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Executive Summary

Project Name: Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements

Type of Document: Draft Environmental Assessment

Legal Authority: Chapter 343, Hawaii Revised Statutes

Agency Determination: Anticipated Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI)

Applicable Environmental Assessment review “Trigger”: Use of County Funds, Use of State/County Lands and Amendment to County General Plan

Location: Maui Island
Haiku, Maui
TMK No. (2)2-7-007:008 (por.)

Landowner: County of Maui

Applicant: County of Maui, Department of Fire and Public Safety

Approving Agency: County of Maui, Department of Fire and Public Safety
200 Dairy Road
Kahului, Hawaii 96732
Contact: Lee Mainaga, Fire Services Officer
Phone: (808) 270-7561

Consultant: Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc.
305 High Street, Suite 104
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793
Contact: Mark Alexander Roy, AICP, Program Manager
Phone: (808) 244-2015

Project Summary: The County of Maui, Department of Fire and Public Safety (DF&PS) proposes the construction of a new 7,528 square foot fire station and related improvements on a 6.1-acre parcel of land located in the vicinity of the intersection of Hana Highway and East Kuiaha Road in Haiku, Maui. The proposed facility will incorporate state-of-the-art functional and technological elements to ensure that services delivered meet the life safety mandate of the DF&PS. The fire station will also be designed according to sustainable design principles and will undergo certification through the U.S.
Green Building Council’s (USGBC) Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) green building rating system. Implementation of this facility will enhance the County of Maui’s ability to provide fire prevention suppression and protection services to residents and businesses throughout the Haiku area.

The project site is designated “Agricultural” by the State Land Use Commission, the Paia-Haiku Community Plan and Maui County Zoning. To enable project implementation, District Boundary Amendment, Community Plan Amendment and Change in Zoning applications will be initiated and processed by the County of Maui, Department of Planning for the 6.1-acre project site.

Inasmuch as the proposed project involves amendment to the Paia-Haiku Community Plan, use of County lands and funds and installation of driveway and utility improvements within State and County-owned roadways, an Environmental Assessment (EA) is being prepared pursuant to Chapter 343, Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS). The DF&PS will serve as the approving agency for the EA.
I. PROJECT OVERVIEW
I. PROJECT OVERVIEW

A. PROJECT LOCATION, EXISTING USE AND OWNERSHIP

The County of Maui, Department of Fire and Public Safety (DF&PS) is proposing to construct a new fire station and related improvements (hereafter referred to as the “subject project”) on an approximately 6.1-acre portion of land (hereafter referred to as the “project site”) at Tax Map Key (TMK) (2)2-7-007:008 (por.), Haiku, Maui, Hawaii. The subject property, Parcel 08 (approximately 27.9 acres in area), is owned by the County of Maui and is located at the intersection of East Kuiaha Road and Hana Highway. A subdivision application will be processed by the County of Maui to subdivide the 6.1-acre project site from the larger parcel. Access to the site is currently provided via an existing driveway from Hana Highway. See Figure 1 and Figure 2. Implementation of this new fire station facility will enhance the County of Maui’s ability to provide adequate fire services to both residential and commercial/industrial areas located throughout the growing Haiku community.

In addition, an approximately 4,200-foot waterline will be installed as part of the subject project connecting the fire station to an existing waterline at the West Kuiaha Road and Haiku Road intersection via the existing East Kuiaha Road and Dolder Drive/Haiku Road. Refer to Figure 2. This proposed waterline site will be referred to hereafter as the “waterline corridor”. The term “project area” is used in this document to refer to both the project site and the waterline corridor.

B. PROPOSED ACTION

The 6.1-acre project site will be subdivided from the larger 27.9-acre subject property through a large-lot subdivision application process. The proposed fire station will be developed on the project site as a low-rise facility and will use plantation design themes similar to that of other commercial/industrial structures located throughout the Paia-Haiku region. See Figure 3 and Figure 4. The plans for the project have been developed in accordance with the architectural and land use objectives set forth in both the Paia-Haiku Country Town Design Guidelines and the Paia-Haiku Community Plan. Preliminary Development Plans for the project are presented in Appendix “A”.

__________________________________________________
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Figure 1  Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements  
Regional Location Map

Source: U.S. Geological Survey, Haiku Quad Map

Prepared for: County of Maui, Dept. of Fire and Public Safety
Figure 2

Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements
Property Location Map

NOT TO SCALE
Prepared for: County of Maui, GFS
Source: County of Maui, GIS
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NOT TO SCALE
Prepared for: County of Maui, Dept. of Fire and Public Safety
Source: County of Maui, GIS
Figure 3  Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements Architectural Rendering

Prepared for: County of Maui, Dept. of Fire and Public Safety

Source: Architects Hawaii Limited

NOT TO SCALE
Figure 4  Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements Site Plan

Source: Architects Hawaii Limited

Prepared for: County of Maui, Dept. of Fire and Public Safety
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NOT TO SCALE
The fire station facility will consist of administrative, vehicle storage and utility buildings. Structures within the project site will not exceed 30 feet in height. The main single-story administrative building (approximately 7,528 square feet, including covered exterior walkways) will provide offices, dormitories, a work-out area, dining/kitchen facilities, and other support functions necessary for the estimated five (5) personnel that will be assigned to the station. See Figure 5, Figure 6, and Figure 7. Various other related improvements will be completed as part of project implementation, including demolition of several unused agricultural buildings over 50 years in age, site grading and grubbing, landscaping, installation of utilities, and construction of an access driveway off of Hana Highway and associated site-related roadway improvements. This shared driveway will provide access for both emergency and non-emergency vehicles utilizing the facilities at the site. A helipad will also be implemented as part of the project to facilitate civil defense, training, rescue and firefighting operations in Central Maui and the surrounding areas.

The proposed Haiku Fire Station will be designed according to sustainable design principles and will undergo certification through the U.S. Green Building Council’s (USGBC) Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) green building rating system. The facility will feature a number of “green” design features, including energy efficient appliances, bio-swales, bio-filters, rain gardens, grass paving, water efficient irrigation systems, an ecological wastewater treatment system, and two (2) offsite vertical axis wind turbines. The ecological wastewater treatment system will treat wastewater from the proposed fire station to a R-3 standard and will consist of a primary settling tank (septic tank), a lined vegetated area for secondary treatment, a pump station and a subsurface drip irrigation disposal system. The ecological wastewater treatment system will allow reuse of the treated effluent for irrigation of landscaping within the property, thus reducing potable water consumption for the proposed project. The two (2) offsite wind turbines will be freestanding, less than 35 feet in height, and will generate electricity to offset the overall energy requirements of the proposed fire station. Refer to Figure 4.

As noted previously, a new offsite waterline will also be installed within the existing right-of-way of East Kuiaha Road and Dolder Drive/Haiku Road to address potable water service requirements for the new fire station facility. This waterline will connect the proposed fire station to two (2) existing County-owned waterlines located approximately 2,300 feet to the south of the project site near the intersection of West Kuiaha Road and Haiku Road. Refer to Figure 2. A copy of the engineering plans showing the configuration of the proposed new offsite waterline is presented in Appendix “A”.
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Figure 5
Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements
Floor Plan

Source: Architects Hawaii Limited

Prepared for: County of Maui, Dept. of Fire and Public Safety

NOT TO SCALE
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(Looking Mauka)

South Elevation
(Looking Makai)

Figure 6
Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements
North/South Elevations

Source: Architects Hawaii Limited

Prepared for: County of Maui, Dept. of Fire and Public Safety
East Elevation  
(Looking Towards Paia)

West Elevation  
(Looking Towards Hana)

Figure 7  
Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements
East/West Elevations

Source: Architects Hawaii Limited

Prepared for: County of Maui, Dept. of Fire and Public Safety
At the location where Haiku Road crosses Kuiaha Gulch, the proposed waterline will be aboveground and supported on a beam parallel to the existing bridge structure. The existing bridge span is approximately 20-feet. The beam will not be connected to the existing bridge and will have foundation supports on both sides of the gulch. There will be no work either to the existing bridge or within the course of the gulch.

With regard to landscaping, the planting design for the project will help create a visual identity for the new fire station by accenting the structures with vertical planting and ground covers while also integrating site with the surrounding community. The following objectives have been used in developing a landscaping plan that is conducive to the sustainable design strategy for the project:

- Minimize landscape maintenance and irrigation requirements.
- Select native Hawaiian plant species that quickly acclimate and thrive within the Haiku micro-climate and promote irrigation efficiency.
- Integrate biologically sustainable features such as bio-swales, landscaped gardens and a rainwater catchment system to facilitate irrigation reuse objectives and to reduce and filter water run-off from the site.

The theme of the fire station will, therefore, reflect a natural yet functional, low maintenance landscape environment which will provide both visual relief and heat gain reduction for the buildings. See Figure 8. The preliminary landscaping plan for the project is presented in Appendix “A”. This plan provides detailed information on the species of palms, shrubs and groundcover that have been selected for use in the design of the new fire station.

C. PROJECT NEED

As noted previously, the proposed fire station is intended to improve fire protection services throughout the Haiku area by providing a facility in a rural area of Maui where there is currently none available. Presently, fire prevention, suppression and protection services for the Paia-Haiku Community Plan region are provided by the County’s Paia and Makawao fire stations. The Paia fire station is located on Hana Highway in Paia town approximately six (6) miles to the west of the project site. The Makawao fire station is situated approximately eight (8) miles to the south of the project site on Makawao Avenue. Both the Paia and Makawao stations are undersized to meet the increasing fire protection service needs of the outlying rural communities, including Haiku, Pauwela and Peahi.
Figure 8 Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements
Preliminary Landscaping Plan

NOT TO SCALE
The Paia-Haiku Community Plan region has experienced a substantial increase in population in recent years. The population increased from 7,788 persons in 1990 to 11,866 persons in 2000, an increase of approximately 52 percent (County of Maui, 2006). The population is projected by the County of Maui’s 2006 Land Use Forecast to further increase to 13,863 persons by 2030.

The proposed new fire station is, therefore, being implemented by the County of Maui to meet the long-term fire protection service needs of the growing rural community in the Haiku area. The development of the fire station at the location proposed will allow for the more efficient deployment of emergency vehicles and shortened response times, both of which are deemed critical given access limitations and the prevalence of wooden structures throughout the Haiku area.

D. **REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS**

The subject property (27.9 acres) is designated “Agricultural” by the State Land Use Commission, the Paia-Haiku Community Plan and Maui County Zoning. The 6.1-acre project site is, however, reflected as a Rural Growth Area (RGB) in the draft Maui Island Plan that is under review by the County of Maui as part of the 2030 General Plan Update process. To enable project implementation, District Boundary Amendment (DBA), Community Plan Amendment (CPA) and Change in Zoning (CIZ) applications will be initiated and processed by the Department of Planning for the 6.1-acre project site. The requested amendments to the land use entitlements are summarized in **Table 1** below:

**Table 1.** Summary of Requested Land Use Amendments For Haiku Fire Station Project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Use Designation</th>
<th>Existing</th>
<th>Requested Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Land Use Commission</td>
<td>Agricultural</td>
<td>Rural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paia-Haiku Community Plan</td>
<td>Agricultural</td>
<td>Public/Quasi-Public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maui County Zoning</td>
<td>Agricultural</td>
<td>Public/Quasi-Public</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The project site is located outside of the County of Maui’s Special Management Area (SMA). As such, a SMA Use Permit will not be required for the project.
The proposed project involves a number of items which trigger the need to comply with the requirements of Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS), Chapter 343, including an amendment to the Paia-Haiku Community Plan, use of County lands and funds, and the installation of driveway and utility improvements within both the State-owned Hana Highway and the County-owned East Kuiaha Road and Haiku Road. An Environmental Assessment (EA) is, therefore, being prepared to evaluate the technical characteristics, environmental impacts and alternatives, as well as advance findings relative to the significance of the project and its related onsite/offsite improvements. The EA will act as the primary supporting technical document for the County's consolidated DBA/CPA/CIZ applications. The Approving Agency for the EA will be the DF&PS.

E. PROJECT FUNDING AND SCHEDULING

The estimated construction cost of the proposed project is $11.2 million. Assuming all necessary approvals and entitlements are obtained, construction is expected to begin in first quarter 2012, with completion estimated in the fourth quarter of 2012.
II. DESCRIPTION OF THE EXISTING ENVIRONMENT, POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES
II. DESCRIPTION OF THE EXISTING ENVIRONMENT, POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

A. PHYSICAL SETTING

1. Surrounding Land Uses

   a. Existing Conditions

   The subject property is situated in Haiku, Maui, an agricultural and rural residential area located to the east of Pauwela, and to the west of Hana Town. The project site itself is 1.5 miles east of the Haiku Elementary School via Hana Highway. It lies approximately 1 mile inland (south) of the Pacific Ocean shoreline. The project site abuts the mauka side of Hana Highway to the north and East Kuiaha Road to the east. Across Hana Highway to the north is the Valley Isle Memorial Park Cemetery. The project site property is currently accessed from Hana Highway. Refer to Figure 1.

   The proposed waterline corridor extends east from the project site and joins with East Kuiaha Road. From there, it follows East Kuiaha Road through Dolder Drive and Haiku Road, eventually joining an existing waterline in the vicinity of the intersection of Haiku Road and West Kuiaha Road. Refer to Figure 2.

   Lands in the immediate vicinity of the project area are characterized by rural and agricultural uses. The subject property was used for pineapple farming in the early 1900's and then cleared to make way for a nursery operation which ended about 10 years ago. At present the land is vacant and underutilized.

   b. Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures

   The subject project is not anticipated to adversely impact surrounding land uses in the vicinity of the project area. The new fire station will occupy
former agricultural lands which have been unused for some time. The facility will be located in an area of Haiku along Hana Highway near the intersection with East Kuiaha Road and will facilitate ready access to surrounding service areas. As further discussed in Section D.4 of this chapter, onsite drainage mitigation measures will be implemented to address the increase in storm water runoff associated with the development of the fire station. These improvements, coupled with the proposed grading plan will ensure that downstream or adjacent properties are not affected by the project.

The proposed waterline corridor is also not anticipated to adversely impact surrounding land uses in the vicinity of the project site. The proposed waterline will be installed within the right-of-way of the existing County-owned East Kuiaha Road, Dolder Drive and Haiku Road roadway corridors.

2. **Climate, Topography and Soils**

a. **Existing Conditions**

Hawaii’s tropical location results in uniform weather conditions throughout the year. Climatic conditions on Maui are characterized by mild and consistent year round temperatures, moderate humidity and steady northeasterly tradewinds. Variations in Maui’s weather are attributable to regional topographic and climatic conditions.

Haiku is situated on the north coast of the island, near sea level. Average annual rainfall for the area is approximately 67.14 inches per year. The months of October through March are typically the wetter periods of the year, with April through September being typically the drier months. Mean temperatures range from 60.5 degrees Fahrenheit in February to 79 degrees Fahrenheit in September (Maui County Databook, 2007).

Topography in the region ranges from a rocky coastline, to the moderately steep slopes, and gulches of Haleakala. The subject property, which is characterized by slopes of approximately 7 to 15 percent slope, sits between 500 and 590 feet above mean sea level (amsl) (AECOM Pacific, Inc., 2010). The ground cover across the site is characterized by a variety of grasses and aggressive weeds associated with the property’s former use as a nursery.
Underlying the project area are soils belonging to the Pauwela-Haiku Association. See Figure 9. The Pauwela-Haiku Association is characterized by well drained, fine textured soils commonly found on low uplands. These soils are gently sloping to moderately steep. The Pauwela-Haiku Association makes up about 3 percent of the island (U. S. Soil Conservation Service, 1972).

The project area contains underlying soils from the Haiku Clay soil (HbB and HbC) as well as the Rough Broken Land (rRR) classifications. See Figure 10. In a representative profile, the surface layer of Haiku Clay soil (HbB and HbC) is dark brown clay about 14 inches thick. The subsoil is yellowish-red, dark reddish-brown, and dark-red clay or silty clay about 31 inches thick. The substratum is soft, weathered, basic igneous rock. The soil is very strongly acidic in the surface layer and extremely acidic and very strongly acidic in the subsoil and substratum. Permeability is moderately rapid. Runoff is slow to medium, and the erosion hazard is slight to moderate. In general, the soil is less than 30 inches deep to the bedrock, and slopes are generally 7 to 15 percent (U. S. Soil Conservation Service, 1972).

Rough broken land (rRR) consists of very steep, land broken by numerous intermittent drainage channels. It occurs in gulches and on mountainsides. The slope is 40 to 70 percent, runoff is rapid and geologic erosion is active. These soils are variable and in most places some weathered rock fragments are mixed with the soil material. Small areas of rock outcrop, stones and soil slips are common. This land type is used primarily for watershed and wildlife habitat. In places it is also used for pasture and woodland (U. S. Soil Conservation Service, 1972).

The State Department of Agriculture has established three (3) categories of Agricultural Lands of Importance to the State of Hawaii (ALISH). The ALISH system classifies lands into "Prime", "Unique", and "Other Important Agricultural Land". The remaining lands are "Unclassified". Utilizing modern farming methods, "Prime" agricultural lands have the soil quality, growing season, and moisture supply needed to produce sustained crop yields economically, while "Unique" agricultural lands possess a combination of soil quality, location, growing season, and moisture supply currently used to produce sustained high yields of a specific crop. "Other Important
Figure 9  Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements
Soil Associations Map

Source: USDA, Soil Conservation Service

Prepared for: County of Maui, Dept. of Fire and Public Safety
Figure 10

Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements
Soils Classification Map

Prepared for: County of Maui, Dept. of Fire and Public Safety
Agricultural Land" includes those which have not been rated as "Prime" or "Unique". The project site is located on lands that have been defined as "Prime Agricultural Lands" by the ALISH rating system. See Figure 11.

In addition, the University of Hawaii, Land Study Bureau (LSB) classifies productivity characteristics on a scale of “A’ through “E”, with lands designated as “A” reflecting the highest productivity and “E” representing lands with the lowest productivity. These letters are followed by numbers which further classify the soil types and convey information such as texture, drainage, and stoniness (Land Study Bureau, 1967).

Lands underlying the project area have been classified by the LSB as “C9”, “E96”, as well as land not classified by LSB. See Figure 12. The fire station site is “C” land, while the waterline is located on “C”, “E”, and “Unclassified” lands. Lands designated “C” lands are well-suited for agriculture, primarily pineapple and grazing. Lands designated “E” lands are not suited for agriculture and are primarily used for grazing and forest.

b. Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures

Construction of the new fire station will require grading and grubbing of the project site. Given the variation in site topographic conditions, cut-and-fill quantities vary. The proposed grading plan will require an estimated excavation of approximately 9,810 cubic yards and fill of approximately 8,120 cubic yards. The area of the property that will be affected by grading operations is approximately 3.6 acres.

The installation of the new waterline will also require grading and grubbing of the waterline corridor. Given the variation in site topographic conditions, cut-and-fill quantities will vary but are expected to be minimal given that the waterline will be constructed on lands falling within the right-of-way of existing County-owned roadways.
Figure 11  Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements
Agricultural Lands of Importance to the State of Hawaii

Prepared for: County of Maui, Dept. of Fire and Public Safety
Figure 12  Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements

Land Study Bureau Map

Prepared for: County of Maui, Dept. of Fire and Public Safety
3. **Flood and Tsunami Conditions**

a. **Existing Conditions**

The project area is located in Flood Zone X, an area of minimal flooding. The project area is not located within a tsunami evacuation zone.

b. **Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures**

As further discussed in Section D.4 of this chapter, onsite drainage mitigation measures will be implemented to address the increase in storm water runoff associated with the development of the proposed new fire station. These improvements, coupled with the proposed grading plan, will ensure that downstream or adjacent properties are not impacted by project implementation.

4. **Flora and Fauna**

a. **Existing Conditions**

A Biological Resources Survey of the project site was completed by Robert Hobdy in September 2009. See **Appendix “B”**.

Vegetation identified within the vacant lands of the project site is characterized by a host of introduced and native species of grasses and herbaceous weeds associated with the former use of the property as a nursery. There are also a few large trees that have grown on the site, including the African tulip tree (*Spathodea campanulata*), common ironwood (*Casuarina equisetifolia*), albizia (*Falcataria moluccana*) and Java plum (*Syzygium cumini*). Of the 104 plant species identified on the site, five (5) were native Hawaiian species and two (2) were Polynesian introductions – all of which are extremely widespread and common species indigenous in Hawaii and many other Pacific islands. No rare, threatened, or endangered plant species or habitats were identified within the project site.

Avifauna and mammals common to the project site and surrounding areas include introduced birds and feral animals (mongoose, domestic dog, rats,
mice and cats). Only two (2) mammal species were observed during the survey; the Mongoose (*Herpestes auropunctatus*) and the domestic dog (*Canis familiaris*). It is noted that a special effort was made during the survey to look for the Hawaiian hoary bat (*Lacturus cinereus semotus*). Despite visibility being excellent during the evening survey, no evidence of the Hawaiian hoary bat was observed. A bat detection device was also used during the survey. No bats were detected using this device. Refer to Appendix “B”.

The federally protected seabirds, Hawaiian petrel and Newell’s shearwater, were not detected on the property nor would these species be expected to utilize it for breeding or resting. These birds, however, would be expected to fly over the area between March and November to reach their burrows high in the mountains. Young bird fledging would also likely take their first tentative flights to the ocean over the Haiku area during November and December. These young birds are especially vulnerable and are often attracted to bright lights during their late evening and early dawn flights and become easily disoriented and crash or killed by vehicles or predators.

The proposed waterline corridor is confined to the existing right-of-way of existing County-owned roadways (East Kuiaha Road, Dolder Drive and Haiku Road). Flora, fauna, or avifauna located within the proposed waterline corridor would be expected to exhibit similar characteristics to that found within the project site.

b. **Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures**

There are no known or identified habitats of rare, threatened, or endangered species of flora, fauna or avifauna located within the project site. Proposed landscaping will reflect the character of the area and will include the use of native species. A copy of the Preliminary Landscaping Plan for the project is presented in Appendix “A”. Further, outdoor lighting will be shielded so that direct light is not visible from above to reduce any threat to seabirds. The proposed fire station is not anticipated to have an adverse impact upon flora and fauna resources in the area.
As discussed in Chapter I, two (2) offsite wind turbines will be installed within the subject property to the east of the 6.1-acre project site. The site selected for placement of these turbines provides an optimal location for energy performance from captured wind. Refer to Figure 4. The incorporation of these turbines represents a key sustainable design component of the project as they will provide green, locally generated power to supplement the electrical requirements of the proposed new fire station. The turbines are not anticipated to present significant adverse impacts on birds traversing the area. A vertical axis design will be used for the turbines, which allows utilization of an aluminum helical blade scoop for power generation purposes. The helical blade scoop design is considerably safer for avifauna than the traditional propeller (windmill) design of conventional wind generation turbines.

Similarly, the proposed construction of a subsurface waterline along the waterline corridor is not anticipated to have an adverse impact upon flora and fauna resources in the area. Once the utility work is completed, the affected areas of the right-of-way are expected to return to pre-existing natural conditions.

5. **Historical and Archaeological Resources**

   a. **Existing Conditions**

An Archaeological Inventory Survey of the project area was conducted by Scientific Consultant Services, Inc. (SCS) on February 2010. See Appendix “C”. The project site assessment included historic background research, a pedestrian survey, and a subsurface investigation of eleven (11) backhoe trenches. The waterline corridor assessment included historic background research and a pedestrian survey.

Historically, the project site was used for large scale pineapple cultivation and pasture, and later as a house site and most recently for a nursery operation. These heavy land alterations were likely to have destroyed any traditional Hawaiian sites or features that were present in the area at that time. Any remaining sites encountered would, therefore, likely be of a historic nature related to modern agriculture, animal husbandry, or recent habitation
within the project area.

The waterline corridor follows previously disturbed existing County-owned roadways. The roadway along the waterline corridor has been cut up to 15 feet into the slope effectively eliminating any possibility of encountering subsurface deposits. It is anticipated that any sites present along the corridor will be related to agriculture, animal husbandry or recent habitation within the area.

b. Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures

The Archaeological Inventory Survey of the project site revealed no intact subsurface cultural layers or historic materials. This is likely due to historic land use patterns, such as pineapple cultivation, and subsequent grading by the landowners. Only one (1) historic era site (SHIP No. 50-50-06-6678) was recorded during the pedestrian survey of the project site, and was attributed to the former occupants of the existing vacant house found on the property.

Site 6678 consists of two (2) features and is situated in the northwest portion of the project area adjacent to a vacant cottage and asphalt driveway. The site is in fair to good condition and functions as a retaining wall and garden terrace constructed of dry-stacked basalt. The age of the site is estimated to be 50 to 60 years old and associated with the previous residential use on the property.

Using the Rules Governing Procedures for Historic Preservation Review, the following significance criteria are used for evaluation of archaeological sites:

**Criterion A:** Site is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history;

**Criterion B:** Site is associated with the lives of persons significant to our past;

**Criterion C:** Site is an excellent site type; embodies distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic values, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual
construction;

**Criterion D**: Site has yielded or has the potential to yield information important in prehistory or history;

**Criterion E**: Site has cultural significance; probable religious structures or burials (State of Hawai‘i criteria only)

The project site meets Criterion D, as it houses a site that has yielded or has the potential to yield information important in prehistory or history (attributed to the former occupants of the vacant house). This site has been thoroughly documented and it has been concluded that further research would not contribute to the interpretation of the area, region, or Hawaiian prehistory and/or history. Thus, no further archaeological work or archaeological monitoring is anticipated to be necessary within the project site.

With regard to the waterline corridor, archaeological monitoring is recommended along portions of Haiku Road that is not within the cut slope, including an approximately 500 foot (150 meter) stretch crossing Kuiaha Gulch and a western portion of the corridor that extends approximately 1,300 feet (400 meter), towards the gulch from the intersection of Haiku Road and West Kuiaha Road.

The Archaeological Inventory Survey report and its recommendations was approved by SHPD on November 12, 2010. See Appendix “C-1”.

In the event that a discovery of significant cultural materials and/or burials is made during construction activities for the project, all work in the immediate area of the find will cease and the State Historic Preservation Division (SHPD) will be notified to discuss mitigation measures, in accordance with Chapter 6E, Hawaii Revised Statutes.

6. **Cultural Assessment**

   a. **Existing Conditions**

A Cultural Impact Assessment was completed for the proposed project in May 2009 by Scientific Consultant Services, Inc. See Appendix “D”.

---
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Geopolitical Organization

Prior to Western contact in Hawaii, land was divided into moku, or districts. Each of these was further subdivided into units called ahupua'a. Ideally, each ahupua'a was self-sufficient, running from mauka, the mountain, to makai, the ocean (MacKenzie). These divisions served as both cultural and settlement systems as traditional Hawaiian life was tied intimately to the land. Hunting, gathering, cultivation, and habitation took place within three (3) zones which characterized the ahupua'a: the Mauka Zone, the Agricultural Zone, and the Coastal Zone. The Mauka Zone provided access to a variety of trees, plants, and herbs for various needs, customs and practices. Planting of yams, sweet potato, sugar cane, taro, and other foods took place in the Agricultural Zone, where gradual slopes of land allowed terraces to be constructed for more efficient irrigation. The Coastal Zone and low-lying areas was where most of the kauhale, group of houses, were found, as well as temples, fishing shrines, and fishponds (Minerbi, 1993).

Western contact brought changes to the Hawaiian land system with the introduction of private ownership of land, a concept foreign to the Native Hawaiians. A Board of Land Commissioners was established in 1845 to uphold or reject all private land claims of both foreigners and Hawaiians. The Commission adopted rules pertaining to the proof of claims, right of tenants, and commutation to the government in attempts to achieve the goal of totally partitioning undivided lands. All lands not claimed by February 1848 were to be forfeited to the government (MacKenzie, 1991).

Following the enactment of these rules, the Mahele division of 1848 divided all lands of Hawaii between the king and chiefs. Two (2) years later the Kuleana act completed the Mahele process by authorizing the Land Commission to award fee simple titles to native tenants for their land. These kuleana parcels, also known as Land Commission Awards (LCA), were generally among the richest and most fertile in the islands and came from the king, government, or chief's land. All claims and awards were numbered and recorded in
the Mahele Book (MacKenzie, 1991). In addition, government lands were sold as “Royal Patent Grants” or “Grants” in order to meet the increasing costs of government. These grants differed from LCAs, as it was not necessary for the recipients to obtain an award for their land from the Land Commission (Chinen, 1958).

(ii) Historical Overview

The subject project is located in the ahupuaa of Pauwela, which translates to “hot soot”. Traditionally, Pauwela was considered a part of the Hamakua Loa District.

The Hamakua Loa region was traditionally associated with the gods Kanaloa and Kane, and contained many small gulches, springs, and streams. The gulches in this region helped to support the loi, or taro patches, that ascended with the stream bed. The location of many ahupuaa along this coast suggests that this area held a considerable Hawaiian population. The soil in this region was excellent for yielding sweet potato, yams, bananas, and other crops, while the nearby bays were excellent for fishing.

Prior to the arrival of Capt. Cook on the islands, there was fierce competition between the chiefs of Hawaii island and the ali`i nui of Maui. In the late 1700’s, Kalaniopuu, an ali`i of Hawaii island, invaded the Hamakua Loa region. He was defeated there by Kahekili, an ali`i of Maui, but ventured back to the area several more times. As a result, the Hamakua Loa region became the site of many battles.

With the onset of Western contact, the Pauwela Ahupuaa came under government ownership and was later sold as a government grant. As sugar became a major industry for the islands in the 1800’s, Pauwela was utilized for its excellent land cultivation. As the plantations and industry operations grew in scale, the need for water became significant and several ditches were constructed through the Pauwela area. In the 1930’s the land was used as cattle pasture and in the 1940’s (during WWII) the land was used as the site of a military training camp. Later, the land was used for sugar cane and pineapple
farming.

(iii) **Traditional and Customary Rights**

The traditional and customary rights of Native Hawaiians can be broken down into access rights, gathering rights, burial rights, and religious rights.

**Access**

Native Hawaiians generally share the same access rights as the general public. However, they have the unique access rights to *kuleana* parcels and between *ahupuaa*. Access to *kuleana* parcels may involve access via ancient trails or expanded access not limited to any route. Additionally, the *Kuleana Act* granted unobstructed access within the *ahupuaa* to obtain items necessary to make the *kuleana* parcel productive. Access rights between *ahupuaa* involve access a ancient or well established trails (MacKenzie, 1991).

**Gathering**

In terms of gathering rights, the Hawaii Supreme Court has upheld gathering rights within an *ahupuaa* for firewood, house-timber, *aho* cord, thatch, and *ki*-leaf under three (3) conditions. The tenant must physically reside within the *ahupuaa*, the right to gather can only be exercised upon undeveloped lands within the *ahupuaa*, and the right must be exercised only for the purpose of practicing Native Hawaiian customs and traditions (MacKenzie, 1991).

**Burial**

According to traditional Hawaiian burial beliefs, following death, the *uhane*, or spirit, must remain near na iwi, or bones. Burial sites are chosen by Hawaiians for symbolic purposes in places for safekeeping. Often, bones were hidden in caves, cliffs, sand dunes, or deposited in the ocean. Today, federal and state laws protect both unmarked and marked burial sites. Island Burial Councils assist the State Historic Preservation Division with inventory and identification of unmarked Hawaiian burial sites and determine the preservation or relocation of native Hawaiian burial sites (MacKenzie, 1991).
Religious

Hawaiian religion and beliefs were intimately tied to the land. While some practices and traditions were lost over the years, basic Hawaiian religious concepts remain. The terms “aloha aina,” love the land and “malama aina,” care for and protect the land, convey the unity of humans, nature, and the gods in Hawaiian philosophy (Minerbi, 1993). Furthermore, Hawaiians honored and worshiped aumakua, deities, and akua, gods. There were numerous akua of farming, fishing, tapa making, dancing, sports, and any other activity of Hawaiian life. The concept of mana or sacred attachment to places, people, or things also remains as a significant aspect of Hawaiian religion (MacKenzie, 1991).

b. Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures

From a recent historical perspective, land underlying the proposed project site was primarily maintained for agricultural cultivation activities. More recently, the site has been underutilized and is vacant. With regards to the waterline corridor, it follows existing County-owned roadways. No indications of cultural practices, such as gathering, access, or religious traditions, are known to be associated with the project site and waterline corridor.

With regard to the subject project, no adverse impact to cultural resources, practices, and traditions is anticipated. Refer to Appendix “D”.

7. Air and Noise Quality

a. Existing Conditions

Due to the low level of residential and commercial development in the Haiku area, the lack of major point sources of air pollution, and the prevailing tradewind conditions, the Haiku region has good air quality. The primary source of emissions may be attributed to motor vehicles traversing Hana Highway and local roadways in the area. However, these mobile sources have no adverse influence on regional air quality.

There are no significant noise generators in the vicinity of the project site. Noise generated in this locale may be attributed to traffic in the Haiku area.
b. **Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures**

Airborne particulates, including dust, may be generated during site preparation and construction activities. However, dust control measures, such as regular watering and sprinkling, will be implemented as needed to minimize wind-blown emissions.

In the long term, vehicle-generated emissions related to the project will not adversely impact local and regional ambient air quality conditions. The new fire station will be a relatively small facility and is expected to accommodate six (6) full-time employees at any one time.

As with air quality, ambient noise conditions will be temporarily impacted by construction activities. Heavy construction equipment, such as bulldozers, front end loaders, and dump trucks and trailers will be the dominant source of noise during site construction. Construction generated noise will be mitigated through Best Management Practices (BMPs), and construction activities will be limited to daylight work hours only. The contractor will coordinate with the State Department of Health to ensure that noise permits are obtained, as appropriate.

Noise will be generated by emergency vehicles and sirens and helicopter operations, however, such impact will be intermittent in frequency. Use of the sirens and air horns by emergency vehicles will be limited to roadway clearing activity as stipulated by law. On average, sirens or air horns are utilized for roadway clearing approximately 30 seconds to one (1) minute, two (2) times per day. The use of sirens and air horns will be limited to those instances where maintenance of public safety is essential. The helipad will be utilized during civil defense emergency operations, fire training, rescue and firefighting operations and will be located on the south side of the fire station complex, away from residential areas. A helicopter will not be stored onsite. The helipad will be used only during emergencies.

The project also proposes the installation and use of two (2) vertical axis wind turbines within the subject property to the east of the 6.1-acre project site. Refer to **Figure 4.** These turbines will produce less noise than conventional horizontal axis blade types as they do not slice into the wind using propellers. The assembly (including the helical blade scoop) is almost
silent, at less than five (5) decibels above ambient background noise. The installation and use of these wind turbines is not anticipated to present significant noise impacts on adjacent land uses to the fire station site, which are predominantly agricultural in character.

8. **Scenic and Open Space Resources**

a. **Existing Conditions**

The project site is located in the Haiku area, abutting the mauka side of the Hana Highway to the north and East Kuiaha Street to the east. Located to the north of the project site is the Valley Isle Memorial Park Cemetery. The immediate vicinity of the project site is composed mainly of vacant, rural, and agricultural lands. The coastal shoreline lies about 1 mile away to the north and the Haleakalā Crater lies about 35 miles to the south.

The waterline corridor extends east from the project site and joins with East Kuiaha Road. From there it follows East Kuiaha Road through Dolder Drive and Haiku Road, eventually joining an existing waterline at the far south intersection of Haiku Road and West Kuiaha Road.

The subject property does not lie within a designated scenic view corridor.

b. **Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures**

The proposed fire station and waterline are not anticipated to have substantial, adverse impacts on existing view corridors. Most of the project site is overgrown and views of the site from adjacent areas are limited. Because of this, the property is not considered part of a scenic view corridor to the shoreline. Also, the proposed waterline will be installed entirely underground (except for the proposed crossing at Kuiaha Gulch) and will not be visible from the surface. All structures installed in connection with the proposed action (including the offsite wind turbines) will respect height standards set forth by existing and proposed zoning designations. There are no anticipated adverse impacts to the visual resources of the surrounding environment as a result of the proposed project.
B. SOCIO-ECONOMIC ENVIRONMENT

1. Population and Economy

   a. Existing Conditions

   The population of the County of Maui has exhibited relatively strong growth over the past decade with the 1995 resident population of 117,895 persons increasing to 138,774 persons in 2005 (Maui County Data Book, 2009).

   As of 2010, the population of Maui Island was estimated at 151,300 persons, with approximately 12,525 persons residing in the Paia-Haiku region. Forecasts for 2015 and 2020 reflect an island-wide population of 162,600 persons and 174,450 persons, respectively, and a population for the Paia-Haiku region of 12,837 persons and 13,168 persons, respectively (County of Maui, Department of Planning 2006).

   The unemployment rate (not seasonally adjusted) for Maui County was 8.5 percent in June 2010, with Maui Island’s rate at 8.4 percent. These numbers represent respective decreases of 0.9 percent and 0.7 percent from June 2009 (Department of Labor and Industrial Relations, 2010). The State’s unemployment rate for June 2010 was 6.3 percent, down by 0.7 percent from the same time in 2009.

   b. Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures

   Short-term economic benefits associated with construction expenditures for the new fire station is anticipated. The proposed project is not a population generator. Thus, there are no anticipated long-term impacts on population parameters. The proposed fire station will fulfill the Haiku community's need for fire protection and public safety and will also result in lower insurance premiums for surrounding residents.
C. PUBLIC SERVICES

1. Police and Fire Protection
   
a. Existing Conditions

   The County of Maui's Police Department headquarters are located in Wailuku. There are three (3) patrol divisions on the island of Maui. These are the Wailuku, Lahaina, and Hana divisions. The Wailuku division covers Central Maui, Paia-Haiku, Kihei-Makena, and Upcountry Maui. Currently, the Wailuku division utilizes 146 patrol officers and 11 motorized beats.

   Fire prevention, suppression, and protection services for the project area are provided by the County Department of Fire and Public Safety's Paia Fire Station, located six (6) miles from the project site, along Hana Highway in Paia Town. The commercial and residential areas of Paia have adequate fire protection, however, large areas beyond Haiku do not.

   b. Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures

   The new fire station is anticipated to substantially improve fire protection services in Haiku and the surrounding rural areas. The new station will allow for more efficient deployment of emergency vehicles. Implementation of the project is not anticipated to adversely impact existing police services in the region.

2. Medical Facilities
   
a. Existing Conditions

   Maui Memorial Medical Center is currently the only major medical facility on the island. Acute, general, and emergency care services are provided by the 201-bed facility. In addition, Paia has medical and dental clinics to service local community residents. Haiku also has a medical office and a pharmacy.
b. **Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures**

The proposed project is not anticipated to have adverse impacts on existing medical facilities or services on Maui.

3. **Solid Waste**

   a. **Existing Conditions**

   Except for remote areas, single family solid waste collection service is provided by the County of Maui on a weekly basis.

   Solid waste is collected by County refuse collection crews and disposed at the Central Maui Landfill. Commercial waste from private collection companies is also disposed of at the landfill.

   b. **Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures**

   The proposed fire station will be undergoing certification through the Leadership in Environmental and Energy Design (LEED) green building rating system and will include various initiatives intended to minimize the solid waste impact of the proposed project. A preliminary construction waste management plan will be prepared prior to construction. For the majority of demolition waste, such as concrete slabs, walkways, and driveways, it is anticipated that these items will be crushed and reused on-site for fill and/or base course. For other materials, such as wood, roofing, windows, and doors, it is anticipated that these materials will be diverted away from the landfill or recycled. LEED guidelines on the proposed fire station suggest a possible maximum diversion of 50 percent of demolition and construction waste away from the Central Maui Landfill.

   In sum, the proposed fire station aims to facilitate the recycling and reuse of construction materials and also to divert construction waste away from disposal at the Central Maui Landfill. Recycling receptacles will also be utilized within the proposed fire station building to promote responsible waste management practices during the operational phase of the project. With implementation of the foregoing initiatives, the proposed fire station is not anticipated to adversely impact existing solid waste services on Maui.
4. **Recreational Resources**

   a. **Existing Conditions**

   The main facilities catering to the recreational needs of the Pauwela area are the Haiku Community Center and park, located one (1) mile from the project site. Another major recreational resource of the Pauwela Haiku area is Hookipa Beach Park, an internationally recognized park known for its excellent surf and windsurfing.

   b. **Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures**

   The proposed project is not anticipated to adversely impact the existing recreational facilities located in and around the Haiku area.

5. **Educational Facilities**

   a. **Existing Conditions**

   The State of Hawaii, Department of Education operates seven (7) public schools in Upcountry Maui. They are Makawao Elementary School, Kalama Intermediate School, Pukalani Elementary School, Kula Elementary School, Haiku Elementary School, Paia Elementary School, and King Kekaulike High School.

   The region is also served by the privately operated Montessori Preschool, Doris Todd, Haleakala Waldorf School, Seabury Hall, and the Maui Campus of Kamehameha Schools.

   b. **Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures**

   The proposed project is not anticipated to adversely impact existing education facilities or services on Maui.
D. INFRASTRUCTURE

1. Roadways

   a. Existing Conditions

   The project site is located adjacent to Hana Highway, a State of Hawaii roadway that serves as the main access road along the northern coast of Maui. It is a predominantly two-lane, two-way roadway generally oriented in the east-west direction. Southeast of the project site, Hana Highway intersects with East Kuiaha Road at an unsignaled intersection. East Kuiaha Road is a two-lane, two-way County-owned roadway generally oriented in the north-south direction. At the intersection with Hana Highway, the East Kuiaha Road approach has one stop-controlled northbound lane that serves all traffic movements. The southbound approach of the intersection is comprised of a driveway serving an adjacent parcel.

   The proposed waterline corridor extends east of the project site and follows East Kuiaha Road through Dolder Drive and Haiku Road, eventually ending at a connection to an existing waterline, at the southern intersection of Haiku Road and West Kuiaha Road. Refer to Figure 2.

   Much of Haiku is composed of rural residential and agricultural areas. Due to the rural nature of the area, traffic is generally light and with minimal traffic congestion.

   b. Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures

   A preliminary Traffic Impact Analysis Report was completed by Wilson Okamoto Corporation for the proposed project. See Appendix “E”.

   The fire station will be staffed by approximately five (5) people, during each 24-hour shift. The shift changes occur daily between 7:00 a.m. and 7:30 a.m. Given the low number of vehicle trips to and from the station and the capacity of Hana Highway, the proposed project is not anticipated to result in any substantive, adverse impacts to traffic.

   At the intersection of East Kuiaha Road at Hana Highway, the level of
service for the project is expected to continue to operate at acceptable levels of service. Also, at the approaches of the fire station driveway with Hana Highway the LOS is expected to operate at LOC "C" or better during the AM peak period, as shown in the following Table 3:

Table 2. Summary of Level of Service Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intersection</th>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>AM</th>
<th>PM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Existing Conditions</td>
<td>Year 2012 Without Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hana Highway/</td>
<td>Eastbound</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Kuihaha Road</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Westbound</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Northbound</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hana Highway/</td>
<td>Westbound</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Station Driveway</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Northbound</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


The TIAR recommends the following mitigation measures for incorporation in the design for the proposed project:

1. Maintain sufficient sight distance for motorists to safely enter and exit all project driveways/roadways.

2. Provide adequate on-site loading and off-loading service areas and prohibit off-site loading operations.

3. Provide adequate turn-around area for service, delivery, and refuse collection vehicles to maneuver on the project site to avoid vehicle-reversing maneuvers onto public roadways.

4. Provide sufficient turning radii at all project driveways/roadways to avoid or minimize vehicle encroachments to oncoming traffic lanes.

The Haiku Fire Station is not expected to have a significant impact on the traffic operations in the project vicinity.
2. **Water System**

   a. **Existing Conditions**

   Domestic water for the Haiku region is provided by the Department of Water Supply’s (DWS) Upcountry System. Water for the Upcountry system is provided by the Kamaole Weir Water Treatment Facility (WTF) which draws water primarily from Wailoa Ditch. The Kamaole Weir WTF is approximately 1,120 feet above sea level and lies approximately 2 miles away from the project site. Kamaole Weir’s average daily production is approximately 3.6 million gallons per day. There is an existing 5/8-inch water meter located towards East Kuiaha Road on the southeastern side of the subject property. The Department of Water Supply, by letter dated December 4, 2009, has confirmed that the property possesses a reservation for three (3) additional water meters.

   b. **Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures**

   A Preliminary Engineering Report (PER) was prepared for the project in November 2010. See Appendix “F”.

   The proposed fire station will connect (via a 6-inch waterline) to a new 12-inch offsite waterline that will be installed as part of the project. Refer to **Figure 2**. The new 4,200 linear feet waterline will provide potable water source to the project through the source available at the Kaupakalua storage tank, which is located approximately 5,000 feet to the south of the project site. Average water demand from the new fire station is estimated at 1,186 gallons per day (gpd). Total fire flow for the project is estimated at 1,500 gallons per minute (gpm) for a two (2) hour duration. Upon subdivision of the 6.1-acre project site from the larger property, the existing 5/8-inch water meter will be replaced with a larger one-inch meter. The one-inch meter will service the domestic water requirements of the proposed fire station. Two (2) rain catchment tanks will also be installed on the project site to meet the non-potable water requirements of the proposed fire station. Refer to **Figure 4**. Water conservation measures to be incorporated into the proposed project site include the use of low flow plumbing fixtures and use of landscape planting material which minimizes irrigation water consumption.
Appropriate Best Management Practices (BMPs) will be employed during construction in order to protect the integrity of groundwater and surface water resources in the vicinity of the project site.

Water requirements will be coordinated with the DWS to ensure that adequate supply is available at the time of development. In addition, calculations for domestic, irrigation and fire protection use will be submitted to the DWS during building permit processing for the project.

3. **Wastewater System**

a. **Existing Conditions**

There are no County wastewater treatment facilities servicing the Haiku area. Wastewater disposal in the region is accommodated via cesspools or individual wastewater treatment systems, such as septic tanks and leach fields.

b. **Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures**

The proposed project will generate approximately 700 gallons of wastewater per day. A private, ecological wastewater treatment system has been designed for the proposed fire station by Roth Ecological Design International, LLC and will be utilized to treat all wastewater generated onsite. See Appendix “G”.

The ecological wastewater treatment system will be located within the 6.1-acre project site (refer to Figure 4) and will consist of several stages of treatment. The first stage will involve the collection of wastewater into a 1,500 gallon septic tank. The septic tank will filter out solids from the effluent stream and will retain them until their organic matter has broken down significantly. The wastewater will then continue to a natural filtration basin. The natural filtration basin is composed of various media. The bottom layer will be comprised of porous material (typically gravel), with water maintained beneath its surface, at a constant depth of 18 to 40 inches. A thin layer of mulch/compost will be placed directly on top of the porous material layer. Wastewater will enter, flow laterally across the basin through the
porous material, and will collect at the opposite end. A system of distribution pipes and chambers will ensure an equalized flow of wastewater across the basin. Plants will be planted within the mulch/compost layer and their roots will dip into the porous material. As wastewater flows through the basin, the plants' roots will act as natural filters, further breaking down organic contaminants present in the wastewater. A graphic illustration of the ecological wastewater treatment system is provided in Design Drawing Sheet EC-101 of Appendix “G”. Upon completion of this ecological wastewater treatment process, reclaimed water will be redirected for reuse within the property, in accordance with the State of Hawaii Department of Health R-3 reuse regulations. The plants used for the treatment system will also function as attractive, visual relief for the project site and will be visible from Hana Highway, complimenting the project landscape. Reclaimed water from the ecological wastewater treatment system will be dispersed within an adjacent drip irrigation disposal field. Refer to Figure 4 and Appendix “G”.

4. **Drainage**

a. **Existing Conditions**

A Preliminary Drainage Report (PDR) was prepared for the project by AECOM Pacific, Inc. in November, 2010. The objective of the PDR is to analyze, evaluate and mitigate the drainage impacts of the proposed Haiku Fire Station project in accordance with the County of Maui’s Rules for the Design of Storm Drainage Facilities in the County of Maui (November 1995). Refer to Appendix “H”.

There are four (4) drainage areas within the 27.9-acre subject property (Parcel 08) affecting the 6.1-acre project site, two (2) of which are located onsite (i.e., within the project site) and two (2) offsite (i.e., outside of project site but within the 27.9-acre subject property). The onsite drainage areas are referenced (in Figure 3 of the PDR) as drainage areas XDA-1 and XDA-2, whereas the offsite drainage areas are referenced as drainage areas XDA-3 and XDA-4. Total run-off from the project site under existing conditions (for the 50-year, 1-hour storm) is 22.76 cubic feet per second (cfs). A summary
of the existing drainage conditions is presented below in **Table 3**:

**Table 3.** Summary of Existing Drainage Conditions Affecting Project Site for the 50-Year, 1-Hour Storm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drainage Area</th>
<th>Size (acres)</th>
<th>Direction of Flow</th>
<th>Drainage Flow (cfs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XDA-1 (onsite)</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>Sheet Flow Towards Northwest Property Line</td>
<td>10.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XDA-2 (onsite)</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>Sheet Flow Towards Hana Highway</td>
<td>3.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XDA-3 (offsite)</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>Sheet Flow Towards Hana Highway through XDA-2</td>
<td>2.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XDA-4 (offsite)</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>Sheet Flow Towards Northwest Property Line through XDA-1</td>
<td>6.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>22.76 cfs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


There are currently no drainage system improvements located within the limits of the project site due to undeveloped conditions present within the subject property.

### b. Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures

Under developed conditions, there will be a total of eight (8) drainage areas affecting the 6.1-acre project site, five (5) of which will be located onsite (i.e., within project site) and three (3) offsite (i.e., outside of project site but within 27.9-acre subject property). The post-development onsite drainage areas are referenced (in Figure 4 of the PDR) as drainage areas NDA-1 through NDA-5, whereas, the post-development offsite drainage areas are referenced as drainage areas NDA-6 through NDA-8. A summary of the modified drainage conditions within the project site is presented below in **Table 4**:
Table 4. Summary of Post-Development Drainage Conditions Affecting Project Site for the 50-Year, 1-Hour Storm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drainage Area</th>
<th>Size (acres)</th>
<th>Direction of Flow</th>
<th>Drainage Flow (cfs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NDA-1 (onsite)</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>Sheet Flow Towards Northwest Property Line</td>
<td>8.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDA-2 (onsite)</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>Piped into Detention Basin</td>
<td>3.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDA-3 (onsite)</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>Piped into Detention Basin</td>
<td>3.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDA-4 (onsite)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>Sheet Flow into Detention Basin</td>
<td>2.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDA-5 (onsite)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>Fire Station Roof Into Rainwater Catchment System</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDA-6 (offsite)</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>Sheet Flow Towards NDA-2</td>
<td>2.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDA-7 (offsite)</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>Sheet Flow Towards NDA-3</td>
<td>2.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDA-8 (offsite)</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>Sheet Flow Towards NDA-1</td>
<td>5.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>29.01 cfs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


The development of the project site will result in the introduction of impervious areas within the property and an associated increase in stormwater runoff through the project site, from 22.76 cfs to 29.01 cfs, an increase of 6.25 cfs (based on the 50-year, 1-hour storm). To accommodate the increase in drainage runoff resulting from project implementation, an onsite drainage system will be constructed consisting of drainage structures, drainlines, and a detention basin. The detention basin will be located on the northwest side of the new fire station building as reflected on the site plan (Sheet C-5), and, grading and drainage plan (Sheet C-7) of the PDR (refer to Appendix "H"). The detention basin will have a capacity of 23,047 cfs and will retain 100 percent (6.25 cfs) of the increase in stormwater runoff associated with the project. With the proposed drainage improvements, there are no adverse impacts to downstream properties anticipated from construction of the proposed Haiku Fire Station project. Refer to Appendix "H".
5. **Electrical, Telephone and Cable Services**

a. **Existing Conditions**

Electrical service to the area in the vicinity of the project site, is provided by Maui Electric Company (MECO). There is a primary overhead system, located along Hana Highway, fronting the project site.

Telephone and cable service is provided to the area via the existing overhead distribution system along Hana Highway by Hawaiian Telcom and Oceanic Time Warner Cable, respectively.

b. **Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures**

Coordination is being undertaken with the respective utility companies to connect electrical, telephone and cable TV services from the existing overhead distribution system along Hana Highway to the project site. Underground ductline systems will be installed for extension of services up to the proposed fire station building. This work will include installation of a new MECO pad-mounted transformer within the project site. Refer to Figure 4.

Two (2) offsite wind turbines will be installed within the subject property to the east of the 6.1-acre project site. The site selected for placement of these turbines provides an optimal location for energy performance from captured wind. Refer to Figure 4. The two (2) proposed turbines will utilize a vertical axis design instead of the conventional horizontal axis (windmill/propeller) design. The overall height of the vertical axis wind turbine will be 35 feet, with more than 15 feet clear between the existing grade and the bottom of the turbine assembly. This configuration considers safety by locating all moving parts more than 15 feet above grade using a monopole design, and places the turbine where the wind is best captured. The proposed wind turbines will have the capability to efficiently utilize wind from any direction at speeds as low as eight (8) miles per hour.

Photovoltaic and solar hot water heating systems will also be installed on the roof of the new fire station.
The incorporation of these systems represent key sustainable design components of the project as they will provide green, locally generated power to supplement the electrical requirements of the proposed new fire station.

Energy conservation design measures will also be incorporated as part of the sustainable design program for the project. Examples of such measures being considered for implementation include the following:

1. Installation of roof insulation and skylights;
2. Tinted windows to shade and cool the building;
3. Installation of trees around the building for shade and cooling of the building;
4. Installation of a heat recovery hot water system that will utilize waste heat from air conditioning condensing units;
5. Use of variable frequency drive to minimize energy usage by fan motors for air conditioning system;
6. Use of low flow plumbing fixtures;
7. Installation of high pressure sodium (HPS) luminaires around entrances/exit;
8. Installation of HPS pole mounted lights at all driveways and vehicle parking areas;
9. Installation of lights to the exterior of the site and building that will be automatically triggered by time switch controls and limited to night time use only;
10. Installation of energy efficient fluorescent lighting to the building interior;
11. Installation of multi-level and/or zoned switching of interior lighting in large rooms; and
12. Installation of wall mounted occupancy sensor light switches within private offices.
The proposed project is not anticipated to adversely affect electrical, telephone or cable systems.

**E. CUMULATIVE AND SECONDARY IMPACTS**

Cumulative impacts are defined as the impact on the environment which results from the incremental impact of an action when added to other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions regardless of what agency or person undertakes such other actions.

The proposed project is not part of a larger action, nor would it occur within the context of such actions. There are no direct community growth impacts resulting from or occurring with the subject project. There are no other public works projects anticipated within the project context.

Secondary impacts are those which have the potential to occur later in time or are farther in distance, but are still reasonably foreseeable. They can be viewed as actions of others that are taken because of the presence of the subject project.

Secondary impacts from highway projects can occur, for example, because they can induce development by removing one of the impediments to growth-transportation access.

There are no foreseeable secondary impacts associated with the proposed subject project. The new fire station will provide much needed fire coverage for the Haiku area and is not a generating component for population. Nor will it place additional burden upon infrastructure or the environment.
III. RELATIONSHIP TO GOVERNMENTAL PLANS, POLICIES AND CONTROLS
III. RELATIONSHIP TO GOVERNMENTAL PLANS, POLICIES AND CONTROLS

A. STATE LAND USE DISTRICTS

Chapter 205, Hawaii Revised Statutes, relating to the Land Use Commission (LUC), establishes the four (4) major land use districts in which lands in the State are placed. These districts are “Urban”, “Rural”, “Agricultural”, and “Conservation”.

The 6.1-acre project site is located within the State “Agricultural” district. See Figure 13. The proposed action involves a County-initiated District Boundary Amendment (DBA) to the "Rural" District to permit the proposed action. Due to the size of the 6.1-acre project site (i.e., less than 15 acres), the DBA will be processed by the County of Maui and acted upon by the Maui County Council.

The proposed offsite waterline will follow an existing County-owned roadway right-of-way that affects both “Agricultural” and “Urban” land use districts.

B. LAND USE COMMISSION RULES, CHAPTER 15-15, HAWAII ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

The proposed reclassification of the project site is in conformance with the following standards of the Rural District set forth in Chapter 15-15-21, Hawaii Administrative Rules:

Chapter 15-15-21 Standards for determining “R” rural district boundaries. Except as otherwise provided in this chapter, in determining the boundaries for the “R” rural district, the following standards shall apply:

1. Areas consisting of small farms, provided that the areas need not be included in this district if their inclusion will alter the general characteristics of the areas.

Comment: The proposed fire station will be located in Haiku, a low density area that is comprised mostly of farms and agricultural lands.
Figure 13  Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements
State Land Use Designations Map

Prepared for: County of Maui, Dept. of Fire and Public Safety

NOT TO SCALE
2. *Activities or uses as characterized by low-density residential lots of not less than one-half acre and a density of not more than one single-family dwelling per one-half acre in areas where “city-like” concentration of people, structures, streets, and urban level of services are absent, and where small farms are intermixed with the low-density residential lots; and*

**Comment:** As mentioned previously, the proposed project site lies in a low density area that is comprised mostly of farms and agricultural lands and lacks “city-like” concentrations of people, structures, streets, and services.

3. *It may also include parcels of land which are surrounded by, or contiguous to this district, and are not suited to low-density residential uses for small farm or agricultural uses.*

**Comment:** The lands contiguous to the proposed rural district are comprised of low density areas of former and existing agricultural uses.

C. **CHAPTER 226, HRS, HAWAII STATE PLAN**

Chapter 226, HRS, also known as the Hawaii State Plan, is a long-range comprehensive plan which serves as a guide for the future long-range development of the State by identifying goals, objectives, policies, and priorities, as well as implementation mechanisms. The proposed action is consistent with the following goals of the Hawaii State Plan:

- A strong, viable economy, characterized by stability, diversity, and growth, that enables the fulfillment of the needs and expectations of Hawaii’s present and future generations.

