns regarding the details of the plan and design of Ke Ala Pupukea will be addressed under separate cover to the City and its engineers.

Sincerely,

S. E. "Lucky" Cole, SBCA President

MAY 25 1992
February 18, 1992

To: Sunset Beach Community Association (SBCA) Executive Committee and interested citizens, agencies and policy makers

Subject: REPORT OF SBCA MEMBERSHIP SURVEY

Attached are the results (analysis and tabulations) of the survey completed by 175 SBCA members at the January meeting. The analysis is based upon my review of the data, my experience over the last year as president of the organization and as a Sunset Beach resident of more than 20 years.

The answers to survey questions were broken out by whether the respondent is a homeowner or a renter to determine if differences of opinion exist based on that characteristic. Responses to the Lili Lani question were tabulated by ethnicity to see if that mattered.

The questionnaire and analysis were reviewed, pro-bono, by survey research professionals. The three-page questionnaire was distributed and completed at the January 15, 1992 SBCA meeting which also included election of officers. A representative of the League of Women Voters reviewed the election and survey process. The total 1992 SBCA membership was 189 at the election night registration.

The report that follows includes:

- a three-page analysis and tabulation of the data
- the questionnaire with data for the total sample

Mahalo to Chuck Merlet, SBCA vice-president, for his help counting and analyzing the data. Mahalo also to Jean Merlet and Sally Cole, SBCA treasurer, who helped tally the data.

Please call me at 638-7289, if you have any questions or comments.

Sincerely,

S. E. Lucky Cole, President
Based upon the results of the survey, Sunset Beach/Pupukea is a stable middle class community and its residents are solid and well-established; 62% are homeowners, 14% have rented the same unit for more than five years, 73% are married, 71% have children and only one respondent is unemployed.

The vast majority of the homeowners, 88%, bought before 1989 when housing was quite affordable; 47% purchased before 1980 when even a beach home was reasonably priced; and 83% of the homeowners never plan to sell. 80% of the renters pay $1,000 per month or less in rent. Less than 10% of the owners own more than one property in the area.

SBCA members are quite vigorously opposed to Obayashi's Lihi Lani: 63% strongly oppose the project, a total of 81% either oppose or strongly oppose the development and nearly nine in ten are against the Lihi Lani golf course component or the entire project. There was little difference of opinion on the issue between various subgroups (homeowner, renter, caucasian or non-caucasian).

There was a significant difference of opinion between renters and homeowners, however, on the subject of affordable housing near Sunset School. Renters split evenly, about half in favor and half against, affordable housing, while homeowners were opposed to a development near Sunset School as well as upzoning rural land in the community. Homeowners also felt more strongly about other land use issues. For example, 77% said the blocking of Ke Nui Road is a severe problem whereas 50% of renters felt that way.

A solid consensus, more than 84% of members expressing an opinion:

- oppose expanding Kamehameha Hwy. to four lanes (97%)
- support alternatives; turning lanes, a moratorium on expansion of the Kuilima Resort or a new road from H2 to Kuilima (93%)
- oppose the Lihi Lani golf course or the entire project (89%)
- support expansion or improvements to area beach parks (88%)
- rank lifeguards and the Fire Dept. as excellent or good (88%)
- rate highway maintenance/improvements as fair or poor (88%)
- grade pollution control as poor or fair (87%)
- give the drainage system the lowest grade, poor (86%)
- view abandoned vehicle collection as poor or fair (84%)

A solid majority, more than 63% of members expressing an opinion:

- oppose affordable housing projects near Sunset School (72%)
- consider car burglary a severe problem (68%)
- judge the blocking of Ke Nui Road a severe problem (67%)
- rate trash collection good or excellent (64%)
- view overflowing cesspools as a severe problem (63%)
- strongly oppose the Lihi Lani development (63%)
SBCA SURVEY--ANALYSIS continued

SBCA members participate in many sports activities with swimming, bike riding, surfing and jogging the most popular. This probably explains the concern over blocking Ke Nui and Keiki Roads and the overwhelming support for the Ke'Ala Pupukea bikeway, which will integrate the area beach parks.

