October 17, 2011

Mr. Gary Hooser, Director  
Office of Environmental Quality Control  
235 South Beretania Street, Suite 702  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813  

Dear Mr. Hooser:

SUBJECT: Draft Environmental Assessment for the Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project at TMK: (2) 3-8-037:028 Wailuku, Maui, Hawaii

The County of Maui's Department of Housing and Human Concerns has reviewed the Draft Environmental Assessment for the subject project and anticipates a Finding of No Significant Impact. Please publish notice in the next available OEQC Environmental Notice. The tentative date of distribution is Friday, November 4, 2011.

We have enclosed a completed OEQC Publication Form, one (1) copy of the document in pdf format on a CD, and one (1) hardcopy of the Draft EA. Please contact me at (808) 270-7805 or via e-mail at joann.ridao@mauicounty.gov if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

JO-ANN T. RIDAO  
Director of Housing and Human Concerns

Enclosures

cc: Mr. Chris Hart  
    Mr. David Billings
Project Name: Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project

Publication Form
The Environmental Notice
Office of Environmental Quality Control

Instructions: Please submit one hardcopy of the document along with a determination letter from the agency. On a compact disk, put an electronic copy of this publication form in MS Word and a PDF of the EA or EIS. Please make sure that your PDF documents are ADA compliant. Mahalo.

Applicable Law: HRS Chapter 343
Type of Document: Draft Environmental Assessment
Island: Maui
District: Wailuku
TMK: (2) 3-8-037:028
Permits Required: Building, Grading, Electrical, Plumbing, NPDES, Noise Permit
Applicant or
Proposing Agency: Department of Housing & Human Concerns, County of Maui
Address 2200 Main St. One Main Plaza Bldg., Ste 546, Wailuku, Hawaii 96793
Contact & Phone Ms. Jo-Ann T. Ridao, Director (808) 270-7805

Approving Agency/ Accepting Authority: Imi Ikena Housing Partners, LLC
Address 990 Highland Drive, Suite 110J, Solana Beach, CA 92075
Contact & Phone Mr. David Billings (760) 579-3191
Consultant: Chris Hart & Partners, Inc.
Address 115 North Market Street, Wailuku, Hawaii 96793
Contact & Phone Mr. Chris Hart, (808) 270-1955

Project Summary: Summary of the direct, indirect, secondary, and cumulative impacts of the proposed action (less than 200 words). Please keep the summary brief and on this one page.

The applicant proposes to develop a 28-unit, four-story affordable apartment complex on a vacant urban lot within Wailuku. All units will be targeted at households earning thirty (30) to sixty (60) percent of the area median income as established by HUD with rents restricted in perpetuity. The Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project is intended to provide workforce housing in character with the existing urban development in the Sand Hills area. The apartment complex will be accessed by three driveways, two off of Pio Place and one off of Imi Place. Apartment units will range from two to three bedrooms and will range in size from 981 square feet to 1,133 square feet.

There are no long-term impacts associated with the proposed project. Short-term impacts are associated with construction-related activities, and include noise and air impacts from construction vehicles.

Please correct and Resubmit to
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1. LANDOWNERSHIP DOCUMENTATION
PRELIMINARY REPORT
(No Liability Hereunder)

This report (and any revisions thereto) is issued solely for the convenience of the titleholder, the titleholder's agent, counsel, purchaser or mortgagee, or the person ordering it for the purpose of facilitating the issuance of a policy of title insurance by Title Guaranty of Hawaii and no liability will arise under this report.

---------------------------------------------

SCHEDULE A

Title Guaranty of Hawaii, Incorporated, hereby reports that, subject to those matters set forth in Schedule "B" hereof, the title to the estate or interest to the land described in Schedule "C" hereof is vested in:

SAND HILL PROPERTIES, LLC,
a California limited liability company,
as Fee Owner

This report is dated as of January 22, 2010 at 8:00 a.m.

Inquiries concerning this report should be directed to
LISA NAGATA.
Email lnagata@tghawaii.com.
Fax (808) 521-0287.
Telephone (808) 533-5821.
Refer to Order No. 200841224.

Inquiries concerning Escrow should be directed to
BARBARA PAULO.
MAIN OFFICE
Email bpaulo@tghawaii.com.
Fax (808) 521-0280.
Telephone (808) 521-0209.
Escrow No. A81012947
SCHEDULE B
EXCEPTIONS

1. Real Property Taxes, if any, that may be due and owing.
   Tax Key: (2) 3-8-037-028   Area Assessed: 32,953 sq. ft.

2. Reservation in favor of the State of Hawaii of all mineral and metallic mines.

3. SETBACK (15 feet wide)
   PURPOSE : building
   SHOWN : on File Plan No. 709

4. GRANT
   TO : MAUI ELECTRIC COMPANY, LIMITED and GTE HAWAIIAN TELEPHONE COMPANY INCORPORATED, now known as HAWAIIAN TELCOM, INC.
   DATED : December 4, 1961
   RECORDED : Liber 4181   Page 276
   GRANTING : an easement for utility purposes

5. The terms and provisions contained in the following:
   INSTRUMENT : DEED
   DATED : June 28, 1971
   RECORDED : Liber 7658   Page 233

6. The terms and provisions contained in the following:
   INSTRUMENT : DEED
   DATED : July 22, 1971
   RECORDED : Liber 7768   Page 141
7. MORTGAGE, SECURITY AGREEMENT AND FINANCING STATEMENT (FIXTURE FILING)

MORTGAGOR : SAND HILL PROPERTIES LLC, a California limited liability company

MORTGAGEEE : ANGELICA FOUNDATION, a California not for profit corporation

DATED : April 22, 2005
RECORDED : Document No. 2005-086975
AMOUNT : $1,100,000.00

8. Encroachments or any other matters as shown on survey map prepared by Randall Sherman, Land Surveyor, with Valley Isle Surveyors, Inc., dated April 20, 2005.

9. Encroachments or any other matters which a survey prepared after April 20, 2005 would disclose.

10. Any unrecorded leases and matters arising from or affecting the same.

11. Any lien (or claim of lien) for services, labor or material arising from an improvement or work related to the land described in Schedule C herein.

END OF SCHEDULE B
SCHEDULE C

All of that certain parcel of land (being portion(s) of the land(s) described in and covered by Royal Patent Grant 1996, Land Commission Award 420 to Kuihelani) situate, lying and being on the southerly side of Pio Place (Lot 114) approximately 250 feet from its junction with Nana Street at Owa, Wailuku, Island and County of Maui, State of Hawaii, being LOT 97-A of the consolidation of Lots 97, 101 & 102 of the Puuone Tract - Unit 2 (File Plan 709), and thus bounded and described as per survey dated March 28, 2005, to-wit:

Beginning at a 1/2 inch pipe (found) the northwesterly corner of this parcel of land, being also a northerly corner of TMK: (2) 3-8-037-035 and being also a point on the easterly side of Pio Place right-of-way (44 feet wide), the coordinates of said point of beginning referred to Government Survey Triangulation Station "LUKE" being 7,152.40 feet north and 2,989.98 feet east and running by azimuths measured clockwise from true South; thence,

1. 218° 46' 97.58 feet along Pio Place right-of-way (44 feet wide) to a 1/2 inch pipe (found); thence,

2. Following along the same along a curve to the right with a radius of 478.00 feet, the chord azimuth and distance being:

   226° 55' 52" 135.76 feet to a 3/4 inch pipe (found); thence,

3. 328° 00' 109.39 feet along Lot 100 of the Puuone Tract - Unit 2 (File Plan 709) to a nail (found on top of wall); thence,

4. 56° 46' 88.33 feet along Lot 98 of the Puuone Tract - Unit 2 (File Plan 709) to a 3/4 inch pipe (found); thence,

5. 38° 46' 30.00 feet along the same to a 3/4 inch pipe (found); thence,
SCHEDULE C CONTINUED

6. 311° 17' 90.67 feet along the same to a 1/2 inch pipe (set); thence,

7. Following along Imi Place right-of-way (44 feet wide) along a curve to the left with a radius of 35.00 feet, the chord azimuth and distance being:

   5° 36' 50" 40.00 feet to a 1/2 inch pipe (found); thence,

8. 45° 41' 104.12 feet along Lot 96 of the Puuone Tract - Unit 2 (File Plan 709) to a 1/2 inch pipe (found); thence,

9. 159° 17' 115.97 feet along TMK: (2) 3-8-037-035 to a 1/2 inch pipe (found); thence,

10. 128° 47' 95.28 feet along the same to the point of beginning and containing an area of 32,955 square feet, more or less.

BEING THE PREMISES ACQUIRED BY WARRANTY DEED

GRANTOR : ELLEAIR HAWAII, INC., a Hawaii corporation

GRANTEE : SAND HILL PROPERTIES, LLC, a California limited liability company

DATED : April 25, 2005
RECORDED : Document No. 2005-086974

END OF SCHEDULE C
GENERAL NOTES

1. There is hereby omitted from any covenants, conditions and reservations contained herein any covenant or restriction based on race, color, religion, sex, sexual orientation, familial status, marital status, disability, handicap, national origin, ancestry, or source of income, as set forth in applicable state or federal laws, except to the extent that said covenant or restriction is permitted by applicable law. Lawful restrictions under state or federal law on the age of occupants in senior housing or housing for older persons shall not be construed as restrictions based on familial status.

BUYER(S) LIEN INFORMATION

1. Title Guaranty of Hawaii, Incorporated, finds no liens docketed against DBR DEVELOPMENT LLC, the proposed purchaser(s).

2. There is no evidence of DBR DEVELOPMENT LLC having been formed or registered with the Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs of the State of Hawaii.

We will require evidence of formation or registration prior to the issuance of a title insurance policy.
GUIDELINES FOR THE ISSUANCE OF INSURANCE

A. Taxes shown in Schedule B are as of the date such information is available from the taxing authority. Evidence of payment of all taxes and assessments subsequent to such date must be provided prior to recordation.

B. Evidence of authority regarding the execution of all documents pertaining to the transaction is required prior to recordation. This includes corporate resolutions, copies of partnership agreements, powers of attorney and trust instruments.

C. If an entity (corporation, partnership, limited liability company, etc.) is not registered in Hawaii, evidence of its formation and existence under the laws where such entity is formed must be presented prior to recordation.

D. If the transaction involves a construction loan, the following is required:

   (1) a letter confirming that there is no construction prior to recordation; or

   (2) if there is such construction, appropriate indemnity agreements, financial statements and other relevant information from the owner, developer, general contractor and major sub-contractors must be submitted to the Title Company for approval at least one week prior to the anticipated date of recordation.

Forms are available upon request from Title Guaranty of Hawaii.

E. Chapter 669, Hawaii Revised Statutes, sets forth acceptable tolerances for discrepancies in structures or improvements relative to private property boundaries for various classes of real property. If your survey map shows a position discrepancy that falls within the tolerances of Chapter 669, call your title officer as affirmative coverage may be available to insured lenders.

F. The right is reserved to make additional exceptions and/or requirements upon examination of all documents submitted in connection with this transaction.

G. If a policy of title insurance is issued, it will exclude from coverage all matters set forth in Schedule B of this report and in the printed Exclusions from Coverage contained in an ALTA policy or in the Hawaii Standard Owner's Policy, as applicable. Different forms may have different exclusions and should be reviewed. Copies of the policy forms are available upon request from Title Guaranty of Hawaii or on our website at www.tghawaii.com.
STATEMENT OF ASSESSED VALUES AND REAL PROPERTY TAXES DUE

NAME OF OWNER: SAND HILL PROPERTIES, LLC

LEASED TO:

TAX MAP KEY

DIVISION ZONE SECTION PLAT PARCEL HPR NO.
(2) 3 8 037 028 0000

CLASS: 2  AREA ASSESSED: 32,953 SF

ASSESSED VALUES FOR CURRENT YEAR TAXES: 2009

The records of this division show the assessed values and taxes on the property designated by Tax Key shown above are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building</th>
<th>Exemption</th>
<th>Net Value</th>
<th>Land</th>
<th>Exemption</th>
<th>Net Value</th>
<th>Total Net Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,214,300</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,214,300</td>
<td>$1,214,300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Installment (1 - due 8/20; 2 - due 2/20) Tax Info As Of - 9/30/2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Tax Installment</th>
<th>Tax</th>
<th>Penalty</th>
<th>Interest</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009 2</td>
<td>2,762.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,762.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009 1</td>
<td>2,762.54</td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,818.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008 2</td>
<td>3,092.41</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,092.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008 1</td>
<td>3,092.41</td>
<td>309.24</td>
<td>68.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,469.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Amount Due: 3,044.31

Penalty and Interest Computed to: 9/30/2009
2. LETTER OF AUTHORIZATION
October 5, 2011

Jo-Ann T. Ridao, Director  
Department of Housing & Human Concerns  
County of Maui  
2200 Main Plaza Bldg., Ste 546  
Wailuku, HI 96793

SUBJECT: Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project  
Wailuku, Maui, Hawaii  
TMK (2) 3-8-037:028

Dear Ms. Ridao,

Imi Ikena Housing Partners, LLC, the owner of the above-referenced property, authorizes Chris Hart & Partners, Inc., to prepare, file, process, and obtain all necessary permits and approvals, including but not limited to, a Draft Environmental Assessment (EA) and Final EA, for the proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project.

Very truly yours,

Imi Ikena Housing Partners, LLC

[Signature]

Name  
Title

cc: Chris Hart & Partners, Inc.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this

[Signature]

Notary Public, State of California

My commission expires: 1/16/15
3. LIST OF OWNERS/LESSEES OF PARCELS WITHIN 500 FT. OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TMK</th>
<th>CPR</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>C/O</th>
<th>Street</th>
<th>City/Zip</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>234025002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>KIM, KUK JIN</td>
<td></td>
<td>1004-A LOWER MAIN ST</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>234025017</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>TOM, ADRIAN WAH TONG TRS</td>
<td></td>
<td>P O BOX 1121</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>234025020</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>MAUI FARMERS COOP EXC</td>
<td></td>
<td>970 B LOWER MAIN ST</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793 0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>234025028</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>ANTON, ESTRELITA CORPUZ</td>
<td>C/O PALOMA, EFELIA CORPUZ ET AL</td>
<td>45 HOAUNA ST</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>234025029</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>KAYA, RUTH T. TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>978 LEKEONA LP</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>234025047</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>MAUI SODA &amp; ICE WORKS LTD</td>
<td></td>
<td>791 HOALOHA PL</td>
<td>KAHLULUI HI 96732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>234025052</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>YAMADA, REGINA &amp; CLARA K</td>
<td></td>
<td>PO BOX 1170</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793 0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>234026000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>HHH HUI</td>
<td></td>
<td>955 LEKEONA LP</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>234026008</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>ANTON, ESTRELITA CORPUZ</td>
<td>C/O PALOMA, EFELIA CORPUZ ET AL</td>
<td>PO BOX 424</td>
<td>KAHLULUI HI 96733 6924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>234026009</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>GLAD NO KA OI LLC</td>
<td></td>
<td>420 POLULANI DR</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793 0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>234026010</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>KODAMA HUI</td>
<td></td>
<td>890 LOWER MAIN ST</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793 0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>234026011</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>I L W U MEMORIAL ASSN</td>
<td></td>
<td>451 ATKINSON DR</td>
<td>HONOLULU HI 96814 0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238036011</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>MIRZA, JUDITH D TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>453 LIHI ST</td>
<td>KAHLULUI HI 96732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238036012</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>SHIMABUKURO, VELMA TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>450 LIHI ST</td>
<td>KAHLULUI HI 96732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238036013</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>TAGGESELL ROBERT &amp; PAULINE</td>
<td></td>
<td>PO BOX 2964</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793 7964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238036014</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>KIBE, RICHARD M TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>544 LIHOLIHO ST</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238036015</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>IGARTA, BERNARD B ETAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>PO BOX 252</td>
<td>KAHLULUI HI 96732 0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238036016</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>CHING, STANLEY &amp; BERTHA TR</td>
<td></td>
<td>44 KANAWAI ST</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238036017</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>ARAKAWA, PATRICK J TRUST</td>
<td></td>
<td>1684 NANA ST</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793 0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238036018</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>BROWN, MACRENE C</td>
<td></td>
<td>2218 MOKUHAU RD</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793 0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238036019</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>FUKUGAWA, YOSUKE/MARY</td>
<td></td>
<td>P O BOX 806</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793 0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238036020</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>566 IMI DR</td>
<td></td>
<td>558 IMI DR</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238036021</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>548 IMI DRIVE</td>
<td></td>
<td>1698 NANA STREET</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793 0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238036022</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>541 IMI DR</td>
<td></td>
<td>549 IMI DR</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238036023</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>557 IMI DR</td>
<td></td>
<td>557 IMI DR</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793 0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238036024</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>565 IMI DR</td>
<td></td>
<td>565 IMI DR</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238036025</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>573 IMI DR</td>
<td></td>
<td>573 IMI DR</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793 0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238036026</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24 KAIKAI ST</td>
<td></td>
<td>564 PIO DR</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793 0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238036027</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>556 PIO DRIVE</td>
<td></td>
<td>556 PIO DRIVE</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793 0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238036028</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>548 PIO DRIVE</td>
<td></td>
<td>1742 NANA ST</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238036029</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>539 PIO DR</td>
<td></td>
<td>539 PIO DR</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793 0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238036030</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>547 PIO DR</td>
<td></td>
<td>P O BOX 288</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238036031</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>557 PIO DR</td>
<td></td>
<td>557 PIO DR</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793 0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Address Details</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>ZIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SHIMABUKURO, FUKUSEI</td>
<td>480 PIO DR #303 WAILUKU HI 96793 0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>NUESCA, RANA LEIMOMI</td>
<td>409 PUOLO PL KAHULUI HI 96732</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>STUPPLEBEEN, EROY T</td>
<td>P O BOX 1467 WAILUKU HI 96793 0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>TOKUDA, KENNETH K TRUST</td>
<td>1603 MONTE STREET HONOLULU HI 96819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>YAMABE, TSUNEYO C/O COLLEEN TOKUHISA PESTANA</td>
<td>6854 CEDAR BLVD NEWARK CA 94560</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>VORA, ARUNA INTERIVOS TRUST</td>
<td>PO BOX 791686 PAU HI 96779</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>CHUN, ALBERT S C</td>
<td>521 ULULANI ST KAILUA HI 96734 0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>OASHI, LARRY M TRUST</td>
<td>480 PIO DR APT 106 WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>CERVANTES, JUAN CARLOS</td>
<td>28 PALEKAIKO PL WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>CECILIA DAVIS FAMILY PARTNERSHIP</td>
<td>22 MOOLELO PL MAKAWAO HI 96768</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>KALEIOPU, JULIAN K</td>
<td>180 HALE ST LAHAINA HI 96761 0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>MCGRATH PROPERTIES II LLC</td>
<td>SUZANNE MCGRATH-KITAMURA P O BOX 681 WAIMEA HI 96796</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>VIRGENIZA, FLORENTINO P</td>
<td>480 PIO DR 108 WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>EDQUIBAN CONSUELO</td>
<td>480 PIO DR APT 208 WAILUKU HI 96793 0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>MURAOKA, GUY T &amp; EUNICE T TR</td>
<td>PO BOX 330118 KAHULUI HI 96733 0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>TERUYA, JANICE KAYOKO</td>
<td>500 ALA KAWA ST #100 HONOLULU HI 96817 0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>BAKER, WILLIAM</td>
<td>480 PIO DR #209 WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>KUSHIYAMA, MELVIN S &amp; GAIL M TR</td>
<td>5 LAUREL HILL COURT GETTYSBURG PA 17325</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>NOVIKOFF, ALEXANDER KAPALA C</td>
<td>PO BOX 573 HANA HI 96713</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>KYHN, JERRY LEE</td>
<td>890 Alua St # 5 WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>CABLAY, YVONNE R</td>
<td>3647 ALIAMANU ST HONOLULU HI 96818</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>VIRGENIZA, FLORENTINO P</td>
<td>480 PIO DR 108 WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>EDQUIBAN CONSUELO</td>
<td>480 PIO DR APT 208 WAILUKU HI 96793 0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>MURAOKA, GUY T &amp; EUNICE T TR</td>
<td>PO BOX 330118 KAHULUI HI 96733 0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>TERUYA, JANICE KAYOKO</td>
<td>500 ALA KAWA ST #100 HONOLULU HI 96817 0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>BAKER, WILLIAM</td>
<td>480 PIO DR #209 WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>KUSHIYAMA, MELVIN S &amp; GAIL M TR</td>
<td>5 LAUREL HILL COURT GETTYSBURG PA 17325</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>NOVIKOFF, ALEXANDER KAPALA C</td>
<td>PO BOX 573 HANA HI 96713</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>KYHN, JERRY LEE</td>
<td>890 Alua St # 5 WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>CAIN, VAN</td>
<td>285 S. MARKET ST WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>ROMENA, AGNES PATRICIA</td>
<td>C/O APRIL PARKER WAILUKU HI 96793 0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>ROMENA, GEORGE GREGORIO</td>
<td>385 WAILUKU HI 96793 0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>ACIDERA, HARRY R</td>
<td>911 KUALOA PL WAILUKU HI 96793 0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>MITSUI, DONALD K</td>
<td>P O BOX 53 LANAI CITY HI 96763 0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>NGUYEN, QUYNH N</td>
<td>622 SUNSET HILL DR ROCKWALL TX 75087</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>DAVID, MICHAEL J</td>
<td>12:316 MAPUANA AVE PAHOA HI 96778 7843</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>AOAO PUUONE TERRACE</td>
<td>P O BOX 41 WAILUKU HI 96793 0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>SAIKI, BEVERLY SETSUKO</td>
<td>C/O 25 EAST KUULA ST KAHULUI HI 96732</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>MUKAI, KATHI M REV LIVING TRUST</td>
<td>477 PALANI PL WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>ZOFI, TAMARA</td>
<td>P O BOX 6247 KAHULUI HI 96733</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>KAMAI, WILLIAM A</td>
<td>330 HOOKAHI ST WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>EVANS, ROBERT H</td>
<td>1787 WILI PA LP STE 9 WAILUKU HI 96793 1271</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>MANI, SAID</td>
<td>PO BOX 714 KIHEI HI 96753</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>LEOGITE, JEFFREY C/O MR JEFFREY LEOGITE C/O SAKI, CHAD</td>
<td>331 HOOKAHI ST #105 WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>SIY, JAIME</td>
<td>P O BOX 1621 WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>SIY, JAIME</td>
<td>493 PIO DR #209 WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>Zip Code</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1177 QUEEN ST APT 2107</td>
<td>HONOLULU</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>96814</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1063 L MAIN ST APT 307</td>
<td>WAILUKU</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1063 L MAIN ST APT 308</td>
<td>WAILUKU</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1063 L MAIN ST APT 309</td>
<td>WAILUKU</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6411 COUNTRY CLUB DR</td>
<td>GREENVILLE</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>45331</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1063 LOWER MAIN ST APT</td>
<td>WAILUKU</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1063 LOWER MAIN ST APT</td>
<td>WAILUKU</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220 S MOKAPU ST</td>
<td>KAHULUI</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>96732</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO BOX 7</td>
<td>KAHULUI</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>96732</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1063 LOWER MAIN ST APT</td>
<td>WAILUKU</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1527 MONA LP</td>
<td>HILO</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>96720</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1202 S WASHINGTON ST AP</td>
<td>ALEXANDRIA</td>
<td>VA</td>
<td>22314</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1630 KEWALO ST APT</td>
<td>HONOLULU</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>96822</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1063 L MAIN ST APT #164</td>
<td>WAILUKU</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>253 HOLUA DR</td>
<td>KAHULUI</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>96732</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1063 LOWER MAIN ST APT #411</td>
<td>WAILUKU</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>215 W HAWAII ST</td>
<td>KAHULUI</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>96732</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 BRAGDON DR</td>
<td>ROCHESTER</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>14618</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1063 LOWER MAIN ST APT #502</td>
<td>WAILUKU</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1063 LOWER MAIN ST APT #503</td>
<td>WAILUKU</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1063 LOWER MAIN ST APT</td>
<td>WAILUKU</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1063 LOWER MAIN ST APT</td>
<td>WAILUKU</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1063 LOWER MAIN ST APT</td>
<td>WAILUKU</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1063 LOWER MAIN ST APT</td>
<td>WAILUKU</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981 KALAWI DR</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793 0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P O BOX 1995</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1063 L MAIN ST #510</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793 0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1063 E LOWER MAIN ST #51</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>606 KAILANA ST</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO BOX 433</td>
<td>KAHULUI HI 96733</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1063 L MAIN ST #505</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1063 L MAIN ST #516</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1063 L MAIN ST #517</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2609 WEST MAIN ST</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793 0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1550 POINT FOSDICK DR, N GIG HARBOR WA 98335</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2475 VINEYARD ST</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793 0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1063 LOWER MAIN ST APT 6</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793 0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO BOX 4028</td>
<td>KAHULUI HI 96733 8428</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>871 HAUNANI PL</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2201 SONBRIA</td>
<td>TUSTIN CA 92680 0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P O BOX 741</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793 0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>440 PUALANI ST</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>535 KUNU PL</td>
<td>KAHULUI HI 96732</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-2794 NEHU RD</td>
<td>PAHOA HI 96778 0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1618 UA DR</td>
<td>HONOLULU HI 96816 2825</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1063 L MAIN ST APT 612</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1063 E MAIN ST #613</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1063 LOWER MAIN ST APT 6</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1063 L MAIN ST #615</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128 W KANE ST</td>
<td>KAHULUI HI 96732 0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1840 WYSONG CT</td>
<td>RALEIGH NC 27612</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1129 L MAIN ST APT 407</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P O BOX 7</td>
<td>KAHULUI HI 96732 0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>829 AUKAI PLACE</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793 0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2609 MAIN ST</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793 0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1063 LOWER MAIN ST C221</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1063 E LOWER MAIN ST C224</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793 0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1063 E MAIN ST STE C225</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1063 LOWER MAIN ST C2-22</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793 0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P O BOX 7</td>
<td>KAHULUI HI 96732 0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2814 KALIALANI CIRCLE</td>
<td>MAKAWAO HI 96768 8454</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>451 LIHOLIHO ST</td>
<td>WAILUKU HI 96793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>379 MIKOHU LP</td>
<td>KAHULUI HI 96732 0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>Zip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238072024</td>
<td>SUMERA, EILEEN K TRS</td>
<td>385 MIKOHU LP</td>
<td>KAHULUI HI 96732 0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238072025</td>
<td>PERRY, THOMAS M/WENDY L</td>
<td>393 MIKOHU LP</td>
<td>KAHULUI HI 96732 0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238072026</td>
<td>TORRECER-JONES, BERNADETTE</td>
<td>399 MIKOHU LP</td>
<td>KAHULUI HI 96732 0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238072027</td>
<td>HIGGINS, PAULINE TORRECER</td>
<td>403 MIKOHU LP</td>
<td>KAHULUI HI 96732 0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

**Project Name:** Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project  

**Type of Document:** Draft Environmental Assessment  

**Applicable Chapter 343 Review “Trigger”:** Use of State or County funds  

**Approving Agency:** County of Maui Department of Housing and Human Concerns  

**Agency Determination:** FONSI  

**Applicant:** Imi Ikena Housing Partners, LLC  
Contact: Mr. David Billings (760.579.3191)  

**Consultant:** Chris Hart & Partners, Inc.  
Contact: Mr. Chris Hart (808.242.1955)  

**Property:** Wailuku, Maui  
TMK (2) 3-8-037:028 (32,953 SF)  

**Land Use Controls:** State Land Use: Urban (U)  
Community Plan: Multi Family (MF)  
County Zoning: Apartment (A-2)  

**Project Summary:** The applicant is proposing the development of a 28 unit affordable rental housing project.  

**Anticipated Impacts:** There are no long-term impacts associated with the proposed project. Short-term impacts are associated with construction related activities, and include noise and air impacts from construction vehicles.
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I. **PROJECT OVERVIEW**

**Property Location**

The subject property is located at 511 Imi Place, Wailuku, Maui. The property is located in the Sand Hills area, north of Keopulani Park and off of Liholiho Street. **See: Figure 1, Regional Location Map.** The property is further identified by Tax Map Key (2) 3-8-037:028 (32,955 SF). **See: Figure 2, Tax Map.** The subject property is situated within an existing urbanized residential area. **See: Figure 3, Aerial Map.**

**Existing Land Use**

The subject parcel is currently undeveloped and is covered with various trees, shrubs and grasses. The property generally slopes down in the west to east direction with the elevations on the site ranging from 245 feet at the mauka boundary to 115 feet at the makai boundary, averaging about 13.5%. **See: Figure 4, Site Photographs.**

**Land Ownership and Project Applicant**

The subject property is owned in fee simple by Imi Ikena Housing Partners, LLC. The land owner is the applicant for the project.