- A desired physical environment, characterized by beauty, cleanliness, quiet, stable natural systems, and uniqueness, that enhances the mental and physical well-being of the people.

- Physical, social, and economic well-being, for individuals and families in Hawaii, that nourishes a sense of community responsibility, of caring, and of participation in community life.

1. **Objectives and Policies of the Hawaii State Plan**

The proposed reclassification is consistent with the following objectives and policies of the Hawaii State Plan:
Chapter 226-6, HRS, Objectives and Policies for the Economy—in General

226-6 (b)(6), HRS: Strive to achieve a level of construction activity responsive to, and consistent with, State growth objectives.

226-6 (b)(8), HRS: Encourage labor-intensive activities that are economically satisfying and which offer opportunities for upward mobility.

Chapter 226-11, HRS, Objectives and Policies for the Physical Environment—Land Based, Shoreline, and Marine Resources.

226-11(b)(3), HRS: Take into account the physical attributes of areas when planning and designing activities and facilities.

226-11(b)(8), HRS: Pursue compatible relationships among activities, facilities and natural resources.

Chapter 226-12, HRS, Objective and policies for the physical environment—scenic, natural beauty, and historic resources.

226-12 (b)(5), HRS: Encourage the design of developments and activities that complement the natural beauty of the islands.

Chapter 226-13, HRS, Objectives and policies for the physical environment—land, air, and water quality.

226-13 (b)(6), HRS: Encourage design and construction practices that enhance the physical qualities of Hawaii's communities.

Chapter 226-14, HRS, Objective and policies for facility systems—in general.

226-14 (b)(2), HRS: Encourage flexibility in the design and development of facility systems to promote prudent use of resources and accommodate changing public demands and priorities.

Chapter 226-15, HRS, Objectives and policies for facility systems—solid and liquid wastes.

226-15 (b)(2), HRS: Promote re-use and recycling to reduce solid and liquid wastes and employ a conservation ethic.

226-15 (b)(3), HRS: Promote research to develop more efficient and economical treatment and disposal of solid and liquid wastes.
Chapter 226-18, HRS, Objectives and policies for facility systems--energy.

226-18 (b)(4), HRS: Reduction, avoidance, or sequestration of greenhouse gas emissions from energy supply and use.

226-18 (c)(1), HRS: Support research and development as well as promote the use of renewable energy sources.

Chapter 226-26, HRS, Objectives and policies for socio-cultural advancement--public safety.

226-26 (a)(1), HRS: Assurance of public safety and adequate protection of life and property for all people.

226-26 (a)(2), HRS: Optimum organizational readiness and capability in all phases of emergency management to maintain the strength, resources, and social and economic wellbeing of the community in the event of civil disruptions, wars, natural disasters, and other major disturbances.

226-26 (b)(1), HRS: Ensure that public safety programs are effective and responsive to community needs.

226-26 (d)(1), HRS: Ensure that responsible organizations are in a proper state of readiness to respond to major war-related, natural, or technological disasters and civil disturbances at all times.

2. Priority Guidelines of the Hawaii State Plan

The proposed action coincides with the following priority guidelines of the Hawaii State Plan.


226-104(a)(1), HRS: Encourage planning and resource management to insure that population growth rates throughout the State are consistent with available and planned resource capacities and reflect the needs and desires of Hawaii's people.
D. **GENERAL PLAN OF THE COUNTY OF MAUI**

As indicated by the Maui County Charter, the purpose of the general plan shall be to:

...indicate desired population and physical development patterns for each island and region within the county; shall address the unique problems and needs of each island and region; shall explain opportunities and the social, economic, and environmental consequences related to potential developments; and shall set forth the desired sequence, patterns and characteristics of future developments. The general plan shall identify objectives to be achieved, and priorities, policies, and implementing actions to be pursued with respect to population density; land use maps, land use regulations, transportation systems, public and community facility locations, water and sewage systems, visitor destinations, urban design, and other matters related to development.

As reflected in the Draft Maui Island Plan, Chapter 2.80B of the Maui County Code, relating to the General Plan and Community Plans, implements the foregoing Charter provision through enabling legislation which calls for a Countywide Policy Plan and a Maui Island Plan. The Countywide Policy Plan was adopted as Ordinance No. 3732 on March 24, 2010. The draft Maui Island Plan, which delineates areas for future urban and rural growth as part of a Directed Growth Strategy, is currently in the process of review and formulation by the Maui County Council. The project site is located within a Rural Growth Boundary that has been recommended for the Haiku area in the Draft Maui Island Plan.

With regard to the Countywide Policy Plan, Section 2.80B.030 of the Maui County Code states the following.

*The countywide policy plan shall provide broad policies and objectives which portray the desired direction of the County's future. The countywide policy plan shall include:*

1. *A vision for the County;*
2. *A statement of core themes or principles for the County; and*
3. *A list of countywide objectives and policies for population, land use, the environment, the economy, and housing.*

Core principles set forth in the Countywide Policy Plan are listed as follows:
1. Excellence in the stewardship of the natural environment and cultural resources;
2. Compassion for and understanding of others;
3. Respect for diversity;
4. Engagement and empowerment of Maui County residents;
5. Honor for all cultural traditions and histories;
6. Consideration of the contributions of past generations as well as the needs of future generations;
7. Commitment to self-sufficiency;
8. Wisdom and balance in decision making;
9. Thoughtful, island appropriate innovation; and
10. Nurturance of the health and well-being of our families and our communities.

Congruent with these core principles, the Countywide Policy Plan identifies goals objectives, policies and implementing actions for pertinent functional planning categories, which are identified as follows:

1. Natural environment
2. Local cultures and traditions
3. Education
4. Social and healthcare services
5. Housing opportunities for residents
6. Local economy
7. Parks and public facilities
8. Transportation options
9. Physical infrastructure
10. Sustainable land use and growth management
11. Good governance

With respect to the proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements, the following goals, objectives, policies and implementing actions are illustrative of the project's compliance with the Countywide Policy Plan.

PROTECT THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT

Goal: Maui County's natural environment and distinctive open spaces will be preserved, managed, and cared for in perpetuity.

Objective:

- Improve the stewardship of the natural environment.

Policies:

- Evaluate development to assess potential short-term and long-term impacts on land, air, aquatic, and marine environments.

- Reduce air, noise, light, land, and water pollution, and reduce Maui County's contribution to global climate change.

- Educate the construction and landscape industries and property owners about the use of best management practices to prevent erosion and nonpoint source pollution.

IMPROVE PHYSICAL INFRASTRUCTURES

Goal: Maui County's physical infrastructure will be maintained in optimum condition and will provide for and effectively serve the needs of the County through clean and sustainable technologies.

Objective:

- Improve water systems to assure access to sustainable, clean, reliable, and affordable sources of water.

Policies:

- Ensure that adequate supplies of water are available prior to approval of subdivision or construction documents.

- Promote the reclamation of gray water, and enable the use of
reclaimed, gray, and brackish water for activities that do not require potable water.

- Improve the management of water systems so that surface-water and groundwater resources are not degraded by overuse or pollution.

**Objective:**

- Improve waste-disposal practices and systems to be efficient, safe, and as environmentally sound as possible.

**Policies:**

- Provide sustainable waste-disposal systems and comprehensive, convenient recycling programs to reduce the flow of waste into landfills.

- Support innovative and alternative practices in recycling solid waste and wastewater and disposing of hazardous waste.

**Objective:**

- Significantly increase the use of renewable and green technologies to promote energy efficiency and energy self-sufficiency.

**Policies:**

- Promote the use of locally renewable energy sources, and reward energy efficiency.

- Encourage small-scale energy generation that utilizes wind, sun, water, biowaste, and other renewable sources of energy.

- Expand renewable-energy production.

- Develop public-private partnerships to ensure the use of renewable energy and increase energy efficiency.

- Require the incorporation of locally appropriate energy-saving and green building design concepts in all new developments by providing energy efficient urban design guidelines and amendments to the Building Code.

- Promote the retrofitting of existing buildings and new development to incorporate energy-saving design concepts and devices.
• Encourage green footprint practices.

• Support green building practices such as the construction of buildings that aim to minimize carbon dioxide production, produce renewable energy, and recycle water.

• Promote and support environmentally friendly practices in all energy sectors.

**Objective:**

• Direct growth in a way that makes efficient use of existing infrastructure and to areas where there is available infrastructure capacity.

**Policy:**

• Support catchment systems and on-site wastewater treatment in rural areas and aggregated water and wastewater systems in urban areas if they are appropriately located.

**Objective:**

• Improve the planning and management of infrastructure systems.

**Policies:**

• Ensure that infrastructure is built concurrent with or prior to development.

• Locate public facilities and emergency services in appropriate locations that support the health, safety, and welfare of each community and that minimize delivery inefficiencies.

• Promote the undergrounding of utility and other distribution lines for health, safety, and aesthetic reasons.

**PROMOTE SUSTAINABLE LAND USE AND GROWTH MANAGEMENT**

**Goal:** Community character, lifestyles, economies, and natural assets will be preserved by managing growth and using land in a sustainable manner.

**Objective:**

• Design all developments to be in harmony with the environment and to protect each community's sense of place.
Policies:

- Support and provide incentives for green building practices.
- Encourage the incorporation of green building practices and technologies into all government facilities to the extent practicable.
- Protect rural communities and traditional small towns by regulating the footprint, locations, site planning, and design of structures.

STRIVE FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE

**Goal:** Government services will be transparent, effective, efficient, and responsive to the needs of residents.

**Objective:**

- Strive for County government to be a role model for implementing cultural and environmental policies and practices.

**Policy:**

- Incorporate environmentally sound and culturally appropriate practices in government operations and services.

In summary, the proposed project is consistent with the themes and principles of the Countywide Policy Plan.

E. **PAIA-HAIKU COMMUNITY PLAN**

Within Maui County, there are nine (9) community plan regions. From a General Plan implementation standpoint, each region is governed by a Community Plan implementation standpoint, each region is governed by a Community Plan which sets forth desired land use patterns, as well as goals, objectives, policies, and implementing actions for a number of functional areas, including infrastructure-related parameters.

The subject property is located within the Paia-Haiku region and occupies lands designated as AG, Agricultural in the Community Plan. See Figure 14. The proposed action involves processing of a County-initiated Community Plan Amendment (CPA) application to redesignate the 6.1-acre project site as "Public/Quasi Public" so that the proposed action is a compatible use.
Figure 14

Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements
Community Plan Land Use Designations

Prepared for: County of Maui, Dept. of Fire and Public Safety
The proposed project is consistent with the following goals, policies, and objectives of the Community Plan:

LAND USE

Goal
A well-planned community that preserves the region's small town ambiance and rural character, coastal scenic vistas, and extensive agricultural land use, and accommodates the future needs of residents at a sustainable rate of growth and in harmony with the region's natural environment, marine resources, and traditional uses of the shoreline and mauka lands.

Objectives and Policies

- Define urban and rural growth limits and densities for the region by determining the needed space to accommodate projected growth, designating the required land using the land use map, and supporting needed development in these areas.

- For the outlying areas such as Ha'iku with existing Urban or Rural Land Use classifications, consideration for expansion of the State Land Use District Boundary should be made on a case by case basis for limited residential development in accordance with the following criteria:

  a. That the proposed change is contiguous with the Urban or Rural District and compatible with the existing character of the surrounding area;

  b. That adequate public services and facilities are available or can be provided at reasonable cost to the petitioner; and

  c. That the proposed land use amendment shall have no significant adverse effects upon agricultural, natural, environmental, recreational, scenic, historic, or other resources of the area.

ENVIRONMENT

Goal
The preservation and protection of the natural environment, marine resources and scenic vistas to maintain the rural and natural ambiance and character of the region.
Objectives and Policies

- Promote greater awareness and opportunities for recycling and sound conservation practices.

CULTURAL RESOURCES

Goal

Identification, protection, preservation, enhancement and appropriate use of cultural resources, cultural practices and historic sites that provide a sense of history and define a sense of place for the Paia-Haiku region.

Objectives and Policies

- Prevent the desecration of ancient and historic burial sites.

- Identify, protect, preserve, and, where appropriate, restore significant archaeological and cultural sites and resources unique to the State of Hawaii and Island of Maui.

TOWN DESIGN

Goal

Attractive rural town development in keeping with the existing scale, form and character of settlement areas in the region.

Objectives and Policies

- Incorporate design standards, including, but not limited to, lighting, building and roadway design, appropriate for rural communities. In Agricultural and Rural Districts, excessive roadway standards and street lighting requirements should be discouraged.

- Limit building heights to two (2) stories or thirty (30) feet above grade throughout the region, with any exceptions being subject to design review by the County.

- Follow the established design standards for the commercial use areas of Pa’ia Town and Ha’iku based on the following guidelines:
  a. Visually maintain and enhance the low-density town character.
  b. Require that future development be compatible with the desired scale
and rural character.

c. Maintain the ambience of Pa`ia and Ha`iku Towns.

Design improvements should be undertaken in a coordinated and ongoing fashion so as to ensure compatibility of future development projects with the desired character. Road improvements for drainage, lighting, and safety should be coordinated with the maintenance of the existing rural, informal streetscape which exemplifies the character of Pa`ia and Ha`iku Towns. For example, urban roadway standards which require excessive street widths detract from a rural character and should be discouraged.

- Use "native plants" for landscape planting in all public projects to the extent practicable.

- Ensure that all future subdivisions, construction projects, and developments comply with the Maui County Planting Plan.

PHYSICAL INFRASTRUCTURE

Liquid and Solid Waste

Goal Efficient, safe and environmentally sound systems for the disposal, recycling and reuse of liquid and solid wastes.

Energy

Goal Greater self-sufficiency in the need for non-renewable energy and more efficiency in use of energy resources.

Objectives and Policies

- Promote energy efficiency as the energy resource of first choice and increase the energy efficiency in all sectors of the community.

- Promote environmentally and culturally sensitive use of renewable resources such as biomass, solar, wind, and hydroelectric energy in all sectors of the community.

- Support energy-efficient building design and site development practices.

- Promote energy conservation and awareness programs.
Health and Public Safety

Goal  A sense of security for all residents and visitors, and aid in the protection of life and property.

Objectives and Policies

- Improve fire protection capabilities in the Ha`iku area and ensure adequate water pressure for fire protection, particularly in urban and rural areas.

GOVERNMENT

Goal  Government that demonstrates the highest standards of fairness and is responsive to the needs of the community, fiscally responsible and prudent, effective in planning and implementing programs to accommodate anticipated growth, fair and equitable in taxation, strict in the implementation of the Community Plan, and managed efficiently to provide coordinated and timely responses and the delivery of necessary services and programs to the public.

Objectives and Policies

- Coordinate, direct and manage future development, and provide for necessary public services and infrastructure in a more effective and timely fashion.

- Require that actions taken by public officials, boards or commissions of the County of Maui be in compliance with the goals, objectives and policies of the Community Plan.

F. ZONING

Enactment of Chapter 19.30A Agricultural Districts, Maui County Code (MCC) on December 31, 1998 also zoned lands designated as “Agriculture” by the Maui County General Plan and community plans. The Paia-Haiku Community Plan adopted on May 17, 1995 designated the subject property as “Agricultural”. As such, the subject property is zoned “Agricultural”. A County-initiated Change in Zoning (CIZ) application will be processed for the proposed project to redesignate the 6.1-acre project site as “Public/Quasi-Public”.

Pursuant to Section 19.30A.020 District criteria, agricultural lands that meet two (2) of three (3) criteria should be given the highest priority for retention in the Agricultural district. The subject property meets the following two (2) criteria for retention:
1. Agricultural Lands of Importance to the State of Hawaii (ALISH) designates the property as “Prime” agricultural lands; and

2. Lands which have seventy-five (75) percent or more of their boundaries contiguous to lands within the Agricultural district.

Although the subject property meets the highest priority for retention in the Agricultural district, it is important to note that based on a site selection study conducted by the Department of Fire and Public Safety in 2007, the subject property was selected as the best site for a new fire station to serve Haiku and the surrounding areas. The construction of a new fire station on the subject property will provide a greater public benefit to the community than retention of vacant agricultural land. As noted above, the County of Maui, Department of Planning will initiate a CIZ application to redesignate the 6.1-acre project site as “P-1, Public/Quasi-Public” so that the proposed action is a compatible use.

It is noted that the proposed Haiku Fire Station has been designed to be architecturally compatible with the Paia-Haiku Country Town Design Guidelines. Some examples of key design considerations from the Paia-Haiku County Town Design Guidelines are provided below:

**Architectural Compatibility and Regional Sensitivity:**

- The building design will be fit into the context of the surrounding environment while drawing inspiration from the architecture in the Paia-Haiku region.

**Building Scale:**

- The new fire station facility will be human scaled to fit into the rural setting of Haiku.

**Roofs:**

- A white metal standing seam roof with slopes of 3/12 will be incorporated to facilitate sustainable building strategies. Two (2) major roof forms make up the entire facility. The first is a large front gable roof over the apparatus bay. The second is a series of saw tooth roofs over the living quarters.

**Facades:**

- The new facility will utilize a false front (facade) at the living quarters area of the fire station.
Exterior Wall Finishes and Fenestrations:

- The new fire station will incorporate vertical siding consistent with other structures throughout the Paia-Haiku region. The building color will be green, with multi-paned double hung and casement windows.

It is further noted that the two (2) offsite wind turbines will be located on a portion of the subject property that will not be redesignated for “Public/Quasi-Public” use. Refer to Figure 4. These lands will remain in the “Agricultural” district. The offsite wind turbines have been determined by the Planning Department to be minor utility facilities (as defined by Section 19.040.040, Maui County Code (MCC)) and are a permitted use (according to Section 19.30A.050, MCC) within the “Agricultural” district. See Appendix “I”.

G. COASTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES

The Hawaii Coastal Zone Management Program (HCZMP), as formalized in Chapter 205A, HRS, establishes objectives and policies for the preservation, protection, and restoration of natural resources of Hawaii’s coastal zone. The project site lies outside of the County of Maui’s Special Management Area (SMA), the boundary of which follows the makai-side of the right-of-way line of Hana Highway. See Figure 15.

Although a SMA Use permit is not required for the project, this section addresses the project’s relationship to applicable coastal zone management considerations, set forth in Chapter 205A, Hawaii Revised Statutes.

1. Recreational Resources

Objective:

Provide coastal recreational opportunities accessible to the public.

Policies:

(A) Improve coordination and funding of coastal recreational planning and management; and
Figure 15  Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements
Special Management Area Map

Source: County of Maui, Department of Planning

Prepared for: County of Maui, Dept. of Fire and Public Safety
(B) Provide adequate, accessible, and diverse recreational opportunities in the coastal zone management area by:

(i) Protecting coastal resources uniquely suited for recreational activities that cannot be provided in other areas;

(ii) Requiring replacement of coastal resources having significant recreational value, including but not limited to surfing sites, fishponds, and sand beaches, when such resources will be unavoidably damaged by development; or requiring reasonable monetary compensation to the State for recreation when replacement is not feasible or desirable;

(iii) Providing and managing adequate public access, consistent with conservation of natural resources, to and a shorelines with recreational value;

(iv) Providing an adequate supply of shoreline parks and other recreational facilities suitable for public recreation;

(v) Ensuring public recreational uses of county, state, and federally owned or controlled shoreline lands and waters having recreational value consistent with public safety standards and conservation of natural resources;

(vi) Adopting water quality standards and regulating point and non-point sources of pollution to protect, and where feasible, restore the recreational value of coastal waters;

(vii) Developing new shoreline recreational opportunities, where appropriate, such as artificial lagoons, artificial beaches, and artificial reefs for surfing and fishing; and

(viii) Encouraging reasonable dedication of shoreline areas with recreational value for public use as part of discretionary approvals or permits by the land use commission, board of land and natural resources, and county authorities; and crediting such dedication against the requirements of Section 46-6.

Response: The proposed project is not anticipated to result in adverse impacts to existing coastal or inland recreational resources. The project is not anticipated to limit or compromise any existing shoreline recreational activity.
2. **Historic Resources**

**Objective:**

Protect, preserve and, where desirable, restore those natural and manmade historic and prehistoric resources in the coastal zone management area that are significant in Hawaiian and American history and culture.

**Policies:**

(A) Identify and analyze significant archeological resources;

(B) Maximize information retention through preservation of remains and artifacts or salvage operations; and

(C) Support state goals for protection, restoration, interpretation, and display of historic resources.

**Response:** The proposed project is not anticipated to have an adverse effect on historic or cultural resources. This finding is based upon the conclusions of the Archaeological Inventory Survey that was completed for the proposed project. Refer to **Appendix “C”**. The Archaeological Inventory Survey was accepted by the State Historic Preservation Division (SHPD) on November 12, 2010. Refer to **Appendix “C-1”**.

Should any cultural or historical materials be uncovered during construction-related activities, work shall be halted in the area of the find and SHPD shall be notified for determination of appropriate mitigation measures.

3. **Scenic and Open Space Resources**

**Objective:**

Protect, preserve and, where desirable, restore or improve the quality of coastal scenic and open space resources.

**Policies:**

(A) Identify valued scenic resources in the coastal zone management area;

(B) Ensure that new developments are compatible with their visual environment
by designing and locating such developments to minimize the alteration of natural landforms and existing public views to and along the shoreline;

(C) Preserve, maintain, and, where desirable, improve and restore shoreline open space and scenic resources; and

(D) Encourage those developments that are not coastal dependent to locate in inland areas.

Response: The project site is currently being utilized as vacant land and is not deemed a scenic resource. The site is also located away from the shoreline and is not part of a scenic view corridor to the shoreline. No substantive adverse impacts to scenic or open space resources are anticipated to result from implementation of the proposed action.

4. Coastal Ecosystems

Objective:

Protect valuable coastal ecosystems, including reefs, from disruption and minimize adverse impacts on all coastal ecosystems.

Policies:

(A) Exercise an overall conservation ethic, and practice stewardship in the protection, use, and development of marine and coastal resources;

(B) Improve the technical basis for natural resource management;

(C) Preserve valuable coastal ecosystems, including reefs, of significant biological or economic importance;

(D) Minimize disruption or degradation of coastal water ecosystems by effective regulation of stream diversions, channelization, and similar land and water uses, recognizing competing water needs; and

(E) Promote water quantity and quality planning and management practices that reflect the tolerance of fresh water and marine ecosystems and maintain and enhance water quality through the development and implementation of point and nonpoint source water pollution control measures.
Response: The proposed project is not anticipated to result in substantive, adverse impacts to coastal ecosystems. Best Management Practices (BMPs) and appropriate drainage design will mitigate potential impacts to the coastal environment.

5. Economic Uses

Objective:

Provide public or private facilities and improvements important to the State's economy in suitable locations.

Policies:

(A) Concentrate coastal dependent development in appropriate areas;

(B) Ensure that coastal dependent development such as harbors and ports, and coastal related development such as visitor industry facilities and energy generating facilities, are located, designed, and constructed to minimize adverse social, visual, and environmental impacts in the coastal zone management area; and

(C) Direct the location and expansion of coastal dependent developments to areas presently designated and used for such developments and permit reasonable long-term growth at such areas, and permit coastal dependent development outside of presently designated areas when:

   (i) Use of presently designated locations is not feasible;

   (ii) Adverse environmental effects are minimized; and

   (iii) The development is important to the State's economy.

Response: The proposed project is not a coastal dependent development. The project will provide short-term economic benefits during the construction-phase, as well as fire protection benefits to area residents during the operational phase.

6. Coastal Hazards

Objective:

Reduce hazard to life and property from tsunami, storm waves, stream flooding, erosion, subsidence and pollution.
Policies:

(A) Develop and communicate adequate information about storm wave, tsunami, flood, erosion, subsidence, and point and nonpoint source pollution hazards;

(B) Control development in areas subject to storm wave, tsunami, flood, erosion, hurricane, wind, subsidence, and point and nonpoint pollution hazards;

(C) Ensure that developments comply with requirements of the Federal Flood Insurance Program; and

(D) Prevent coastal flooding from inland projects.

Response: The subject property is not located in a tsunami zone. It is located in Flood Zone X, an area of minimal flooding action and without developmental restrictions. No significant adverse impacts resulting from storm water drainage are anticipated to adjoining or downstream properties.

7. Managing Development

Objective:

Improve the development review process, communication, and public participation in the management of coastal resources and hazards.

Policies:

(A) Use, implement, and enforce existing law effectively to the maximum extent possible in managing present and future coastal zone development;

(B) Facilitate timely processing of applications for development permits and resolve overlapping of conflicting permit requirements; and

(C) Communicate the potential short and -term impacts of proposed significant coastal developments early in their life-cycle and in terms understandable to the public to facilitate public participation in the planning and review process.

Response: Opportunities for public involvement will be provided as part of the Environmental Assessment process and County-initiated land use entitlement change (DBA/CPA/CIIZ) processes.
8. **Public Participation**

**Objective:**

Stimulate public awareness, education, and participation in coastal management.

**Policies:**

(A) Promote public involvement in coastal zone management processes;

(B) Disseminate information on coastal management issues by means of educational materials, published reports, staff contact, and public workshops for persons and organizations concerned with coastal issues, developments, and government activities; and

(C) Organize workshops, policy dialogues, and site-specific mediations to respond to coastal issues and conflicts.

**Response:** Public awareness and participation are being facilitated through the Chapter 343, HRS process, as well as the County’s land use entitlement review process as discussed previously.

9. **Beach Protection**

**Objective:**

Protect beaches for public use and recreation.

**Policies:**

(A) Locate new structures inland from the shoreline setback to conserve open space, minimize interference with natural shoreline processes, and minimize loss of improvements due to erosion;

(B) Prohibit construction of private erosion-protection structures seaward of the shoreline, except when they result in improved aesthetic and engineering solutions to erosion at the sites and do not interfere with existing recreational and waterline activities; and

(C) Minimize the construction of public erosion-protection structures seaward of the shoreline.

**Response:** The proposed project is located well beyond the vicinity of the shoreline area and will not impact natural beach processes.
10. **Marine Resources**

**Objective:**

Promote the protection, use, and development of marine and coastal resources to assure their sustainability.

**Policies:**

(A) Ensure that the use and development of marine and coastal resources are ecologically and environmentally sound and economically beneficial;

(B) Coordinate the management of marine and coastal resources and activities to improve effectiveness and efficiency;

(C) Assert and articulate the interests of the State as a partner with federal agencies in the sound management of ocean resources within the United States exclusive economic zone;

(D) Promote research, study, and understanding of ocean processes, marine life, and other ocean resources in order to acquire and inventory information necessary to understand how ocean development activities relate to and impact upon ocean and coastal resources; and

(E) Encourage research and development of new, innovative technologies for exploring, using, or protecting marine and coastal resources.

**Response:** The project is not located in the vicinity of the shoreline. The use of appropriate BMPs and the proposed drainage system will mitigate any potential impacts to marine resources.

In addition to the foregoing objectives and policies, Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS) Section 205A-30.5 Prohibitions, provides specifications for the limitation of lighting in coastal shoreline areas in relation to the granting of SMA permits:

No special management area use permit or special management area minor permit shall be granted for structures that allow artificial light from floodlights, uplights, or spotlights used for decorative or aesthetic purposes when the light:

(1) Directly illuminates the shoreline and ocean waters; or

(2) Is directed to travel across property boundaries toward the shoreline and ocean waters.
(b) Subsection (a) shall not apply to special management area use permits for structures with:

(2) Artificial lighting provided by a government agency or its authorized users for government operations, security, public safety, or navigational needs; provided that a government agency or its authorized users shall make reasonable efforts to properly position or shield lights to minimize adverse impacts.

**Response:** The proposed project is located in the Haiku-Pauwela area, a significant distance from the shoreline. No impacts on the shoreline or ocean waters will occur with implementation of the project and its related improvements. The project will comply with applicable requirements of the County’s Outdoor Lighting Ordinance.
IV. SUMMARY OF UNAVOIDABLE IMPACT ON THE ENVIRONMENT AND RESOURCES
IV. SUMMARY OF UNAVOIDABLE IMPACT ON THE ENVIRONMENT AND RESOURCES

Project construction will result in a certain amount of unavoidable construction-related impacts. These impacts include noise-generated impacts and air quality impacts associated with the operation of construction equipment. Air quality will also be temporarily impacted by dust generated from site work. The construction-related impacts will be temporary and mitigated through implementation of appropriate BMPs.

The development of the proposed project will involve the commitment of vacant and undeveloped lands. In addition, the proposed action would involve a commitment of fuel, labor, funding, and material resources. However, the commitment of resources necessary to implement the proposed project will be justified, given the eventual emergency response benefits to be realized through the completion of the new fire station.

In the long term, the construction of the new fire station is not anticipated to create any significant, long-term adverse environmental effects.
V. ALTERNATIVES TO THE PROPOSED ACTION
V. ALTERNATIVES TO THE PROPOSED ACTION

A. PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE

The proposed project involves the development of a fire station in the Haiku area. Currently, residents living in the area are not assured adequate fire protection, as the nearest fire station, located in Paia, is located about six (6) miles away. The new facility would provide much needed fire coverage for the Haiku area and its residents. In addition, the proposed station will conform to Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) standards. As such, the facility aims to be functionally efficient, energy efficient, sustainable, and contextually appropriate for its location. The station’s current proposed location (in the lower elevations of the property) also conforms to the preferences of the Haiku residents expressed during the site selection process for the project. Finally, population studies done on the Paia-Haiku area estimate that the resident population will increase over the next decade. As the population in this region grows, fire protection in turn will need to expand. Establishing necessary infrastructure in the region now will ensure that future fire protection needs are provided for as population in the region grows over time. For these reasons, the proposed project has been selected as the preferred alternative, as it meets both the current and future needs of the Haiku region and its residents.

B. NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE

The “no action” alternative would see the Haiku area continue to remain without adequate fire coverage and would also see the existing site location remain as vacant land. The “no action” alternative would not meet the present and future needs of Haiku residents in providing adequate fire coverage for the area. The existing facilities of the Paia Fire Station are located too far away to swiftly respond to emergency calls in much of the Haiku area. The “no action” alternative would, therefore, not meet the objective of providing residents of the Haiku area with enhanced fire protection services.
C. **DEFERRED ACTION ALTERNATIVE**

A “deferred action” alternative would have similar consequences to the “no action” alternative in terms of leaving the residents of the Haiku area without adequate fire protection. This alternative could also result in higher development costs due to increases in labor and material costs.
VI. SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA ASSESSMENT
VI. SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA ASSESSMENT

The significance criteria of Section 12, of the Administrative Rules of Title 11, Chapter 200, "Environmental Impact Statement Rules", were reviewed and analyzed to determine whether the proposed project will have a significant adverse impact to the environment. The following analysis is provided:

1. **No Irrevocable Commitment to Loss or Destruction of any Natural or Cultural Resources Would Occur as a Result of the Project**

   The project site is currently vacant, while the new waterline will utilize existing County rights-of-way. Furthermore, there are no known rare, threatened or endangered species of flora, fauna, avifauna or important habitats located within the project site. Refer to Appendix "B". No significant natural or cultural resources have been identified on the subject property. Refer to Appendix "C" and Appendix "C-1". Should there be unanticipated finds of culturally significant material during project construction, the State Historic Preservation Division (SHPD) will be notified and appropriate mitigative measures implemented in accordance with SHPD program requirements.

2. **The Proposed Action Would Not Curtail the Range of Beneficial Uses of the Environment**

   The project site is not anticipated to result in adverse environmental impacts. There will be no consequent curtailment of uses of the environment resulting from the proposed action.

3. **The Proposed Action Does Not Conflict with the State's Long-Term Environmental Policies or Goals or Guidelines as Expressed in Chapter 344, Hawaii Revised Statutes**

   The State's Environmental Policy and Guidelines are set forth in Chapter 344, Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS). The proposed action is in consonance with the policies and guidelines of Chapter 344, HRS. The loss of approximately 6.1 acres of agricultural lands is not deemed significant when compared to the public safety needs addressed by the new fire station.
4. **The Economic or Social Welfare of the Community or State Would Not Be Substantially Affected**

The proposed action would provide a direct, short-term economic benefit to the community during the construction phase and enhanced fire protection services to area residents during the operational phase. Implementation of the project will result in lower insurance premiums for surrounding landowners served by the new fire station. There are no adverse long-term economic or social welfare impacts associated with the proposed action.

5. **The Proposed Action Does Not Affect Public Health**

The new fire station would have a direct, positive impact to public health, as the DF&PS will be able to fulfill its goals more capably both in emergency protection and educational outreach. No adverse impacts to public health are anticipated to result from the proposed action.

6. **No Substantial Secondary Impacts, Such as Population Changes or Effects on Public Facilities are Anticipated**

The proposed action is not deemed a population generator. There are also no anticipated adverse effects upon public services, such as police, medical, educational, or waste collection services. Beneficial impacts to fire protection services are anticipated to result from the new fire station.

7. **No Substantial Degradation of Environmental Quality is Anticipated**

During project implementation, appropriate measures such as Best Management Practices (BMPs), will be utilized to mitigate potential adverse environmental impacts. The proposed action will have no substantial impact to environmental quality.

8. **The Proposed Action Does Not Involve a Commitment to Larger Actions, Nor Would Cumulative Impacts Result in Considerable Effects on the Environment**

The proposed action is not part of or linked to any larger action. The proposed project is not anticipated to create any considerable effect upon the environment.
9. **No Rare, Threatened or Endangered Species or Their Habitats Would Be Adversely Affected By the Proposed Action**

There are no identified rare, endangered, or threatened species or habitats within the project vicinity. Thus, there should be no impact from the proposed action.

10. **Air Quality, Water Quality or Ambient Noise Levels Would Not Be Detrimentally Affected by the Proposed Project**

During the construction of the new fire station and new waterline, there may be short-term impacts to air and noise quality. Appropriate BMP’s will be implemented to minimize these short-term impacts, which will not extend into the long term. No impacts to water quality are anticipated.

11. **The Proposed Project Would Not Affect Environmentally Sensitive Areas, Such as Flood Plains, Tsunami Zones, Erosion-prone Areas, Geologically Hazardous Lands, Estuaries, Fresh Waters or Coastal Waters**

The project site is not located within and would not affect environmentally sensitive areas. The Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) for this region indicates that the project is located in Zone X, areas of minimal flooding. In addition, the project site is located beyond the reaches of the tsunami inundation zones. The project is not a shoreline property, nor is it situated near streams, wetland areas or other areas which may pose flooding concerns. Soils underlying the project site are not considered to be erosion-prone. There are no geologically hazardous lands, estuaries, or coastal waters within or adjacent to the project site.

The project site and waterline corridor are not located in or adjacent to any environmentally sensitive areas. Potential impacts to downstream properties will be mitigated through appropriate BMPs during construction-related activities, as well as drainage improvements.

12. **The Proposed Action Would Not Substantially Affect Scenic Views and Viewplanes Identified in County Plans or Studies**

The subject property is currently being utilized as vacant land and is not deemed a scenic resource. The project site is not part of a scenic corridor, either inland or shoreline related. Therefore, the proposed action is not anticipated to result in substantive, adverse impacts to identified scenic vistas or viewplanes. There are no residences or public view areas in the immediate area that would have viewplanes
impacted by the proposed structures.

13. **The Proposed Action Would Not Require Substantial Energy Consumption**

The proposed action will involve the short-term commitment of fuel for equipment, vehicles, and machinery during construction activities. However, this is not anticipated to result in any substantial consumption of energy. In addition, the proposed fire station project will obtain certification through the Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) Green Building Rating System. To achieve LEED certification, the following sustainable design objectives will be considered for implementation:

- Sensitive and appropriate site development
- Innovative wastewater treatment strategies
- Storm water treatment strategies
- Rainwater harvesting
- Water reuse
- Water efficient domestic use and landscaping
- High levels of energy efficiency
- Enhanced commissioning
- On site renewable energy using Photovoltaics/wind turbines
- Green Power
- Construction waste diversion away from landfills
- Construction Indoor Air Quality Management
- Low, or no Volatile Organic Compound (VOC) materials
- Daylighting and views
- Controllability of systems
- Recycled, regional, and rapidly renewable materials
- Sustainable maintenance and grounds keeping practices.

For these reasons, the new fire station is not anticipated to require substantial energy consumption in the long term.

In conclusion, based on the foregoing findings, the proposed action is anticipated to result in a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI).
VII. LIST OF PERMITS AND APPROVALS
VII. LIST OF PERMITS AND APPROVALS

The following permits and approvals will be required prior to the implementation of the project.

Federal

1. Section 404 Department of Army Permit, as applicable (waterline gulch crossing only).

State of Hawaii

1. State Land Use Commission District Boundary Amendment (DBA) for 6.1-acre project site
2. Construction Permits (Work to Perform Within State Highway)
3. National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit, as applicable
4. Community Noise Permit, if applicable
5. Section 401 Water Quality Certification, as applicable (waterline gulch crossing only).
6. Coastal Zone Management Consistency Determination, as applicable (waterline gulch crossing only)
7. Stream Channel Alteration Permit, as applicable (waterline gulch crossing)

County of Maui

1. Community Plan Amendment (CPA) for 6.1-acre project site
2. County Change in Zoning (CIZ) for 6.1-acre project site
3. Construction Permits (Grubbing, Grading and Work to Perform on County Highway)
VIII. AGENCIES CONSULTED DURING THE PREPARATION OF THE DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT; LETTERS RECEIVED AND RESPONSES TO SUBSTANTIVE COMMENTS
VIII. AGENCIES CONSULTED DURING THE PREPARATION OF THE DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT; LETTERS RECEIVED AND RESPONSES TO SUBSTANTIVE COMMENTS

The following agencies were consulted during the preparation of the Draft Environmental Assessment. Comment letters received, as well as responses to substantive comments, are contained in this chapter.

1. Larry Yamamoto, State Conservationist  
   U.S. Department of Agriculture  
   Natural Resources Conservation Service  
   P.O. Box 50004  
   Honolulu, Hawaii 96850-0001

2. Ranae Ganske-Cerizo, Soil Conservationist  
   Natural Resources Conservation Service  
   U.S. Department of Agriculture  
   77 Hookele Street, Suite 202  
   Kahului, Hawaii 96732

3. George Young  
   Chief, Regulatory Branch  
   U.S. Department of the Army  
   U.S. Army Engineer District, Honolulu  
   Regulatory Branch  
   Building 230  
   Fort Shafter, Hawaii 96858-5440

4. Dave Wesley, Deputy Regional Director  
   U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
   Pacific Region  
   911 NE 11th Avenue  
   Portland, Oregon 97232

5. Patrick Leonard  
   Field Supervisor  
   U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
   300 Ala Moana Blvd., Rm. 3-122  
   Box 50088  
   Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

6. Russ K. Saito, State Comptroller  
   Department of Accounting and General Services  
   1151 Punchbowl Street, #426  
   Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

7. Sandra Lee Kunimoto, Chair  
   Department of Agriculture  
   1428 South King Street  
   Honolulu, Hawaii 96814-2512

8. Theodore E. Liu, Director  
   State of Hawaii  
   Department of Business, Economic Development & Tourism  
   P.O. Box 2359  
   Honolulu, Hawaii 96804

9. Patricia Hamamoto, Superintendent  
   State of Hawaii  
   Department of Education  
   P.O. Box 2360  
   Honolulu, Hawaii 96804
10. Heidi Meeker  
Planning Division  
Office of Business Services  
Department of Education  
c/o Kalani High School  
4680 Kalanianaoa Highway, #T-B1A  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96821  

cc: Bruce Anderson, Complex  
Area Superintendent (Central/Upcountry Maui)

11. Kaulana Park, Chairman  
Department of Hawaiian Home Lands  
P. O. Box 1879  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96805

12. Chiyo Fuku, M.D., Director  
State of Hawaii  
Department of Health  
919 Ala Moana Blvd., Room 300  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96814

13. Alec Wong, P.E., Chief  
Clean Water Branch  
State of Hawaii  
Department of Health  
919 Ala Moana Blvd., Room 300  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96814

14. Patti Kitkowski  
Acting District Environmental Health  
Program Chief  
State of Hawaii  
Department of Health  
54 High Street  
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

15. Laura Thielen, Chairperson  
State of Hawaii  
Department of Land and Natural Resources  
P. O. Box 621  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96809

16. Dr. Puualaoalani Aiu, Administrator  
State of Hawaii  
Department of Land and Natural Resources  
State Historic Preservation Division  
601 Kamokila Blvd., Room 555  
Kapolei, Hawaii 96707

17. Brennon Morioka, Director  
State of Hawaii  
Department of Transportation  
869 Punchbowl Street  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

cc: Fred Cajigal

18. Major General Robert G.S. Lee, Director  
Hawaii State Civil Defense  
3949 Diamond Head Road  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96816-4495

19. Katherine Kealoha, Director  
Office of Environmental Quality Control  
235 S. Beretania Street, Suite 702  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

20. Clyde Namu'o, Administrator  
Office of Hawaiian Affairs  
711 Kapiolani Boulevard, Suite 500  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

21. Abbey Seth Mayer, Director  
State of Hawaii  
Office of Planning  
P.O. Box 2359  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96804

22. Dan Davidson, Executive Officer  
State of Hawaii  
State Land Use Commission  
P.O. Box 2359  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96804

23. Charmaine Tavares, Mayor  
County of Maui  
200 South High Street  
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

24. Deidre Tegarden, Director  
County of Maui  
Office of Economic Development  
2200 Main Street, Suite 305  
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

25. Gen Inuma, Administrator  
Maui Civil Defense Agency  
200 South High Street  
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793
26. Jeffrey A. Murray, Fire Chief
County of Maui
Department of Fire
and Public Safety
200 Dairy Road
Kahului, Hawaii 96732

27. Lori Tsuhako, Director
County of Maui
Department of Housing and
Human Concerns
One Main Plaza
2200 Main Street, Suite 546
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

28. Tamara Horcajo, Director
County of Maui
Department of Parks and Recreation
700 Halia Nakoa Street, Unit 2
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

29. Jeffrey Hunt, Director
County of Maui
Department of Planning
250 South High Street
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

30. Gary Yabuta, Chief
County of Maui
Police Department
55 Mahalani Street
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

31. Milton Arakawa, Director
County of Maui
Department of Public Works
200 South High Street
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

32. Cheryl Okuma, Director
County of Maui
Department of Environmental Management
One Main Plaza
2200 Main Street, Suite 100
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

33. Donald Medeiros, Director
County of Maui
Department of Transportation
200 South High Street
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

34. Jeffrey Eng, Director
County of Maui
Department of Water Supply
200 South High Street
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

35. Danny Mateo, Council Chair
Maui County Council
200 South High Street
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

36. Michael J. Molina, Council Vice Chair
Maui County Council
200 South High Street
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

37. Councilmember Gladys Baisa
Maui County Council
200 South High Street
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

38. Councilmember Jo Anne Johnson
Maui County Council
200 South High Street
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

39. Councilmember Sol Kahoolahala
Maui County Council
200 South High Street
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

40. Councilmember Bill Medeiros
Maui County Council
200 South High Street
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

41. Councilmember Wayne Nishiki
Maui County Council
200 South High Street
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

42. Councilmember Joseph Pontanilla
Maui County Council
200 South High Street
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

43. Councilmember Mike Victorino
Maui County Council
200 South High Street
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793
44. Hawaiian Telcom  
60 South Church Street  
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

45. Greg Kauhi, Manager, Customer Operations  
Maui Electric Company, Ltd.  
P.O. Box 398  
Kahului, Hawaii 96733

46. Haiku Community Association  
P. O. Box 1036  
Haiku, Hawaii 96708

47. Paia Main Street Association  
c/o Jocelyn Perreira, Executive Director  
Wailuku Main Street Association  
1942 Main Street, Unit 101  
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793
December 7, 2009

Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc.
Mark Alexander Roy, AICP, Project manager
305 High Street, Suite 104
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

Dear Mr. Roy,

Thank you for providing the NRCS the opportunity to review the Early Consultation Request for Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements at Haiku, Maui. Please find enclosed the NRCS Soil Survey Map, soil reports, and a map indicating areas of Important Farmlands. The Important Farmlands map has been enclosed for your aid in determining if an AD-1006 form, Farmland Impact Conversion Rating Form is needed for this project. Typically, this form is required on projects that convert farmlands into non-farmland uses, and have federal dollars attached to the project. See the website link below for more information on the Farmland Protection Policy Act, and a copy of the AD-1006 form, with instructions. The soil mapping does not identify any hydric soils in this project area. Hydric soils identify potential areas of wetlands. If wetlands do exist, any proposed impacts to these wetlands would need to demonstrate compliance with the “Clean Water Act”, and may need an Army Corp of Engineers 404 permit.

The enclosed Soil Survey Map identifies all soil map units in the project area. The soil reports provide selected soil properties and interpretations, i.e. Small Commercial Buildings, soil layers with USDA textures, and engineering classifications. The limitation ratings for the selected uses, i.e. Small Commercial Buildings and Local Roads and Streets are Severe and Very Limited respectively. These ratings do not preclude the intended land use, however they do identify potential limitations for the use, which may require corrective measures, increase costs, and/or require continued maintenance.

The NRCS Soil Survey is a general planning tool and does not eliminate the need for an onsite investigation. If you have any questions concerning the soils or interpretations for this project please call, Tony Rolfes, Assistant State Soil Scientist, (808) 541-2600 x129, or email, Tony.Rolfes@hi.usda.gov.


Sincerely,

[Signature]

LAWRENCE T. YAMAMOTO
Director, Pacific Islands Area

Cc Michael Robotham, Asst. Director for Soil Science and Natural Resource Assessments

Enclosures: 5 pages

Helping People Help the Land
An Equal Opportunity Provider and Employer
## Map Unit Legend

**Island of Maui, Hawaii**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map symbol</th>
<th>Map unit name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HbC</td>
<td>Haiku clay, 7 to 15 percent slopes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Engineering Properties

Island of Maui, Hawaii

[Absence of an entry indicates that the data were not estimated. This report shows only the major soils in each map unit]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map symbol and soil name</th>
<th>Depth</th>
<th>USDA texture</th>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Fragments</th>
<th>Percent passing sieve number--</th>
<th>Liquid limit</th>
<th>Plasticity index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unified</td>
<td>AASHTO</td>
<td>&gt;10 Inches</td>
<td>3-10 Inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbC: Haiku</td>
<td>0-14</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>MH-O (proposed)</td>
<td>A-7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14-66</td>
<td>Clay, silty clay</td>
<td>MH-O (proposed)</td>
<td>A-7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Selected Soil Interpretations

Island of Maui, Hawaii

[The information in this table indicates the dominant soil condition but does not eliminate the need for onsite investigation. The table shows only the top five limitations for any given soil. The soil may have additional limitations. This report shows only the major soils in each map unit]

*This soil interpretation was designed as a "limitation" as opposed to a "potential" or "suitability". The numbers in the value column range from 0.01 to 1.00. The larger the value, the greater the potential limitation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map symbol and soil name</th>
<th>Pct. of map unit</th>
<th>ENG - Small Commercial Buildings (HI)*</th>
<th>ENG - Local Roads and Streets*</th>
<th>URB/REC - Lawn, Landscape, Golf Fairway (HI)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HbC: Haiku</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>Very limited</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Slopes &gt; 8%</td>
<td>Low strength</td>
<td>Clay in surface &gt;= 40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Slope</td>
<td>Slopes 8 to 15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Surface pH from 3.5 to 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
December 7, 2010

Lawrence Yamamoto, Director, Pacific Islands Area
Natural Resources Conservation Service
P. O. Box 50004, Room 4-118
Honolulu, Hawaii 96850

SUBJECT: Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements at TMK
(2)2-7-007:008 (por.), Haiku, Maui

Dear Mr. Yamamoto:

Thank you for your letter dated December 7, 2009, responding to our Chapter 343, Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS) early consultation request for the subject project. On behalf of the applicant, the County of Maui, Department of Fire and Public Safety, we offer the following information in response to the comments noted in your letter:

Comment No. 1:

Please find enclosed the NRCS Soil Survey Map, soil reports, and a map indicating areas of Important Farmlands.

Response: Thank you for your helpful assistance in providing us with a National Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), Soil Survey Map, soil reports, and a map indicating areas of Important Farmlands. We look forward to your continued support in completion of this project.

Comment No. 2:

The Important Farmlands map has been enclosed for your aid in determining if an AD-1006 form, Farmland Impact Conversion Rating Form is needed for this project. Typically, this form is required on projects that convert farmlands into non-farmland uses, and have federal dollars attached to the project. See the website link below for more information on the Farmland Protection Policy Act, and a copy of the AD-1006 form, with instructions. NRCS - Farmland Protection Policy Act Website: http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/programs/fppa/
Response: We acknowledge your recommendation to utilize the Important Farmlands map to determine if an AD-1006 form, Farmland Impact Conversion Rating Form is needed for this project. The applicant notes that should federal funds be used for the project, the necessary form will be submitted to your office.

Comment No. 3:

The soil mapping does not identify any hydric soils in this project area. Hydric soils identify potential areas of wetlands. If wetlands do exist, any proposed impacts to these wetlands would need to demonstrate compliance with the "Clean Water Act", and may need an Army Corp of Engineers 404 permit.

Response: We note your comments regarding potential Section 404, Clean Water Act requirements for the project. The applicant notes that there are no wetlands or potential areas of wetlands within, or adjacent to the project site. Therefore, impacts to wetlands or potential areas of wetlands are not anticipated from the project.

Comment No. 4:

The enclosed Soil Survey Map identifies all soil map units in the project area. The soil reports provide selected soil properties and interpretations, i.e. Small Commercial Buildings, soil layers with USDA textures, and engineering classifications. The limitation ratings for the selected uses, i.e. Small Commercial Buildings and Local Roads and Streets are Severe and Very Limited respectively. These ratings do not preclude the intended land use, however they do identify potential limitations for the use, which may require corrective measures, increase costs, and/or require continued maintenance.

The NRCS Soil Survey is a general planning tool and does not eliminate the need for an onsite investigation.

Response: Thank you for providing us with a Soil Survey Map. A map and a description of the soils conditions relating to the project site will be included in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA).

We appreciate the input provided by your office and will include a copy of your letter in the Draft EA for the project.
Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (808) 244-2015.

Very truly yours,

Mark Alexander Roy, AICP
Program Manager

MAR:yp
cc:  Lee Mainaga, County of Maui, Department of Fire and Public Safety
     Wendy Taomoto, County of Maui, Department of Management
     Kathleen Aoki, County of Maui, Department of Planning
     Terry McFarland, Architects Hawaii Limited
     Diane Kodama, AECOM Pacific, Inc.
December 7, 2009

Mr. Mark Alexander Roy
AICP, Project Manager
Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc.
305 High St., Suite 104
Wailuku, HI 96793

Subject: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements at TMK (2) 2-7-007: 008 (por.), Haiku, Maui, Hawaii

Dear Mr. Roy:

We have no comments at this time.

Sincerely,

Ranae Ganske-Cerizo
District Conservationist
Regulatory Branch

Mark Alexander Roy, AICP
Project Manager
Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc.
305 High Street, Suite 104
Wailuku, HI 96793

Dear Mr Roy:

This responds to your request for written comments for a draft Environmental Assessment (dEA) which will address activities and impacts of the proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements located on portions of a 6.1-acre parcel identified as TMK: 227007008, Haiku, Maui.

The dEA should indicate whether waters of the United States, as typically represented by perennial or intermittent streams and wetlands, are in, or adjacent to, or absent from, the proposed project area. The dEA should state in appropriate sections whether there is the potential for waters of the U.S. to be impacted by construction of project structures and associated ground disturbing activities. Upon our receipt of the dEA, we will provide a determination whether a Department of Army (DA) permit for Section 404 activities of the Clean Water Act may, or may not be, required for the proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements project.

Section 404 requires that a DA permit be obtained for the placement or discharge of dredged and/or fill material into waters of the U.S., including wetlands, prior to conducting the work (33 U.S.C. 1344). For regulatory purposes, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) defines wetlands as those areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or groundwater at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions. The area of Corps jurisdiction under Section 404 extends to the Ordinary High Water Mark (OHWM) for navigable waters other than the Pacific Ocean, and to the upland boundary of any adjacent wetlands.

Thank you for your consideration of potential impacts to the aquatic environment of the Haiku watershed. Please contact Mr. Farley Watanabe of my staff at 438-7701, facsimile 438-4060, or by email at Farley.K.Watanabe@usace.army.mil if you have any questions or need additional information. Please refer to File Number POH-2009-00341 in any future correspondence with us.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

George P. Young, P.E.
Chief, Regulatory Branch
George P. Young, P.E., Chief
Regulatory Branch
U. S. Army Corps of Engineers
Honolulu District,
Department of Army
Building 230
Fort Shafter, Hawaii 96858-5440

SUBJECT: Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements at TMK
(2)2-7-007:008 (por.), Haiku, Maui (POH-2009-00341)

Dear Mr. Young:

Thank you for your letter dated December 9, 2009, responding to our Chapter 343, Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS) early consultation request for the subject project. On behalf of the applicant, the County of Maui, Department of Fire and Public Safety, we offer the following information in response to the comments noted in your letter:

Comment No. 1:

The DEA should indicate whether waters of the United States, as typically represented by perennial or intermittent streams and wetlands, are in, or adjacent to, or absent from, the proposed project area. The DEA should state in appropriate sections whether there is the potential for waters of the U.S. to be impacted by construction of project structures and associated ground disturbing activities. Upon our receipt of the DEA, we will provide a determination whether a Department of Army DEA permit for Section 404 activities of the Clean Water Act may, or may not be, required for the proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements project.

Response: The Draft Environmental Assessment (EA) will include information on existing site conditions and potential impacts to waters of the United States from the proposed project.
Comment No. 2:

Section 404 requires that a DA permit be obtained for the placement or discharge of dredged and/or fill material into waters of the U.S., including wetlands, prior to conducting the work (33 U.S.C. 1344). For regulatory purposes, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) defines wetlands as those areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or groundwater at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions. The area of Corps jurisdiction under Section 404 extends to the Ordinary High Water Mark (OHWM) for navigable waters other than the Pacific Ocean, and to the upland boundary of any adjacent wetlands.

Response: We note your comment regarding the need to obtain a Department of the Army (DA) permit for any discharge of dredged and/or fill material into waters of the U.S. The applicant looks forward to receiving the Section 404 determination from your office following review of the Draft EA and will comply with any DA permit requirements for the project, as applicable.

We appreciate the input provided by your office and will include a copy of your letter in the Draft EA for the project.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (808) 244-2015.

Very truly yours,

Mark Alexander Roy, AICP
Program Manager

MAR:yp
cc:  Lee Mainaga, County of Maui, Department of Fire and Public Safety
     Wendy Taomoto, County of Maui, Department of Management
     Kathleen Aoki, County of Maui, Department of Planning
     Terry McFarland, Architects Hawaii Limited
     Diane Kodama, AECOM Pacific, Inc.
Mr. Mark Alexander Roy, AICP
Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc.
305 High Street, Suite 104
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

Dear Mr. Roy:

Subject: Early Consultation Request
Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements
Haiku, Island of Maui, Hawaii
TMK: (2) 2-7-007:008

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments for the subject project. The proposed project does not impact any of the Department of Accounting and General Services’ projects or existing facilities, and we have no comments to offer at this time.

If you have any questions, please call me at 586-0400 or have your staff call Mr. David DePonte of the Public Works Division at 586-0492.

Sincerely,

RUSS K. SAITO
State Comptroller

Cc: Ms. Katherine Kealoha, DOH OEQC
Mr. David Victor, DAGS – Maui
November 30, 2009

Mr. Mark Alexander Roy, Project Manager
Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc.
305 High Street, Suite 104
Wailuku, Hawai‘i 96793

Dear Mr. Roy:

Subject: Early Consultation for the Proposed Haiku Fire Station
Haiku, Maui TMK: 2-27-007: por 008

The Department of Education has no comment or concern.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. If you have any questions, please call Heidi Meeker of the Facilities Development Branch at (808) 377-8301.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]
Patricia Hamamoto
Superintendent

PH:jmb

c: Randolph Moore, Assistant Superintendent, OSFSS
Lindsay Ball, CAS, Hana/Lahainaluna/Lanai/Molokai Complex Areas
December 8, 2009

Mr. Mark Alexander Roy,
Project Manager
Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc.
305 High Street, Suite 104
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

Dear Mr. Roy:

Subject: Comments for Early Consultation Request for Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements at TMK: (2)2-7-007:008 (Por.), Haiku, Maui

This letter is to inform you that the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands (DHHL) has received your letter of November 17, 2009 regarding the County of Maui’s request to construct a new fire station in Haiku.

After a review of your proposed action by our Land Management Division, it is believed that Hawaiian home lands will not be directly impacted by this project. However, the department supports your efforts to improve fire and emergency services to the area. The department has no significant comments to offer at this time. Please keep us informed of your progress.

Mahalo for the opportunity to provide our comments.

Should you have any questions, please call Todd Gray, Land Agent, at (808) 620-9460.

Aloha and mahalo,

Kaulana H. R. Park, Chairman
Hawaiian Homes Commission
Mr. Mark Alexander Roy, AICP  
Project Manager  
Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc.  
305 High Street, Suite 104  
Wailuku, Hawaii  96793

Dear Mr. Roy:

SUBJECT: Early Consultation Request for Proposed  
Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements  
TMK Nos. (2) 2-7-007:008 (Por.)  
Haiku, Island of Maui, Hawaii

The Department of Health, Clean Water Branch (CWB), has reviewed the subject document and offers these comments on your project.