Members are very concerned about the environment and want to retain a rural lifestyle, (Keep the Country, Country!). Many respondents moved to Sunset/Pupukea to escape overcrowding in Honolulu or on the mainland. Many have seen the negative impacts of urbanization and pollution elsewhere and are adamant in their desire to avoid repetition of these problems in the Sunset/Pupukea community.

SBCA SURVEY--TABULATIONS

SEX
53% male 47% female

MARRIED
73% Yes 27% No

ETHNICITY
76% caucasian 24% other

CHILDREN
71% Yes 29% No

PLACE OF RESIDENCE
71% Sunset Beach 22% Pupukea 7% Sunset Hills

AGE
17% under 30
30% from 30 to 39
32% from 40 to 49
19% over 50

Sunset Beach Elementary 45%
Kahuku High & Intermediate 26%
Sunset Christian 9%
other private schools 16%

HOMEOWNERS (62%): 109 of 175--62%
88% of members over 50 years of age are homeowners
83% of all homeowners never plan on selling
88% bought their homes before 1989 when North Shore prices shot up
47% bought their homes before 1980 when prices were very low

RENTERS (38%): 66 of 175--38%
90% of members under 30 years of age are renters
78% of renters would buy an affordable home if they qualified
80% have lived in the same unit for more than one year and
36% have lived in the same unit for more than five years
80% pay rent of $1000/month or less
72% share the rent with one person or with no one

WORK LOCATION:
55% on the North Shore
36% commute to Pearl Harbor or beyond
9% central or windward Oahu

USE BUS?
11% Yes 89% No

4% to commute

WORK IN?
27% self-employed
29% construction or tourism
25% education, government, health or the military
9% surfing or a related industry
SBCE SURVEY—EXPRESS YOUR OPINION!  

ON OBAVASHI'S LIHI LANI PROJECT:

The Lihi Lani Project is proposed for a site next to and above the Sunset School on 1.141 acres of land zoned for agriculture and conservation. The proposed project includes an 18 hole golf course, clubhouse, driving range, 120 market priced one-acre lots, 180 affordable residential units, a tennis center, an equestrian center, hiking trails and community facilities. The project requires changes to the land use policies and zoning of the City and County of Honolulu. The Mayor, his Chief Planning Officer and the Planning Commission opposed the golf course. The City Council passed all the land use changes including the golf course by a vote of 6-3. The Mayor vetoes this action and the Council will need to vote again by 6-1 to override the Mayor’s veto.

The purpose of this part of the survey is to provide the Council the views of our members on this major project.

1. Which one of the following statements best represents your opinion on Obayashi’s proposed Lihi Lani Project?
   8 I strongly support the Lihi Lani Project including the golf course and clubhouse because we need the community facilities and housing.
   11 I support the Lihi Lani Project including the golf course but have some concerns about the environmental risks.
   13 I support the housing but oppose the golf course part of the Lihi Lani Project because of the environmental risks.
   30 I oppose the Lihi Lani Project until sewage, drainage and traffic problems are solved.
   106 I strongly oppose the Lihi Lani Project because of significant environmental risks and the need to keep the country, country.
   7 I have no opinion at this time.

ON AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROJECTS:

The State and City encourage affordable housing projects and allow for fast upzoning of agricultural land to residential for such projects. Several developers have expressed interest in developing affordable housing on large parcels west of the Sunset School. These proposals range from 74 self-help houses on 9 acres to 210 residential units on 26 acres. These proposals are in addition to the 180 affordable units proposed in the Lihi Lani Project.