**Proposed Action**

The applicant proposes to develop a 28-unit affordable apartment complex on a vacant urban lot within Wailuku. **See: Figure 4, Site Plan.** All units will be targeted at households earning thirty (30) to sixty (60) percent of the area median income as established by HUD with rents restricted in perpetuity.

The Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project is intended to provide workforce housing in character with the existing urban development in the Sand Hills area. The apartment complex will be accessed by three driveways, two off of Pio Place
and one off of Imi Place. Apartment units will range from two to three bedrooms and will range in size from 981 square feet to 1,133 square feet.

The proposed action is estimated to cost approximately $6.8 million. A grant from the County of Maui, Department of Housing and Human Concerns’ Affordable Housing Fund will contribute to financing the project. Development of the Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project is projected to be completed by February 2012 after obtaining all necessary government approvals.

Alternatives

Three (3) alternatives to the Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project were considered. These alternatives are discussed below.

1. **No Action**
   Under the No Action Alternative, the Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project would not be built and the property would remain vacant and undeveloped under this alternative.

2. **Market Priced Apartment Complex**
   The Market Priced Apartment Complex Alternative would see the property developed as an apartment complex rented at market rates. This alternative would contribute to the region’s supply of multi-family housing units; however the rental rates would likely be at levels unaffordable to much of the Island’s workforce and would not address the critical need for affordable housing.

3. **Preferred Action**
   The Preferred Action is the project as described in this Draft EA.

Entitlements and Approvals

1. **Environmental Assessment**
   The proposed project is required to undergo review pursuant to Chapter 343, Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS), for the following reason:
a. **Use of State or County funds**
   The proposed project will be partially funded by a grant from the County of Maui, Department of Housing and Human Concerns’ Affordable Housing Fund.

2. **Grading, Building, Electrical, and Plumbing Permits**
   The proposed project will require a permit for mass grading, as well as building, electrical and plumbing permits from the County of Maui.

3. **National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit**
   A National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit for construction storm water activities will be required from the State of Hawaii Department of Health (DOH).

4. **Community Noise Permit**
   A Community Noise Permit from DOH will be required during the construction phase of the project.
II. **Affected Environment, Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures**

A. **Physical Environment**

1. **Surrounding Land Uses**

*Existing Conditions.* The subject property is situated in a developed residential area and is bordered by Pio Place to the north, the Wailuku Manor condominium to the south, Imi Place and apartment buildings to the east, and the Puuone Hale Alii condominium to the west. The surrounding condos and apartments range from two (2) to four (4) stories. The remainder of the immediate neighborhood is single-family.

*Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures.* The proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project is consistent with adjacent and nearby multi-family residential land uses. Development of the 28-unit apartment complex would be a reasonable infill development and is consistent with the property’s existing entitlements.

2. **Topography and Soils**

*Existing Conditions.* The site of the Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project includes one soil type, as described in the *Soil Survey of the Islands of Kauai, Oahu, Maui, Molokai, and Lanai, State of Hawaii*. **See: Figure 6, Soils Map.** Under the Soil Conservation Service’s Land Capability Grouping, soil types are rated according to eight levels, ranging from the highest classification level, I, to the lowest level, VIII. Lower case letters following the classification level indicate specific subclasses. A brief description of the site’s soil type, along with its Land Capability Grouping rating follows:

*Puuone Sand (PZUE), 7-30 percent slopes.* This series consists of somewhat excessively drained soils on low uplands on the island of Maui. These soils developed in material derived from coral and seashells.
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They are moderately sloping to moderately steep. The surface layer is grayish-brown, calcareous sand about 20 inches thick. This is underlain by grayish-brown, cemented sand. The soil is moderately alkaline in the surface layer. Permeability is rapid above the cemented layer. Runoff is slow, and the hazard of wind erosion is moderate to severe. These soils are used for pasture and homesites.

PZUE soils are rated VIIe, nonirrigated. Class IV soils have very severe limitations that make them unsuited to cultivation and that restrict their use largely to pasture or range, woodland, or wildlife habitat. Subclass VIIe soils are very severely limited by risk of erosion.

*Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures.* No significant impacts on the geology and topography are anticipated as a result of developing the community.

A National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit for Construction Storm Water Activities will be required from the State of Hawaii Department of Health (DOH). During site preparation, storm runoff from the community site will be controlled in compliance with the County’s “Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Standards.” Typical mitigation measures include appropriately stockpiling materials on-site to prevent runoff and building over or establishing landscaping as early as possible on disturbed soils to minimize length of exposure.

Impacts to the soils include the potential for soil erosion and the generation of dust during construction. Clearing and grubbing activities will temporarily disturb the soil retention values of the existing vegetation and expose soils to erosion forces. Some wind erosion of soils could occur without a proper watering and re-vegetation program. Heavy rainfall could also cause erosion of soils within disturbed areas of land.

To the extent possible, improvements will conform to the contours of the land, further limiting the need for extensive grading of the site. In addition, graded areas will be limited to specific areas for short periods of time.
Measures taken to control erosion during the site development period will include:

- Minimizing the time of construction;
- Retaining existing ground cover as long as possible;
- Constructing drainage control features early;
- Using temporary area sprinklers in non-active construction areas when ground cover is removed;
- Providing a water truck on-site during the construction period to provide for immediate sprinkling as needed;
- Using temporary berms and cut-off ditches, where needed, for control of erosion;
- Watering graded areas when construction activity for each day has ceased;
- Grassing or planting all cut and fill slopes immediately after grading work has been completed; and
- Installing silt screens where appropriate.

All construction activities will comply with all applicable Federal, State, and County regulations and rules for erosion control. Before issuance of a grading permit by the County of Maui, the final erosion control plan and best management practices required for the NPDES permit will be completed. All construction activities will also comply with the provisions of Chapter 11-60.1, HAR, Section 11-60.1-33, Fugitive Dust.

After construction, the establishment of permanent landscape planting and irrigation will provide long-term erosion control.

3. **Natural Hazards**

*Existing Conditions.* Natural hazards impacting the Hawaiian Islands include hurricanes, tsunamis, volcanic eruptions, earthquakes, and flooding.

Devastating hurricanes have impacted Hawaii twice since 1980: Hurricane Iwa in 1982 and Hurricane Iniki in 1992. While it is difficult to
predict these natural occurrences, it is reasonable to assume that future events could be likely given the recent record.

Tsunamis are large, rapidly moving ocean waves triggered by a major disturbance of the ocean floor, which is usually caused by an earthquake but sometimes can be produced by a submarine landslide or a volcanic eruption. About 50 tsunamis have been reported in the Hawaiian Islands since the early 1800s. Seven caused major damage, and two of these were locally generated. The project site is outside of the Civil Defense Tsunami Evacuation Zone.

Volcanic hazards in the Wailuku area are considered extremely minimal due to the extinct status of the volcano that formed the West Maui Mountains and the distance of the area from the dormant Haleakala Volcano, which last erupted in 1700 (MacDonald, Abbott, and Peterson 1983).

In Hawaii, most earthquakes are linked to volcanic activity, unlike other areas where a shift in tectonic plates is the cause of an earthquake. Each year, thousands of earthquakes occur in Hawaii, the vast majority of them so small they are detectable only with highly sensitive instruments. However, moderate and disastrous earthquakes have rocked the islands.

The October 15, 2006 earthquake, with a magnitude of 6.7 on the Richter Scale and an offshore epicenter six (6) miles southwest of Puakō on the island of Hawaii, created blackouts and landslides and forced the closure of the road to Hana.

Flood hazards are primarily identified by the Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) prepared by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), National Flood Insurance Program. According to the FIRM, the Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project is located in Zone C, areas of minimal flooding. See: Figure 7, Flood Map.

**Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures.** The Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project will not exacerbate any hazardous conditions. All structures will be constructed for protection from earthquakes and the
destructive winds and torrential rainfall of tropical hurricanes in accordance with the Building Code adopted by the County of Maui.

All work will comply with applicable flood zone standards, such as set forth in Chapter 19.62, “Flood Hazard Areas”, Maui County Code. The proposed project is not anticipated to impact the neighboring properties with regard to flood hazard potential.

4. **Flora and Fauna**

*Existing Conditions.* The project site is extensively disturbed and existing vegetation is minimal and includes primarily non-natives, common wayside plants like bufflegrass and *koa haole*. Onsite fauna include rats, mice, mongoose, francolins, and mynah.

No endangered or threatened species, or candidates for listing as endangered or threatened species, or any critical habitat for any such species exist on the subject property.

*Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures.* There are no significant impacts to flora or fauna from the proposed project. The Imi Ikena apartment complex is not expected to have a significant negative impact on botanical or wildlife resources since no endangered or threatened species, or candidates for listing as endangered or threatened species of concern, or any critical habitat for any such species are known to occur on the site.

5. **Air Quality**

*Existing Conditions.* Air quality refers to the presence or absence of pollutants in the atmosphere. It is the combined result of the natural background and emissions from many pollution sources. The impact of land development activities on air quality in a proposed development’s locale differs by project phase (site preparation, construction, occupancy) and project type. In general, air quality in Kahului is considered relatively good. Non-point source emissions (automobile) are not significant to generate a high concentration of pollutants. The relatively
high quality of air can also be attributed to the region’s exposure to wind, which quickly disperses concentrations of emissions. The Kahului area is currently in compliance with standards established by the Clean Air Act, as well as the State of Hawaii Air Quality Standards.

**Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures.** Air quality impacts attributed to the proposed project could include dust generated by the short-term construction related activities. Site work such as grading and building construction, for example, will generate airborne particulate. Adequate dust control measures that comply with the provisions of Hawaii Administrative Rules, Chapter 11-60.1, “Air Pollution Control,” Section 11-60.1-33, Fugitive Dust, will be implemented during all phases of construction. Some of these measures will include:

- Focusing on minimizing the amount of dust-generating materials and activities, centralizing material transfer points and on-site vehicular routes, and locating potentially dusty equipment in areas of least impact.

- Providing an adequate water source on site prior to start-up of construction activities so that the project site can be regularly sprinkled to keep dust down.

- Onsite dirt piles or other stockpiled particulate matter will be covered, wind breaks installed, and water and/or soil stabilizers employed to reduce wind blown dust emissions.

- Landscaping and rapid covering of bare areas, including slopes, beginning with the initial grading phase.

- Installation of temporary silt screens and a 12-feet high geo-textile dust fence around the perimeter of the project site.

- Controlling of dust from shoulders, project entrances, and access roads.
• Providing adequate dust control during weekends, after hours, and prior to daily start-up of construction activities. Controlling of dust from debris hauled away from project site.

6. Noise Quality

*Existing Conditions.* The dominant noise sources in the vicinity of the Imi Ikena apartment complex site are from traffic on Kahului Beach Road (Highway 340). Other noise sources include vehicular traffic on residential roads in the area, occasional aircraft flyovers, wind, ocean waves, and birds.

*Potential Impacts.* Potential impacts on the ambient quality of the site and surrounding area due to the creation of the Imi Ikena apartment complex are primarily limited to short-term construction activity and, in the long-term, human activity within the apartment complex grounds and increases in ambient traffic.

**Construction Noise.** Creation of the Imi Ikena apartment complex will involve excavation, grading, and construction of new buildings and infrastructure. Earthmoving equipment, such as bulldozers and diesel trucks, will likely be the dominant noise sources during construction. Typical road construction equipment, such as asphalt or concrete paving machines will also be required. Nearby residences may be impacted by construction noise depending on proximity to the site. The actual noise levels produced during construction will be a function of the methods employed during each stage of the construction process. Construction activity will occur during daytime hours. Noise from construction activity will be short-term and will comply with DOH noise regulations.

**Traffic Noise.** Traffic-generated noise impacts on the surrounding community and the Imi Ikena apartment complex are not expected. While vehicular traffic volumes in the area will increase, the increase in noise due to traffic from the project is expected to be insignificant.
Mechanical Noise. The apartment complex will incorporate stationary mechanical equipment typical for residential housing such as air conditioning units.

Human Activity. After the completion of the Imi Ikena apartment complex, the ambient quality of the site will contribute to the typical residential sound patterns already experienced in the area. These include, people talking, children playing, cars entering and exiting the community, and other sounds from human habitation.

Mitigative Measures. All Imi Ikena apartment complex activities will comply with HAR, Chapter 11-46, Community Noise Control.

Construction Noise. Proper mitigative measures will be employed to minimize construction-related noise impacts and comply with all Federal and State noise control regulations. Increased noise activity due to construction will be limited to daytime hours and persist only during the construction period. Noise from construction activities will be short-term and will comply with DOH noise regulations (HAR, Chapter 11-46, Community Noise Control). When construction noise exceeds, or is expected to exceed the DOH’s allowable limits, a permit must be obtained from the DOH.

Specific permit restrictions for construction activities are:

- No permit shall allow any construction activities that emit noise in excess of the maximum permissible sound levels before 7:00 a.m. and after 6:00 p.m. of the same day, Monday through Friday.
- No permit shall allow any construction activities that emit noise in excess of the maximum permissible sound levels before 9:00 a.m. and after 6:00 p.m. on Saturday.
- No permit shall allow any construction activities that would emit noise in excess of the maximum permissible sound levels on Sundays and holidays.

The use of pile drivers, hoe rams, jack hammers 25 pounds or larger, high-pressure sprayers, and chain saws may be restricted to 9:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m., Monday through Friday.
Traffic Noise. The increase in traffic-related noise due to the Imi Ikena apartment complex is not anticipated to be significant and will not be perceptible to most people. Therefore mitigation measures related to increases in traffic noise are not proposed.

Mechanical Noise. The design of the apartment complex will give consideration to controlling noise emanating from any stationary mechanical equipment, such air conditioning, so as to comply with the DOH Community Noise Control rules. Noisy equipment will be located away from neighbors and residential units, as much as is practical.

Human Activity. Noise levels generated by residential uses within the Imi Ikena apartment complex will conform to DOH rules and regulations, which state maximum permissible noise limits at individual property lines.

7. Historical and Archaeological Resources

Existing Conditions. Scientific Consultant Services conducted an archaeological assessment of the Imi Ikena apartment complex site in April 2005. See: Appendix A, Archaeological Assessment. Research was conducted in three stages: 1) research of archaeological and historical literature for background information and to enhance site predictability and interpretation; 2) a surface survey; and 3) subsurface testing.

A total of 14 randomly placed trenches were excavated on the subject site. No cultural remains were encountered during the surface survey or in any of the trenches. However, sand deposits extending to depths greater than two meters are an indicator that cultural deposits may be found on the subject site in the future.

Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures. Although the 14 excavated trenches did not reveal any cultural material, given past discovery of archaeological findings near the project area in conjunction with the depth of sand deposits on site, it is probable that archaeological materials
are present on the project site in subsurface contexts. The sand deposits indicate a high probability that human burials and/or associated historic properties (e.g. trash deposits, hearths) would be encountered.

Archaeological monitoring is recommended by the project archaeologist during initial construction activities to ensure that any subsurface cultural remains or deposits underlying the till zone are properly documented. The archaeological inventory survey and its recommendations have been reviewed and approved by the State Historic Preservation Division. See: Appendix B, SHPD Approval. An archaeological monitoring plan will be submitted to the State Historic Preservation Division and ground-altering activities will not occur until the plan has been reviewed and approved by SHPD.

All construction plans will include the following language as normally recommended by the State Historic Preservation Division:
Should historic remains such as artifacts, burials, concentrations of shell or charcoal be encountered during the construction activities, work shall cease immediately in the immediate vicinity of the find and the find shall be protected from further damage. The contractor shall immediately contact the State Historic Preservation Division at 692-8015 which will assess the significance of the find and recommend an appropriate mitigation measure, if necessary.

8. Cultural Resources

Existing Conditions. A cultural Impact assessment was prepared for the Imi Ikena apartment complex in September 2011 by Jill Engledow. See: Appendix C, Cultural Impact Assessment Report. The assessment included a site inspection, historical research and interviews with people knowledgeable of the area.

The assessment concludes “There appear to be no cultural resources that might be impacted by the building on the site.”

Imi Ikena is located in the ‘ili of ‘Owā, which is in the ahupua’a of Wailuku, within the Wailuku district. The report identified several areas
of cultural importance in the Wailuku area. However based on historical maps and the archaeological finding of no cultural materials, it seems likely that there was little human activity atop the sand dune on which the subject property lies. Pihana, across the Īao Stream on the sandstone ridge to the northwest of the subject property was the site of two important heiau, Halekiʻi and Pihana, remnants of which still exist.

Wailuku was an attractive area for powerful chiefs. With the Īao Stream providing plentiful water, much of what is now the city of Wailuku once was leveled and terraced to produce kalo. Wailuku was also the site of several important battles, including the Battle of Kakanilua and Kepaniwai. The ridge where Halekiʻi and Pihana are located, and possibly the ridge where the subject property is located, could have allowed surveillance of the whole northeast coast, as well as a view south to detect invaders coming from the Kīhei-Māʻalaea area.

Records from the Mahele and the land grants and royal patents show that portions of ‘Owa were given to several different individuals in the second half of the 19th century. According to the Monsarrat map, this section appears to have been given to Kuihelani. Later it became part of the land grant to sugar baron Claus Spreckels. On both sides of the Īao Stream, from the mouth of Īao Valley to the shoreline just below the subject property, dozens of kuleana line the shore. Development of housing in the area around the dune started underway by the 1960s, with the apartment sat the top developed beginning in the 1970s.

**Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures.** Based on the lack of any identified cultural or traditional practices or resources on the property, no cultural impacts are anticipated to result from the proposed project.

No impacts to cultural resources, practices, and beliefs are anticipated as a result of the proposed community. The cultural assessment concludes “There are no native plants suitable for gathering and no shoreline access. It appears that the proposed action does not interfere with any known Hawaiian or non-Hawaiian gathering, practices, protocols or access.” Thus the development will not affect any exercise of Hawaiian customary and traditional rights under Article XII, Section 7 of the Hawaii State Constitution. Although the broader Wailuku area is associated with
important historical events, no significant cultural resources or ongoing
cultural practices are associated with the Imi Ikena site. Imi Ikena will
not substantially affect the economic welfare, social welfare, and cultural
practices of the community or State.

9. Visual Resources

Existing Conditions. The subject property is located in the Sand Hills
area, north of Keopualani Park and off Liholiho Street in Central Maui.
Notable visual resources in the area include the Pacific Ocean to the east,
Haleakala to the south, and the West Maui Mountains to the west. Public
views of these resources exist in various locations from Kahului Beach
Road. There are no publicly-identified and protected viewplanes in the
project vicinity.

Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures. The proposed project will
not impact public views along the area roadways. Due to the slope of the
project site, private views from adjacent and nearby residential
developments are anticipated to have some moderate, but not extreme,
decrease.

10. Agricultural Resources

Existing Conditions. The Imi Ikena apartment complex site is located in
an existing urban area and does not encompass any agricultural
resources.

Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures. There will be no impacts to
agricultural resources from development of the proposed project. The
creation of the Imi Ikena apartment complex will not require the
withdrawal of any lands from agricultural production.

11. Hazardous Substances

Existing Conditions. A Phase I, Environmental Site Assessment was
conducted for the property in February 2009 by EAC Pacific. See:
Appendix D, Phase I, Environmental Site Assessment.
A search of property records indicates that the subject property has been in the urban district for over 25 years and there is no indication that the property was ever in agricultural use or used for storage of hazardous materials.

*Potential Impacts and Mitigative Measures.* Based on the land use history of the subject property, there is no concern of hazardous substances existing in the soil due to agricultural activities and therefore soil testing is not necessary prior to construction.

**B. Socio-Economic Environment**

1. **Population**

*Existing Conditions.* Resident population in Maui County has experienced rapid growth, nearly doubling in the last approximately 30 years. The Year 2009 resident population expanded from 1980’s 70,991 to 145,240. This represents a 104 percent increase (Maui County Data Book [MCD], 2010). Population projections calculated by the Hawaii State Department of Business, Economic Development and Tourism, Research and Economic Analysis Division estimate that Maui County population will reach 199,550 people in 2030.

According to the Maui County Planning Department’s Socio-Economic Forecast (2006), the 2005 Wailuku-Kahului population was 46,626 people and is forecasted to be 60,877 people by the year 2020.

Currently the Imi Ikena apartment complex site does not contain any residents.

*Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures.* Using national demographic multipliers of standard housing types for total household size (The Urban Land Institute, 1994), the population of the Imi Ikena apartment complex is estimated to be approximately 80 persons (2.8659 multiplier x 28 units = 80.25 or ≈ 80), comprised of all full-time residents.
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This represents an approximately 0.13 percent of the projected 2020 Wailuku-Kahului population.

Upon completion and occupancy of the units, the residents will contribute to the long-term support of the local economy through the payment of income and sales taxes, as well as via the purchase of goods and services from local businesses.

As the proposed project is not expected to have a significant impact on population levels, no mitigative measures relating to population are planned.

2. Housing

Existing Conditions. Median home prices have decreased over the last few years after peaking in 2006 and 2007. In August 2011, the median sales price of a single-family home on Maui was $410,000, a 12 percent decrease from the August 2011 median sales price of $460,000. In the central Maui area, median home prices have generally followed the same downward trend, decreasing 19 percent from $430,000 in August 2010 to $348,500 in August 2011 (Realtors Association of Maui, August 2011).

Although median home prices on Maui are decreasing, there remains a large disparity between median home prices and median family income. As of July 2011, the median family income for the island of Maui (except for Hana) is $76,000 based on income data provided by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development and adjusted by the County of Maui.

Potential Impacts and Mitigative Measures. The Imi Ikena apartment complex will contain a total of 28 rental units targeted at households earning thirty (30) to sixty (60) percent of the area median income as established by HUD with rents restricted in perpetuity.

Since all units in the apartment complex will be offered as “residential workforce housing units” as defined by Chapter 2.96 of the Maui County
Code, “Residential Workforce Housing Policy”, the project is in compliance with this ordinance.

3. Economy

**Existing Conditions.** Tourism and agriculture are the predominant components of Maui County’s economy. The Wailuku-Kahului economy is based primarily around commerce, the visitor industry, plantation agriculture, and the provision of regional services. The region encompasses the island’s civic and business centers and the major seaport and airport. Kahului functions as the island’s primary shipping and industrial center.

As of August 2011, unemployment in Maui County was 7.5 percent. This is a decrease from August 2010, when the County’s unemployment rate was 8.2 percent.

**Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures.** The project will generate construction-phase economic impacts that are generally short-term effects. They include employment, income, and expenditure impacts that are created by on-site and off-site construction employment, on-site and off-site trade/transportation/service employment, and manufacturing employment in support of construction. The proposed project will produce a limited number of full and part-time jobs during the construction phase of the development. The project will also generate a small amount of long-term employment during the operation phase.

C. Public Services

1. Recreational Facilities.

**Existing Conditions.** According to the County’s *Public Facilities Assessment Update, March 9, 2007*, the Wailuku-Kahului region has 35 County parks totaling 185.6 acres, providing a wide variety of facilities for the recreational needs of the community. Larger sub-regional park facilities include the Maui High playfields, Hoaloa Park and Kahului Community Park. Wailuku-Kahului is also the center of regional park
facilities for the Island of Maui, such as the Maui War Memorial Complex, the Iron Maehara Baseball Stadium, Keopuolani Park and the Waiehu Golf Course.

*Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures.* The project is not anticipated to significantly increase demand on area recreational facilities. Since Imi Ikena is a 100% affordable housing project it is not subject to the County’s park dedication requirements.

2. Medical Facilities

*Existing Conditions.* Maui Memorial Medical Center, located in Wailuku, is the island’s only acute care hospital. It is a 240 bed hospital. Various private medical offices and facilities are also located in the Wailuku-Kahului area.

*Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures.* In the context of the overall growth of the Wailuku-Kahului area, the proposed project is not anticipated to substantially increase demand for medical services.

3. Police and Fire Protection Services

*Existing Conditions.* The County of Maui’s Police Department is headquartered in Wailuku. The project site is served by the Wailuku Patrol, District I. The Department of Fire Control provides fire prevention, suppression, and protection services and is headquartered in Kahului. The project site is served by the Wailuku Station, No.1.

*Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures.* In the context of the overall growth of the Wailuku-Kahului area, the proposed project is not anticipated to substantially increase demand for emergency services nor extend emergency service area limits.

4. Schools

*Existing Conditions.* The proposed Imi Ikena apartment complex is located within the State Department of Education’s (DOE) Baldwin
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Complex which is part of the larger Baldwin-Kekaulike-Maui Complex Area. Schools in the Baldwin Complex include Waihee Elementary, Wailuku Elementary, Iao Intermediate, and Baldwin High School. Many of these schools are near or over ideal capacity. Private schools in the Wailuku-Kahului area include Christ the King, Emmanuel Lutheran, Ka‘ahumanu Hou Christian, Maui Adventist, St Anthony Jr.-Sr. High School, and St. Anthony Grade School.

The DOE Facilities Division last compiled school enrollment for the 2010 – 2011 school year. All schools in the area are currently near or over capacity and projected enrollment for the 2016 - 2017 school year are expected to continue the existing trend. Current and projected enrollments and capacities for area schools are given in Table 1 below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. DOE School Capacity: Baldwin Complex</th>
<th>2010-2011 Enrollment</th>
<th>2010-2011 Capacity</th>
<th>2016-17 Projected Enrollment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waihee Elementary</td>
<td>746</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wailuku Elementary</td>
<td>871</td>
<td>1078</td>
<td>726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iao Intermediate</td>
<td>884</td>
<td>767</td>
<td>945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baldwin High</td>
<td>1592</td>
<td>1669</td>
<td>1677</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures. In 2007, the Hawaii Legislature enacted Act 245 as Section 302A, HRS, “School Impact Fees”. Based upon this legislation, the Department of Education will be enacting impact fees for residential developments that occur within identified school impact districts. Based upon projected enrollments and capacities, the project area is not anticipated to be designated as a school impact district. Should the area be so designated prior to final subdivision approval, the applicant will coordinate with the DOE to determine the appropriate measures to be taken as required by the Section 302A-1603(b), HRS.