Please note that our review is based solely on the information provided in the subject document and its compliance with the Hawaii Administrative Rules (HAR), Chapters 11-54 and 11-55. You may be responsible for fulfilling additional requirements related to our program. We recommend that you also read our standard comments on our website at:  

1. Any project and its potential impacts to State waters must meet the following criteria:

   a. Antidegradation policy (HAR, Section 11-54-1.1), which requires that the existing uses and the level of water quality necessary to protect the existing uses of the receiving State water be maintained and protected.

   b. Designated uses (HAR, Section 11-54-3), as determined by the classification of the receiving State waters.

   c. Water quality criteria (HAR, Sections 11-54-4 through 11-54-8).
2. You are required to obtain a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit for discharges of wastewater, including storm water runoff, into State surface waters (HAR, Chapter 11-55). For the following types of discharges into Class A or Class 2 State waters, you may apply for an NPDES general permit coverage by submitting a Notice of Intent (NOI) form:

a. Storm water associated with construction activities, including clearing, grading, and excavation, that result in the disturbance of equal to or greater than one (1) acre of total land area. The total land area includes a contiguous area where multiple separate and distinct construction activities may be taking place at different times on different schedules under a larger common plan of development or sale. An NPDES permit is required before the start of the construction activities.

b. Hydrotesting water.

c. Construction dewatering effluent.

You must submit a separate NOI form for each type of discharge at least 30 calendar days prior to the start of the discharge activity, except when applying for coverage for discharges of storm water associated with construction activity. For this type of discharge, the NOI must be submitted 30 calendar days before to the start of construction activities. The NOI forms may be picked up at our office or downloaded from our website at: http://www.hawaii.gov/health/environmental/water/cleanwater/forms/genl-index.html.

3. For types of wastewater not listed in Item No. 2 above or wastewater discharging into Class 1 or Class AA waters, you may need an NPDES individual permit. An application for an NPDES individual permit must be submitted at least 180 calendar days before the commencement of the discharge. The NPDES application forms may be picked up at our office or downloaded from our website at: http://www.hawaii.gov/health/environmental/water/cleanwater/forms/indiv-index.html.

4. Please note that all discharges related to the project construction or operation activities, whether or not NPDES permit coverage and/or Section 401 Water Quality Certification are required, must comply with the State’s Water Quality Standards. Noncompliance with water quality requirements contained in HAR, Chapter 11-54, and/or permitting requirements, specified in HAR, Chapter 11-55, may be subject to penalties of $25,000 per day per violation.
If you have any questions, please visit our website at: http://www.hawaii.gov/health/environmental/water/cleanwater/index.html, or contact the Engineering Section, CWB, at (808) 586-4309.

Sincerely,

ALEC WONG, P.E., CHIEF
Clean Water Branch

JF:rg
Alec Wong, P.E., Chief
Clean Water Branch
Department of Health
State of Hawaii
P.O. Box 3378
Honolulu, Hawaii 96801-3378

SUBJECT: Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements at TMK (2)2-7-007:008 (por.), Haiku, Maui (12043PJF.09)

Dear Mr. Wong:

Thank you for your letter dated December 10, 2009, responding to our Chapter 343, Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS) early consultation request for the subject project. On behalf of the applicant, the County of Maui, Department of Fire and Public Safety, we offer the following information in response to the comments noted in your letter:

Comment No. 1:

Any project and its potential impacts to State waters must meet the following criteria:

a. Antidegradation policy (HAR, Section 11-54-1.1), which requires that the existing uses and the level of water quality necessary to protect the existing uses of the receiving State water be maintained and protected.

b. Designated uses (HAR, Section 11-54-3), as determined by the classification of the receiving State waters.

c. Water quality criteria (HAR, Sections 11-54-4 through 11-54-8).

Response: We note your comments that the proposed project must meet the criteria set forth in Sections 11-54-1.1 (Antidegradation Policy), 11-54-3 (Designated Uses) and 11-54-4 through 11-54-8 (Water Quality Criteria) of the Hawaii Administrative Rules (HAR).
Comment No. 2:

You are required to obtain a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit for discharges of wastewater, including storm water runoff, into State surface waters (HAR, Chapter 11-55). For the following types of discharges into Class A or Class 2 State waters, you may apply for an NPDES general permit coverage by submitting a Notice of Intent (NOI) form:

a. Storm water associated with construction activities, including clearing, grading, and excavation, that result in the disturbance of equal to or greater than one (1) acre of total land area. The total land area includes a contiguous area where multiple separate and distinct construction activities may be taking place at different times on different schedules under a larger common plan of development or sale. An NPDES permit is required before the start of the construction activities.

b. Hydrotesting water.

c. Construction dewatering effluent.

Response: The applicant's civil engineer will coordinate with the Clean Water Branch to address applicable NPDES permit requirements for the project.

Comment No. 3:

For types of wastewater not listed in Item No.2 above or wastewater discharging into Class 1 or Class AA waters, you may need an NPDES individual permit. An application for an NPDES individual permit must be submitted at least 180 calendar days before the commencement of the discharge. The NPDES application forms may be picked up at our office or downloaded from our website at: http://www.hawaii.gov/health/environmental/water/cleanwater/forms/indiv-index.html.

Response: As noted above, the applicant's civil engineer will coordinate with the Clean Water Branch to address applicable NPDES individual permit requirements for the project.

Comment No. 4:

Please note that all discharges related to the project construction or operation activities, whether or not NPDES permit coverage and/or Section 401 Water Quality Certification are required, must comply with the State's Water Quality Standards. Noncompliance with water quality requirements contained in HAR, Chapter 11-54, and/or permitting
requirements, specified in HAR, Chapter 11-55, may be subject to penalties of $25,000 per day per violation.

Response: The project will comply with all applicable State Water Quality Standards as specified in Chapter 11-54, HAR.

We appreciate the input provided by your office and will include a copy of your letter in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA) for the project.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (808) 244-2015.

Very truly yours,

Mark Alexander Roy, AICP
Program Manager

MAR:yp
cc: Lee Mainaga, County of Maui, Department of Fire and Public Safety
    Wendy Taomoto, County of Maui, Department of Management
    Kathleen Aoki, County of Maui, Department of Planning
    Terry McFarland, Architects Hawaii Limited
    Diane Kodama, AECOM Pacific, Inc.
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December 3, 2009

Mr. Mark Alexander Roy, AICP
Project Manager
Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc.
305 High Street, Suite 104
Wailuku, Hawai‘i 96793

Dear Mr. Roy:

Subject: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements, Haiku Maui. Hawaii
TMK: (2) 2-7-007:008 (Por.)

Thank you for giving us the opportunity to review and comment on this project. The following comments are offered:

1. The noise created during the construction phase of the project may exceed the maximum allowable levels as set forth in Hawaii Administrative Rules, Chapter 11-46 “Community Noise Control”. A noise permit may be required and should be obtained before the commencement of this project.

2. National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit coverage may be required for this project. The Clean Water Branch should be contacted at 808 586-4309.

It is strongly recommended that the Standard Comments found at the Department’s website: http://hawaii.gov/health/environmental/env-planning/landuse/landuse.html be reviewed, and any comments specifically applicable to this project should be adhered to.

Should you have any questions, please call me at 808 984-8230 or e-mail me at patricia.kitkowski@doh.hawaii.gov.

Sincerely,

Patti Kitkowski
Acting District Environmental Health Program Chief
December 7, 2010

Patti Kitkowski, Acting District
Environmental Health Program Chief
Department of Health
Maui District Health Office
54 High Street
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793-2102

SUBJECT: Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements at TMK (2)2-7-007:008 (por.), Haiku, Maui

Dear Ms. Kitkowski:

Thank you for your letter dated December 3, 2009, responding to our Chapter 343, Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS) early consultation request for the subject project. On behalf of the applicant, the County of Maui, Department of Fire and Public Safety, we offer the following information in response to the comments noted in your letter:

Comment No. 1:

The noise created during the construction phase of the project may exceed the maximum allowable levels as set forth in Hawaii Administrative Rules, Chapter 11-46 "Community Noise Control". A noise permit may be required and should be obtained before the commencement of this project.

Response: Pursuant to Hawaii Administrative Rules (HAR), Chapter 11-46, "Community Noise Control", a noise permit will be secured prior to commencement of construction, as applicable.

Comment No. 2:

National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit coverage may be required for this project. The Clean Water Branch should be contacted at 808 586-4309.
Response: The applicant’s civil engineer will coordinate with the Clean Water Branch to address applicable NPDES permit requirements for the project.

We appreciate the input provided by your office and will include a copy of your letter in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA) for the project.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at 244-2015.

Very truly yours,

Mark Alexander Roy, AICP
Program Manager

MAR:yp
cc: Lee Mainaga, County of Maui, Department of Fire and Public Safety
    Wendy Taomoto, County of Maui, Department of Management
    Kathleen Aoki, County of Maui, Department of Planning
    Terry McFarland, Architects Hawaii Limited
    Diane Kodama, AECOM Pacific, Inc.
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December 8, 2009

Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc.
305 High Street Suite 104
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

Attention: Mr. Mark Alexander Roy, AICP

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Subject: Early Consultation for Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements

Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on the subject matter. The Department of Land and Natural Resources' (DLNR), Land Division distributed or made available a copy of your report pertaining to the subject matter to DLNR Divisions for their review and comment.

Other than the comments from Commission on Water Resource Management, the Department of Land and Natural Resources has no other comments to offer on the subject matter. Should you have any questions, please feel free to call our office at 587-0433. Thank you.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Morris M. Atta
Administrator
TO: Morris Atta, Administrator  
Land Division

FROM: Ken C. Kawahara, P.E., Deputy Director  
Commission on Water Resource Management

SUBJECT: Proposed Haiku Fire Station, Maui

FILE NO.: N/A  
TMK NO.: (2) 2-7-007:008 (por)

Thank you for the opportunity to review the subject document. The Commission on Water Resource Management (CWRM) is the agency responsible for administering the State Water Code (Code). Under the Code, all waters of the State are held in trust for the benefit of the citizens of the State, therefore, all water use is subject to legally protected water rights. CWRM strongly promotes the efficient use of Hawaii's water resources through conservation measures and appropriate resource management. For more information, please refer to the State Water Code, Chapter 174C, Hawaii Revised Statutes, and Hawaii Administrative Rules, Chapters 13-167 to 13-171. These documents are available via the Internet at http://www.hawaii.gov/dlnr/cwrm.

Our comments related to water resources are checked off below.

☐ 1. We recommend coordination with the county to incorporate this project into the county's Water Use and Development Plan. Please contact the respective Planning Department and/or Department of Water Supply for further information.

☐ 2. We recommend coordination with the Engineering Division of the State Department of Land and Natural Resources to incorporate this project into the State Water Projects Plan.

☐ 3. We recommend coordination with the Hawaii Department of Agriculture (HDOA) to incorporate the reclassification of agricultural zoned land and the redistribution of agricultural resources into the State's Agricultural Water Use and Development Plan (AWUDP). Please contact the HDOA for more information.

☐ 4. We recommend that water efficient fixtures be installed and water efficient practices implemented throughout the development to reduce the increased demand on the area's freshwater resources. Reducing the water usage of a home or building may earn credit towards Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) certification. More information on LEED certification is available at http://www.usgbc.org/leed. A listing of fixtures certified by the EPA as having high water efficiency can be found at http://www.epa.gov/watersense/pp/index.htm.

☐ 5. We recommend the use of best management practices (BMP) for stormwater management to minimize the impact of the project to the existing area's hydrology while maintaining on-site infiltration and preventing polluted runoff from storm events. Stormwater management BMPs may earn credit toward LEED certification. More information on stormwater BMPs can be found at http://hawaii.gov/dbedt/czm/initiative/lid.php.
6. We recommend the use of alternative water sources, wherever practicable.

7. There may be the potential for ground or surface water degradation/contamination and recommend that approvals for this project be conditioned upon a review by the State Department of Health and the developer's acceptance of any resulting requirements related to water quality.

Permits required by CWRM:
Additional information and forms are available at http://hawaii.gov/dlnr/cwrm/resources_permits.htm.

8. The proposed water supply source for the project is located in a designated water management area, and a Water Use Permit is required prior to use of water.

9. A Well Construction Permit(s) is (are) required any well construction work begins.

10. A Pump Installation Permit(s) is (are) required before ground water is developed as a source of supply for the project.

11. There is (are) well(s) located on or adjacent to this project. If wells are not planned to be used and will be affected by any new construction, they must be properly abandoned and sealed. A permit for well abandonment must be obtained.

12. Ground water withdrawals from this project may affect streamflows, which may require an instream flow standard amendment.

13. A Stream Channel Alteration Permit(s) is (are) required before any alteration(s) can be made to the bed and/or banks of a stream channel.

14. A Stream Diversion Works Permit(s) is (are) required before any stream diversion works is (are) constructed or altered.

15. A Petition to Amend the Interim Instream Flow Standard is required for any new or expanded diversion(s) of surface water.

16. The planned source of water for this project has not been identified in this report. Therefore, we cannot determine what permits or petitions are required from our office, or whether there are potential impacts to water resources.

OTHER:

If there are any questions, please contact Charley Ice at 587-0218.
Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc.
305 High Street Suite 104
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

Attention: Mr. Mark Alexander Roy, AICP

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Subject: Early Consultation for Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements

Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on the subject matter. The Department of Land and Natural Resources' (DLNR), Land Division distributed or made available a copy of your report pertaining to the subject matter to Division of Aquatic Resources and Division of Forestry & Wildlife for their review and comment.

The Department of Land and Natural Resources has no other comments to offer on the subject matter. Should you have any questions, please feel free to call our office at 587-0433. Thank you.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Morris M. Atta
Administrator
MEMORANDUM

TO:  
   DLNR Agencies:
      x  Div. of Aquatic Resources
      x  Div. of Boating & Ocean Recreation
      x  Engineering Division
      x  Div. of Forestry & Wildlife
      x  Div. of State Parks
      x  Commission on Water Resource Management
      x  Office of Conservation & Coastal Lands
      x  Land Division —
      x  Historic Preservation

FROM:  Morris M. Atta

SUBJECT:  Early Consultation for Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements

LOCATION:  Island of Maui

APPLICANT:  Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc. on behalf of County of Maui, Department of Fire & Public Safety

Transmitted for your review and comment on the above referenced document. We would appreciate your comments on this document. Please submit any comments by December 6, 2009.

If no response is received by this date, we will assume your agency has no comments. If you have any questions about this request, please contact my office at 587-0433. Thank you.

Attachments

(  ) We have no objections.
(  ) We have no comments.
(  ) Comments are attached.

Signed:  
Date:  12/4/09
MEMORANDUM

TO: DLNR Agencies:
- Div. of Aquatic Resources
- Div. of Boating & Ocean Recreation
- Engineering Division
- Div. of Forestry & Wildlife
- Div. of State Parks
- Commission on Water Resource Management
- Office of Conservation & Coastal Lands
- Land Division - Historic Preservation

FROM: Morris M. Atta

SUBJECT: Early Consultation for Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements

LOCATION: Island of Maui

APPLICANT: Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc. on behalf of County of Maui, Department of Fire & Public Safety

Transmitted for your review and comment on the above referenced document. We would appreciate your comments on this document. Please submit any comments by December 6, 2009.

If no response is received by this date, we will assume your agency has no comments. If you have any questions about this request, please contact my office at 587-0433. Thank you.

Attachments

(✓) We have no objections.
( ) We have no comments.
( ) Comments are attached.

Signed: 
Date: 8 Dec 09
December 7, 2010

Morris M. Atta, Administrator
Land Division
Department of Land and Natural Resources
State of Hawaii
P. O. Box 621
Honolulu, Hawaii 96809

SUBJECT: Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements at TMK (2)2-7-007:008 (por.), Haiku, Maui

Dear Mr. Atta:

Thank you for your letters dated December 8, 2009, and December 9, 2009, responding to our Chapter 343, Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS) early consultation request for the subject project. On behalf of the applicant, the County of Maui, Department of Fire and Public Safety (DF&PS), we offer the following information in response to the comments noted in your letters:

**Comment No. 1 (Commission on Water Resources Management):**

We recommend coordination with the county to incorporate this project into the County’s Water Use and Development Plan. Please contact the respective Planning Department and/or Department of Water Supply for further information.

**Response:** We note your comment regarding the County of Maui’s Water Use and Development Plan (WUDP). Coordination with the Planning Department and/or Department of Water Supply will be undertaken through the Chapter 343, HRS Environmental Assessment (EA), County land use entitlements and construction plans review processes. It is through these coordination processes that the project will be recognized as a relevant component of the WUDP.

**Comment No. 2 (Commission on Water Resources Management):**

We recommend the use of alternative water sources, wherever practicable.
Response: We acknowledge your comment regarding use of alternative water sources. An evaluation of alternative water sources and water conservation planning resources will be completed as part of the Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) certification process for the project.

In addition to the above noted comments, we received input from your department’s Division of Forestry & Wildlife (DOFW) and Division of Aquatic Resources (DAR). The applicant acknowledges that DOFW has no comments on the proposed project. The applicant also acknowledges that the DAR has no objections to the proposed project.

We appreciate the input provided by your office and will include a copy of your letter in the Draft EA for the project.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (808) 244-2015.

Very truly yours,

Mark Alexander Roy, AICP
Program Manager

MAR: yp
cc: Lee Mainaga, County of Maui, Department of Fire and Public Safety
    Wendy Taomoto, County of Maui, Department of Management
    Kathleen Aoki, County of Maui, Department of Planning
    Terry McFarland, Architects Hawaii Limited
December 18, 2009

Mr. Mark Alexander Roy, AICP
Project Manager
Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc.
305 High Street, Suite 104
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

Dear Mr. Roy:

Subject: Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements
        Early Consultation (EC) for a Draft Environmental Assessment (DEA)

Thank you for requesting the State Department of Transportation’s (DOT) review of the subject project to construct a new fire station and related improvements on a 6-acre parcel that is owned by Maui County and is located at the intersection of East Kuiaha Road and the State highway, Hana Highway.

DOT understands that the Maui County Department of Fire and Public Safety’s new station will consist of a 7,528 square foot administrative building, vehicle storage and utility building facilities for the six personnel that will be assigned to the station. Primary access for emergency vehicles will be via Hana Highway. A secondary access driveway for non-emergency vehicles will be from either Hana Highway or East Kuiaha Road.

Given the potential impacts to Hana Highway, DOT requests the following comments be addressed in the DEA:

1. The applicant shall coordinate access requirements with the DOT Highways Division, Rights-of-Way Branch.

2. The proposed subject fire station and related improvements, especially parking spaces for emergency vehicles and personnel, should be designed and oriented in such a way as to preclude vehicle back-ups onto Hana Highway.

3. Given that the proposed project site is located on a rolling terrain, the applicant must submit a drainage report and grading plans to the DOT Highways Division for review and approval. Diversion of additional surface water run-off onto Hana Highway is not permitted.
4. The DEA should assess the traffic impacts to Hana Highway and address issues such as, but not limited to, access improvements, project generated traffic, traffic safety controls measures or warning signs and the safety of approaching motorists and bicycles at the fire station, especially when there is an emergency call. A copy of the DEA and any traffic assessment should also be forwarded to the DOT Highways Division Maui District Engineer for concurrent review.

5. A permit is required from DOT Highways Division to transport oversized and overweight equipment.loads within the State highway facilities.

6. Construction plans for all work done within the State highway rights-of-way must be submitted to DOT Highways Division for review and approval.

DOT appreciates the opportunity to provide comments and requests four (4) copies of the DEA. If there are any questions, please contact Mr. David Shimokawa of the DOT Statewide Transportation Planning Office at telephone number (808) 587-2356.

Very truly yours,

BRENNON T. MORIOKA, Ph.D., P.E.
Director of Transportation

c: David Thyne, Maui County Department of Fire and Public Safety
Office of the Director  
Department of Transportation  
State of Hawaii  
869 Punchbowl Street  
Honolulu, Hawaii  96813-5097

SUBJECT: Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements at TMK (2)2-7-007:008 (por.), Haiku, Maui (STP 8.3479)

December 7, 2010

Dear Sir or Madame:

Thank you for your department’s letter dated December 18, 2009, responding to our Chapter 343, Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS) early consultation request for the subject project. On behalf of the applicant, the County of Maui, Department of Fire and Public Safety, we offer the following information in response to the comments noted in your letter:

Comment No. 1:

The applicant shall coordinate access requirements with the DOT Highways Division, Rights-of-Way Branch.

Response: The applicant acknowledges your comment. Access requirements for the proposed project will be coordinated with Department of Transportation (DOT) Highways Division, Rights-of-Way Branch.

Comment No. 2:

The proposed subject fire station and related improvements, especially parking spaces for emergency vehicles and personnel, should be designed and oriented in such a way as to preclude vehicle back-ups onto Hana Highway.

Response: The applicant acknowledges your concerns regarding the need to avoid vehicle back-ups onto Hana Highway. Your comments will be forwarded to the project architect and engineer so that these concerns are reflected in the site plan for the project.
Comment No. 3:

Given that the proposed project site is located on a rolling terrain, the applicant must submit a drainage report and grading plans to the DOT Highways Division for review and approval. Diversion of additional surface water run-off onto Hana Highway is not permitted.

Response: A drainage report and grading plans will be submitted to the DOT Highways Division for review and approval. The drainage system for the project will be designed to retain all increases in stormwater runoff, such that there are no impacts on downstream properties, including Hana Highway.

Comment No. 4:

The DEA should assess the traffic impacts to Hana Highway and address issues such as, but not limited to, access improvements, project generated traffic, traffic safety controls measures or warning signs and the safety of approaching motorists and bicycles at the fire station, especially when there is an emergency call. A copy of the DEA and any traffic assessment should also be forwarded to the DOT Highways Division Maui District Engineer for concurrent review.

Response: The applicant recognizes your concerns regarding the project’s potential impact of traffic on Hana Highway. A Traffic Impact Assessment Report (TIAR) has been prepared by the traffic engineer, Wilson Okamoto Corporation, and will be included in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA). A copy of the Draft EA will be forwarded to both your office and the DOT Highways Division Maui District Engineer for concurrent review.

Comment No. 5:

A permit is required from DOT Highways Division to transport oversized and overweight equipment/loads within the State highway facilities.
Response: A permit from DOT Highways Division to transport oversized and overweight equipment.loads within the State highway facilities will be obtained for the project, as applicable.

Comment No. 6:

Construction plans for all work done within the State highway rights-of-way must be submitted to DOT Highways Division for review and approval.

Response: Construction plans for wall work proposed to be completed within the State right-of-way along Hana Highway will be submitted to DOT Highways Division for review and approval.

We appreciate the input provided by your office and will include a copy of your letter in the Draft EA for the project.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (808) 244-2015.

Very truly yours,

Mark Alexander Roy, AICP
Program Manager

MAR:yp
cc:  Lee Mainaga, County of Maui, Department of Fire and Public Safety
     Wendy Taomoto, County of Maui, Department of Management
     Kathleen Aoki, County of Maui, Department of Planning
     Terry McFarland, Architects Hawaii Limited
     Diane Kodama, AECOM Pacific, Inc.
     Cathy Leong, Wilson Okamoto Corporation
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December 4, 2009

Mr. Mark Alexander Roy, AICP
Project Manager
Munekiyo & Hiraga
305 High Street, Suite 104
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

Dear Mr. Roy:

Early Consultation Request, Proposed Haiku Fire Station
Haiku, Maui, Hawaii

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this project. After review of your letter and the maps sent for this project, we have no suggestions to make at this time.

We anticipate reviewing the Environmental Assessment when it is completed and will make any appropriate recommendations at that time.

If you have any questions, please contact Mr. Richard Stercho, Hazard Mitigation Planner, at (808) 733-4300, extension 583.

Sincerely,

EDWARD T. TEIXEIRA
Vice Director of Civil Defense
December 8, 2009

Mark Alexander Roy, AICP
Project Manager
Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc.
305 High Street, Suite 104
Wailuku, HI 96793

RE: Early consultation Environmental Assessment for the proposed Ha‘ikū Fire Station and related improvements; Ha‘ikū, Maui; TMK: (2) 2-7-007:008.

Aloha e Mark Alexander Roy,

The Office of Hawaiian Affairs (OHA) is in receipt of the above-mentioned request for comment, dated November 17, 2009. According to the letter, Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc. is preparing a Draft Environmental Assessment (EA) for the proposed Ha‘ikū Fire Station, which would include a low-rise administrative facility, access roads, two wind turbines and a new off-site waterline of about 4,200 feet. OHA has reviewed the proposed project and offers the following comments at this time.

We appreciate the applicant’s environmental intentions of following the Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design green building rating system, with a variety of environmental elements. In addition to those design features that were listed in the early consultation letter, we would urge the applicant to use native vegetation in its landscaping plan for the subject parcel. Landscaping with native plants furthers the traditional Hawaiian concept of mālama ‘āina and creates a more Hawaiian sense of place.

OHA also reminds the applicant that Chapter 343 of the Hawaii Revised Statues (HRS) requires that the forthcoming Draft EA include a Cultural Impact Assessment (CIA). The CIA should include information relating to the traditional and customary practices and beliefs of the area’s Native Hawaiians, and the community should be involved in this assessment. Consideration must also be afforded to any individuals accessing the project area for
constitutionally protected traditional and customary purposes, in accordance with the Hawai‘i State Constitution, Article XII, Section 7.

OHA further requests clarification whether an archaeological inventory survey for the project will be submitted to the State Historic Preservation Division for review and approval. If so, OHA should be allowed the opportunity to comment on the criteria assigned to any cultural or archaeological sites identified within the archaeological inventory survey.

We also request the applicant’s assurances that should iwi kūpuna or Native Hawaiian cultural or traditional deposits be found during ground disturbance for the project, work will cease, and the appropriate agencies will be contacted pursuant to applicable law.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. We look forward to reviewing and providing more detailed comments on the forthcoming Draft EA. If you have further questions, please contact Heidi Guth by phone at (808) 594-1962 or e-mail her at heidig@oha.org.

‘O wau iho nō me ka ‘oia‘i‘o,

\[Signature\]

Clyde W. Nāmu‘o
Administrator
December 7, 2010

Clyde W. Nāmu'o, Administrator
Office of Hawaiian Affairs
State of Hawaii
711 Kapi'olani Boulevard, Suite 500
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

SUBJECT: Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements at TMK (2)2-7-007:008 (por.), Haiku, Maui (HRD09/4142 B)

Dear Mr. Nāmu'o:

Thank you for your letter dated December 8, 2009, responding to our Chapter 343, Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS) early consultation request for the subject project. On behalf of the applicant, the County of Maui, Department of Fire and Public Safety, we offer the following information in response to the comments noted in your letter:

Comment No. 1:

We appreciate the applicant's environmental intentions of following the Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design green building rating system, with a variety of environmental elements. In addition to those design features that were listed in the early consultation letter, we would urge the applicant to use native vegetation in its landscaping plan for the subject parcel. Landscaping with native plants furthers the traditional Hawaiian concept of mālama ʻāina and creates a more Hawaiian sense of place.

Response: We appreciate your positive feedback regarding the sustainable design elements that are being incorporated in the project and the commitment to the Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) certification process. The applicant also notes that various native plants are to be utilized in the landscaping design for the project. A copy of the preliminary landscaping plan for the project will be included in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA).
Comment No. 2:

OHA also reminds the applicant that Chapter 343 of the Hawaii Revised Statues (HRS) requires that the forthcoming Draft EA include a Cultural Impact Assessment (CIA). The CIA should include information relating to the traditional and customary practices and beliefs of the area's Native Hawaiians, and the community should be involved in this assessment. Consideration must also be afforded to any individuals accessing the project area for constitutionally protected traditional and customary purposes, in accordance with the Hawaii State Constitution, Article XII, Section 7.

Response: The Draft EA for the project will include a CIA, prepared in accordance with Chapter 343, HRS. The CIA will address Native Hawaiian practices and beliefs associated with the area of the proposed project, as well as traditional and customary access rights, in accordance with the Hawaii State Constitution, Article XII, Section 7.

Comment No. 3:

OHA further requests clarification whether an archaeological inventory survey for the project will be submitted to the State Historic Preservation Division for review and approval. If so, OHA should be allowed the opportunity to comment on the criteria assigned to any cultural or archaeological sites identified within the archaeological inventory survey.

Response: An Archaeological Inventory Survey (AIS) has been prepared for the project. The AIS was accepted by the State Historic Preservation Division (SHPD) on November 12, 2010. A copy of the AIS and the SHPD acceptance letter will be included in the Draft EA for the project, a copy of which will be provided to OHA for review and comment.

Comment No. 4:

We also request the applicant's assurances that should iwi kopuna or Native Hawaiian cultural or traditional deposits be found during ground disturbance for the project, work will cease, and the appropriate agencies will be contacted pursuant to applicable law.

Response: We note your comment regarding the discovery of iwi kupuna or Native Hawaiian cultural or traditional deposits during the construction of the project. In the event that these deposits are found, construction work will cease in the immediate vicinity of the find, and SHPD will be contacted immediately to establish the appropriate level of mitigation.
We appreciate the input provided by your office and will include a copy of your letter in the Draft EA for the project.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (808) 244-2015.

Very truly yours,

Mark Alexander Roy, AICP
Program Manager

MAR:yp
cc: Lee Mainaga, County of Maui, Department of Fire and Public Safety
Wendy Taomoto, County of Maui, Department of Management
Kathleen Aoki, County of Maui, Department of Planning
Terry McFarland, Architects Hawaii Limited
Michael Dega, Scientific Consulting Services, Inc.
December 8, 2009

Mr. Mark Alexander Roy, AICP
Project Manager
Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc.
305 High Street, Suite 104
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

Dear Mr. Mark Alexander Roy

Subject: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements at TMK (2)2-7-007:008 (Por.), Haiku, Maui, Hawaii

The Department has reviewed the Early Consultation Request for the above subject project. Based on our review, we have determined that the subject project is not subject to chapter 2.96, Maui County Code. At the present time, the Department has no additional comments to offer.

Please call Ms. Cara Bohne of our Housing Division at 270-5748 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

WAYDE T. OSHIRO
Housing Administrator

cc: Director of Housing and Human Concerns
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS & RECREATION
700 Hali‘a Nakoa Street, Unit 2, Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

December 7, 2009

Mr. Mark Alexander Roy, AICP
Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc.
305 High Street, Suite 104
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

SUBJECT: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements at TMK: 2-7-007:008 (Por.), Haiku, Maui, Hawaii

Dear Mr. Roy:

We have reviewed the proposed subject project and have no comments or objections to the proposed project.

Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on this matter. Please feel free to contact me or Mr. Robert Halvorson, CIP Coordinator, Planning and Development Division at 270-8017 should you have any other questions.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

TAMARA HORCAJO
Director of Parks & Recreation

c: Patrick Matsui, Chief of Parks Planning and Development

TH:RH:do
Mr. Mark Roy, AICP  
Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc.  
305 High Street, Suite 104  
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

Dear Mr. Roy:

SUBJECT: PRE-CONSULTATION COMMENTS IN PREPARATION OF A DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT (EA) FOR THE PROPOSED HAiku FIRE STATION AND RELATED IMPROVEMENTS LOCATED MAUKA OF HANA HIGHWAY AT EAST KUIAHA ROAD, HAIKu, MAUI, HAWAIi; TMK: (2) 2-7-007:008 (POR.) (EAC 2009/0044)

The Department of Planning (Department) is in receipt of the above-referenced request for early consultation comments for the Haiku Fire Station Draft EA. The Department understands the proposed action includes the following:

- The Applicant is County of Maui, Department of Fire and Public Safety and the project is proposed on land owned by the County of Maui;
- The project will consist of administrative, vehicle storage, and utility buildings on approximately 6.1 acres; and
- The fire station will be designed to U.S. Green Building Council's LEED green building rating system.

Based on the foregoing, the Department provides the following comments in preparation of the Draft EA:

1. The land use designations for the project area are as follows:
   - State Land Use: Agriculture
   - Paia-Haiku Community Plan: Agriculture
   - County Zoning: Agriculture
   - Other: Outside the Special Management Area (SMA)

Please have these designations confirmed through the Department's Zoning Administration and Enforcement Division (ZAED) via a Zoning and Flood Confirmation Form.
2. The Department concurs that the proposed Community Plan Amendment and use of county funds and lands are “triggers” that require compliance with Chapter 343, Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS);

3. If the fire station is to be built on State Agricultural land, a Land Use Commission Special Use Permit would be required to be processed through the Maui Planning Commission (Commission) or a Land Use District Boundary Reclassification to Rural or Urban and a Change in Zoning to Public/Quasi-Public would be obtained;

4. Please provide the level of LEEDS certification you plan to pursue and describe in detail how you plan to achieve this level. This will prove helpful when your project goes before the Commission;

5. Please note that the Department is currently revising Chapter 19.31, Public/Quasi-Public Districts of the Maui County Code. It may prove prudent to contact the Department’s Administrative Planning Officer Joseph Alueta at (808) 270-7735 to obtain a draft copy of the proposed revisions. It is likely the code revisions will be processed before your proposal is processed and you would be subject to these revisions; and

6. The County owned parcel is 27.9 acres in size. Provide some information on future uses of the rest of the parcel not to be utilized for the proposed fire station. Maybe the entire 27.9 acres should be re-designated concurrently rather than piece-emailing future re-designations.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Should you require further clarification, please contact Staff Planner Joseph Prutch at joseph.prutch@maucounty.gov or at 270-7512.

Sincerely,

CLAYTON I. YOSHIDA, AICP
Planning Program Administrator

for JEFFREY S. HUNT, AICP
Planning Director

xc: Joseph M. Prutch, Staff Planner
    Joseph W. Alueta, Administrative Planning Officer
    EAC File
    General File

JSH:CY:JMP:vb
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December 7, 2010

Kathleen Aoki, Director
Department of Planning
County of Maui
250 South High Street
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

SUBJECT: Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements at TMK (2)2-7-007:008 (por.), Haiku, Maui

Dear Ms. Aoki:

Thank you for your letter dated December 15, 2009, responding to our Chapter 343, Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS) early consultation request for the subject project. On behalf of the applicant, the County of Maui, Department of Fire and Public Safety (DF&PS), we offer the following information in response to the comments noted in your letter:

Comment No. 1:

The land use designations for the project area are as follows:

- State Land Use: Agriculture
- Paia-Haiku Community Plan: Agriculture
- County Zoning: Agriculture
- Other: Outside the Special Management Area (SMA)

Please have these designations confirmed through the Department's Zoning Administration and Enforcement Division (ZAED) via a Zoning and Flood Confirmation Form.

Response: We note the information on land use designations provided in your comment. A Zoning and Flood Confirmation Form has been completed for confirmation of these designations.
Comment No. 2:

The Department concurs that the proposed Community Plan Amendment and use of county funds and lands are "triggers" that require compliance with Chapter 343, Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS);

Response: We acknowledge your comment. These triggers of the Chapter 343, HRS process will be discussed in the Draft EA.

Comment No. 3:

If the fire station is to be built on State Agricultural land, a Land Use Commission Special Use Permit would be required to be processed through the Maui Planning Commission (Commission) or a Land Use District Boundary Reclassification to Rural or Urban and a Change in Zoning to Public/Quasi-Public would be obtained;

Response: We note your comment regarding the land use entitlements required for the proposed project to proceed. Based on previous discussions with the Department of Planning (Department), it is our understanding that applications for District Boundary Amendment, Community Plan Amendment and Change in Zoning will be initiated by the Department of Planning for the project.

Comment No. 4:

Please provide the level of LEEDS certification you plan to pursue and describe in detail how you plan to achieve this level. This will prove helpful when your project goes before the Commission;

Response: The DF&PS is currently pursuing the Platinum rating under the Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) certification process. This is the highest LEED rating currently available. A number of sustainable design strategies will be implemented within the scope of the project in pursuit of the LEED Platinum objective for the project. Such strategies include, but are not limited to, energy efficiency, onsite renewable energy using photovoltaics/wind turbines, innovative wastewater treatment technologies, water efficiency, and construction waste diversion away from landfills. A description of the sustainable design considerations for the project will be included in the Draft EA.
Comment No. 5:

Please note that the Department is currently revising Chapter 19.31, Public/Quasi-Public Districts of the Maui County Code. It may prove prudent to contact the Department's Administrative Planning Officer Joseph Alueta at (808) 270-7735 to obtain a draft copy of the proposed revisions. It is likely the code revisions will be processed before your proposal is processed and you would be subject to these revisions; and

Response: We acknowledge your comment and as part of this response respectfully request that a copy of the draft revisions proposed for Chapter 19.31, Public/Quasi-Public Districts of the Maui County Code (MCC) be provided to the DF&PS for review.

Comment No. 6:

The County owned parcel is 27.9 acres in size. Provide some information on future uses of the rest of the parcel not to be utilized for the proposed fire station. Maybe the entire 27.9 acres should be re-designated concurrently rather than piece-emailing future re-designations.

Response: We note your comment. At this time the applicant has no definitive plans for future uses of the remaining portions of the subject parcel and, as such, will be limiting the scope of land use entitlement applications for the subject project, to just the 6.1-acre project site.

We appreciate the input provided by your office and will include a copy of your letter in the Draft EA for the project.
Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at 244-2015.

Very truly yours,

Mark Alexander Roy, AICP
Program Manager

MAR:yp
cc: Lee Mainaga, County of Maui, Department of Fire and Public Safety
Wendy Taomoto, County of Maui, Department of Management
Terry McFarland, Architects Hawaii Limited
November 27, 2009

Mr. Mark Alexander Roy, AICP
Project Manager
Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc.
305 High Street, Suite 104
Wailuku, HI 96793

Dear Mr. Roy:

SUBJECT: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements at TMK (2) 2-7-007:008 (Por.), Haiku

Thank you for your letter of November 17, 2009, requesting comments on the above subject.

We have reviewed the information submitted for this project and have enclosed a copy of our comments. Thank you for giving us the opportunity to comment on this project.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

Assistant Chief Danny J. Matsuura
for: Gary A. Yabuta
Chief of Police

c: Jeffrey Hunt, Planning Department
TO: GARY YABUTA, CHIEF OF POLICE, COUNTY OF MAUI
VIA: CHANNELS
FROM: STEPHEN ORIKASA, ADMINISTRATIVE SERGEANT, WAILUKU PATROL DIVISION
SUBJECT: RESPONSE TO A REQUEST FOR COMMENTS REGARDING THE PROPOSED HAIKU FIRE STATION PROJECT

This communication is submitted as a response to a request for early consultation comments, by Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc., Project Manager, Mr. Mark Alexander Roy, AICP, regarding the following subject:

SUBJECT: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements at TMK (2) 2-7-007:008 (Por.), Haiku, Maui, Hawaii

RESPONSE:

In review of the submitted documents, the focus from the police perspective would be upon the safety of pedestrian and vehicular movement.

The ingress and egress locations appear to be at a reasonable location as to not adversely impact the existing normal vehicular movement. Parking also appears to be adequate for the buildings proposed usage and additional vehicular movement should not have a significant impact upon existing service levels.

During the construction phases, extreme efforts should be made to minimize noise, dust & debris so not to inhibit those whose health and well being may be affected. Adequate traffic control devices and personnel should also be utilized to minimize the impact of heavy equipment and vehicles traveling in and out of the area.

CONCLUSION:

There are no objections to the progression of this project at this time. Although, it is of utmost importance to be cognizant of any health and safety impacts, directly and indirectly, which may arise from this project.

Respectfully submitted for your review and approval.

Stephen T. Orikasa E#716
Administrative Sergeant/Wailuku Patrol Division
11/24/09 @ 0830 Hours

[Signature]
Gary Yabuta, Chief of Police  
**Police Department**  
County of Maui  
55 Mahalani Street  
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

**SUBJECT:** Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements at TMK (2)2-7-007:008 (por.), Haiku, Maui

Dear Mr. Yabuta:

Thank you for your letter dated November 27, 2009, responding to our Chapter 343, Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS) early consultation request for the subject project. On behalf of the applicant, the County of Maui, Department of Fire and Public Safety, we offer the following information in response to the comments noted in your letter:

**Comment No. 1:**

The ingress and egress locations appear to be at a reasonable location as to not adversely impact the existing normal vehicular movement. Parking also appears to be adequate for the buildings proposed usage and additional vehicular movement should not have a significant impact upon existing service levels.

**Response:** We note the comments from your Department that parking and vehicular movements at the project site should not have a significant impact on existing service levels along Hana Highway and East Kuiaha Road. We look forward to your continued support and cooperation in completion of this project.

**Comment No. 2:**

During the construction phases, extreme efforts should be made to minimize noise, dust & debris so not to inhibit those whose health and well being may be affected. Adequate traffic control devices and personnel should also be utilized to minimize the impact of heavy equipment and vehicles traveling in and out of the area.
Response: The applicant will ensure that traffic control devices and personnel will be utilized during construction to minimize the impacts of large equipment traveling in and out of the area. Best Management Practices (BMPs) developed by the project's civil engineer will also be implemented to minimize dust and debris which may result during construction of the project.

We appreciate the input provided by your office and will include a copy of your letter in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA) for the project.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at 244-2015.

Very truly yours,

Mark Alexander Roy, AICP
Program Manager

cc: Lee Mainaga, County of Maui, Department of Fire and Public Safety
Wendy Taomoto, County of Maui, Department of Management
Kathleen Aoki, County of Maui, Department of Planning
Terry McFarland, Architects Hawaii Limited
Diane Kodama, AECOM Pacific, Inc.
Mr. Mark Alexander Roy, A.I.C.P.
MUNekiyo & Hiraga, Inc.
305 High Street, Suite 104
Wailuku, Maui, Hawaii 96793

Dear Mr. Roy:

SUBJECT: EARLY CONSULTATION REQUEST FOR PROPOSED HAiku FIRE STATION AND RELATED IMPROVEMENTS;
TMK: (2) 2-7-007:008 (POR.)

We reviewed your early consultation request and have no comments to offer at this time.

Please call Michael Miyamoto at 270-7845 if you have any questions regarding this letter.

Sincerely,

Milton M. Arakawa, A.I.C.P.
Director of Public Works

MMA: MMM: Is
xc: Highways Division
    Engineering Division
S:\LUCA\ICZM\Prop_Haiku_Fire_Sta_related_imp_27007008opor_is.wpd
Mr. Mark Alexander Roy
Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc.
305 High Street, Suite 104
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

SUBJECT: HAIKU FIRE STATION AND RELATED IMPROVEMENTS
EARLY CONSULTATION
TMK (2) 2-7-007:008, HAIKU

We reviewed the subject project as a pre-application consultation and have the following comments:

1. Solid Waste Division comments:
   a. Include options for construction waste disposal/recycling/reuse in the discussion of potential impacts and mitigation measures.

2. Wastewater Reclamation Division (WWRD) comments:
   a. None. There is no County wastewater system in the area of the subject project.

If you have any questions regarding this memorandum, please contact Gregg Kresge at 270-8230.

Sincerely,

Cheryl K. Okuma, Director
Cheryl Okuma, Director  
Department of Environmental Management  
County of Maui  
2200 Main Street, Suite 100  
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

SUBJECT: Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements at TMK (2)2-7-007:008 (por.), Haiku, Maui

Dear Ms. Okuma:

Thank you for your letter dated January 25, 2010, responding to our Chapter 343, Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS) early consultation request for the subject project. On behalf of the applicant, the County of Maui, Department of Fire and Public Safety, we offer the following information in response to the comments noted in your letter:

Comment No. 1 (Solid Waste Division):

Include options for construction waste disposal/recycling/reuse in the discussion of potential impacts and mitigation measures.

Response: The applicant acknowledges your comment. A Construction Waste Management Plan will be prepared for the project prior to initiation of construction. It is anticipated that existing concrete slabs, walkways, and driveways present on the project site will be crushed and reused on site for fill and/or base course. Other items that are not anticipated to be reused will be diverted away from the landfill or recycled. Diverted items may be reused by other projects should they be deemed fit for reuse. In addition, the applicant will be using Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) guidelines on construction waste management and will be aiming at a possible diversion target of 50 percent of demolition and construction waste away from landfills and incineration. A summary of these and other solid waste management measures being evaluated for implementation in the project, will be included in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA).
Comment No. 2 (Wastewater Reclamation Division):

None. There is no County wastewater system in the area of the subject project

Response: We note your comment that there is no County wastewater system in the area of the subject project. In this regard, an ecological wastewater treatment system and water reuse plan is being proposed for the new fire station. Details regarding this system will be included in the Draft EA,

We appreciate the input provided by your office and will include a copy of your letter in the Draft EA for the project.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at 244-2015.

Very truly yours,

Mark Alexander Roy, AICP
Program Manager

MAR:yp
cc: Lee Mainaga, County of Maui, Department of Fire and Public Safety
Wendy Taomoto, County of Maui, Department of Management
Kathleen Aoki, County of Maui, Department of Planning
Terry McFarland, Architects Hawaii Limited
November 24, 2009

Mr. Mark Alexander Roy  
Munekiyo & Hiraga Inc.  
305 High Street, Suite 104  
Wailuku, Maui, Hawaii 96793

Subject: Haiku Fire Station

Dear Mr. Roy,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this project. We have no comments to make at this time.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Don Medeiros  
Director
December 4, 2009

Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc.
Mr. Mark Alexander Roy, AICP, Project Manager
305 High Street, Suite 104
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

Dear Mr. Roy:

RE: Project Name: Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements
Applicant: Department of Fire and Public Safety, County of Maui
Permit I.D.: Early Consultation Request
TMK: (2) 2-7-007:008 (por.)

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this early consultation request.

Source Availability and Consumption
The project site is within the Department of Water Supply's Haiku service area.

The project site covers approximately 6.1 acres located within a County property of 27.9 acres. One 5/8-inch water meter is located on the property. The property also has water meter reservations for 3 (three) 5/8-inch water meters for a subdivision.

System Infrastructure
There is a 6-inch waterline and a fire hydrant located more than 1,000 feet from the project site on East Kuiaha Road near the east end of the County property. Storage is provided by the 0.5 million gallon (MG) Kaupakalua tank. These are inadequate for the proposed project.

The project scope proposes the installation of approximately 4,200 feet of waterline, beginning at a location approximately 2,300 feet south of the project site, to address domestic use and fire protection concerns.

During the building permit process, the applicant will be required to submit domestic, irrigation and fire flow calculations to determine water meter capacity and adequate fire protection. Approved fire flow calculation methods currently used by the Department of

"By Water All Things Find Life"

The Department of Water Supply is an Equal Opportunity provider and employer. To file a complaint of discrimination, write: USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326-W, Whitten Building, 14th and Independence Avenue, SW, Washington DC 20250-9410. Or call (202) 720-5964 (voice and TDD)
Water Supply are the “Guidance for Determination of Required Fire Flow” as published by the Insurance Services Office in 1974, 2001 and 2006, or “Fire Flow” as published by the Hawaii Insurance Bureau in 1991. Also required is the installation of a reduced pressure back-flow prevention device, approved by the DWS. For more information or clarification on the device, please contact our Engineering Division at 270-7835 or our Backflow and Cross-Connection Control Section at 270-6132.

Pollution Prevention
The site overlies the Haiku aquifer which has a sustainable yield of 27 million gallons per day. The Department of Water Supply's goal is to protect the integrity of surface and groundwater resources. To achieve this, mitigation measures must be implemented to prevent any water pollution related impacts. Best management practices for construction should, therefore, be applied.

Conservation Measures
The Department of Water Supply encourages the applicant to consider the following conservation measures in the project design, as well as during construction:

1. Utilize reclaimed or non-potable water for dust control, irrigation and other non-potable uses.
2. Water after 7:00 p.m. at night and before 10:00 a.m. in the morning.
4. Prevent over-Watering by automated systems - Provide rain-sensors on all automated irrigation controllers. Check and reset controllers at least once a month to reflect the monthly changes in evaporation rates at the site. As an alternative, provide more automated, soil-moisture sensors on controllers.
5. Maintain fixtures to prevent leaks - A simple, regular program of repair and maintenance can prevent the loss of hundreds or even thousands of gallons per day.
6. Limit irrigated turf - Low-water use shrubs and ground cover can be equally attractive and require substantially less water than turf.
7. Select climate adapted native plant species for landscaping - Native plants adapted to the area conserve water and protect the watershed from degradation due to invasive alien species.
8. Look for opportunities to conserve water - Here are a few samples: 1) When clearing debris, use a broom instead of a hose and water; 2) Check for leaks in pipes, faucets and toilets.
Mr. Mark Alexander Roy  
Page 3  
December 4, 2009  

Should you have any questions, please contact our Water Resources & Planning 
Division at 244-8550.

Sincerely,  

[Signature]

JEFFREY K. ENG, DIRECTOR  
ayi  
Enclosures:  Maui County Planting Plan - Saving Water in the Yard - What and How to 
Plant in your Area  
c:  DWS Engineering Division  
WRPD Project File  
WRPD Reading File
Saving Water in The Yard
What and How to Plant in Your Area

Tips From The Maui County Department of Water Supply
By Water All Things Find Life
### Zone-specific Native and Polynesian plants for Maui County

**Zone 1**

#### TYPE:
- **F** Fern
- **G** Grass
- **Gr** Ground Cover
- **Sh** Shrub
- **P** Palm
- **S** Sedge
- **Tr** Tree
- **V** Vine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Spread</th>
<th>Elevation</th>
<th>Water req.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td><em>Psilotum nudum</em></td>
<td>moa, moa kula</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td><em>Sadleria cyatheoides</em></td>
<td>'ama'u, ama'uma'u</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr - Sh</td>
<td><em>Lipochaeta succulenta</em></td>
<td>nehe</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>5'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td><em>Cocos nucifera</em></td>
<td>coconut, niu</td>
<td>100'</td>
<td>30'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td><em>Pritchardia arecina</em></td>
<td>lo'ulu, hawane</td>
<td>40'</td>
<td>10'</td>
<td>1,000' to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td><em>Pritchardia forbesiana</em></td>
<td>lo'ulu</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td><em>Pritchardia hillebrandii</em></td>
<td>lo'ulu, fan palm</td>
<td>25'</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td><em>Maniscus javanicus</em></td>
<td>marsh cypress, 'ahu'awa</td>
<td>0.5'</td>
<td>0.5'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td><em>Bidens hillebrandiana ssp. hillebrandiana</em></td>
<td>ko'oko'olau</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td><em>Cordyline fruticosa</em></td>
<td>ti, ki</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh - Tr</td>
<td><em>Broussonetia papyrifera</em></td>
<td>wauke, paper mulberry</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>1,000' to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td><em>Acacia koa</em></td>
<td>koa</td>
<td>8'</td>
<td>6'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td><em>Aleurites moluccana</em></td>
<td>candlenut, kukui</td>
<td>50'</td>
<td>50'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Medium to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td><em>Calophyllum inophyllum</em></td>
<td>kamani, alexandrian laurel</td>
<td>60'</td>
<td>40'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Medium to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td><em>Charpentiera obovata</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>15'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td><em>Cordia subcordata</em></td>
<td>kou</td>
<td>30'</td>
<td>25'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td><em>Hibiscus furcellatus</em></td>
<td>'akiohalal, hau-hele</td>
<td>8'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td><em>Metrosideros polymorpha var. macrophylla</em></td>
<td>oh'a lehua</td>
<td>25'</td>
<td>25'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td><em>Morinda citrifolia</em></td>
<td>indian mulberry, noni</td>
<td>20'</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td><em>Pandanus tectorius</em></td>
<td>hala, puhala (HALELIST)</td>
<td>35'</td>
<td>25'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td><em>Alyxia oliviformis</em></td>
<td>maile</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sea to 6,000'</td>
<td>Medium to Wet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Zone-specific Native and Polynesian plants for Maui County

## Zone 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Spread</th>
<th>Elevation</th>
<th>Water req.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Psilotum nudum</td>
<td>moa, moa kula</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>S Dudleya caerulea</td>
<td>'ama'u, ama'uma'u</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Eragrostis monticola</td>
<td>kalamalo</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr</td>
<td>Ipomoea tuboides</td>
<td>Hawaiian moon flower, 'uala</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>10'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr</td>
<td>Peperomia leptostachya</td>
<td>'ala'ala-wai-nui</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr</td>
<td>Plumbago zeylanica</td>
<td>'ilie'e</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr - Sh</td>
<td>Hibiscus calyphyllus</td>
<td>ma'o hau hele, Rock's hibiscus</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr - Sh</td>
<td>Lipochaeta rockii</td>
<td>nehe</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Argemone glauca var. decipiens</td>
<td>pua kala</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Artemisia mauliensis var. diffusa</td>
<td>Maui wormwood, 'ahnahina</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>1,000' to higher</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Chenopodium oahuense</td>
<td>'ahahea, 'aweoweo</td>
<td>6'</td>
<td></td>
<td>sea to higher</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Dianella sandwicensis</td>
<td>'uki</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>1,000' to higher</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Lipochaeta lavarae</td>
<td>nehe</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Osteomeles anthyllidifolia</td>
<td>'uie, eluehe</td>
<td>4'</td>
<td>6'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Senna gaudichaudii</td>
<td>kolomana</td>
<td>5'</td>
<td>5'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Styphila tarmeiamelae</td>
<td>pukiawe</td>
<td>6'</td>
<td>6'</td>
<td>1,000' to higher</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Vitex rotundifolia</td>
<td>pohinahina</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>4'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh - Tr</td>
<td>Myoporum sandwicense</td>
<td>naio, false sandalwood</td>
<td>10'</td>
<td>10'</td>
<td>sea to higher</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh - Tr</td>
<td>Nototrichium sandwicense</td>
<td>kulu'i</td>
<td>8'</td>
<td>8'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh-Tr</td>
<td>Dodonaeae viscosa</td>
<td>'a'alli</td>
<td>6'</td>
<td>8'</td>
<td>sea to higher</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Acacia koa</td>
<td>koa</td>
<td>50' - 100'</td>
<td>40' - 80'</td>
<td>1,500' to 4,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Charpentiera obovata</td>
<td></td>
<td>15'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Erythrina sandwicensis</td>
<td>wilwili</td>
<td>20'</td>
<td>20'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Metrosideros polymorpha var. macrophylla</td>
<td>oh'i'a lehua</td>
<td>25'</td>
<td>25'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Zone-specific Native and Polynesian plants for Maui County

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Spread</th>
<th>Elevation</th>
<th>Water req.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Nestegis sandwicensis</td>
<td>olopua</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>1,000' to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Pleomele auwahiensis</td>
<td>halapepe</td>
<td>20'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Rauvolfa sandwicensis</td>
<td>hao</td>
<td>20'</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Santalum ellipticum</td>
<td>coastal sandalwood, 'ili-ahi</td>
<td>8'</td>
<td>8'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Sophora chrysophylla</td>
<td>mamane</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>1,000' to 3,000'</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Alyxia oliviformis</td>
<td>maile</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sea to 6,000'</td>
<td>Medium to Wet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Zone-specific Native and Polynesian plants for Maui County