2. Which one of the following statements best represents your opinion on the affordable housing projects near Sunset School?
   15 I strongly support all affordable housing projects and am not so concerned about overcrowding of Sunset Beach.
   33 I support the smaller sized affordable housing project and am concerned about overcrowding of Sunset Beach.
   11 I support affordable housing projects but not in this area due to the flooding problems and nearness to the school and Sansei Pipeline.
   55 I oppose affordable housing projects anywhere in Sunset Beach until sewage, drainage, traffic and ocean quality problems are solved.
   57 I strongly oppose upzoning rural lands to residential in Sunset Beach, even if it is for affordable housing.
   2 I have no opinion at this time.

What are the needs for housing in our area? How do we balance the needs for housing in our community with the need to keep the country, country? How do we meet the needs of our community when these projects have to be open to anyone from anywhere on an equal and fair basis?

Please write your ideas and comments on the back of this page!
### Sports or weekly activities:

Members participate in one or more of these sports/activities:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Most popular (30% or more)</th>
<th>Popular (14% to 22%)</th>
<th>Less popular (less than 12%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>swimming (56%)</td>
<td>other/walking (22%)</td>
<td>aerobics (11%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>riding-bikes (46%)</td>
<td>body-boarding (20%)</td>
<td>soccer (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>board-surfing (40%)</td>
<td>diving (19%)</td>
<td>volleyball (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>jogging (30%)</td>
<td>wind-surfing (17%)</td>
<td>basketball (9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tennis (17%)</td>
<td>base/softball (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>golf (16%)</td>
<td>boating (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fishing (14%)</td>
<td>riding horses (8%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### On satisfaction with government’s services and enforcement:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>STATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>lifeguards</td>
<td>Sunset School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Dept.</td>
<td>Kahuku School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trash collection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>abandoned vehicles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>drainage system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parks-maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parks-recreation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parks-improvements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hwy-maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hwy-improvements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Health services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Human Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pollution Control</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CRIMINAL</th>
<th>CIVIL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>arson</td>
<td>low flying aircraft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>illegal rentals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>illegal food wagons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>illegal vacation rntls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>overflowing cesspool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>blocking Ke Nui Rd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>blocking Ke Iki Rd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>car burglary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>drug dealing/abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>violence/intimidation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>overflowling cesspool</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### NOTES TO SURVEY:

The demographic questions on number of children could not be easily counted manually. Additional property ownership questions were apparently misinterpreted by many, resulting in unreliable data. Several respondents checked more than one response on the Kamehameha Highway question.
SRCA SURVEY (PAGE 2)—EXPRESS YOUR OPINION!

ON GROWTH AND PUBLIC USE OF BEACHES & HWY:

3. Which one of the following statements best represents your opinion on the increasing public use of the beaches in Sunset?

12 I feel we should greatly expand public services and beach parks to encourage a growing number of visitors and residents.

77 I feel we should moderately expand public services and beach parks to better handle the normal growth of visitors and residents.

76 I feel we should improve the quality of existing services and beach parks with no expansion and divert the public to other areas.

6 I feel we should leave well enough alone and make no improvements.

1 I feel we should let the services and parks deteriorate to discourage use of our area by the public.

2 I have no opinion at this time.

4. Which of the following statements best represents your opinion on improvements to Kamehameha Highway?

58 I feel we should expand the highway to four lanes to encourage development and growth and to better handle future traffic.

51 I feel we should investigate a new two lane highway from the H2 directly to the Turtle Bay Hotel/Kullima Project.

60 I feel we should make minor improvements to the highway and place a moratorium on the Kullima Project and other developments.