The proposed project will not result in significant population increase and a commensurate demand on the educational facilities in the Wailuku-Kahului area.
5. **Solid Waste**

**Existing Conditions.** Weekly, residential, solid-waste collection in the area is provided by the County of Maui, Department of Public Works. The Central Maui Landfill, which is located in the Wailuku-Kahului Community Plan region, receives residential solid waste from the area. Green waste is collected by Eko Compost, which is located at the Central Maui Landfill. Construction and demolition (C&D) waste is accepted at the privately operated C&D Landfill in Ma’alaea.

Plastic, glass, metal, cardboard, and newspaper can be recycled when left at various drop-boxes throughout the County. Green waste recycling is provided by several private organizations.

**Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures.** The proposed project will not impact substantially County solid-waste services.

In the *Public Facilities Assessment Update County of Maui* (2007), R.M. Towill Corporation projected that the Central Maui Landfill will have adequate capacity to accommodate residential and commercial waste through the year 2025. This projection was arrived at by multiplying the Maui County’s de facto population projections by an estimated number of pounds per person per day of waste generated, and assumes that solid waste generated by commercial and industrial growth will be captured by a corresponding trend in projected population growth.

The County of Maui’s Solid Waste Division estimates that households on Maui generate approximately nine (9) pounds of solid waste per day. Using this estimate, after build-out of the project, total waste from all units in the complex would be approximately 252 pounds per day (nine pounds x 28 units).

Waste generated by site preparation will primarily consist of vegetation, rocks, and debris from clearing, grubbing, and grading. No demolition material is expected, as the site is vacant.
During the short term, construction activities will require the disposal of the existing onsite waste, as well as cleared vegetation and construction-related solid waste.

From a long-range perspective, waste generated by the project is not expected to have an adverse effect on County solid waste disposal facilities or service. Provisions for recycling, such as collection systems and space for bins for recyclables, will be incorporated into the apartment complex. After the apartment complex is occupied by residents, to the extent practical, wastes such as aluminum, paper, newspaper, glass, and plastic containers will be recycled. Waste that cannot be recycled will be disposed of in the County’s Central Maui Landfill in Puunene.

D. Infrastructure

1. Roadways

*Existing Conditions.* A Traffic Impact Analysis Report (TIAR) was prepared by Phillip Rowell and Associates for the Imi Ikena apartment complex in September 2011 which describes the traffic characteristics of the proposed project and likely impacts to the adjacent roadway network. See: Appendix E, Traffic Impact Analysis Report.

All project traffic will access and egress the project via the intersection of Kanaloa Avenue at Lihi Street. Lihi Street is a two-lane, two-way County street connecting the project with Kanaloa Avenue. In the vicinity of Lihi Street, Kanaloa Avenue is a two-lane, two-way roadway. There is also a bike land along both sides of Kanaloa Avenue and there is parallel parking along both sides of the street. The posted speed limit is 20 miles per hour.

The intersection of Kanaloa Avenue at Lihi Street is an unsignalized, T-intersection. Kanaloa Avenue is the major street. The STOP sign is along the Lihi Street approach, which is the north leg of the intersection. There is a separate left turn lane for traffic turning from Kanaloa Avenue into Lihi Street. The Lihi Street approach has one lane for both left and right turns.
Traffic will approach from and depart to the north toward Kahului Beach Road via Kanaloa Avenue. Kahului Beach Road is a major State roadway connecting Kahului with Wailuku. In the vicinity of Kanaloa Avenue, Kahului Beach Road is a four-lane, State highway. The intersection with Kanaloa Avenue is signalized with protected left turns from Kahului Beach Road to Kanaloa Avenue.

Traffic will approach from and depart to the south toward Kaahumanu Avenue via Kanaloa Avenue. In the vicinity of Kanaloa Avenue, Kaahumanu is a four-lane, divided State highway. The intersection with Kanaloa Avenue is signalized. The eastbound and westbound left turns are protected. The northbound and southbound movements are split phases.

The TIAR studied the following intersections:

1. Kanaloa Avenue at Kaahumanu Avenue;
2. Kanaloa Avenue at Kahului Beach Road; and
3. Kanaloa Avenue at Lihi Street.

**Highway Capacity Analysis.** A highway capacity analysis was conducted for the above intersections using data from: 1) manual traffic counts during AM and PM peak traffic times; and 2) other related development projects within and adjacent to the study area.

The analysis indicates that no existing deficiencies were identified at any of the study intersections.

**Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures.** The TIAR was performed with the assumption that other, related projects will be developed within the horizon year of 2015. These projects include the Maui Family YMCA Expansion, Ka Lima O Maui Affordable Housing Project, and Maui Lani Master Planned Community. These projects represent additional trip generation as well as associated roadway improvements.

The TIAR projects that the project will generate 4 inbound and 11 outbound trips during the morning peak hour, and 12 inbound and 7 outbound trips during the afternoon peak hour.
Level-of-service analyses were performed for the above-referenced intersections for the year 2015, both with and without project development. **See: Appendix E, pg. 10, Table 5.** In general, project development is not anticipated to result in substantial impacts to roadway conditions. The analysis indicates that there will be no changes in the level-of-service as a result of project generated traffic at any of the three studied intersections. Given that no deficiencies were identified, the report concludes that no mitigation will be required.

2. **Utilities**

*Existing Conditions.* The existing electrical distribution system services the subject property from Pio Place. The installation of electrical, telephone, communication, and cable TV systems for the project will be coordinated with Maui Electric Company, Hawaiian Telcom, and Oceanic Time-Warner Cable of Hawaii.

*Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures.* The proposed Imi Ikena project will not place substantial demand upon utility services or expand service areas.

3. **Drainage**

*Existing Conditions.* A Preliminary Engineering Report was prepared for the project by Otomo Engineering in September 2011. **See: Appendix F, Preliminary Engineering Report.**

The Imi Ikena site generally slopes down in the west to east direction with the elevations on the site ranging from 245 feet at the mauka boundary to 115 feet at the makai boundary, averaging about 13.5%. It is estimated that the existing 50-year storm runoff from the project site is 0.83 cfs with a runoff volume of approximately 546 cf. Presently, onsite runoff sheet flows across the project site in the west to east direction towards the downstream properties as well as Imi Place and Pio Place. Approximate 0.1 cfs exits the project site in the direction of Imi Place. Approximately 0.2 cfs exit the project site in the downstream apartment
buildings. Approximately 0.5 cfs exits the project site in the direction of Pio Place. Surface runoff that exits the project site continues downstream and enters the existing drainage system in the surrounding area.

**Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures.** After the development of the proposed project, it is estimated that the 50-year storm runoff will be 3.27 cfs with a runoff volume of approximately 1,764 cf, producing a net increase of approximately 2.44 cfs of surface runoff and 1,218 cf of runoff volume. Surface runoff from the project site will be intercepted by grated catch basins located around the proposed building and parking areas and conveyed to three proposed onsite subsurface drainage systems. There will be one subsurface drainage system in each of the three parking areas, providing approximately 1,825 cf of storage volume to store the additional surface runoff created from the project and prevent the surface runoff from continuing downstream. The subsurface drainage system will consist of a perforated drainline embedded in crushed rock that will be wrapped with a layer of filter fabric. Surface runoff entering the perforated pipe will be allowed to exfiltrate into the ground. It will be sized to accommodate the increase in runoff volume from the project site for a 50-year 1-hour storm. There will be no additional runoff from the project site onto adjacent properties. The drainage design criteria shall be to minimize any alterations to the natural pattern of the existing onsite surface runoff.

Imi Ikena will not have an adverse effect on the adjoining or downstream properties. All drainage improvements will be developed in accordance with applicable DOH and County of Maui drainage requirements and standards.

Storm runoff during site preparation will be controlled in compliance with the County Code Chapter 20.08 “Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Minimum BMPs”. Typical mitigation measures are appropriately stockpiling materials on-site to prevent runoff and building over or establishing landscaping as early as possible on disturbed soils to minimize length of exposure.
4. **Water**

*Existing Conditions.* A Preliminary Engineering Report was prepared for the project by Otomo Engineering in September 2011. **See: Appendix F, Preliminary Engineering Report.**

Domestic water and fire flow for this area are serviced from the 3.0 MG Mokuhau tank and wells in Happy Valley. There is an existing 12-inch waterline on Lower Main Street that feeds an existing 6-inch waterline along Pio Place and Imi Place. There are existing fire hydrants fronting the property on Pio Place and Imi Place.

*Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures.* In accordance with the Department of Water Supply’s Domestic Consumption Guidelines for a multi-family development, the maximum daily demand for the project is approximately 23,520 gallons per day. A new 2” water meter will be requested as part of the building permit process to service the parcel. A new reduced pressure backflow preventer will be installed to meet DWS standards. Fire-flow demand for a multi-family development is 2,000 gallons per minute for a 2-hour duration and will be met by the existing fire hydrants along the street and the installation of an onsite fire sprinkler system.

To reduce and conserve the consumption of potable water, within Imi Ikena:

- Low-flow fixtures and devices will be used pursuant to Maui County Code Section 16.20A.680;
- Individual homeowners and businesses will be encouraged to maintain fixtures to prevent leaks;
- Climate-adapted native and other appropriate plants will be used in landscaping as practical; and
- Best management practices designed to minimize runoff from daily operations will be implemented.

Development of the Imi Ikena affordable housing project is not anticipated to have an adverse effect on water sources, storage facilities, and distribution and transmission systems.
5. **Wastewater**


There is an existing 8-inch sewer line n Pio Place and Imi Place that services the property. Wastewater generated in the Wailuku area is transmitted to the Kahului Wastewater Reclamation Facility which has a capacity of 7.9 million gallons per day (mgd). As of March 2010, the average daily flow into the Kahului Wastewater Reclamation Facility was approximately 4.9 mgd. However, according to the Wastewater Division, County of Maui, the total allocation, including projects already permitted, is 6.95 mgd.

*Potential Impacts and Mitigative Measure.* Based on the Wastewater Division's "Wastewater System Standards," the Imi Ikena project will generate approximately 17,850 gallons per day of wastewater. A new service lateral and service manhole will be constructed along Pio Drive to meet current standards and requirements.

Development of the Imi Ikena affordable housing project is not anticipated to have an adverse effect on County wastewater transmission systems and treatment facilities.

**E. Cumulative and Secondary Impacts**

Cumulative impacts are defined as the impact on the environment, which results from the incremental impact of an action when added to other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions, regardless of what agency or person undertakes such other actions.

The proposed Imi Ikena apartment complex is an infill project in an existing multi-family neighborhood. The proposed project is not part of a larger action nor will it significantly contribute to Wailuku-Kahului population expansion.
Secondary impacts are those that have the potential to occur later in time or farther in distance, but which are reasonably foreseeable. They can be viewed as actions of others that are taken because of the presence of the project. Secondary impacts from highway projects, for example, can occur because they can induce development by removing transportation impediments to growth.

There are no substantial, adverse, secondary impacts associated with the proposed project. The build-out of the 28-unit apartment complex will not have significant impacts upon area infrastructure or the environment.

F. Summary of Unavoidable Impacts on the Environment and Resources

Construction-related activities will generate moderate, unavoidable, short-term impacts. Once the development is completed, the project is not anticipated to have substantial adverse impacts upon the environment or residents of the area. The following mitigation measures could reduce impacts to air quality, and reduce noise, and vector impacts.

- Provide vector control before construction activities in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Department of Health
- Provide Best-Management-Practices (BMPs) to contain dust and runoff from the project area. Such measures could include dust and silt screens, construction watering, covering disturbed and loose soils, and covering vehicular loads of materials leaving and entering the project site.
- Provide environmental noise control by limiting construction activities to daylight hours, requiring engine-driven machinery to have the appropriate mufflers, and obtaining a construction noise permit, if required, from the Department of Health
- Properly disposing of demolition wastes in a designated landfill and/or recycling construction materials

The project will require the irretrievable commitment of time, energy, and land.
III. RELATIONSHIP TO GOVERNMENTAL PLANS, POLICIES, AND CONTROLS

A. State Land Use

Chapter 205, Hawaii Revised Statutes, relating to the Land Use Commission (LUC), establishes four (4) major land use districts in which all lands in the state are placed. These districts are designated as “Urban”, “Rural”, “Agricultural”, and “Conservation”. The subject property is located within the Urban District. See: Figure 8, State Land Use Map. The proposed improvements are permitted within the Urban District.

B. Hawaii State Plan

Chapter 226, HRS, also known as the Hawaii State Plan, is a long-range comprehensive plan that serves as a guide for the future long-range development of the State by identifying goals, objectives, policies, and priorities, as well as implementation mechanisms. The proposed project is in conformance with the following objectives and policies of the Hawaii State Plan.

Chapter 226-5, HRS, Objective and Policies for Population

226-5(b)(3), HRS: Promote increased opportunities for Hawaii’s people to pursue their socio-economic aspirations throughout the islands.

Chapter 226-6, HRS, Objectives and Policies for the Economy – in General

226-6(b)(6), HRS: Strive to achieve a level of construction activity responsive to, and consistent with, State growth objectives.

Chapter 226-11, HRS, Objectives and Policies for the Physical Environment – Land Based, Shoreline, and Marine Resources
226-11(b)(3), HRS: Take into account the physical attributes of areas when planning and designing activities and facilities.

226-11(b)(8), HRS: Pursue compatible relationships among activities, facilities, and natural resources.

Chapter 226-13, Hawaii Revised Statutes, Objectives and Policies for the Physical Environment – Land, Air, and Water Quality

226-13(b)(6), HRS: Encourage design and construction practices that enhance the physical qualities of Hawaii’s communities.

226-13(b)(7), HRS: Encourage urban developments in close proximity to existing services and facilities.

Chapter 226-19, HRS, Objectives and Policies for Socio-Cultural Advancement – Housing

226-19(a)(1), HRS: Greater opportunities for Hawaii’s people to secure reasonably priced, safe, sanitary, and livable homes, located in suitable environments that satisfactorily accommodate the needs and desires of families and individuals, through collaboration and cooperation between government and nonprofit and for-profit developers to ensure that more affordable housing is made available to very low-, low- and moderate-income segments of Hawaii’s population.

226-19(a)(2), HRS: The orderly development of residential areas sensitive to community needs and other land uses.

226-19(b)(1), HRS: Effectively accommodate the housing needs of Hawaii’s people.

226-19(b)(2), HRS: Stimulate and promote feasible approaches that increase housing choices for low-income, moderate-income, and gap-group households.

226-19(b)(3), HRS: Increase home ownership and rental opportunities and choices in terms of quality, location, cost, densities, style, and size of housing.
226-19(b)(5), HRS: Promote design and location of housing developments taking into account the physical setting, accessibility to public facilities and services, and other concerns of existing communities and surrounding areas.

226-19(b)(6), HRS: Facilitate the use of available vacant, developable, and underutilized urban lands for housing.

226-19(b)(7), HRS: Foster a variety of lifestyles traditional to Hawaii through the design and maintenance of neighborhoods that reflect the culture and values of the community.

The Imi Ikena affordable housing project complies with the following priority guidelines of the Hawaii State Plan.

Chapter 226-104, HRS, Population Growth and Land Resources Priority Guidelines

226-104(b)(1), HRS: Encourage urban growth primarily to existing urban areas where adequate public facilities are already available or can be provided with reasonable public expenditures and away from areas where other important benefits are present, such as protection of important agricultural land or preservation of lifestyles.

226-106(4), HRS: Create incentives for development which would increase home ownership and rental opportunities for Hawaii’s low-and moderate-income households, gap-group households, and residents with special needs.

226-106(6), HRS: Encourage public and private sector cooperation in the development of rental housing alternatives.

226-106(8), HRS: Give higher priority to the provision of quality housing that is affordable for Hawaii’s residents and less priority to development of housing intended primarily for individuals outside of Hawaii.

D. Maui County General Plan

The General Plan of the County of Maui refers to a hierarchy of planning documents that together set forth future growth and policy direction in the
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County. The General Plan is comprised of the following documents: 1) County-wide Policy Plan; 2) Maui Island Plan; and 3) nine community plans.

The County-wide Policy Plan was adopted in March 2010 and is a broad policy document that identifies a vision for the future of Maui County. It establishes a set of guiding principles and provides comprehensive goals, objectives, policies and implementing actions that portray the desired direction of the County’s future. The County-wide Policy Plan provides the policy framework for the development of the Maui Island Plan and nine Community Plans.

The Maui Island Plan functions as a regional plan and addresses the policies and issued that are not confined to just one community plan area, including regional systems such as transportation, utilities and growth management, for the Island of Maui. Together, the Island and Community Plans develop strategies with respect to population density, land use maps, land use regulations, transportation systems, public and community facility locations, water and sewage systems, visitor destinations, urban design and other matters related to development. The draft Maui Island Plan is currently under review by the County Council.

The proposed action is in accord with the following County-wide Policy Plan objectives and policies:

A. Protect the Natural Environment

Objective 2: Improve the quality of environmentally sensitive, locally valued natural resources and native ecology of each island.

Policy e: Mitigate the negative effects of upland uses on coastal wetlands, marine life, and coral reefs.

Impacts from the proposed project, such as site grading, increased runoff, and use of resources, are not expected to be significant and can be mitigated with proper management techniques. As such, the project is not anticipated to have any significant adverse effects on the County’s land and ocean ecological resources.

E. Expand Housing Opportunities for Residents
Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project

**Objective 1:** Reduce the affordable housing deficit for residents.

**Policy a:** Ensure that an adequate and permanent supply of affordable housing, both new and existing units, is made available for purchase or rental to our resident and/or workforce population, with special emphasis on providing housing for low- to moderate-income families, and ensure that all affordable housing remains affordable in perpetuity.

The Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project will include the development of 28 rental units all targeted at households earning thirty (30) to sixty (60) percent of the area median income as established by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. The goal of the project is to address the critical need for affordable housing on Maui by providing quality affordable rental housing for the island’s workforce. Rental rates will be restricted in perpetuity and a local, on-site property management firm will manage the day-to-day operation of the property to maintain the financial and physical integrity of the rental units and grounds.

**Objective 2:** Increase the mix of housing types in towns and neighborhoods to promote sustainable land use planning, expand consumer choice, and protect the County’s rural and small-town character.

**Policy c:** Encourage a mix of social, economic, and age groups within neighborhoods.

**Policy d:** Promote infill housing in urban areas at scales that capitalize on existing infrastructure, lower development costs, and are consistent with existing or desired patterns of development.

**Policy e:** Encourage the building industry to use environmentally sustainable materials, technologies, and site planning.

**Policy f:** Develop workforce housing in proximity to job centers and transit facilities.

The Imi Ikena apartment complex will utilize undeveloped urban infill land and provide affordable multi-family rental housing in character with the Wailuku-Kahului region and immediate Sand Hills neighborhood. The design of the Imi Ikena apartment complex will be sensitive to the site on which it is located, and will be constructed in such a way as to minimize the impacts to the environment.
The proposed project promotes the use of alternative modes of transportation and a healthy job/housing balance by providing housing in an existing urban area proximate to employment and services.

I. Improve Physical Infrastructure

Objective 4: Direct growth in a way that makes efficient use of existing infrastructure and to areas where there is available infrastructure capacity.

Policy a: Capitalize on existing infrastructure capacity as a priority over infrastructure expansion.

The Imi Ikena apartment complex will utilize undeveloped urban infill land where infrastructure capacity is available and will not require any expansion.

J. Promote Sustainable Land Use and Growth Management

Objective 1: Improve land use management and implement a directed-growth strategy.

Policy e: Encourage redevelopment in and around communities on lands intended for urban use to protect productive farm land and open-space resources.

Policy h: Direct new development in and around communities with existing infrastructure and service capacity, and protect natural, scenic, shoreline, and cultural resources.

The Imi Ikena apartment complex will utilize undeveloped urban infill land where infrastructure capacity is available and will not require any expansion. The proposed project will not impact productive farm land, open-space resources, or natural resources. Impacts, such as site grading, increased runoff, and use of resources, will not be significant and can be mitigated with proper management techniques.

Objective 3: Design all developments to be in harmony with the environment and to protect each community’s sense of place.
Policy f: Use trees and other forms of landscaping along rights-of-way and within parking lots to provide shade, beauty, urban-heat reduction, and separation of pedestrians from automobile traffic in accordance with community desires.

The project site will be landscaped to control erosion and add beauty and a Hawaiian sense of place to the property. Drought tolerant native species will be planted to minimize irrigation requirements. Shade trees will be planted in and around parking lots and other paved areas to provide shade and cooling.

E. Wailuku-Kahului Community Plan

Within Maui County, there are nine (9) community plan regions. From a General Plan implementation standpoint, each region is governed by a Community Plan which sets forth desired land use patterns, as well as goals, objectives, policies, and implementing actions for a number of functional areas including infrastructure-related parameters.

The project site is located within the Wailuku-Kahului Community Plan region. The Wailuku-Kahului Community Plan was adopted in 1987, amended in 1992 and recently updated in 2002. The project site is designated for “Multi-Family” residential uses in the Community Plan. See: Figure 9, Community Plan Map.

The proposed project is compatible with its Multi-Family designation and is further in accord with the following Wailuku-Kahului Community Plan objectives and policies:

HOUSING

Goal: A sufficient supply and choice of attractive, sanitary and affordable housing accommodations for the broad section of residents, including the elderly.

Objective 3: Seek alternative residential growth areas within the planning region, with high priority given to the Wailuku and Kahului areas. This action should recognize the crucial issues of maintaining important agricultural lands, achieving efficient patterns of growth, and providing adequate housing supply and choice of price and location must be addressed and resolved.
The proposed project will provide affordable housing in the Wailuku area in an existing urban area, avoiding important agricultural lands.

**LAND USE**

**Goal:** An attractive, well-planned community with a mixture of compatible land uses in appropriate areas to accommodate the future needs of residents and visitors in a manner that provides for the social and economic well-being of residents and the preservation and enhancement of the region’s environmental resources and traditional towns and villages.

**Objective 10:** All zoning applications and/or proposed land uses and developments shall conform with the planned use designations, as specified in the adopted Community Plan Land Use Map, and be consistent with the Community Plan policies.

The proposed project conforms with the planned use designation for the subject site of “Multi-Family” as specified in the Wailuku-Kahului Community Plan Land Use Map and is consistent with Community Plan policies.

**Objective 16:** Upon adoption of this plan, allow no further development unless infrastructure, public facilities, and services needed to service new development are available prior to or concurrent with the impacts of new development.

Infrastructure, public facilities, and services needed to service the Imi Ikena apartment complex are currently adequate.

**INFRASTRUCTURE - DRAINAGE**

**Goal:** Timely and environmentally sound planning, development and maintenance of infrastructure systems which serve to protect and preserve the safety and health of the region’s residents, commuters and visitors through the provision of clean water, effective waste disposal and drainage systems, and efficient transportation systems which meet the needs of the community.

**Objective 4:** Ensure that storm water run-off and siltation from proposed development will not adversely affect the marine environment and nearshore and offshore water quality. Minimize the increase in discharge of storm water runoff to coastal waters by preserving flood storage capacity in low-lying areas, and encouraging infiltration of runoff.
On-site drainage improvements will ensure that runoff is maintained at predevelopment levels and sediment is captured and prevented from entering neighboring properties or coastal waters.

F. County Zoning

The project site is zoned “A2, Apartment District” by the County of Maui. See: Figure 11, County Zoning Map. The proposed Imi Ikena apartment complex is in accordance with requirements of Chapter 19.12, Apartment District, Maui County Code.

G. Coastal Zone Management

The project site is not located within the Special Management Area (SMA) boundary, pursuant to HRS Chapter 205 A and the Special Management Area Rules for the Maui Planning Commission (Chapter 202). However, the following is a review of the proposed action, in accordance with the objectives, policies, and guidelines, pursuant to HRS Chapter 205A-2.

1. Recreational Resources

Objective: Provide coastal recreational resources accessible to the public.

Policies:

(a) Improve coordination and funding of coastal recreational planning and management; and

(b) Provide adequate, accessible, and diverse recreational opportunities in the coastal zone management area by:

(i) Protecting coastal resources uniquely suited for recreational activities that cannot be provided in other areas;

(ii) Requiring replacement of coastal resources having significant recreational value, including but not limited to surfing sites, fishponds, and sand beaches, when such resources will be unavoidably damaged by development; or require reasonable monetary compensation to the state for recreation when replacement is not feasible or desirable;
(iii) Providing and managing adequate public access, consistent with conservation of natural resources, to and along shorelines with recreational value;

(iv) Providing an adequate supply of shoreline parks and other recreational facilities suitable for public recreation;

(v) Ensuring public recreational uses of county, state, and federally owned or controlled shoreline lands and waters having standards and conservation of natural resources;

(vi) Adopting water quality standards and regulating point and non-point sources of pollution to protect, and where feasible, restore the recreational value of coastal waters;

(vii) Developing new shoreline recreational opportunities, where appropriate, such as artificial lagoons, artificial beaches, and artificial reefs for surfing and fishing;

(viii) Encourage reasonable dedication of shoreline areas with recreational value for public use as part of discretionary approvals or permits by the land use commission, board of land and natural resources, and county authorities; and crediting such dedication against the requirements of Section 46-6, HRS.

**Analysis.** The project site is not near the shoreline and its development will not impact coastal recreational opportunities or affect existing public access to the shoreline.

2. **Historical/Cultural Resources**

**Objective:** Protect, preserve and, where desirable, restore those natural and manmade historic and prehistoric resources in the coastal zone management area that are significant in Hawaiian and American history and culture.

**Policies:**

(a) Identify and analyze significant archeological resources;

(b) Maximize information retention through preservation of remains and artifacts or salvage operations; and

(c) Support state goals for protection, restoration, interpretation, and display of historic structures.
Analysis. There are no known historical or archaeological resources on the subject property. Nevertheless, archaeological monitoring will be conducted during site excavation and the applicant and its contractors will comply with all laws and rules regarding the preservation of archaeological, cultural, and historic sites should any sites be found during construction.

The proposed project is not expected to impact cultural resources as no cultural resources have been identified on the property; there is no evidence of past or present use for Hawaiian cultural practices, resources, or beliefs.

3. Scenic and Open Space Resources

Objective: Protect, preserve and, where desirable, restore or improve the quality of coastal scenic and open space resources.

Policies:
(a) Identify valued scenic resources in the coastal zone management area;
(b) Ensure that new developments are compatible with their visual environment by designing and locating such developments to minimize the alteration of natural landforms and existing public views to and along the shoreline;
(c) Preserve, maintain, and where desirable, improve and restore shoreline open space and scenic resources; and
(d) Encourage those developments that are not coastal dependent to locate in inland areas.

Analysis. The project site is not near the shoreline and its development will not impact coastal scenic and open space resources.

4. Coastal Ecosystems

Objective: Protect valuable coastal ecosystems, including reefs, from disruption and minimize adverse impacts on all coastal ecosystems.

