

BENJAMIN J. CAYETANO
GOVERNOR



ROBERT P. TAKUSHI
COMPTROLLER

STATE OF HAWAII
DEPARTMENT OF ACCOUNTING AND GENERAL SERVICES
P. O. BOX 119, HONOLULU, HAWAII 96810

LETTER NO. (P) 2028.4

DEC 6 1994

Director
Office of Environmental
Quality Control
Central Pacific Plaza
220 South King Street, 4th Floor
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

OFFICE OF
QUALITY CONTROL

'94 DEC -7 A6:37

RECEIVED


Dear Sir:

Subject: Negative Declaration for Mililani High School
Four-Classroom Addition
TMK 9-5-01:54 and 55

No comments were received by the Department of Accounting and General Services during the 30-day public comment period which began on August 23, 1994. Therefore, the agency has determined that this project will not have significant environmental effect and has issued a negative declaration. Please publish this notice in the December 23, 1994 OEQC Bulletin.

We have enclosed a completed OEQC Bulletin Publication Form and four copies of the final EA. If there are any questions, please have your staff call Mr. Gary Chong of the Planning Branch at 586-0487.

Very truly yours,


GORDON MATSUOKA
State Public Works Engineer

GC:jk
Attachments

1994-12-23-0A- FEA- Mililani High School
Four-Classroom Addition

DEC 23 1994

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT
(NEGATIVE DECLARATION)
MILILANI HIGH SCHOOL
FOUR-CLASSROOM ADDITION
DECEMBER 2, 1994

- A. PROPOSING AGENCY: Department of Accounting and General Services for the Department of Education.
- B. APPROVING AGENCY: Not applicable.
- C. AGENCY CONSULTED: Department of Education.
- D. GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE TECHNICAL, SOCIO-ECONOMIC AND ENVIRONMENTAL CHARACTERISTICS:
1. Technical: This project is to design and construct a reinforced concrete/masonry building addition of approximately 6,000 square feet. Also included are renovations to existing classrooms and any site improvements, connection to public utilities and easements which may be required as a result of this project.
 2. Socio-Economic:
 - a. The proposed project will not create sufficient work to substantially impact the economy and welfare of the community and State.
 - b. The estimated cost of the project is \$2,400,000.
 - c. Since the project will be constructed within the existing school campus, no land will be removed from the tax base.
 - d. The project will provide the school with a much-needed facility to implement its program in accordance with the Educational Specifications.
 3. Environmental:
 - a. The project will not create any major long-term environmental impacts.
 - b. However, during construction, the air quality may be affected by dust and exhaust emissions and it is anticipated there will be a temporary increase in noise levels.

- c. These impacts are expected to be minimal since State and Federal regulations need to be met.



E. SUMMARY DESCRIPTION OF THE AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT, INCLUDING SITE MAPS:

1. The site of the proposed project is located on the school campus (TMK 9-5-01:54 and 55).
2. No habitat of endangered species, flora or fauna are known to exist at the site.
3. No historical, archaeological or cultural sites are known to exist at the site.
4. The site is not in a Special Management Area.
5. The school and proposed project are located as shown in Figures 1 and 2, respectively.

F. IDENTIFICATION AND SUMMARY OF MAJOR IMPACTS AND ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:

1. Major Impacts: The proposed project will not:
 - a. Involve an irrevocable commitment to loss or destruction of any natural or cultural resources.
 - b. Curtail the range of beneficial uses of the environment.
 - c. Conflict with the State's long term environmental policies.
 - d. Substantially affect the economic or social welfare of the community or State.
 - e. Involve substantial secondary impacts, such as population changes or effects on public facilities.
 - f. Involve a substantial degradation of environmental quality.

- g. Detrimentially affect air or water quality or ambient noise levels.
 - h. Be located in any environmentally sensitive area, such as a flood plain, tsunami zone, erosion-prone area, geologically hazardous land, estuary, fresh water, or coastal waters.
2. Alternatives to the Proposed Project: "No action" is not considered to be a viable or desirable alternative.
- G. PROPOSED MITIGATION MEASURES: Short term impacts on air and noise quality during construction will be controlled by application of appropriate pollution and noise control measures.
- H. DETERMINATION: On the basis of the above assessment, it is concluded that the proposed project will not have a significant adverse impact on the environment.



LOCATION MAP

FIGURE 1




FIGURE 2