6 I have no opinion at this time.

ON SATISFACTION WITH GOVERNMENT'S SERVICES AND ENFORCEMENT:

1. How do you rate the quality of services in Sunset/Pupukea provided by the City?
   a. Parks-Maintenance 25 excellent 59 good 57 fair 25 poor 8 don't know
   b. Parks-Recreation 17 excellent 60 good 57 fair 26 poor 12 don't know
   c. Parks-Lifeguards 98 excellent 51 good 16 fair 3 poor 4 don't know
   d. Parks-Improvements 7 excellent 41 good 51 fair 51 poor 14 don't know
   e. Police Department 12 excellent 70 good 65 fair 22 poor 4 don't know
   f. Ambulance service 19 excellent 46 good 50 fair 17 poor 42 don't know
   g. Drainage system 0 excellent 6 good 17 fair 138 poor 12 don't know
   h. Abandoned vehicles 2 excellent 24 good 45 fair 94 poor 5 don't know
   i. Trash collection 45 excellent 64 good 44 fair 17 poor 3 don't know
   h. Fire Department 88 excellent 52 good 11 fair 3 poor 16 don't know

2. How do you rate the quality of services in Sunset/Pupukea provided by the State?
   a. DOE-pollution control 1 excellent 15 good 37 fair 85 poor 35 don't know
   b. DOE-health services 3 excellent 18 good 57 fair 47 poor 50 don't know
   c. DOE-Sunset School 19 excellent 50 good 51 fair 28 poor 35 don't know
   d. DOE-Kahuku High 16 excellent 49 good 35 fair 34 poor 39 don't know
   e. Human Services 3 excellent 17 good 55 fair 34 poor 64 don't know
   f. Highway maintenance 2 excellent 31 good 73 fair 62 poor 7 don't know
   g. Highway improvements 2 excellent 22 good 68 fair 72 poor 9 don't know

3. How serious a problem is there enforcing Criminal Law in Sunset/Pupukea in the following areas?
   a. Drug dealing/abuse 64 severe 59 moderate 17 minor 33 don't know
   b. Intimidation/violence 49 severe 78 moderate 16 minor 30 don't know
   c. Burglaries-homes 68 severe 80 moderate 9 minor 18 don't know
   d. Burglaries-cars 103 severe 42 moderate 6 minor 23 don't know
   e. Arson 4 severe 23 moderate 61 minor 84 don't know
3. How serious a problem is there enforcing civil laws in Sunset/Pupukea in the following areas?

a. Illegal rentals: 59 severe, 42 moderate, 44 minor, 14 don't know.
b. Illegal retail/food vendors: 55 severe, 44 moderate, 54 minor, 13 don't know.
c. Illegal vacation rentals: 56 severe, 34 moderate, 44 minor, 31 don't know.
d. Overflowing cesspools: 35 severe, 36 moderate, 14 minor, 21 don't know.
e. Closing off of Ke Nui Rd.: 89 severe, 18 moderate, 23 minor, 37 don't know.
f. Closing off of Ko'olau Rd.: 75 severe, 22 moderate, 28 minor, 43 don't know.
g. Surfboard manufacturing: 18 severe, 51 moderate, 82 minor, 29 don't know.
h. Low flying aircraft: 58 severe, 47 moderate, 50 minor, 17 don't know.
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Total count: All 175 surveys.

SECA Survey -- Optional Demographic Information

General Housing Questions:

1. Homeowners in Sunset/Pupukea please answer the following questions:
   a. Is your home in 69 Sunset Beach, 9 Sunset Hills or 27 Pupukea?
   b. Did you buy your home: 49 prior to 1980, 43 from 1981 to 1988, 2 after 1988?
   c. Is your home now worth: 8 less than $100,000, 52 from $100,000 to $500,000, or 61 more than $500,000?
   d. Do you plan on selling your home: 1 in the next year, 0 in the next two to five years, 17 sometime in the future or 85 never?
   e. Do your children have a problem finding affordable housing now? 27 Yes, 13 No, 47 they're too young, 14 no children