Policies:
(a) Exercise an overall conservation ethic, and practice stewardship in the protection, use, and development of marine and coastal resources;
(b) Improve the technical basis for natural resource management;
(c) Preserve valuable coastal ecosystems, including reefs, of significant biological or economic importance;
(d) Minimize disruption or degradation of coastal water ecosystems by effective regulation of stream diversions, channelization, and similar land and water uses, recognizing competing water needs; and
(e) Promote water quantity and quality planning and management practices that reflect the tolerance of fresh water and marine ecosystems and maintain and enhance water quality through the development and implementation of point and non-point source water pollution control measures.

Analysis. No direct impacts to the coastal or marine environment are anticipated. Appropriate Best Management Practices (BMP) will be utilized during site excavation activities to ensure that there is no substantial, adverse impact to coastal ecosystems. The project site’s drainage system will be designed in accordance with applicable regulatory standards to assure that there are no adverse effects to adjacent or downstream properties.

5. Economic Use

Objective: Provide public or private facilities and improvements important to the State’s economy in suitable locations.

Policies:
(a) Concentrate coastal dependent development in appropriate areas;
(b) Ensure that coastal dependent development such as harbors and ports, and coastal related development such as visitor facilities and energy generating facilities, are located, designed, and constructed to minimize adverse social, visual, and environmental impacts in the coastal zone management area;
(c) Direct the location and expansion of coastal dependent developments to areas presently designated and used for such development and permit reasonable long-term growth at such areas, and permit coastal dependent development outside of presently designated areas when:
   (i) Use of presently designated locations is not feasible;
   (ii) Adverse environmental impacts are minimized; and
   (iii) The development is important to the State’s economy.

Analysis. The proposed construction is not a coastal dependant development. As represented by the “Multi-Family” designation on Wailuku-Kahului Community Plan, residential uses on the site are appropriate and represent the desires of the community. Development is anticipated to generate economic benefits in the from of property taxes and construction-related employment.
6. Coastal Hazards

Objective: Reduce hazard to life and property from tsunami, storm waves, stream flooding, erosion, subsidence and pollution.

Policies:
(a) Develop and communicate adequate information about storm wave, tsunami, flood, erosion, subsidence, and point and non-point source pollution hazards;
(b) Control development in areas subject to storm wave, tsunami, flood, erosion, subsidence, and point and non-point pollution hazards;
(c) Ensure that developments comply with requirements of the Federal Flood Insurance Program; and
(d) Prevent coastal flooding from inland projects.

Analysis. The proposed construction is not anticipated to impact the region’s susceptibility to coastal hazards. The project site is not within the tsunami inundation zone and is reasonably free from danger of flood, unstable soil conditions and other adverse environmental effects.

The project’s drainage system will be designed in accordance with the Drainage Standards of the County of Maui to ensure that surface runoff from the site will not adversely affect downstream and adjoining properties.

7. Managing Development

Objective: Improve the development review process, communication, and public participation in the management of coastal resources and hazards.

Policies:
(a) Use, implement, and enforce existing law effectively to the maximum extent possible in managing present and future coastal zone development;
(b) Facilitate timely processing of applications for development permits and resolve overlapping of conflicting permit requirements; and
(c) Communicate the potential short and long-term impacts of proposed significant coastal developments early in their life cycle and in terms understandable to the public to facilitate public participation in the planning and review process.

Analysis. Imi Ikena apartment complex will be developed in conformance with all applicable, laws, regulations, and requirements.
8. Public Participation

Objective: Stimulate public awareness, education, and participation in coastal management.

Policies:
(a) Promote public involvement in coastal zone management processes;
(b) Disseminate information on coastal management issues by means of educational materials, published reports, staff contact, and public workshops for persons and organizations concerned with coastal issues, developments, and government activities; and
(c) Organize workshops, policy dialogues, and site-specific medications to respond to coastal issues and conflicts.

Analysis. The proposed project is subject to an agency and public review process as required by the filing and processing of this HR5 Chapter 343 Environmental Assessment. Prior to filing of the subject Environmental Assessment, there was pre-consultation with various public agencies and officials and neighbors. These activates included a mail out and informational meeting in order to describe the proposed project and solicit issues that need to be addressed.

9. Beach Protection

Objective: Protect beaches for public use and recreation.

Policies:
(a) Locate new structures inland from the shoreline setback to conserve open space, minimize interference with natural shoreline processes, and minimize loss of improvements due to erosion;
(b) Prohibit construction of private erosion-protection structures seaward of the shoreline, except when they result in improved aesthetic and engineering solutions to erosion at the sites and do not interfere with existing recreational and waterline activities; and
(c) Minimize the construction of public erosion-protection structures seaward of the shoreline.

Analysis. The project site is not located near the shoreline and therefore this development is not expected to have adverse impacts on beaches, natural
shoreline processes, or existing recreational and waterline activities. Appropriate BMP’s will be utilized during site excavation activities to ensure that there is no substantial, adverse impact to coastal ecosystems.

10. Marine Resources

Objective: Promote the protection, use, and development of marine and coastal resources to assure their sustainability.

Policies:
(a) Ensure that the use and development of marine and coastal resources are ecologically and environmentally sound and economically beneficial;
(b) Coordinate the management of marine and coastal resources and activities to improve effectiveness and efficiency;
(c) Assert and articulate the interests of the State as a partner with federal agencies in the sound management of ocean resources within the United States exclusive economic zone;
(d) Promote research, study, and understanding of ocean processes, marine life, and other ocean resources in order to acquire and inventory information necessary to understand how ocean development activities relate to and impact upon ocean and coastal resources; and
(e) Encourage research and development of new, innovative technologies for exploring, using, or protecting marine and coastal resources.

Analysis. No direct impact to the coastal or marine environment is anticipated as the project is located inland.

The project will include mitigation measures aimed at protecting marine resources by containing dust and project runoff during the construction period. The anticipated method of containment will be to enclose the project area with a combination dust/silt fence. Additional measures could include project watering for dust control, promptly vegetating bared areas, and controlling dust from equipment by covering truckloads. A BMP plan will be developed in conjunction with the project’s grading plans, which will detail the physical protective measures used at the project site, the locations of such measures, and other intermittent requirements such as project watering. Prior to construction the BMP plan will be reviewed by the County engineering division of the Development Services Administration of the Department of Public Works and
IV. FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

The accepting authority anticipates a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI). A final declaration will be made after the authority has considered all agency and public comments on the Draft Environmental Assessment.

According to the Department of Health Rules (HAR §11-200-12(b)), an applicant or agency must determine whether an action may have a significant impact on the environment, including all phases of the project, its expected consequences both primary and secondary, its cumulative impact with other projects, and its short and long-term effects. In making the determination, the Rules establish “Significance Criteria” to be used as a basis for identifying whether significant environmental impact will occur.

1. The proposed action will not result in an irrevocable commitment to loss or destruction of natural or cultural resources.
   Analysis. As previously noted, the proposed project will not involve the loss or destruction of any natural or cultural resources (See Section II.A.B.C).

2. The proposed action will not curtail the range of beneficial uses of the environment.
   Analysis. The subject property is within the State’s Urban District and is zoned and community planned for residential development. The proposed action will not curtail the range of beneficial uses of the environment.

3. The proposed action will not conflict with State or County long-term environmental policies and goals as expressed in Chapter 344, HRS.
   Analysis. The proposed project is compatible with surrounding land uses in the State Urban District, in relation to the adopted Wailuku-Kahului Community Plan. The proposed project will be subject to agency
and public review and comment, as part of the HRS 343 Environmental Assessment approval process. Subsequently, the proposed project will be subject to more detailed review of construction plans, in conjunction with grading, grubbing, building and other construction permit approvals. All of these requirements are part of the State’s comprehensive management system under its approved Federal Coastal Zone Management Program to insure that proposed actions do not conflict with State or County long-term environmental policies and goals.

4. **The proposed action will not substantially affect the economic or social welfare and activities of the community, county or state.**

*Analysis.* Short-term economic impacts from the proposed project will result from the increase in activity associated with the construction of the project. Full and part-time jobs will also be created during the operation phase of the development.

5. **The proposed action will not substantially affect public health.**

*Analysis.* There are no special or unique aspects of the project that will have a direct impact on public health. It is anticipated that occupants of the project will utilize existing medical facilities located in Kahului and Wailuku and that these facilities will not be significantly impacted by the project.

6. **The proposed action will not result in substantial secondary impacts.**

*Analysis.* The proposed project will not result in significant population increase, demands for housing, and burdens on infrastructure and public services and facilities.

7. **The proposed action will not involve substantial degradation of environmental quality.**

*Analysis.* Mitigation measures will be implemented during the construction phase in order to minimize dust, noise and soil erosion. The project site is not located on the shoreline and significant impacts on shoreline and coastal resources are unlikely. The project will comply with
County building and grading requirements. The project will include an onsite drainage system designed to retain additional runoff generated by the project. Other environmental resources such as endangered species of flora and fauna, air and water quality, and archeological resources will not be significantly impacted by the subject project.

8. The proposed project will not produce cumulative impacts and does not have considerable effect upon the environment or involve a commitment for larger actions.

*Analysis.* The proposed Imi Ikena apartment complex will not involve a commitment for larger actions. The subject property is State and County zoned and community planned for urban development, and as such, is part of the planned future growth for the region. As described in this report, the project will not significantly impact public infrastructure and services including roadways, drainage facilities, water systems, sewers, educational facilities, and parks. In addition, the project is not anticipated to induce significant population growth and will therefore not produce considerable effect on the environment nor require a commitment for larger actions by governmental agencies.

9. The proposed project will not affect a rare, threatened, or endangered species, or its habitat.

*Analysis.* As described in Section II.A.4 of this report, there are no known rare, threatened, or endangered species of flora and fauna at the project site.

10. The proposed action will not substantially or adversely affect air and water quality or ambient noise levels.

*Analysis.* As described in Section II.A.5 and 6 and II.D.3 of this report, there is a potential for negative impacts to air or water quality and ambient noise levels related to short-term construction activities. Air, noise and dust impacts will be mitigated through implementation of standard mitigation measures, as previously discussed in this report. It is not anticipated that there will be significant long-term impacts to air or
water quality and ambient noise levels due to the operation phase of the project.

11. The proposed action will not substantially affect or be subject to damage by being located in an environmentally sensitive area, such as flood plain, shoreline, tsunami zone, erosion-prone areas, estuary, fresh waters, geologically land or coastal waters.

Analysis. As previously discussed, the project site is not located within an area prone to flooding, unstable soil conditions, tsunami inundation or storm wave damage, and other environmental hazards.

12. The proposed action will not substantially affect scenic vistas or view planes identified in county or state plans or studies.

Analysis. The project site is not identified as a scenic vista or view plane in County plans. The proposed project will not impact public views along the area roadways.

13. The proposed action will not require substantial energy consumption.

Analysis. Upon build-out of the project, energy consumption will be increased above existing and historic levels of usage in the area, since the project is a more intensive use of the currently vacant site. However, the proposed residential project is within close proximity to employment, recreation facilities and other services which can be accessed via alternative modes of transportation. Thus, it is not anticipated that the resultant increase in energy consumption will be significant in the context of existing levels of energy usage in the region, and on Maui.

Based on the foregoing findings, it is anticipated that the Imi Ikena apartment complex will not result in any significant impacts.
V. CONSULTATION AND REVIEW

A. Early Consultation

The following agencies were requested to provide early consultation comments regarding the proposed project. See Appendix H, Early Consultation Comment and Response.

Federal
1. U.S.D.A Natural Resources Conservation Service
2. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

State of Hawaii
3. Department of Business Economic Development & Tourism, Office of State Planning
4. Department of Health
5. Department of Health, Clean Air Branch
6. Department of Health, Clean Water Branch
7. Department of Health, Environmental Planning Office
8. Department of Health, Maui District Health Office
9. Department of Education
10. Department of Land & Natural Resources
11. Department of Land & Natural Resources, Historic Preservation Division
12. Department of Land & Natural Resources, Land Division
13. Department of Transportation
14. Office of Hawaiian Affairs

County of Maui
15. Department of Environmental Management
16. Department of Fire Control & Public Safety
17. Department of Parks & Recreation
18. Department of Planning
19. Department of Public Works
20. Department of Public Works
21. Police Department

Local Utilities
22. Maui Electric Company
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ABSTRACT

An Archaeological Assessment of 0.76-acres was conducted on TMK: 3-8-37:28 in Wailuku, Wailuku Aina'au, Wailuku District, Island of Maui, Hawai'i. A total of fourteen stratigraphic trenches totaling an excavation sample area of 339.44 m² revealed no archaeological deposits and that minor amounts of imported silty clay fill, possibly from construction activities in the vicinity, was deposited on the visibly barren parcel as extra material. The skeleton of a mammalian quadruped was found in one of the stratigraphic trenches (ST-3) at a depth of approximately 1.9 meters and relayed that cultural deposits could exist at such depths. Based on the depth of osteological material within the stratigraphic trench in conjunction with the subject parcel sand matrices, known in nearby locations to contain human burials and associated cultural material. Archaeological Monitoring is required for any subsurface work on the subject parcel.
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT ................................................................................................................................. ii

TABLE OF CONTENTS ............................................................................................................. iii

LIST OF FIGURES ..................................................................................................................... iv

INTRODUCTION .......................................................................................................................... v

ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING ..................................................................................................... vi

TRADITIONAL AND HISTORIC SETTING ................................................................................ 1
  PREHISTORY .......................................................................................................................... 2
  HISTORICAL WAILUKU AND LAO VALLEY ......................................................................... 3

PREVIOUS ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH ............................................................................ 8

SETTLEMENT PATTERN AND LAND UTILIZATION ............................................................... 12
  EARLY HISTORIC PERIOD ............................................................................................... 12
  RECENT HISTORIC PERIOD AND PRESENT LAND USE ................................................. 14

METHODOLOGY ...................................................................................................................... 15
  FIELD METHODOLOGY ................................................................................................. 15
  LABORATORY METHODOLOGY ..................................................................................... 15

FIELDWORK RESULTS ......................................................................................................... 15

RECOMMENDATIONS ............................................................................................................ 24

REFERENCES ......................................................................................................................... 25
LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: USGS Walluck Quadrangle Map Showing Project Area Location ........................................ 2
Figure 2: Tax Map Key [TMK 3-8-37:28] Showing Project Area ..................................................... 3
Figure 3: Project Area Overview Photos (Conjoined) of Project Area. View to East ..................... 4
Figure 4: TMK: 3-8-07 Showing Land Commission Award Locations East of Lower Main Street and Near the Project Area .............................................................................. 15
Figure 5: TMK: 3-8-38:28 Plan View Showing Stratigraphic Trench Locations and Associated Anomalies .................................................................................................................... 17
Figure 6: ST-8 Stratigraphic Sectional Profile Drawing ................................................................. 19
Figure 7: ST-8 Stratigraphic Sectional Profile ................................................................................. 20
Figure 8: ST-7 Stratigraphic Sectional Profile Photo ....................................................................... 20
Figure 9: ST-7 Stratigraphic Sectional Profile Drawing ............................................................... 21
Figure 10: ST-9 Overview ................................................................................................................. 22
Figure 11: ST-2 Sectional Photo of Stratified, Cemented Sand Layers ......................................... 22
Figure 12: ST-2 Stratigraphic Profile Drawing ................................................................................ 23
INTRODUCTION

At the request of Michael Bollenbacher of Agora Realty and Management, Scientific Consultant Services (SCS) Inc. performed an Inventory Survey on an L-shaped land parcel totaling 0.76 acres in Wailuku, Wailuku Ahupua'a, Wailuku District, Island of Maui, Hawai'i [TMK: 3-8-37:28] (Figures 1 and 2). The Inventory Survey consisted of a systematic pedestrian survey as well as subsurface testing for archaeological materials. The focus of this work was to investigate the parcel for the presence/absence of archaeological structures or artifacts on the parcel's surface or subsurface. Because no cultural materials were found, this report has been renamed an Archaeological Assessment instead of an Inventory Survey Report. The subject parcel is being purchased by Agora Realty and Management for residential development¹. Under the direction of the Principal Investigator, Michael Dega, Ph.D., SCS archaeologists Alison Chun, Ph.D., and Guerin Tome, B.A., conducted the pedestrian survey on March 33, 2005 and the mechanical subsurface testing on the 23rd and 24th of March.

ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

The 0.76-acre, sloped (≈ 5 degrees south north) land parcel is located on the isthmus of windward Maui at approximately 160 meters above mean sea level (masl) and approximately 0.65 km from the Wailuku Ahupua'a coastline (see Figures 1 and 2). Apartment complexes and paved roads border the subject parcel's perimeters (Figure 3). The combined synopsis of sediment research completed in the area by Foote et al. (1972), Donham (1994), and the current survey indicate that matrices in the project area are predominantly composed of the Puaone Sand Series (Pzse) and derived from coral and marine shells. Additional descriptions of the Puaone Sand Series state that they are found on moderately sloping to moderately steep surfaces, and they are “somewhat excessively drained on low uplands” (Foote et al. 1972:17'). During subsurface testing for archaeological materials, lenses of silty clay fill were also observed.

Vegetation reflective of an open environment was found on the parcel and consisted of grasses such as buffelgrass (Cenchrus ciliaris) and natal redtop (Rhynchosporum repens). Other vegetation on the project area included beggar’s tick (Bidens alba), kau hao'e (Leucaena leucocephala), golden crown beard (Verbesina encelioides), spiny amaranth (Amaranthus spinosus), Jamaica vervain (Stachytarpheta jamaicensis), Flora's pinnbrush (Emilia fosbergii), cow pea (Mucupiurn labirynoides), 'aleiho' (Waltheria indica), Chinese violet (Asystasia gangetica), kava (Prosopis pallida), cassava bean (Pitheus communis), sourbush (Pitheus

¹ Although a Real Estate Services Information Service (RESIS) edition of the tax map key for the subject parcel listed C. Earl Senter, Jr. and Daniel P. Fong, an internet search in www.mauipropertytax.com identified the TMK for owner as Elliot Bollenbacher.
Figure 1: USGS Wailuku Quadrangle Map Showing Project Area Location.
Figure 2: Tax Map Key [TMK 3-8-37:23] Showing Project Area.
carolinensis), garden spurge (Chamaesyce hirta), rattle pod (Crotalaria assimilis), prickly pear (Opuntia ficus-indica), and partridge pea (Chamaecrista nictitans). On the perimeter of the 0.76-acre parcel decorative flora such as wedelia (Wedelia trilobata), Christmas berry (Schinus terebinthifolius), aloe (Aloe vera), sweet potato (Ipomea sp.), and a lime tree were observed. The only native plant species identified was 'ilima (Sida fallax). The parcel did not contain any overstory plants.

TRADITIONAL AND HISTORIC SETTING

The ahuapua'a of Wailuku is located on the northeastern side of West Maui in the district of Wailuku. The project area is situated near the lower southeastern slope of Maui's second largest volcano, Pu'u Kukui, which rises to over 1,764 m amsl. Sterling (1998:74) states that Wailuku translates to “water of destruction” in the Hawaiian language. Pukui and Elbert (1981) submit that Wailuku was the reported name “for an ulua fishing line” and for the name of chrysanthemum.

'Iao Valley, and by extension, Wailuku Aupua'a and Wailuku District, are frequently mentioned in historical texts and traditional oral accounts as being politically, ceremonially, and geographically important areas during traditional times (Cordy 1981, 1996; Kirch 1983). Wailuku was considered a “chieftly center” (Sterling 1998:96) with many of the chiefs and much of the area's population residing near or within portions of Iao Valley. The numerous heiau constructed in the area suggest the ceremonial and/or religious importance of the area during pre-Contact times. During historic times, the large concentration of Land Commission Awards
PREHISTORY

Archaeological settlement data indicates that initial colonization and occupation of the Hawaiian Islands first occurred on the windward sides of the main islands, with populations eventually settling into drier, leeward areas at later periods (Kirch 1985). In the Waiehu and Wailuku areas of Maui, northwest of the current project area, Kirch (1983:87) notes that "a number of coastal dune midden sites have been reported, and at least one of these contained pearl-shell fishhooks similar to those from the Bellows Site, eroding from the wave-cut midden." (The Bellows site, located on the windward coast of O‘ahu, has yielded dates of occupation, albeit controversial, from A.D. 300-600 [Pearson et al. 1971], one of the earliest dated sites in the Hawaiian Islands.) Research within Wailuku Ahupua‘a—especially near Tāo Valley (windward locations)—indicates that the area was likely settled between c. A.D. 1100 (Kirch 1983:142) and A.D. 1200 (Frederickson and Frederickson 1996).

One of the earliest references for Tāo Valley itself refers to a Maui king in power during the A.D. 1400s (Sterling 1998:34). The king, Kaka‘e, was held in such reverence that commoners could not look upon him without suffering punishment by death. King Kaka‘e thus became a hermit within Tāo Valley during the 1400s so that his subjects could live without fear. It was supposed that this king also created a royal burial grounds (Kapela), an enigmatic place that was designated for himself and for worthy successors as a sacred burial area.

Connolly (1974:5) states that pre-Contact Tāo valley had a large population base with "most people residing in a settlement near Tāo Needle." Supposedly, the subsistence base of this population consisted of fish and kalo (i.e., taro), with Kahului Harbor and the coast close by and lo‘i systems lining Tāo Valley's stream banks. Prehistoric ditches or kahawai were utilized in kalo cultivation (Connolly 1974:5). Sterling (1998:86) adds that two kahawai within the valley "have existed immemorially and were evidently constructed for the purpose of irrigating kalo on the plains which stretch away to the northward and southward of the [Tāo] river. Several minor kahawai have, since ancient times, tapped the river at different points lower down and spread the water through the lands in the gulch on either side of the river bed." Handy (in Sterling 1998:82) further notes that "from Waiehu and Wailuku Valley, in ancient times, was the largest continuous area of wet kalo cultivation in the islands." Cheever (1851:124) writes: "The whole valley of
Walluku, cultivated terrace after terrace, gleaming with running waters and standing pools, is a spectacle of uncommon beauty to one that has a position a little above it.

Recent archaeological research (Frederickson and Frederickson 1996:52) has revealed that habitation sites along what is now Lower Main Street in Walluku, "are associated with the rich kalo producing lands in the Lower 'Iao River flood plain and the extensive cultivation systems present in 'Iao Valley." These habitation sites have been dated to the A.D. 15th through 17th centuries. The 'Iao Valley area was not only renowned for its agricultural base during prehistoric times but its ceremonial and political base as well (see also Cordy 1996; Donham 1996).

Halekii Heiau, part of the Halekii-Pihana Heiau complex, was constructed during the mid and late 18th century (Sterling 1998:89). These monuments (State Site Number 50-50-04-522) are located along the northeastern side of the current project area and are described as very important to Hawaiian history. Yent (1983:7) noted an interesting life cycle for the ali'i who lived nearby. Kamehameha I's wife was born there, Kahekilii lived there, and Kekaulike died there. Thrum (1909:46) reported that Kamehameha I evoked his war god at Pihana Heiau after his warriors defeated Kalaniopu'u's forces during the Battle of 'Iao in 1790. The two heiau are primarily associated with Kahekilii, who is connected with the Halekii-Pihana complex between A.D. 1765 and 1790, and Kamehameha, during his conquering of Maui in 1792 (Yent 1983:18).

Importantly, Halekii and Pihana Heiau are the only remaining pre-Contact Hawaiian structures of religious and historical importance in the Walluku-Kahului area that are easily accessible to the public (Estiko-Griffin and Yent 1986:3). As stated, the area within and adjacent to the current project is known not only for its religious and/or ceremonial significance, but for its political prominence as well.

The Fredericksons' (1996:52) report that politically, Walluku [village] was known as a central settlement for high ranking chiefs and their retinue. The Walluku area was also witness to many battles: from the Battles of 'Iao and Sand Hill to the Battles of Kepaniwai and Kakanilua. The most famous battle was at Kepaniwai where in July 1790, Kamehameha I finally wrested control of Maui Island. Kamehameha I and his warriors landed at the Kawela portion of Kahului Bay and proceeded up 'Iao and other valleys to score a decisive victory. Walluku, meaning "water of destruction," sufficiently describes the area in which many of these major battles occurred. Warriors apparently dwelt in the Kalanianaole area of 'Iao Valley (southeast of 'Iao.
Stream below Pihana Heiau, and were "trained in war skills and there was a boxing site in the time of Kahekili" (Sterling 1998:39).

Just southwest of the project area, Creed (1993) has written extensively on the traditional background of the Wailuku area. Many classes of sites are found or may have existed in the Wailuku-Waikapu area during traditional times. Creed (1993:19-21) provides an extensive list, including some site types that would not apply to the current parcel due to its distance from major drainages, the coastline, and its open land classification. Traditional sites that would apply include agricultural sites (i.e., kula lands, waike patches, hala: trees, pigs, and potato patches), boundary walls, burials (sometimes located in habitation terraces), feather gathering areas (particularly in the mountains to the west), habitation loci, and pohaku (an adze stone marks the border between Wailuku and Waikapu). While populations were predominantly centered in 'Iao Valley and Waikapu Valley, there was agricultural and habitation activity in the open grasslands above the coastal flats. Evidence for such activities has not yet been found through archaeological means, a situation that places much culpability on historic land use that may have erased or scattered this evidence. As such, there is much more evidence for historic activities occurring in the area.

HISTORICAL WAILUKU AND 'IAO VALLEY

The Wailuku area, as Kirch (1985:134) also notes, was an important center of political development during late prehistoric and early historic times and was the seat of powerful chiefs, including Kahekili, arch-rival of Kamehameha. Kamehameha I's unification of the Hawaiian Islands in 1790 brought Maui under the political control of its first non-Maui chief during July of that year. The last king of Maui was Kahekili II, son of King Kekaulike, both who are supposedly interred at the sacred burial grounds in upper 'Iao Valley. By the early historic period, significant natural and cultural changes had taken place, not only due to contact with westerners, but also because of internal social and environmental restructuring and external social and environmental factors (e.g., foreign species being introduced as well as foreign ideologies). These combined to have a severe impact on Hawaiian environments, land-tenure, and social structures.

Several periods of various land utilization strategies occurred within 'Iao Valley and down below on the floodplains. Between 1778 and 1848, traditional land use occurred within 'Iao Valley, albeit on a smaller scale, as the "Conquest" period began and the Sandalwood and whaling trades dominated political and commercial activity within the islands (Kirch and Sabatier 1992). Quite another conspicuous effect of the growing influence of foreigners in the Hawaiian Islands was the systematic division of lands, the Great Māhele of 1848. The Land Commission
oversaw land divisions of three groups: Crown Lands (King), Kamehameha Lands, and Government Lands, all of which were, in theory, open to the prerogative of native tenants. The awarded land claims, known as Land Commission Awards (LCA), bordered Tao Valley. They were numerous in quantity and concentrated on the plateau above the stream valley, along the top of its sidewalls. Burgett and Spear (2003) and Tome and Dega (2004) both conducted studies adjacent to that area.

Sterling (1998:86) notes that "the district of Wailuku was once thickly settled. kuleanas to the number of over 400 were granted to natives and others. A large portion of these cultivated kalo with the aid of water from the river." Tome and Dega's (2004) project area contained multiple LCA with some also being po'ailima lands. Po'ailima lands were lands farmed by commoners for chiefs, and these lands were worked only on Fridays (Pukui and Elbert 1986:153, 334). For Tome and Dega, research revealed most of the claimants of those particular LCAs stated their lands were used for the cultivation of kalo and that additional information concerning po'ailima lands was not available. Thus, the name of the chief overseeing those po'ailima parcels was not traceable. In a study of land use near the Tao Stream, Burgett and Spear (2003) noted that Wailuku era residents submitted 199 land claims of which 127 of these were awarded by the Land Commission in 1848 (Waibona 'Aina 1998).