**Zone 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Spread</th>
<th>Elevation</th>
<th>Water req.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Psilotum nudum</td>
<td>moa, moa kula</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Colubrina asiatica</td>
<td>'anapanapa</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>10'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Eragrostis monticola</td>
<td>kalamalo</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Eragrostis variabilis</td>
<td>'emo-loa</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Fimbristylis cymosa ssp. spathacea</td>
<td>mau'u'aki'aki fimbristylis</td>
<td>0.5'</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr</td>
<td>Boerhavia repens</td>
<td>alena</td>
<td>0.5'</td>
<td>4'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr</td>
<td>Chamaesyce celastroides var. laeahiensis</td>
<td>'akoko</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr</td>
<td>Cressa truxillensis</td>
<td>cressa</td>
<td>0.5'</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr</td>
<td>Heliotropium anomalum var. argenteum</td>
<td>hinahina ku kahakai</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr</td>
<td>Ipomoea tuboides</td>
<td>Hawaiian moon flower, uala</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>10'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr</td>
<td>Jacquemontia ovalifolia ssp. sandwicensis</td>
<td>pa' u o hīlāka</td>
<td>0.5'</td>
<td>6'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr</td>
<td>Lipochaeta integrifolia</td>
<td>nehe</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>5'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr</td>
<td>Peperomia leptostachya</td>
<td>'ala'ala-wai-nui</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr</td>
<td>Plumbago zeylanica</td>
<td>'īlī'e</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr</td>
<td>Sesuvium portulacastrum</td>
<td>'akulikuli, sea-purslane</td>
<td>0.5'</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr</td>
<td>Sida fallax</td>
<td>'ilima</td>
<td>0.5'</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr</td>
<td>Tephrosia purpurea var. purpurea</td>
<td>'auhuhu</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr - Sh</td>
<td>Hibiscus calyphyllus</td>
<td>ma'o hau hele, Rock's hibiscus</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr - Sh</td>
<td>Lipochaeta rockii</td>
<td>nehe</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr - Sh</td>
<td>Lipochaeta succulenta</td>
<td>nehe</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>5'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr - Sh</td>
<td>Lycium sandwicense</td>
<td>'ohelo-kai, 'ae'ae</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Cocos nucifera</td>
<td>coconut, niu</td>
<td>100'</td>
<td>30'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Pritchardia hillebrandii</td>
<td>lo'ulu, fan palm</td>
<td>25'</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Mariscus javanicus</td>
<td>marsh cypress, 'ahu'awa</td>
<td>0.5'</td>
<td>0.5'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Scientific Name</td>
<td>Common Name</td>
<td>Height</td>
<td>Spread</td>
<td>Elevation</td>
<td>Water req.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Argemone glauca var. decipiens</td>
<td>pua kala</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Bidens mauiensis</td>
<td>ko'oko'olau</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Bidens menziesii ssp. menziesii</td>
<td>ko'oko'olau</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Bidens micrantha ssp. micrantha</td>
<td>ko'oko'olau</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Chenoportium oahuense</td>
<td>'aheahea, 'aweoweo</td>
<td>6'</td>
<td></td>
<td>sea to higher</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Dianella sandwicensis</td>
<td>'uki</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>1,000' to higher</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Gossypium tomentosum</td>
<td>mao, 'Hawaiian cotton</td>
<td>5'</td>
<td>8'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Hedyotis spp.</td>
<td>au, pilo</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>1,000' to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Lipochaeta lavarum</td>
<td>nehe</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Osteomeles anthyllidifolia</td>
<td>'ulei, eluehe</td>
<td>4'</td>
<td>6'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Scaevola sericea</td>
<td>naupaka, naupaka-kahakai</td>
<td>6'</td>
<td>8'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Senna gaudichaudii</td>
<td>kolomana</td>
<td>5'</td>
<td>5'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Solanum nelsonii</td>
<td>'akia, beach solanum</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Styphelia tameiameiae</td>
<td>pukiawe</td>
<td>6'</td>
<td>6'</td>
<td>1,000' to higher</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Vitex rotundifolia</td>
<td>pohinahina</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>4'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Wikstroemia uva-ursi kauaiensis kauaiensis</td>
<td>akia, Molokai osmanthus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh- Tr</td>
<td>Broussonetia papyrfera</td>
<td>wauke, paper mulberry</td>
<td>8'</td>
<td>6'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh- Tr</td>
<td>Myoporum sandwicense</td>
<td>naio, false sandalwood</td>
<td>10'</td>
<td>10'</td>
<td>sea to higher</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh- Tr</td>
<td>Nototrichium sandwicense</td>
<td>kulu'i</td>
<td>8'</td>
<td>8'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh-Tr</td>
<td>Dodonaea viscosa</td>
<td>'a'ali'i</td>
<td>6'</td>
<td>8'</td>
<td>sea to higher</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Aleurites moluccana</td>
<td>candlenut, kukui</td>
<td>50'</td>
<td>50'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Medium to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Calophyllum inophyllum</td>
<td>kamani, alexandrian laurel</td>
<td>60'</td>
<td>40'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Medium to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Canthium odoratum</td>
<td>Alahë'e, 'ohe'e, waiahe'e</td>
<td>12'</td>
<td>8'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Cordia subcordata</td>
<td>kou</td>
<td>30'</td>
<td>25'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Diospyros sandwicensis</td>
<td>lama</td>
<td>12'</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Erythrina sandwicensis</td>
<td>wilwili</td>
<td>20'</td>
<td>20'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Metrosideros polymorpha var. macrophylla</td>
<td>ohi'a lehua</td>
<td>25'</td>
<td>25'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Scientific Name</td>
<td>Common Name</td>
<td>Height</td>
<td>Spread</td>
<td>Elevation</td>
<td>Water req.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Morinda citrifolia</td>
<td>Indian mulberry, noni</td>
<td>20'</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Nesoliuma polynesicum</td>
<td>Keahi</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Nestegis sandwicensis</td>
<td>Olopua</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>1,000' to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Pandanus tectorius</td>
<td>Hala, puhala (HALELIST)</td>
<td>35'</td>
<td>25'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Pleomele auwahiensis</td>
<td>Halapepe</td>
<td>20'</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Rauvolfia sandwicensis</td>
<td>Hao</td>
<td>20'</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Reynoldsia sandwicensis</td>
<td>Ohe makai</td>
<td>20'</td>
<td>20'</td>
<td>1,000' to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Santalum ellipticum</td>
<td>Coastal sandalwood, 'ili-ahi</td>
<td>8'</td>
<td>8'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Thespisia populnea</td>
<td>Milo</td>
<td>30'</td>
<td>30'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Scientific Name</td>
<td>Common Name</td>
<td>Height</td>
<td>Spread</td>
<td>Elevation</td>
<td>Water req.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Psilotum nudum</td>
<td>moa, moa kula</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Sadleria cyathoideas</td>
<td>'ama'u, ama'uma'u</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Colubrina asiatica</td>
<td>anapanapa</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>10'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Eragrostis monticola</td>
<td>kalamalo</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Eragrostis variabilis</td>
<td>'emo-loa</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Fimbristylis cymosa ssp. spathacea</td>
<td>mau'u ak'iaki fimbristylis</td>
<td>0.5'</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr</td>
<td>Chamaesyce celastroides var. laehiensis</td>
<td>'akoko</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr</td>
<td>Ipomoea tuboides</td>
<td>Hawaiian moon flower, 'uala</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>10'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr</td>
<td>Jacquemontia ovalifolia ssp. sandwicensis</td>
<td>pa'u o hi'ilaka</td>
<td>0.5'</td>
<td>6'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr</td>
<td>Lipochaeta integrifolia</td>
<td>nehe</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>5'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr</td>
<td>Peperomia leptostachya</td>
<td>'ala'ala-wai-nui</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr</td>
<td>Plumbago zeylanica</td>
<td>ilie'e</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr</td>
<td>Sida fallax</td>
<td>'ilima</td>
<td>0.5'</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr</td>
<td>Tephrosia purpurea var. purpurea</td>
<td>'auhuhu</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr-Sh</td>
<td>Hibiscus calyphyllus</td>
<td>ma'o hau hele, Rock's hibiscus</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr-Sh</td>
<td>Lipochaeta rockii</td>
<td>nehe</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr-Sh</td>
<td>Lipochaeta succulentia</td>
<td>nehe</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>5'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Cocos nucifera</td>
<td>coconut, niu</td>
<td>100'</td>
<td>30'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Pritchardia arecina</td>
<td>lo'ulu, hawane</td>
<td>40'</td>
<td>10'</td>
<td>1,000' to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Pritchardia forbesiana</td>
<td>lo'ulu</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>30'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Pritchardia hildebrandii</td>
<td>lo'ulu, fan palm</td>
<td>25'</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Mariscus javanicus</td>
<td>marsh cypress, 'ahu'awa</td>
<td>0.5'</td>
<td>0.5'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Argemone glauca var. decipiens</td>
<td>pua kala</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Artemisia australis</td>
<td>'ahinahina</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Zone-specific Native and Polynesian plants for Maui County

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Spread</th>
<th>Elevation</th>
<th>Water req.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Artemisia makiensis var. diffusa</td>
<td>Maui wormwood, 'ahinahina</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>1,000' to higher</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Bidens hillebrandiana ssp. hillebrandiana</td>
<td>ko<code>oko</code>olau</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Bidens menziesii ssp. menziesii</td>
<td>ko<code>oko</code>olau</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Bidens micrantha ssp. micrantha</td>
<td>ko<code>oko</code>olau</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Cordyline fruticosa</td>
<td>ti, ki</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Dianella sandwicensis</td>
<td>'uki</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>1,000' to higher</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Lipochaeta lamarum</td>
<td>nehe</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Osteomeles anthyllidifolia</td>
<td>'ulei, eluehe</td>
<td>4'</td>
<td>6'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Scaevola sericea</td>
<td>naupaka, naupaka-kahakai</td>
<td>6'</td>
<td>8'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Solanum nelsonii</td>
<td>'akia, beach solanum</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Styphelia tameameiae</td>
<td>pukiawe</td>
<td>6'</td>
<td>6'</td>
<td>1,000' to higher</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Vitex rotundifolia</td>
<td>pohonahina</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>4'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Wikstroemia uva-ursi kauaiensis</td>
<td>'akia, Molokai osmanthus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh-Tr</td>
<td>Broussonetia papyrifera</td>
<td>wauke, paper mulberry</td>
<td>8'</td>
<td>8'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh-Tr</td>
<td>Myoporum sandwicense</td>
<td>naio, false sandalwood</td>
<td>10'</td>
<td>10'</td>
<td>sea to higher</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh-Tr</td>
<td>Nototrichium sandwicense</td>
<td>kulu'i</td>
<td>8'</td>
<td>8'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh-Tr</td>
<td>Dodonaea viscosa</td>
<td>&quot;a'ali'i</td>
<td>6'</td>
<td>8'</td>
<td>sea to higher</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Acacia koa</td>
<td>koa</td>
<td>50' - 100'</td>
<td>40' - 80'</td>
<td>1,500' to 4,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Aleurites moluccana</td>
<td>candlenut, kukul</td>
<td>50'</td>
<td>50'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Medium to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Calophyllum inophyllum</td>
<td>kamin, alexandrian laurel</td>
<td>60'</td>
<td>40'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Medium to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Canthium odoratum</td>
<td>Alahe'e, 'ohe'e, walahe'e</td>
<td>12'</td>
<td>8'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Chaptentera obovata</td>
<td></td>
<td>15'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Cordia subcordata</td>
<td>kou</td>
<td>30'</td>
<td>25'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Diospyros sandwicensis</td>
<td>lama</td>
<td>12'</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Hibiscus furcellatus</td>
<td>'akohala, hau-hele</td>
<td>8'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Metrosideros polymorpha var. macrophylla</td>
<td>oh'i lehua</td>
<td>25'</td>
<td>25'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Morinda citrifolia</td>
<td>indian mulberry, noni</td>
<td>20'</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Zone-specific Native and Polynesian plants for Maui County

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Spread</th>
<th>Elevation</th>
<th>Water req.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Nestegis sandwicensis</td>
<td>olopa</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>1,000' to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Pandanus tectorius</td>
<td>hala, puhala (HALELIST)</td>
<td>35'</td>
<td>25'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Pleomele auwahiensis</td>
<td>halapepe</td>
<td>20'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Rauvolia sandwicensis</td>
<td>hao</td>
<td>20'</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Santalum ellipticum</td>
<td>coastal sandalwood, &quot;ilii-ahi&quot;</td>
<td>8'</td>
<td>8'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Sophora chrysophylla</td>
<td>mamee</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>1,000' to 3,000'</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Thespisia populnea</td>
<td>milo</td>
<td>30'</td>
<td>30'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Alyxia oliviformis</td>
<td>maile</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sea to 6,000'</td>
<td>Medium to Wet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Zone-specific Native and Polynesian plants for Maui County

### Table of Native and Polynesian plants for Maui County

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Spread</th>
<th>Elevation</th>
<th>Water req.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Colubrina asiatica</td>
<td>anapanapa</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>10'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Eragrostis variabilis</td>
<td>emo-loa</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Fimbriatix cymosa ssp. spathacea</td>
<td>'au'</td>
<td>0.5'</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Boerhavia repens</td>
<td>alena</td>
<td>0.5'</td>
<td>4'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Chamaesyce celastroides var. laeoliensis</td>
<td>akoko</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Cressa truxillensis</td>
<td>cressa</td>
<td>0.5'</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Heliotropium anomalum var. argenteum</td>
<td>hina'ina ku kahakai</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Jacquemontia ovalifolia ssp. sandwicensis</td>
<td>pa'u ho'ho'ika</td>
<td>0.5'</td>
<td>6'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Lipochaeta integrifolia</td>
<td>nehe</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>5'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Sesuvium portulacastrum</td>
<td>'akuliku, sea-purslane</td>
<td>0.5'</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Sida fallax</td>
<td>ilima</td>
<td>0.5'</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Tephrosia purpurea var. purpurea</td>
<td>a'uhu'uhu</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr - Sh</td>
<td>Hibiscus calyphyllus</td>
<td>ma'c hau hele, Rock's hibiscus</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr - Sh</td>
<td>Lycium sandwicensii</td>
<td>'ohelo-kal, ae ae</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Cocos nucifera</td>
<td>coconut, niu</td>
<td>100'</td>
<td>30'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Pritchardia hillebrandii</td>
<td>lo'ulu, fan palm</td>
<td>25'</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Manseus javanicus</td>
<td>marsh cypress, ahu'awa</td>
<td>0.5'</td>
<td>0.5'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Argemone glauca var. decipiens</td>
<td>pua'ala</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Artemisia australis</td>
<td>'a'nahina</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Bidens hillebrandiana ssp. hillebrandiana</td>
<td>koko loi'olua</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Bidens maulensis</td>
<td>koko loi'olua</td>
<td>1'</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Chenopodium ahu'ense</td>
<td>'aheheap, aweoweo</td>
<td>6'</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>sea to higher</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Dianella sandwicensis</td>
<td>'ukl</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>1,000' to higher</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Gossypium tomentosum</td>
<td>ma'o, Hawaiian cotton</td>
<td>5'</td>
<td>8'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Zone-specific Native and Polynesian plants for Maui County

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Spread</th>
<th>Elevation</th>
<th>Water req.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Hedyotis spp.</td>
<td>'au, pilo</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>2'</td>
<td>1,000' to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Lipochaeta lamarum</td>
<td>nehe</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Osteomeles anthyllidifolia</td>
<td>'ule, 'euehe</td>
<td>4'</td>
<td>6'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Scaevola sericea</td>
<td>naupaka, naupaka-kahakai</td>
<td>6'</td>
<td>8'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Senna gaudichaudii</td>
<td>kolomana</td>
<td>5'</td>
<td>5'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Solanum nelsonii</td>
<td>akia, beach solanum</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Vitex rotundifolia</td>
<td>pohinahina</td>
<td>3'</td>
<td>4'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Wikstroemia uva-ursi kauaiensis</td>
<td>akia, Molokai osmanthus</td>
<td>6'</td>
<td>10'</td>
<td>sea to higher</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh-Tr</td>
<td>Myoporum sandwicense</td>
<td>naio, false sandalwood</td>
<td>10'</td>
<td>10'</td>
<td>sea to higher</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh-Tr</td>
<td>Dodonaea viscosa</td>
<td>a'ali</td>
<td>6'</td>
<td>8'</td>
<td>sea to higher</td>
<td>Dry to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Aleurites moluccana</td>
<td>candlenut, kukul</td>
<td>50'</td>
<td>50'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Medium to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Calophyllum inophyllum</td>
<td>kamani, alexandrian laurel</td>
<td>60'</td>
<td>40'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Medium to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Cordia subcordata</td>
<td>kou</td>
<td>30'</td>
<td>25'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Hibiscus tesselatus</td>
<td>rakolahal, hau-hele</td>
<td>30'</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Morinda citrifolia</td>
<td>indin mulberry, noni</td>
<td>20'</td>
<td>15'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Pandanus tectorius</td>
<td>hala, puhala (HALELIST)</td>
<td>35'</td>
<td>25'</td>
<td>sea to 1,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Thespesia populnea</td>
<td>milo</td>
<td>30'</td>
<td>30'</td>
<td>sea to 3,000'</td>
<td>Dry to Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Ipomoea pes-caprae</td>
<td>beach morning glory, pohuehue</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common name</td>
<td>Scientific name</td>
<td>Plant family</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>black wattle</td>
<td>Acacia mearnsii</td>
<td>Mimosaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>blackberry</td>
<td>Rubus argutus</td>
<td>Rosaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>blue gum</td>
<td>Eucalyptus globulus</td>
<td>Myrtaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bocconia</td>
<td>Bocconia frutescens</td>
<td>Papaveraceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>broad-leaved cordia</td>
<td>Cordia gabra</td>
<td>Boraginaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>broomedge, yellow bluestem</td>
<td>Andropogon virginicus</td>
<td>Poaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>buffelgrass</td>
<td>Cenchrus ciliaris</td>
<td>Poaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>butterfly bush, smoke bush</td>
<td>Buddleja madagascariensis</td>
<td>Buddleaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cats claw, Mysore thorn, wait-a-bit</td>
<td>Caesalpinia decapetala</td>
<td>Caesalpinaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>common ironwood</td>
<td>Casuarina equisetifolia</td>
<td>Casuarinaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>common velvet grass, Yorkshire fog</td>
<td>Holcus lanatus</td>
<td>Poaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fiddlewood</td>
<td>Citharexylum spinosum</td>
<td>Verbenaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fire tree, faya tree</td>
<td>Myrica faya</td>
<td>Myricaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glorybower</td>
<td>Clerodendrum laponicum</td>
<td>Verbenaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hairy cat's ear,gosmore</td>
<td>Hypochoeris radicata</td>
<td>Asteraceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hoale koa</td>
<td>Leucaena leucocephala</td>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ivy gourd, scarlet-fruited gourd</td>
<td>Coccinia grandis</td>
<td>Cucurbitaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>juniper berry</td>
<td>Citharexylum caudatum</td>
<td>Verbenaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kahili flower</td>
<td>Grevillea banksii</td>
<td>Proteaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>klu, popinc</td>
<td>Acacia farnesiana</td>
<td>Mimosaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>logwood, bloodwood tree</td>
<td>Haematoxylon campechianum</td>
<td>Caesalpinaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>loquat</td>
<td>Eriobotrya japonica</td>
<td>Rosaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meadow ricegrass</td>
<td>Ehrharta stipoides</td>
<td>Poaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>melaleuca</td>
<td>Melaleuca quinquenervia</td>
<td>Myrtaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miconia, velvet leaf</td>
<td>Miconia calvescens</td>
<td>Melastomataceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>narrow-leaved carpetgrass</td>
<td>Axonopus fissifolius</td>
<td>Poaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oleaster</td>
<td>Elaeagnus umbellata</td>
<td>Elaeagnaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oriental mangoe</td>
<td>Bruguiera gymnorrhiza</td>
<td>Rhizophoraceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>padanum cassia</td>
<td>Chamaecytis umbellata</td>
<td>Lauraceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>palmgrass</td>
<td>Setaria palmifolia</td>
<td>Poaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pearl flower</td>
<td>Heterocentron subtilpinervium</td>
<td>Melastomataceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quinine tree</td>
<td>Cinchona pubescens</td>
<td>Rubiaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>satin leaf, caitilillo</td>
<td>Chrysophyllum oliviforme</td>
<td>Sapotaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>silkwood, Queensland maple</td>
<td>Findersia brayleyana</td>
<td>Rutaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>silky oak, silver oak</td>
<td>Grevillea robusta</td>
<td>Proteaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>strawberry guava</td>
<td>Psidium cattleianum</td>
<td>Myrtaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>swamp oak, saltmarsh, longleaf ironwood</td>
<td>Casuarina glauca</td>
<td>Casuarinaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sweet vernalgrass</td>
<td>Anthoxantum odoratum</td>
<td>Poaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tree of heaven</td>
<td>Alanthus alissima</td>
<td>Simaroubaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trumpet tree, guarumo</td>
<td>Cecropia obtusifolia</td>
<td>Cecropiaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>white ginger</td>
<td>Hedychium coronarium</td>
<td>Zingiberaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>white moho</td>
<td>Helicarpus popayanensis</td>
<td>Tilliaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yellow ginger</td>
<td>Hedychium flavescens</td>
<td>Zingiberaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common name</td>
<td>Scientific name</td>
<td>Plant family</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jasminum fluminense</td>
<td>Oleaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthrostema ciliatum</td>
<td>Melastomataceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissotis rotundifolia</td>
<td>Melastomataceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erigeron karvinskianus</td>
<td>Asteraceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eucalyptus robusta</td>
<td>Myrtaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helichrysum gardnerianum</td>
<td>Zingiberaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juncus plantifolius</td>
<td>Juncaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lophostemon confertus</td>
<td>Myrtaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medinilla cumingii</td>
<td>Melastomataceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medinilla magnifica</td>
<td>Melastomataceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medinilla venosa</td>
<td>Melastomataceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melastoma candidum</td>
<td>Melastomataceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melinis minutiflora</td>
<td>Poaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olea europaea</td>
<td>Melastomataceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxyspora paniculata</td>
<td>Poaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panicum maximum</td>
<td>Poaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passalum urvillei</td>
<td>Poaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passiflora edulis</td>
<td>Passifloraceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phormium tenax</td>
<td>Agavaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinus taeda</td>
<td>Pinaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prosopis pallida</td>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pterolepis glomerata</td>
<td>Melastomataceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhodomyrtus tomentosa</td>
<td>Myrtaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schefflera actinophylla</td>
<td>Araliaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syzygium jambos</td>
<td>Myrtaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australian blackwood</td>
<td>Acacia melanoxylon</td>
<td>Mimosaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australian tree fern</td>
<td>Cyathea cooperi</td>
<td>Cyatheaeeae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australian tree fern</td>
<td>Sphaeropteris cooperi</td>
<td>Cyatheaeeae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beggar's tick, Spanish needle</td>
<td>Bidera pilosa</td>
<td>Asteraceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California grass</td>
<td>Brachiaria mutica</td>
<td>Poaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese banyon, Maylayan banyon</td>
<td>Ficus microcarpa</td>
<td>Moraceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese violet</td>
<td>Asystasia gangetica</td>
<td>Acanthaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christmasberry, Brazilian pepper</td>
<td>Schinus terebinthifolius</td>
<td>Anacardiaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formosan koa</td>
<td>Acacia confusa</td>
<td>Mimosaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German ivy</td>
<td>Senecio mikanoides</td>
<td>Asteraceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese honeysuckle</td>
<td>Loniceria japonica</td>
<td>Caprifoliaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koeler's curse</td>
<td>Clidemia hirta</td>
<td>Melastomataceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lantana</td>
<td>Lantana camara</td>
<td>Verbenaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauritius hemp</td>
<td>Furcraea foelida</td>
<td>Agavaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican ash, tropical ash</td>
<td>Mexican uhdlei</td>
<td>Oleaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican tulip poppy</td>
<td>Hunnemania tumarifolia</td>
<td>Papaveraceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mules foot, Madagascar tree fern</td>
<td>Angiopteris evecta</td>
<td>Marattaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand laurel, karakaranut</td>
<td>Corynocarpus laevigatus</td>
<td>Corynocarpaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand tea</td>
<td>Leptospermum scoparium</td>
<td>Myrtaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pampas grass</td>
<td>Cortaderia jubata</td>
<td>Poaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panama rubber tree, Mexican rubber tree</td>
<td>Castillcia elastica</td>
<td>Moraceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoebutter ardisia</td>
<td>Ardisia elliptica</td>
<td>Myrsinaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>banana poka</td>
<td>Passiflora mollissima</td>
<td>Passifloraceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Selection

As a general rule, it is best to select the largest and healthiest specimens. However, be sure to note that they are not pot-bound. Smaller, younger plants may result in a low rate of plant survival.\footnote{K. Nagata, P.6} When selecting native species, consider the site they are to be planted in, and the space that you have to plant. For example: Mountain species such as koa and maile will not grow well in hot coastal areas exposed to strong ocean breezes. Lowland and coastal species such as wiliwili and Kou require abundant sunshine and porous soil. They will not grow well with frequent cloud cover, high rainfall and heavy soil.

Consider too, the size that the species will grow to be. It is not wise to plant trees that will grow too large.\footnote{K. Nagata, P.9} Overplanting tends to be a big problem in the landscape due to the underestimation of a species' height, width or spread.

A large, dense canopied tree such as the kukui is a good shade tree for a lawn. However, it's canopy size and density of shade will limit what can be planted in the surrounding area. Shade cast by a koa and ohia lehua is relatively light and will not inhibit growth beneath it.

Keep seasons in mind when you are selecting your plants. Not all plants look good year round, some plants such as ilima will look scraggly after they have flowered and formed seeds. Avoid planting large areas with only one native plant. Mixing plants which naturally grow together will ensure the garden will look good all year round.\footnote{Nagata, P.9} Looking at natural habitats helps to show how plants grow naturally in the landscape.

When planting an area with a mixed-ecosystem, keep in mind the size and ecological requirements of each plant. Start with the hardiest and most easily grown species, but allow space for fragile ones in subsequent plantings.

Acquiring natives

Plants in their wild habitat must be protected and maintained. It is best and easiest to get your plants from nurseries (see list), or friend's gardens. Obtain proper permits from landowners and make sure you follow a few common sense rules:

\begin{itemize}
\item collect sparingly from each plant or area.
\item some plants are on the state or Federal Endangered Species list. Make sure you get permits (see app. A,B)
\end{itemize}
Soil

Once you have selected your site and the plants you wish to establish there, you must look at the soil conditions on the site. Proper soil is necessary for the successful growth of most native plants, which perform poorly in hard pan, clay or adobe soils. If natives are to be planted in these types of soil, it would be wise to dig planting holes several times the size of the rootball and backfill with 50-75% compost. A large planting hole ensures the development of a strong root system. The plant will have a headstart before the roots penetrate the surrounding poor soil.

It is recommended that native plants not be planted in ground that is more dense than potting soil. If there is no alternative, dig a hole in a mound of soil mixed with volcanic cinder which encourages maximum root development. Fill the hole with water, if the water tends to puddle or drain too slowly, dig a deeper hole until the water does not puddle longer than 1 or 2 minutes. Well-drained soil is one of the most important things when planting natives as you will see in the next section.

Irrigation

Most natives do very poorly in waterlogged conditions. Do not water if the soil is damp. Water when the soil is dry and the plants are wilting. Once established, a good soaking twice a week should suffice. Deep soaking encourages the development of stronger, and deeper root systems. This is better than frequent and shallow watering which encourage weaker, more shallow root systems.

The following is a watering schedule from Kenneth Nagata's Booklet, How To Plant A Native Hawaiian Garden:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WATER REQUIREMENT</th>
<th>WATERING FREQUENCY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heavy</td>
<td>3x / week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>2x / week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light</td>
<td>1x / week</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Red clay soils hold more water for a longer period of time than sandy soils do. If your area is very sunny or near a beach, things will dry out faster. Even in the area of one garden, there are parts that will need more or less water. Soils can vary and amount of shade and wind differ. After plants are established (a month or two for most plants, up to a year for some trees), you can back off watering.

---

4 Nagata, p. 6
5 Nagata, p. 8
6 Nagata, p. 8
Automatic sprinkler systems are expensive to install and must be checked and adjusted regularly. Above-ground systems allow you to monitor how much water is being put out, but you lose a lot due to malfunctioning of sprinkler heads and wind. The most efficient way to save water and make sure your plants get enough water, is to hand-water. This way you are getting our precious water to the right places in the right amounts.\(^7\)

**Fertilizer**

An all-purpose fertilizer 10-10-10 is adequate for most species. They should be applied at planting time, 3 months later, and 6 months thereafter. Use half the dosage recommended for ornamentals and pay special attention to native ferns which are sensitive to strong fertilizers. Use of organic composts and aged animal manures is suggested instead of chemical fertilizers. In addition, use of cinders for providing trace minerals is strongly recommended.\(^8\)

Natives are plants which were here hundreds of years before the polynesians inhabited the Hawaiian Islands. They were brought here by birds, or survived the harsh ocean conditions to float here. They are well-adapted to Hawaii’s varying soil and environmental conditions. This is why they make prime specimens for a xeriscape garden. However, natives will not thrive on their own, especially under harsh conditions. On the other hand, like any other plant, if you over-water and over-fertilize them, they will die. Follow the instructions given to you by the nursery you buy the plant from, or from this booklet. Better yet, buy a book (suggested readings can be found in the bibliography in the back of this pamphlet), read it, and learn more about native plants. I guarantee that you will be pleased with the results.

\(^7\) Bornhorst, p. 19-20

\(^8\) Nagata, p. 6
Propagation

There are many ways to propagate and plant-out native Hawaiian species. One of the most thorough and helpful book is Heidi Bornhorst’s book, Growing Native Hawaiian Plants. The easiest, and best way to obtain natives for the novice gardener is to get them from a reputable nursery (see appendix c). That way all you will have to do is know how to transplant (if necessary) and plant-out when you are ready. These are the two methods I have listed here.

Transplanting

1. Use pots that are one size bigger than the potted plant is in
2. Get your potting medium ready
   Good potting medium is a ¼, ⅓ mixture of peat moss and perlite. If the plant is from a dry or coastal area, add chunks of cinder or extra perlite. If it is a wet forest species, add more peat moss or compost. Be aware that peat moss is very acidic and certain plants react severely to acidity.

If the plant is to eventually be planted into the ground, make a mix of equal parts peat moss, perlite, and soil from the area in which the plant is to be planted. Slow-release fertilizer can be mixed into the potting medium.

3. Once pots, potting medium, fertilizer and water are ready, you can begin re-potting. Keep the plant stem at the same depth it was in the original pot. Avoid putting the plant in too large a pot, as the plant may not be able to soak up all the water in the soil and the roots may drown and rot.

   Mix potting medium and add slow-release fertilizer at this time. Pre-wet the medium to keep dust down and lessen shock to the plant. Put medium in bottom of pot. Measure for the correct depth in the new pot. Make sure there is from ½ to 2 inches from the top of the pot so the plant can get adequate water. Try to stand the plant upright and center the stem in the middle of the pot.

   Water the plant thoroughly after transplanting. A vitamin B-1 transplanting solution can help to lessen the transplant shock. Keep the plant in the same type of environment as it was before, sun or shade. If roots were broken, trimm off some of the leaves to compensate for the loss.9

Planting out

1. Plant most native Hawaiian plants in a sunny location in soil that is well-drained.
2. Make the planting hole twice as wide as the root ball or present pot, and just as deep. If the soil is clay-like, and drains slowly, mix in some coarse red or bland cinder, coarse perlite or

9 Bornhorst, p.20-21
coarse compost. Place some slow-release fertilizer at the bottom of the hole.

3. Carefully remove the plant from the container and place it in the hole.
The top of the soil should be at the same level as the top of the hole, if it is too high or too low, adjust the soil level so that the plant is at the right depth.

4. Water thoroughly after you transplant.

**Mulch**

Most natives cannot compete with weeds, and therefore must be weeded around constantly in order to thrive. Mulch is a practical alternative, which discourages and prevents weeds from growing.

Hawaii’s hot, humid climate leads to the breaking down of organic mulches. Thick organic mulches such as wood chips and leaves, may also be hiding places for pests.

Stone mulches are attractive, permanent and can help to improve soil quality. Red or black cinder, blue rock chips, smooth river rocks and coral chips are some natural choices.\textsuperscript{10}

Macadamia nut hulls are also easy to find and can make a nice mulch.\textsuperscript{11}

Never pile up mulch right next to the stem or trunk of a plant, keep it a few inches away.

\textsuperscript{10} Bornhorst, p. 24

\textsuperscript{11} Nagata, p. 7
ZONES

The Maui County Planting Plan has compiled a system of 5 zones of plant growth for Maui County. The descriptions of zones and maps for these zones are as follows:

Zone 1:
Wet areas on the windward side of the island. More than 40 inches of rain per year. Higher than 3,000 feet.

Zone 2:
Cool, dry areas in higher elevations (above 1,000 feet). 20 to 40 inches of rain per year.

Zone 3:
Low, drier areas, warm to hot. Less than 20 inches of rain per year. Sea level to 1,000 feet.

Zone 4:
Lower elevations which are wetter due to proximity of mountains. 1,000 to 3,000 feet.

Zone 5:
Salt spray zones in coastal areas on the windward side.

These zones are to be used as a general guide to planting for Maui County. In addition to looking at the maps, read the descriptions of the zones and decide which zone best fits your area. Plants can be listed in more than one zone and can be planted in a variety of conditions. For best results, take notes on the rainfall, wind, sun and salt conditions of your site. Use the zones as a general guide for selection and read about the plants to decide which best fits your needs as far as care and or function.
PLACES TO SEE NATIVES ON MAUI:
The following places propagate native Hawaiian plants from seeds and/or cuttings. Their purpose is to protect and preserve these native plants. Please contact them before going to view the sites, they can provide valuable information and referral to other sources.

1. Hoolawa Farms
   P O Box 731
   Haiku HI 96708
   575-5099

2. The Hawaiian Collection
   1127 Manu Street
   Kula HI 96790
   878-1701

3. Kula Botanical Gardens
   RR4, Box 228
   Kula HI 96790
   878-1715

4. Maui Botanical Gardens
   Kanaloa Avenue, Kahului
   across from stadium
   249-2798

5. Kula Forest Reserve
   access road at the end of Waipoli Rd
   Call the Maui District Office
   984-8100

6. Wailea Point, Private Condominium residence
   4000 Wailea Alanui, Kihei
   public access points at Four Seasons Resort or Polo Beach
   875-9557

7. Kahanu Gardens, National Tropical Botanical Garden
   Alau Place, Hana HI 96713
   248-8912

8. Kahului Library Courtyard
   20 School Street
   Kahului HI 96732
   873-3097
PLACES TO BUY NATIVE PLANTS ON MAUI

1. Hoʻolawa Farms
   Anna Palomino
   P O Box 731
   Haiku HI 96708
   575-5099
   * The largest and best
   collection of natives in the
   state. They will deliver, but
   worth the drive to go and see!
   Will propagate upon request

2. Kahanu Gardens
   National Tropical Botanical
   Garden
   Alau Place, Hana
   248-8912

3. Kihana Nursery
   1708 South Kihei Road
   Kihei HI 96753
   879-1165

4. Kihei Garden and Landscape
   Waiko Road, Wailuku
   P O Box 1058
   Puunene HI 96784
   244-3804

5. Kula Ace Hardware and
   Nursery
   3600 Lower Kula Road
   Kula HI 96790
   876-0734
   * many natives in stock
   * get most of their plants from
   Hoʻolawa Farms
   * they take special requests

6. Kulamanu Farms - Ann Carter
   Kula HI 96790
   878-1801

7. Maui Nui Botanical Gardens
   Kanaloa Avenue
   (Across from stadium)
   Kahului HI 96732
   249-2798

8. Native Gardenscapes
   Robin McMillan
   1330 Lower Kimo Drive
   Kula HI 96790
   870-1421
   * grows native plants and installs
   landscapes including irrigation.

9. Native Hawaiian Tree Source
   1630 Piʻiholo Road
   Makawao HI 96768
   572-6180

10. Native Nursery, LLC
    Jonathan Keyser
    250-3341

11. New Moon Enterprises - Pat Bily
    47 Kahoea Place
    Kula HI 96790
    878-2441

12. Waiakoa Tree Farm - Kua Rogoff
    Pukalani HI 96768
    Cell - 264-4166
Jeffrey K. Eng, Director  
Department of Water Supply  
County of Maui  
200 South High Street  
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

SUBJECT: Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements at TMK (2)2-7-007:008 (por.), Haiku, Maui

Dear Mr. Eng:

Thank you for your letter dated December 4, 2009, responding to our Chapter 343, Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS) early consultation request for the subject project. On behalf of the applicant, the County of Maui, Department of Fire and Public Safety, we offer the following information in response to the comments noted in your letter:

Comment No. 1 (Source Availability and Consumption):

The project site is within the Department of Water Supply's Haiku service area.

The project site covers approximately 6.1 acres located within a County property of 27.9 acres. One 5/8-inch water meter is located on the property. The property also has water meter reservations for 3 (three) 5/8-inch water meters for a subdivision.

Response: We note the information provided on source availability and consumption, and that the subject property currently possesses water meter reservations for three (3) 5/8-inch water meters.

Comment No. 2 (System Infrastructure):

There is a 6-inch waterline and a fire hydrant located more than 1,000 feet from the project site on East Kuiaha Road near the east end of the County property. Storage is provided by the 0.5 million gallon (MG) Kaupakalua tank. These are inadequate for the proposed project.
The project scope proposes the installation of approximately 4,200 feet of waterline, beginning at a location approximately 2,300 feet south of the project site, to address domestic use and fire protection concerns.

During the building permit process, the applicant will be required to submit domestic, irrigation and fire flow calculations to determine water meter capacity and adequate fire protection. Approved fire flow calculation methods currently used by the Department of Water Supply are the "Guidance for Determination of Required Fire Flow" as published by the Insurance Services Office in 1974, 2001 and 2006, or "Fire Flow" as published by the Hawaii Insurance Bureau in 1991. Also required is the installation of a reduced pressure back-flow prevention device, approved by the DWS. For more information or clarification on the device, please contact our Engineering Division at 270-7835 or our Backflow and Cross-Connection Control Section at 270-6132.

Response: We note your comment regarding system infrastructure. The applicant will coordinate with your Department during the building permit application process to address water meter capacity and fire protection requirements for the site, as well as the installation of a reduced pressure back-flow prevention device for the project.

Comment No. 3 (Pollution Prevention):

The site overlies the Haiku aquifer which has a sustainable yield of 27 million gallons per day. The Department of Water Supply's goal is to protect the integrity of surface and groundwater resources. To achieve this, mitigation measures must be implemented to prevent any water pollution related impacts. Best management practices for construction should, therefore, be applied.

Response: We note your comment regarding pollution prevention. A program of Best Management Practices (BMPs) will be implemented for the project and will be discussed in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA).
Comment No. 4 (Conservation Measures):

The Department of Water Supply encourages the applicant to consider the following conservation measures in the project design, as well as during construction:

1. Utilize reclaimed or non-potable water for dust control, irrigation and other non-potable uses.
2. Water after 7:00 p.m. at night and before 10:00 a.m. in the morning.
4. Prevent over-Watering by automated systems - Provide rain-sensors on all automated irrigation controllers. Check and reset controllers at least once a month to reflect the monthly changes in evaporation rates at the site. As an alternative, provide more automated, soil-moisture sensors on controllers.
5. Maintain fixtures to prevent leaks - A simple, regular program of repair and maintenance can prevent the loss of hundreds or even thousands of gallons per day.
6. Limit irrigated turf - Low-water use shrubs and ground cover can be equally attractive and require substantially less water than turf.
7. Select climate adapted native plant species for landscaping - Native plants adapted to the area conserve water and protect the watershed from degradation due to invasive alien species.
8. Look for opportunities to conserve water - Here are a few samples: 1) When clearing debris, use a broom instead of a hose and water; 2) Check for leaks in pipes, faucets and toilets.

Response: The suggested water conservation measures will be forwarded to the applicant for the review and possible incorporation into the design of the project, as applicable. We also note that the proposed project aims to undergo certification by the Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) green building rating system. Proposed water conservation measures for the project will include water use reduction measures, water efficient landscaping, and innovative wastewater technologies.
We appreciate the input provided by your office and will include a copy of your letter in the Draft EA for the project.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at 244-2015.

Very truly yours,

Mark Alexander Roy, AICP
Program Manager

MAR:yp
cc:    Lee Mainaga, County of Maui, Department of Fire and Public Safety
       Wendy Taomoto, County of Maui, Department of Management
       Kathleen Aoki, County of Maui, Department of Planning
       Terry McFarland, Architects Hawaii Limited
       Diane Kodama, AECOM Pacific, Inc.
November 20, 2009

Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc.
Mark Alexander Roy, AICP, Project Manager
305 High Street, Suite 104
Wailuku, Hawai‘i 96793

Dear Mr. Roy:

SUBJECT: HAiku FIRE STATION AND RELATED IMPROVEMENTS

I am happy to see that LEED certification will be sought and of all the water conservation planning. The proposed new waterlines are also reassuring.

The unanswered question that remains for me and my Upcountry constituents is “where will the water come from” for this project.

Please be sure that the Draft EA adequately addresses this issue. Thank you for the opportunity to provide some input.

Aloha and mahalo,

GLADYS C. BAISA
Council Member
Honorable Gladys Baisa  
Maui County Council  
200 South High Street  
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

SUBJECT: Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements at TMK (2)2-7-007:008 (por.), Haiku, Maui

Dear Councilmember Baisa:

Thank you for your letter dated November 20, 2009, responding to our Chapter 343, Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS) early consultation request for the subject project. On behalf of the applicant, the County of Maui, Department of Fire and Public Safety, we offer the following information in response to the comments noted in your letter:

Comment No. 1:

I am happy to see that LEED certification will be sought and of all the water conservation planning. The proposed new waterlines are also reassuring.

Response: We appreciate your support for the Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) certification process and water conservation planning aspects for the proposed project. We look forward to your continued support in completion of this project.

Comment No. 2:

The unanswered question that remains for me and my Upcountry constituents is "where will the water come from" for this project.

Please be sure that the Draft EA adequately addresses this issue. Thank you for the opportunity to provide some input.
Response: Based on information provided by the County of Maui, Department of Water Supply, the subject property currently possesses a water meter reservation for three (3) 5/8-inch water meters. A 4,200 feet waterline will connect the project site to an existing waterline approximately 2,300 feet south of the project site. A description of the water system requirements for the proposed project will be included in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA).

We appreciate the input provided by your office and will include a copy of your letter in the Draft EA for the project.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at 244-2015.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

Mark Alexander Roy, AICP
Program Manager

MAR:yp
cc: Lee Mainaga, County of Maui, Department of Fire and Public Safety
    Wendy Taomoto, County of Maui, Department of Management
    Kathleen Aoki, County of Maui, Department of Planning
    Terry McFarland, Architects Hawaii Limited
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December 7, 2009

Munekiyro and Hiraga, Inc.
Attention: Mark Alexander Roy, Project Manager
305 High Street, Suite 104
Wailuku, HI 96793

SUBJECT: EARLY CONSULTATION COMMENTS FOR PROPOSED HAiku FIRE STATION AND RELATED IMPROVEMENTS at TMK (2) 2-7-007:008 (Por.), HAIKU, MAUI, HAWAII

Dear Mr. Roy:

Thank you for the opportunity to provide early comments in preparation for the Draft Environmental Assessment for the Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements at TMK (2) 2-7-007:008 (Por.), HAIKU, MAUI, HAWAII.

After review of the information presented, I have no specific comments at this time.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
JOSEPH PONTANILLA,
COUNCIL MEMBER
Hawaiian Telcom

November 30, 2009

Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc.
305 High Street, Suite 104
Wailuku, Maui, Hawaii 96793

ATTN: Mark Alexander Roy, AICP, Project Manager

SUBJECT: PROPOSED HAiku FIRE STATION; HAiku, ISLAND OF MAUI
EARLY CONSULTATION REQUEST AND RELATED IMPROVEMENTS AT
TMK: (2) 2-7-007:009 (POR), HAiku, MAui, HAwiII
COUNTY OF MAUI, DEPT. OF FIRE AND PUBLIC SAFETY (applicant)

Dear Mr. Roy:

Thank you for providing Hawaiian Telcom Incorporated, the opportunity to comment on the Early Consultation and Related Improvements for the Proposed Haiku Fire Station off of Hana Hwy., Haiku, on the Island of Maui.

With regards to Figure 4, specifically the proposed placement of the Wind Turbines, please note that the proximity of the placement of the proposed turbines with relation to the existing aerial telephone facilities along Hana Highway must take into consideration OSHA regulations.

If there are any questions, please call Sheri Tihada at (808) 242-5258.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Lynette Yoshida
Senior Manager –
Network Engineering & Planning

C: File (3005 0911-085)
   S. Tihada
Lynette Yoshida, Senior Manager  
Network Engineering & Planning  
Hawaiian Telcom  
1177 Bishop Street  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813  

SUBJECT: Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements at TMK  
(2)2-7-007:008 (por.), Haiku, Maui  

Dear Ms. Yoshida:

Thank you for your letter dated November 30, 2009, responding to our Chapter 343, Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS) early consultation request for the subject project. On behalf of the applicant, the County of Maui, Department of Fire and Public Safety, we offer the following information in response to the comments noted in your letter:

Comment No. 1:

With regards to Figure 4, specifically the proposed placement of the Wind Turbines, please note that the proximity of the placement of the proposed turbines with relation to the existing aerial telephone facilities along Hana Highway must take into consideration OSHA regulations.

Response: The National Electrical Safety Code (NESC) and Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) codes require that equipment energized at 50 kV or less (such as the proposed wind turbines) be placed a minimum of ten (10) feet away from electrically charged power lines. As the current design plans of the proposed project place the wind turbines are approximately thirty (30) feet away from the existing aerial telephone facilities along Hana Highway, the conditions of the NESC and OSHA codes are satisfied.

We also note that the proposed wind turbines will utilize a vertical axis design instead of the conventional horizontal axis (windmill/propeller) design. These turbines will have the capability to utilize wind from any direction.
We appreciate the input provided by your office and will include a copy of your letter in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA) for the project.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (808) 244-2015.

Very truly yours,

Mark Alexander Roy, AICP
Program Manager

cc: Lee Mainaga, County of Maui, Department of Fire and Public Safety
Wendy Taomoto, County of Maui, Department of Management
Kathleen Aoki, County of Maui, Department of Planning
Terry McFarland, Architects Hawaii Limited
Diane Kodama, AECOM Pacific, Inc.
November 20, 2009

Mr. Mark Alexander Roy, AICP
Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc.
305 High Street, Suite 104
Wailuku, Maui, Hawaii 96793

Subject: Early Consultation for the Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements
Hana Highway
Haiku, Maui, Hawaii
Tax Map Key: (2) 2-7-007: 008 (por.)

Dear Mr. Roy,

Thank you for allowing us to comment on the early consultation for the subject project.

In reviewing our records and the information received, Maui Electric Company (MECO) has no objection to the subject project at this time. However, we highly encourage the customer’s electrical consultant to submit an electrical service request so that any service can be provided on a timely basis.

We also suggest that the customer or their consultant make contact with our Renewable Energy Department at 871-8461 for the installation of the wind turbine system.

Should you have any questions or concerns, please call me at 871-2340.

Sincerely,

Ray Okazaki
Staff Engineer
Ray Okazaki  
Maui Electric Company, Ltd.  
P. O. Box 398  
Kahului, Hawaii  96733-6898  

SUBJECT: Proposed Haiku Fire Station and Related Improvements at TMK  
(2)2-7-007:008 (por.), Haiku, Maui  

Dear Mr. Okazaki:  

Thank you for your letter dated November 20, 2009, responding to our Chapter 343,  
Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS) early consultation request for the subject project. On  
behalf of the applicant, the County of Maui, Department of Fire and Public Safety, we  
offer the following information in response to the comments noted in your letter:  

Comment No. 1:  

In reviewing our records and the information received, Maui Electric Company (MECO)  
has no objection to the subject project at this time. However, we highly encourage the  
customer's electrical consultant to submit an electrical service request so that any  
service can be provided on a timely basis.  

Response: The applicant notes that MECO has no objection to the proposed project. The  
project's electrical consultant will coordinate with MECO to submit an electrical service request so that service can be provided on a timely basis.  

Comment No. 2:  

We also suggest that the customer or their consultant make contact with our Renewable  
Energy Department at 871-8461 for the installation of the wind turbine system.
Response: The applicant acknowledges your comment and will consult with MECO’s Renewable Energy Department regarding installation of the wind turbine system.

We appreciate the input provided by your office and will include a copy of your letter in the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA) for the project.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at 244-2015.

Very truly yours,

Mark Alexander Roy, AICP
Program Manager

MAR:yp
cc: Lee Mainaga, County of Maui, Department of Fire and Public Safety
    Wendy Taomoto, County of Maui, Department of Management
    Kathleen Aoki, County of Maui, Department of Planning
    Terry McFarland, Architects Hawaii Limited
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APPENDIX A.

Preliminary Development Plans
1. SECTION @ GRIDLINE B

2. SECTION @ GRIDLINE 10
NOTES FOR CONSTRUCTION WITHIN THE STATE RIGHT-OF-WAY:

1. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL DESIGN A FLOW TO PERFORM WORK UPHON STATE HIGHWAYS FROM THE STATE DISTRICT HIGHWAY OFFICE, AT 410 HAPALOA DRIVE, PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORK. A COPY OF THE DESIGN, INCLUDING THE LOCATION OF THE STATE RIGHT-OF-WAY, SHALL BE PROVIDED TO THE DISTRICT DISRUPTION ENGINEER AND THE PRINCIPAL ENGINEER OF THE STATE ROAD SHOWERS' HIGHWAY DIVISION ON OR BEFORE THE DATE OF THE COMMENCEMENT OF WORK.


3. ALL LANES SHALL BE CLOSED TO TRAFFIC DURING THE MORNINGS FROM 6:30 A.M. TO 8:30 A.M. AND FROM 3:00 P.M. TO 5:00 P.M. ONLY ONE LANE OF TRAFFIC SHALL BE CLOSED AT ANY OTHER TIME.

4. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE, RETAIL, AND MAINTAIN ALL NECESSARY EQUIPMENT, LIGHTS, SIGNS, BARREIERS, MARKINGS, AND OTHER PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT AND SHALL TAKE ALL NECESSARY PRECAUTIONS FOR THE PROTECTION, CONVENIENCE, AND SAFETY OF THE TRAVELING PUBLIC. ALL SUCH PROTECTIVE PRECAUTIONS AND PRECAUTIONS SHALL CONFORM TO THE PRECAUTIONS REQUIREMENTS OF THE STATE ROAD SHOWERS' HIGHWAY DIVISION.

5. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL APPROPRIATELY MANAGE TRAFFIC. TRAFFIC SHEDS OR INDICATIONS OF TRAFFIC CONTROL ARE RECOMMENDED FOR STATUS REPORTS TO THE DISTRICT ENGINEER AND THE PRINCIPAL ENGINEER OF THE STATE ROAD SHOWERS' HIGHWAY DIVISION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ASSUME THE DUTY OF KEEPING THE TRAFFIC CONTROL AREA CLEAN AND FREE OF HAZARDOUS OR OBSTRUCTIVE MATERIALS. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR BURNT, NARROWED, OR OBSTRUCTED HIGHWAY AREAS.

6. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL DAMAGES CAUSED BY THE CONTRACTOR'S EQUIPMENT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL DAMAGES CAUSED TO ANY OTHER FACILITIES WITHIN THE STATE RIGHT-OF-WAY, DAMAGES TO ANY EXISTING FACILITIES ARE IMPOSSIBLY REPORTED TO THE RESPONSIBLE COST RECOVERY ENTITY OR COST RECOVERY AGENCY.

WATER NOTES:

1. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE MAUI DEPARTMENT OF WATER SUPPLY (MOWS), IN WRITING, ONE (1) WEEK PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORK.

2. ALL WATER METER READINGS AND METER TAKING PROCEDURES OF CONSTRUCTION WATER FACILITIES SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CURRENT VERIFICATION OF WATER METER INDICATIONS ON MAUI HIGHWAY FACILITIES.

3. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE MAUI DEPARTMENT OF WATER SUPPLY (MOWS), IN WRITING, ONE (1) WEEK PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORK.

CONNECTIONS TO SYSTEM:

1. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE MAUI DEPARTMENT OF WATER SUPPLY (MOWS), IN WRITING, ONE (1) WEEK PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORK.

2. WHENEVER REUSABLE, MECHANICAL JOINT FITTINGS SHALL BE USED FOR BURIED APPLICATIONS, PLUMB JOINT FITTINGS SHALL BE USED FOR EXPRESSED APPLICATIONS.

3. ADVANCED DESIGNS WILL USE THE IMPACT CONNECTIONS TO THE EXISTING LINE, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL COSTS INCURRED BY THE MAUI DEPARTMENT OF WATER SUPPLY (MOWS), IN WRITING, ONE (1) WEEK PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORK.

4. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL COSTS INCURRED BY THE MAUI DEPARTMENT OF WATER SUPPLY (MOWS), IN WRITING, ONE (1) WEEK PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORK.

5. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL COSTS INCURRED BY THE MAUI DEPARTMENT OF WATER SUPPLY (MOWS), IN WRITING, ONE (1) WEEK PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORK.

6. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL COSTS INCURRED BY THE MAUI DEPARTMENT OF WATER SUPPLY (MOWS), IN WRITING, ONE (1) WEEK PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORK.

7. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL COSTS INCURRED BY THE MAUI DEPARTMENT OF WATER SUPPLY (MOWS), IN WRITING, ONE (1) WEEK PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORK.

8. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL COSTS INCURRED BY THE MAUI DEPARTMENT OF WATER SUPPLY (MOWS), IN WRITING, ONE (1) WEEK PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORK.

9. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL COSTS INCURRED BY THE MAUI DEPARTMENT OF WATER SUPPLY (MOWS), IN WRITING, ONE (1) WEEK PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORK.

10. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL COSTS INCURRED BY THE MAUI DEPARTMENT OF WATER SUPPLY (MOWS), IN WRITING, ONE (1) WEEK PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORK.

11. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL COSTS INCURRED BY THE MAUI DEPARTMENT OF WATER SUPPLY (MOWS), IN WRITING, ONE (1) WEEK PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORK.

12. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL COSTS INCURRED BY THE MAUI DEPARTMENT OF WATER SUPPLY (MOWS), IN WRITING, ONE (1) WEEK PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORK.

13. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL COSTS INCURRED BY THE MAUI DEPARTMENT OF WATER SUPPLY (MOWS), IN WRITING, ONE (1) WEEK PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORK.

14. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL COSTS INCURRED BY THE MAUI DEPARTMENT OF WATER SUPPLY (MOWS), IN WRITING, ONE (1) WEEK PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORK.

15. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL COSTS INCURRED BY THE MAUI DEPARTMENT OF WATER SUPPLY (MOWS), IN WRITING, ONE (1) WEEK PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORK.

16. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL COSTS INCURRED BY THE MAUI DEPARTMENT OF WATER SUPPLY (MOWS), IN WRITING, ONE (1) WEEK PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORK.

17. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL COSTS INCURRED BY THE MAUI DEPARTMENT OF WATER SUPPLY (MOWS), IN WRITING, ONE (1) WEEK PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORK.

18. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL COSTS INCURRED BY THE MAUI DEPARTMENT OF WATER SUPPLY (MOWS), IN WRITING, ONE (1) WEEK PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORK.

19. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL COSTS INCURRED BY THE MAUI DEPARTMENT OF WATER SUPPLY (MOWS), IN WRITING, ONE (1) WEEK PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORK.

20. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL COSTS INCURRED BY THE MAUI DEPARTMENT OF WATER SUPPLY (MOWS), IN WRITING, ONE (1) WEEK PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORK.

21. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL COSTS INCURRED BY THE MAUI DEPARTMENT OF WATER SUPPLY (MOWS), IN WRITING, ONE (1) WEEK PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORK.

22. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL COSTS INCURRED BY THE MAUI DEPARTMENT OF WATER SUPPLY (MOWS), IN WRITING, ONE (1) WEEK PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORK.

23. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL COSTS INCURRED BY THE MAUI DEPARTMENT OF WATER SUPPLY (MOWS), IN WRITING, ONE (1) WEEK PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORK.
OFFSITE WATERLINE A PLAN - 2

SCALE: 1"=20'
APPENDIX B.

Biological Resources Survey
BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES SURVEY

for the

HAIKU FIRE STATION

EAST KUYIHA, HAMAKUALOA, MAUI

by

ROBERT W. HOBDY
ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT
Kokomo, Maui
September 2009

Prepared for: Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc.
BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES SURVEY
HAIKU FIRE STATION
EAST KUIAHA, HAMAKUALOA, MAUI

INTRODUCTION

The Haiku Fire Station Project lies on approximately 7 acres of land adjacent to Hana Highway in East Kuiaha, Hamakualoa, Maui TMK (2) 2-7-07:08 (por.). This biological resources study was initiated in response to environmental requirements of the planning process and was conducted in September, 2009.

SITE DESCRIPTION

The project site consists of gently to moderately sloping lands above Hana Highway and just west of East Kuiaha Road. Elevations range from 520 feet and 550 feet above sea level. Annual rainfall averages about 50 inches with the bulk falling during the winter months (Armstrong, 1983). Soils are entirely of the Haiku Series which are deep, well-drained clay soils (Foote et al, 1972). Vegetation consists mainly of a dense growth of large tropical grasses and a scattering of trees. A few structures are situated on the property along with a large concrete slab (see Figures 1-4).

BIOLOGICAL HISTORY

This site was once a lowland, wet native forest consisting of ‘ōhi’a (*Metrosideros polymorpha*), hala (*Pandanus tectorius*), kukui (*Aleurites moluccana*) and a variety of shrubs, vines and ferns. Hawaiians were scattered throughout these lowlands with concentrations in the fertile valley bottoms and along the coast.

The project area was used for pineapple farming in the early 1900s and was cleared, plowed and cultivated for this purpose until about 1960. Following this a nursery operation was developed here with a large greenhouse and some buildings. This was discontinued about 10 years ago, and since then the land has been used as pasture or left idle.
SURVEY OBJECTIVES

This report summarizes the findings of flora and fauna survey for the Haiku Fire Station Project which was conducted in September 2009. The objectives of the survey were to:

1. Document what plant, bird and mammal species occur on the property or may likely occur in the existing habitat.

2. Document the status and abundance of each species.

3. Determine the presence or likely occurrence of any native flora and fauna, particularly any that are Federally listed as Threatened or Endangered. If such occur, identify what features of the habitat may be essential for these species.

4. Determine if the project area contains any special habitats which if lost or altered might result in a significant negative impact on the flora and fauna in this part of the island.

5. Note which aspects of the proposed development pose significant concerns for plants or for wildlife and recommend measures that would mitigate or avoid these problems.

BOTANICAL SURVEY REPORT

SURVEY METHODS

A walk-through botanical survey method was used covering the entire 7 acre parcel including the alternate access route to the southeast corner. Binoculars were used to scan the property and an inventory of all plant species encountered was made.

DESCRIPTION OF THE VEGETATION

The dominant vegetation on the property is a rank growth of large grass species including: Napier grass (*Pennisetum purpureum*), Guinea grass (*Panicum maximum*), molasses grass (*Melinis minutiflora*) and Hilo grass (*Paspalum conjugatum*). There are a few large trees that have grown on the site including African tulip tree (*Spathodea campanulata*), common ironwood (*Casuarina equisetifolia*), albizia (*Falcataria moluccana*) and Java plum (*Syzygium cumini*). A host of herbaceous weeds associated with its former use as a nursery abound.

A total of 104 plant species were recorded during the survey. Just 5 of these were native Hawaiian species: pala’a fern (*Sphenomeris chinensis*), (*Cyperus polystachyos*) no common name, koalī awahia (*Ipomoea indica*), popolo (*Solanum americanum*) and ‘ualoa (*Waltheria indica*). All of these are extremely widespread and common species indigenous in Hawaii and many other Pacific islands.

Two species were Polynesian introductions: ki or ti leaf (*Cordyline fruticosa*) and ‘ihi (*Oxalis corniculata*). The remaining 97 species were non-native trees, shrubs, grasses and weeds.
DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This project area is in a highly altered environmental condition. The site is dominated by aggressive weeds. Five common indigenous native species were found on the property. None of these is a federally Threatened or Endangered species, nor are any of these candidates for such status. There are no special habitats of environmental importance on this property either.

Because of the above conditions on the property it is determined that the proposed development should not have any significant negative impacts on the botanical resources in this part of Maui.