2. Tenants in Sunset/Pupukea please answer the following questions:
   a. Do you live in 52 Sunset Beach, 3 Sunset Hills or 11 Pupukea?
   b. Have you lived in this unit for less than a year, 29 from 1 to 5 years or 24 over 5 years?
   c. Do you rent a 1-3 bedroom or larger unit, 19-2 bedroom, 7-1 bedroom, 7 studio or 1-room in a larger unit?
   d. Do you pay rent of 1 over $1,500/month, 12 from $1,000 to $1,500, 43 from $500 to $1,000, 10 under $500/month?
   e. Do you share the rent with 23 nobody, 25 one other person, 10 two persons, 7 more than two persons/groups?
   f. Would you want to buy in an affordable housing project in Sunset Beach if you could qualify? 49 Yes 14 No
   g. Would you prefer a self-help housing project if it would lower the cost but you would have to do a lot of work? 47 Yes 12 No

3. Landlords/Property Owners in Sunset/Pupukea please answer the following:
   a. Do you control/own in Sunset/Pupukea? 16 from two to four parcels or 9 over four parcels? see notes
   b. Do you support affordable housing projects? Yes No

Other Demographic Information:

4. Sex: 82 male or 80 female?
5. Age: 51 under 30, 51 from 30 to 39, 56 from 40 to 50 or 33 over 50
6. Are you married or living with a significant partner? 127 Yes 41 No
7. How many have children? -- see notes
   a. How many of your children are living with you?
   b. How many of your children attend 40 Sunset School, 23 Kahuku High, 9 Sunset Christian School, 1 other public, 17 other private school
8. Do you work in/commute to 90 North Shore, 8 Pearl Harbor, 7 Airports, 25 Honolulu, 10 University, 1 Windward, 12 Central, 8 other area?
9. Do you use the bus to get to work? 7 Yes 154 No; Do you use the bus for short trips, eg, to go to Foodland or to Haleiwa? 16 Yes 132 No
10. Do you work in: 28 construction, 23 tourism, 19 education, 7 health, 2 military, 15 government, 16 surfing/recreation, 42 self-employed.
11. 24 other, 6 retired, 10 house spouse, 1 unemployed?
12. Ethnicity: 9 Hawaiian/part, 131 Caucasian, 5 Japanese, 1 Chinese, 1 Filipino, 1 Black, 2 Native American, 2 Hispanic, 20 other/mixed?
13. What sports/activities do you do in a typical week?
   a. 69 board surfing, 35 body boarding, 32 body surfing, 28 wind-surfing, 24 fishing, 33 diving, 14 boating, 29 tennis, 15 basketball, 17 soccer, 13 baseball/softball, 18 volleyball, 27 golf, 13 horseback riding, 79 bike riding, 98 swimming, 52 jogging, 19 aerobics, 37 other
August 27, 1993

Mr. Keith W. Abe
Chair
Board of Land and Natural Resources
State of Hawaii
P.O. Box 621
Honolulu, Hawaii 96809

Dear Chair Abe:

Subject: Draft Environmental Assessment Ke Ala Pupukea Pedestrian Path/Bikeway, North Shore, Oahu, Hawaii

We have received your department's comments to Engineers Surveyors Hawaii, Inc., dated August 4, 1993.

The review and determination of "no effect" by the State Historic Preservation Office has been duly noted.

Thank you for your continuing interest and cooperation.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Joseph H. Makalik, Jr.
Director

CC: Engineers Surveyors Hawaii, Inc.

Mr. Robert T. Matariki, Vice President
Engineers Surveyors Hawaii, Inc.
Building No. 5
1000 Kamehameha Street, Suite No. 1
Honolulu, Hawaii 96814

Dear Mr. Matariki:

Subject: Draft Environmental Assessment (DEA); Ke Ala Pupukea Pedestrian Path/Bikeway, North Shore, Oahu

The following are our Historic Preservation Division's (HPD) comments on the subject project which supplement those forwarded in our previous letter dated July 22, 1993.

Historic Preservation Division

The project involves 10' wide pathways to be constructed along existing street and highway rights-of-way. Existing crosswalks will utilize existing pler footings. Since existing rights-of-way have been modified, we believe that this project will have "no effect" on historic sites.

We have no other comments to offer at this time. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this enter.