**PREVIOUS ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH**

Prior to the current project, no formal archaeological research had previously been conducted on the subject parcel. However, several archaeological projects have occurred within the greater of Wailuku, all of which are important in determining traditional and historic-period settlement patterns within the general area of Wailuku (see Donham 1996).

Thrum (1909) conducted the first archaeological survey within Wailuku Ahupua‘a. He first identified the much investigated Halekii and Pihana Heiau. In addition to Thrum's work at the monumental structures, Stokes mapped the site in 1916. Walker also recorded the site in 1931, after his island-wide survey of Maui in which he identified many heiau within Wailuku Ahupua‘a. Kenneth P. Emory in 1939 was the next archaeologist working at this important site. During this time he reconstructed portions of Halekii and rendered another map of the heiau. The most recent work at the site was conducted by Yent (1983, 1984, 1994) who undertook a systematic survey, mapping, and excavations as part of a restoration plan. Yent's (1995) work yielded plan views of the site and detailed profiles of the heiau, as well as revealed construction techniques utilized to build the features.
Prior to the modern era, the only large-scale survey of Wailuku Ahupua'a and environs, albeit biased towards coastal structures, was conducted by Walker (1931). Recently, many other archaeological projects have been conducted in the area and have yielded much data regarding settlement pattern and land utilization within the ahupua'a. Kirch (1985:144) notes, however, that a "more intensive study of these important regions will help to unravel the sequence of economic, social, and political change that led to the development of the powerful Maui chiefdoms witnessed by Cook and others."

Connolly (1974), as part of the initial 'Iao Valley Flood Control Project (Phase I), conducted archaeological surveys on a parcel located upvalley from the present project area. Connolly's survey augmented a preliminary reconnaissance of the study area by K. Moore of Bishop Museum in April 1974, the latter noting the presence of stone structural remains thought to be kalo or lo'i terraces. During the survey, Connolly recorded two historic complexes composed of a substantial amount of terraces, free-standing walls, ditches, historic house foundations, and several stone mounds.

Identified by Connolly (1974) and distinguished as State Site No. 50-50-04-2978 (Wallace System Complex) and 50-50-04-2979 (North Terrace System Complex), the former sites, located on the south stream bank of 'Iao Valley, contained a site composed of twenty terraces; two irrigation ditches; one free-standing, diversionary wall; and two house foundations. The North Terrace System Complex consisted of a wetland kalo system represented by six kalo terraces, two free-standing walls, and two stone mounds of unknown function. Connolly (1974) believed both sites (and all features) to have been constructed during historic times, the sites presumably constructed by Portuguese workers living in a camp within the valley. Several artifacts were also recovered during the survey and represent traditional kalo processing such as a fractured basalt poi pounder and one unfinished basalt poi pounder. Connolly's (1974) work in the 'Iao Valley streambed set a precedent for anticipated findings during the other studies in 'Iao Valley.

During the 1990s, the intensity of archaeological work conducted in Wailuku rose. In 1999, Rotunno and Cleghorn located human burials on TMK: 3-8-07:1 and 110 in an area known as the Maui Lani Development Property. The human burials found on this tax map key were designated as State Site 50-50-04-2797 (Rotunno and Cleghorn 1990). In 1993, archaeological work on grounds of the Nisei Veterans Memorial Center (TMK: 3-8-07:122), located pre-Contact habitation sites (Site 50-50-04-3120) with associated human burials along with a portion Site 50-50-04-3112 identified as the Kahului Railroad (Fredericksen and
Frederickson 1992). Also in 1992, more human burials were found during construction on the property of the Maui Homeless Shelter (TMK: 3-8-46-21). [Donham 1992] The year 1993 saw more human burials uncovered. At the Maui Lani Development Property (Rotumou-Hazuka et al. 1994) multiple human burials were found. They were designated State Site 50-50-94-2797. At the site of the Home Maid Bakery (TMK: 3-8-37-49) on Lower Main Street in Wailuku, Donham (1994) discovered both historic and pre-Contact human burials. The site number assigned to these particular burials was Site 50-50-04-3556.

Further from the current project area, Dunn et al. (1995; 2004) identified three pre-Contact sites in sand along the Waiale Road during Archaeological Monitoring for the installation of a sewer pipeline. Site 50-50-04-4005 consisted of a single, disturbed human burial located in fill material; Site 50-50-04-4067 was a hearth and Site 50-50-04-4968 was an assemblage of 34 features that included 13 human burials and 21 habitational features. A single radiocarbon sample obtained from the hearth provided a radiocarbon date of A.D. 1434 to 1669 (98%) and A.D. 1772 to 1794 (2%) at 2 Sigma.

On Lower Main Street and Mill Street in Wailuku the Fredericksons (1996) conducted Data Recovery on TMK 3-4-39; portion 81 and 82. There, excavations at State Site 50-50-04-4127 revealed two extensive, subsurface cultural deposits, both "overlain by fill from historic earthmoving activities associated with the construction of the Kahului Railroad and Lower Main Street" (Frederickson and Frederickson 1996). While the upper cultural deposit was disturbed by the aforementioned activities, the lower layer contained intact pre-Contact features and artifacts associated with habitation. Artifacts associated with fishhook manufacture, lithic tool utilization and production, and food preparation were recovered from Layer II deposits. The deposits were dated and results suggested the site was occupied during the late pre-Contact period (A.D. 1570–1780). Importantly, this habitation site is likely associated with the lower Iao River flood plain in which kalo was presumably produced. Thus, habitation occurred above the valley floor while kalo production for households occurred on the rich but narrow alluvial flood plains of Iao Valley.

Finally, Cordy (1996) and Donham (1996) provide overview studies of prior archaeological work conducted in the area. Cordy (1996) discussed an overview of Māheʻa documents on land patterns in Iao Valley that clearly showed the lower valley region contained irrigated kalo fields throughout the flood plain and houses and associated grave sites at the base of the sand dunes bordering the sides of the flood plain. Donham (1996) also summarized that
House sites occur along the base of the sand dunes although the population did move
north through time.

A year later, Frederickson and Frederickson (1997) conducted an Archaeological
Inventory Survey on TMK: 3-4-39:82 that identified an undocumented cultural deposit
interpreted as a habitation site (State Site Number 50-50-14-4414) and an extension of their 1995
cultural deposit (State Site Number 50-50-04-4127). A single radiocarbon sample obtained from
the former provided a calibrated date of A.D. 1325 to 1340 and A.D. 1390 to 1670 at 2 Sigma
with a 95 percent probability rate.

On an Inventory Survey on TMK: 3-3-02: portion of 001 and 3-4-52: portion of 001,
Tome and Dega (2004) identified a total of four archaeological sites of which Site -1508 (the
Spreckels Ditch) was previously recorded. Site 50-50-04-5554 was the historic bridge
constructed and used for the transportation of Wailuku’s sugar cane industry; Site 50-50-04-5565
was the former lo‘i fields used during pre-Contact into early post-Contact times; Site 50-50-04-
5566 was a small, concrete-lined irrigation ditch also constructed and used during the sugar cane
industry that most likely stemmed into aiding the macadamia nut industry. A supplement of
eleven stratigraphic trenches was placed at various points along the proposed routes that tested
subsurface soil deposits for human alteration and influence. Three stratigraphic trenches aided
the confirmation that the former lo‘i fields, once abundant in the lower portions of Iao Valley,
still exist and that they were under fill. With the exception of the former lo‘i fields that were
once used in pre-Contact times, no traditional archaeological sites were found thus, attributing
the intensive cultivation of sugar cane and macadamia nuts to the destruction and removal of
such sites (Tome and Dega 2004).

These sampled archaeological studies provide much insight into the nature of traditional
and historical activities occurring within and near the current Iao Valley project area. Through
past archaeological research, oral traditions, and historical records, the chronology and
settlement pattern of the valley may be brought into focus.

The settlement pattern and timing of land utilization may be conveniently (and
arbitrarily) divided into several general periods: traditional pre-Contact settlement, the early
historic period, early post-Contact, the recent historic and present land use. Together, these
periods create a synthesis of land use in and near the project area as well as provide a basis on
which researchers explored succinct research questions during reconnaissance and sampling
work.
SETTLEMENT PATTERN AND LAND UTILIZATION

Waialuku Ahupua'a and its coastal environs are thought to have been initially settled around A.D. 1100 to 1200. Through time, settlement expanded to more inland locales, such as various portions of 'Iao Valley itself. The Waialuku area is considered to have been a chiefly and ceremonial center during pre-Contact times. Settlement, burial grounds, coastal exploitation of marine resources, and lo'i systems in 'Iao Valley were supposedly common during pre-Contact times. Between A.D. 1500 and 1700, archaeological data indicates that habitation occurred near 'Iao Valley, with the valley itself utilized as kalo-producing lands. The massive sand dunes of Waialuku in which the project area is situated, is known for the multiple archaeological sites containing human burial and habitational features. The numerous heiau attest to the significance of the area (e.g., Haleakii and Pihana Heiau) and war gods were invoked by Hawaiians at the temples (e.g., by Kamemeha I, for example). Many battles were fought within 'Iao Valley, most poignantly the Battle of 'Iao in which Kamemeha I gained complete control of the island, displacing the Maui chief before him. The unification of the Hawaiian Islands by Kamemeha I, coupled with an increasing influx of foreigners in the islands, ushered in the early historic period.

EARLY HISTORIC PERIOD

In addition to the unification of the islands, perhaps the most significant development following contact with Westerners was the Great Mahāle of 1848. Based on observations of LCA claims in the vicinity of the project area, few LCAs were awarded on the east side of Lower Main Street while many LCAs were distributed in areas on the west side of Lower Main Street especially those lands bordering 'Iao Valley and the 'Iao Valley Stream. Most lands bordering 'Iao Valley and the 'Iao Valley Stream were utilized for the cultivation of kalo and hala trees, and for house sites resting near agricultural production areas. There, the LCAs listed were likely used on a continuous basis from pre-Kamemeha I times at least through the middle to late 19th century. Of the few LCAs (420 and 7713; Figure 4) on the east side of Lower Main Street and in the vicinity of the project area, only LCA 420 provided limited description of how the award was utilized. Based on database information from the Wailoa Aina Corporation, LCA 420 had two apuna (i.e., slices of land) of which one was utilized as a house lot. With observations of TMK: 1-8-07, it must also be mentioned that while LCA 420 is in the vicinity of the project area, it is not clear that the LCA is in the project area. Post-1848 awarding of land parcels and the subdividing of such likely contributed to the distortion of LCA boundaries.
Figure 4: TMK: 1-8-67 Showing Land Commission Award Locations East of Lower Main Street and Near the Project Area.
Another significant development was the cultivation of sugar cane, which began in the Iao Valley area during the 1850s. Sugar cane became the dominant crop cultivated in the area and provided occupational opportunities for both local and non-local residents. With sugar cane cultivation came irrigation and processing structures across the landscape like irrigation ditches, mills, and other infrastructures supporting the cash crop production. Sugar cane cultivation continued through the 20th century.

RECENT HISTORIC PERIOD AND PRESENT LAND USE

During the 20th century, sugar cane cultivation continued on an intensive scale. A Portuguese worker camp providing a residence for plantation workers was located in Iao Valley. The 1916 flood destroyed this camp and a nearby rock crusher. After the flood, the sugar cane plantations rebuilt many of the irrigation ditches and mill stations that were wiped out in the flood. Sugar cane continued to be the dominant activity in the Iao Valley area, although small kalo plots were still being cultivated. To the west and mauka of the current project area, land was utilized during World War II as a military training area. In addition, ranching became a viable activity in the Iao Valley area, particularly in mauka areas below the precipitous cliffs of the West Maui mountain range. At present, lands surrounding the project area are utilized for apartment housing. The Halekii and Pihana Heiau area has been preserved and restored. The project area mainly displays a low growth of grasses and remains non-utilized.

Overall, the settlement pattern of the project area and environs suggests a range of site types associated with various landforms (see Cordy 1996 and Donham 1996 for settlement pattern summaries). For instance, irrigated kalo fields would occur on the flood plains where alluvial soil and hydrological output are both present in sufficient quantities (and quality) to allow for successful cultivation. Related to a wholly different soil type, traditional subsurface habitation deposits with associated burial loci occur at the base of sand dunes adjacent to the flood plains (e.g., sand dunes located in and across Waiola Road from the project area). Sand dunes occur on both sides of the stream valley flood plain. Traditional activity areas were also utilized during historic times. For example, sugar cane cultivation occurred on an industrial level in flood plain reaches from the 1850s. Even later portions of the valley were utilized during World War II as training areas. A survey of all topographic features associated with the valley has yielded variable land use patterns through time.
METHODOLOGY

FIELD METHODOLOGY
Multiple field tasks were completed during this survey. First, a brief pedestrian survey was conducted in order to identify archaeological sites and assess project area geographical features. Second, vegetation within the project area was identified using Pratt (1993), Wagner et al. (1990), and Whistler (1995). Interval spacing of five meters between SCS personnel was employed to ensure adequate coverage during the survey. During the pedestrian survey, results were compiled on standard graphing paper as well as with digital photography. All measurements were recorded in metric units. Finally, a mechanical backhoe with a 0.7 m wide (exterior measurement) bucket was employed to mechanically excavate stratigraphic trenches (ST3) to locate and investigate (when present) the vertical depth of subsurface archaeological deposits. Soil stratigraphy encountered during excavation was documented utilizing metric graph paper and United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Munsell soil color charts. Once found, portable archaeological materials—soils sampled included—were collected and recorded with applicable provenience and placed in plastic and paper bags for laboratory analysis.

LABORATORY METHODOLOGY
All field notes, digital photographs, and collected archaeological materials were curated at the SCS laboratory in Honolulu. All stratigraphic profiles were drafted for presentation within this report. Representative plan view sketches showing location and morphology of identified sites/features/deposits were illustrated. All retrieved artifact and midden samples were cleaned, sorted, and analyzed. Marine gastropods and bivalves were identified using applicable references. Significant artifacts were scanned or photographed and classified for qualitative analysis. All metric measurements and weights were also recorded for quantitative analysis. Midden samples were minimally identified to the lowest possible taxonomic classification (e.g., bivalve, gastropod mollusk, echinoderm, fish, bird, and mammal). All data were clearly recorded on standard laboratory forms that included numbers and weights (as appropriate) of each constituent category.

FIELDWORK RESULTS
Through pedestrian survey and subsurface testing, the Archaeological Assessment failed to reveal any archaeological deposits on 0.67-acres of land on TMK: 14-4-37:28. The ground surface of the project area was visible for an area of approximately 50 percent and the remainder was covered with tall grasses, although the grasses were parted for further inspection. Fourteen
randomly placed stratigraphic trenches totaling an excavation area of approximately 339.44 m² confirmed the project area matrix was mostly sand with intermittent observations of imported silty clay lenses (Figure 5). Stratigraphic trenches were terminated at an approximate depth of 3.0 m or upon contact with cemented sand (which ever came first). During the mechanical trenching, locations of silty sand overlying concreted sand were revealed in four of the stratigraphic trenches (ST-6, 7, 8, and 14). The oldest cultural material found during excavation of the project area was a glass soft drink bottle that was identified in the field as being produced in the 1970s. Otherwise, no archaeological materials were observed on the project area’s surface or in the stratigraphic trenches. The stratigraphic trench results are summarized in Table 1, followed by examples of stratigraphic sectional profiles showing matrix composition variation and anomalies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1: STRATIGRAPHIC TRENCH SUMMARY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ST Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL EXCAVATED AREA</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall, all of the stratigraphic trenches revealed sandy matrices with the first 10 centimeters below surface being a vegetative root occupation zone. In most stratigraphic trenches, Layer 1 was a semi-compact, very fine granular structured, greyish brown (10YR 5/3, dry) sand. Exceptions to this rule were ST-9 and 14, which displayed an alternate sand color. For both trenches, Layer 1 was a semi-compact, very fine granular structured, pinkish gray (7.5YR 6/2, dry) sand with the first 10 cmbs being a vegetative root occupation zone. The color
Figure 5: TMX: 1-S-33:23 Plan View Showing Stratigraphic Trench Locations and Associated Anomalies.
variation among trenches with very pale brown sand and those with pinkish gray sand were not seen as deciding factors of human alteration of the project area; the color was inconsequential.

However, matrix composition variations (colors excluded) of sandy matrices were observed with several trench walls displaying silty clay lenses (Figure 6). The silty clay lens observed in ST-1 displayed non-diagnostic iron that strongly suggested the silty clay was probably discarded as extra material from construction activities that occurred surrounding the project area. Other trenches (i.e., ST-6, 7, 8, and 14) revealed a pre-termination layer of semi-compact, very fine (less than 1 mm diameter) granular structured dark brown (7.5 YR 3/2, dry) silty sand with few vegetative roots of less than 1 cm diameter (Figures 7, 8, and 9). Trenches excavated higher in elevation were observed to have gray sand matrices (i.e., portion of ST-2 and 10, all of ST-9 [Figure 10]). ST-9 and 10 gray matrices was a semi-compact, very fine granular structured, pinkish gray (7.5 YR 6/2, dry) sand.

Also noticeable was the presence of the cemented sand that is part of the sand dunes in Wailuku. ST-2, located near the southern perimeter of the project area, displayed a length of approximately 24.0 m of cemented and sometimes stratified sand layers (Figures 11 and 12). In ST-3, the skeleton of a quadruped mammal was discovered at approximately 1.9 m below surface and 13.1 m from ST-3’s south wall. A few osteological samples were recovered to represent a relative depth in which cultural materials could be found. Field analysis of the sampled osteological remains identified the mammal as a sub-adult based on a non-fused femoral epiphysis. No cultural material was observed in association with the mammalian skeleton.

No subterranean architecture, midden deposits, or topographical changes indicative of earlier (i.e., +50 years ago) land use were observed. The assessment that the entire 0.76-acre could contain historic properties (human burials, habitation areas etc.) was demonstrated by the depth (1.9 mbs) at which the quadruped mammal skeleton was found. The presence of the silty clay lenses suggests the parcel was utilized as an informal depositional area for unused, imported fill while the silty sand found pre-termination in ST-6, 7, 8, and 14 suggest a portion of the project area may have been subjected to minor land alteration for construction development purposes. Although these particular trenches did not reveal cultural material, the area’s overall potential to do so is extremely high. Sand deposits extending to depths greater than two meters are the indicator that cultural deposits might be found in the future.
Figure 6: ST-8 Stratigraphic Sectional Profile Drawing.
Figure 7: ST-8 Stratigraphic Sectional Profile.

Figure 8: ST-7 Stratigraphic Sectional Profile Photo.
Figure 9: ST-7 Stratigraphic Sectional Profile Drawing.
Figure 10: ST-9 Overview.

Figure 11: ST-2 Sectional Photo of Stratified, Cemented Sand Layers.
RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the above information, in conjunction with archaeological findings near the project area (e.g., Home Maid Bakery), it is probable that archaeological materials are present on the single 0.75-acre parcel in subsurface contexts. It appears likely, although not definite, that any subsurface work on the parcel may lead to the unearthing of such materials. As sandy contexts are present on the parcel, there is a high probability that human burials and/or associated historic properties (e.g., trash deposits, hearths) would be encountered. Thus, Archaeological Monitoring is recommended for this parcel (TMK: 3-8-37:28).
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Appendix B:
SHPD Approval Letter
June 22, 2005

Michael Dega, Ph.D.
Scientific Consultant Services
711 Kapiolani Blvd. Suite 975
Honolulu, HI 96813

Dear Dr. Dega:

SUBJECT: Historic Preservation Review 6E-42 - Archaeological Assessment Survey
0.76 Acres in Wailuku for Mr. Michael Bollenbacher
Wailuku District, Wailuku District, Island of Maui
TMK (2) 3-8-37: 28

Thank you for the opportunity to review this report which our staff received on May 2, 2005 (Tome and Dega 2005, An Archaeological Assessment of 0.76 in Wailuku, Wailuku Ahupua'a, Wailuku District, Island of Maui, Hawaii, [TMK3-8-37:28])...Scientific Consultant Services, Inc., ms. As indicated above, the parcel consists of 0.76 acres located within the Pu‘uone Dune system, nearly at the apex.

The background section acceptably establishes the ahupua’a settlement pattern and predicts the likely site pattern in the project area. The historical information provided summarizes the history of the post-contact period land uses. The summary of previous archaeological work in the area provides a baseline for the current work. It was anticipated that burials and/or cultural deposits might be encountered in the dune system.

The survey has adequately covered the project area documenting no historic properties. Subsurface testing (fourteen backhoe trenches) were also negative for evidence of cultural deposits. A total of 339.44 m³ evidenced minor amounts of imported silty clay fill.

We concur with the mitigation recommendations that archaeological monitoring is warranted in the subject parcel during all ground altering disturbance. The parcel’s location in the sand dune suggests a high probability that subsurface deposits may yet be identified within. Please specify in
We find this report to be acceptable. We will await the submittal of an archaeological monitoring plan following any request for determination from the county to SHPD. As always, if you disagree with our comments or have questions, please contact Dr. Melissa Kirkendall (Maui/Lana'i SHPD 243-5169) as soon as possible to resolve these concerns.

Aloha,

MELANIE A. CHINEN, Administrator
State Historic Preservation Division

MK: kf

c:  Bert Ratte, DPWEM, County of Maui
    Michael Foley, Director, Dept of Planning, 250 S. High Street, Wailuku, HI 96793
    Maui Cultural Resources Commission, Dept. of Plng, 250 S. High St, Wailuku, HI 96793
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Maui News Affidavit of Publication
Fig. 1 Map of a Portion of Wailuku, Maui. M.D. Monsarrat, 1882
Fig. 2 Regional Location Map of proposed project
Fig. 3. West Maui. This is a portion of a map of Maui by W.D. Alexander. 1885 Hawaiian Government Survey Map. Brought up to date in 1903 by John M. Donn.
Fig. 4. The Makawela fishery is visible around neighboring buildings from the mauka boundary of the subject parcel. Engledow photo 9/11

Fig. 5. Pihana and Halekiʻi Heiau are on a ridge across the ʻĪao Stream from the subject parcel. Engledow photo 9/11
Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project
Cultural Impact Assessment

I. Introduction

At the request of Chris Hart & Partners, Inc., researcher and writer Jill Engledow prepared this Cultural Impact Assessment of the proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project at 511 Imi Place, TMK (2) 3-8-037:028. This 32,953-square-foot property is located at the top of a long sand dune running more or less perpendicular to the shoreline of Kahului Harbor. The property is about 160 m. above sea level and 0.65 km from the shoreline.

This project will be developed with the Affordable Housing Fund of the County of Maui Department of Housing and Human Concerns. The use of county funds requires the preparation of an Environmental Assessment, which must include a Cultural Impact Assessment to identify and address effects on Hawaii’s culture and traditional customary rights. This Cultural Impact Assessment was prepared in accordance with the Guidelines for Assessing Cultural Impacts (Office of Environmental Quality Control 1997).

II. Report Methodology/Resource Materials Reviewed

Sources sited in archival research are listed in the attached bibliography. Additional searches included the Internet and the indexes of a variety of books on Hawaiian culture and history. Engledow also conducted interviews with one resident who remembers the area over the past 50 years and one Hawaiian cultural expert.

III. Study Area Description

This site is located on the top of a sand dune in an area which is almost entirely developed as a residential neighborhood. The site is surrounded by apartment buildings and paved roads. It overlooks Kahului Harbor and the northern-central coast of Maui. Plants on the site are primarily non-natives, common wayside plants like bufflegrass and koa haole. (Fig. 4)
IV. Study Area History

The subject property is located in the ‘ili of ‘Owā, which is in the ahupua’a of Wailuku, within the Wailuku district. This place name is not in Pukui’s Place Names of Hawaii, but is visible on a map drawn by Monsarrat in 1882. (Fig. 1) The diacritical markings used in this report are based on the usage in Ruling Chiefs of Hawaii. (Kamakau, page 229)

The Monsarrat map shows how the geography of Wailuku shaped its settlement. On both sides of the ‘Īao Stream, from the mouth of ‘Īao Valley to the shoreline just below the subject property, dozens of kuleana line the shore. The settlement areas run up against Wailuku’s famous pu‘u‘one, or sand dunes. Close inspection of a digital copy of this map using the “zoom” feature shows no evidence of development on the long dune that includes the subject property, stretching from the shore to the area of Wai‘ale Pond. The only exception is the road whose configuration on this old map matches that of Main Street/Ka‘ahumanu Avenue on Fig. 2, Regional Location Map.

The steep edge of the dune on the northwestern side is bordered by railroad tracks, a newcomer to the neighborhood when the map was made. Kahului & Wailuku Railroad laid its first tracks in 1879, with “the first locomotive ever put in motion in these islands” “whistling as if with joy” as it ran up and down the track on the July 2, 1879. At the time, a quarter-mile toward Wailuku had been laid. (McKinney, in Condé, page 118) The track reached the Wailuku Depot on September 10, presumably the depot seen on the Monsarrat map near the corner where Mill Street today joins Lower Main Street, roads which apparently were also in existence in 1882.

In the times before Western contact, the Wailuku district was a center of political power. The district included ‘Īao Valley, where chiefs were buried in ancient times, the locations of their graves kept carefully secret. (Walker in Sterling, page 80) At the other end of the district, in the place we now call Kahului, were two great fishponds, Kanahā and Mau‘oni. Only Kanahā still exists.

Around the end of the 18th century, paramount chief Kahek‘ili resided with his entourage in Wailuku. “Kahek‘iliwas living at Pihana, at Paukukalo, and at Wailuku with the chiefs, his companions and favorites, and his warriors. . .” (Kamakau, page 83) Pihana, across the ‘Īao Stream on the sandstone ridge to the northwest of the subject property, was the site of two important heiau. On that sand dune were located Haleki‘i and Pihana, remnants of which still exist. (Fig.5)

Wailuku was an attractive area for powerful chiefs. With the ‘Īao Stream providing
plentiful water, much of what is now the city of Wailuku once was leveled and terraced to produce kalo. “The whole valley of Wailuku, cultivated terrace after terrace, gleaming with running waters and standing pools, is a spectacle of uncommon beauty to one that has a position a little above it,” the Rev. Henry T. Cheever wrote in 1851. (Cheever in Sterling, page 75.) The Alexander map shown in Fig.3 indicates by blue striping that kalo farming took place even in 1884 along the borders of the stream. It seems likely that there was little human activity atop this sand dune, however, based on the 1882 map, and the archaeological findings of no cultural materials. (Dega and Guerin, page 2)

Wailuku also was the site of several important battles. In 1776, Kalaniopu’u, paramount chief of Hawai‘i Island, dispatched a fleet of canoes to the south shore of the central isthmus and sent his most high-ranking warriors across the sand hills to attack Kahekili, paramount chief of Maui. Maui warriors hiding among the dunes slaughtered the Big Island invaders in the Battle of Kakanilua. (Kamakau, pages 85-86)

Perhaps the most famous battle to take place in this area was Kepaniwai. This battle, in which the Hawai‘i Island chief Kamehameha used Western armaments to defeat the army of Kalanikupule, son of Kahekili, began with the arrival of a great fleet of canoes filled with warriors that lined the shores of Kahului Bay. The Big Island warriors drove the Maui army through Wailuku and into ‘Īao Valley, where cannon handled by Western sailors John Young and Isaac Davis slaughtered so many that the stream was dammed, resulting in the name “Kepaniwai”-- the damming of the waters. One can imagine Maui lookouts observing the arriving war canoes from the top of this ‘Ōwā sand dune, or even civilians scrambling to its heights to avoid the battle below.