It is recommended, however, that an array of native plants be used in the landscape plans for the proposed facilities to lend a special Hawaiian accent to the project.

PLANT SPECIES LIST

Following is a checklist of all those vascular plant species inventoried during the field studies. Plant families are arranged alphabetically within each of four groups: Ferns, Conifers, Monocots and Dicots. Taxonomy and nomenclature of the Ferns follow Palmer (2003); the Conifers and the flowering plants (Monocots and Dicots) are in accordance with Wagner et al. (1999) and Staples and Herbst (2005).

For each species, the following information is provided:

1. Scientific name with author citation.

2. Common English or Hawaiian name.

3. Bio-geographical status. The following symbols are used:

   endemic = native only to the Hawaiian Islands; not naturally occurring anywhere else in the world.

   indigenous = native to the Hawaiian Islands and also to one or more other geographic area(s).

   non-native = all those plants brought to the islands intentionally or accidentally after western contact.

4. Abundance of each species within the project area:

   abundant = forming a major part of the vegetation within the project area.

   common = widely scattered throughout the area or locally abundant within a portion of it.

   uncommon = scattered sparsely throughout the area or occurring in a few small patches.

   rare = only a few isolated individuals within the project area.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCIENTIFIC NAME</th>
<th>COMMON NAME</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
<th>ABUNDANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>FERNS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LINDSAEACEAE (Lindsea Fern Family)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sphenomeris chinensis</em> (L.) Maxon</td>
<td><em>pala'ā</em></td>
<td>indigenous</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NEPHROLEPIDACEAE</strong> (Sword Fern Family)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nephrlepis brownii</em> (Desv.) Hovenkamp &amp; Miyam.</td>
<td>Asian sword fern</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>uncommon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>POLYPODIACEAE</strong> (Polypody Fern Family)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Phymatosorus grossus</em> (Langsd. &amp; Fisch.) Brownlie</td>
<td><em>lau'a'e</em></td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>THELYPTERIDACEAE</strong> (Marsh Fern Family)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Christella parasitica</em> (L.) H. Lev.</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>uncommon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CONIFERS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ARAUCARIACEAE</strong> (Araucaria Family)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Araucaria columnaris</em> (G. Forst.) J.D. Hooker</td>
<td><em>Cook pine</em></td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MONOCOTS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ARACEAE</strong> (PalmFamily)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Syngonium auritum</em> (L.) Schott</td>
<td><em>five fingers</em></td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ARECACEAE</strong> (Aroid Family)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Dypsis lutescens</em> (H. Wendl.) Beentjie &amp; J. Dransfield</td>
<td><em>golden-fruit palm</em></td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Roystonea regia</em> (Kunth) O.F. Cook</td>
<td><em>Cuban royal palm</em></td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ASPARAGACEAE</strong> (Asparagus Family)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cordyline fruticos</em> (L.) A. Chev.</td>
<td><em>ki, ti leaf</em></td>
<td>Polynesian</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Dracaena fragrans</em> (L.) Ker Gawler</td>
<td><em>fragrant dracaena</em></td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Dracaena marginata</em> Lamarck</td>
<td><em>money tree</em></td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COMMELINACEAE</strong> (Spiderwort Family)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Commelina diffusa</em> N.L. Burm.</td>
<td><em>honohono</em></td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CYPERACEAE</strong> (Sedge Family)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCIENTIFIC NAME</td>
<td>COMMON NAME</td>
<td>STATUS</td>
<td>ABUNDANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cyperus involucratus</em> Rottb.</td>
<td>umbrella sedge</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cyperus polystachyos</em> Rottb.</td>
<td>umbrella sedge</td>
<td>indigenous</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cyperus rotundus</em> L.</td>
<td>nut sedge</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HELICONIACEA</strong> (Heliconia Family)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Heliconia psittacorum</em> L.f.</td>
<td>parrots beak heliconia</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>POACEAE</strong> (Grass Family)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Axonopus compressus</em> (Sw.) P. Beauv.</td>
<td>broad-leaved carpetgrass</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chloris gayana</em> Kunth</td>
<td>Rhodes grass</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chloris radiata</em> (L.) Sw.</td>
<td>plushgrass</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cynodon dactylon</em> (L.) Pers.</td>
<td>Bermuda grass</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>uncommon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Digitaria ciliaris</em> (Retz.) Koeler</td>
<td>Henry's crabgrass</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Digitaria insularis</em> (L.) Mez ex ekman</td>
<td>sourgrass</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eleusine indica</em> (L.) Gaertn.</td>
<td>wiregrass</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eragrostis pectinacea</em> (Michx.) Nees</td>
<td>Carolina lovegrass</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Melinis minutiflora</em> P. Beauv.</td>
<td>molasses grass</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Melinis repens</em> (Willd.) Zizka</td>
<td>Natal redtop</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Panicum maximum</em> Jacq.</td>
<td>Guinea grass</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Paspalum conjugatum</em> Bergius</td>
<td>Hilo grass</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Paspalum fimbriatum</em> Kunth</td>
<td>Panama grass</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Paspalum urvillei</em> Steud.</td>
<td>Vasey grass</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>uncommon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Paspalum sp.</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pennisetum purpureum</em> Schumach.</td>
<td>Napier grass</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sporobolus africanus</em> (Poir.) Robyns &amp; Tournay</td>
<td>smutgrass</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sporobolus diander</em> (Retz.) P. Beauv.</td>
<td>Indian dropseed</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>uncommon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCIENTIFIC NAME</td>
<td>COMMON NAME</td>
<td>STATUS</td>
<td>ABUNDANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Urochloa mutica</em> (Forssk.) T.Q. Nguyen</td>
<td>California grass</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DICOTS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANACARDIACEAE (Mango Family)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Schinus terebinthifolius</em> Raddi</td>
<td>Christmas berry</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>uncommon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APIACEAE (Parsley Family)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ciclospermum leptophyllum</em> (Pers.) Sprague</td>
<td>fir-leaved celery</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APOCYNACEAE (Dogbane Family)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Asclepias physocarpa</em> (E.Mey.) Schlechter</td>
<td>baloon plant</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARALIACEAE (Ginseng Family)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Schefflera actinophylla</em> (Endl.) Harms</td>
<td>octopus tree</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTERACEAE (Sunflower Family)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ageratum conyzoides</em> L.</td>
<td>maile hohono</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bidens pilosa</em> L.</td>
<td>Spanish needle</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>uncommon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Calyptocarpus vialis</em> Less.</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Coryza bonariensis</em> (L.) Cronq.</td>
<td>hairy horseweed</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>uncommon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Coryza canadensis</em> (L.) Cronq.</td>
<td>horseweed</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cyanthillium cinereum</em> (L.) H. Rob.</td>
<td>little ironweed</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eclipta prostrata</em> (L.) L.</td>
<td>false daisy</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Elephantopus mollis</em> Kunth</td>
<td>elephant's foot</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Emilia fosbergii</em> Nicolson</td>
<td>red pualele</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Emilia sonchifolia</em> (L.) DC</td>
<td>violet pualele</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>uncommon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pluchea carolinensis</em> (Jacq.) G. Don</td>
<td>sourbush</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>uncommon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sonchus oleraceus</em> (L.)</td>
<td>pualele</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sphagneticola trilobata</em> (L.) Pruski</td>
<td>wedelia</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scientific Name</td>
<td>Common Name</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Abundance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Youngia japonica</em> (L.) DC.</td>
<td>Oriental hawksbeard</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIGNONIACEAE (Bignonia Family)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Spathodea campanulata</em> P. Beauv</td>
<td>African tulip tree</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>uncommon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BORAGINACEAE (Borage Family)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Heliotropium procumbens</em> Mill.</td>
<td>four-spike heliotrope</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARICACEAE (Papaya Family)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Carica papaya</em> L.</td>
<td>papaya</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASUARINACEAE (She-oak Family)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Casuarina equisetifolia</em> L.</td>
<td>common ironwood</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONVOLVULACEAE (Morning Glory Family)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ipomoea indica</em> (J. Burm.) Merr.</td>
<td>koali awahia</td>
<td>indigenous</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ipomoea triloba</em> L.</td>
<td>little bell</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUPHORBIACEAE (Spurge Family)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chamaesyce hirta</em> (L.) Millsp.</td>
<td>hairy spurge</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chamaesyce hypericifolia</em> (L.) Millsp.</td>
<td>graceful spurge</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>uncommon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chamaesyce thymifolia</em> (L.) Millsp.</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>uncommon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Phyllanthus debilis</em> Klein ex Willd.</td>
<td>niruri</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Phyllanthus tenellus</em> Roxb.</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>uncommon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FABACEAE (Pea Family)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chamaecrista nictitans</em> (L.) Moench</td>
<td>partridge pea</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>uncommon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Crotalaria incana</em> L.</td>
<td>fuzzy rattlepod</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>uncommon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Crotalaria pallida</em> Aiton</td>
<td>smooth rattlepod</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>uncommon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Desmanthus pernambucanus</em> (L.) Thellung</td>
<td>slender mimosa</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Desmodium incanum</em> DC</td>
<td>ka'imi clover</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Desmodium triflorum</em> (L.) DC</td>
<td>three-flowered beggarweed</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>uncommon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scientific Name</td>
<td>Common Name</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Abundance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythrina variegata L.</td>
<td>tiger claw</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falcataria moluccana (Miq.) Barneby &amp; Grimes</td>
<td>albizia</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigofera henecaphylla Jacq.</td>
<td>creeping indigo</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigofera suffruticosa Mill.</td>
<td>'inikö</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucaena leucocephala (Lam.) de Wit</td>
<td>koa haole</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macroptilliium lathyroides (L.) Urb.</td>
<td>wild bean</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mimosa pudica L.</td>
<td>hilahila</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>uncommon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GENTIANACEAE (Gentian Family)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centaurium erythraea Raf.</td>
<td>bitter herb</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LYTHRACEAE (Loosestrife Family)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuphea carthagenensis (Jacq.) Macbr.</td>
<td>tarweed</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MALVACEAE (Mallow Family)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hisbiscus x rosa-sinensis</td>
<td>hybrid hibiscus</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sida acuta N.L. Burm.</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sida rhombifolia L.</td>
<td>Cuban jute</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sida spinosa L.</td>
<td>prickly sida</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triumfetta semitinfra Jacq.</td>
<td>Sacramento bur</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waltheria indica L.</td>
<td>'uhaloa</td>
<td>indigenous</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MELASTOMATACEAE (Melastoma Family)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibouchina herbacea (DC.) Cogn.</td>
<td>cane tibouchina</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYRTACEAE (Myrtle Family)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eucalyptus robusta Sm.</td>
<td>swamp mahogany</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psidium guajava L.</td>
<td>common guava</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>uncommon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syzygium cumini (L.) Skeels</td>
<td>Java plum</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>uncommon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCIENTIFIC NAME</td>
<td>COMMON NAME</td>
<td>STATUS</td>
<td>ABUNDANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syzygium jambos (L.) Alston</td>
<td>rose apple</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYCTAGINACEAE (Four-o'clock Family)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bougainvillea spectabilis Willd.</td>
<td>bougainvillea</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OXALIDACEAE (Wood Sorrel Family)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxalis corniculata L.</td>
<td>'ihi</td>
<td>Polynesian</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PASSIFLORACEAE (Passion Flower Family)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passiflora edulis Sims</td>
<td>passion fruit</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLANTAGINACEAE (Plantain Family)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plantago lanceolata L.</td>
<td>narrow-leaved plantain</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLYGALACEAE (Milkwort Family)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polygala paniculata L.</td>
<td>milkwort</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>uncommon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUBIACEAE (Coffee Family)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentas lanceolata (Forsskal) Deflers</td>
<td>pentas</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUTACEAE (Rue Family)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citrus reticulata Blanco</td>
<td>tangerine</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAPINDACEAE (Soapberry Family)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litchi sinenensis Sonnerat</td>
<td>litchi</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOLANACEAE (Nightshade Family)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cestrum nocturnum L.</td>
<td>night blooming jasmine</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solanum americanum Mill.</td>
<td>pōpōlo</td>
<td>indigenous</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URTICACEAE (Nettle Family)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilea microphylla (L.) Liebm.</td>
<td>artillery plant</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>uncommon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VERBENACEAE (Verbena Family)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stachytaepheta cayennensis (Rich.) Vahl.</td>
<td>nettle-leaved vervain</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stachytaepheta jamaicensis (L.) Vahl</td>
<td>Jamaica vervain</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbena littoralis Kunth</td>
<td>ha'u ʻōwī</td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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FAUNA SURVEY REPORT

SURVEY METHODS

A fauna survey was conducted at the same time as the botanical survey. Observations were made using binoculars and listening to vocalizations. Notes were made on species abundance, activities and location as well as observations of trails, tracks, scat and signs of feeding. In addition an evening visit was made to the area to record crepuscular activities and vocalizations and to see if there was any evidence of occurrence of the Hawaiian hoary bat (*Lasiurus cinereus semotus*) in the area.

RESULTS

MAMMALS

Just two mammal species were observed on the property during the course of the survey. The extremely dense grass cover made seeing small ground-dwelling mammals difficult, and it made the use of the area by such mammals difficult as well. Taxonomy and nomenclature follow Tomich (1986).

*Mongoose* (Herpestes auropunctatus) – Two mongooses were seen slipping through the grass on the margins of clearings. These predators hunt for rodents and birds.

*Domestic dog* (Canis familiaris) – Dogs were heard on nearby properties. These animals no doubt occasionally wander into this property.

Other mammals that no doubt utilize this property include rats (*Rattus spp.*.) and mice (*Mus domesticus*) that feed on seeds, fruits and herbaceous vegetation, and feral cats (*Felis catus*) that hunt these rodents as well as birds.

A special effort was made to look for the Hawaiian hoary bat by making an evening survey of the area. When present in an area these bats can be easily identified as they forage for insects, their distinctive flight patterns clearly visible in the glow of twilight. No evidence of such activity was observed though visibility was excellent. In addition a bat detection device (Batbox IID) was employed, set to the frequencies of 27,000 to 28,000 Hertz that these bats are known to utilize. No bats were detected using this device.
BIRDS

Birdlife was particularly sparse on the project area. The deep, dense grass cover is unsuitable for most bird species use of the area. Just three species of birds were observed during two site visits. Taxonomy and nomenclature follow American Ornithologists’ Union (2005).

Japanese white-eye (Zosterops japonicus) – A few white-eyes were seen and heard twittering in some of the larger trees on the property.

House finch (Carpodacus mexicanus) – Two of these finches were seen flying around the lone ironwood tree.

Cattle egret (Bubulcus ibis) – One of these egrets was seen flying across the property during the early evening.

There are a variety of other small non-native birds that might occasionally be seen on this property including common myna, zebra dove, spotted dove, house sparrow, northern cardinal and nutmeg mannikin. This property, however, is not suitable for Hawaii’s native forest birds which are presently restricted to native forest habitats at higher elevations, or for ground nesting native seabirds such as the Threatened Newells’ shearwater (Puffinus newelli) and the Endangered Hawaiian petrel (Pterodroma sandwichensis) that dig their burrows on high mountain ridges.

INSECTS

While insects in general were not tallied, there were a diversity of insects seen though not in great numbers. Only one native insect Blackburn’s Sphinx moth (Manduca blackburni) has thus far been put on the Endangered Species list (USFWS, 2009) and this designation requires special focus to ascertain whether any are present. Blackburn’s sphinx moth occurs on Maui although it has not been found in this area. Its native host plants are species of ‘aiea (Nothocestrum). A non-native alternative host plant is tree tobacco (Nicotiana glauca). None of these host species occur on or near this property and no Blackburn’s sphinx moths or their larvae were seen.
DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The study area is well vegetated but provides habitat that is suitable for very few forms of wildlife. Only a few species of mammals and birds were found during two site visits. None of these species were native and no Threatened or Endangered fauna were seen or would be expected on the property.

The Endangered Hawaiian bat is known from several locations on Maui and is both highly mobile and widespread. None have been recorded in lower Haiku, however, and the project area is most likely not preferred habitat. None were detected during the evening survey.

The federally protected seabirds, Hawaiian petrel and Newell's shearwater, were not detected on the property nor would be expected to utilize it for breeding or resting. These birds, however, would be expected to overfly the area between March and November to reach their burrows high in the mountains, and the young birds fledging during November and December would take their first tentative flights to the ocean over it. These young birds are especially vulnerable. They are often attracted to bright lights during their late evening and early dawn flights, crash and may be killed by vehicles or predators such as dogs, cats or mongoose.

It is recommended that any bright outdoor lights be shielded so that the direct light is not visible from above to reduce this threat to these seabirds.
ANIMAL SPECIES LIST

Following is a checklist of the animal species inventoried during the field work. Animal species are arranged in descending abundance within two groups: Mammals and Birds. For each species the following information is provided:

1. Common name

2. Scientific name

3. Bio-geographical status. The following symbols are used:
   
   endemic = native only to Hawaii; not naturally occurring anywhere else in the world.
   
   indigenous = native to the Hawaiian Islands and also to one or more other geographic area(s).
   
   non-native = all those animals brought to Hawaii intentionally or accidentally after western contact.
   
   migratory = spending a portion of the year in Hawaii and a portion elsewhere. In Hawaii the migratory birds are usually in the overwintering/non-breeding phase of their life cycle.

4. Abundance of each species within the project area:

   abundant = many flocks or individuals seen throughout the area at all times of day.
   
   common = a few flocks or well scattered individuals throughout the area.
   
   uncommon = only one flock or several individuals seen within the project area.
   
   rare = only one or two seen within the project area.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMMON NAME</th>
<th>SCIENTIFIC NAME</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
<th>ABUNDANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAMMALS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mongoose</td>
<td><em>Herpestes auropunctatus</em></td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic dog</td>
<td><em>Canis familiaris</em></td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIRDS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese white-eye</td>
<td><em>Zosterops japonicus</em></td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>uncommon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House finch</td>
<td><em>Carpodacus mexicanus</em></td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle egret</td>
<td><em>Bubulcus ibis</em></td>
<td>non-native</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1 Central portion of parcel

Figure 2 Typical view of overgrown grasses
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INTRODUCTION

At the request of Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc. Scientific Consultant Services (SCS), Inc. has conducted an Archaeological Inventory Survey on a 6.1-acre parcel of land in Pa‘uwela, Makawao District (formerly Hamakua-Loa), Maui [TMK: 2-7-007:008] (Figures 1 and 2). The proposed project includes the construction of a new Ha‘ikū Fire Station that will include the station house, parking lots, a helipad and access to Hana Highway (Figure 3). In addition, a new waterline is to be installed from the station house to and along the East Kuiaha Road right-of-way that will extend 4200 linear feet (1280 meters) to an existing County waterline at the intersection of West Kuiaha and Ha‘ikū Road. Currently, the project area contains a vacant house and outbuildings with large concrete pads and is mostly overgrown with invasive weeds and cane grass.

Inventory Survey work included historic background research and settlement pattern analysis prior to fieldwork, a systematic pedestrian survey of the entire project area, mapping and recording of identified features. Subsurface testing was conducted across the parcel through backhoe trenching and the subsurface stratigraphy was recorded for each trench to assess the presence/absence of subsurface cultural deposits in the project area. No subsurface testing was conducted along the waterline corridor due to traffic and safety concerns. Fieldwork was conducted between September 21-25, 2009 by SCS personal David Perzinski (Project Supervisor) and Brian Armstrong. The survey was conducted under the direct supervision of Michael Dega, Ph.D., Principle Investigator for the project.

The ultimate goals of the project were to identify historic properties on the parcels, effectively record and document the sites and to provide recommendations to the State Historic Preservation Division (SHPD) regarding site significance and mitigation in regards to future land use in the project area.

ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

PROJECT AREA LOCATION

The project area is a roughly rectangular shaped parcel with its long axis oriented approximately southwest-northeast. Most of the land in the vicinity of the project area has long been planted in sugar cane or pineapple. The west edge of the property is bounded by agricultural land; the east side is bounded by a vacant property that includes a large outbuilding; to the north the project area abuts Hana Highway and to the south by fallow agricultural land. The property is just east and mauka of Valley Isle Memorial Cemetery.
Figure 1: USGS Quadrangle Map Showing Project Area.
Figure 3: Plan View of Proposed Haiku Fire Station (Courtesy of Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc.)
VEGETATION AND TOPOGRAPHY

The project area slopes slightly (10–20°) southwest to northeast where grading activities for the pre-existing buildings had not occurred. In the northern portion of the project area along Hana Highway the project area occupied by an abandoned house, outbuildings, concrete pads and former agricultural land.

The project area is heavily vegetated with a mix of koa haole (Leucaena leucocephala), java plum (Syzygium cumini), tangerine (Citrus sp.), banana (Musa sp.), African tulip (Spathodea campanulata), common guave (Psidium guajava), castor bean (Ricinus communis), liliko‘i (Passiflora edulis) and thick cane grass. The thick grasses were generally located in the southern portion of the project area.

SOILS

As classified by Foote et al. (1972:32, Sheet 112), the soil located in the project area consists of Haiku clay (HbC). Haiku clay is associated with “well-drained soils on uplands on the island of Maui. These soils developed in material weathered from basic igneous rock… Elevations range from nearly sea level to 1,200 feet. The annual rainfall amounts to 50-80 inches” (Foote et al. 1972:31-32). HbC soil 7 to 15 percent slope has a representative profile consisting of dark-brown clay 14 inches thick with subsoils consisting of yellowish-red, dark reddish-brown and dark-red clay or silty clay that has subangular and angular blocky structure (ibid:32). These soils have moderately rapid permeability, slow to medium runoff and slight to moderate erosion hazard. Lands with Haiku clays are typically used for pineapple, pasture and homesites.

CLIMATE

The project area is located on the northwestern slope of Mount Haleakalā within East Maui. At approximately 550-590 feet above mean sea level (amsl) and approximately 2 km inland. The area is subject to an average annual rainfall of about 150-200 centimeters (Giambelluca et al. 1986:12). The wettest months fall between October and April and the rainfall flows northward. When northeast trade winds blow, the area receives a higher level of precipitation than when southerly, drier Kona winds blow.
CULTURAL HISTORICAL CONTEXT

The island of Maui ranks second in size of the eight main islands in the Hawaiian Archipelago. The Island was formed by two volcanoes, Mount Kukui in the west and Haleakalā in the east. The younger of the two volcanoes, Haleakalā, soars 2,727 m (10,023 feet) above sea level and embodies the largest section of the island. Unlike the amphitheater valleys of West Maui, the flanks of Haleakalā are distinguished by gentle slopes. Although it receives more rain than its counterpart in the east, the permeable lavas of the Honomanū and Kula Volcanic Series prevent the formation of rain-fed perennial streams. The few perennial streams found on the windward side of Haleakalā where the project is located, originate from springs located at low elevations. Valleys and gulches were formed by intermittent water run-off.

PAST POLITICAL BOUNDARIES

Traditionally, the division of Maui’s lands into districts (moku) and sub-districts was performed by a kahuna (priest, expert) named Kalaihaʻōhia, during the time of the aliʻi Kakaʻalaneo (Beckwith 1940:383; Fornander places Kakaʻalaneo at the end of the 15th century or the beginning of the 16th century [Fornander 1919-20, Vol. 6:248]). Land was considered the property of the king or aliʻi ʻai moku (the aliʻi who eats the island/district), which he held in trust for the gods. The title of aliʻi ʻai moku ensured rights and responsibilities to the land, but did not confer absolute ownership. The king kept the parcels he wanted, his higher chiefs received large parcels from him and, in turn, distributed smaller parcels to lesser chiefs. The makaʻāinana (commoners) worked the individual plots of land.

In general, several terms, such as moku, ahupuaʻa, ʻili or ʻili ʻāina were used to delineate various land sections. A district (moku) contained smaller land divisions (ahupuaʻa), which customarily continued inland from the ocean and upland into the mountains. Extended household groups living within the ahupuaʻa were therefore, able to harvest from both the land and the sea. Ideally, this situation allowed each ahupuaʻa to be self-sufficient by supplying needed resources from different environmental zones (Lyons 1875). The ʻili ʻāina or ʻili were smaller land divisions next to importance to the ahupuaʻa and were administered by the chief who controlled the ahupuaʻa in which it was located (Ibid.; Lucas 1995). The moʻo ʻāina were narrow strips of land within an ʻili. The land holding of a tenant or hoa ʻāina residing in an ahupuaʻa was called a kuleana (Lucas 1995). The project area is located in the ahupuaʻa of Paʻuwela, which translated means literally “hot soot” (Pukui et al.:1974:182). Traditionally, Paʻuwela was considered a part of the Hāmākua Loa District. Presently, Paʻuwela is in the Makawao District.
TRADITIONAL SETTLEMENT PATTERNS

The Hawaiian economy was based on agricultural production and marine exploitation, as well as raising livestock and collecting wild plants and birds. Extended household groups settled in various ahupua `a. During pre-Contact times, there were primarily two types of agriculture, wetland and dry land, both of which were dependent upon geography and physiography. River valleys provided ideal conditions for wetland kalo (Colocasia esculenta) agriculture that incorporated pond fields and irrigation canals. Other cultigens, such as kō (sugar cane, Saccharum officinaruma) and mai `a (banana, Musa sp.), were also grown and, where appropriate, such crops as `uala (sweet potato, Ipomoea batatas) were produced. This was the typical agricultural pattern seen during traditional times on all the Hawaiian Islands (Kirch and Sahlins 1992, Vol. 1:5, 119; Kirch 1985).

The Hāmākua Loa region was associated with the gods Kanaloa and Kane, who caused fresh water to flow from springs and gulches, as they had nothing but `awa to drink when they came here (Hill et al. 2006). Hāmākua Loa was where the head of the guardian of the god of thunder, Kanehekili, was beheaded by his brother-in-law at Pakanaloe Heiau (Thrum 1908). Although the head had been taken to Lāna`i, the people cut up Kanehekili’s body into pieces that were distributed around Maui for all to worship.

Both Hāmākua Poko and Hāmākua Loa, where the ahupua `a of Pa`uwela is found, contained small gulches surrounded by gently sloping kula lands. Opening to the sea, the wide mouths of the gulches supported lo`i, that ascended with the stream bed. Handy and Handy stated that Kuiaha Gulch, near the project area, undoubtedly had a few pond fields within its limits (1972). It was very likely that stream taro were planted in the many streamlets that fed into the main gulches, as well as dry land taro in the kula lands and in the lower forest (ibid).

The many narrow ahupua `a along this coast suggests that the population was considerable, even with only a moderate rain fall. The soil was excellent for sweet potato between the gulches, yams and `awa could be grown in the interior, and other crops, including banana, breadfruit, sugar cane, and arrowroot, would have thrived in the region (Ibid.). The bays were considered excellent for fishing with the alaloa, finished by Kihapi’ilani in the 1500s, connecting them for easy access by the people.

Before the landing of Capt. Cook, there was great competition between the chiefs of Hawai`i Island and the ali`i nui of Maui. In the early 1700s, Chief Alapa`i nui a Kauaua of
Hawai‘i Island, returned to Maui to make war on Kekaulike, ruling chief of Maui (Kamakau 1961). Upon arrival to Kaupo, he learned that Kekaulike was dying and had appointed Alapa‘i’s own nephew, Kamehameha nui, as heir. Alapa‘i lost all ambition for war and made peace with the new ruler. Although peace was maintained for many years, it was only a matter of time before the rivalry again surfaced between these two Islands. Tensions were renewed with Kahekili (Kamehameha nui’s brother) who had become ruler after Kamehameha nui’s death, and Kalaniopu‘u, an ali‘i who had previously served under Alapa‘i nui, for control of the island chain (Kamakau 1961, Fornander 1969). Kalaniopu‘u invaded Hāmākua Loa late in 1778, but was defeated by Kahekili’s warriors. Gathering the support of Mahihelelima, chief of the Hāna District, Kalaniopu‘u went back to Hāmākua Loa where they attacked once more. The back-and-forth battles continued for sometime (1775-1779), even after the rise of Kamehameha (Kamakau 1961).

Hearing of Kamehameha’s approach Kalanikupule [son of Kahekili] sent an army to Hamakauloa under the warrior Kapa-kahili . . . after his death the fighting ceased and Kamehameha and his chiefs went on the principal encounter at Wailuku [Ibid.:148]

WESTERN CONTACT

Early records, such as journals kept by explorers, travelers and missionaries, Hawaiian traditions that survived long enough to be written down, and archaeological investigations have assisted in the understanding of past cultural activities. It was during the fighting between Kalaniopu‘u and Kahekili at Hāmākua Loa in 1778, that Capt. Cook and his men first arrived at the other end of the island chain; Ni‘ihau and Kaua‘i (Fornander 1969). Returning in November of 1778, they had their first look at Maui and Hāmākua Loa region, and indeed, met Kahekili and Kamehameha who ventured out at different times to investigate, “. . .The tower of Lono!” (Kamakau 1961:97).

Descriptions of the north coast of Maui were first recorded in November of 1778 as the Resolution and the Discovery sailed down a portion of the northeast side of the island (Beaglehole 1967: Part I, Vol. III). David Samwell, a surgeon on the Discovery, reported "...the ships lay to all day about 3 miles off shore, trading with the Natives who came off in their canoes in great number..." (Beaglehole Part I, vol. III 1967:1151).

It had been a time of war between Kalaniopu‘u, ruler of Hawai‘i Island, and Kahekili, chief of Maui and Moloka‘i. During this season of the year (Makahiki), however, the fighting was temporarily suspended and the great chief of Maui, Kahekili, was free to visit the foreign ships. Samwell recorded his impressions of the King and the windward slopes of the northern
coast of Maui. He stated that Kahekili was "a middle aged man ... rather of a mean appearance..." and the land was "...mountainous, the sides of the hills are covered with trees...large open plains on which stand their houses & where they have their plantations of sweet potatoes, taro & c. ..." (Ibid.).

**THE MĀHELE**

In the 1840s, a drastic change in the traditional land tenure resulted in a division of island lands and a system of private ownership based on Western law. While it is a complex issue, many scholars believe that in order to protect Hawaiian sovereignty from foreign powers, Kauikeaouli (Kamehameha III) was forced to establish laws changing the traditional Hawaiian society to that of a market economy (Daws 1968; Kuykendall Vol. I, 1938:145 *et passim*; Kame`eleihiwa 1992). Among other things, the foreigners demanded private ownership of land to insure their investments (Kuykendall Vol. I, 1938:138 *et passim*; Kame`eleihiwa 1992; Kelly 1998, 1983). Once lands were made available and private ownership was instituted, native Hawaiians—including the maka`āinana (commoners)—were able to claim the plots they were cultivating and living on, if they had been made aware of the foreign procedures (*kuleana* lands, LCAs). This land division, or Māhele, occurred in 1848. The awarded parcels were called Land Commission Awards (LCA). If occupation could be established through the testimony of witnesses, the petitioners were issued a Royal Patent number and could then take possession of the property (Chinen 1961). Twenty-eight LCAs were claimed in Pa`uwela Ahupua`a, however, none were awarded within the project area (Waihona `aina Database, 2009). The un-awarded lands of Pa`uwela became government lands and were later sold as Government Grants. The project area was part of Grant 6553.

Sugar cane became a major industry in the 1800s and Pa`uwela offered good land for its cultivation. The Haiku Sugar Company was formed in 1858, and by the 1870s, three more plantations were developed in the region (Dorrance and Morgan 2000). As more and more land was cultivated with sugar, the need for water became significant. In 1875, four plantations formed the Hamakua Ditch Company that obtained the water rights and eventually diverted the stream water by using ditches, tunnels and pipes to irrigate the fields. By 1900, most of Pa`uwela was in sugar and water needs had expanded to the point where several ditches were constructed to deliver water: the Koolau Ditch in 1905, the Lowrie Ditch in 1914, and the Haiku Ditch in 1914 (Haun and Henry 2002). During the 1920s both pineapple and grapes were introduced for cultivation. In the 1930s, some areas of Pa`uwela were used for cattle pasture lands.
During WWII (1944), Pa’uwela lands were used for a training base called “Camp Maui.” At least 16,000 Marines trained here for the invasion that was to take place on Saipan and Tinian in the Mariana Islands that were held by the Japanese (Joseph *et al.* 2008). Today, there are residences, and some continuing agriculture, in the region.
PREVIOUS ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH

The earliest archaeological endeavors on Maui were undertaken by Thrum (1909), Stokes (1918), Emory (1921), and Walker (1931). Their data allows for a deeper understanding of traditional Hawaiian lifestyles. Winslow Walker (1930) was the first to systematically record archaeological sites on Maui. He noted 22 heiau in the Hāmākua Loa District (presently a portion of Makawao District) of which 12 were destroyed, five were partially destroyed, and five were still intact. Though no archaeological studies have been conducted within the project area, several studies in the vicinity in the past 20 years aid in predicting the potential for encountering sites within the project area (Figure 4).

A 1990 inventory survey of an 18-acre parcel in Kuiaha-Pauwela Homesteads (approximately 1 mile southwest of the project area) was undertaken by Xamanek Researchers and reported no findings. It was noted that intensive pineapple cultivation had likely disturbed any previous sites and/or deposits and concluded that, “the subject parcel was most likely not utilized by Hawaiians in pre-contact or post contact times for any permanent dwellings or for agricultural purposes” (Fredericksen, 1990:6).

In 1993, Xamanek Researches conducted subsurface testing of an unmarked grave on East Kuiaha, approximately 2 km south of the current project area. Despite the presence of the unmarked grave (SIHP No. 50-50-06-2928; Donham, 1992) and the site area being called a “cemetery”, no additional finds were recorded.

In 1998, Cultural Surveys Hawai‘i conducted a reconnaissance survey for a new Kaupakulua Bridge approximately 400 m southwest of the project area. Only a concrete bridge was noted with no markings indicating its name or construction date (Hammatt and Chiogiooji 1998).

On Maliko Point, an Archaeological Inventory survey was conducted and two sites were identified (Fredericksen and Fredericksen 2001). These consisted of a historic cemetery and a pre-Contact ceremonial and burial site. In the same area but makai, another Archaeological Inventory survey was conducted on 43.76 acres at Maliko Point. No cultural remains were identified (Pantaleo 2002).

Colin and Hammatt (1996) conducted an archaeological assessment for a new bridge on Peahi Road. No sites were recorded and the bridge “was not considered significant a historic bridge… because of its lack on integrity and its relatively recent reconstruction in 1941 and again in 1985 (Colin and Hammatt, 1996:1).”
Figure 4: Portion of USGS Map (Haiku Quad) Showing Location of Previous Archaeological Studies
An Archaeological Inventory Survey was conducted for a 64.27 acre parcel north of the project area previously under cultivation with sugar cane. No archaeological remains were identified (Masterson et al. 1995).

Scientific Consultant Services surveyed 62-acres in the Kuiaha-Pauwela-Kaupakalua, approximately 1 mile south of the current project area (Cordero and Dega, 2000). Historic pineapple cultivation had utilized over 90% of the project area and only one small retaining wall was identified. A second retaining wall and several small terraces suggested to have been lo'i were identified outside the project area within Kuiaha Gulch. The features were believed to have been utilized for small-scale agricultural use as no evidence of habitation was identified. In all, two sites consisting of a retaining wall (SIHP No. -4968) and the remnants of an historic housesite (-5004) were recorded.

An Archaeological Inventory Survey was conducted for the Ha’iku Community Center. Pineapple had previously been cultivated on the parcel and no archaeological remains were identified either above or below the surface (Zachman and Spear 2002).

In 2008, an Archaeological Inventory Survey was conducted in Pa’uwela in which three sites were identified consisting of a pre-Contact stone-walled, earth-filled habitation terrace, a historic boundary line represented by a fence and stone wall and a transportation trail (Joseph et al. 2008). The 5-plus acres were located north of the present project area.
PREDICTIVE MODEL

The earliest settlement on Maui Island is believed to have occurred between A.D. 300-600 in windward and coastal areas, with population expanding into drier leeward areas and uplands by A.D. 1000 (Kirch, 1985; Kolb et al. 1997 and Sinoto and Pantaleo, 1998). While the coastal settlements flourished, areas considered the middle zones (i.e. present project area) contained little archaeological evidence for such expansions (Buffum-Cordero and Dega, 2000).

It is very likely, however, that historic agricultural endeavors such as pineapple cultivation decimated any sites that would have denoted traditional settlement. With the presence of traditional lo‘i within the gulches around the vicinity of the project area (i.e. Kuiaha), it is likely that the plateau areas could have been utilized for temporary habitation or small scale agriculture. LCA’s located in the vicinity of the project area suggest that within the gulches taro was cultivated, while potato was grown on the valley sidewalls. Above the gulches, the lands were generally described as pasture land.

Based on previous archaeological research, it is clear that little evidence of traditional Hawaiian settlement on the plateau lands remains. Studies conducted in these areas (i.e. Fredericksen, 1990; Masterson, 1995; and Cordero and Dega, 2000) suggest that surface sites that could have existed prior to the advent of large-scale agriculture were likely destroyed by these activities. Thus, settlement in the plateau lands between the gulches can only be inferred from historic references and the few sites encountered within the gulches.

Historically, the project area was used for large scale pineapple cultivation and pasture and later as a house site that would have likely destroyed any traditional Hawaiian sites or features. Along the waterline corridor the road has been cut up to 15-feet into the slope effectively eliminating any possibility of encountering subsurface deposits. Thus, it is believed that any sites encountered will likely be of an historic nature related to agriculture, animal husbandry or recent habitation within the project area.
METHODS

The inventory survey fieldwork took place from September 21-25, 2009 by David Perzinski, B.A. and Brian Armstrong under the overall direction of Michael Dega, Ph.D. The inventory survey included a 100% pedestrian survey with transects conducted in 5-10 m intervals that were oriented roughly north/south depending on ground cover and visual range. When sites/features were encountered, the location was flagged, noted on a project area map and recorded. The sites were documented with written descriptions, photographs and scale plan view maps. The site boundaries were delineated based on the visible horizontal extent of the features visible on the ground surface.

In addition to the pedestrian survey, 11 backhoe trenches were excavated throughout the subject parcel. Trenches were generally 4 to 8 m in length and excavated to sterile sediments (approximately 1.0-1.4 m below surface). Trenches were excavated throughout the southern portion of the project area due to the extremely uneven terrain in the eastern portion of the project area and presence of several concrete pads and pre-existing structures in the northern portion. Once trenches were completely excavated, the stratigraphic sequence was recorded using Munsell Soil Charts with sediment texture, consistency, plasticity and structure being noted in the descriptions.

Along the waterline corridor, the proposed corridor was inspected by a 100% pedestrian survey. The corridor follows East Kuiaha Road and veers west following Ha`ikū Road to its junction with West Kuiaha Road. The majority of the corridor is along the deeply cut Ha`ikū Road, with the western portion extending through a neighborhood near West Kuiaha Road. No subsurface testing was conducted along waterline corridor due to traffic and safety concerns.

Archival research entailed investigating the historic and archaeological background of the general project area. This examination included a documentary search of previous archaeological research conducted in this region of Maui as well as a review of archival literature relating to Land Commission Awards and local mythology. The review of historical documents was accomplished in order to understand the impact of post-Contact events on the cultural and archaeological landscape of the region.

All laboratory work was conducted in the Maui office of SCS and included the drafting of site plan view maps and trench profiles. All documentary materials are currently being curated at the SCS office in Maui.
RESULTS OF FIELDWORK

The archaeological inventory survey included a 100% pedestrian survey and limited subsurface backhoe testing. Surficially, only one historic era site (SIHP No. 50-50-06-6678 and attributed to the former occupants of the vacant house) were recorded. No traditional or early historic sites were observed during the pedestrian inspection. In all, the 11 backhoe trenches were excavated to aid in analysis of the subsurface deposits across the project area, with the majority located within the southern portion of the project area.

The stratigraphic sequences of the 11 trenches were nearly identical (Figures 5 and 6). The two strata observed were sterile with no cultural layers or materials present. This is likely due to historic land use patterns (pineapple cultivation) and subsequent grading by the landowners. Trenching generally occurred in the southern portion of the project area where thick cane grass and koa haole was thriving. In general, the stratigraphic sequence consisted of:

Stratum I: (0-45 cmbs) (dry) 7.5 YR 4/3 (brown) silty clay; slightly hard, medium, granular structure; slightly plastic and sterile; lower boundary is abrupt and wavy

Stratum II: (45-boc) (moist) 5 YR 4/3 (reddish brown) silty clay; slightly hard, medium, subangular structure; slightly plastic and sterile

Along the eastern extent of the proposed waterline corridor, the area appears to have been subjected to grading for the existing East Kuiaha Road. No surface sites or features were observed during the pedestrian survey. Along Ha’ikū Road, the corridor has been deeply cut into the gulch slope, effectively eliminating any possibility of encountering subsurface sites or cultural deposits (Figures 7 and 8). The western extent of the waterline corridor emerges from Kuiaha Gulch and traverses along Ha’ikū Road to its junction with West Kuiaha Road. This portion of the corridor has been graded for the road and existing residential lots.
Figure 6: Profile of Trench 2 Showing Stratigraphic Sequence

Stratum I:  (dry) 7.5 YR 4/3 (brown) silty clay; slightly hard, medium granular structure; slightly plastic; contains abundant roots and rootlets; lower boundary is abrupt and wavy

Stratum II: (dry) 5 YR 4/3 (reddish brown) silty clay; slightly hard, subangular structure; slightly plastic; contains very few rootlets to no inclusions in places; sterile
Figure 7: View West of Ha’ikū Road Waterline Corridor Entering Kuiaha Gulch

Figure 8: View West of Ha’ikū Road Waterline Corridor Exiting Kuiaha Gulch
SIHP No. 50-50-06-6678

Site Type: Terraces/Retaining Wall

Function: Soil retention/gardening

Feature (#): 2

Age: Historic (ca. 1950’s)

Significance: D

Recommendation: No Further Work

Condition: Fair/Good

SIHP No. 50-50-06-6678 consists of two features and is situated in the northwest portion of the project area adjacent to a vacant cottage and asphalt driveway (Figure 9). The site is currently in fair to good condition and functions as a retaining wall and garden terrace. The features are set amongst thick grasses and vines with a few cultivated plants (banana and potted palms) that appear to have been abandoned. Though the site is constructed of traditional material (dry-stacked basalt), it is believed that the features were associated with the current or possibly a former residence. The age of the site is estimated to be 50-60 years old (ca. 1950’s).

Feature A consists of a 21 m long partially faced basalt retaining wall with a maximum height of 94 cm and constructed of small basalt cobbles to large boulders (Figures 10-11). The retaining wall retains an east/west sloping hill that is covered in cane grass. Feature A is dry-stacked 1-5 courses and delineates the eastern side of an asphalt driveway that creates a parking area for an abandoned cottage.

Feature B consists of an 8 meter by 4 meter terraced garden area that is constructed of stacked basalt cobbles 1-4 courses and built on a moderate slope (Figures 10-12). Banana, pineapple and overgrown grasses and vines were observed and it is believed that it was constructed by the former house occupants.
Figure 11: View West of SIHP No. -6678 Feature A in Foreground and Feature B in Background

Figure 12: View Northwest of SIHP No. -6678 Feature B
SIGNIFICANCE ASSESSMENTS

One site composed of two features was documented in the project area during Archaeological Inventory Survey at TMK: (2) 2-7-007:008(por.). The site (see below) has been evaluated for significance according to the criteria established for the State and National Register of Historic Places. The five criteria are listed below:

Criterion A: Site is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history;
Criterion B: Site is associated with the lives of persons significant to our past;
Criterion C: Site is an excellent site type; embodies distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic values, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual construction;
Criterion D: Site has yielded or has the potential to yield information important in prehistory or history;
Criterion E: Site has cultural significance; probable religious structures or burials (State of Hawai`i criteria only).

State Site 50-50-06-6678 is designated under Criterion D as a site that has yielded or has the potential to yield information important in prehistory or history. The site has been thoroughly documented with photographs, scale plan view maps and written descriptions. In addition, one shovel test unit was excavated adjacent to the platform, which yielded no cultural material or layers.

STATE SITE 50-50-06-6678
No further work is recommended for SIHP No. 50-50-06-6678. It is believed that the features have been adequately documented and additional research focused on the site would not contribute to the interpretation of the area, region or Hawaiian prehistory and/or history.
SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Scientific Consultant Services conducted an Archaeological Inventory Survey on a 6.1-acre parcel of land in Pa’uwela, Makawao District, Maui [TMK: 2-7-007:008]. The proposed project includes the construction of a new Ha’ikū Fire Station that will include the station house, parking lots, a helipad and access to Hana Highway. The project was conducted to document and evaluate cultural resources on approximately 6.1 acres of land that currently is the site of two house structures, concrete pads and unmanicured vegetation. In addition, the project has proposed installing a 4,200-foot (1,280 meter) corridor linking the fire station to a watermain at the junction of Ha’ikū Road and West Kuiaha Road. In all, one site (SIHP No. 50-50-06-6678) was documented within the study parcel and consists of an historic era retaining wall and a small terraced garden area.

Previous archaeological investigations and historic documentation in the vicinity of the project area suggests that the area was marginally utilized in pre-Contact times, likely for small scale agriculture, pasture and possibly temporary habitation. In historic times, the area was utilized for large scale agricultural endeavors, primarily for pineapple, and later as a residential house site.

Though it is possible that the project area was utilized in pre-Contact or early historic times, no physical evidence was documented during the inventory survey. Based on field observation and 11 mechanically subsurface test trenches, it appears that the project area, specifically in the vicinity of the proposed Fire Station structures, parking and helipad, has been subjected to extensive mechanical grading for agricultural pursuits and for the former residences. Subsurface observation revealed no evidence of a cultural component (i.e. charcoal, midden, artifacts) that would have indicated pre-Contact or early historic use.

Based on the findings during the archaeological survey, no monitoring is recommended within the 6.1-acre parcel proposed for the new fire station and associated infrastructure. Along the waterline corridor, archaeological monitoring is recommended along portions of Ha’ikū Road that is not within the cut slope. These areas include the stretch crossing Kuiaha Gulch (an approximate 150 meter stretch) and along the western portion of the corridor from the Ha’ikū Road/West Kuiaha Road junction and extending approximately 400 meters towards the gulch. However, should the inadvertent discovery of significant cultural materials and/or burials occur during unmonitored phases of construction, all work in the immediate area of the find must cease and the SHPD be notified to discuss mitigation.
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APPENDIX C-1.

Archaeological Inventory Survey Acceptance Letter from the State Historic Preservation Division
November 12, 2010

Michael Dega, Ph.D.
Scientific Consultant Services, Inc.
711 Kapiolani Blvd., Suite 975
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Dear Dr. Dega:

SUBJECT: Chapter 6E-8 Historic Preservation Review – Revised Archaeological Inventory Survey of 6.1 Acres with One New Site Pa‘uwela Ahupua‘a, Makawao District, Island of Maui
TMK: (2) 2-7-007:008

This letter summarizes our review of the aforementioned revised report (Perzinski and Dega August 2010; An Archaeological inventory Survey for the Proposed Ha‘ikū Fire Station and Waterline Corridor in Pa‘uwela Ahupua‘a, Makawao District, Island of Maui, Hawaii [TMK 2-7-007:008]; SCS Project Number 1012-ISR-2), which we received on September 9, 2010. We apologize for the delay in our reply. We previously reviewed a draft of this report (Perzinski and Dega June 2010) and requested changes/clarifications (Log No. 2010.2365, Doc No. 1007MD36).

This report documents an archaeological inventory survey conducted for the new Ha‘ikū fire station, including driveways, a helipad and a waterline extending 1280 meters along Haiku, East Kula and West Kula A roads. One new site was documented. SIHP 50-50-06-6678, rock alignments built by previous residents of the existing vacant house which were used to line the driveway and create a planting area in the 1950s. SIHP 6678 was recommended as significant under criterion “d” and we concur with that recommendation. We also agree that no further work is required at this site.

Archaeological monitoring is recommended for portions of the waterline that are within the Haiku Road corridor, but not along the cut slope. We agree with this recommendation. Please submit an archaeological monitoring plan to SHIPD for review and approval pursuant to HAR § 13-279 prior to the start of any ground-altering construction.

Our requested changes have been addressed and this revised report is accepted as final pursuant to HAR §13-276. Upon receipt of this letter please submit one paper copy of your report marked “Final” to our Kapolei office along with a CD containing a searchable pdf version of the final report and a copy of this approval letter, marked to the attention of the “Kapolei Library.” If you have questions about this letter please contact Morgan Davis at (808) 243-5169 or via email to: morgan.e.davis@hawaii.gov.

Aloha,

Theresa K. Donham
Acting Archaeology Branch Chief
State Historic Preservation Division
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INTRODUCTION

Scientific Consultant Services (SCS), Inc. has been contracted by Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc. to conduct a Cultural Impact Assessment (CIA) on a 7-acre land parcel in Pa‘uwela, Makawao District, Maui [TMK: 2-7-007:008] (Figures 1 and 2). Based on exhibits supplied by Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc., the proposed project consists of the construction of the Ha‘ikū Fire Station. The exact footprint of the project within the 7 acres has yet to be determined.

The Constitution of the State of Hawai‘i clearly states the duty of the State, and its agencies, is to preserve, protect, and prevent interference with the traditional and customary rights of native Hawaiians. Article XII, Section 7 requires the State to “protect all rights, customarily and traditionally exercised for subsistence, cultural and religious purposes and possessed by ahupua‘a tenants who are descendants of native Hawaiians who inhabited the Hawaiian Islands prior to 1778” (2000). In spite of the establishment of the foreign concept of private ownership and western-style government, Kamehameha III (Kauikeaouli) preserved the peoples traditional right to subsistence. As a result in 1850, the Hawaiian Government confirmed the traditional access rights to native Hawaiian ahupua‘a tenants to gather specific natural resources for customary uses from undeveloped private property and waterways under the Hawaiian Revised Statutes (HRS) 7-1. In 1992, the State of Hawai‘i Supreme Court, reaffirmed HRS 7-1 and expanded it to include, “native Hawaiian rights...may extend beyond the ahupua‘a in which a native Hawaiian resides where such rights have been customarily and traditionally exercised in this manner” (Pele Defense Fund v. Paty, 73 Haw.578, 1992).

Act 50, enacted by the Legislature of the State of Hawaii (2000) with House Bill 2895, relating to Environmental Impact Statements, proposes that:

...there is a need to clarify that the preparation of environmental assessments or environmental impact statements should identify and address effects on Hawaii’s culture, and traditional and customary rights... [H.B. NO. 2895].

Articles IX and XII of the state constitution, other state laws, and the courts of the State impose on government agencies a duty to promote and protect cultural beliefs and practices, and resources of native Hawaiians, as well as other ethnic groups. Act 50 also requires state agencies, and other developers, to assess the effects of proposed land use, or shore line developments, on the “cultural practices of the community and State” as part of the HRS Chapter 343 environmental review process (2001).
Figure 1: USGS Quadrangle Map Showing Project Area.
Figure 2: Tax Map Key [TMK] Showing Project Area..
It also re-defined the definition of “significant effect” to include “the sum of effects on the quality of the environment including actions impact on a natural resource, limit the range of beneficial uses of the environment, that are contrary to the State’s environmental policies... or adversely affect the economic welfare, social welfare or cultural practices of the community and State” (H.B. 2895, Act 50, 2000). Cultural resources can include a broad range of often overlapping categories, including places, behaviors, values, beliefs, objects, records, stories, etc. (H.B. 2895, Act 40, 2000).

Thus, Act 50 requires an assessment of any cultural practices and the possible impacts of a proposed action to be included in the Environmental Assessments and the Environmental Impact Statements, and to be taken into consideration during the planning process. The concept of geographical expansion is recognized by using, as an example, “the broad geographical area, e.g. district or ahupua’a” (OEQC 1997). It was decided that the process should identify ‘anthropological’ cultural practices, rather than ‘social’ cultural practices. For example, limu (edible seaweed) gathering would be considered an anthropological cultural practice, while a modern-day marathon would be considered a social cultural practice.

According to the Guidelines for Assessing Cultural Impacts established by the Hawaii State Office of Environmental Quality Control (OEQC 1997):

The types of cultural practices and beliefs subject to assessment may include subsistence, commercial, residential, agricultural, access-related, recreational, and religions and spiritual customs. The types of cultural resources subject to assessment may include traditional cultural properties or other types of historic sites, both manmade and natural, which support such cultural beliefs.

The meaning of “traditional” was explained in National Register Bulletin:

Traditional” in this context refers to those beliefs, customs, and practices of a living community of people that have been passed down through the generations’, usually orally or through practice. The traditional cultural significance of a historic property, then is significance derived from the role the property plays in a community’s historically rooted beliefs, customs, and practices... [Parker and King 1990:1]
METHODOLOGY

This Cultural Impact Assessment was prepared in accordance with the suggested methodology and content protocol provided in the Guidelines for Assessing Cultural Impacts (OEQC 1997). In outlining the “Cultural Impact Assessment Methodology”, the OEQC stated that “...information may be obtained through scoping, community meetings, ethnographic interviews and oral histories…” (1997).

The report contains archival and documentary research, as well as communication with organizations having knowledge of the project area, its cultural resources, and its practices and beliefs. The assessment concerning cultural impacts should make efforts to address the following matters:

(1) a discussion of the methods applied and results of consultation with individuals and organizations identified by the preparer as being familiar with cultural practices and features associated with the project area, including any constraints of limitations with might have affected the quality of the information obtained;

(2) a description of methods adopted by the preparer to identify, locate, and select the persons interviewed, including a discussion of the level of effort undertaken;

(3) ethnographic and oral history interview procedures, including the circumstances under which the interviews were conducted, and any constraints or limitations which might have affected the quality of the information obtained;

(4) biographical information concerning the individuals and organizations consulted, their particular expertise, and their historical and genealogical relationship to the project area, as well as information concerning the persons submitting information or interviewed, their particular knowledge and cultural expertise, if any, and their historical and genealogical relationship to the project area;

(5) a discussion concerning historical and cultural source materials consulted, the institutions and repositories searched, and the level of effort undertaken, as well as the particular perspective of the authors, if appropriate, any opposing views, and any other relevant constraints, limitations or biases;

(6) a discussion concerning the cultural resources, practices and beliefs identified, and for the resources and practices, their location within the broad geographical area in which the proposed action is located, as well as their direct or indirect significance or connection to the project site;
(7) a discussion concerning the nature of the cultural practices and beliefs, and the significance of the cultural resources within the project area, affected directly or indirectly by the proposed project;

(8) an explanation of confidential information that has been withheld from public disclosure in the assessment;

(9) a discussion concerning any conflicting information in regard to identified cultural resources, practices and beliefs;

(10) an analysis of the potential effect of any proposed physical alteration on cultural resources, practices or beliefs; the potential of the proposed action to isolate cultural resources, practices or beliefs from their setting; and the potential of the proposed action to introduce elements which may alter the setting in which cultural practices take place, and;

(11) the inclusion of bibliography of references, and attached records of interviews, which were allowed to be disclosed.

Based on the inclusion of the above information, assessments of the potential effects on cultural resources in the project area, and recommendations for mitigation of these effects, can be proposed.

ARCHIVAL RESEARCH
Archival research focused on a historical documentary study involving both published and unpublished sources. These included legendary accounts of native and early foreign writers; early historical journals and narratives; historic maps and land records such as Land Commission Awards, Royal Patent Grants, and Boundary Commission records; historic accounts, and previous archaeological project reports.

INTERVIEW METHODOLOGY
Interviews are conducted in accordance with Federal and State laws, and guidelines, when knowledgeable individuals are able to identify cultural practices in, or in close proximity to the project area. If they have knowledge of traditional stories, practices and beliefs associated with a project area, or if they know of historical properties within the project area, they are approached for additional consultation and interviews. Individuals who have particular knowledge of traditions passed down from preceding generations and a personal familiarity with the project area are invited to share the relevant information. Often people are recommended for
their expertise, and indeed, organizations, such as Hawaiian Civic Clubs, the Island Branch of Office of Hawaiian Affairs (OHA), historical societies, Island Trail clubs, and Planning Commissions are depended upon for their recommendations of suitable informants. These groups are invited to contribute their input, and suggest further avenues of inquiry, as well as specific individuals to interview.

If knowledgeable individuals are identified, personal interviews are sometimes taped and then transcribed. These draft transcripts are returned to each of the participants for their review and comments. After corrections are made, each individual signs a release form, making the information available for this study. When telephone interviews occur, a summary of the information is usually sent for correction and approval, or dictated by the informant and then incorporated into the document. If no cultural resource information is forthcoming and no knowledgeable informants are suggested for further inquiry, interviews are not conducted.

Initial letters were sent to organizations whose jurisdiction included knowledge of the area. Consultation was sought from Thelma Shimaoka, Coordinator of the Maui branch of the Office of Hawaiian Affairs; the Central Maui Hawaiian Civic Club; Hinano Rodrigues, Cultural Historian with State Historic Preservation Division; the Maui Planning Department, Cultural Resources Commission; Kamika Kepa’a of the Native Hawaiian Preservation Council, and Nā Kupuna O Maui (Appendix A).

In addition, a Cultural Impact Assessment Notice was published on January 11, 14, 15, 2009 in The Honolulu Advertiser and The Maui News, on January 11, 14, 15 2009 (Appendix B). These notices requested information of cultural resources or activities in the area of the proposed project, gave the TMK number and where to respond with information. Based on the responses, an assessment of the potential effects on cultural resources in the project area and recommendations for mitigation of these effects can be proposed.

When a cultural resource is previously unknown, but identified within a project area, or in a proximity that will be affected by the project, it may be necessary to contact the island Branch OHA office, or other ethnic organizations and individuals who possess the requisite capacity to determine whether any site evaluated meets the criteria for having an important cultural value to the native Hawaiian people as well as those of other ethnic groups.
PROJECT AREA AND VICINITY

The project area is located in Pa‘uwela Ahupua‘a, Makawao District, and abutting the *mauka* side of the Hāna Highway to the north and East Kuaiha Road to the east. Most of the land in the vicinity of the project area has long been planted in sugar cane or pineapple.