Please feel free to call Steve Tagawa at our Office of Conservation and Environmental Affairs, at 587-0377, should you have any questions.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]
August 5, 1993

Honorable Rex D. Johnson
Director of Transportation
State Department of Transportation
849 Punchbowl Street
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813-5097

Dear Mr. Johnson:

Subject: Draft Environmental Assessment
Ke Ala Pupukea Pedestrian Path/Bikeway

We have received your comments dated July 8, 1993 on the proposed Ke Ala Pupukea Pedestrian Path/Bikeway, and we respond as follows:

1. We acknowledge the State's plans to widen the existing Kaahakole Highway on the proposed project alignment. We note that in the widening, the conceptual inclusion of our project is a part of the bikeway master plan. We will continue to maintain contact with your highway planning staff to coordinate the setback requirements in the planned use of the highway corridor.

2. The contractor will be required to maintain the appropriate public and mandatory traffic control devices at all work sites for this project. We share your concern that safety is a prime consideration during construction.

3. We will be providing the highway improvements construction plans to your department for review and approval when they have been completed.

Thank you for your continuing cooperation. Should there be any questions, please contact Thomas Baba of my staff at 527-5099.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Joseph M. Baldi, Jr.
Director

CC: Engineers Surveyors Hawaii, Inc.
Mr. Robert T. Watari
Vice President
Engineers Surveyors Hawai, Inc.
1820 Aukai Street, Building No.6, Suite 1
Honolulu, Hawaii 96814

Dear Mr. Watari:

Subject: Draft Environmental Assessment for
Ke Ala Pupukea Pedestrian Path/Hikeway
Wahena Bay to Waialae
North Shore, Oahu

Thank you for allowing us to review and comment on the subject project. We feel that this is a very worthwhile project however, we have a few precautionary comments to offer:

Water Quality Certification

A Section 404 Water Quality Certification (WQC) is required for "any applicant for a Federal license or permit to conduct any activity including, but not limited to, the construction or operation of facilities, which may result in any discharge into the navigable water..." pursuant to Section 404 (4) of the federal Water Pollution Act (commonly known as the "Clean Water Act (CWA)").

The Department of Health is requesting that the applicant contact the Army Corps of Engineers (CWE), Operations Division to identify whether a Federal permit (including a Department of Army (DA) permit) is required for their project. A Section 404 WQC is required whenever a Federal permit is required for the project.

Storm Water Discharge

A storm water National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit is required for construction activities which involve the clearing, grading, and excavation of equal to or greater than five (5) acres of total land area. This application should be submitted to the Director of Health at least 90 days before the date on which construction is to commence.

Any construction dewatering activity discharging water into surface waters will require an NPDES permit.
August 13, 1993

John C. Levin, M.D.
Director
Department of Health
State of Hawaii
P.O. Box 3378
Honolulu, Hawaii 96801

Dear Dr. Levin:

Subject: Draft Environmental Assessment for the Ke Alii Popokes Pedestrian Path/Blkeway, Waimanalo to Palala'e, North Shore, Oahu

We have received your agency's comments dated July 26, 1993 on the subject project and respond in the following:

**Water Quality Certification**

The proposed project has been reduced significantly in scope from the initial planning and design. The Corps of Engineers requirement for a Section 404 permit will be eliminated by the virtue of total elimination of work within the purview of the Corps of Engineers. All improvements are now planned for existing highway rights-of-way and will not involve stream or drainage crossings. This will in turn obviate the need for a Section 401 Water Quality Certification.

**Storm Water Discharges**

Similarly, the scope of the total improvements now anticipated for the pedestrian path/blkeway will be less than 3 acres. Therefore, a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit will not be required. The contractor will however be required to employ Best Management Practices during the construction phase.

John C. Levin, M.D.
Page 3
August 13, 1993

Non-Point Source Pollution Concerns

All proposed grading will be taking place within existing State highway rights-of-way and consequently will meet both county grading code standards as well as the State Highways and Federal Highway Administration requirements. All contractor work will include the recommendations made by your department.