The sand dunes of the Wailuku district and the great isthmus known as Kama‘oma‘o and later as the Wailuku and Waikapū Commons clearly provided a military advantage that probably helped attract high chiefs to set up their headquarters there. While everyday life and farming took place in the lowlands along the stream bed, the dunes could serve as hiding places for ambush, as at the Battle of Kakanilua, or as lookout points. The ridge where Pihana and Haleki‘i are located, and possibly the ridge where the subject property is located, could have allowed surveillance of the whole northeast coast, as well as a view south to detect invaders coming from the Kihei-Mā‘alaea area.

The ‘ili of ‘Ōwā is shown on the Monsarrat map as including the makai end of this long sand dune as well as part of the flatter lands between the edge of the dune and the stream. According to researcher Hokuao Pellegrino, ‘Ōwā includes Sand Hills, Baldwin High School, Baldwin High School, Maui Arts & Cultural Center and the University of Hawai‘i Maui College. (Enomoto) The ‘ili is mentioned once in Kamakau's Ruling Chiefs of Hawaii, where he describes the deathbed advice of the Wailuku konohiki, Noa Auwae. Auwae warned his
family of the potential for the land where they lived being taken away on the whim of the king, and advised them to ‘“go to the chief Ka-lani-kau-i-ke-aouli and buy the land of Owa so that it may not be taken away from you; and if Wailuku is taken, Owa will be left and you can live there securely.’ It was this advice of Noa Auwae that made H. Kawailepolepo go to Oahu and buy the land of Owa.” (Kamakau, page 229) It is not clear how Kawailepolepo could have ‘bought’ this land in 1834, before private property ownership became possible after the Māhele ‘Āina, but missionary Rev. Jonathan Green also recorded this transfer of power at the death of Noa Auwae, whom he characterized as a man of great dignity of character and wisdom. (Green)

Records from the Māhele and of land grants and royal patents show that portions of ‘Owā were given to several different individuals in the second half of the 19th century. The online database ulukau.org also lists several Hawaiian-language newspaper articles mentioning ‘Owā (including the Noa Auwae story above, later included in Ruling Chiefs), some of which include the names of those individuals--Kuihelani and Kaauwai, for example. According to the Monsarrat map, this section appears to have been given to Kuihelani. Later it became part of the land grant to sugar baron Claus Spreckels.

Development of housing in the area around this dune started was underway by the 1960s, with the apartments at the top developed beginning in the 1970s.

V. Oral Interviews

Two individuals shared their personal knowledge of this area in the preparation of this Cultural Impact Assessment. A legal ad in The Maui News requested information from anyone with knowledge of cultural practices around this parcel; no replies were received.

Leslie Kuloloio

Leslie Kuloloio, a longtime resident of Kahului and a recognized Hawaiian cultural expert, has been involved with archaeological and cultural studies in Central Maui since 1967. He has worked with archaeologists and landowners seeking evidence of habitation, burials and other archaeological sites in Waihe‘e, Waiehu, Paukūkalo, Keouelani Park and Maui Lani, among others. Mr. Kuloloio also has made a personal study of the geographical formation of Maui, and in particular of Central Maui.

On September 19, 2011, Mr. Kuloloio took the writer of this report on a drive around this area, following the length of the ‘Owā sand dune on which the subject property is located. Mr. Kuloloio said that, in all of the studies he has been a part of, there have been virtually no signs of villages or habitation sites on the sandy areas of Central Maui.
Clearly this area was too dry, and the sandstone of the dunes too hard, to support human life, he said. There are burials in many places in Central Maui, mostly scattered, but with a couple of areas of concentration. One is at the Nisei Veterans Memorial Center near the makai end of the ‘Owā dune. Like that one, most burial sites are along the bottom edge of the dunes. Mr. Kuloloio said he would not expect to see any human burials at the top of the dune in the area where the subject property is located.

While the land in these sand dunes would not have held human habitation, the area offshore was a well-known fishery. The fishery directly in front of the ‘Owā sand dune was known as Makawela -- “burning eyes.” Like other fisheries along this coast, it extended a mile into the ocean. It is possible that fishermen climbed up onto this dune and looked out to sea in search of schools of fish, but the name Makawela indicates the glaring sun those fishermen would face, reflecting off of the ocean and the white sand dune around them.

Mr. Kuloloio did not think there would be any cultural impact from the proposed development of an apartment building atop this sand dune.

**Greg Wick**

Greg Wick of Kula was interviewed by telephone September 12, 2011. Mr. Wick grew up on Pio Drive, near the subject property. Born in 1947 at Pu‘unēnē Hospital, he was the son of Erling P. Wick, whose Ready Mix Concrete & Aggregates provided the cement for the building of Kahului’s “Dream City.” Greg Wick remembers riding bicycles to the end of the “sand dirt road” in his neighborhood to the area of the subject property. “It was all just wild stuff,” he said, and all the way to Kahului was sandstone and kiawe. It was “a pretty harsh place, windy and salty,” he said. He remembers there being old burials in the general area. Mr. Wick expressed surprise that this one parcel was still undeveloped, and said “I think it should be developed.”

**VII. Confidential information withheld; Conflicts in information or data**

No confidential information was withheld. There were no conflicts in information or data within the reports consulted for this Cultural Impact Assessment.

**VIII. Conclusion**

After making site inspections, interviewing knowledgeable people of the area and conducting documentary research on the subject property and the area around it, it
appears that the proposed action does not interfere with any known Hawaiian or non-
Hawaiian gathering, practices, protocols or access.

The subject property is the last vacant lot in an area that has long been developed for
residential use. Based on the 1882 map, the historical information provided by Leslie
Kuloloio and the archaeological findings of only a single skeletal remain of a quadruped,
it is possible that this high sand dune area held little human activity in ancient times.
There are no native plants suitable for gathering and no shoreline access. There appear to
be no other cultural resources that might be impacted by the building on the site.

###

Sources Consulted for Imi Ikena Cultural Impact Assessment

Ainsworth (Bartholomew), Gail. The Index to the Maui News, 1900-1932. Wailuku: Maui
—The Index to the Maui News, 1933-1950. Wailuku: Maui Historical Society,

Alexander, W.D. 1885 Hawaiian Government Survey Map. Brought up to date in 1903
by John M. Donn.

Clark, John R.K. The Beaches of Maui County. Honolulu: University Press of Hawai‘i,
1980.


Dega, Michael F. Ph.D. and Guerin Tome, B.A. An Archaeological Assessment of 0.76
Acres in Wailuku, Wailuku Ahupua‘a, Wailuku District, Island of Maui, Hawai‘i.

Enomoto, Kekoa. “What’s in a Name?” and “Traditional Place Names in the Ahupua‘a of
[http://www.mauinews.com/page/content.detail/id/525789/Traditional-place-
names-in-the-ahupua-a-of-Wailuku.html]


Appendix

AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

STATE OF HAWAII, } 88.
County of Maui.

Rhonda M. Kurohara being duly sworn deposes and says that she is in Advertising Sales of the Maui Publishing Co., Ltd., publishers of THE MAUI NEWS, a newspaper published in Wailuku, County of Maui, State of Hawaii; that the ordered publication as to

Information Wanted for Cultural Impact Assessment

of which the annexed is a true and correct printed notice, was published 2 times in THE MAUI NEWS, aforesaid, commencing on the 20th day of September, 2011, and ending on the 21st day of September, 2011, (both days inclusive), to-wit: on September 20, 21, 2011

and that affiant is not a party to or in any way interested in the above entitled matter.

This 1 page Information Wanted, dated September 20, 21, 2011, was subscribed and sworn to before me this 21st day of September, 2011, in the Second Circuit of the State of Hawaii, by

Rhonda M. Kurohara

Notary Public, Second Judicial Circuit, State of Hawaii

Betty E. Uehara

Appendix D:
Phase I Environmental Site Assessment
PHASE I
ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT

AT

511 IMI PLACE
WAILUKU, HAWAII

FOR

DBR DEVELOPMENT LLC
P.O. BOX 235511
ENCINITAS, CA

FEBRUARY 2009
9BDBR003
PHASE I
ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT
AT
511 IMI PLACE
WAILUKU, MAUI, HAWAII 96793
TMK (2) 38037028

Project No: 9BDBR003
February 2009
## RECOGNIZED ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS

and

## PROJECT SUMMARY

**Project:** 9BDBR003  
511 Imi Place, Wailuku, Hawaii, TMK (2) 38037028  
**Date:** February 2009

### ASSESSMENT COMPONENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSESSMENT COMPONENT</th>
<th>REC</th>
<th>NFA</th>
<th>PROPOSED ACTIONS/SOLUTIONS</th>
<th>COST RANGE</th>
<th>REFERENCE SECTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>HISTORICAL REVIEW</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ownership/Past Use</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Records/Maps</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous Reports</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>REGULATORY REVIEW</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Databases</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Databases</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SITE RECONNAISSANCE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational Activities</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazardous Materials</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazardous Waste</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCB</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UST/LUST</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Areas</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjacent Properties</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asbestos</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**REC** = Recognized Environmental Condition as defined by ASTM E1527-05, §3.2.74.  
**NFA** = No further action.  
n/a = Not Applicable or not within the scope of this project.

(1) Based on this preliminary assessment, further investigation in this area is not a priority concern for this site at the present time.

(2) Costs depicted are for investigation/remediation program development activities. Remediation costs, if required, will be identified as a result of the program development activities.

### Proposed Actions/Solutions:

- **De minimis** = Identified as a potential REC, which upon further investigation was determined for the purposes of this assessment not to be a REC as defined by ASTM E1527-05.

- **Routine** = Common solution that can be implemented or continued by the project site tenant or owner.

- **Phase II** = Site characterization performed by a certified Environmental Professional.
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1.0 CERTIFICATION

EAC Pacific has completed a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment of the project site. The assessment followed the methods and procedures consistent with good commercial and customary practice designed to conform to accepted industry standards and USEPA’s All Appropriate Inquires rule. Any exceptions or deletions to this practice are described herein. This report is exclusively for the use and benefit of the Client identified on the first page of the report and is not for the use or benefit of, nor may it be relied upon, by any other person or entity. The contents of this report may not be quoted in whole or in part or distributed to any person or entity other than the Client hereof in any format without, in each case, the written consent of EAC Pacific.

The undersigned certifies that he is recognized by the USEPA as a qualified Environmental Professional due to substantially exceeding the regulatory requirements for education, training, and experience and complies with the mandated inclusion statement printed below.

_____________________________     _________
Robert Weber, MPH, CHMM, REM            Date
Principal, Project Manager

2/17/09

“I declare that, to the best of my professional knowledge and belief, I meet the definition of Environmental Professional as defined in § 312.10 of this part. I have the specific qualifications based on education, training, and experience to assess a property of the nature, history, and setting of the subject property. I have developed and performed the all appropriate inquiries in conformance with the standards and practices set forth in 40 CFR Part 312.” [40 CFR § 312.21(d)]
2.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

EAC Pacific performed a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment of the project site located at 511 Imi Place, Wailuku, Maui, Hawaii, TMK (2) 38037028 during February 2009. The following summarizes the findings of the investigation and the assessment conclusions representing EAC Pacific’s professional opinion. Information regarding operational conditions and data provided by the Client, owner, or their representatives, interviewees, and contracted database transcription companies was assumed to be factually correct and complete, except as noted. The conclusions presented are based on the conditions that existed at the time of the site inspection and on information and data procured or provided during the time frame of this project.

◊ The project site area was previously undeveloped sand dunes, known as Sand Hills, and may have support livestock grazing further inland. Development of a residential community began in the late 1950s. Topographic maps and aerial photographs indicate the project site property is one of the last remaining undeveloped lots in the residential community.

◊ Review of available regulatory agency data indicated that the project site was not listed on any of the reviewed government environmental databases.

◊ Review of the available regulatory agency data concerning adjacent and nearby properties identified several nearby listed sites within the surrounding property search radii. Evaluation of the agency data suggests de minimis potential impact on the project site.

◊ No surface indicators of current underground storage tank systems were observed. No USTs were reported by interviewees or registered to the site.

◊ RCRA regulated hazardous wastes were not observed generated, accumulated, stored, transported, or disposed on
the subject site during the site inspection. No visual evidence of gross contamination was observed. No activities were observed on site that would inherently generate hazardous waste.

◊ No electrical transformers or other equipment like to contain PCBs were observed on the property.

◊ Based on visual site inspection, the project site does not exhibit any recognized environmental condition (REC), as defined by the ASTM E-1527 Standard, discovered within the scope and time frame of this assessment that requires further action.

◊ Based on review of historical and regulatory information, the site does not exhibit any RECs, as defined by the ASTM E-1527-05 Standard that, upon evaluation and assessment, require further action.

Based on the evaluation of the findings of this investigation, EAC Pacific provides the following statement from the ASTM Standard Practice [ASTM E-1527-05 12.8.2] as the conclusion of this assessment:

**CONCLUSION**

We have performed a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment in conformance with the scope and limitations of ASTM E-1527 of 511 Imi Place, Wailuku, Hawaii, TMK (2) 38037028, the property. Any exceptions to, or deletions from, this practice are described in Section 8.0 of this report. This assessment has revealed no evidence of recognized environmental conditions in connection with the property. [ASTM E-1527-05 12.8.1]
3.0 PURPOSE AND METHOD

3.1 General

The Phase I Environmental Site Assessment was initiated by DBR Development LLC on 9 February 2009. The project site inspection was performed on 12 February 2009. The scope of the on-site inspection consisted of a walk-through visual reconnaissance for hazardous substances, indicators of underground storage tanks, obvious chemical or petroleum contamination, and PCB containing electrical transformers and capacitors. The project site consisted of vacant land overgrown with feral vegetation. There were no encumbrances to accessing and inspecting the property, except for a few areas of dense vegetation that hindered visual observations.

EAC Pacific also performed a visual observation of the adjacent properties from the subject site or public roadways to identify high-risk neighbors and the potential for a contaminant to migrate to the project property. To identify sites of known hazardous waste activity or recognized environmental conditions located at or near the site which could have an adverse impact on the project site, EAC Pacific reviewed available federal and state government regulatory agency databases. In an attempt to determine whether historical uses of the property and adjacent properties have had an environmental impact on the site, EAC conducted interviews and reviewed available real property records, maps, and other documents. This assessment is based on the evaluation of the information gathered and site observations on the date of the inspection.

The objective of this environmental site assessment is to provide an independent, professional opinion regarding recognized environmental conditions, as defined by the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM), associated with the subject property. The term recognized environmental conditions (RECs) is defined as the presence or likely presence of any hazardous substances or petroleum products on a property under conditions that indicate an existing release, a past release, or a material threat of a release of any hazardous substances or petroleum products into structures on the property or into the ground,
groundwater, or surface water of the property. The term includes hazardous substances or petroleum products even under conditions in compliance with environmental regulations. The term is not intended to include de minimis conditions that generally do not present a material risk of harm to public health or the environment and that generally would not be the subject of an enforcement action if brought to the attention of appropriate governmental agencies. Conditions determined to be de minimis are not considered RECs.

The purpose of this report is limited to providing the Client an assessment concerning recognized environmental conditions (limited to those issues identified in the report) as they currently exist at the project site. This assessment was conducted using generally accepted Phase I Environmental Site Assessment industry protocol as described in the ASTM E-1527-05 standard in compliance with the USEPA’s All Appropriate Inquiries rule 40 CFR 312 Subpart C. The scope of the work included an evaluation of the site background, physical characteristics of the site, historical site conditions, and current site conditions (as applicable) through records review, interviews, and visual site inspection. Any exceptions or deletions to this practice are described herein.

The regulatory agency data evaluation is based on a transcription of the data collected and compiled by a contracted data research company. The report is a radius search report, which focuses on both the project site and adjacent sites which may physically impact the project site. Adjacent sites listed in governmental environmental records are identified within a specific search distance. The search distance varies depending on the specific record being researched. The search is designed to meet the requirements of the current industry approach and the ASTM E-1527 standard. The information provided is assumed to be correct and complete, unless noted otherwise.

3.2 Sources of Information

As a matter of necessity and punctual performance, EAC Pacific relies largely on readily available sources of information such as the Client, public records, interviews, and contracted database research firms for recognizing potential environmental liabilities at a subject property/facility. Requests from information resources are made to collect relevant data on
current and past practices conducted at the subject property/facility. EAC Pacific may not receive all information requested or be able to confirm received information during the course and limited time frame of the environmental site assessment. Therefore, EAC Pacific shall not be held responsible for errors, omissions, or misrepresentations resulting from missing documentation or from inaccurate information provided by such sources.
4.0 SITE DESCRIPTION

4.1 Location and General Description

The subject site was located north shore of the central plain of the island of Maui west of Kahului Harbor, in the Puuone Tract of the Sand Hills area of the town of Wailuku. The project site consisted of 32,953 square feet of land which comprised a roughly “L”-shaped parcel designated as Tax Map Key (TMK) number (2) 38037028 addressed as 511 Imi Place. The parcel was alternately addressed as 502 Pio Drive.

The parcel was accessed from the west end of Imi Place where it fronted 40 linear feet of the radius of the cul-de-sac. The property is also accessible from the north side where it fronts approximately 234 linear feet of Pio Drive. The remaining segments of the parcel boundary adjoin the fences and walls of neighboring residential property.

The property was undeveloped, consisting of sandy open dune land overgrown with grasses and brush. A moderate slope declines from the southwest corner to the northeast corner of the parcel. Surrounding the project site were residential properties. Adjoining to the north were Pio Drive and several low-rise apartment building, including Puuone Terrace, fronting the north side of Pio Drive. Further north was steeply descending terrain, beyond which were East Main Street and several commercial properties. To the east were a couple of two-story apartment buildings, Nana Street, and a residential neighborhood of single-family dwellings. South of the project site were Ocean View Apartments, several other low-rise apartment buildings, and Liholiho Street. To the west was Puuone Hale Alii, a massive three-story apartment building.

Photographs of the project site and significant features are provided in Appendix A. Location maps are provided in Appendix C. Zoning information is presented in Table 1.
4.2 Structures and Tenants

There were no structures or tenants on the project site at the time of the site inspection.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CODE TYPE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flood Zone</td>
<td>C – areas of minimal flooding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height Limit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic Site Register</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot Restrictions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMA/Shoreline</td>
<td>Not in Special Management Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special District</td>
<td>Urban district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Land Use</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street Setback</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoning [LUO]</td>
<td>A-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Code</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Code</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2.1 Water Systems

No water systems were installed on the project site. No drinking water wells were installed on the project site. Information on regional water supply wells is available in Appendix B. No wastewater was discharged from the property. No storm water drains were present on the property. Storm water percolates rapidly into sandy soil on site or drains by sheet flow to roadways to the east and north.

4.3 Topographic Mapping

The project site was situated on the north coast of the Island of Maui, in the high development density Sand Hills area of Wailuku, west of
Kahului Bay at an elevation ranging from 164 to 142 feet above mean sea level (see USGS topographic map in Appendix C). No structures were mapped on the subject site or adjoining sites, which was coded in gray omission tint indicating extensive, urban development. The project site regional surface topography gently declined to the west. The nearest significant bodies of surface waters were Iao Stream, 1,848 feet to the northwest, and Kahului Bay, 2,215 feet to the east.

4.4 Geology, Soil, and Hydrogeology

4.4.1 Regional Geology

Maui, the second youngest of the main Hawaiian Islands, was formed from the erosional remnants of two shield volcanoes. These are the West Maui Volcano to the west and Haleakala to the east. The West Maui Volcano is estimated to have reach sea level less than 2 million years ago. Haleakala, the youngest volcano on the island of Maui, last erupted in 1790. The initial shield stage of the volcano reached sea level about 900,000 years ago. The narrow Isthmus of Maui that connects the two volcanoes was initially formed from lava erupted from Haleakala that piled up against the eastern flank of the West Maui Volcano. During the last ice age when sea level was several hundred feet lower, strong winds piled calcite sand on the isthmus from the exposed reef. Although there are a few active dunes still shifting around the north coast of Maui in the vicinity of the project site, most of the dunes have been solidified due to acidic rainwater dissolving and re-precipitating the calcite into limestone. (Hazlett and Hyndman, 1996).

4.4.2 Soil Morphology and Taxonomy

The project site, and surrounding property, was situated near the northern edge of an approximately eight square mile area of soil-type classified as *puuone sand, 7 to 30 percent slopes* (PZUE). Puuone series soils consist of excessively drained soils on the low uplands of the island of Maui. Derived from coral and seashells, these soils are typically moderately sloping to moderately steep, occurring at elevations ranging from 50 to 350 feet above mean sea level in areas in 20 to 30 inches of annual rainfall. PZUE soil occurs on sand hills near the ocean and exhibits
a representative profile of a 20 inch thick, grayish-brown, calcareous sand surface layer, underlain by grayish-brown cemented sand. Runoff is slow, permeability is rapid above the cemented layer, wind erosion hazard is moderate to severe, and the pH is moderately alkaline. Typically, areas with PZUE soil-type are utilized for pasture and urban development. (USDA, 1972)

4.4.3 Regional Hydrogeology

The primary drinking water in the Hawaiian Islands is drawn from basal groundwater. Basal groundwater was formed by rainwater percolating down through permeable volcanic rock. The entire island mass situated below sea level, except within rift zones of the volcanoes, is saturated with ocean salt water which forms the lower boundary of a basal lens of fresh water [known as the Ghyben-Herzberg lens]. Tidal fluctuations, seasonal fluctuations in recharge and discharge, and aquifer development, all contribute to a zone of transition between the fresh groundwater and the ocean salt water that is in constant flux. (Macdonald, et al., 1983)

Downward percolation of rainwater may be halted by impermeable layers such as dense lava flows, alluvial clay layers, and volcanic ash. The groundwater then forms a perched or high level aquifer, which is not in contact with the deeper salt water. Recharge of the aquifer occurs in areas of high rainfall, which are primarily the interior mountainous areas. The groundwater flows from the interior recharge areas to the areas of discharge along the shoreline. Frictional resistance to groundwater flow causes it to pile up within the island (forming the upper boundary of the Ghyben-Herzberg lens) until it attains sufficient hydraulic head to overcome friction. Basal groundwater altitude tends to decline toward the shoreline (Macdonald, et al., 1983).

4.4.3 Site Hydrogeology

Groundwater beneath the project site occurs under unconfined conditions within the stratified sedimentary deposits along Maui’s northern coastal isthmus classified as the Iao Aquifer System, which is part of the Wailuku Aquifer Sector. Known as caprock, the coastal
sediments are relatively impermeable compared to the underlying basalt. Groundwater in the caprock is in contact with the ocean, and consequently is brackish to salty. This type of groundwater has potential use, but not as a drinking water source, and is considered ecologically important with a high vulnerability to contamination [Mink and Lau, 1990]. The groundwater beneath the project site is expected to flow toward the north and west following the regionally declining surface topography.

The basaltic rock beneath the caprock is part of the Wailuku Aquifer Sector confined basal aquifer, which serves as an irreplaceable potential drinking water source [fresh water with a salinity of <250 mg/L Cl]. The deeper aquifer is confined to extensive, horizontal, flank lavas. Leakage between the basal aquifer and the caprock is toward the surface since the basal aquifer is under artesian conditions. Therefore, the basal aquifer has a low vulnerability to contamination [Mink and Lau, 1990]. The expected hydrogeologic gradient under the project site would be trending toward the north and west.
5.0 HISTORICAL REVIEW

Historical uses of the project site were investigated through the review of documentation available from real property tax assessment records, historical maps, public and private archived information, and interviews with persons familiar with the project site. Additionally, available aerial photography, property tax maps, topographic maps, and EAC Pacific’s extensive in-house library were reviewed.

5.1 Title History

The review of available real property information indicated several transaction of ownership of the project site. Historical deeds and titles, or other real property records reviewed are summarized in the following paragraph and in Appendix E of this document.

Prior to 1970, the parcel [TMK (2)38037028] consisted of 32,953 square feet owned by Frank Munoz and Donald Tokunaga. An agreement of sale to William and Patsy Ikehara was recorded in January 1970. Subsequently, several deeds to various individuals were recorded during the early 1970s until the property was purchased by Earl Stoner and Daniel Fong in July 1976. Elleair Hawaii, Inc. acquired the parcel in July 1990. The parcel was sold to the current owner, Sand Hill Properties, LLC in April 2005.

5.2 Building Permits

A review of the database of building permits issued by the County of Maui indicated no permits had been issued for the project site.
5.3 Interviews

Previous interviews conducted regarding the project site included a current and former property owner representative. Attempts to reach other previous owners were unsuccessful within the time frame of this assessment. Interviews conducted during the site inspection are reported in Section 7.8.

Mr. Cary Lefton of Sand Hill Properties, LLC current owner of the project site since 2005, provided information on the recent site history. Mr. Lefton reported the property had never been developed. No known dumping or contamination issues were present on the property.

Mr. Earl Stoner, currently of L&S Properties, was half owner of the project site from 1972 to 1990. Mr. Stoner stated the property had never been developed to his knowledge. No grading or other work was done on the property during his ownership. Former associate and part owner Daniel Fong had considered constructing an apartment building on the site, having successfully constructed another nearby apartment building. However, Mr. Fong died in the early 1990s. The area mauka [west] of the project site along Liholiho Street was first developed in the 1950s. The neighborhood makai [east] of the project site was developed substantially later, beginning in the late 1960s and into the 1990s.

5.4 Sanborn Maps

Sanborn fire insurance map coverage of the project site was not available. A complete search of the holdings of the Sanborn Library LLC was conducted by EDR and mapping of the project site was not found (see Appendix C).

5.5 Historical Aerial Photographs and Maps

The oldest aerial photograph reviewed was a composite aerial photograph from the U.S. Department of Agriculture Soil Conservation Service dated 1962 to 1965. The photo revealed the general area was
significantly developed. Commercial and residential development was present throughout Wailuku. The Sand Hills area appeared to be residentially developed, except in the area of the project site. East of Nana Street were single-family residences. Liholiho Street terminated west of the project site. An undeveloped area, which included the project site, existed between the former terminus of Liholiho Street and Nana Street. It appeared that portions of the undeveloped area had been cleared in preparation for construction of some of the residential buildings which currently populate the parcels adjacent to the project site. Details of the project site were obscured by poor photographic resolution.

An aerial photograph prepared by REDI Real Estate Data, dated January 1976, indicated the project site was undeveloped land. However, it appeared some activity associated with the construction of an adjacent property to the west may have occurred on the project site. Cleared area at the southwest corner of the parcel appears to have been used for contractor access and, perhaps, temporary storage of construction materials.

Aerial photographs dated 1975 and 1992 prepared by EDR are consistent with the above described photos. Copies are provided in Appendix C.