CULTURAL HISTORICAL CONTEXT

The island of Maui ranks second in size of the eight main islands in the Hawaiian Archipelago. The Island was formed by two volcanoes, Mount Kukui in the west and Haleakalā in the east. The younger of the two volcanoes, Haleakalā, soars 2,727 m (10,023 feet) above sea level and embodies the largest section of the island. Unlike the amphitheater valleys of West Maui, the flanks of Haleakalā are distinguished by gentle slopes. Although it receives more rain than its counterpart in the east, the permeable lavas of the Honomanū and Kula Volcanic Series prevent the formation of rain-fed perennial streams. The few perennial streams found on the windward side of Haleakalā where the project is located, originate from springs located at low elevations. Valleys and gulches were formed by intermittent water run-off.

PAST POLITICAL BOUNDARIES

Traditionally, the division of Maui’s lands into districts (*moku*) and sub-districts was performed by a *kahuna* (priest, expert) named Kalaiha‘ōhia, during the time of the *ali‘i* Kaka‘alaneo (Beckwith 1940:383; Fornander places Kaka‘alaneo at the end of the 15th century or the beginning of the 16th century [Fornander 1919-20, Vol. 6:248]). Land was considered the property of the king or *ali‘i ʻai moku* (the *ali‘i* who eats the island/district), which he held in trust for the gods. The title of *ali‘i ʻai moku* ensured rights and responsibilities to the land, but did not confer absolute ownership. The king kept the parcels he wanted, his higher chiefs received large parcels from him and, in turn, distributed smaller parcels to lesser chiefs. The *maka‘āinana* (commoners) worked the individual plots of land.

In general, several terms, such as *moku, ahupua`a, `ili* or *`ili`āina* were used to delineate various land sections. A district (*moku*) contained smaller land divisions (*ahupua`a*), which customarily continued inland from the ocean and upland into the mountains. Extended household groups living within the *ahupua`a* were therefore, able to harvest from both the land and the sea. Ideally, this situation allowed each *ahupua`a* to be self-sufficient by supplying needed resources from different environmental zones (Lyons 1875). The *`ili`āina* or *`ili* were smaller land divisions next to importance to the *ahupua`a* and were administered by the chief who controlled the *ahupua`a* in which it was located (*Ibid.; Lucas 1995*). The *mo`o`āina* were
narrow strips of land within an 'ili. The land holding of a tenant or hoa 'āina residing in an ahupua'a was called a kuleana (Lucas 1995). The project area is located in the ahupua'a of Pa'uwela, which translated means literally “hot soot” (Pukui et al.:1974:182). Traditionally, Pa’uwela was considered a part of the Hāmākua Loa District. Presently, Pa’uwela is in the Makawao District.

**TRADITIONAL SETTLEMENT PATTERNS**

The Hawaiian economy was based on agricultural production and marine exploitation, as well as raising livestock and collecting wild plants and birds. Extended household groups settled in various ahupua'a. During pre-Contact times, there were primarily two types of agriculture, wetland and dry land, both of which were dependent upon geography and physiography. River valleys provided ideal conditions for wetland kalo (Colocasia esculenta) agriculture that incorporated pond fields and irrigation canals. Other cultigens, such as kō (sugar cane, Saccharum officinarum) and mai`a (banana, Musa sp.), were also grown and, where appropriate, such crops as 'uala (sweet potato, Ipomoea batatas) were produced. This was the typical agricultural pattern seen during traditional times on all the Hawaiian Islands (Kirch and Sahlins 1992, Vol. 1:5, 119; Kirch 1985).

The Hāmākua Loa region was associated with the gods Kanaloa and Kane, who caused fresh water to flow from springs and gulches, as they had nothing but 'awa to drink when they came here (Hill et al. 2006). Hāmākua Loa was where the head of the guardian of the god of thunder, Kanehekili, was beheaded by his brother-in-law at Pakanaloe Heiau (Thrumin 1908). Although the head had been taken to Lāna`i, the people cut up Kanehekili’s body into pieces that were distributed around Maui for all to worship.

Both Hāmākua Poko and Hāmākua Loa, where the ahupua'a of Pa`uwela is found, contained small gulches surrounded by gently sloping kula lands. Opening to the sea, the wide mouths of the gulches supported lo`i, that ascended with the stream bed. Handy and Handy stated that Kuiaha Gulch, near the project area, undoubtedly had a few pond fields within its limits (1972). It was very likely that stream taro were planted in the many streamlets that fed into the main gulches, as well as dry land taro in the kula lands and in the lower forest (ibid). The many narrow ahupua’a along this coast suggests that the population was considerable, even with only a moderate rain fall. The soil was excellent for sweet potato between the gulches, yams and 'awa could be grown in the interior, and other crops, including banana, breadfruit, sugar cane, and arrowroot, would have thrived in the region (Ibid.). The bays were considered
excellent for fishing with the *alaloa*, finished by Kihapiʻilani in the 1500s, connecting them for easy access by the people.

Before the landing of Capt. Cook, there was great competition between the chiefs of Hawaiʻi Island and the *aliʻi nui* of Maui. In the early 1700s, Chief Alapaʻi nui a Kauaua of Hawaiʻi Island, returned to Maui to make war on Kekaulike, ruling chief of Maui (Kamakau 1961). Upon arrival to Kaupo, he learned that Kekaulike was dying and had appointed Alapaʻi’s own nephew, Kamehameha nui, as heir. Alapaʻi lost all ambition for war and made peace with the new ruler. Although peace was maintained for many years, it was only a matter of time before the rivalry again surfaced between these two Islands. This time with the brother of Kamehameha nui, Kahekili who had become ruler after Kamehameha nui’s death, and Kalaniopuʻu, an *aliʻi* who had previously served under Alapaʻi nui, for control of the island chain (Kamakau 1961, Fornander 1969). Kalaniopuʻu invaded Hāmākua Loa late in 1778, but was defeated by Kahekili’s warriors. Gathering the support of Mahihelelima, chief of the Hāna District, Kalaniopuʻu went back to Hāmākua Loa where they attacked once more. The back-and-forth battles continued for sometime (1775-1779), even after the rise of Kamehameha (Kamakau 1961).

Hearing of Kamehameha’s approach Kalanikupule [son of Kahekili] sent an army to Hamakualoa under the warrior Kapa-kahili . . . after his death the fighting ceased and Kamehameha and his chiefs went on the principal encounter at Wailuku [*Ibid.*:148]

**WESTERN CONTACT**

Early records, such as journals kept by explorers, travelers and missionaries, Hawaiian traditions that survived long enough to be written down, and archaeological investigations have assisted in the understanding of past cultural activities. It was during the fighting between Kalaniopuʻu and Kahekili at Hāmākua Loa in 1778, that Capt. Cook and his men first arrived at the other end of the island chain; Niʻihau and Kauaʻi (Fornander 1969). Returning in November of 1778, they had their first look at Maui and Hāmākua Loa region, and indeed, met Kahekili and Kamehameha who ventured out at different times to investigate, “. . .The tower of Lono!” (Kamakau 1961:97).

Descriptions of the north coast of Maui were first recorded in November of 1778 as the Resolution and the Discovery sailed down a portion of the northeast side of the island (Beaglehole 1967: Part I, Vol. III). David Samwell, a surgeon on the Discovery, reported "...the ships lay to all day about 3 miles off shore, trading with the Natives who came off in their canoes in great number..." (Beaglehole Part I, vol. III 1967:1151).
It had been a time of war between Kalaniopu‘u, ruler of Hawai‘i Island, and Kahekili, chief of Maui and Moloka‘i. During this season of the year (Makahiki), however, the fighting was temporarily suspended and the great chief of Maui, Kahekili, was free to visit the foreign ships. Samwell recorded his impressions of the King and the windward slopes of the northern coast of Maui. He stated that Kahekili was “a middle aged man ... rather of a mean appearance...” and the land was "...mountainous, the sides of the hills are covered with trees...large open plains on which stand their houses & where they have their plantations of sweet potatoes, taro & c. ..." (Ibid.).

THE MĀHELE

In the 1840s, a drastic change in the traditional land tenure resulted in a division of island lands and a system of private ownership based on Western law. While it is a complex issue, many scholars believe that in order to protect Hawaiian sovereignty from foreign powers, Kauikeouli (Kamehameha III) was forced to establish laws changing the traditional Hawaiian society to that of a market economy (Daws 1968; Kuykendall Vol. I, 1938:145 et passim; Kame‘eleihiwa 1992). Among other things, the foreigners demanded private ownership of land to insure their investments (Kuykendall Vol. I, 1938:138 et passim; Kame‘eleihiwa 1992; Kelly 1998, 1983). Once lands were made available and private ownership was instituted, native Hawaiians—including the maka‘āinana (commoners)—were able to claim the plots they were cultivating and living on, if they had been made aware of the foreign procedures (kuleama lands, LCAs). This land division, or Māhele, occurred in 1848. The awarded parcels were called Land Commission Awards (LCA). If occupation could be established through the testimony of witnesses, the petitioners were issued a Royal Patent number and could then take possession of the property (Chinen 1961). Twenty-eight LCAs were claimed in Pa‘uwela Ahupua‘a, however, none were awarded within the project area (Waihona ‘aina Database, 2009). The un-awarded lands of Pa‘uwela became government lands and were later sold as Government Grants. The project area was part of Grant 6553.

Sugar cane became a major industry in the 1800s and Pa‘uwela offered good land for its cultivation. The Haiku Sugar Company was formed in 1858, and by the 1870s, three more plantations were developed in the region (Dorrance and Morgan 2000). As more and more land was cultivated with sugar, the need for water became significant. In 1876, four plantations formed the Hamakua Ditch Company that obtained the water rights and eventually diverted the stream water by using ditches, tunnels and pipes to irrigate the fields. By 1900, most of
Pa`uwela was in sugar and water needs had expanded to the point where several ditches were constructed to deliver water: the Koolau Ditch in 1905, the Lowrie Ditch in 1914, and the Haiku Ditch in 1914 (Haun and Henry 2002). During the 1920s both pineapple and grapes were introduced for cultivation. In the 1930s, some areas of Pa`uwela were used for cattle pastures.

During WWII (1944), Pa`uwela lands were used for a training base called “Camp Maui.” At least 16,000 Marines trained here for the invasion that was to take place on Saipan and Tinian in the Mariana Islands that were held by the Japanese (Joseph et al. 2008). Today, there are residences, and some continuing agriculture, in the region.

**SUMMARY**

The “level of effort undertaken” to identify potential effect of a project to cultural resources, places or beliefs (OEQC 1997) has not been officially defined and is left up to the investigator. A good faith effort can mean contacting agencies by letter, interviewing people who may be affected by the project or who know its history, research identifying sensitive areas and previous land use, notifying the community through the media, and other appropriate strategies based on the type of project being proposed and its impact potential. Sometimes the developer might decide to hold meetings in which the public is invited to testify and provide information. As a CIA is not an archaeological study, sending inquiring letters to organizations concerning development of a piece of property that has already been used for previous contemporary activities and is located in an already developed industrial area may be a “good faith effort”. If there had been cultural resources and activities in the region, it is likely that they have already been seriously impacted to the point of extinction. However, when many factors need to be considered, such as in coastal or mountain development, a good faith effort might mean an entirely different level of research activity.

In the case of the present parcel, letters of inquiry were sent to organizations whose expertise would include the project area. Consultation was sought from Thelma Shimaoka, Coordinator of the Maui branch of the Office of Hawaiian Affairs; the Central Maui Hawaiian Civic Club; Hinano Rodrigues, Cultural Historian with State Historic Preservation Division; the Maui Planning Department, Cultural Resources Commission; Kamika Kea’a of the Native Hawaiian Preservation Council, and Nā Kupuna O Maui.

In addition, a Cultural Impact Assessment Notice was published on January 11, 14, 15, 2009 in *The Honolulu Advertiser* and *The Maui News*, on January 11, 14, 15 2009. These notices
requested information of cultural resources or activities in the area of the proposed project, gave
the TMK number and where to respond with information.

Historical and cultural source materials were extensively used and can be found listed in
the References Cited portion of the report. Such scholars as I‘i, Kamakau, Beckwith, Chinen,
Kame‘eleihiwa, Fornander, Kuykendall, Kelly, Handy and Handy, Puku‘i and Elbert, Thrum,
Sterling, and Cordy have contributed, and continue to contribute to our knowledge and
understanding of Hawai‘i, past and present. The works of these and other authors were
consulted and incorporated in the report where appropriate. Land use document research was
supplied by the Waihona ‘Aina 2009 Data base.

In addition, archaeological reports specific to the project vicinity were reviewed.
Winslow Walker (1930) was the first to systematically record archaeological sites on Maui. He
noted 22 heiau in the Hāmākua Loa District of which 12 were destroyed, five were partially
destroyed, and five were still intact.

An Archaeological Inventory Survey was conducted for a 64.27 acre parcel north of the
project area previously under cultivation with sugar cane. No archaeological remains were
identified (Masterson et al. 1995). In the same area but makai, another Archaeological Inventory
survey was conducted on 43.76 acres at Maliko Point. No cultural remains were identified
(Pantaleo 2002).

On Maliko point a Archaeological Inventory survey was conducted and two sites were
identified (Fredericksen and Fredericksen 2001). These consisted of a historic cemetery and a
pre-Contact ceremonial and burial site.

An Archaeological Inventory Survey was conducted for the Ha‘iku Community Center.
Pineapple had previously been cultivated on the parcel and no archaeological remains were
identified either above or below the surface (Zachman and Spear 2002).

Several historic burials from around the 1930s were identified in a small parcel west of
the present project area (Haun and Henry 2002). These have been preserved by the Maui/Lāna‘i
Island Burial Council.

Several other surveys conducted between 1998 and 2006 identified agricultural terraces,
retaining walls and a steel culvert bridge (Buffum-Cordero and Dega 2000; Hammatt and
Chiojioji 1998; and Hill et al. 2006).

In 2008, an Archaeological Inventory Survey was conducted in Pa‘uwela in which three
sites were identified consisting of a pre-Contact stone-walled, earth-filled habitation terrace, a
historic boundary line represented by a fence and stone wall and a transportation trail (Joseph et al. 2008). The 5-plus acres were located north of the present project area.

Archaeology deals with material remains, and although cultural beliefs are often reflected through some sort of architecture, like heiau, or koʻa, there are many examples of cultural associations still important to the community with no physical structures to mark their significance. One such place, Ulukukui O Lanikāula, located on Molokaʻi, is considered an extremely sacred spot. Another might be Kilauea and Halemaʻumaʻu, home of Pele. These places have become important sites supporting a traditional belief system still held by the many peoples of Hawaiʻi. They contain no identified archaeological features, however they are highly meaningful “...because of [their] association with cultural practices or beliefs of a living community . . .” (King 2003:3).

**CIA INQUIRY RESPONSE**

No specific suggestions of further contacts were received from Thelma Shimaoka, Coordinator of the Maui branch of the Office of Hawaiian Affairs; the Central Maui Hawaiian Civic Club; Hinano Rodrigues, Cultural Historian with State Historic Preservation Division; the Maui Planning Department, Cultural Resources Commission; or Nā Kupuna O Maui from the original letters of inquiry sent in January of 2009.

A response was received from Coochie Cayan, the History and Culture Branch Chief, SHPD (January 28, 2009) and OHA, Oʻahu Branch (March 17, 200). It was recommended that we initiate consultation with Leslie Kuloloio, Charles Maxwell, and Keʻemoku Kapu and several others that had already been contacted in the letters of initial inquiry. A second batch of inquiry letters were sent on April 8, 2009 (Appendix C). None of these individuals responded with information concerning cultural activities in the area.

Local and statewide newspaper Public Announcements are included in the strategy and pursuit of information concerning cultural resources and activities associated with specific land parcels. A Cultural Impact Assessment Notice was published on January 11, 14, 15, 2009 in the Honolulu Advertiser and The Maui News also on January 11, 14, 15, 2009. This notice requested information of cultural resources or activities in the project area, or its vicinity, gives the TMK and where to respond with information. There was no response from either notice.

Analysis of the potential effect of the project on cultural resources, practices or beliefs, its potential to isolate cultural resources, practices or beliefs from their setting, and the potential of the project to introduce elements which may alter the setting in which cultural practices take
place is a requirement of the OEQC (No. 10, 1997). To our knowledge, the project area has not been used for traditional cultural purposes within recent times.

CULTURAL ASSESSMENT

Based on historical research and the lack of response from the above listed organizations and individuals, it is reasonable to conclude that Hawaiian rights, or those of any ethnic group related to gathering, access, or other customary activities within the project area will not be affected by developmental activities on this parcel. Because there were no cultural activities identified within the project area, there are no adverse effects.
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APPENDIX A: JANUARY CONSULTANT ENQUIRIES
(Enclosures not included)
Central Maui
Hawaiian Civic Club
310 Kaʻahumanu Ave.
Kahului, Maui 96732

January 14, 2009

Dear Members:

Scientific Consultant Services, Inc. (SCS) has been contracted by Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc., to conduct a Cultural Impact Assessment (CIA) of a 7 acre parcel in Pauwela, Makawao, Maui (TMK:2-7-007:008). The project proposes the construction of a Haʻikū Fire Station in Haʻikū. According to documents supplied by Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc., SCS has been asked to assess the probability of impacting cultural values and rights within the project area and its vicinity. According to the Guidelines for Assessing Cultural Impacts (Office of Environmental Quality Control, Nov. 1997):

The types of cultural practices and beliefs subject to assessment may include subsistence, commercial, residential, agricultural, access-related, recreational, and religious and spiritual customs... The types of cultural resources subject to assessment may include traditional cultural properties or other types of historic sites, both man made and natural which support such cultural beliefs...

We are asking you for any information that might contribute to the knowledge of traditional activities, or traditional rights that might be impacted by development of the property. The assessment results are dependent on the response and contributions made by individuals and organizations such as yours. Enclosed are maps showing the proposed project area. Please contact me at our SCS Honolulu office at (808) 597-1182; my cell phone, 225-2355; or home, (808) 637-9539, with any information or recommendations concerning this Cultural Impact Assessment.

Sincerely yours,

Leann McGerty,
Senior Archaeologist
Enclosure (2)
Kamika Kepa’a
Native Hawaiian Preservation Council
606 Kalo Place
Lahaina, HI 96761

Dear Mr. Kepa’a:

Scientific Consultant Services, Inc. (SCS) has been contracted by Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc., to conduct a Cultural Impact Assessment (CIA) of a 7 acre parcel in Pauwela, Makawao, Maui (TMK:2-7-007-008). The project proposes the construction of a Ha’ikū Fire Station in Ha’ikū. According to documents supplied by Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc., SCS has been asked to assess the probability of impacting cultural values and rights within the project area and its vicinity. According to the Guidelines for Assessing Cultural Impacts (Office of Environmental Quality Control, Nov. 1997):

The types of cultural practices and beliefs subject to assessment may include subsistence, commercial, residential, agricultural, access-related, recreational, and religious and spiritual customs… The types of cultural resources subject to assessment may include traditional cultural properties or other types of historic sites, both man made and natural which support such cultural beliefs…

We are asking you for any information that might contribute to the knowledge of traditional activities, or traditional rights that might be impacted by development of the property. The assessment results are dependent on the response and contributions made by individuals and organizations such as yours. Enclosed are maps showing the proposed project area. Please contact me at our SCS Honolulu office at (808) 597-1182; my cell phone, 225-2355; or home, (808) 637-9539, with any information or recommendations concerning this Cultural Impact Assessment.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

Leann McGerty,
Senior Archaeologist
Enclosure (2)
Patty Nishiyama  
Nā Kupuna O Maui  
320 Kaeo Place  
Lahaina, Hawaii 96761

January 14, 2009

Dear Ms. Nishiyama:

Scientific Consultant Services, Inc. (SCS) has been contracted by Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc., to conduct a Cultural Impact Assessment (CIA) of a 7 acre parcel in Puaowela, Makawao, Maui (TMK:2-7-007:008). The project proposes the construction of a Hā`i`kū Fire Station in Hā`i`kū. According to documents supplied by Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc., SCS has been asked to assess the probability of impacting cultural values and rights within the project area and its vicinity. According to the Guidelines for Assessing Cultural Impacts (Office of Environmental Quality Control, Nov. 1997):

The types of cultural practices and beliefs subject to assessment may include subsistence, commercial, residential, agricultural, access-related, recreational, and religious and spiritual customs... The types of cultural resources subject to assessment may include traditional cultural properties or other types of historic sites, both man made and natural which support such cultural beliefs...

We are asking you for any information that might contribute to the knowledge of traditional activities, or traditional rights that might be impacted by development of the property. The assessment results are dependent on the response and contributions made by individuals and organizations such as yours. Enclosed are maps showing the proposed project area. Please contact me at our SCS Honolulu office at (808) 597-1182; my cell phone, 225-2355; or home, (808) 637-9535, with any information or recommendations concerning this Cultural Impact Assessment.

Sincerely yours,

Leann McGerty,  
Senior Archaeologist  
Enclosure (2)
Hinano Rodrigues, Cultural Historian
DLNR Maui Office
130 Mahalani Street
Wailuku, HI 96791

Dear Hinano:

Scientific Consultant Services, Inc. (SCS) has been contracted by Munekiyo & Hiruga, Inc., to conduct a Cultural Impact Assessment (CIA) of a 7 acre parcel in Pauwela, Makawao, Maui (TMK:2-7-007:008). The project proposes the construction of a Ha’ikū Fire Station in Ha’ikū. According to documents supplied by Munekiyo & Hiruga, Inc., SCS has been asked to assess the probability of impacting cultural values and rights within the project area and its vicinity. According to the Guidelines for Assessing Cultural Impacts (Office of Environmental Quality Control, Nov. 1997):

The types of cultural practices and beliefs subject to assessment may include subsistence, commercial, residential, agricultural, access-related, recreational, and religious and spiritual customs... The types of cultural resources subject to assessment may include traditional cultural properties or other types of historic sites, both man made and natural which support such cultural beliefs...

We are asking you for any information that might contribute to the knowledge of traditional activities, or traditional rights that might be impacted by development of the property. The assessment results are dependent on the response and contributions made by individuals and organizations such as yours. Enclosed are maps showing the proposed project area. Please contact me at our SCS Honolulu office at (808) 597-1182; my cell phone, 225-2355; or home, (808) 637-9539, with any information or recommendations concerning this Cultural Impact Assessment.

Sincerely yours,

Leann McGerty,
Senior Archaeologist
Enclosure (2)
Thelma Shimaoka
C/o Office of Hawaiian Affairs
140 Hoomana St.
Suite 206
Kahului, HI 96732

January 14, 2009

Dear Ms. Shimaoka:

Scientific Consultant Services, Inc. (SCS) has been contracted by Munekiy & Hiraga, Inc., to conduct a Cultural Impact Assessment (CIA) of a 7 acre parcel in Pa'auilo, Makawao, Maui (TMK:2-7-007.008). The project proposes the construction of a Ha'ikū Fire Station in Ha'ikū. According to documents supplied by Munekiy & Hiraga, Inc., SCS has been asked to assess the probability of impacting cultural values and rights within the project area and its vicinity. According to the Guidelines for Assessing Cultural Impacts (Office of Environmental Quality Control, Nov. 1997):

The types of cultural practices and beliefs subject to assessment may include subsistence, commercial, residential, agricultural, access-related, recreational, and religious and spiritual customs... The types of cultural resources subject to assessment may include traditional cultural properties or other types of historic sites, both man made and natural which support such cultural beliefs...

We are asking you for any information that might contribute to the knowledge of traditional activities, or traditional rights that might be impacted by development of the property. The assessment results are dependent on the response and contributions made by individuals and organizations such as yours. Enclosed are maps showing the proposed project area. Please contact me at our SCS Honolulu office at (808) 597-1182; my cell phone, 225-2355; or home, (808) 637-9539, with any information or recommendations concerning this Cultural Impact Assessment.

Sincerely yours,

Leann McGerty,
Senior Archaeologist
Enclosure (2)
January 14, 2009

County of Maui
Department of Planning
Cultural Resources Commission
250 S. High Street
Wailuku, HI 96793

Dear Sir or Madam:

Scientific Consultant Services, Inc. (SCS) has been contracted by Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc., to conduct a Cultural Impact Assessment (CIA) of a 7 acre parcel in Pauwela, Makena, Maui (TMK:2-7-007:008). The project proposes the construction of a Ha‘ikū Fire Station in Ha‘ikū. According to documents supplied by Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc., SCS has been asked to assess the probability of impacting cultural values and rights within the project area and its vicinity. According to the Guidelines for Assessing Cultural Impacts (Office of Environmental Quality Control, Nov. 1997):

The types of cultural practices and beliefs subject to assessment may include subsistence, commercial, residential, agricultural, access-related, recreational, and religious and spiritual customs... The types of cultural resources subject to assessment may include traditional cultural properties or other types of historic sites, both man made and natural which support such cultural beliefs...

We are asking you for any information that might contribute to the knowledge of traditional activities, or traditional rights that might be impacted by development of the property. The assessment results are dependent on the response and contributions made by individuals and organizations such as yours. Enclosed are maps showing the proposed project area. Please contact me at our SCS Honolulu office at (808) 597-1182; my cell phone, 225-2355; or home, (808) 637-9539, with any information or recommendations concerning this Cultural Impact Assessment.

Sincerely yours,

Leann McGerty,
Senior Archaeologist
Enclosure (2)
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APPENDIX C: APRIL CONSULTATION INQUIRIES
(Enclosures not included)
Mr. Leslie Kuloloio  
469 Maalo Street  
Kahului, HI 96732

Dear Mr. Kuloloio:

Scientific Consultant Services, Inc. (SCS) has been contracted by Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc., to conduct a Cultural Impact Assessment (CIA) of a 7 acre parcel in Paawela, Makawao, Maui (TMK:2-7-007:008). The project proposes the construction of a Ha’iku Fire Station in Ha’iku. According to documents supplied by Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc., SCS has been asked to assess the probability of impacting cultural values and rights within the project area and its vicinity. According to the Guidelines for Assessing Cultural Impacts (Office of Environmental Quality Control, Nov. 1997):

The types of cultural practices and beliefs subject to assessment may include subsistence, commercial, residential, agricultural, access-related, recreational, and religious and spiritual customs…The types of cultural resources subject to assessment may include traditional cultural properties or other types of historic sites, both man made and natural which support such cultural beliefs…

We are asking you for any information that might contribute to the knowledge of traditional activities, or traditional rights that might be impacted by development of the property. The assessment results are dependent on the response and contributions made by individuals and organizations such as yours. Enclosed are maps showing the proposed project area. Please contact me at our SCS Honolulu office at (808) 597-1182; my cell phone, 225-2355; or home, (808) 637-9559, with any information or recommendations concerning this Cultural Impact Assessment.

Sincerely yours,

Leann McGerty,  
Senior Archaeologist  
Enclosure (2)
Mr. Charles Maxwell  
Charles Maxwell  
157 Aien Place  
Pukalani, HI 96768  

Dear Mr. Maxwell:

Scientific Consultant Services, Inc. (SCS) has been contracted by Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc., to conduct a Cultural Impact Assessment (CIA) of a 7 acre parcel in Pauwela, Makawao, Maui (TMK:2-7-007-008). The project proposes the construction of a Ha'ikū Fire Station in Ha'ikū. According to documents supplied by Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc., SCS has been asked to assess the probability of impacting cultural values and rights within the project area and its vicinity. According to the Guidelines for Assessing Cultural Impacts (Office of Environmental Quality Control, Nov. 1997):

The types of cultural practices and beliefs subject to assessment may include subsistence, commercial, residential, agricultural, access-related, recreational, and religious and spiritual customs...The types of cultural resources subject to assessment may include traditional cultural properties or other types of historic sites, both man made and natural which support such cultural beliefs...

We are asking you for any information that might contribute to the knowledge of traditional activities, or traditional rights that might be impacted by development of the property. The assessment results are dependent on the response and contributions made by individuals and organizations such as yours. Enclosed are maps showing the proposed project area. Please contact me at our SCS Honolulu office at (808) 597-1182; my cell phone. 225-2355; or home, (808) 637-9539, with any information or recommendations concerning this Cultural Impact Assessment.

Sincerely yours,

Learn McGerty,  
Senior Archaeologist  
Enclosure (2)
April 8, 2009

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Kapu:

Scientific Consultant Services, Inc. (SCS) has been contracted by Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc., to conduct a Cultural Impact Assessment (CIA) of a 7 acre parcel in Papwela, Mākawao, Maui (TMK:2-7-007:008). The project proposes the construction of a Haʻiʻikū Fire Station in Haʻiʻikū. According to documents supplied by Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc., SCS has been asked to assess the probability of impacting cultural values and rights within the project area and its vicinity. According to the *Guidelines for Assessing Cultural Impacts* (Office of Environmental Quality Control, Nov. 1997):

The types of cultural practices and beliefs subject to assessment may include subsistence, commercial, residential, agricultural, access-related, recreational, and religious and spiritual customs... The types of cultural resources subject to assessment may include traditional cultural properties or other types of historic sites, both man made and natural which support such cultural beliefs...

We are asking you for any information that might contribute to the knowledge of traditional activities, or traditional rights that might be impacted by development of the property. The assessment results are dependent on the response and contributions made by individuals and organizations such as yours. Enclosed are maps showing the proposed project area. Please contact me at our SCS Honolulu office at (808) 597-1182; my cell phone, 225-2355; or home, (808) 637-9539, with any information or recommendations concerning this Cultural Impact Assessment.

Sincerely yours,

Leann McGerty,
Senior Archaeologist
Enclosure (2)
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I. INTRODUCTION

A. Purpose of Study

The purpose of this study is to identify and assess the traffic impacts resulting from the proposed Haiku Fire Station on the island of Maui. The proposed project entails the construction of a new fire station in Haiku adjacent to Hana Highway.

B. Scope of Study

This report presents the findings and conclusions of the traffic study, the scope of which includes:

1. Description of the proposed project.
2. Evaluation of existing roadway and traffic operations in the vicinity.
3. Analysis of future roadway and traffic conditions without the proposed project.
4. Analysis and development of trip generation characteristics for the proposed project.
5. Superimposing site-generated traffic over future traffic conditions.
6. The identification and analysis of traffic impacts resulting from the proposed project.
7. Recommendations of improvements, if appropriate, that would mitigate the traffic impacts resulting from the proposed project.

II. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Location

The project site for the new Haiku Fire Station is located adjacent to Hana Highway near East Kuiaha Road in Haiku on the island of Maui (see Figure 1). The proposed site is part of a larger parcel that is further identified as Tax Map Key: 2-7-007: 008 (por.). Access to the new fire station would be provided via a new driveway off Hana Highway.

B. Project Characteristics

The proposed project includes a fire station that will accommodate two fire trucks, utility building, and adjacent at-grade parking areas. The new station will
house office, dining, and living areas, as well as, other miscellaneous support facilities. The new station is expected to be completed by the Year 2012 with access provided via a new driveway off Hana Highway. Figure 2 shows the proposed project site plan.

III. EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

A. Area Roadway System

The project site is located adjacent to Hana Highway, a State of Hawaii roadway that serves as the main access road along the northern coast of Maui. In the vicinity of the project site, Hana Highway is a predominantly two-lane, two-way roadway generally oriented in the east-west direction. Southeast of the project site, Hana Highway intersects East Kuiaha Road. At this unsignalized intersection, both approaches of the highway have one lane that serves all traffic movements. East Kuiaha Road is a two-lane, two-way roadway generally oriented in the north-south direction. At the intersection with Hana Highway, the East Kuiaha Road approach has one stop-controlled northbound lane that serves all traffic movements. The southbound approach of the intersection is comprised of a driveway for an adjacent parcel.

B. Traffic Volumes and Conditions

1. General
   a. Field Investigation

   Field investigations were conducted on April 28, 2008, September 30-October 1, and October 14-15, 2009, and consisted of manual turning movement and 24-hour mechanical traffic count surveys along Hana Highway in the project vicinity. The manual turning movement count surveys were conducted between the morning peak hours of 6:00 AM and 9:00 AM, and the afternoon peak hours of 3:00 PM and 6:00 PM at the intersection of East Kuiaha Road with Hana Highway. Appendix A includes the existing traffic count data.
b. Capacity Analysis Methodology

The highway capacity analysis performed in this study is based upon procedures presented in the “Highway Capacity Manual”, Transportation Research Board, 2000, and the “Highway Capacity Software”, developed by the Federal Highway Administration. The analysis is based on the concept of Level of Service (LOS).

LOS is a quantitative and qualitative assessment of traffic operations. Levels of Service are defined by LOS “A” through “F”; LOS “A” representing ideal or free-flow traffic operating conditions and LOS “F” unacceptable or potentially congested traffic operating conditions.

“Volume-to-Capacity” (v/c) ratio is another measure indicating the relative traffic demand to the road carrying capacity. A v/c ratio of one (1.00) indicates that the roadway is operating at or near capacity. A v/c ratio of greater than 1.00 indicates that the traffic demand exceeds the road’s carrying capacity. The LOS definitions are included in Appendix B.

2. Existing Peak Hour Traffic

Figure 3 shows the existing AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes and operating traffic conditions. The AM peak hour of traffic occurs between 7:15 AM and 8:15 AM in the vicinity of the proposed project. In the afternoon, the PM peak hour of traffic generally between the hours of 5:00 PM and 6:00 PM. The analysis is based on these peak hour time periods to identify the traffic impacts resulting from the proposed project. LOS calculations are included in Appendix C.

South of East Kuiaha Road, Hana Highway operates at LOS “B” and a v/c ratio of 0.21 during both peak periods. At the intersection with East Kuiaha Road, the highway carries 168 vehicles eastbound and 347 vehicles westbound during the AM peak hour of traffic. During the PM peak hour, the
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overall traffic volume is higher with 313 vehicles traveling eastbound and 228 vehicles traveling westbound. Both approaches of the highway operate at LOS “A” during both peak periods.

The East Kuiaha Road approach of the intersection carries 40 vehicles northbound and operates at LOS “C” during the AM peak hour of traffic. During the PM peak hour of traffic, the traffic volume is less with 16 vehicles traveling northbound. The approach operates at LOS “B” during this time period.

The southbound approach of the intersection is comprised of a driveway for an adjacent parcel. No vehicles were observed on this approach during both peak hours of traffic.

IV. PROJECTED TRAFFIC CONDITIONS
A. Site-Generated Traffic
1. Trip Generation Methodology
   The trip generation methodology used in this study is based upon generally accepted techniques developed by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) and published in “Trip Generation, 8th Edition,” 2008. The ITE trip generation rates are developed empirically by correlating the vehicle trip generation data with various land use characteristics such as the number of vehicle trips generated per employee. Since deliveries to the station are expected to occur during off-peak periods and most of the on-site training, conferences, and workshops are expected to be for the personnel assigned to the station, trips during the peak periods are primarily expected to be comprised of employee-related trips. The Maui Fire Department (MFD) has indicated that there will be 6 employees per shift assigned to the Haiku Fire Station with each employee working 24-hour shifts. The shift change occurs daily between 7:00 AM and 7:30 AM. For the purpose of this report, the total number of site-generated trips was based upon the anticipated number of employees with all employees conservatively assumed to enter and exit the site during the morning peak hour of traffic. Table 1 summarizes the project
site trip generation characteristics applied to the AM and PM peak hours of traffic to measure the impact resulting from the proposed Haiku Fire Station.

**Table 1: Peak Hour Trip Generation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HAiku FIRE STATION</th>
<th>INDEPENDENT VARIABLE:</th>
<th># of Employees = 6</th>
<th>PROJECTED TRIP ENDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AM PEAK</td>
<td>ENTER</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EXIT</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM PEAK</td>
<td>ENTER</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EXIT</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. **Trip Distribution**

Access to the proposed fire station will be provided via a new driveway off Hana Highway. The directional distribution of site-generated vehicles at the intersection with the highway was based upon the prevailing directional distribution of traffic at the adjacent intersection of the highway with East Kuiaha Road. As such, 85.0% of the entering vehicles were assumed to be traveling eastbound during the AM peak period while 15.0% were assumed to be traveling westbound. Similarly, 2.6% of the exiting vehicles were assumed to be traveling eastbound and 97.4% of the exiting were assumed to be traveling westbound.

**B. Through Traffic Forecasting Methodology**

The travel forecast is based upon historical traffic count data obtained from the State DOT, Highways Division at survey stations located in the vicinity of the project site. The historical data indicates a stable or declining growth in traffic and, as such, an annual traffic growth rate of approximately 1.0% was conservatively assumed in the project vicinity. As such, using 2009 as the Base Year, a growth rate factor of 1.03 was applied to the existing traffic demands in the project vicinity to achieve the projected Year 2012 traffic demands.
C. **Total Traffic Volumes Without Project**

The projected Year 2012 AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes and operating conditions in the project vicinity without the proposed Haiku Fire Station are shown on Figure 4, and summarized in Table 2. The existing levels of service are provided for comparison purposes. LOS calculations are included in Appendix D.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intersection</th>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>AM</th>
<th>PM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hana Hwy/East Kuiaha Rd</td>
<td>Eastbound</td>
<td>A  A  A  A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Westbound</td>
<td>A  A  A  A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Northbound</td>
<td>C  C  B  B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Traffic operations under Year 2012 without project conditions are expected to remain similar to existing conditions during both peak hours of traffic. South of East Kuiaha Road, Hana Highway is expected to continue operating at LOS “B” during both peak periods. Similarly, the approaches of the intersection of Hana Highway with East Kuiaha Road are expected to continue operating at levels of service similar to existing conditions.

D. **Total Traffic Volumes With Project**

Figure 5 shows the Year 2012 cumulative AM and PM peak hour traffic conditions resulting from the projected external traffic and the proposed Haiku Fire Station. The cumulative volumes consist of site-generated traffic superimposed over Year 2012 projected traffic demands. The traffic impacts resulting from the proposed project are addressed in the following section.
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V. TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

The Year 2012 cumulative AM and PM peak hour traffic conditions with the proposed Haiku Fire Station are summarized in Table 3. The existing and projected Year 2012 (Without Project) operating conditions are provided for comparison purposes. LOS calculations are included in Appendix E.

Table 3: Existing and Projected (Without and With Project) LOS Traffic Operating Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intersection</th>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>AM</th>
<th></th>
<th>PM</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Exist</td>
<td>Year 2012</td>
<td>Exist</td>
<td>Year 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>w/out Proj</td>
<td>w/ Proj</td>
<td>w/out Proj</td>
<td>w/ Proj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hana Hwy/</td>
<td>Eastbound</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Kuiaha Rd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Westbound</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Northbound</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hana Hwy/</td>
<td>Westbound</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Station Dwy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Northbound</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Traffic operations in the project vicinity are expected to remain similar to existing and Year 2012 without project conditions despite the addition of site-generated vehicles to the surrounding roadway network. South of East Kuiaha Road, Hana Highway is expected to continue operating at LOS “B” during both peak periods. At the intersection of the highway with East Kuiaha Road, the approaches of the intersection are expected to continue operating at LOS “C” or better during the AM peak period and LOS “B” or better during the PM peak period. In addition, the approaches of the intersection of the fire station driveway with Hana Highway are expected to operate at LOS “C” or better during the AM peak period.

VI. RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the analysis of the traffic data, the following are the recommendations of this study:

1. Maintain sufficient sight distance for motorists to safely enter and exit all project driveways/roadways.

2. Provide adequate on-site loading and off-loading service areas and prohibit off-site loading operations.
3. Provide adequate turn-around area for service, delivery, and refuse collection vehicles to maneuver on the project site to avoid vehicle-reversing maneuvers onto public roadways.

4. Provide sufficient turning radii at all project driveways/roadways to avoid or minimize vehicle encroachments to oncoming traffic lanes.

VII. CONCLUSION

The proposed Haiku Fire Station will house support facilities for two fire trucks, as well as, provide office, dining, and living areas for the employees stationed there. With the development of the new fire station, the approaches of the Hana Highway intersections with East Kuiuaha Road and the fire station driveway are anticipated to operate at acceptable levels of service during both peak periods. In addition, the total traffic volumes along Hana Highway are expected to increase by approximately 2% or less during both peak periods with the proposed project. These increases in the total traffic volumes are in the range of daily volume fluctuations along the highway and represent a minimal increase in the overall traffic volumes. As such, the proposed Haiku Fire Station is not expected to have a significant impact on the traffic operations in the project vicinity.
Appendix A

Existing Traffic Count Data
### Wilson Okamoto Corporation
1907 S. Beretania Street Suite 400
Honolulu, Hi 96826

**Counter:** D4-5676  
**Counted By:** RY  
**Weather:** Clear  
**File Name:** HanaKui AM  
**Site Code:** 00000001  
**Start Date:** 10/1/2009  
**Page No.:** 1

#### Groups Printed - Unshifted

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Start Time</th>
<th>Private Driveway Southbound</th>
<th>Hana Highway Westbound</th>
<th>E. Kulaha Road Northbound</th>
<th>Hana Highway Eastbound</th>
<th>Int. Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06:00 AM</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06:15 AM</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06:30 AM</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06:45 AM</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07:00 AM</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07:15 AM</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07:30 AM</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07:45 AM</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08:00 AM</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08:15 AM</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08:30 AM</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08:45 AM</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approch %</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total %</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Peak Hour Analysis
From 06:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:15 AM

#### Start Time
- **07:15 AM**
- **07:30 AM**
- **07:45 AM**
- **08:00 AM**

#### Hana Highway Analysis
- **Total Volume**: 347
- **% App. Total**: 92.5

#### PHF
- **0.000**
- **0.000**
- **0.000**
- **0.000**

#### Other Measurements
- **.750 .694 .000 .694**
- **.771 .250 .250 .769**
- **.000 .712 .708 .712**
- **.788**
## Wilson Okamoto Corporation
1907 S. Beretania Street Suite 400
Honolulu, Hi 96826

Counter:D4-5676
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Weather:Clear

### Private Driveway Southbound

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>03:00 PM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03:15 PM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03:30 PM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03:45 PM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>231</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>04:00 PM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04:15 PM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04:30 PM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04:45 PM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>288</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>05:00 PM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05:15 PM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05:30 PM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05:45 PM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>313</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hana Highway Westbound

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>03:00 PM</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>706</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>762</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03:15 PM</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>99.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>99.3</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>91.6</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>92.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03:30 PM</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>52.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### E. Kuliha Road Northbound

### Hana Highway Eastbound

### Peak Hour Analysis From 03:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 05:00 PM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>05:00 PM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05:15 PM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05:30 PM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05:45 PM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% App. Total</th>
<th>PHF</th>
<th>.000</th>
<th>.000</th>
<th>.000</th>
<th>.250</th>
<th>.335</th>
<th>.000</th>
<th>.638</th>
<th>.583</th>
<th>.000</th>
<th>.500</th>
<th>.571</th>
<th>.000</th>
<th>.931</th>
<th>.682</th>
<th>.943</th>
<th>.922</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Total Volume

### % App. Total

### PHF
### 24 Hour Volume

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>WB</th>
<th>EB</th>
<th>Combined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9:45 AM</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00 AM</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:15 AM</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30 AM</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:45 AM</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00 AM</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:15 AM</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:30 AM</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:45 AM</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00 PM</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:15 PM</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30 PM</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:45 PM</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00 PM</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:15 PM</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30 PM</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:45 PM</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:00 PM</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:15 PM</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:30 PM</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:45 PM</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:00 PM</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:15 PM</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:30 PM</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:45 PM</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:00 PM</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:15 PM</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:30 PM</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:45 PM</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:00 PM</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:15 PM</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:30 PM</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:45 PM</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:00 PM</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:15 PM</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:30 PM</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:45 PM</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:00 PM</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:15 PM</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:30 PM</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:45 PM</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00 PM</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:15 PM</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:30 PM</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:45 PM</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:00 PM</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:15 PM</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:30 PM</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**24 Hour Volume**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>12:00 PM - 12:00 AM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3635</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Peak Hour**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>WB</th>
<th>EB</th>
<th>Combined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9:45 AM</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00 AM</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:15 AM</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30 AM</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:45 AM</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00 AM</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:15 AM</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:30 AM</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00 PM</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:15 AM</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30 AM</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:45 AM</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00 AM</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:15 AM</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30 AM</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:45 AM</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:00 AM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:15 AM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:30 AM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:45 AM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:00 AM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:15 AM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:30 AM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:45 AM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:00 AM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:15 AM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:30 AM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:45 AM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:00 AM</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:15 AM</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:30 AM</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:45 AM</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:00 AM</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:15 AM</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:30 AM</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:45 AM</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:00 AM</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:15 AM</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:30 AM</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:45 AM</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00 AM</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:15 AM</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Combined**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>12:00 PM - 12:00 AM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2634</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Count**

- 37.4 %
- 62.6 %

**Volume**

- 9:45 AM: 305
- 6:45 AM: 437
- 7:15 AM: 615

**Factor**

- 0.89
- 0.68
- 0.90
## Wilson Okana Corporation
1907 S. Beretania St., Suite 400
Honolulu, HI 96826

### Daily Volume

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Begin</th>
<th>EB</th>
<th>WB</th>
<th>Combined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12:00 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:15 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:45 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:15 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:45 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:00 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:15 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:30 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:45 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:00 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:15 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:30 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:45 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:00 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:15 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:30 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:45 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:00 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:15 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:30 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:45 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:00 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:15 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:30 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:45 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:00 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:15 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:30 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:45 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:15 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:30 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:45 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:00 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:15 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:30 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:45 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:15 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:45 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:15 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:30 AM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:45 AM</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**24 Hour Volume**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>12:00 AM - 12:00 PM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EB: 2669 (54.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB: 2198 (45.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined: 4867</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EB: 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB: 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined: 21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Peak Hour**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EB: 2663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB: 2183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined: 4846</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Date:
10/14/2009

**Wednesday**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>EB</th>
<th>WB</th>
<th>Combined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12:00 AM</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:15 AM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30 AM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:45 AM</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00 AM</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:15 AM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30 AM</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:45 AM</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:00 AM</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:15 AM</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:30 AM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:45 AM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:00 AM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:15 AM</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:30 AM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:45 AM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:00 AM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:15 AM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:30 AM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:45 AM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:00 AM</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:15 AM</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:30 AM</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:45 AM</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:00 AM</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:15 AM</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:30 AM</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:45 AM</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:00 AM</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:15 AM</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:30 AM</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:45 AM</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00 AM</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:15 AM</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:30 AM</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:45 AM</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:00 AM</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:15 AM</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:30 AM</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:45 AM</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00 AM</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:15 AM</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30 AM</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:45 AM</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00 AM</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:15 AM</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:30 AM</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:45 AM</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**24 Hour Volume**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>EB</th>
<th>WB</th>
<th>Combined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12:00 AM - 12:00 PM</td>
<td>1465 (41.1%)</td>
<td>2103 (58.9%)</td>
<td>3568</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Peak Hour**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Volume</th>
<th>Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8:30 AM</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:00 AM</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**12:00 PM - 12:00 AM**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>EB</th>
<th>WB</th>
<th>Combined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12:00 PM</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00:00 AM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LEVEL OF SERVICE DEFINITIONS

LEVEL-OF-SERVICE CRITERIA FOR UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

Level of Service (LOS) criteria are given in Table 1. As used here, control delay is defined as the total elapsed time from the time a vehicle stops at the end of the queue to the time required for the vehicle to travel from the last-in-queue position to the first-in-queue position, including deceleration of vehicles from free-flow speed to the speed of vehicles in the queue.

The average total delay for any particular minor movement is a function of the service rate or capacity of the approach and the degree of saturation. If the degree of saturation is greater than about 0.9, average control delay is significantly affected by the length of the analysis period.

Table 1: Level-of-Service Criteria for Unsignalized Intersections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of Service</th>
<th>Average Control Delay (Sec/Veh)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>≤10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>&gt;10.0 and ≤15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>&gt;15.0 and ≤25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>&gt;25.0 and ≤35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>&gt;35.0 and ≤50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>&gt;50.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LEVEL OF SERVICE DEFINITIONS

LEVEL-OF-SERVICE CRITERIA FOR A TWO-LANE HIGHWAY

The primary measures of service quality for Class I two-lane highways are percent time-spent-following and average travel speed. For Class II two-lane highways, service quality is based only on percent time-spent-following. LOS criteria are defined for peak 15-min flow periods and are intended for application to segments of significant length.

**Level of Service A** describes the highest quality of traffic service, when motorists are able to travel at their desired speed. Without strict enforcement, this highest quality would result in average speeds of 55 mi/h or more on two-lane highways in Class I. The passing frequency required to maintain these speeds has not reached a demanding level, so that passing demand is well below passing capacity, and platoons of three or more vehicles are rare. Drivers are delayed no more than 35 percent of their travel time by slow-moving vehicles. A maximum flow rate of 490 pc/h total in both directions may be achieved with base conditions. On Class II highways, speeds may fall below 55 mi/h, but motorists will not be delayed in platoons for more than 40 percent of their travel time.

**Level of Service B** characterizes traffic flow with speeds of 50 mi/h or slightly higher on level-terrain Class I highways. The demand for passing to maintain desired speeds becomes significant and approximates the passing capacity at the lower boundary of LOS B. Drivers are delayed in platoons up to 50 percent of the time. Service flow rates of 780 pc/h total in both directions can be achieved under base conditions. Above this flow rate, the number of platoons increases dramatically. On Class II highways, speeds may fall below 50 mi/h, but motorists will not be delayed in platoons for more than 55 percent of their travel time.

**Level of Service C** describes further increases in flow, resulting in noticeable increases in platoon formation, platoon size, and frequency of passing impediments. The average speed still exceeds 45 mi/h on level-terrain Class I highways, even though unrestricted passing demand exceeds passing capacity. At higher volumes the chaining of platoons and significant reductions in passing capacity occur. Although traffic flow is stable, it is susceptible to congestion due to turning traffic and slow-moving vehicles. Percent time-spent-following may reach 65 percent. A service flow rate of up to 1,190 pc/h total in both directions can be accommodated under base conditions. On Class II highways, speeds may fall below 45 mi/h, but motorists will not be delayed in platoons for more than 70 percent of their travel time.

**Level of Service D** describes unstable traffic flow. The two opposing traffic streams begin to operate separately at higher volume levels, as passing becomes extremely difficult. Passing demand is high, but passing capacity approaches zero. Mean platoon sizes of 5 to 10 vehicles are common, although speeds of 40 mi/h still can be maintained under base conditions on Class I highways. The proportion of no-passing zones along the roadway section usually has little influence on passing. Turning vehicles and roadside distractions cause major shock waves in the traffic stream. Motorists are delayed in

platoons for nearly 80 percent of their travel time. Maximum service flow rates of 1,830 pc/h total in both directions can be maintained under base conditions. On Class II highways, speeds may fall below 40 mi/h, but in no case will motorists be delayed in platoons for more than 85 percent of their travel time.

At Level of Service E, traffic flow conditions have a percent time-spent-following greater than 80 percent on Class I highways and greater than 85 percent on Class II. Even under base conditions, speeds may drop below 40 mi/h. Average travel speeds on highways with less than base conditions will be slower, even down to 25 mi/h on sustained upgrades. Passing is virtually impossible at LOS E, and platooning becomes intense, as slower vehicles or other interruptions are encountered.

The highest volume attainable under LOS E defines the capacity of the highway, generally 3,200 pc/h total in both directions. Operating conditions at capacity are unstable and difficult to predict. Traffic operations seldom reach near capacity on rural highways, primarily because of lack of demand.

Level of Service F represents heavily congested flow with traffic demand exceeding capacity. Volumes are lower than capacity and speeds are highly variable.