Thank you for your continuing interest and cooperation.

Sincerely,

JOSEPH A. MACALDI, JR.
Director
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August 3, 1993

MEMORANDUM

TO: ROBIN FOSTER, CHIEF PLANNING OFFICER
   PLANNING DEPARTMENT

FROM: JOSEPH M. NASALDI, JR., DIRECTOR

SUBJECT: DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FOR THE KILA PUPUKEA
         PEDESTRIAN PATH/BIKeway

We have received your comments dated July 9, 1993 on the subject
project and acknowledge your support for the proposed
improvements.

Your continuing support and cooperation are appreciated.

JOSEPH M. NASALDI, JR.

cc: ESH Hawaii, Inc.

July 9, 1993

Mr. Robert T. Watari
Engineers Surveyors Hawaii, Inc.
Suite No. 1, Building No. 6
1020 Auahi Street
Honolulu, Hawaii 96814

Dear Mr. Watari:

Draft Environmental Assessment for
Kila Pupukea Pedestrian Path/Bikeway

We have reviewed the subject Draft Environmental Assessment
and continue to support the proposed project.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this matter.
Should you have any questions, please contact Lin Wong of our
staff at 583-4488.

Sincerely,

ROBIN FOSTER
Chief Planning Officer
MEMORANDUM

TO: DONALD A. CLEGG, DIRECTOR
DEPARTMENT OF LAND UTILIZATION

FROM: JOSEPH N. HANAOLE, JR., DIRECTOR

SUBJECT: DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT (EA) FOR THE KE'ALA PUPUKAE PEDESTRIAN PATH/BIKeway, KHANAMENA HIGHWAY, WAIKELE PROJECT

We have received your comments dated July 14, 1993 on the subject EA document and we respond in the following:

1. Temporary berms will not be used since the bulk of the grading improvements will take place on existing highway shoulder areas. Where it is necessary, Surplus Management Practices will be employed for erosion control and surface runoff mitigation. These will be provided in the final construction drawings.

2. The Department of Land and Natural Resources certification was effective on the 14th day after the date of the shoreline certification (See attached letter). A copy of the certification has been sent to your staff person's attention under separate cover.

Should you have any questions, please contact Mark Kikuchi of my staff at local 5016.

JOSEPH N. HANAOLE, JR.

Attachment

go: ESH Hawaii, Inc.
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION SERVICES
CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU

September 10, 1993

MEMORANDUM

TO: C. MICHAEL STREET, DIRECTOR AND CHIEF ENGINEER
   DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

FROM: JOSEPH M. MACALDI, JR., DIRECTOR

SUBJECT: DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT (DEA)
   KU ALA PUPUEA PEDESTRIAN PATH/BIKeway
   TRLN: B-9-1, 2, 3, 4, 19 AND 22

We have received your comments dated July 12, 1993 on the proposed project and we respond as follows:
1. We acknowledge your "no objection" position.
2. We will design and construct all improvements to applicable County building code standards.
3. All final construction drawings will be provided to your department for review and approval.

Your comments and continuing cooperation are appreciated.

JOSEPH M. MACALDI, JR.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU

July 12, 1993

Mr. Robert Watari
Vice President
Engineers Surveys Hawaii, Inc.
Suite No. 1
Building No. 6
1020 Auahi Street
Honolulu, Hawaii 96814

Dear Mr. Watari:

Subject: Draft Environmental Assessment (DEA)
Ku Ala Pupuea Pedestrian Path/Bikeway
TRLN: B-9-1, 2, 3, 4, 19 AND 22

We have reviewed the subject DEA and have the following comments:
1. We have no objections to the proposed project.
2. Improvements within the City right-of-way should be in accordance with the City's standards.
3. Submit construction plans for review and approval.

Should you have any questions, please contact Mr. Alex Ho, Environmental Engineer, at 322-4150.

Very truly yours,

C. MICHAEL STREET
Director and Chief Engineer