Historic USGS topographic maps [1:24,000 scale, 7.5 minute topographic quadrangle] were reviewed. The oldest map, dated 1955, indicated the project site area was sparsely developed with only major roads and thoroughfares in the same configuration as currently observed. Development was limited to the area along Main Street to the north and a few residential dwellings to the southwest. Imi Place and intersecting roads had not yet been constructed. The project site and adjoining properties were mapped in white and/or green tint indicating open and grass land, respectively.

The next edition, dated 1983, indicated the current roadway configuration had been constructed. The project site and surrounding property were mapped in pink omission tint, indicating high density urban development. No significant changes were mapped for the project site on subsequent 1997 edition; the site remained mapped in omission tint, though the color used by the USGS was changed to gray.
Historical USGS topographical maps reviewed are provided in Appendix C.

5.6 Previous Reports Reviewed

No previous environmental due diligence reports from other sources were available for review within the time frame of this assessment.

5.7 Other Historical Sources

Only standard historical sources were reviewed.
6.0 REGULATORY REVIEW

Databases created by various Federal and State of Hawaii environmental regulatory programs provided reporting and compliance information for the project site and surrounding sites, when listed. Information collected from the databases was compiled by a contracted database management company. By cross-referencing both the site owner and/or tenant name and site location, it was determined whether the project site or nearby sites with the potential to impact the project site were listed on the reviewed databases. Listed sites were geographically encoded and plotted on a radius map (see Appendix B). Geographic Information System (GIS) data was used to determine the distance and direction of listed sites, relative to the project site, regional topography, and hydrogeologic gradients.

In addition to recommending specific databases to review, ASTM E-1527 protocol also states the acceptable shelf-life requirements for the databases. In an attempt to ensure inclusion of the most recent data available, requests to government agencies responsible for a particular database are made by the database management company on at least a quarterly basis to incorporate any updates. Information on the update of specific databases is provided in Appendix B. Presented below is a summary of standard and supplemental databases reviewed and sites listed within the defined search radii.

6.1 Federal Databases

The project site was not listed on any of the reviewed standard and supplemental federal databases. The area search for nearby sites listed on these databases identified multiple federal listed facilities within the ASTM defined radii. The distance and direction of the other facilities or current status of hazard mitigation actions implied the listed sites represent only a de minimis potential impact on the project site. Orphan sites [sites with inadequate or missing GIS data] were reviewed and determined to be out of range or de minimis.
NPL Listing: The National Priorities List (Superfund) is USEPA's database of uncontrolled or abandoned hazardous waste sites which are considered to pose an immediate threat to human health and the environment. These sites are identified for priority remedial response actions under the Superfund Program.

No NPL sites were identified within one mile of the project site.

CERCLIS Listing: The Superfund database which contains information on various aspects of potential uncontrolled or abandoned hazardous waste sites from initial discovery to listing on the National Priorities List. CERCLIS-NFRAP (no further remedial action planned) sites are also included. The decision by USEPA for no further remedial action does not necessarily mean that there is no hazard associated with a given site; only that, based upon available information, the location is not judged to be a potential NPL site.

No CERCLIS site listings were identified within the one-half mile search radius around the project site.

ERNS Database: The Emergency Response Notification Systems tracks the initial notification of reported oil and hazardous material spills. The database contains information regarding the discharger, release date, material, amount released, incident location and response action taken.

The project site was not listed on the ERNS database. No listings were identified for immediately adjacent sites.

RCRA Facility Listing: The RCRAInfo database is a compilation by USEPA of reporting facilities that generate, transport, store, treat, and/or dispose of Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) regulated quantities of hazardous waste. CORRACTS is the USEPA database of RCRA sites that
have been ordered to perform corrective action of RCRA violations.

No TSD (treatment, storage, and disposal) facilities were listed within the one mile search radius around the project site. No RCRA large quantity generators were listed within one-half mile of the project site. No RCRA small quantity generators were listed within one-quarter mile of the project site. Two former RCRA generators that are not currently generating reportable quantities of hazardous waste were listed. The listed facilities and addresses are presented in Table 2. Additional facility information is provided in Appendix B. No RCRA CORRACTS sites were listed within one mile of the project site.

Table 2
RCRAInfo Listed Sites in the Vicinity of the Project Site

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FACILITY</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>RANGE (miles) and BEARING</th>
<th>RCRA STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JST Automotive</td>
<td>986A Lower Main St</td>
<td>0.11 W</td>
<td>Non-gen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sam’s Service</td>
<td>736 Lower Main St</td>
<td>0.25 NE</td>
<td>Non-gen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RCRA STATUS: TSD = treatment, storage, and disposal facility (within one mile); LQG = large quantity generator (within one-half mile); SQG = small quantity generator (within one-quarter mile); CESQG = conditionally exempt small quantity generator (within one-quarter mile); COR = CORRACTS (RCRA Corrective Action Site) federal corrective action event has occurred (within one mile). Non-gen = registered but not currently a generator of RCRA regulated wastes (site or adjacent properties).

ASTM Supplemental Federal Database Listings: In addition to the ASTM Standard Federal Databases described above and in the next section, supplemental federal databases are sometimes available. These databases include CONSENT, FINDS, ROD, Brownfield Sites, delisted NPL sites, HMIRS, MLTS, NPL Liens, PADS, UMTRA, FUDS, Indian Reservations, RAATS, TRIS, TSCA, SSTS FTTS, and others. More information on these databases and their agency release dates are provided in Appendix B.
The project site was not included on any of the supplemental databases available for review.

6.2 State of Hawaii Databases

The project site was not listed on any of the State of Hawaii databases reviewed. The area search for sites listed on State databases identified several other facilities within the defined radii. The distance and direction of the other facilities, or remediation and management of contaminated sites, either completed or ongoing, indicate the listed sites likely represent only a de minimis potential impact on the project site. Orphan sites (sites with inadequate or missing GIS data) were reviewed and determined to be either out of range or de minimis.

State Hazardous Waste Sites (SHWS): Hawaii used the federal CERCLIS list as the initial source of the state hazardous waste site list. Additional sites were those that have or may be investigated by the State of Hawaii Department of Health (HIDOH), Office of Hazard Evaluation and Emergency Response (HEER) under HRS 128D.

The project site was not listed as a SHWS. Four SHWS sites were identified within a one mile radius search. Table 3 presents a summary of the listed facilities identification and location. Additional details are provided in Appendix B.

Table 3
SHWS Listed Sites Within One Mile of the Project Site

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FACILITY</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>RANGE (miles) and BEARING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maui Sandtorches</td>
<td>46 Ting Dr</td>
<td>0.88 SW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rex Tire &amp; Supply</td>
<td>1728 Kaahumanu Ave</td>
<td>0.92 SW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yee-Maui Terminix</td>
<td>283 Waiehu Beach Rd</td>
<td>0.35 NE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y. Hata-Maui</td>
<td>200 Waiehu Beach Road</td>
<td>Orphan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Orphan = Inadequate GIS data to accurately plot location.
Registered Underground Storage Tanks (UST): The State of Hawaii Department of Health Underground Storage Tank Program registration system tracks known and registered underground storage tank (UST) systems.

No UST systems were registered to the project site. Eight UST systems were reported within one-quarter mile of the project site. The facility names and addresses are presented in Table 4. Additional information is provided in Appendix B.

Table 4
UST Listed Sites Within One-Quarter Mile of the Project Site

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FACILITY</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>RANGE (miles) and BEARING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Endo Painting Service</td>
<td>841 Alua St</td>
<td>0.21 NNW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanely-Kopke Partners</td>
<td>775 Lower Main St</td>
<td>0.20 NNE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clifford Koki</td>
<td>240 Makakoa Place</td>
<td>0.23 WSW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minit Stop</td>
<td>745 Lower Main St</td>
<td>0.24 NE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shishido Manju Shop, Inc.</td>
<td>758 Lower Main St</td>
<td>0.22 NE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japo I. Yokoyama</td>
<td>801 Alua St</td>
<td>0.24 NNW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patao Gas &amp; Go</td>
<td>744 Lower Main St</td>
<td>0.24 NE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sam’s Service</td>
<td>736 Lower Main St</td>
<td>0.25 NE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Leaking Underground Storage Tanks (LUST): The State of Hawaii Department of Health Underground Storage Tank Program also maintains a listing of all reported leaks and releases from underground storage tanks.

No leaking underground storage tank (LUST) systems were registered to the project site. Six LUST systems were identified within one-half mile of the project site. Facility owner or operator and location are summarized in Table 5, with additional details provided in Appendix B. Site status for all six of the listed facilities was site cleanup completed.
## Table 5

**LUST Listed Sites Within One-Half Mile of the Project Site**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FACILITY</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>RANGE (miles) and BEARING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patao Gas &amp; Go</td>
<td>744 Lower Main St</td>
<td>0.24 NE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shell Service Station</td>
<td>700 Lower Main St</td>
<td>0.31 ENE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakamura Mortuary, Inc</td>
<td>1218 Lower Main St</td>
<td>0.32 WSW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maui Soda &amp; Ice Works, Ltd.</td>
<td>918 A Lower Main St</td>
<td>0.32 WSW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900 Eha Corporation</td>
<td>900 Eha St</td>
<td>0.36 NNW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valley Isle Express Ltd.</td>
<td>860 Eha St</td>
<td>0.37 N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SWLF Listing:** The State of Hawaii records all facilities that have received a solid waste management permit, including solid waste landfills.

No landfills were registered to the project site or sites within one-half mile.

**VRP, INST Controls, AUL, VCP Sites:** The Hawaii Voluntary Response Program (VRP) provides relief from certain liabilities for eligible parties who have not contributed to existing conditions on a specific site, who desire to acquire an interest in that site as an owner or operator, and who conduct an adequate HIDOH-approved response action. The relief from liability applies to the media, land areas, and contaminants cleaned up to a risk-based standard of not more than $1 \times 10^{-6}$. The program is under direction of the Hawaii Department of Health Office of Hazard Evaluation and Emergency Response (HEER). The program may include federal Brownfields sites managed by HIDOH under a cooperative agreement.

Activity and Use Limitation (AUL) sites are typically VRP or Brownfields sites where institutional (INST Controls) or
engineering controls have been installed that limit the use or access of a site or facility. The restrictions are designed to prevent exposure to, or further release of contaminants, or prevent interference with the effectiveness of an ongoing response action.

The purpose of the Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP) is to streamline the cleanup process in a way that will encourage prospective developers, lenders, and purchasers to voluntarily clean up properties.

The project site is not listed with the Voluntary Response Program. Two VRP sites were identified within a one-half mile radius search. Table 6 presents a summary of the listed facilities identification and location. Additional details are provided in Appendix B.

Table 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FACILITY</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>RANGE (miles) and BEARING</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yee-Maui Terminix</td>
<td>283 Waiehu Beach Rd</td>
<td>0.35 NE</td>
<td>INST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waiale Ash Pile</td>
<td>Mahalani St</td>
<td>Orphan</td>
<td>INST</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INST = Institutional controls in place. Orphan = Inadequate GIS data to accurately plot location.
7.0 SITE RECONNAISSANCE

7.1 Operational Activities and Noteworthy Tenants

The project site was vacant land. No operational activities or noteworthy tenants, within the scope of this assessment, were observed on the project property.

7.2 Hazardous Materials and Hazardous Wastes

Visual observation for the use and/or storage of chemicals, hazardous materials, and hazardous wastes was performed. No RCRA [Resource Conservation and Recovery Act] regulated hazardous wastes were observed generated, accumulated, stored, transported, or disposed on the subject site during the site inspection. No site activities were observed that would inherently generate regulated hazardous wastes. No visual indications of spills or releases were observed.

7.3 Dielectric Fluid Containing Equipment (PCBs)

Visual observations for electrical equipment or electrical components that use dielectric fluid that potentially contains a TSCA reportable quantity (one pound or more) of PCBs were conducted. PCBs (polychlorinated biphenyls) are regulated under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) which obligates a property owner to clean up any spills or releases occurring on their property.

No transformers, capacitors, or hydraulic equipment was observed on the site.

7.4 Underground Storage Tanks

A visual inspection for vent pipes, fill connections, access hatches, concrete pressure dispersion pads, and dispenser pumps was conducted...
and did not reveal surface conditions indicating the current existence of underground storage tank installations on the project site. A review of current and historic property uses did not reveal activities typically associated with UST installations. A review of HIOOH records for the project site indicated there were no UST systems registered to the project site. Interviews with former site owners did not indicate the presence of any underground storage tanks.

7.5 Bulk Storage Containers

Visual observation for above ground storage tanks or portable bulk storage containers of 55-gallon capacity or larger did not reveal the presence of any on the project site.

7.6 Storm Water Control/Management Systems

No storm drains were observed on the project site. The project site surface grade exhibited a visually discernable slope to the north. Most storm water likely percolates into the sandy soil. Some run off to the down gradient roadways to the north (Pio Drive) and east (Imi Place) may occur during significant precipitation events. Masonry walls and elevation gradients reduce run on from adjoining developed property to the south and west. Elevation gradients prevent run on from adjoining property to the north and east. Some run on may occur from the south during sufficiently heavy precipitation events.

7.7 Surface Areas and Adjacent Properties

The project site was vacant land. Direct observation of project site soils was possible, except in a few areas with dense vegetation. No stains, stressed vegetation, or other visual indicators of site surface contamination was apparent. No conditions conducive to run on of contaminants or hazardous materials from adjacent properties were observed.
7.8 Field Interviews

The project site was vacant land. No tenants or occupants were available for interview. An interview with area resident and potential future construction contractor for the site, Darryl Banks, Vice-President of Betsill Brothers Construction Inc., provided current information on the project site. Mr. Banks reported the site has not been previously developed. Intended future development was planned as affordable housing units.

Previous interviews conducted regarding the project site are summarized in Section 5.3
8.0 LIMITATIONS

8.1 Special Circumstances

Significant observations and assessments were reported throughout this document in the assigned reporting categories. No special circumstances were noted for the project site.

8.2 Data Gaps

No data gaps considered significant enough to impact the performance or conclusion of this assessment were encountered.

8.3 Deviations

No deviations from the standards and practices impacted the performance or conclusion of this assessment.

8.4 Limitations of ASTM E-1527

This Phase I Environmental Site Assessment is limited to issues addressed by ASTM E-1527-05. The following limitations are from the ASTM Standard and apply to this assessment:

4.5.1 Uncertainty Not Eliminated- No environmental site assessment can wholly eliminate uncertainty regarding the potential for recognized environmental conditions in connection with a property. Performance of this practice is intended to reduce, but not eliminate, uncertainty regarding the potential for recognized environmental conditions in connection with a property, and this practice recognize reasonable limits of time and cost.

4.5.2 Not Exhaustive- All appropriate inquiry does not mean an exhaustive assessment of a clean property. There is a point at which the cost of information obtained or the time required to gather it
outweighs the usefulness of the information and, in fact, may be a material detriment to the orderly completion of transactions. One of the purposes of this practice is to identify a balance between the competing goals of limiting the costs and time demands inherent in performing an environmental site assessment and the reduction of uncertainty about unknown conditions resulting from additional information.

4.5.3 Level of Inquiry Is Variable- Not every property will warrant the same level of assessment. Consistent with good commercial or customary practice, the appropriate level of environmental site assessment will be guided by the type of property subject to assessment, the expertise and risk tolerance of the User, and the information developed in the course of the inquiry.

4.5.4 Comparison With Subsequent Inquiry- It should not be concluded or assumed that an inquiry was not appropriate inquiry merely because the inquiry did not identify recognized environmental conditions in connection with a property. Environmental site assessments must be evaluated based on the reasonableness of judgments made at the time and under the circumstances in which they were made. Subsequent environmental site assessments should not be considered valid standards to judge the appropriateness of any prior assessment based on hindsight, new information, use of developing technology or analytical techniques, or other factors.

13.1.5 List of Additional Issues- Following are several non-scope considerations that persons may want to assess in connection with commercial real estate. No implication is intended as to the relative importance of inquiry into such non-scope considerations, and this list of non-scope considerations is not intended to be all-inclusive:

13.1.5.1 Asbestos-Containing Building Materials,
13.1.5.2 Radon,
13.1.5.3 Lead-Based Paint,
13.1.5.4 Lead in Drinking Water,
13.1.5.5 Wetlands,
13.1.5.6 Regulatory Compliance,
13.1.5.7 Cultural and Historical Resources,
13.1.5.8 Industrial Hygiene,
13.1.5.9 Health and Safety,
13.1.5.10 Ecological Resources,
13.1.5.11 Endangered Species,
13.1.5.12 Indoor Air Quality [including vapor intrusion],
13.1.5.13 Biological Agents, and
13.1.5.14 Mold.
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Appendix A

Site Photographs
Photo No. 1. Project site at 511 Imi Place, Wailuku, Hawaii, TMK (2)38037028. View is toward the southwest from Imi Place.

Photo No. 2. North side of the parcel from the center west. View is toward the northeast.
Photo No. 3. Northeast corner of the parcel viewed toward the southwest.

Photo No. 4. Sandy soil overgrown with grasses (typical).
Photo No. 5. Abandoned tires on the northeast corner of the parcel.

Photo No. 6. Project site viewed from adjoining Pio Drive toward the south.
Appendix B

Regulatory Program Databases
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Thank you for your business.
Please contact EDR at 1-800-352-0050 with any questions or comments.

Disclaimer - Copyright and Trademark Notice
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Copyright 2009 by Environmental Data Resources, Inc. All rights reserved. Reproduction in any media or format, in whole or in part, of any report or map of Environmental Data Resources, Inc., or its affiliates, is prohibited without prior written permission.

EDR and its logos (including Sanborn and Sanborn Map) are trademarks of Environmental Data Resources, Inc. or its affiliates. All other trademarks used herein are the property of their respective owners.
A search of available environmental records was conducted by Environmental Data Resources, Inc (EDR). The report was designed to assist parties seeking to meet the search requirements of EPA’s Standards and Practices for All Appropriate Inquiries (40 CFR Part 312), the ASTM Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessments (E 1527-05) or custom requirements developed for the evaluation of environmental risk associated with a parcel of real estate.

**TARGET PROPERTY INFORMATION**

**ADDRESS**

511 IMI PLACE  
WAILUKU, HI 96793

**COORDINATES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Latitude (North):</td>
<td>20.899800 - 20° 53' 59.3”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitude (West):</td>
<td>156.487000 - 156° 29' 13.2”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Universal Tranverse Mercator:</td>
<td>Zone 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTM X (Meters):</td>
<td>761414.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTM Y (Meters):</td>
<td>2312967.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation:</td>
<td>157 ft. above sea level</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**USGS TOPOGRAPHIC MAP ASSOCIATED WITH TARGET PROPERTY**

- Target Property Map: 20156-H4 KAHAVULOA, HI  
  Most Recent Revision: Not reported
- West Map: 20156-H5 NAPILI, HI  
  Most Recent Revision: Not reported

**TARGET PROPERTY SEARCH RESULTS**

The target property was not listed in any of the databases searched by EDR.

**DATABASES WITH NO MAPPED SITES**

No mapped sites were found in EDR’s search of available ("reasonably ascertainable ") government records either on the target property or within the search radius around the target property for the following databases:

**STANDARD ENVIRONMENTAL RECORDS**

**Federal NPL site list**

- NPL……………….. National Priority List
- Proposed NPL…………….. Proposed National Priority List Sites
- NPL LIENS……………… Federal Superfund Liens

**Federal Delisted NPL site list**

- Delisted NPL…………….. National Priority List Deletions
Federal CERCLIS list
CERCLIS,.................... Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System

Federal CERCLIS NFRAP site List
CERC-NFRAP.................. CERCLIS No Further Remedial Action Planned

Federal RCRA CORRACTS facilities list
CORRACTS..................... Corrective Action Report

Federal RCRA non-CORRACTS TSD facilities list
RCRA-TSDF.................... RCRA - Transporters, Storage and Disposal

Federal RCRA generators list
RCRA-LOG...................... RCRA - Large Quantity Generators
RCRA-SOG...................... RCRA - Small Quantity Generators
RCRA-CESQG................... RCRA - Conditionally Exempt Small Quantity Generator

Federal institutional controls / engineering controls registries
US ENG CONTROLS.............. Engineering Controls Sites List
US INST CONTROL............... Sites with Institutional Controls

Federal ERNS list
ERNS,......................... Emergency Response Notification System

State and tribal landfill and/or solid waste disposal site lists
SWF/LF....................... Permitted Landfills in the State of Hawaii

State and tribal leaking storage tank lists
INDIAN LUST................... Leaking Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land

State and tribal registered storage tank lists
INDIAN UST.................... Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land

State and tribal voluntary cleanup sites
INDIAN VCP.................... Voluntary Cleanup Priority Listing
VCP............................. Voluntary Response Program Sites

State and tribal Brownfields sites
BROWNFIELDS............... Brownfields Sites

ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL RECORDS

Local Brownfield lists
US BROWNFIELDS............. A Listing of Brownfields Sites
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Local Lists of Landfill / Solid Waste Disposal Sites
DEBRIS REGION 9, Torres Martinez Reservation Illegal Dump Site Locations
ODI, Open Dump Inventory
INDIAN ODI, Report on the Status of Open Dumps on Indian Lands

Local Lists of Hazardous waste / Contaminated Sites
US CDL, Clandestine Drug Labs

Local Land Records
LIENS 2, CERCLA Lien Information
LUCIS, Land Use Control Information System

Records of Emergency Release Reports
HMIRS, Hazardous Materials Information Reporting System
SPIILS, Release Notifications

Other Ascertainable Records
DOT OPS, Incident and Accident Data
DOD, Department of Defense Sites
FUDS, Formerly Used Defense Sites
CONSENT, Superfund (CERCLA) Consent Decrees
ROD, Records Of Decision
UMTRA, Uranium Mill Tailings Sites
MINES, Mines Master Index File
TRIS, Toxic Chemical Release Inventory System
TSCA, Toxic Substances Control Act
FTTS, FIFRA/ TSCA Tracking System - FIFRA (Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, & Rodenticide Act)/TSCA (Toxic Substances Control Act)
HIST FTTS, FIFRA/TSCA Tracking System Administrative Case Listing
STS, Section 7 Tracking Systems
ICIS, Integrated Compliance Information System
PADS, PCB Activity Database System
MLTS, Material Licensing Tracking System
RADINFO, Radiation Information Database
FINDS, Facility Index System/Facility Registry System
RAATS, RCRA Administrative Action Tracking System
DRYCLEANERS, Permitted Drycleaner Facility Listing
AIRS, List of Permitted Facilities
INDIAN RESERV, Indian Reservations
SCRD DRYCLEANERS, State Coalition for Remediation of Drycleaners Listing
PWS, Public Water System Data

EDR PROPRIETARY RECORDS

EDR Proprietary Records
Manufactured Gas Plants, EDR Proprietary Manufactured Gas Plants

SURROUNDING SITES: SEARCH RESULTS
Surrounding sites were identified in the following databases.
Elevations have been determined from the USGS Digital Elevation Model and should be evaluated on a relative (not an absolute) basis. Relative elevation information between sites of close proximity should be field verified. Sites with an elevation equal to or higher than the target property have been differentiated below from sites with an elevation lower than the target property.

Page numbers and map identification numbers refer to the EDR Radius Map report where detailed data on individual sites can be reviewed.

Sites listed in bold italics are in multiple databases.

Unmappable (orphan) sites are not considered in the foregoing analysis.

STANDARD ENVIRONMENTAL RECORDS

State- and tribal - equivalent CERCLIS

SHWS: The State Hazardous Waste Sites records are the states’ equivalent to CERCLIS. These sites may or may not already be listed on the federal CERCLIS list. Priority sites planned for cleanup using state funds (state equivalent of Superfund) are identified along with sites where cleanup will be paid for by potentially responsible parties. The data come from the Department of Health.

A review of the SHWS list, as provided by EDR, and dated 04/04/2008 has revealed that there are 3 SHWS sites within approximately 1 mile of the target property.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equal/Higher Elevation</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Direction / Distance</th>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAUI SANDTORCHES</td>
<td>46 TING DR</td>
<td>SW 1/2 - 1 (0.878 mi.)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REX TIRE &amp; SUPPLY, DIESEL</td>
<td>1728 KAAHUMANU AVE</td>
<td>SW 1/2 - 1 (0.915 mi.)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lower Elevation</strong></td>
<td><strong>Address</strong></td>
<td><strong>Direction / Distance</strong></td>
<td><strong>Map ID</strong></td>
<td><strong>Page</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YEE-MAUI TERMINIX</td>
<td>283 WAIEHU BEACH RD</td>
<td>NE 1/4 - 1/2 (0.345 mi.)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

State and tribal leaking storage tank lists

LUST: The Leaking Underground Storage Tank Incident Reports contain an inventory of reported leaking underground storage tank incidents. The data come from the Department of Health’s Active Leaking Underground Storage Tank Log Listing.

A review of the LUST list, as provided by EDR, and dated 06/30/2008 has revealed that there are 6 LUST sites within approximately 0.5 miles of the target property.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lower Elevation</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Direction / Distance</th>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PATAO GAS &amp; GO</td>
<td>744 LOWER MAIN ST</td>
<td>NE 1/8 - 1/4 (0.236 mi.)</td>
<td>B6</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Status: Site Cleanup Completed (NFA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHELL SERVICE STATION</td>
<td>700 LOWER MAIN ST</td>
<td>ENE 1/4 - 1/2 (0.310 mi.)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Status: Site Cleanup Completed (NFA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAKAMURA MORTUARY, INC</td>
<td>1218 LOWER MAIN ST</td>
<td>WSW 1/4 - 1/2 (0.320 mi.)</td>
<td>C13</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Status: Site Cleanup Completed (NFA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAUI SODA &amp; ICE WORKS, LTD.</td>
<td>918 A LOWER MAIN ST</td>
<td>WSW 1/4 - 1/2 (0.324 mi.)</td>
<td>C14</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Status: Site Cleanup Completed (NFA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900 EHA CORPORATION</td>
<td>900 EHA ST</td>
<td>NNW 1/4 - 1/2 (0.357 mi.)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Status: Site Cleanup Completed (NFA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

State and tribal registered storage tank lists

UST: The Underground Storage Tank database contains registered USTs. USTs are regulated under Subtitle I of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). The data come from the Department of Health’s Listing of Underground Storage Tanks.

A review of the UST list, as provided by EDR, and dated 06/30/2008 has revealed that there are 8 UST sites within approximately 0.25 miles of the target property.

State and tribal institutional control / engineering control registries

Voluntary Remediation Program and Brownfields sites with institutional controls in place.

A review of the INST CONTROL list, as provided by EDR, and dated 04/04/2008 has revealed that there is 1 INST CONTROL site within approximately 0.5 miles of the target property.

Additional Environmental Records

Other Ascertainable Records

RCRA-NonGen: RCRAInfo is EPA’s comprehensive information system, providing access to data supporting the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) of 1976 and the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments (HSWA) of 1984. The database includes selective information on sites which generate, transport, store, treat and/or dispose of hazardous waste as defined by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). Non-Generators do not presently generate hazardous waste.