APPENDIX C

CAPACITY ANALYSIS CALCULATIONS
EXISTING PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC ANALYSIS
### Two-Way Stop Control Summary

**Analyst:** CL  
**Date Performed:** 06/10/10  
**Analysis Time Period:** AM Peak  
**Jurisdiction:** U. S. Customary  
**Analysis Year:** Existing  
**Project ID:**  
**East/West Street:** Hana Hwy  
**North/South Street:** E. Kuaiha  
**Intersection Orientation:** EW  
**Study period (hrs):** 1.00

#### Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major Street: Approach Movement</th>
<th>Eastbound</th>
<th>Westbound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L T R</td>
<td>L T R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>0 151 17</td>
<td>3 344 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak-Hour Factor, PHF</td>
<td>0.71 0.71 0.71</td>
<td>0.69 0.69 0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hourly Flow Rate, HFR</td>
<td>0 212 23</td>
<td>4 498 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Heavy Vehicles</td>
<td>2 -- --</td>
<td>2 -- --</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Type/Storage</td>
<td>Undivided</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RT Channelized?**  
**Lanes:** 0 1 0  
**Configuration:** LTR

**Upstream Signal?** No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minor Street: Approach Movement</th>
<th>Northbound</th>
<th>Southbound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L T R</td>
<td>L T R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>37 2 1</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak Hour Factor, PHF</td>
<td>0.77 0.77 0.77</td>
<td>1.00 1.00 1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hourly Flow Rate, HFR</td>
<td>48 2 1</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Heavy Vehicles</td>
<td>2 2 2</td>
<td>2 2 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Grade (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage</td>
<td>No /</td>
<td>No /</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lanes</td>
<td>0 1 0</td>
<td>0 1 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Configuration</td>
<td>LTR</td>
<td>LTR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach Movement</th>
<th>Northbound</th>
<th>Southbound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EB</td>
<td>7 8 9</td>
<td>10 11 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB</td>
<td>LTR</td>
<td>LTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane Config</td>
<td>LTR</td>
<td>LTR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v (vph)</th>
<th>C(m) (vph)</th>
<th>v/c</th>
<th>95% queue length</th>
<th>Control Delay</th>
<th>LOS</th>
<th>Approach Delay</th>
<th>Approach LOS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1066</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1332</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Delay:** 0  
**Queue Length:** 17.4  
**Level of Service:** C
### TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY

**Analyst:** CL  
**Agency/Co.:**  
**Date Performed:** 6/10/10  
**Analysis Time Period:** PM Peak  
**Intersection:**  
**Jurisdiction:**  
**Units:** U.S. Customary  
**Analysis Year:** Existing  
**Project ID:**  
**East/West Street:** Hana Hwy  
**North/South Street:** E. Kuiaha  
**Intersection Orientation:** EW  
**Study period (hrs):** 1.00

#### Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major Street: Approach Movement</th>
<th>Eastbound</th>
<th>Westbound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak-Hour Factor, PHF</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hourly Flow Rate, HFR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Heavy Vehicles</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Type/Storage</td>
<td>Undivided</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT Channelized?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Configuration</td>
<td>LTR</td>
<td>LTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upstream Signal?</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Nc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minor Street: Approach Movement</th>
<th>Northbound</th>
<th>Southbound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak Hour Factor, PHF</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hourly Flow Rate, HFR</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Heavy Vehicles</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Grade (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lanes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Configuration</td>
<td>LTR</td>
<td>LTR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach Movement</th>
<th>Northbound</th>
<th>Southbound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane Config</td>
<td>LTR</td>
<td>LTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v (vph)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(m) (vph)</td>
<td>1.293</td>
<td>1.227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v/c</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% queue length</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Delay</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach Delay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach LOS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Two-Way Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyst</th>
<th>CL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agency/Co.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Performed</td>
<td>6/10/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis Time Period</td>
<td>AM Peak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highway</td>
<td>Hana Hwy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From/To</td>
<td>South of E. Kuiaha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jurisdiction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis Year</td>
<td>Existing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Input Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highway class</th>
<th>2 Class 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder width</td>
<td>6.0 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane width</td>
<td>12.0 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment length</td>
<td>0.0 mi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrain type</td>
<td>Rolling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade: Length</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up/down</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two-way hourly volume, \( V \) 549 veh/h
Directional split 69 / 31 %

### Average Travel Speed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade adjustment factor, ( f_G )</th>
<th>0.93</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCE for trucks, ( E_T )</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCE for RVs, ( E_R )</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, ( f_{HV} )</td>
<td>0.982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-way flow rate, ( v_p )</td>
<td>668 pc/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest directional split proportion (note-2)</td>
<td>461 pc/h</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Free-Flow Speed from Field Measurement:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field measured speed, ( S_F )</th>
<th>- mi/h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Observed volume, ( V_F )</td>
<td>- veh/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Free-Flow Speed:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base free-flow speed, ( B_{FFS} )</td>
<td>60.0 mi/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adj. for lane and shoulder width, ( f_{LS} )</td>
<td>0.0 mi/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adj. for access points, ( f_A )</td>
<td>2.0 mi/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free-flow speed, ( F_{FFS} )</td>
<td>58.0 mi/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustment for no-passing zones, ( f_{NPP} )</td>
<td>0.0 mi/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average travel speed, ( A_{ATS} )</td>
<td>52.8 mi/h</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**HCS+: Two-Lane Highways Release 5.4**

**Phone:**

**Fax:**

**E-Mail:**

**Two-Way Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis**

**Analyst**
CL

**Agency/Co.**

**Date Performed**
6/10/10

**Analysis Time Period**
PM Peak

**Highway**
Hana Hwy

**From/To**
South of E. Kualaha

**Jurisdiction**

**Analysis Year**
Existing

**Description**

---

**Input Data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highway class</th>
<th>Class 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder width</td>
<td>6.0 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane width</td>
<td>12.0 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment length</td>
<td>0.0 mi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrain type</td>
<td>Rolling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade: Length</td>
<td>% Access points/mi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up/down</td>
<td>% /mi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two-way hourly volume, V 561 veh/h

Directional split 56 / 44 %

---

**Average Travel Speed**

Grade adjustment factor, fG 0.93

PCE for trucks, ET 1.9

PCE for RVs, ER 1.1

Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, 0.982

Two-way flow rate, (note-1) vp 682 pc/h

Highest directional split proportion (note-2) 382 pc/h

Free-Flow Speed from Field Measurement:

Field measured speed, SFM - mi/h

Observed volume, Vf - veh/h

Estimated Free-Flow Speed:

Base free-flow speed, BFFS 60.0 mi/h

Adj. for lane and shoulder width, fLS 0.0 mi/h

Adj. for access points, fA 2.0 mi/h

Free-flow speed, FFS 58.0 mi/h

Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp 0.0 mi/h

Average travel speed, ATS 52.7 mi/h
### Percent Time-Spent-Following

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade adjustment factor, ( f_G )</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCE for trucks, ( ET )</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCE for RVs, ( ER )</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, ( f_{HV} )</td>
<td>0.990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-way flow rate, (note-1) ( v_{wp} )</td>
<td>670 pc/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest directional split proportion, ( BPTSF )</td>
<td>375 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base percent time-spent-following, ( BPTSF )</td>
<td>44.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adj. for directional distribution and no-passing zones, ( f_{d/np} )</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent time-spent-following, ( PPTSF )</td>
<td>44.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Level of Service and Other Performance Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level of service, ( LOS )</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume to capacity ratio, ( v/c )</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, ( VMT_{15} )</td>
<td>0 veh-mi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, ( VMT_{60} )</td>
<td>0 veh-mi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak 15-min total travel time, ( TT_{15} )</td>
<td>0.0 veh-h</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

1. If \( v_{p} \) >= 3200 pc/h, terminate analysis—the LOS is F.
2. If highest directional split \( v_{p} \) >= 1700 pc/h, terminate analysis—the LOS is F.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade adjustment factor, FG</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCE for trucks, ET</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCE for RVs, ER</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV</td>
<td>0.990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-way flow rate, (note-1) vp</td>
<td>655 pc/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest directional split proportion (note-2)</td>
<td>452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base percent time-spent-following, BPTSF</td>
<td>43.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adj. for directional distribution and no-passing zones, fd/np</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent time-spent-following, PTSF</td>
<td>43.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level of service, LOS</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume to capacity ratio, v/c</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15</td>
<td>0 veh-mi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60</td>
<td>0 veh-mi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15</td>
<td>0.0 veh-h</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
1. If vp >= 3200 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
2. If highest directional split vp >= 1700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
### Two-Way Stop Control Summary

**Analyst:** CL  
**Agency/Co.:**  
**Date Performed:** 6/10/10  
**Analysis Time Period:** AM Peak  
**Intersection:**  
**Jurisdiction:**  
**Units:** U.S. Customary  
**Analysis Year:** Year 2012 w/out project  
**Project ID:**  
**East/West Street:** Hana Hwy  
**North/South Street:** E. Kuisha  
**Intersection Orientation:** EW  
**Study period (hrs):** 1.00

#### Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major Street:</th>
<th>Approach Movement</th>
<th>Eastbound</th>
<th>Westbound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Movement</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHF</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFR</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Heavy Vehicles</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Type/Storage</td>
<td></td>
<td>Undivided</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT Channelized?</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lanes</td>
<td>Configuration</td>
<td>LTR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upstream Signal?</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Minor Street: Approach Movement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>Northbound</th>
<th>Southbound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHF</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFR</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Heavy Vehicles</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Grade (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lanes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Configuration</td>
<td>LTR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>Lane Config</th>
<th>Northbound</th>
<th>Southbound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LTR</td>
<td>LTR</td>
<td>LTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v (vph)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(m) (vph)</td>
<td>1052</td>
<td>1324</td>
<td>330</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v/c</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% queue length</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Delay</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach Delay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach LOS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HCS+: Unsignalized Intersections Release 5.4

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY

Analyst: CL
Agency/Co.:  
Date Performed: 6/10/10
Analysis Time Period: PM Peak
Intersection: 
Jurisdiction: 
Units: U. S. Customary
Analysis Year: Year 2012 w/out project
Project ID: 
East/West Street: Hana Hwy
North/South Street: E. Kuiaha
Intersection Orientation: EW
Study period (hrs): 1.00

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major Street: Approach Movement</th>
<th>Eastbound</th>
<th>Westbound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak-Hour Factor, PHF</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hourly Flow Rate, HFR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Heavy Vehicles</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Type/Storage</td>
<td>Undivided</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT Channelized?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lanes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Configuration</td>
<td>LTR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upstream Signal?</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Minor Street: Approach Movement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minor Street: Approach Movement</th>
<th>Northbound</th>
<th>Southbound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak Hour Factor, PHF</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hourly Flow Rate, HFR</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Heavy Vehicles</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Grade (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lanes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Configuration</td>
<td>LTR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach Movement</th>
<th>EB</th>
<th>WB</th>
<th>Northbound</th>
<th>Southbound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Movement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane Config</td>
<td>LTR</td>
<td>LTR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v (vph)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(m) (vph)</td>
<td>1285</td>
<td>1219</td>
<td>430</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v/c</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% queue length</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Delay</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach Delay</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach LOS</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Two-Way Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis

Analyst: CL
Agency/Co.: 
Date Performed: 6/10/10
Analysis Time Period: AM Peak
Highway: Hana Hwy.
From/To: South of E. Kuiaha
Jurisdiction: 
Analysis Year: Year 2012 w/out project
Description: 

Input Data

| Highway class | Class 2 |
| Shoulder width | 6.0 ft |
| Lane width | 12.0 ft |
| Segment length | 0.0 mi |
| Terrain type | Rolling |
| Grade: Length | % |
| | Up/down |
| Two-way hourly volume, V | 564 veh/h |
| Directional split | 69 / 31 % |

Average Travel Speed

| Grade adjustment factor, fG | 0.93 |
| PCE for trucks, ET | 1.9 |
| PCE for RVs, ER | 1.1 |
| Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor | 0.982 |
| Two-way flow rate, (note-1) vp | 686 pc/h |
| Highest directional split proportion (note-2) | 473 pc/h |

Free-Flow Speed from Field Measurement:
| Field measured speed, SFM | - mi/h |
| Observed volume, Vf | - veh/h |
| Estimated Free-Flow Speed: Base free-flow speed, BFFS | 60.0 mi/h |
| Adj. for lane and shoulder width, fLS | 0.0 mi/h |
| Adj. for access points, fA | 2.0 mi/h |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 58.0 mi/h |
| Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp | 0.0 mi/h |
| Average travel speed, ATS | 52.7 mi/h |
### Percent Time-Spent-Following

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade adjustment factor, fG</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCE for trucks, ET</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCE for RVs, ER</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV</td>
<td>0.990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-way flow rate,(note-1) vp</td>
<td>673 pc/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest directional split proportion (note-2)</td>
<td>464 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base percent time-spent-following, BPTSF</td>
<td>44.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adj.for directional distribution and no-passing zones, fd/nD</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent time-spent-following, PTTSF</td>
<td>44.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Level of Service and Other Performance Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level of service, LOS</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume to capacity ratio, v/c</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15</td>
<td>0 veh-mi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60</td>
<td>0 veh-mi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15</td>
<td>0.0 veh-h</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
1. If vp >= 3200 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
2. If highest directional split vp >= 1700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
Two-Way Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis

Analyst: CL
Agency/Co.: 
Date Performed: 6/10/10
Analysis Time Period: PM Peak
Highway: Hana Hwy
From/To: South of E. Kuiaha
Jurisdiction: 
Analysis Year: Year 2012 w/out project
Description: 

Input Data

Highway class: Class 2
Shoulder width: 6.0 ft
Lane width: 12.0 ft
Segment length: 0.0 mi
Terrain type: Rolling
Grade: Length: Up/down:

Peak-hour factor, PHF: 0.90
% Trucks and buses: 2%
% Recreational vehicles: 0%
% No-passing zones: 0%
Access points/mi: 8/mi

Two-way hourly volume, V: 569 veh/h
Directional split: 56 / 44 %

Average Travel Speed

Grade adjustment factor, fG: 0.93
PCE for trucks, ET: 1.9
PCE for RVs, ER: 1.1
Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor: 0.982
Two-way flow rate, (note-1) vp: 692 pc/h
Highest directional split proportion (note-2): 388 pc/h

Free-Flow Speed from Field Measurement:
Field measured speed, SPM: - mi/h
Observed volume, Vf: - veh/h
Estimated Free-Flow Speed:
Base free-flow speed, BFFS: 60.0 mi/h
Adj. for lane and shoulder width, fLS: 0.0 mi/h
Adj. for access points, fA: 2.0 mi/h
Free-flow speed, FFS: 58.0 mi/h

Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp: 0.0 mi/h
Average travel speed, ATS: 52.6 mi/h
### Percent Time-Spent-Following

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade adjustment factor, fG</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCE for trucks, ET</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCE for RVs, ER</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV</td>
<td>0.990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-way flow rate, (note-1) vp</td>
<td>679 pc/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest directional split proportion (note-2)</td>
<td>380 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base percent time-spent-following, BPTSF</td>
<td>44.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adj. for directional distribution and no-passing zones, fđ/np</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent time-spent-following, PTSF</td>
<td>44.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Level of Service and Other Performance Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level of service, LOS</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume to capacity ratio, v/c</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15</td>
<td>0 veh-mi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60</td>
<td>0 veh-mi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15</td>
<td>0.0 veh-h</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
1. If $vp \geq 3200$ pc/h, terminate analysis—the LOS is F.
2. If highest directional split $vp \geq 1700$ pc/h, terminate analysis—the LOS is F.
APPENDIX E

CAPACITY ANALYSIS CALCULATIONS
PROJECTED YEAR 2012 PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC ANALYSIS WITH PROJECT
**TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY**

**Analyst:** CL  
**Agency/Co.:**  
**Date Performed:** 6/10/10  
**Analysis Time Period:** AM Peak  
**Intersection:**  
**Jurisdiction:**  
**Units: U.S. Customary**  
**Analysis Year:** Year 2012 w/ project  
**Project ID:**  
**East/West Street:** Hana Hwy  
**North/South Street:** E. Kuiaha  
**Intersection Orientation:** EW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Major Street:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Volume</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Peak-Hour Factor, PHF</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hourly Flow Rate, HFR</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Percent Heavy Vehicles</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Median Type/Storage</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RT Channelized?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lanes</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Configuration</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Upstream Signal?</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Minor Street:** | **Approach Movement** | **Northbound** | **Southbound** |  
| | | **L** | **T** | **R** | **L** | **T** | **R** |  
| **Volume** | 37 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  
| **Peak Hour Factor, PHF** | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  
| **Hourly Flow Rate, HFR** | 48 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  
| **Percent Heavy Vehicles** | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  
| **Percent Grade (%)** | 0 | 0 | 0 |  
| **Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage** | No | / | No | / |  
| **Lanes** | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  
| **Configuration** | LTR | LTR |  

**Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Approach Movement</strong></th>
<th><strong>EB</strong></th>
<th><strong>WB</strong></th>
<th><strong>Northbound</strong></th>
<th><strong>Southbound</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lane Config</strong></td>
<td>LTR</td>
<td>LTR</td>
<td>LTR</td>
<td>LTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>v (vph)</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C(m) (vph)</strong></td>
<td>1052</td>
<td>1324</td>
<td>330</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>v/c</strong></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>95% queue length</strong></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Control Delay</strong></td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LOS</strong></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Approach Delay</strong></td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Approach LOS</strong></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Two-Way Stop Control Summary

**Analyst:** CL  
**Agency/Co.:**  
**Date Performed:** 6/10/10  
**Analysis Time Period:** PM Peak  
**Intersection:**  
**Jurisdiction:** U.S. Customary  
**Analysis Year:** Year 2012 w/ project  
**Project ID:**  
**East/West Street:** Hana Hwy  
**North/South Street:** E. Kuiaha  
**Intersection Orientation:** EW  
**Study period (hrs):** 1.00

#### Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major Street: Approach Movement</th>
<th>Eastbound</th>
<th>Westbound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Volume</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Peak-Hour Factor, PHF</strong></td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hourly Flow Rate, HFR</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Percent Heavy Vehicles</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Median Type/Storage</strong></td>
<td>Undivided</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RT Channelized?</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lanes Configuration</strong></td>
<td>LTR</td>
<td>LTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Upstream Signal?</strong></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Minor Street: Approach Movement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minor Street: Approach Movement</th>
<th>Northbound</th>
<th>Southbound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Volume</strong></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Peak Hour Factor, PHF</strong></td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hourly Flow Rate, HFR</strong></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Percent Heavy Vehicles</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Percent Grade (%)</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Flared Approach? Exists?/Storage</strong></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lanes Configuration</strong></td>
<td>LTR</td>
<td>LTR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach Movement</th>
<th>EB</th>
<th>WB</th>
<th>Northbound</th>
<th>Southbound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Movement</td>
<td>LTR</td>
<td>LTR</td>
<td>LTR</td>
<td>LTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>v (vph)</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C(m) (vph)</strong></td>
<td>1285</td>
<td>1219</td>
<td>430</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>v/c</strong></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>95% queue length</strong></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Control Delay</strong></td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LOS</strong></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Approach Delay</strong></td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Approach LOS</strong></td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY**

**Analyzer:** CL

**Agency/Co.:**

**Date Performed:** 6/10/2010

**Analysis Time Period:** AM Peak

**Intersection:**

**Jurisdiction:**

**Units:** U.S. Customary

**Analysis Year:** Year 2012 w/ project

**Project ID:**

**East/West Street:** Hana Hwy

**North/South Street:**

**Intersection Orientation:** EW

**Study period (hrs):** 1.00

### Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major Street:</th>
<th>Approach Movement</th>
<th>Eastbound</th>
<th></th>
<th>Westbound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td></td>
<td>173</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak-Hour Factor, PHF</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hourly Flow Rate, HFR</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Heavy Vehicles</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Type/Storage</td>
<td>Undivided</td>
<td>/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT Channelized?</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lanes</td>
<td>1 0</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Configuration</td>
<td>TR</td>
<td>LT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upstream Signal?</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Minor Street: Approach Movement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minor Street:</th>
<th>Approach Movement</th>
<th>Northbound</th>
<th>Southbound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak Hour Factor, PHF</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hourly Flow Rate, HFR</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Heavy Vehicles</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Grade (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flared Approach: Exists?/Storage</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lanes</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Configuration</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach Movement</th>
<th>Northbound</th>
<th>Southbound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>v (vph)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(m) (vph)</td>
<td>1316</td>
<td>347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v/c</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% queue length</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Delay</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach Delay</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach LOS</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HCS+: Two-Lane Highways Release 5.4

Two-Way Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyst</th>
<th>CL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agency/Co.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Performed</td>
<td>6/10/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis Time Period</td>
<td>AM Peak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highway</td>
<td>Hana Hwy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From/To</td>
<td>South of E. Kuiaha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jurisdiction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis Year</td>
<td>Year 2012 w/ project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Input Data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highway class</th>
<th>Class 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder width</td>
<td>6.0 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane width</td>
<td>12.0 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment length</td>
<td>0.0 mi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrain type</td>
<td>Rolling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade: Length</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up/down</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Two-way hourly volume, V | 575 veh/h |
| Directional split | 69 / 31 % |

**Average Travel Speed**

| Grade adjustment factor, fG | 0.93 |
| PCE for trucks, ET          | 1.9  |
| PCE for RVs, ER             | 1.1  |
| Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, | 0.982 |
| Two-way flow rate, (note-1) vp | 699 pc/h |
| Highest directional split proportion (note-2) | 482 pc/h |

Free-Flow Speed from Field Measurement:

| Field measured speed, SFM | - mi/h |
| Observed volume, Vf        | - veh/h |

Estimated Free-Flow Speed:

| Base free-flow speed, BFFS | 60.0 mi/h |
| Adj. for lane and shoulder width, fLS | 0.0 mi/h |
| Adj. for access points, fA  | 2.0 mi/h  |

Free-flow speed, FFS

| 58.0 mi/h |

Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp

| 0.0 mi/h |

Average travel speed, ATS

| 52.6 mi/h |
### Percent Time-Spent-Following

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade adjustment factor, fG</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCE for trucks, ET</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCE for RVs, ER</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV</td>
<td>0.990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-way flow rate, (note-1) vp</td>
<td>686 pc/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest directional split proportion (note-2)</td>
<td>473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base percent time-spent-following, BPTSF</td>
<td>45.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adj for directional distribution and no-passing zones, fd/np</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent time-spent-following, PTSF</td>
<td>45.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Level of Service and Other Performance Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level of service, LOS</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume to capacity ratio, v/c</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
1. If vp >= 3200 pc/h, terminate analysis—the LOS is F.
2. If highest directional split vp >= 1700 pc/h, terminate analysis—the LOS is F.
Two-Way Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis

Analyst: CL
Agency/Co.: 
Date Performed: 6/10/10
Analysis Time Period: PM Peak
Highway: Hana Hwy
From/To: South of E. Kuiaha
Jurisdiction: 
Analysis Year: Year 2012 w/ project
Description: 

Input Data

Highway class: Class 2
Shoulder width: 6.0 ft
Lane width: 12.0 ft
Segment length: 0.0 mi
Terrain type: Rolling
Grade: Length mi
Up/down %

Peak-hour factor, PHF: 0.90
% Trucks and buses: 2%
% Recreational vehicles: 0%
% No-passing zones: 0%
Access points/mi: 8/mi

Two-way hourly volume, V: 569 veh/h
Directional split: 56 / 44 %

Average Travel Speed

Grade adjustment factor, fG: 0.93
PCE for trucks, ET: 1.9
PCE for RVs, ER: 1.1
Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, : 0.982
Two-way flow rate, (note-1) vp: 692 pc/h
Highest directional split proportion (note-2): 388 pc/h

Free-Flow Speed from Field Measurement:
Field measured speed, SFM: mi/h
Estimated Volume, Vf: veh/h

Base free-flow speed, BFFS: 60.0 mi/h
Adjusted for lane and shoulder width, fLS: 0.0 mi/h
Adjusted for access points, fA: 2.0 mi/h

Free-flow speed, FFS: 58.0 mi/h

Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp: 0.0 mi/h
Average travel speed, ATS: 52.6 mi/h
### Percent Time-Spent-Following

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade adjustment factor, $f_G$</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCE for trucks, ET</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCE for RVs, ER</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, $f_{HV}$</td>
<td>0.990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-way flow rate, (note-1) $vp$</td>
<td>679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest directional split proportion (note-2)</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base percent time-spent-following, BPTSF</td>
<td>44.9  %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adj. for directional distribution and no-passing zones, $fd/np$</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent time-spent-following, PTSF</td>
<td>44.9  %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Level of Service and Other Performance Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level of service, LOS</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume to capacity ratio, $v/c$</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15</td>
<td>0 veh-mi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60</td>
<td>0 veh-mi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15</td>
<td>0.0 veh-h</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

1. If $vp \geq 3200$ pc/h, terminate analysis—the LOS is F.
2. If highest directional split $vp \geq 1700$ pc/h, terminate analysis—the LOS is F.
APPENDIX F.

Preliminary Engineering Report
Haiku Fire Station

Haiku, Maui, Hawai‘i
TMK: (2nd Div) 2-7-07:008

Preliminary Engineering Report

November 2010

Prepared for:
Architects Hawaii, Ltd.
1001 Bishop Street
ASB Tower, Suite 200
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Prepared by:
AECOM
1001 Bishop Street
ASB Tower, Suite 1600
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>INTRODUCTION</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>PURPOSE</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>GENERAL INFORMATION</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>LOCATION</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>TOPOGRAPHY</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>SOILS</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>DRAINAGE/FLOODING</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>RAINFALL</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>UTILITIES</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>WATER SYSTEM</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>SEWER SYSTEM</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>DRAINAGE CONDITIONS</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>REFERENCES</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FIGURES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>APPENDIX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SECTION 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 PURPOSE
The objective of this Preliminary Engineering Report (PER) is to provide a brief description and evaluation of the physical characteristics of the proposed Haiku Fire Station project.

1.2 GENERAL INFORMATION
The project is located in the Makawao district of Maui (see attached Figure 1, Vicinity Map). The Haiku Fire Station is being developed by the County of Maui, Department of Fire & Public Safety. The proposed improvements include a main building housing sleeping quarters, crew quarters, food preparation, dining, training and exercise facilities, diesel fuel storage, a grassed helicopter landing zone, parking lot, and utilities. The project site has an approximate area of 6.1 acres. The total parcel area is 27.90 acres.

B. Owner: County of Maui
   Department of Fire & Public Safety
   200 Dairy Road
   Kahului, Maui, Hawai‘i 96733
   Contact: Lee Mainaga, Fire Services Officer
   Phone: (808) 270-5542
   Email: lee.mainaga@co.mau.i.hi.us

C. Vicinity & Location Map (See Figure 1)
SECTION 2

PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT

2.1 LOCATION
The Haiku Fire Station project site is located in Haiku at 3550 Hana Highway. Refer to Figure 1 for a location map of the project. The Tax Map Key (TMK) for the project lot is (2)2-2-07:008 (por.).

2.2 TOPOGRAPHY
The project site generally slopes from an elevation of approximately 590 feet above sea level at its highest point to approximately 500 feet at its lowest. The drainage generally flows in a north-westerly direction.

2.3 SOILS
According to the August 1972 publication *Soil Survey of the Islands of Kauai, Oahu, Maui, Molokai, and Lanai, State of Hawaii* (Ref 4) by the United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, the soil at the project site is Haiku clay, with slopes of 7 to 15 percent (soil classification HbC). This series consists of somewhat excessively drained soils on low uplands on the island of Maui. Permeability is moderately rapid. Runoff is slow to medium, and the erosion hazard is slight to moderate.

2.4 DRAINAGE/FLOODING
According to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) of the project area (Map Number 150003 0225 B, Ref 1), the area is classified as Zone C—an area determined to have minimal flooding.

2.5 RAINFALL
According to the August 1972 publication *Soil Survey of the Islands of Kauai, Oahu, Maui, Molokai, and Lanai, State of Hawaii* (Ref 4) by the United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, the annual rainfall for the project area amounts to 50 to 80 inches.
SECTION 3

UTILITIES

3.1 WATER SYSTEM

The fire station’s domestic water will be serviced from a 5/8” water meter located along East Kuiaha Road on the southeast side of the project parcel. The County has acknowledged that a reservation for (3) three 5/8” meters is in place. The proposed domestic water for the new building will connect to the proposed 6-inch waterline off of East Kuiaha Road. Fire hydrants will be provided for the proposed building in accordance with The Department of Water Supply (DWS) standards. All non-potable use will be via a rainwater harvesting system. The existing water demand calculations show that the existing 5/8” meter is inadequate for the site. Since the County has an existing reservation, the existing lot will be subdivided to provide the proposed Haiku Fire Station with a larger meter.

During early consultation with the County DWS, the County required the existing waterline to be replaced by approximately 4200 lf of 12-inch waterline. The County DWS provided a letter dated December 4, 2009 to explain the inadequacy of the current infrastructure. The proposed 12-inch waterline starts from the intersection of Haiku Road and West Kuiaha Road and runs along Haiku Road until the intersection of Haiku Road and East Kuiaha Road near the existing meter to the project site. Fire flow calculations dated October 9, 2009 were done to see what size pipe would be required to provide adequate fire flow to the site from the reservoir. The calculations show that a 8-inch waterline from the reservoir to the site will be inadequate and a larger pipe is required. Currently, the existing line from the reservoir to the site is less than a 6-inch line for the majority of the run.

Where Haiku Road crosses Kuiaha Gulch, the waterline will be aboveground and supported on a beam parallel with the existing bridge. The existing bridge span is approximately 20-feet. The beam will not touch the existing bridge and will have foundation supports on both sides in existing ground. This method of waterline crossing the gulch will eliminate any need for work within the gulch.
Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures

According to preliminary findings, the fire station will require a minimum 33 gpm which would need a 1-inch meter.

The Water System Standards calls for a maximum fire hydrant spacing of 250' for industrial areas. Fire flow (FF) requirements for the site include 1,500 gallons per minute (gpm) and a 2-hour duration, see attached calculations dated October 20, 2009 per “Guide for Determination of Required Fire Flow: Insurance Services Office, 1974 edition”.

Waterlines will be sized to meet the following requirements:

- Maximum Daily Water Demand + FF with a residual pressure of 20 pounds per square inch (psi) at the critical fire hydrant.
- Peak Hour Water Demand with a minimum residual pressure of 40 psi.
- Carrying capacities of mains shall be determined through the use of the following values:
  - 4" & 6"—C = 100;
  - 8" & 12"—C = 110
  - 16" & 20"—C = 120; and
  - 24" & larger—C = 130.
- Maximum flow velocities:
  - Distribution mains—without FF—6 feet per second (fps);
  - Distribution mains—with FF @ maximum day domestic flow—10 fps;
  - Transmission mains—without water services or fire flow—20 fps; and
  - Fire lines—13 fps.
- Maximum static or pumping pressure, whichever is greater, shall not exceed 125 psi.

3.2 SEWER SYSTEM

The fire station building’s sanitary sewer line will emerge from the north side of the building and connect to a proposed 4" sewer line. The proposed sewer lines will be joined to a new Subsurface Flow (SSF) Constructed Wetland Treatment System located on the east side of the fire station building. The wastewater treatment system consists of a primary settling tank (septic tank), followed by a lined SSF constructed wetland for secondary treatment, and a pump station tank to dose the treated effluent through a subsurface drip irrigation disposal system. Treated effluent will then be reused for landscaping and recycled back into the environment.
3.3 DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

A topographic survey of the project area indicates the existing runoff from the site currently sheet flows along the ground and road surface to the existing gulch on the northwest side of the property. The proposed flow rates from the site’s drainage study area are approximately 23.28 cfs for a 10-year, 1-hour storm and 29.01 cfs for a 50-year, 1-hour storm. That represents an increase of 3.91 cfs and 6.25 cfs, respectively, from the existing conditions. The proposed drainage system will include grassed swales, grated inlets, grated channels, manholes, drainlines, headwalls, and detention basin. The increase in runoff as a result of the increase in impervious area from the proposed project will be discharged in the detention basin. The proposed drainage system will manage the increased flow rates with the earthen basin. This permanent best management practice is to reduce the quantity of the runoff exiting the project site an increase the quality of water exiting the site by allowing the pollutants to settle in the basin.

The flow rate onto Hana Highway will be reduced by 5.24 cfs (10-year) and 6.13 cfs (50-year). The flow rate to the northwest property line will be reduced by 3.28 cfs (10-year) and 2.75 cfs (50-year).

With this new development all existing offsite flows will either be reduced or detained, thus there will not be an adverse impact to the existing drainage system downstream, neighboring properties, or roadways.

For more information regarding drainage patterns and properties, refer to the Preliminary Drainage Calculations that accompanies this PER under a separate cover.
REFERENCES


F. Water System Standards, Department of Water Supply, County of Hawai‘i, Board of Water Supply, City and County of Honolulu, Department of Water, County of Kaua‘i, and Department of Water Supply, County of Maui, State of Hawai‘i, 2002.

G. Title MC-15 Department of Public Works and Water Management, Subtitle 01 Chapter 4, Rules for the Design of Storm Drainage Facilities in the County of Maui effective November 12, 1995.
APPENDIX
Project: Haiku Fire Station  
Item: Fire Flow Calculation

Purpose: Calculate the flow onsite for the Haiku Fire Station

References:  
2. "Civil Engineering Reference Manual" Michael R. Lindeburg,  

Criteria:  
- Reservoir Elevation = 705'  
- Residual Baseline = 20 psi  
- Residual Head = 2.31 x Residual Baseline  
  = 2.31 x 20 psi  
  = 46.2'  
- FH Elevation 530' + (22/12)' = 531.83'  
- Hazen Williams Discharge Coefficient = 100 (4" - 6" Pipe)  
  = 110 (8" - 12" Pipe)

Schematic: See Attached
Calculations:

From Reservoir to Site:
- 8" Pipe = 2,500 L.F.
- 6" Pipe = 5,000 L.F.

Equivalent Pipe Length:

\[ L_e = L \left( \frac{D_e}{D} \right)^{4.8704} \left( \frac{C_e}{C} \right)^{1.852} \]

- \( L_e \) = Equivalent Length of Pipe
- \( L \) = Length of Pipe
- \( D_e \) = Equivalent Diameter of Pipe
- \( D \) = Diameter of Pipe
- \( C_e \) = Equivalent Coefficient Factor for Pipe
- \( C \) = Coefficient Factor for Pipe

Equivalent Length of Pipe (6" to 8"):

Example:

\[ L_{e8} = 3461 \left( \frac{8}{16} \right)^{4.8704} \left( \frac{110}{120} \right)^{1.852} \]

\[ L_{e8} = 4121.83 \text{ L.F.} \]

\[ L_{e6} = 1536.00 \text{ L.F.} \]

\[ L_{e4} = 321.03 \text{ L.F.} \]

\[ L_{e16} = 100.71 \text{ L.F.} \]

\[ \Sigma \text{Pipe Lengths} = 24,217 \text{ L.F.} \]
Assumptions:

\[ Q = 2000 \text{ gpm (pg. 111-4 Water System Standards)} \]
\[ H = 20 \text{ psi (pg. 111-4 Water System Standards)} \]

Hazen Williams Equation:

\[ Q = 0.006756 \cdot C \cdot D^{2.63} \cdot H^{0.54} \]
\[ H_9 = 10449.45 \cdot \left( \frac{Q}{C \cdot D^{2.63}} \right)^{1.852} \]
\[ H_9 = 10449.45 \left( \frac{2000}{(110 \cdot 8^{2.63})} \right)^{1.852} \]
\[ H_9 = 89.82 \]
\[ H_9 = 89.82 \cdot (62.4/144) \]
\[ H_9 = 38.92 \text{ psi/1000'} \]
\[ H_9 = 0.03892 \text{ psi/L.F.} \]

Head Loss:

Reservoir Elevation = 705'

FH Elevation = 531.83'

\[
\text{Static Pressure} = 173.17' = 173.17 \cdot (62.4/144) \]
\[ = 75.0 \text{ psi} \]

Less Meter Loss (-) = 4 psi

\[ = 71 \text{ psi} \]

Friction:

\[ f_9 = 0.03892 \cdot (\sum \text{ Pipe Lengths}) \]
\[ f_9 = 0.03892 \cdot (7500) \]
\[ f_9 = 291.90 \text{ psi} \]

Residual Pressure:

\[ P = 71 \text{ psi} - 291.90 \text{ psi} = \text{psi} > 20 \text{ psi} \]

Therefore, NOT OK

At 20 psi,

\[ Q = 0.006756 \cdot C \cdot D^{2.63} \cdot H^{0.54} \]

Q=889 cfs
Flow at Fire Hydrant (at 20 psi):

\[ Q = 448.895 \left( \frac{\left( \frac{D}{12} \right)^{4.6704} (C)^{1.852} h_r}{4.727(L)} \right)^{\frac{1}{1.852}} \]

- **Q** = Available Flow
- **D** = Diameter of Pipe
- **C** = Coefficient of Pipe
- **h_r** = Required Head
  - = Head at Reservoir – Minimum Residual Head – FH Elevation
    = 500' – 46.2' – 251.67'
    = 202.13'
- **L** = Equivalent Length of Pipe

\[ Q = 448.895 \left( \frac{\left( \frac{8}{12} \right)^{4.6704} (110)^{1.852} 202.13}{4.727(6080)} \right)^{\frac{1}{1.852}} \]

**Q = 1,169.59 gpm < 2000 gpm**
Therefore, **NOT OK**
October 20, 2009

FIRE FLOW CALCULATIONS - NEW HAIKU FIRE STATION:
Haiku, Maui, Hawaii


Occupancy Hazard: Low Hazard
Roof Construction: Metal
Ordinary Construction
Minimum Flow Rate at Base of Riser: Fire Sprinkler Flow = 250 gpm
Maximum Flow Rate: 8000 gpm for non-combustible construction
Minimum Residual Pressure Required: 15 psi
A =13,100 sq. ft.

A. Construction: Combustible, C = 1.0
B. Floor Area: 13,100 sq. ft.
C. Height: 1 story
E. Increase/Decrease for Occupancy: 15% = 300 gpm (per meeting with Herb Chang on 10/15/09)
   Decrease for Automatic Fire Sprinkler Protection (entire building): -50% = 1000 gpm
   Fire Flow = 2000 gpm – 300 gpm – 1000 = 700 gpm
F. Exposures:
   North Exposure: None
   South Exposure: None
   East Exposure: Trash/Generator Bldg (30' Away) + 20%: 2000 x 0.20 = 400 gpm
   West Exposure: None

G. =700 gpm +400 gpm
   =1100 gpm.

Add flow at base of FS riser: 250 gpm

1350 gpm, round up to 1500 gpm.

Total Fire Flow Required = 1500 gpm.

  10/20/09
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**General Project Description**

*The role of the Ecological Wastewater Treatment System: Creating a Sustainable Community*

The Haiku Fire Station (HFS) is located on the island of Maui, Hawaii. One of the prominent goals for this project is the desire to be a model of sustainability and to preserve the islands tropical environment. A low impact development strategy has been implemented with this design. At the forefront of this design is a natural wastewater treatment and reuse system. An Ecological Wastewater Treatment System (EWTS) has been designed to treat all of the wastewater generated onsite by this project, and the purified effluent will be reused as the sole source of irrigation water for a designated landscape on the property. This system encompasses the ideals of an ecologically sensitive project that utilizes the natural environment to aid in everyday needs by providing reliable and efficient wastewater treatment through intentionally arranged ecologically sequenced processes. Plants are an integral part of these systems that provide treatment, an aesthetic enhancement to the surrounding environment, as well as habitat for native wetland flora and fauna. The following Preliminary Design Report details the project design parameters, as well as function and purpose of each component of this ecological wastewater treatment system.

**Design Parameters**

*Wastewater Design Flows*

The wastewater design flow for this project is 700 gallons per day which was provided by Architects Hawaii Ltd. The wastewater design flows were derived from Table 1 of the Hawaiian Department of Health’s Code, Section 11-62-08. A summary of the project design flows are listed in the Table 1 and Appendix B.
Table 1: Wastewater Design Flows

1. Welcome Center

Estimate of maximum of 7 people being housed in the station each night

Table 1 from 11-62-08 DOH guidelines:

\[
\text{= 100gpd x 7 = 700gpd}
\]

(Assuming a boarding school-type of flow)

2. Monthly Party

Estimate of 15 people being housed in the station each night

Table 1 from 11-62-08 DOH guidelines:

\[
\text{= 10gpd x 15 = 150gpd}
\]

(Assuming a restaurant-type flow)

Total: \(= 850\text{gpd}\)

For design purposes, the average flow per day used was 700 gpd. The peak flow used in the design was 850 gpd.

Wastewater Design Loadings

It is assumed that the nature and strength of wastewater generated at the HFS to be treated by the Ecological Wastewater Treatment System (EWTs) is entirely domestic. The projected design concentrations are listed in Table 2 and are based on the typical composition of untreated medium strength domestic wastewater (Metcalf and Eddy, 1991). The design concentrations of these wastewater constituents throughout the various stages of treatment are listed in Table 3.
Table 2: Wastewater Design Loadings of Raw Wastewater

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Raw Wastewater Constituent</th>
<th>Conc. (mg/L)</th>
<th>Mass Loading (kg/day)</th>
<th>Mass Loading (lb/day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BOD</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>3.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSS</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>2.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Design Concentrations of Wastewater Through Treatment System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wastewater Constituent</th>
<th>Concentration (mg/L)</th>
<th>Concentration (mg/L)</th>
<th>Concentration (mg/L)</th>
<th>Concentration (mg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Raw</td>
<td>Septic Tank Effluent</td>
<td>EWTS Effluent</td>
<td>Hawaii R-3 Effluent Requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>&lt; 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSS</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>&lt; 30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

System Description

The proposed system for the HFS is shown in the attached design drawings (Appendix A). This treatment system has been ecologically sequenced to create an efficient, reliable and sustainable technology for treating the HFS station’s wastewater. Treated effluent from the Ecological Wastewater System will be disposed of by use of drip irrigation in a garden to the east of the Ecological System.
The four stages of the HFS wastewater treatment system are as follows:

**Stage 1: Septic Tanks**

Waste from the fire station will flow in a gravity line into a 1,500 gallon (2.1 times one day’s flow) septic tank fitted with an effluent filter. The septic tank will provide flow equalization and allow gross suspended solids to settle prior to entering the Ecological Wastewater System. Anaerobic bacteria will begin to degrade the settled solids, and break down organic matter, reducing the influent biochemical oxygen demand (BOD). Up to a 50% reduction in organic loading and water turbidity can be achieved in the septic tanks. For the purpose of this design, the BOD reduction in the septic tanks has been conservatively estimated to be 10%. The septic tank inlets will be baffled, and the outlet will be equipped with a commercial effluent filter. The septic tank effluent filter will filter solids greater than 1/8 inch in diameter from the effluent. It should also be noted that these tanks may produce a modest amount of energy in the form of methane gas; however it is typically not enough to harvest and use.

The total projected wastewater flow was calculated by following Hawaii Administrative Rules, Title 11, and Chapter 62. See Table 1 for a summary of the projected wastewater flows. See Appendix E for the septic tank design calculations.

**Stage 2: Ecological Wastewater Treatment System**

In general, an Ecological Wastewater Treatment System (EWTS) is a subsurface-flow (SF) constructed wetland designed to pre-treat wastewater prior to disposal. Water flows horizontally across the system. Wastewater enters at one end and is collected at the opposite end. Typically in SF systems, water is maintained at a constant depth below the surface of the porous media, which is usually gravel, and is typically between 18 to 40 inches deep throughout the planted system (Appendix A). Plant species used in conventional SF systems are typically limited to emergent plants and others that can tolerate saturated soil conditions for extended periods of time.

The EWTS was designed to treat this flow. The EWTS is 33 feet long and 15 feet wide (with a surface area of 495 ft²). The Ecological System is comprised of 3.5 feet of stone media that is covered with 6 inches of compost. See Appendix F for the EWTS design summary. The Ecological System was placed at a location that provides enough head for a gravity fed system. Also, the placement was chosen to be in view of the main highway in a natural depression, but in a location that is easily accessible for maintenance while displaying the beautiful aesthetic of the wetland.

The Ecological System consists of a filter bay constructed below grade in a cell lined with 45-mil EPDM liner. The Ecological System contains sequential segments of varying...
media type. The Ecological System will be insulated with a 6-inch compost layer to provide odor control, and support the growth of wetland plants to aid in the treatment process. The plant roots establish themselves in the compost layer and retain moisture. As a result the compost does not clog the stone media.

The EWTS is comprised of two media segments, which have been designed to reduce CBOD from 300 mg/L to less than the regulatory limit of 30 mg/L via fixed-growth, microbial oxidation. To be conservative, the EWTS was sized to reduce the CBOD to 20 mg/L. The first segment is 7 feet long and consists of 2 to 4 inch stone. The 4-inch diameter supply pipe will connect to a 4-inch diameter distribution lateral through a tee fitting. The distribution lateral is centered in an infiltration chamber below the mulch of the constructed wetland. The infiltration chamber provides for flow equalization across the width of the Ecological System. It has been designed to handle a cross sectional organic loading rate of 500 g/m² per day of BOD, and to reduce the influent concentration of BOD by 50% from 300 mg/L to 150 mg/L. In between the two segments another infiltration chamber that is arranged across the full width of the Ecological System. The placement of this infiltrator is to ensure against preferential flow between the first and second segments, ensuring the appropriate residence time for the wastewater. The second segment includes 26 feet of 3/4 inch diameter stone that has been designed to further reduce the BOD to 20 mg/L. At the base of the second segment a 4-inch diameter collection header contained in an infiltration chamber will be placed at the base of the constructed wetland. The collection header and infiltration chamber extend across the entire width of the constructed Ecological System to allow for outflow equalization.

Rainfall is another design consideration. The site should be graded to divert as much surface runoff away from the EWTS as possible. Additionally the EWTS is surrounded by earthen berms that divert surface runoff away from the treatment system. Therefore additional flow through the Ecological System is limited to the only precipitation that falls directly on the System’s footprint. The water level rise in the EWTS has been analyzed for a 50 year design storm rainfall intensity of 2.5 in/hr using Darcy’s Law. The results of this analysis show that during this storm event the water level will rise to a maximum of 4.3 inches above the permanent water level that is maintained 2 inches below the bottom of the compost in the stone media. Some ponding may occur during this storm, but the berms have been designed with 12 inches of freeboard above the top of the compost layer, and therefore it will be contained with the footprint of the EWTS. The ponding should subside quickly once the rain event has ceased. See Appendix E for additional rainfall calculations.

The CBOD removal segments of the Ecological Systems are sized on a first-order decay coefficient (k) of 0.9/day and an irreducible background CBODs concentration of 10
mg/L (per Kadlec and Knight), with the first-order decay coefficient derived from non-aerated Ecological System studies.

Startup times for the EWTS vary depending on waste strength, frequency and variability in flow and weather. Typical startup time is no longer than three months, although treatment will be noticeable almost immediately. Water quality samples can be drawn from a number of locations. The two most accessible sites are the inlet center cleanout and outlet water level control structure sump. These locations will provide information on the overall performance of the Ecological System. Additionally sampling and monitoring wells can be installed using 2 inch diameter slotted PVC well screen. These are ideal because they are not very noticeable, but are large enough to accommodate most field water quality sampling probes.

**EWTS Plants**

Plants play a vital role in the performance and function of the EWTS. It is believed that plants enhance biological remediation of organic contaminants found in wastewater by increasing the population density of microorganisms in the growing media. Laboratory studies have shown that the rhizosphere, a region that includes the plant roots and the soil directly surrounding it, can maintain as much as an order of magnitude higher microbial population density than unvegetated soil (Nenduri et al., 2000). This probably results from increased surface area provided by the roots for biofilm growth, which is directly related to microbial activity. A report published by the USEPA (1988), further substantiates this claim by citing the importance of plant exudates; indicating that exudates released from plant roots can alter the enzymes within the resident bacterial population. Bacteria use enzymes to help breakdown compounds into a form that they can consume and digest. The microbial community supported by individual plants is highly variable between plant species, meaning that different plant species may host various bacteria that will have an affinity for treating a variety of different wastes. This is an important consideration to be addressed when designing an advanced biological treatment system that incorporates plants.

Plant species selection in Ecological Wastewater Treatment Systems are typically based on environmental factors such as growing zone, plant hardiness, and ability to tolerate wet growing conditions. Typically local, non-invasive, plant species are given preference over exotic species that are not native to the region. There are several reasons for this. Many states have drafted laws prohibiting the import of invasive, non-native, species. Another incentive is financial. Native plant species have a better chance of survival, which minimizes maintenance and the need for plant additional species each year. Furthermore planting with native plants identifies with the local environment and culture.
As research on the plant role in treating wastewater develops, new requirements evolve. Other considerations for choosing plant species include root shape, density, and depth. Ideally a wetland plant with deep roots and a great deal of surface area, without clogging the pore space is preferred. Rhizomes are the underground horizontal stems of plants (Zeiger, 1998). The rhizome is a unique feature in wetland plants that allows them to survive in anaerobic mud typically found along the banks of rivers, lakes, and in wetlands.

In the EWTS, a hole is dug into the insulating mulch layer and the rhizome based plants are placed directly on top of the gravel layer (NAWE, 2002). It is believed that they will root better in the gravel than other plants, and penetrate deeper into the wastewater. Deeper roots will result in more surface area for beneficial bacterial growth. Many of these plants thrive in nutrient rich wastewater, and mature vegetative cover is usually expected within two growing seasons. In addition, certain wetland plant species such as heliconias and gingers produce robust and fragrant perennial flowers that contribute to the aesthetics of the system and soften the landscape. A detailed plant list will be provided in the final materials list and construction documentation.

**Wastewater Disposal**

Treated effluent collected from the EWTS will be reused onsite in accordance with the State of Hawaii Department of Health R-3 reuse regulations. The soils onsite site are poorly drained, clay soils. A maximum emitter discharge rate of 0.5 gal/day has been considered for this design, with a minimum 2.0 emitters per gallon of design flow, for a minimum of 2,200 emitters for this project. Geoflow Wasteflow PC ½ gph drip irrigation tubing will be used at a hydraulic loading rate of 0.3 gal/sq-ft/day. This value is based on Geoflow recommended loading, and results in disposal area of 3,667 sq-ft. However, due to the site topography, planting rates and climate, a larger disposal field of 6,600 sq-ft is recommended with a four zones and emitters spaced 18 inches on center. A planting density of 24 inches on center is recommended (Design By Others).
APPENDIX A – Design Drawing Sheets
APPENDIX B – Septic Tank Sizing
Equation 1 from HAR Chapter 11-62 was used to determine the size of the septic tank for nonresidential developments exceeding 800 gallons per day (gpd).

\[
V = 1000 + (Q - 800) \times 1.25 \quad \text{(Equation 1)}
\]

\[
V = \text{Volume of septic tank (gallons)}
Q = \text{Wastewater Flow (gpd)}
\]

\[
V = 1000 + (850 - 800) \times 1.25
V = 1,062 \text{ gallons}
\]

We recommend a minimum of 48 hours of detention time in the septic tank prior to a WWTS. Therefore, one 1,500 gallon two chamber tank will be used. This should suffice for the average 700 gpd and be able to handle the monthly 850 gpd.
APPENDIX C – Effluent Filter
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filter Area Required</th>
<th>Af</th>
<th>4.2</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>sq-ft</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Filter Coeff</td>
<td>Cf</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>sq-ft/(gpd per yr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily Flow</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>gpd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Time Between Clk</td>
<td>MTBC</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>yr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filter Selected</th>
<th>FT08-36</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actual MTBC</td>
<td>10.4 yrs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orenco Filter</th>
<th>Filter Area Flow Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FT15-36</td>
<td>50.5  15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FT12-36</td>
<td>30.0   9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FT08-36</td>
<td>14.6   4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FT04-36</td>
<td>5.1    1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Orenco has developed guidelines for calculating the correct sizing of and cleaning frequencies for effluent filters, based on decades of experience as well as monitoring data from thousands of effluent filter installations.*

While these guidelines may not be accurate for every wastewater system, they will be relevant to most situations. (See “Filter Facts,” AFL-FT-2, for more information.)

Guidelines were based on the following criteria:

**Watertightness**

A completely watertight septic tank must be used. If either infiltration or exfiltration is present, there is no way to accurately determine filter sizing.

**Hydraulic Retention Time**

*Residential flows* — A septic tank capacity of at least 1000 gallons is used for systems handling flows from a single-family residence of three bedrooms or less. A septic tank capacity of at least 1500 gallons is used for 3-bedroom homes with garbage disposals and for systems handling flows from a single-family residence with more than three bedrooms, up to flows of 600 gpd.

*Larger flows* — Adequate septic tankage will anaerobically digest organic material, remove settleable and floatable solids, help modulate flow, and consistently discharge effluent that meets “primary treatment” standards.

A septic tank capacity of at least three times the daily design flow is used for systems handling flows greater than those of a single-family home.

After conducting extensive research on septic tankage, Orenco has found that smaller tankage will result in suboptimal effluent quality and more frequent septic pumping. For Orenco’s tank sizing recommendations for various applications, see “Primary Tank Sizing,” NDA-TNK-1 and “Septic Tank Sizes for Large Flows,” NTP-TNK-TRB-2.

**Waste Strength**

Residential strength wastewater that has been through primary treatment is used. This is equivalent to what Crites and Tchobanoglous describe as “expected effluent wastewater characteristics from a residential septic tank without ... effluent filter” (Small and Decentralized Wastewater Management Systems, Table 4-16, p. 183). Here are the parameters:

- BOD = 180 mg/L
- TSS = 80 mg/L
- Oil & Grease = 25 mg/L

**Sizing Equations**

\[ A_f = C_f (Q) \text{ (MTBC)} \]

where:

- \( A_f \) = Filter area required (ft²)
- \( C_f \) = Filter coefficient (gpd/hr/yr)
- \( Q \) = Daily flow in gallons (gpd)
- \( \text{MTBC} \) = Mean time between filter cleaning (years)

The filter coefficient, \( C_f \), is equal to 0.0044 when actual or true daily flow rates are used. A value of 0.002 is applicable if design flow is used. The design flow is defined as a peak flow that allows for a safety margin and is typically about twice the actual flow. All values are based on a filter surface area with approximately 30% or more open or “flow” area.

**Actual Flow Equation:**

\[ A_f = 0.0044 (Q) \text{ (MTBC)} \]

(for calculations based on “actual flows”)

**Design Flow Equation:**

\[ A_f = 0.002 (Q) \text{ (MTBC)} \]

(for calculations based on “design flow” as defined above)

If a kitchen garbage disposal is used, more frequent filter maintenance may be required, due to the additional solids loading. Kitchen garbage disposals contribute an additional 36% (approximately) to the level of solids loading. Increasing the filter area by 36% gives better approximations for sizing and cleaning frequencies when garbage disposals are used.

Sizing filters for systems larger than single family dwellings is more complicated. For systems larger than single family dwellings, utilizing proper tankage and not exceeding residential strength wastewater, filter sizing using the given equations is valid. Systems having less than three times the daily design flow in storage require more conservative filter sizing to prevent the need for frequent cleaning.

---

*Orenco's effluent filter performance data is so extensive that Dr. George Tchobanoglous, co-author of Small and Decentralized Wastewater Management Systems, used that data to help develop his findings on effluent filters.
Biotube® Effluent Filter Sizing

Wastewater from restaurants, industrial plants, and other higher waste strength sources needs more detailed analysis of the wastewater characteristics for proper tank and filter sizing and configurations. Multiple filters may be required. Please call to discuss these applications.

Model Nomenclature

Example:

FT 08 54 - 36

- Filter Cartridge Height (inches)
- Nominal Housing Height (inches)
- Nominal Filter Diameter (inches)

Biotube Effluent Filter Designation

Modulating Orifices and Peak Flows

Effluent filters must also be able to handle situations in which the majority of the daily flow will enter the septic tank over a relatively short period of time. Modulating plates with orifices are often used to limit the flow rate through a tank during peak flow to prevent the flushing out of solids. However, modulating plates and orifices should be used only when the tank has sufficient surge capacity. Simple high liquid level alarms can be added to any Orenco effluent filter.

Filter Surface Area vs. Flow Area

When comparing filters, be sure to note how filter area is being reported. It’s important to compare both the Total Filter Surface Area and the Total Flow Area, because Flow Area is as important as Filter Surface Area. The surface area of a filter is important, because that’s where solids are caught. But the flow area (the area of the “holes” in the filter) is equally important, because that’s what prevents the filter from premature clogging.

Selecting A Biotube® Effluent Filter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filter and Flow Area Chart</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Series</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FT15-36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FT12-36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FT08-36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FT04-36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Design Example:

A 12-unit condominium has a “design flow” of 3600 gallons per day (12 units at 300 gallons per day per unit). If a minimum 3-year cleaning frequency is desired, how much filter area is necessary? Which Biotube Effluent Filter should be selected?

Answer: In this case, the equation for design flow is applicable. Therefore, $A_f = (0.002)(3600)(3) = 21.6$ ft²

Referring to the Filter and Flow Area Chart, an FT1200 Series filter, with a filter area of 30.0 ft², is required to satisfy the minimum design criteria.

Using the 30 ft² filter area, the design flow equation can be solved for MTBC, giving a cleaning frequency of 4.2 years.

$MTBC = 30.0/(3600)(0.002) = 4.2$ years.

If the units will include garbage grinders, the filter area is increased by 35% to account for additional solids loading.

Therefore, $A_f = (1.36)(21.6) = 29.4$ ft²

And $MTBC = 30.0/(3600)(0.002)(1.36) = 3.1$ years.
APPENDIX D – WWTS Design Summary
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Establishment</th>
<th>Gallons Per Person</th>
<th>Wastewater Strength Uba, BOD Per Person</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airports (per passenger) Camps</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campground with central comfort station with flush toilets, no showers</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction camps (semi-permanent)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day camps (no meals served)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resort camps (night and day) with limited plumbing</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxury camps</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cottages and small dwellings with seasonal occupancy (2 persons per bedroom minimum)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country club (per resident member)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country club (per non-resident member present)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwelling (2 person per bedroom minimum)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factory (gallons per person per shift, exclusive of industrial waste)</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitals (per bed space)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotels with private baths (2 person per bedroom minimum)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotels without private baths</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutions other than hospitals (per bed space)</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laundries, self-service (gallons per wash, i.e., per customer)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile home parks (per space)</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motels with bath, toilet, and kitchen waste (per bed space)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motels (bed space)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picnic parks (toilet waste only) (per pinclicker)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picnic parks with bathhouses, showers, and flush toilets</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restaurants (toilets and kitchen wastes per patron)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restaurants (kitchen wastes per meal served)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restaurants additional for bars and cocktail lounges</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boarding</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day, without gym, cafeteria, or showers</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day, with gym, cafeteria, and showers</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day, with cafeteria, but without gym or showers</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service stations (per vehicle served)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming pools and bathhouses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theaters:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movie (per auditorium seat)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drive-in (per car space)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel trailer parks without individual water and sewer hook-ups (per space)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel trailer parks with individual water and sewer hook-ups (per space)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workers:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction (at semi-permanent camps)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day, at schools and offices (per shift)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

§§ 11–62–09 to 11–62–20 (Reserved)
Calculation 1: Wetland Sizing

\[ \ln \left( \frac{C_o - C^*}{C_i - C^*} \right) = k_i t \epsilon \]

\[ t = \frac{hA}{Q} \]

\[ A = \frac{Q \times \ln \left( \frac{C_o - C^*}{C_i - C^*} \right)}{h \epsilon K_v} \]

Calculation 2: Width of Wetland (BOD Cross Sectional Loading)

\[ A = \frac{L_{\text{src}}}{X_{\text{loading}}} \]

\[ w = \frac{L_{\text{src}}}{X_{\text{loading}} \times h} \]

Calculation 3: Total Coliform Reduction (Kadlec and Knight, 1996, eq. 17-3, pg 541)

\[ C = C_0 \exp \left( -\frac{L_{\text{src}}}{q} \right) \]

Calculation 4: Total Suspended Solids Reduction (Reed, et. al., 1999, eq. 6.40, pg 233)

\[ C_s = C_0 [0.1139 + 0.00213 (HLR)] \]

Calculation 5: Length of Zone 1 Inlet (Sized to reduce BOD by 50%)

\[ \ln \left( \frac{C_o - C^*}{C_i - C^*} \right) = k_i t \epsilon \]

\[ t = \frac{hA}{Q} = \frac{hwl}{Q} \]

\[ l = \frac{\ln \left( \frac{C_o}{C_i} \right) Q}{hwk \epsilon} \]
APPENDIX E – WWTS Rainfall Analysis
Rainstorm Event = 2.5 in/hr (50 YR Storm)

AWTS Dimensions:
- Length, L = 33 ft
- Width, W = 15 ft
- Depth of Stone, D = 3.5 ft

Cross Sectional Area, A_c = 52.5 ft² [Perpendicular to flow, (Reed, et al. 1995)]

Hydraulic Conductivity Range, K = 10,000 to 1,000,000 gpd/sq-ft
Design Hydraulic Conductivity, K = 50,000 gpd/sq-ft
2,083.3 gph/sq-ft

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Flow (gal/hr)</th>
<th>Hydraulic Gradient, i</th>
<th>Δ H Across Wetland (inches)</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Design Flow, gpd</td>
<td>700.0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Contained within stone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak Flow, gpd</td>
<td>1,750.0</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Contained within stone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storm Flow, gphr</td>
<td>778.9</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>Contained within berms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak and Storm Flow, gphr</td>
<td>851.8</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>Contained within berms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Assumes 2 inch gap between outlet invert and top of stone.
2. Assumes 6 inches of compost and 12 inches of freeboard to top of berms.

Using Darcy's Law:
Q = KIA<sub>c</sub>
Rearrange to solve for i,
i = Q / KA<sub>c</sub>
A<sub>c</sub> = W x D = 15 ft x 3.5 ft = 52.5 ft² (perpendicular to flow)
K = 50,000 gpd/Ft² x 1 day/24 hr = 2,083.3 gal/hr-Ft²
Peak Flow = 2.5 x Design Flow = 2.5 x 700 gpd = 1,750 gpd

Storm Flow = Design Storm x L x W = (2.5 in/hr x 1 Ft/12 in) x 33 Ft x 15 Ft
= 103.13 Ft³/hr x 7.4805 gal/Ft³
= 771.4 gal/hr

Peak and Storm Flow = Peak Flow + Storm Flow
= 1,750 gpd x 1 day/24 hr + 771.4 gal/hr
= 844 gal/hr

Sample i and Δ H Calculation:
i = 844 gal/hr / (2,083.3 gal/hr-Ft² x 52.5 Ft²) = 0.0077 x 100% = 0.8%
Δ H = 0.8% x 33 Ft x 12 in/Ft = 3.2 in
APPENDIX F – WWTS Plants (By REDI)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scientific name</th>
<th>Common name</th>
<th>Hawaiian name</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>* Cyperus javanicus</td>
<td>Javanese flatsedge</td>
<td>Ahi'awa</td>
<td>Indigenous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zingiber zerumbet</td>
<td>Shampoo ginger</td>
<td>Opuhi</td>
<td>Polynesian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Bacopa monnieri</td>
<td>Water hyssop</td>
<td>Ae'ae</td>
<td>Indigenous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dianella sandwicensis</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Uki Uki</td>
<td>Indigenous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zingiber sp.</td>
<td>Ginger (unidentified sp.)</td>
<td>Awapuhi</td>
<td>Polynesian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Schoenoeplectus lacustris ssp. validus</td>
<td>Great bulrush</td>
<td>Aka'akai</td>
<td>Indigenous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Cyperus laevigatus</td>
<td>Smooth flatsedge</td>
<td>Makaloa</td>
<td>Indigenous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colocasia esculenta</td>
<td>Taro</td>
<td>Kalo</td>
<td>Polynesian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marsilea villosa</td>
<td>Water clover</td>
<td>Ihi'ihiluakea</td>
<td>Endemic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ludwigia octovalvis</td>
<td>Primrose willow</td>
<td>Pukamole</td>
<td>Polynesian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyperus polystachyos</td>
<td>Manyspike flatsedge</td>
<td>Kioloia</td>
<td>Indigenous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sporobolus virginicus</td>
<td>Seashore drop seed</td>
<td>Aki aki</td>
<td>Indigenous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canna indica</td>
<td>Canna</td>
<td>Li'i poe</td>
<td>Introduced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heliconia sp.</td>
<td>Hibiscus sp.</td>
<td>Koki'o</td>
<td>Introduced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sesuvium portulacastrum</td>
<td>Seaside purslane</td>
<td>Akulikuli</td>
<td>Indigenous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cordyline terminalis</td>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>Ki</td>
<td>Polynesian</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Preferred Plants**

**Experimental Plants (Native bog plants)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common name</th>
<th>Hawaiian name</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dubautia pauciflorula</td>
<td>Wahiawa bog</td>
<td>Na`ena'e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labordia lydgatei</td>
<td>Wahiawa mountain</td>
<td>Kāmakahala</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viola heleneae</td>
<td>Bog violet</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hesperomannia lydgatei</td>
<td>Thistle</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dicranopteris linearis</td>
<td>Mat fern</td>
<td>Uluhe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobelia kauensis</td>
<td>Lava lobelia</td>
<td>Pu'e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drosera anglica</td>
<td>Carnivorous sundews</td>
<td>Mikinelo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rynchospora spicaeformis</td>
<td>Spiked beaksedge</td>
<td>Kuolohia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oreobolus furcatus</td>
<td>Hawaiʻi island sedge</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fence Plants**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common name</th>
<th>Hawaiian name</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alyxia oliviformis</td>
<td>Maile</td>
<td>Maile</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX G – Drip Irrigation Design Summary
# Field Flow

**Job Description:** Maui Fire Station  
**Contact:** Lauren Roth, Roth Ecological Design International  
**Prepared by:** David H. Whitney, P.E., EcoSolutions LLC  
**Date:** 12-Dec-09

Please fill in the shaded areas and drop down menus. This spreadsheet serves as a guide, and is not a complete hydraulic design.

## Worksheet 1 - Field Flow

### Total field

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Quantity of effluents to be disposed per day</td>
<td>1,100 gallons / day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydraulic loading rate</td>
<td>0.3 gallons / sq ft / day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Dispersal Field Area</td>
<td>3,667 square ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Dispersal Field Area</td>
<td>6,600 square ft</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Flow per zone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Zones</td>
<td>4 zones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispersal area per zone</td>
<td>1,650 square ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choose line-spacing between WASTEFLOW lines</td>
<td>2 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choose center-spacing between WASTEFLOW emitter</td>
<td>1.5 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total line length per zone (minimum required)</td>
<td>825 ft / per zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of emitters per zone</td>
<td>550 emitters per zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Select Wastewater discharge (10mm)</td>
<td>Wastewater PC - 1/2 in. discharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure at the beginning of the dripfield</td>
<td>20 psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feet of Head at the beginning of the dripfield</td>
<td>46.2 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is the flow rate per emitter in gph?</td>
<td>0.53 gph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow rate per zone</td>
<td>4.86 gpm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Select Filters and zone valves

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recommended Filter (item no.)</td>
<td>BinDisc-150 1.5” Disc Filter 0.30gpm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Select Zone Valve Type</td>
<td>Electric Solenoid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended Zone Valve (item no.)</td>
<td>SYLVIA-100 1-in. Solenoid valve</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Dosing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of doses per day / zone</td>
<td>12 doses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timer ON. Pump on time per dose /zone</td>
<td>4.63 min / secs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timer OFF. Pump off time between doses</td>
<td>1.55 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Zone. Pump run time per day /zone</td>
<td>0.56 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Zones. Number of doses per day / all zones</td>
<td>48 doses / day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allow time for field to pressurize</td>
<td>0:00:30 hours / minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fill timer</td>
<td>0:00:20 hours / minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drain timer</td>
<td>0:05:00 hours / minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field flush timer</td>
<td>0:00:00 hours / minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field flush counter</td>
<td>3 cycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time required to complete all functions per day</td>
<td>9:34 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose volume per zone</td>
<td>23 gallons per dose</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Allow time in the day for controller to have pressurization and drainage time.
Pressure losses may be grossly overstated, particularly if designing with WASTEFLOW Classic.
The letters on the diagram(right) match the letters in section 2 below.

### Worksheet - Pump Sizing

#### Section 1 - Summary from Worksheet 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flow required to doke field</td>
<td>4.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow required to flush field</td>
<td>4.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow required to doke &amp; flush field</td>
<td>9.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter</td>
<td>BioDisc-150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of Zones</td>
<td>4 zones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone valve</td>
<td>SVLVB-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drip line</td>
<td>Wasteflow PC - 1/2gph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drip line longest lateral</td>
<td>68.75 ft</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Section 2

##### A. Flush line - Losses through return line

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Size of flush line in inches</td>
<td>75 inch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of return line</td>
<td>200 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equivalent length of fittings</td>
<td>5 ft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation change, if downhill center (ft)</td>
<td>0 ft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure loss in 100 ft of pipe</td>
<td>7.16 ft, 3.10 psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total pressure loss from end of drip line to return tank</td>
<td>14.7 ft, 6.36 psi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

##### B. Drip line - Losses through Wasteflow drip line

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length of longest drip line lateral</td>
<td>69 ft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum dosing pressure required at end of drip line</td>
<td>23.10 ft, 10.00 psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss through drip line during flushing</td>
<td>2.31 ft, 1.00 psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total minimum required drip line pressure</td>
<td>25.41 ft, 1.00 psi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

##### A+B. Minimum Pressure required at beginning of dripfield

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REQUIRED pressure required at beginning of dripfield</td>
<td>40.09 ft, 17.36 psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPECIFIED pressure at beginning of dripfield (from worksheet)</td>
<td>46.3 ft, 20.00 psi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Great! SPECIFIED Pressure is greater than CALculated Pressure requirement. Go to next step.**

##### C. Drip components - Losses through headworks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Filter</td>
<td>4.6 ft, 2.00 psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone valve pressure loss (not in diagram)</td>
<td>0.69 ft, 0.30 psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow meter pressure loss (not in diagram)</td>
<td>n/a, - psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other pressure losses</td>
<td>n/a, - psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total loss through drip components</td>
<td>5.31 ft, 2.30 psi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

##### D. Supply line - Minimum Pressure head required to get from pump tank to top of driplfield

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Size of supply line in inches</td>
<td>2 inch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of supply line</td>
<td>0 ft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equivalent length of fittings</td>
<td>5 ft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height from pump to tank outlet</td>
<td>5 ft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation change, if downhill center (ft)</td>
<td>20 ft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure loss/gain in 100 ft. of pipe</td>
<td>0.24 ft, 0.10 psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total gain or loss from pump to field</td>
<td>25.0 ft, 10.83 psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total dynamic head</td>
<td>75.5 ft, 33.13 psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump capacity *</td>
<td>9.3 gpm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump Model Number</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voltz / Hp / phase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Note:** Pump capacity flow assumes flow in drip line does not change during a dose cycle. With Wasteflow C, for more accurate flows please see GeoFlow's Flashing worksheet.
- If you need assistance designing for this additional flow, please:
  a. See GeoFlow flashing worksheet or
  b. Contact GeoFlow at 800-828-3388.
SITE EVALUATION/PERCOLATION TEST

Date/Time: July 9, 2009

Test performed by: Hirata & Associates, Inc.

Owner: County of Maui, Department of Fire and Public Safety

Tax Map Key: 2nd Div 2-7-007: 008

Test Number: P1

Elevation: 526+ ft
Depth to Groundwater Table: NA ft. below grade
Depth to Bedrock (if observed): NA ft. below grade
Diameter of Hole: 4 in.
Depth to Hole Bottom: 5 ft. below grade

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (inches)</th>
<th>Soil Profile (Color, texture, other)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 - 60</td>
<td>Dark brown clayey silt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PERCOLATION READINGS

Time 12 inches of water to seep away: ~30 min.
Time 12 inches of water to seep away: ~30 min.

For percolation tests in sandy soils, record time intervals and water drops every 10 minutes for at least 1 hour.

✓ For percolation tests in non-sandy soils, presoak the test hole for at least 4 hours. Record time intervals and water drops at least every 10 minutes for 1 hour; or if the time for the first 6 inches to seep away is greater than 30 minutes, record time intervals and water drops at least every 30 minutes for 4 hours or until 2 successive drops do not vary by more than 1/16 inch.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time interval</th>
<th>Drop in inches</th>
<th>Time interval</th>
<th>Drop in inches</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30 min.</td>
<td>1/8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 min.</td>
<td>1/16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 min.</td>
<td>1/16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percolation Rate (time/final water level drop): 480 min/in

As the engineer responsible for gathering and providing site information and percolation test results, I attest to the fact that above site information is accurate and that the site evaluation was conducted in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 11-62, "Wastewater Systems" and the results were acceptable.

[Signature]

Engineer's Signature/Stamp

Plate A5.1
## Major Divisions

### Coarse Grained Soils
- **Gravels** (More than 50% of coarse fraction is larger than the No. 4 sieve size.)
  - CLEAN GRAVELS (Little or no fines.)
  - GRAVELS WITH FINES (Appreciable amount of fines.)
- **Sands** (More than 50% of coarse fraction is smaller than the No. 4 sieve size.)
  - CLEAN SANDS (Little or no fines.)
  - SANDS WITH FINES (Appreciable amount of fines.)

### Fine Grained Soils
- **Silt and Clays** (Liquid limit less than 50.)
- **Silt and Clays** (Liquid limit greater than 50.)
- **Highly Organic Soils**

### Typical Names
- **GW** Well graded gravels, gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines.
- **GP** Poorly graded gravels or gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines.
- **GM** Silty gravels, gravel-sand-silt mixtures.
- **GC** Clayey gravels, gravel-sand-clay mixtures.
- **SW** Well graded sands, gravelly sands, little or no fines.
- **SP** Poorly graded sands or gravelly sands, little or no fines.
- **SM** Silty sands, sand-silt mixtures.
- **SC** Clayey sands, sand-clay mixtures.
- **ML** Inorganic silts and very fine sands, rock flour, silty or clayey fine sands or clayey silts with slight plasticity.
- **CL** Inorganic clays of low to medium plasticity, gravelly clays, sandy clays, silty clays, lean clays.
- **OL** Organic silts and organic silty clays of low plasticity.
- **MH** Inorganic silts, micaceous or diatomaceous fine sandy or silty soils, elastic silts.
- **CH** Inorganic clays of high plasticity, fat clays.
- **OH** Organic clays of medium to high plasticity, organic silts.
- **PT** Peat and other highly organic soils.

### Sample Definition
- 2" O.D. Standard Split Spoon Sampler
- Shelby Tube
- RQD Rock Quality Designation
- 3" O.D. Split Tube Sampler
- NX / 4" Coring
- Water Level

---

**W.O. 08-4693**

Haiku Fire Station Annex

Hirata & Associates, Inc.

BORING LOG LEGEND

Plate A3.1
PLASTICITY CHART

Liquid Limit
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GRADATION CHART

COMPONENT DEFINITIONS BY GRADATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMPONENT</th>
<th>SIZE RANGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boulders</td>
<td>Above 12 in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobble</td>
<td>3 in. to 12 in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravel</td>
<td>3 in. to No. 4 (4.76 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse gravel</td>
<td>3 in. to 3/4 in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine gravel</td>
<td>3/4 in. to No. 4 (4.76 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>No. 4 (4.76 mm) to No. 200 (0.074 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse sand</td>
<td>No. 4 (4.76 mm) to No. 10 (2.0 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium sand</td>
<td>No. 10 (2.0 mm) to No. 40 (0.42 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine sand</td>
<td>No. 40 (0.42 mm) to No. 200 (0.074 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt and clay</td>
<td>Smaller than No. 200 (0.074 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPTH</td>
<td>GRAPH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Neither groundwater nor seepage encountered.

* Elevations based on Site Plan provided by Architects Hawai, Ltd. on July 29, 2009.
**BORING LOG**

**BORING NO.** B2  
**SURFACE ELEV.** 521±

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEPTH (FT)</th>
<th>GRAPH</th>
<th>SAMPLE</th>
<th>BLOWS PER FOOT</th>
<th>DRY DENSITY (PCF)</th>
<th>MOIST. CONT. (%)</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Clayey SILT (M-H) - Dark brown, moist, stiff, with plastic debris. (Fill)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Clayey SILT (M-H) - Mottled brown, moist, stiff. (Completely Weathered Basalt)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>End boring at 9.5 feet.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Neither groundwater nor seepage encountered.
## BORING LOG

**BOARING NO.** B3  
**SURFACE ELEV.** 531±  
**DRIVING WT.** 140 lb.  
**DROP** 30 in.  
**START DATE** 7/8/09  
**END DATE** 7/8/09  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEPTH (FT)</th>
<th>GRAPH SAMPLE</th>
<th>BLOWS PER FOOT</th>
<th>DRY DENSITY (PCF)</th>
<th>MOIST. CONT. (%)</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Clayey SILT (MH) – Mottled brown, moist, medium stiff. (Completely Weathered Basalt) Covered by 4 inches of concrete over 3 inches of coralline sand.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Firm from 3 to 6 feet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Seepage water encountered at 13 feet below grade at 11:00 am on 7/08/09.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>End boring at 20.5 feet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Neither groundwater nor seepage encountered.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Plate A4.3
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth</th>
<th>Graph</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Blows per Foot</th>
<th>Dry Density (PCF)</th>
<th>Moist. Cont. (%)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Clayey Silt (MH) – Dark brown, moist, medium stiff. (Fill)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Clayey Silt (MH) – Mottled brown, moist, medium stiff. (Completely Weathered Basalt)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Stiff from 13 feet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>End boring at 19.5 feet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Neither groundwater nor seepage encountered.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Boring Log

**Boring No.:** B5  
**Driving Wt.:** 140 lb.  
**Surface Elev.:** 530±  
**Drop:** 30 in.  
**Start Date:** 7/8/09  
**End Date:** 7/8/09

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (ft)</th>
<th>Graph Sample</th>
<th>Blows per Foot</th>
<th>Dry Density (pcf)</th>
<th>Moist. Cont. (%)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Clayey Silt (MH) - Dark brown, moist, medium stiff to stiff. (Fill)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Clayey Silt (MH) - Mottled brown, moist, stiff. (Completely Weathered Basalt)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Medium stiff at 14 feet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>End boring at 20.5 feet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Neither groundwater nor seepage encountered.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Plate A4.5
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (ft)</th>
<th>Blows/ft</th>
<th>DRY DENSITY (PCF)</th>
<th>MOIST. CONT. (%)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Silty SAND (SM) - Tannish brown, moist, medium dense. (Fill)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Clayey SILT (MH) - Dark brown, moist, medium stiff to stiff. (Fill)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Clayey SILT (MH) - Mottled brown, moist, medium stiff. (Completely Weathered Basalt)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Seepage water encountered at 14.5 feet below grade at 11:00 am on 7/09/09.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>End boring at 19.5 feet.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Plate A4.6
## BORING LOG

**BORING NO.** B7  
**SURFACE ELEV.** 529+  
**DRIVING WT.** 140 lb.  
**DROP** 30 in.  
**START DATE** 7/9/09  
**END DATE** 7/9/09

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEPTH</th>
<th>SAMPLE</th>
<th>BOWS PER FOOT</th>
<th>DRY DENSITY (PCF)</th>
<th>MOIST. CONT. (%)</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Silty SAND (SM) – Tannish brown, moist, dense. (Fill)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 5     | 44     | 104           | 22                |                 | Clayey SILT (MH) – Dark brown, moist, medium stiff, with plastic debris. (Fill)  
|       | 5      | 67            | 22                |                 | Firm at 4 feet. |
| 10    |        | 37            | 85                | 39              | Clayey SILT (MH) – Mottled brown, moist, medium stiff to stiff. (Completely Weathered Basalt) |
| 15    | 15     | 76            | 44                |                 | Seepage water encountered at 14.5 feet below grade at 2:00 pm on 7/09/09. |
| 20    |        | 23            | 88                | 31              | End boring at 19.5 feet. |

Plate A4.7
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SECTION 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 PURPOSE

The objective of this drainage report is to analyze and evaluate the effects of the proposed Haiku Fire Station project on existing drainage patterns and to form a basis of design for a drainage system to manage flow within the site and convey water off-site in accordance with County of Maui drainage requirements for the area. This report analyzes the conditions before and after the construction project during the SMA stage of the project. The drainage report will be refined when the construction documents are prepared and submitted to the Department of Public Works for approval.

1.2 GENERAL INFORMATION

A. The project location is in the Haiku district of Maui (see attached Figure 1, Vicinity and Location Maps). The Haiku Fire Station is being developed by the County of Maui, Department of Fire & Public Safety. The proposed improvements include installation of a new Fire Station Building with parking lot, helicopter landing zone, and utilities. The project will be located within a 27.90 acre development area.

B. Owner: County of Maui
   Department of Fire and Public Safety
   200 Dairy Road
   Kahului, Maui, Hawai‘i 96733
   Contact: Lee Mainaga, Fire Services Officer
   Phone: (808) 270-5542
   Email: lee.mainaga@co.mau.hi.us

C. Vicinity & Location Maps (See Figure 1, Vicinity and Location Maps)
SECTION 2

PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT

2.1 LOCATION

The Haiku Fire Station project site is located in Haiku, Maui, Hawaii 96708 at 3550 Hana Highway. Refer to Figure 1 for a location map of the project. The Tax Map Key (TMK) for the project lot is (2)2-7-007:008.

2.2 TOPOGRAPHY

The project site generally slopes from an elevation of approximately 590 feet above sea level at its highest point to approximately 500 feet at its lowest. The drainage generally flows in a north-westerly direction.

2.3 SOILS

According to the August 1972 publication Soil Survey of the Islands of Kauai, Oahu, Maui, Molokai, and Lanai, State of Hawaii (Ref 4) by the United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, the soil at the project site is Haiku clay, with slopes of 7 to 15 percent (soil classification HbC). This series consists of somewhat excessively drained soils on low uplands on the island of Maui. Permeability is moderately rapid. Runoff is slow to medium, and the erosion hazard is slight to moderate.
2.4 DRAINAGE/FLOODING

According to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) of the project area (Map Number 150003 0225 B, Ref 1), the area is classified as Zone C – an area determined to have minimal flooding. Refer to Figure 2, Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM).

2.5 RAINFALL

According to the August 1972 publication *Soil Survey of the Islands of Kauai, Oahu, Maui, Molokai, and Lanai, State of Hawaii* (Ref 4) by the United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, the annual rainfall for the project area amounts to 50 to 80 inches.
SECTION 3
DRAINAGE

3.1 METHODOLOGY

Drainage characteristics for the project site are analyzed through the use of the Rational Method. Drainage guideline standards for the County of Maui – *Rules for the Design of Storm Drainage Facilities in the County of Maui* (11/12/95, Ref 3) – states that the Rational Method shall be used for drainage areas of 100 acres or less.

3.2 HYDROLOGIC CRITERIA

The hydrologic criteria used to obtain peak discharges are summarized as follows:

**Criteria**
- Recurrence Interval:
  - Tm = 10 years (for non-sump conditions)
  - Tm = 50 years (for sump conditions)
  - Tm = 50 years (for retention & detention basins)

(It is stated in the County of Maui - *Rules for the Design of Storm Drainage Facilities in the County of Maui* (11/12/95, Ref 3), that for drainage areas of 100 acres or less with sump, or tailwater effect and for the design of roadway culverts and bridges, Tm = 50 years)

- Intensity and Rainfall Duration
- Peak Discharges
- Runoff Coefficient C

Intensity of 1-hr Rainfall  
Rational Method  
Determined from Table 1 or Table 2, (See Appendix A)

3.3 EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

The “Existing Drainage Map” (Figure 3) shows the existing site conditions. There are four drainage areas in the existing condition. Two drainage areas, XDA-1 and XDA-2, are within the proposed project’s property. The two remaining drainage areas, XDA-3 and XDA-4, are from areas outside the proposed project’s property but both contribute
to the onsite flow rates. The existing flow rate from Drainage Areas XDA-1 and XDA-4 is 14.13 cfs (10-yr) and 16.63 cfs (50-yr) and flows to the northwest property line. The existing flow rate from Drainage Areas XDA-2 and XDA-3 is 5.24 cfs (10-yr) and 6.13 cfs (50-yr) and flows to Hana Highway to the north. The total existing flow rate from the project's property is 19.37 cfs (10-yr) and 22.76 cfs (50-yr). Refer to Appendix B for existing drainage calculations.

3.4 PROPOSED DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS

The "Proposed Drainage Map" (Figure 4) shows the proposed site conditions. The proposed improvements for the site include construction of new facilities including a paved driveway off of Hana Highway, visitors parking area, employees parking area, fire station building, and helicopter landing area. The proposed drainage system will include drainage structures, drainlines, and detention basin. The increase in runoff as a result of the increase in impervious area from the proposed project will be discharged in the detention basin.

There are eight drainage areas in the proposed condition. Five are within the proposed project's property and three from areas outside the proposed project's property. New Drainage Areas NDA-1 thru NDA-5 are located onsite. Drainage Areas NDA-6 thru NDA-8 are located offsite, but contribute to the onsite flow rates.

Drainage Areas NDA-1 and NDA-8 with a flow rate of 10.85 cfs (10-yr) and 13.88 (50-yr) will sheet flow offsite towards the northwest property line. Drainage Areas NDA-2 thru NDA-4 and NDA-6 thru NDA-7 with a flow rate of 11.35 cfs (10-yr) and 13.69 cfs (50-yr) will flow into the proposed detention basin. Drainage Area NDA-5 (fire station building) with a flow rate of 1.08 cfs (10-yr) will be discharged into a rainwater catchment system.

The total discharge in the project site is anticipated to be 23.28 cfs (10-yr) and 29.01 (50-yr) in the proposed condition. Refer to Appendix C for proposed drainage calculations.
3.5 CONCLUSIONS

The proposed Haiku Fire Station project is anticipated to generate a 10-year flow rate of 23.28 cfs, an increase of 3.91 cfs from the existing condition and a 50-year flow rate of 29.01 cfs, an increase of 6.25 cfs from the existing conditions. The proposed drainage system will manage the increased flow rates with a detention basin. The flow rate onto Hana Highway will be reduced by 5.24 cfs (10-yr) and 6.13 cfs (50-yr). The flow rate to the northwest property line will be reduced by 3.28 cfs (10-yr) and 2.75 cfs (50-yr).

With this new development all existing offsite flows will either be reduced or detained, thus there will not be an adverse impact to the existing drainage system downstream, neighboring properties, or roadways.

3.6 DETENTION BASIN SIZING

In accordance with County of Maui drainage standards, 50-year storm flows were used to size the detention pond. The existing 50-year flow is 22.76 cfs and the proposed flow is 29.01 cfs. The detention pond size for a 50-year 1-hour flow is anticipated to be approximately 23,047 cubic feet. A comparison was made between the County pond size and the LEED required size for quantity control. LEED requires that a pond to be sized using a 1 year, 24-hour and 2-year, 24-hour storm for the area. The LEED pond size is approximately 5,400 cubic feet. The County method is more stringent and shall be used to size the detention pond.
REFERENCES


3. *Rules for the Design of Storm Drainage Facilities in the County of Maui*, Department of Public Works, County of Maui, Title MC-15, Chapter 4

APPENDIX A

Reference from RULES FOR THE DESIGN OF STORM DRAINAGE FACILITIES IN THE COUNTY OF MAUI,
Department of Public Works and Waste Management
County of Maui
### Table 1

**GUIDE FOR THE DETERMINATION OF RUNOFF COEFFICIENTS FOR BUILT-UP AREAS***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WATERSHED CHARACTERISTICS</th>
<th>EXTREME</th>
<th>HIGH</th>
<th>MODERATE</th>
<th>LOW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INFILTRATION</td>
<td>NEGligible</td>
<td>SLOW</td>
<td>MEDIUM</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RELIEF</td>
<td>STEEP (&gt; 25%)</td>
<td>HILLY (15 - 25%)</td>
<td>ROLLING (5 - 15%)</td>
<td>FLAT (0 - 5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGETAL COVER</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>POOR (&lt; 10%)</td>
<td>GOOD (10 - 50%)</td>
<td>HIGH (50 - 90%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEVELOPMENT TYPE</td>
<td>INDUSTRIAL &amp; BUSINESS</td>
<td>HOTEL-APARTMENT</td>
<td>RESIDENTIAL</td>
<td>AGRICULTURAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*NOTE: The design coefficient "c" must result from a total of the values for all four watershed characteristics of the site.

### Table 2

**RUNOFF COEFFICIENTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Drainage Area</th>
<th>Runoff Coefficient C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parks, cemeteries</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playgrounds</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Railroad yard areas</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unimproved areas</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streets:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asphalitic</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brick</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driveway and walks</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roofs</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawns:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandy soil, flat, 2%</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandy soil, avg., 2-7%</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandy soil, steep, 7%</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy soil, flat, 2%</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy soil, avg., 2-7%</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy soil, steep, 7%</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3

MINIMUM RUNOFF COEFFICIENTS FOR BUILT-UP AREAS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Area</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residential areas</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotel, apartment areas</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business areas</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial areas</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The type of soil, the type of open space and ground cover and the slope of the ground shall be considered in arriving at reasonable and acceptable runoff coefficients.

Table 4

APPROXIMATE AVERAGE VELOCITIES OF RUNOFF FOR CALCULATING TIME OF CONCENTRATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Flow</th>
<th>VELOCITY IN FPS FOR SLOPES (in percent) INDICATED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OVERLAND FLOW:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodlands</td>
<td>0-3% 2.0 4-7% 3.0 8-11% 5.0 12-15% 10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pastures</td>
<td>1.5 3.0 4.0 5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultivated</td>
<td>2.0 4.0 5.0 6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pavements</td>
<td>5.0 12.0 15.0 18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPEN CHANNEL FLOW:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved Channels</td>
<td>Determine Velocity by Manning's Formula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Channel*</td>
<td>1.0 3.0 5.0 8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(not well defined)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*These values vary with the channel size and other conditions so that the ones given are the averages of a wide range. Wherever possible, more accurate determinations should be made for particular conditions by Manning's formula.
Plate 1
Overland Flow Chart

Plate 2
INTENSITY DURATION
1 HR RAINFALL CURVES

RAINFALL INTENSITY (IN/HR.) FOR INDICATED DURATIONS
APPENDIX B

Drainage Design Calculations

EXISTING CONDITION, 10-YEAR
Drainage Design Calculations

Project Title: Haiku Fire Station  Prepared By: SS  Date: October 6, 2010
Location: Haiku, Island of Maui, HI  Checked By: DYK  Date:
Item: DRAINAGE FLOW CALCULATIONS (EXISTING CONDITION)

A. PURPOSE
Determine the quantity of surface runoff flow in cubic feet per second of the drainage area from the Haiku Fire Station project area. The 10-year, 1-hour storm was used to estimate the design flow for non-sump conditions. No sump conditions were found for this project.

B. CRITERIA
Peak discharges shall be found using the Rational Method: $Q = C \times I \times A$.

C. CALCULATIONS
Surface runoff for the area of interest:

$$Q = C \cdot I \cdot A,$$

where, $Q =$ flow rate in cubic feet per second (cfs);

$C =$ runoff coefficient;

$I =$ rainfall intensity in inches per hour (in/hr) for a duration equal to the time of concentration ($T_c$); and

$A =$ drainage area in acres (Ac).
Runoff Coefficient

Table 1 — Guide for the Determination of Runoff Coefficients for Build-Up Area — the runoff coefficient is estimated as being:

Infiltration (High) 0.00
Relief (Rolling) 0.03
Vegetal Cover (High) 0.00
Dev. Type (Residential) 0.40

\[ C = 0.43 \]

\( (C_{XDA,1}) = 0.43 \)
\( (C_{XDA,2}) = 0.43 \)
\( (C_{XDA,3}) = 0.43 \)
\( (C_{XDA,4}) = 0.43 \)

Rainfall Intensity

Drainage length (L)

\( (L_{XDA,1}) \approx 498', \text{Slope} (S) = (554.7' - 497.8') + (498') \times 100\% \approx 11.4\% \)
\( (L_{XDA,2}) \approx 470', \text{Slope} (S) = (551.5' - 508.8') + (470') \times 100\% \approx 9.1\% \)
\( (L_{XDA,3}) \approx 223', \text{Slope} (S) = (576.5' - 551.5') + (223') \times 100\% \approx 11.2\% \)
\( (L_{XDA,4}) \approx 634', \text{Slope} (S) = (581.4' - 35.5') + (525.1') \times 100\% \approx 8.9\% \)

Plate 1 (Reference 1)—Overland Flow Chart—yields a time of concentration of:

\[ T_{c,XDA,1} = 18 \text{ minutes.} \]
\[ T_{c,XDA,2} = 17.5 \text{ minutes.} \]
\[ T_{c,XDA,3} = 13.2 \text{ minutes.} \]
\[ T_{c,XDA,4} = 21.5 \text{ minutes.} \]

Plate 4 (Reference 1)—Drainage Master Plan, 10 Year 1 Hour Rainfall—suggests a 10-year, 1-hour recurrent rainfall intensity of:

\[ I_{10-yr, 1-hr} = 2.60 \text{ inches} \]

For all existing drainage areas.
Plate 2 (reference 1)—Intensity Duration 1 Hr Rainfall Curves—indicates a converted, actual, working rainfall intensity for the project site to be used in the Rational Method as being:

\[ i_{XDA-1} = 4.6 \text{ in./hr.} \]
\[ i_{XDA-2} = 4.6 \text{ in./hr.} \]
\[ i_{XDA-3} = 5.2 \text{ in./hr.} \]
\[ i_{XDA-4} = 4.3 \text{ in./hr.} \]

**Area**

As calculated through AutoCAD:

\[ A_{XDA-1} = 4.49 \text{ Ac.} \]
\[ A_{XDA-2} = 1.61 \text{ Ac.} \]
\[ A_{XDA-3} = 0.92 \text{ Ac.} \]
\[ A_{XDA-4} = 2.84 \text{ Ac.} \]

**Surface Runoff**

The product of the aforementioned, determined values yields the anticipated drainage flow that sheet flows into and from the project site:

\[ Q = C \times I \times A \]

\[ Q_{XDA-1} = C \times I \times A = (0.43) \times (4.6 \text{ in./hr.}) \times (4.49 \text{ Ac}) = 8.88 \text{ cfs.} \]
\[ Q_{XDA-2} = C \times I \times A = (0.43) \times (4.6 \text{ in./hr.}) \times (1.61 \text{ Ac}) = 3.18 \text{ cfs.} \]
\[ Q_{XDA-3} = C \times I \times A = (0.43) \times (5.2 \text{ in./hr.}) \times (0.92 \text{ Ac}) = 2.06 \text{ cfs.} \]
\[ Q_{XDA-4} = C \times I \times A = (0.43) \times (4.3 \text{ in./hr.}) \times (2.84 \text{ Ac}) = 5.25 \text{ cfs.} \]

**Summary**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Area (acres)</th>
<th>Flow (cfs)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XDA-1</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>8.88</td>
<td>Onsite flow to N-W property line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XDA-2</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>Onsite flow to Hana Highway (Mauka)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XDA-3</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>Offsite flow to Onsite XDA-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XDA-4</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>Offsite flow to Onsite XDA-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thus, the total amount of drainage in the project site is anticipated to be about **19.37 cfs** in the existing condition.
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APPENDIX C

Drainage Design Calculations

PROPOSED CONDITION, 10-YEAR
**Drainage Design Calculations**

**Project Title:** Haiku Fire Station  
**Prepared By:** RA  
**Date:** November 1, 2010

**Location:** Haiku, Island of Maui, HI  
**Checked By:** DYK  
**Date:**

**Item:** DRAINAGE FLOW CALCULATIONS *(PROPOSED CONDITION)*

---

**A. PURPOSE**

Determine the quantity of surface runoff flow in cubic feet per second of the drainage area from the Haiku Fire Station project area. The 10-year, 1-hour storm was used to estimate the design flow for non-sump conditions. No sump conditions were found for this project.

---

**B. CRITERIA**

Peak discharges shall be found using the Rational Method: \( Q = C \times I \times A \).

---

**C. CALCULATIONS**

Surface runoff for the area of interest:

\[
Q = C \cdot I \cdot A,
\]

where,  
- \( Q \) = flow rate in cubic feet per second (cfs);  
- \( C \) = runoff coefficient;  
- \( I \) = rainfall intensity in inches per hour (in./hr) for a duration equal to the time of concentration \( T_c \); and  
- \( A \) = drainage area in acres (Ac).
Runoff Coefficient

Table 1 – Guide for the Determination of Runoff Coefficients for Built-Up Areas (Reference 1) – the runoff coefficient is estimated as being:

Infiltration (High) 0.00
Relief (Rolling) 0.03
Vegetal Cover (Good) 0.03
Dev. Type (Residential) 0.40
C = 0.46

\[C_{NDA-1} = 0.46\]
\[C_{NDA-2} = 0.46\]
\[C_{NDA-3} = 0.46\]
\[C_{NDA-4} = 0.46\]
\[C_{NDA-5} = 0.46\]
\[C_{NDA-6} = 0.46\]
\[C_{NDA-7} = 0.46\]
\[C_{NDA-8} = 0.46\]

Rainfall Intensity

Drainage length (L)

\[L_{NDA-1} = 152', \text{Slope (S)} = \frac{(529.0' - 504.8')}{(152')} \times 100% \approx 15.9\%\]
\[L_{NDA-2} = 367', \text{Slope (S)} = \frac{(553.1' - 522.5')}{(367')} \times 100% \approx 8.3\%\]
\[L_{NDA-3} = 227', \text{Slope (S)} = \frac{(554.9' - 525.4')}{(227')} \times 100% \approx 13.0\%\]
\[L_{NDA-4} = 156', \text{Slope (S)} = \frac{(527.5' - 525.0')}{(156')} \times 100% \approx 1.6\%\]
\[L_{NDA-5} = 50', \text{Roof, Slope (S)} = 33\%\]
\[L_{NDA-6} = 251', \text{Slope (S)} = \frac{(579.5' - 551.3')}{(251')} \times 100% \approx 11.2\%\]
\[L_{NDA-7} = 283', \text{Slope (S)} = \frac{(581.5' - 554.9')}{(283')} \times 100% \approx 9.4\%\]
\[L_{NDA-8} = 630', \text{Slope (S)} = \frac{(581.5' - 525.1')}{(630')} \times 100% \approx 9.0\%\]
Plate 1 (Reference 1)—*Overland Flow Chart*—yields a time of concentration of:

\[ T_{c,\text{NDA-1}} = 10.0 \text{ minutes.} \]
\[ T_{c,\text{NDA-2}} = 16.5 \text{ minutes.} \]
\[ T_{c,\text{NDA-3}} = 12.8 \text{ minutes.} \]
\[ T_{c,\text{NDA-4}} = 14.8 \text{ minutes.} \]
\[ T_{c,\text{NDA-5}} = <3 \text{ minutes.} \]
\[ T_{c,\text{NDA-6}} = 13.5 \text{ minutes.} \]
\[ T_{c,\text{NDA-7}} = 14.0 \text{ minutes.} \]
\[ T_{c,\text{NDA-8}} = 20.5 \text{ minutes.} \]

Plate 4 (Reference 1)—*Drainage Master Plan, 10 Year 1 Hour Rainfall*—suggests a 10 year, 1-hour recurrent rainfall intensity of:

\[ I_{10-\text{yr, 1-hr}} = 2.60 \text{ inches.} \]

For all existing drainage areas.

Plate 2 (Reference 1)—*Intensity Duration 1 Hr Rainfall Curves*—indicates a converted, actual, working rainfall intensity for the project site to be used in the Rational Method as being:

\[ I_{\text{NDA-1}} = 5.5 \text{ in./hr.} \]
\[ I_{\text{NDA-2}} = 4.9 \text{ in./hr.} \]
\[ I_{\text{NDA-3}} = 5.2 \text{ in./hr.} \]
\[ I_{\text{NDA-4}} = 4.9 \text{ in./hr.} \]
\[ I_{\text{NDA-5}} = 9.0 \text{ in./hr.} \]
\[ I_{\text{NDA-6}} = 5.2 \text{ in./hr.} \]
\[ I_{\text{NDA-7}} = 5.2 \text{ in./hr.} \]
\[ I_{\text{NDA-8}} = 4.3 \text{ in./hr.} \]
Area

As calculated through AutoCAD:

\[ A_{\text{NDFA1}} = 2.68 \text{ Ac.} \]
\[ A_{\text{NDFA2}} = 1.15 \text{ Ac.} \]
\[ A_{\text{NDFA3}} = 1.27 \text{ Ac.} \]
\[ A_{\text{NDFA4}} = 0.74 \text{ Ac.} \]
\[ A_{\text{NDFA5}} = 0.26 \text{ Ac.} \]
\[ A_{\text{NDFA6}} = 0.78 \text{ Ac.} \]
\[ A_{\text{NDFA7}} = 0.91 \text{ Ac.} \]
\[ A_{\text{NDFA8}} = 2.06 \text{ Ac.} \]

Surface Runoff

The product of the aforementioned, determined values yields the anticipated drainage flow that sheet flows into and from the project site:

\[ Q = C \times I \times A \]

\[ Q_{\text{NDFA1}} = C \times I \times A = (0.46) \times (5.5 \text{ in./hr}) \times (2.68 \text{ Ac}) = 6.78 \text{ cfs.} \]
\[ Q_{\text{NDFA2}} = C \times I \times A = (0.46) \times (4.9 \text{ in./hr}) \times (1.15 \text{ Ac}) = 2.59 \text{ cfs.} \]
\[ Q_{\text{NDFA3}} = C \times I \times A = (0.46) \times (5.2 \text{ in./hr}) \times (1.27 \text{ Ac}) = 3.04 \text{ cfs.} \]
\[ Q_{\text{NDFA4}} = C \times I \times A = (0.46) \times (4.9 \text{ in./hr}) \times (0.74 \text{ Ac}) = 1.67 \text{ cfs.} \]
\[ Q_{\text{NDFA5}} = C \times I \times A = (0.46) \times (9.0 \text{ in./hr}) \times (0.26 \text{ Ac}) = 1.08 \text{ cfs.} \]
\[ Q_{\text{NDFA6}} = C \times I \times A = (0.46) \times (5.2 \text{ in./hr}) \times (0.78 \text{ Ac}) = 1.87 \text{ cfs.} \]
\[ Q_{\text{NDFA7}} = C \times I \times A = (0.46) \times (5.2 \text{ in./hr}) \times (0.91 \text{ Ac}) = 2.18 \text{ cfs.} \]
\[ Q_{\text{NDFA8}} = C \times I \times A = (0.46) \times (4.3 \text{ in./hr}) \times (2.06 \text{ Ac}) = 4.07 \text{ cfs.} \]
## Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Area (acres)</th>
<th>Flow (cfs)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NDA-1</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>6.78</td>
<td>Onsite flow to N-W property line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDA-2</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>Onsite flow piped to Detention Basin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDA-3</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>Onsite flow piped to Detention Basin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDA-4</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>Onsite sheet flow to Detention Basin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDA-5</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>Roof to Catchment System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDA-6</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>Offsite flow to Onsite NDA-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDA-7</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>Offsite flow to Onsite NDA-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDA-8</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>Offsite flow to NDA-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thus, the total amount of drainage in the project site is anticipated to be about **23.28 cfs** in the proposed condition.
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APPENDIX D

Drainage Design Calculations

EXISTING CONDITION, 50-YEAR
Drainage Design Calculations

Project Title: Haiku Fire Station
Location: Haiku, Island of Maui, HI
Item: DRAINAGE FLOW CALCULATIONS (EXISTING CONDITION)

Prepared By: RA          Date: November 1, 2010
Checked By: DYK

A. PURPOSE
Determine the quantity of surface runoff flow in cubic feet per second of the drainage area from the Haiku Fire Station project area. The 50-year, 1-hour storm was used to estimate the design flow for detention pond sizing.

B. CRITERIA
Peak discharges shall be found using the Rational Method: \( Q = C \times I \times A \).

C. CALCULATIONS
Surface runoff for the area of interest:

\[ Q = C \times I \times A, \]

where, \( Q \) = flow rate in cubic feet per second (cfs);
\( C \) = runoff coefficient;
\( I \) = rainfall intensity in inches per hour (in./hr) for a duration equal to the time of concentration \( (T_c) \); and
\( A \) = drainage area in acres (Ac).
Runoff Coefficient

Table 1 — Guide for the Determination of Runoff Coefficients for Built-Up Area — the runoff coefficient is estimated as being:

Infiltration (High) 
Relief (Rolling) 
Vegetal Cover (High) 
Dev. Type (Residential)  
\[ C = 0.43 \]

\( (C_{XDA,1}) = 0.43 \)
\( (C_{XDA,2}) = 0.43 \)
\( (C_{XDA,3}) = 0.43 \)
\( (C_{XDA,4}) = 0.43 \)

Rainfall Intensity

Drainage length (L)

\( (L_{XDA,1}) \approx 498', \text{ Slope (S)} = (554.7' - 497.8') \div (498') \times 100\% \approx 11.4\% \)

\( (L_{XDA,2}) \approx 470', \text{ Slope (S)} = (551.5' - 508.8') \div (470') \times 100\% \approx 8.9\% \)

\( (L_{XDA,3}) \approx 223', \text{ Slope (S)} = (576.5' - 551.5') \div (223') \times 100\% \approx 11.2\% \)

\( (L_{XDA,4}) \approx 634', \text{ Slope (S)} = (581.4' - 35.5') \div (525.1') \times 100\% \approx 8.9\% \)

Plate 1 (Reference 1)—Overland Flow Chart—yields a time of concentration of:

\( T_{c,XDA,1} = 18 \text{ minutes.} \)
\( T_{c,XDA,2} = 17.5 \text{ minutes.} \)
\( T_{c,XDA,3} = 13.2 \text{ minutes.} \)
\( T_{c,XDA,4} = 21.5 \text{ minutes.} \)
Plate 4 (Reference 1)—*Drainage Master Plan, 50 Year 1 Hour Rainfall*—suggests a 50-year, 1-hour recurrent rainfall intensity of:

\[ I_{50-yr, 1-hr} = 3.30 \text{ inches.} \]

For all existing drainage areas.

Plate 2 (Reference 1)—*Intensity Duration 1 Hr Rainfall Curves*—indicates a converted, actual, working rainfall intensity for the project site to be used in the Rational Method as being:

\[
\begin{align*}
I_{XDA-1} &= 5.2 \text{ in./hr.} \\
I_{XDA-2} &= 5.2 \text{ in./hr.} \\
I_{XDA-3} &= 6.4 \text{ in./hr.} \\
I_{XDA-4} &= 5.4 \text{ in./hr.}
\end{align*}
\]

**Area**

As calculated through AutoCAD:

\[
\begin{align*}
A_{XDA-1} &= 4.49 \text{ Ac.} \\
A_{XDA-2} &= 1.61 \text{ Ac.} \\
A_{XDA-3} &= 0.92 \text{ Ac.} \\
A_{XDA-4} &= 2.84 \text{ Ac.}
\end{align*}
\]

**Surface Runoff**

The product of the aforementioned, determined values yields the anticipated drainage flow that sheet flows into and from the project site:

\[
Q = C \times I \times A
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
Q_{XDA-1} &= C \times I \times A = (0.43) \times (5.2 \text{ in./hr.}) \times (4.49 \text{ Ac}) = 10.04 \text{ cfs.} \\
Q_{XDA-2} &= C \times I \times A = (0.43) \times (5.2 \text{ in./hr.}) \times (1.61 \text{ Ac}) = 3.60 \text{ cfs.} \\
Q_{XDA-3} &= C \times I \times A = (0.43) \times (6.4 \text{ in./hr.}) \times (0.92 \text{ Ac}) = 2.53 \text{ cfs.} \\
Q_{XDA-4} &= C \times I \times A = (0.43) \times (5.4 \text{ in./hr.}) \times (2.84 \text{ Ac}) = 6.59 \text{ cfs.}
\end{align*}
\]
Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Area (acres)</th>
<th>Flow (cfs)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XDA-1</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>10.04</td>
<td><em>Onsite flow to N-W property line</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XDA-2</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td><em>Onsite flow to Haa Highway (Mauka)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XDA-3</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td><em>Offsite flow to Onsite XDA-2</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XDA-4</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td><em>Offsite flow to Onsite XDA-1</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thus, the total amount of drainage in the project site is anticipated to be about **22.76 cfs** in the existing condition.
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APPENDIX E

Drainage Design Calculations

PROPOSED CONDITION, 50-YEAR
Drainage Design Calculations

Project Title: Haiku Fire Station
Location: Haiku, Island of Maui, HI
Item: DRAINAGE FLOW CALCULATIONS (PROPOSED CONDITION)

Prepared By: RA
Date: November 1, 2010
Checked By: DYK

A. PURPOSE

Determine the quantity of surface runoff flow in cubic feet per second of the drainage area from the Haiku Fire Station project area. The 50-year, 1-hour storm was used to estimate the design flow for detention pond sizing.

B. CRITERIA

Peak discharges shall be found using the Rational Method: \( Q = C \times I \times A \).

C. CALCULATIONS

Surface runoff for the area of interest:

\[ Q = C \times I \times A, \]

where, \( Q \) = flow rate in cubic feet per second (cfs);
\( C \) = runoff coefficient;
\( I \) = rainfall intensity in inches per hour (in./hr) for a duration equal to the time of concentration (Tc); and
\( A \) = drainage area in acres (Ac).
Runoff Coefficient

Table 1 — Guide for the Determination of Runoff Coefficients for Built-Up Areas (Reference 1) — the runoff coefficient is estimated as being:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infiltration (High)</th>
<th>0.00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relief (Rolling)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetal Cover (Good)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dev. Type (Residential)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
\begin{align*}
C &= 0.46 \\
(C_{NDA,1}) &= 0.46 \\
(C_{NDA,2}) &= 0.46 \\
(C_{NDA,3}) &= 0.46 \\
(C_{NDA,4}) &= 0.46 \\
(C_{NDA,5}) &= 0.46 \\
(C_{NDA,6}) &= 0.46 \\
(C_{NDA,7}) &= 0.46 \\
(C_{NDA,8}) &= 0.46
\end{align*}
\]

Rainfall Intensity

Drainage length (L)

\[
\begin{align*}
(L_{NDA,1}) &\approx 152', \text{ Slope (S)} = (529.0' - 504.8') \times (152') \times 100% \approx 15.9%. \\
(L_{NDA,2}) &\approx 367', \text{ Slope (S)} = (553.1' - 522.5') \times (367') \times 100% \approx 8.3%. \\
(L_{NDA,3}) &\approx 227', \text{ Slope (S)} = (554.9' - 525.4') \times (227') \times 100% \approx 13.0%. \\
(L_{NDA,4}) &\approx 156', \text{ Slope (S)} = (527.5' - 525.0') \times (156') \times 100% \approx 1.6%. \\
(L_{NDA,5}) &\approx 50', \text{ Roof, Slope (S)} \approx 33%. \\
(L_{NDA,6}) &\approx 251', \text{ Slope (S)} = (579.5' - 551.3') \times (251') \times 100% \approx 11.2%. \\
(L_{NDA,7}) &\approx 283', \text{ Slope (S)} = (581.5' - 554.9') \times (283') \times 100% \approx 9.4%. \\
(L_{NDA,8}) &\approx 630', \text{ Slope (S)} = (581.5' - 525.1') \times (630') \times 100% \approx 9.0%.
\end{align*}
\]
Plate 1 (Reference 1)—*Overland Flow Chart*—yields a time of concentration of:

\[
\begin{align*}
T_{c,NDA,1} &= 10.0 \text{ minutes.} \\
T_{c,NDA,2} &= 16.5 \text{ minutes.} \\
T_{c,NDA,3} &= 12.8 \text{ minutes.} \\
T_{c,NDA,4} &= 14.8 \text{ minutes.} \\
T_{c,NDA,5} &= <3 \text{ minutes.} \\
T_{c,NDA,6} &= 13.5 \text{ minutes.} \\
T_{c,NDA,7} &= 14.0 \text{ minutes.} \\
T_{c,NDA,8} &= 20.5 \text{ minutes.}
\end{align*}
\]

Plate 7 (Reference 1)—*Drainage Master Plan, 50 Year 1 Hour Rainfall*—suggests a 50-year, 1-hour recurrent rainfall intensity of:

\[I_{50-yr, \text{1-hr}} = 3.30 \text{ inches.}\]

For all existing drainage areas.

Plate 2 (reference 1)—*Intensity Duration 1 Hr Rainfall Curves*—indicates a converted, actual, working rainfall intensity for the project site to be used in the Rational Method as being:

\[
\begin{align*}
I_{NDA,1} &= 6.8 \text{ in./hr.} \\
I_{NDA,2} &= 6.0 \text{ in./hr.} \\
I_{NDA,3} &= 6.2 \text{ in./hr.} \\
I_{NDA,4} &= 6.1 \text{ in./hr.} \\
I_{NDA,5} &= 12.0 \text{ in./hr.} \\
I_{NDA,6} &= 6.2 \text{ in./hr.} \\
I_{NDA,7} &= 6.2 \text{ in./hr.} \\
I_{NDA,8} &= 5.8 \text{ in./hr.}
\end{align*}
\]
Area

As calculated through AutoCAD:

\[ A_{NDA-1} = 2.68 \text{ Ac.} \]
\[ A_{NDA-2} = 1.15 \text{ Ac.} \]
\[ A_{NDA-3} = 1.27 \text{ Ac.} \]
\[ A_{NDA-4} = 0.74 \text{ Ac.} \]
\[ A_{NDA-5} = 0.26 \text{ Ac.} \]
\[ A_{NDA-6} = 0.78 \text{ Ac.} \]
\[ A_{NDA-7} = 0.91 \text{ Ac.} \]
\[ A_{NDA-8} = 2.06 \text{ Ac.} \]

Surface Runoff

The product of the aforementioned, determined values yields the anticipated drainage flow that sheet flows into and from the project site:

\[ Q = C \times I \times A \]

\[ Q_{NDA-1} = C \times I \times A = (0.46) \times (6.8 \text{ in./hr}) \times (2.68 \text{ Ac.}) = 8.38 \text{ cfs.} \]
\[ Q_{NDA-2} = C \times I \times A = (0.46) \times (6.0 \text{ in./hr}) \times (1.15 \text{ Ac.}) = 3.17 \text{ cfs.} \]
\[ Q_{NDA-3} = C \times I \times A = (0.46) \times (6.2 \text{ in./hr}) \times (1.27 \text{ Ac.}) = 3.62 \text{ cfs.} \]
\[ Q_{NDA-4} = C \times I \times A = (0.46) \times (6.1 \text{ in./hr}) \times (0.74 \text{ Ac.}) = 2.08 \text{ cfs.} \]
\[ Q_{NDA-5} = C \times I \times A = (0.46) \times (12.0 \text{ in./hr}) \times (0.26 \text{ Ac.}) = 1.44 \text{ cfs.} \]
\[ Q_{NDA-6} = C \times I \times A = (0.46) \times (6.2 \text{ in./hr}) \times (0.78 \text{ Ac.}) = 2.22 \text{ cfs.} \]
\[ Q_{NDA-7} = C \times I \times A = (0.46) \times (6.2 \text{ in./hr}) \times (0.91 \text{ Ac.}) = 2.60 \text{ cfs.} \]
\[ Q_{NDA-8} = C \times I \times A = (0.46) \times (5.8 \text{ in./hr}) \times (2.06 \text{ Ac.}) = 5.50 \text{ cfs.} \]
## Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Area (acres)</th>
<th>Flow (cfs)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NDA-1</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>8.38</td>
<td>Onsite flow to N-W property line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDA-2</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>Onsite flow piped to Detention Basin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDA-3</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>Onsite flow piped to Detention Basin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDA-4</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>Onsite sheet flow to Detention Basin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDA-5</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>Roof to Catchment System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDA-6</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>Offsite flow to Onsite NDA-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDA-7</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>Offsite flow to Onsite NDA-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDA-8</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>Offsite flow to NDA-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thus, the total amount of drainage in the project site is anticipated to be about **29.01 cfs** in the proposed condition.
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APPENDIX F
Drainage Design Calculations
DETENTION POND SIZING
Required Storage Calculations for Storm Water Detention

Project Title: ai irication  Prepared By: Date: o e er 200
Location: ai I an o a i l Checked By: Date: I L L I
Item: to e ti ate t e e i n raina e o.

A. PURPOSE
eter ine t e e ire tore o e ei incic cet ort e tor a ter etention te in te ai ire
tation reject. In accor ance it o nt o a i raina e tan ar te 0 ear o r tor a e
to e ti ate t e e i n raina e o.

B. CRITERIA
eo i e rationa et o i ti e ineti atin tei e o t e tor a ter etention te. n
eti ate o t e e ini re ire tore ei ea re a te i erence et een te area n e r t e irect
r no ro ra ( ) ort e 0 ear o r tor at t e ro e e e o e con i on at t e i te an
t e e i tin con i on at t e i te. e e r to t e at tac e cac at ion ort e e e c ent o t e e ti ate
ei tin an ro o e ro ra.

C. REFERENCES
1. Title MC-15, Rules for the Design of Storm Drainage Facilities in the County of Maui, Chapter 4
2. I L L L I (EXISTING I L ) o e er 200
3. I L L L I (PROPOSED I L ) o e er 200
4. . . . reen i in o nci LEED for New Construction & Major Renovations, Version 2.2,
ceto er 200.
5. ie an r. arren an Le i ar L. Int ro ction to ro o, i e. er a e
i er Prentice a 2003.

D. CALCULATIONS
 ea a a ener ta eoi a a e it a e o 60 in te ( r ain a) an t e i e to ea
ootetieo concentration. eti ero ea o to te ero r no i ao t e t i e o
concentration.

i e o concentration = . in te
\[ e = \frac{1}{22.6} \text{ (e . 2)} \]
\[ e = \frac{1}{22.6} \times 60 \text{ ec in} \]
\[ e = \frac{60}{22.6} \text{ t} \]

Page 1 of 2
i e o concentration = .2 in te ( e i te )

\[ P_0 = I = 2.0 \times (e \times 3) \]

\[ P_0 = \left( \frac{(otto \times to) \times e i}{0.6} \right) \times 60 \text{ ec in} \]
\[ = \left( \frac{(0.2 \times 60)}{2.0} \right) \times 60 \]
\[ = 6.6 \times t^3 \]

ere ore t e t eoretica ini etention retention tora e o e nee e i cac ate a
ini e ire tora e = P_0 \times 0
= . 6.6 2.
= 23,047.10 ft^3

E. LEED
rea = .6 acre
Per t e L 6, tor ater e i n re ire ent t e ear 2 o r tor rain a ( inc e or t e roject ite) an 2 ear 2 o r tor rain a (inc e or t e roject ite) o e e. In or e r t o e con er ati e t e 2 ear 2 o r tor a e .

VOL = 2 year 24 hour storm rainfall (ft) x area (sq. ft) x runoff coefficient

\[ o e (r e e e o e n t) = 2 \times 6 \times (360) \times 0.3 = 6 \times 2.3 \times c \]
\[ o e (o t e e o e n t) = 2 \times 6 \times (360) \times 0.6 = 232. \times c \]
\[ o e r e ire = 5,368.77 \text{ ft}^3 \]

F. SUMMARY
t e ini tor ater etention te re ire t e o nt o a i to aten at t e 0 ear o r oo i a ro i ate 23,047.10 ft^3 or 0 ear o r tor an L re ire 5,368.77 ft^3 or a
2 ear 2 o r tor , e o nt tan ar are ore trin ent t an t e L re ire ent t ere ore t e o nt re ire ent i c e or c i n o t e etention a in.
APPENDIX I.

Zoning Determination for Proposed Offsite Wind Turbines from County of Maui, Department of Planning, Zoning Administration and Enforcement Division
December 24, 2009

Mr. Glenn Yokotake
Architects Hawaii Limited
1001 Bishop Street, Suite 200
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Dear Mr. Yokotake:

SUBJECT: ZONING DETERMINATION FOR WIND GENERATION FACILITIES WITHIN THE AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT FOR THE PROPOSED HAIKU FIRE STATION LOCATED AT 3550 HANA HIGHWAY, HAIKU, MAUI, HAWAII; TMK: (2) 2-7-007:008 (RFS NO. 09-0003654)

This is in response to your letter dated October 23, 2009.

The proposed wind generation facilities are to be located within the State’s and County’s Agricultural District and therefore subject to Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS), Chapter 205 and Maui County Code (MCC), Chapter 19.30A. Based on the representations provided, it is our determination that the subject facilities’ use and impact are in accordance with HRS 205 and MCC, §§19.30A.050 and therefore shall be permitted as a “minor utility facility”.

Should you have any questions or concerns, you may contact Trisha Kapua’ala, Staff Planner, at Trisha.Kapuaala@mauicounty.gov or 270-8008.

Sincerely,

AARON SHINMOTO
Planning Program Administrator

xc: Mark Roy, Munekiyo & Hiraga (via e-mail...mark@mhinconline.com)
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