A review of the RCRA-NonGen list, as provided by EDR, and dated 09/10/2008 has revealed that there are 2 RCRA-NonGen sites within approximately 0.25 miles of the target property.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lower Elevation</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Direction / Distance</th>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JST AUTOMOTIVE</td>
<td>986 A LOWER MAIN ST</td>
<td>W 0 - 1/8 (0.113 mi.)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAMS SERVICE</td>
<td>736 LOWER MAIN ST</td>
<td>NE 1/8 - 1/4 (0.245 mi.)</td>
<td>B10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Due to poor or inadequate address information, the following sites were not mapped:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Database(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KANAHANA POND EAST</td>
<td>SHWS, CERC-NFRAP, INST CONTROL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAINBOW HAULING</td>
<td>SHWS, FINDS, INST CONTROL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E &amp; E BLACK CONTRACTORS</td>
<td>SHWS, INST CONTROL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIRD BUILDERS</td>
<td>SHWS, INST CONTROL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F &amp; M CONTRACTORS</td>
<td>SHWS, INST CONTROL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KING’S TOWING</td>
<td>SHWS, INST CONTROL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMILE’S AUTO SPECIALISTS</td>
<td>SHWS, INST CONTROL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VECTOR CONTROL BRANCH, MAUI</td>
<td>SHWS, FINDS, INST CONTROL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAUI PETROLEUM HOBRON AVENUE</td>
<td>SHWS, FINDS, INST CONTROL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOBRON AVE AREA (KAHULUI)</td>
<td>SHWS, INST CONTROL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E &amp; E BLACK CONTRACTORS</td>
<td>SHWS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F &amp; M CONTRACTORS</td>
<td>SHWS, INST CONTROL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KING’S TOWING</td>
<td>SHWS, INST CONTROL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMILE’S AUTO SPECIALISTS</td>
<td>SHWS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VECTOR CONTROL BRANCH, MAUI</td>
<td>SHWS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAUI PETROLEUM HOBRON AVENUE</td>
<td>SHWS, FINDS, INST CONTROL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOBRON AVE AREA (KAHULUI)</td>
<td>SHWS, INST CONTROL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FONG CONSTRUCTION</td>
<td>SHWS, FINDS, INST CONTROL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCC-AUTOMOTIVE TECHNOLOGY BUILDING</td>
<td>SHWS, SPILLS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAUI PALMS HOTEL UST</td>
<td>SHWS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A&amp;B DUMP SITE</td>
<td>SHWS, FINDS, INST CONTROL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAUI MEAT COMPANY FACILITY (FORMER)</td>
<td>SHWS, SPILLS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAIKAPU DUMP-MAUI COUNTY DUMP</td>
<td>SHWS, FINDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KALAMAULA LANDFILL</td>
<td>SHWS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAIKALE ASH PILE</td>
<td>SHWS, FINDS, INST CONTROL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y HATA- MAUI</td>
<td>SHWS, SPILLS, INST CONTROL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAIMALUHIA MAUI METH / DRUG LAB AC</td>
<td>SWF/LF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HANA LANDFILL</td>
<td>LUST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CENTRAL MAUI LANDFILL</td>
<td>FINDS, LUST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAA - AIRPORT TRAF CONT TOWER (PMI)</td>
<td>LUST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAA - ASR-7 KAHULUI AIRPORT (PMID O)</td>
<td>FINDS, LUST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOT - AIRPORTS DIVISION MCA YARD</td>
<td>LUST, UST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROBERT’S HAWAII (PMID OGG002105)</td>
<td>LUST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAVID PICO CESSPOOL DIGGING</td>
<td>FINDS, LUST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARK MILL34</td>
<td>LUST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAWAIIAN CEMENT - WAIKAPU QUARRY</td>
<td>FINDS, LUST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAVID PICO CESSPOOL DIGGING</td>
<td>UST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARK MILL34</td>
<td>UST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FORMER MAUI SCRAP METAL LICENSE AR</td>
<td>RCRA-SOG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VACANT LAND TMK NO (2) 3-8-7:101</td>
<td>RCRA-CESQG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FORMER MAUI SCRAP METAL LICENSE AR</td>
<td>FINDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Database</td>
<td>Target Property</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STANDARD ENVIRONMENTAL RECORDS</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Federal NPL site list</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed NPL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL LIENS</td>
<td>TP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Federal Delisted NPL site list</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delisted NPL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Federal CERCLIS list</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERCLIS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Federal CERCLIS NFRAP site List</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERC-NFRAP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Federal RCRA CORRACTS facilities list</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORRACTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Federal RCRA non-CORRACTS TSD facilities list</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCRA-TSDF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Federal RCRA generators list</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCRA-LQG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCRA-SQG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCRA-CESQG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Federal institutional controls / engineering controls registries</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US ENG CONTROLS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US INST CONTROL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Federal ERNS list</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERNS</td>
<td>TP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State- and tribal - equivalent CERCLIS</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHWS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State and tribal landfill and/or solid waste disposal site lists</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWF/LF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State and tribal leaking storage tank lists</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INDIAN LUST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State and tribal registered storage tank lists</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INDIAN UST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## MAP FINDINGS SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Search Distance (Miles)</th>
<th>&lt; 1/8</th>
<th>1/8 - 1/4</th>
<th>1/4 - 1/2</th>
<th>1/2 - 1</th>
<th>&gt; 1</th>
<th>Total Plotted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State and tribal institutional control / engineering control registries</td>
<td>INST CONTROL</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State and tribal voluntary cleanup sites</td>
<td>INDIAN VCP</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State and tribal voluntary cleanup sites</td>
<td>VCP</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State and tribal Brownfields sites</td>
<td>BROWNFIELDS</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Environmental Records</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Brownfield lists</td>
<td>US BROWNFIELDS</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Lists of Landfill / Solid Waste Disposal Sites</td>
<td>DEBRIS REGION 9</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Lists of Hazardous waste / Contaminated Sites</td>
<td>ODI</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Land Records</td>
<td>LUCIS</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Records of Emergency Release Reports</td>
<td>HMIRS</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Ascertainable Records</td>
<td>SPILLS</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RCRA-NonGen</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DOT OPS</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DOD</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FUDS</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CONSENT</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ROD</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UMTRA</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MINES</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TRIS</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TSCA</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FTTS</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HIST FTTS</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSTS</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ICIS</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# MAP FINDINGS SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Target Property</th>
<th>Search Distance (Miles)</th>
<th>&lt; 1/8</th>
<th>1/8 - 1/4</th>
<th>1/4 - 1/2</th>
<th>1/2 - 1</th>
<th>&gt; 1</th>
<th>Total Plotted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PADS</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLTS</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RADINFO</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FINDS</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAATS</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRYCLEANERS</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIRS</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INDIAN RESERV</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCRD DRYCLEANERS</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWS</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EDR PROPRIETARY RECORDS

*EDR Proprietary Records*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Target Property</th>
<th>Search Distance (Miles)</th>
<th>&lt; 1/8</th>
<th>1/8 - 1/4</th>
<th>1/4 - 1/2</th>
<th>1/2 - 1</th>
<th>&gt; 1</th>
<th>Total Plotted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manufactured Gas Plants</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTES:**

- TP = Target Property
- NR = Not Requested at this Search Distance
- Sites may be listed in more than one database
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map ID</th>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>Distance</th>
<th>Elevation</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Database(s)</th>
<th>EDR ID Number</th>
<th>EPA ID Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>West</td>
<td>&lt; 1/8</td>
<td>596 ft.</td>
<td>JST AUTOMOTIVE</td>
<td>FINDS</td>
<td>1000103392</td>
<td>HID981437841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>West</td>
<td>&lt; 1/8</td>
<td>0.113 mi.</td>
<td>JST AUTOMOTIVE</td>
<td>RCRA-NonGen</td>
<td>981437841</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RCRA-NonGen:**
- **Date form received by agency:** 08/19/1986
- **Facility name:** JST AUTOMOTIVE
- **Facility address:** 986 A LOWER MAIN ST, WAILUKU, HI 96793
- **EPA ID:** HID981437841
- **Contact:** ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGER
- **Contact address:** 986 A LOWER MAIN ST, WAILUKU, HI 96793
- **Contact country:** US
- **Contact telephone:** (808) 244-3233
- **Contact email:** Not reported
- **EPA Region:** 09
- **Land type:** Facility is not located on Indian land. Additional information is not known.
- **Classification:** Non-Generator
- **Description:** Handler: Non-Generators do not presently generate hazardous waste

**Owner/Operator Summary:**
- **Owner/operator name:** JST AUTOMOTIVE
- **Owner/operator address:** NOT REQUIRED
- **Owner/operator country:** Not reported
- **Owner/operator telephone:** (415) 555-1212
- **Legal status:** Private
- **Owner/Operator Type:** Owner
- **Owner/Op start date:** Not reported
- **Owner/Op end date:** Not reported

**Owner/operator name:** NOT REQUIRED
- **Owner/operator address:** NOT REQUIRED
- **Owner/operator country:** Not reported
- **Owner/operator telephone:** (415) 555-1212
- **Legal status:** Private
- **Owner/Operator Type:** Operator
- **Owner/Op start date:** Not reported
- **Owner/Op end date:** Not reported

**Handler Activities Summary:**
- **U.S. importer of hazardous waste:** Unknown
- **Mixed waste (haz. and radioactive):** Unknown

---

RCRAInfo is a national information system that supports the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) program through the tracking of events and activities related to facilities that generate, transport, and treat, store, or dispose of hazardous waste. RCRAInfo allows RCRA program staff to track the notification, permit, compliance, and corrective action activities required under RCRA.
JST AUTOMOTIVE (Continued)

Recycler of hazardous waste: No
Transporter of hazardous waste: No
Treater, storer or disposer of HW: No
Underground injection activity: No
On-site burner exemption: Unknown
Furnace exemption: Unknown
Used oil fuel burner: No
Used oil processor: No
User oil refiner: No
Used oil fuel marketer to burner: No
Used oil Specification marketer: No
Used oil transfer facility: No
Used oil transporter: No
Off-site waste receiver: Commercial status unknown

Violation Status: No violations found

Evaluation Action Summary:
Evaluation date: 01/17/1996
Evaluation: COMPLIANCE EVALUATION INSPECTION ON-SITE
Area of violation: Not reported
Date achieved compliance: Not reported
Evaluation lead agency: State

A2  STANLEY-KOPKE PARTNERS
NNE  775 LOWER MAIN ST
1/8-1/4
0.204 mi.
1080 ft.
Site 1 of 2 in cluster A
Relative: Lower
Actual: 41 ft.

UST:
Facility ID: 9-501737
Owner: STANLEY-KOPKE PARTNERS
Owner Address: 1001 DILLINGHAM BLVE, STE 208
Owner City,St,Zip: Kahului, 96732 96732

Tank ID: R-1
Date Installed: 12/30/1983
Tank Status: Permanently Out of Use
Date Closed: 6/29/1993
Tank Capacity: 2000
Substance: Gasoline

3  ENDO PAINTING SERVICE
NNW  841 ALUA ST
1/8-1/4
0.214 mi.
1128 ft.
Relative: Lower
Actual: 56 ft.

UST:
Facility ID: 9-501567
Owner: ENDO PAINTING SERVICE
Owner Address: 841 ALUA ST
Owner City,St,Zip: Wailuku, 96793 96793

Tank ID: R-1
Date Installed: 3/27/1982
ENDO PAINTING SERVICE (Continued)  U001236758

Tank Status: Permanently Out of Use
Date Closed: 4/6/1992
Tank Capacity: 2000
Substance: Gasoline

Tank ID: R-2
Date Installed: 3/27/1982
Tank Status: Permanently Out of Use
Date Closed: 4/16/1992
Tank Capacity: 2000
Substance: Gasoline

A4  SHISHIDO MANJU SHOP, INC.  UST  U001236663
NE  758 LOWER MAIN ST  N/A
1/8-1/4  WAILUKU, HI 96793
0.221 mi.  N/A
1168 ft.  5 UST

Site 2 of 2 in cluster A
Relative: UST:
Lower Facility ID: 9-500413
Owner: SHISHIDO MANJU SHOP, INC.
Owner Address: 758 LOWER MAIN ST
Owner City,St,Zip: Wailuku, 96793 96793

Actual: 44 ft.
Tank ID: R-1
Date Installed: 3/21/1976
Tank Status: Permanently Out of Use
Date Closed: Not reported
Tank Capacity: 1000
Substance: Diesel

5  CLIFFORD KOKI  UST  U003986428
WSW  240 MAKAKOA PLACE  N/A
1/8-1/4  WAILUKU, HI 96793
0.225 mi.  N/A
1189 ft.  5 UST

Relative: UST:
Lower Facility ID: 9-503789
Owner: Clifford Koki
Owner Address: 240 Makakoa Place
Owner City,St,Zip: Wailuku, 96793 96793

Actual: 105 ft.
Tank ID: R-1
Date Installed: Not reported
Tank Status: Permanently Out of Use
Date Closed: 2/17/2005
Tank Capacity: 1000
Substance: Gasoline
HI-UST (Hawaii - Underground Storage Tank). Hawaii Underground Storage Tank Program regulates underground storage tanks which store petroleum or hazardous substances and offers documents and data products for downloading.

**B6**

**PATAO GAS & GO**

744 LOWER MAIN ST

WAILUKU, HI 96793

1247 ft. Site 1 of 5 in cluster B

Relative: Lower

Actual: 44 ft.

FINDS: Other Pertinent Environmental Activity Identified at Site

**LUST:**

Facility ID: 9-502418
Facility Status: Site Cleanup Completed (NFA)
Facility Status Date: 20-Jul-99
Release ID: 990153
Project Officer: Jeffrey Ung

**B7**

**MINIT STOP**

745 LOWER MAIN ST

WAILUKU, HI 96793

1247 ft. Site 2 of 5 in cluster B

Relative: Lower

Actual: 44 ft.

**UST:**

Facility ID: 9-503506
Owner: MAUI PETROLEUM, Inc.
Owner Address: 385 HUKILIKE ST, SUITE 200
Owner City, St, Zip: Wailuku, 96793 96793

Tank ID: R-1
Date Installed: 1/1/1983
**Tank Status:** Permanently Out of Use
Date Closed: 8/16/1999
Tank Capacity: 10000
Substance: Gasoline

Tank ID: R-2
Date Installed: 1/1/1983
**Tank Status:** Permanently Out of Use
Date Closed: 8/16/1999
Tank Capacity: 10000
Substance: Diesel
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Owner Address</th>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Tank ID</th>
<th>Date Installed</th>
<th>Date Closed</th>
<th>Tank Capacity</th>
<th>Subs. Status</th>
<th>EDR ID Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PATAO GAS &amp; GO</td>
<td>744 LOWER MAIN ST</td>
<td>Gasoline</td>
<td>R-1</td>
<td>12/31/1947</td>
<td>2/8/1999</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>Permanently Out of Use</td>
<td>U001236829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R-2</td>
<td>12/31/1947</td>
<td>2/8/1999</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>Permanently Out of Use</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R-3</td>
<td>12/31/1960</td>
<td>2/8/1999</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>Permanently Out of Use</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R-4</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>12/1/1960</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Permanently Out of Use</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
JAPO I. YOKOYAMA BDG.CONTR'S INC (Continued) U001236644

Substance: Gasoline

B10 SAMS SERVICE FINDS 1000601532
NE 736 LOWER MAIN ST RCRA-NonGen HID984467688
1/8-1/4 1/8-1/4
0.245 mi. 0.245 mi.
1293 ft. 1293 ft.
Site 4 of 5 in cluster B
Relative: Lower Actual: 40 ft.

FINDS:
Other Pertinent Environmental Activity Identified at Site

HI-UST (Hawaii - Underground Storage Tank). Hawaii Underground Storage
Tank Program regulates underground storage tanks which store petroleum
or hazardous substances and offers documents and data products for
downloading.

RCRAInfo is a national information system that supports the Resource
Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) program through the tracking of
events and activities related to facilities that generate, transport,
and treat, store, or dispose of hazardous waste. RCRAInfo allows RCRA
program staff to track the notification, permit, compliance, and
corrective action activities required under RCRA.

RCRA-NonGen:
Date form received by agency: 01/07/1992
Facility name: SAMS SERVICE
Facility address: 736 LOWER MAIN ST
WAILUKU, HI 96793
EPA ID: HID984467688
Mailing address: LOWER MAIN ST
WAILUKU, HI 96793
Contact: JAY ARAKAWA
Contact address: 736 LOWER MAIN ST
WAILUKU, HI 96793
Contact country: US
Contact telephone: (808) 244-3334
Contact email: Not reported
EPA Region: 09
Land type: Private
Classification: Non-Generator
Description: Handler: Non-Generators do not presently generate hazardous waste

Owner/Operator Summary:
Owner/operator name: LILLIAN AND JAY ARAKAWA
Owner/operator address: 736 LOWER MAIN ST
WAILUKU, HI 96793
Owner/operator country: Not reported
Owner/operator telephone: (808) 244-3334
Legal status: Private
Owner/Operator Type: Owner
Owner/Op start date: Not reported
Owner/Op end date: Not reported

Handler Activities Summary:
U.S. importer of hazardous waste: Unknown
SAMS SERVICE (Continued)

Mixed waste (haz. and radioactive): Unknown
Recycler of hazardous waste: No
Transporter of hazardous waste: No
Treater, storer or disposer of HW: No
Underground injection activity: No
On-site burner exemption: Unknown
Furnace exemption: Unknown
Used oil fuel burner: No
Used oil processor: No
User oil refiner: No
Used oil fuel marketer to burner: No
Used oil Specification marketer: No
Used oil transfer facility: No
Used oil transporter: No
Off-site waste receiver: Commercial status unknown

Violation Status: No violations found

Evaluation Action Summary:
Evaluation date: 01/17/1996
Evaluation: COMPLIANCE EVALUATION INSPECTION ON-SITE
Area of violation: Not reported
Date achieved compliance: Not reported
Evaluation lead agency: State

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UST</th>
<th>UST ID</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B11 NE</td>
<td>736 LOWER MAIN ST</td>
<td>96793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/8-1/4</td>
<td>WAILUKU, HI 96793</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.245 mi.</td>
<td>1293 ft.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site 5 of 5 in cluster B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relative:</th>
<th>Actual:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>40 ft.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility ID:</th>
<th>Owner: JAY M. ARAKAWA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Owner Address:</td>
<td>736 LOWER MAIN ST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner Zip:</td>
<td>Walluku, 96793 96793</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Tank ID: | 87 |
| Date Installed: | 2/1/1992 |
| Tank Status: | Currently In Use |
| Date Closed: | Not reported |
| Tank Capacity: | 10000 |
| Substance: | Gasoline |

| Tank ID: | 92 |
| Date Installed: | 2/1/1992 |
| Tank Status: | Currently In Use |
| Date Closed: | Not reported |
| Tank Capacity: | 10000 |
| Substance: | Gasoline |

| Tank ID: | R-1 |
| Date Installed: | 4/7/1973 |
| Tank Status: | Permanently Out of Use |
| Date Closed: | 2/1/1991 |
| Tank Capacity: | 10000 |
### SAM'S SERVICE (Continued)

**Substance:** Gasoline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tank ID</th>
<th>Date Installed</th>
<th><strong>Tank Status:</strong></th>
<th>Date Closed</th>
<th>Tank Capacity</th>
<th>Substance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tank ID</th>
<th>Date Installed</th>
<th><strong>Tank Status:</strong></th>
<th>Date Closed</th>
<th>Tank Capacity</th>
<th>Substance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**UST:**
- **Facility ID:** 9-501007
- **Owner:** SHELL OIL COMPANY
- **Owner Address:** 789 NIMITZ HWY
- **Owner City, St, Zip:** Wailuku, 96793 96793

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tank ID</th>
<th>Date Installed</th>
<th><strong>Tank Status:</strong></th>
<th>Date Closed</th>
<th>Tank Capacity</th>
<th>Substance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tank ID</th>
<th>Date Installed</th>
<th><strong>Tank Status:</strong></th>
<th>Date Closed</th>
<th>Tank Capacity</th>
<th>Substance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tank ID</th>
<th>Date Installed</th>
<th><strong>Tank Status:</strong></th>
<th>Date Closed</th>
<th>Tank Capacity</th>
<th>Substance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
### SHELL SERVICE STATION (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tank ID</th>
<th>Date Installed</th>
<th>Tank Status</th>
<th>Tank Capacity</th>
<th>Substance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R-4</td>
<td>4/18/1985</td>
<td>Permanently Out of Use</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Used Oil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- ** Tank Capacity: 10000
- ** Substance: Gasoline

---

### C13  NAKAMURA MORTUARY, INC

**Address:** 1218 LOWER MAIN ST, WAILUKU, HI 96793

- **Relative:** Lower
- **Actual:** 122 ft.

**FINDS:**

- Other Pertinent Environmental Activity Identified at Site

**HI-UST (Hawaii - Underground Storage Tank), Hawaii Underground Storage Tank Program regulates underground storage tanks which store petroleum or hazardous substances and offers documents and data products for downloading.**

**LUST:**

- **Facility ID:** 9-502437
- **Facility Status:** Site Cleanup Completed (NFA)
- **Facility Status Date:** 24-May-99
- **Release ID:** 990198
- **Project Officer:** Renato Maniulit

---

### C14  MAUI SODA & ICE WORKS, LTD.

**Address:** 918 A LOWER MAIN ST, WAILUKU, HI 96793

- **Relative:** Lower
- **Actual:** 113 ft.

**LUST:**

- **Facility ID:** 9-500407
- **Facility Status:** Site Cleanup Completed (NFA)
- **Facility Status Date:** 05-Jul-96
- **Release ID:** 950049
- **Project Officer:** Jose Ruiz

**UST:**

- **Facility ID:** 9-500407
- **Owner:** MAUI SODA & ICE WORKS, LTD.
- **Owner Address:** 918 A LOWER MAIN
- **Owner City, St, Zip:** Wailuku, 96793 96793

- **Tank ID:** R-2
- **Date Installed:** 3/25/1984
- **Tank Status:** Permanently Out of Use
### MAUI SODA & ICE WORKS, LTD. (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Closed</th>
<th>11/7/1994</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tank Capacity</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance:</td>
<td>Diesel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tank ID:** R-3  
**Date Installed:** 3/30/1970  
**Tank Status:** Permanently Out of Use  
**Date Closed:** 11/7/1994  
**Tank Capacity:** 1000  
**Substance:** Gasoline

**Tank ID:** R-4  
**Date Installed:** 3/26/1983  
**Tank Status:** Permanently Out of Use  
**Date Closed:** 11/17/1994  
**Tank Capacity:** 1000  
**Substance:** Gasoline

---

**15**
**JN**
**283 WAIEHU BEACH RD**
**WAILUKU, HI 96793**

**SHWS:** 1006819623  
**FINDS:** 110013774594  
**INST CONTROL**

**Relative:** 1823 ft.  
**Actual:** 17 ft.

**File Under:** Terminix  
**Supplement:** Not reported  
**Restricted Use:** This facility is available for unrestricted use.  
**Restricted Use Comm:** Soil is below Tier I levels.  
**Ic Replied On In Remedy:** Not reported  
**Unit:** Yee-Maui Terminix  
**Fed Id:** Not reported  
**Funding:** LMB  
**Agreement/program:** State Site  
**Sitelist Name:** Yee-Maui Terminix  
**Activity Type:** File Review  
**Assignment Date:** 10/1/2007  
**Activity Lead:** Lynn Bailey  
**Assignment End Date:** 10/1/2007  
**End fill:** 10/1/2007  
**Result fill:** Status Update  
**Overall Status:** Complete NFA Letter on File

**FINDS:**

Other Pertinent Environmental Activity Identified at Site

Not reported

---

The HI-ECS (Hawaii Environmental Compliance Program) is the Hawaii state regulatory program relating to environmental compliance and hazardous materials that ensures that program areas and facilities are in compliance with environmental regulations.
HI INSTITUTIONAL CONTROL:
Restricted Use: This facility is available for unrestricted use.
Comments on Restricted Use: Soil is below Tier I levels.
IC Relied on in Remedy: Not reported
File Under: Terminix

FINDS:
Relative: Lower
Actual: 55 ft.

HI-UST (Hawaii - Underground Storage Tank). Hawaii Underground Storage Tank Program regulates underground storage tanks which store petroleum or hazardous substances and offers documents and data products for downloading.

LUST:
Facility ID: 9-503023
Facility Status: Site Cleanup Completed (NFA)
Facility Status Date: 14-Oct-05
Release ID: 040052
Project Officer: Richard Takaba

UST:
Facility ID: 9-500426
Owner: TRI ISLE INC
Owner Address: 860 EHA ST
Owner City, St, Zip: Wailuku, 96793 96793
Tank ID: R-1
Date Installed: 4/18/1985
Tank Status: Permanently Out of Use
Date Closed: 6/8/1998
Tank Capacity: 8000
Substance: Gasoline
### VALLEY ISLE EXPRESS LTD. (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tank ID:</th>
<th>R-2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date Installed:</td>
<td>4/18/1985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tank Status:</td>
<td>Permanently Out of Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Closed:</td>
<td>6/8/1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tank Capacity:</td>
<td>4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance:</td>
<td>Diesel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 18 MAUI SANDTORCHES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SW</th>
<th>46 TING DR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/2-1 WAILUKU, HI 96793</td>
<td>0.878 mi. 4638 ft.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relative:</th>
<th>SHWS: Jacqueline Carlin (Former Operator)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actual:</td>
<td>Mauna Sandtorches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>243 ft.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 19 REX TIRE & SUPPLY, DIESEL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SW</th>
<th>1728 KAALUMANU AVE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/2-1 KAHULUI, HI 96732</td>
<td>0.915 mi. 4833 ft.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relative:</th>
<th>SHWS: Rex Tire &amp; Supply</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actual:</td>
<td>This facility is available for unrestricted use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>212 ft.</td>
<td>Rex Tire Diesel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agreement/program:</th>
<th>State Site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sitelist Name:</td>
<td>Mauna Sandtorches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Type:</td>
<td>File Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignment Date:</td>
<td>10/1/2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Lead:</td>
<td>Lynn Bailey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignment End Date:</td>
<td>10/1/2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End fill:</td>
<td>10/1/2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Result fill:</td>
<td>Status Update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Status:</td>
<td>Complete NFA (No Further Action)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agreement/program:</th>
<th>INST CONTROL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sitelist Name:</td>
<td>Rex Tire Diesel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Type:</td>
<td>File Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignment Date:</td>
<td>10/1/2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Lead:</td>
<td>Lynn Bailey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignment End Date:</td>
<td>10/1/2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End fill:</td>
<td>10/1/2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Result fill:</td>
<td>Status Update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Status:</td>
<td>Complete NFA Letter on File</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HI INSTITUTIONAL CONTROL:

Restricted Use: This facility is available for unrestricted use.
Comments on Restricted Use: Not reported
IC Relied on in Remedy: Not reported
File Under: Rex Tire & Supply
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>EDR ID</th>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Site Address</th>
<th>Zip</th>
<th>Database(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HANA, MAUI</td>
<td>S106401332</td>
<td>HANA LANDFILL</td>
<td>HANA MAUI</td>
<td>96793</td>
<td>SWF/LF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAHULUI</td>
<td>1001475171</td>
<td>KANANA POND EAST</td>
<td>AMALA PLACE</td>
<td>96732</td>
<td>SHWS, CERC-NFRAP, INST CONTRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAHULUI</td>
<td>1006819968</td>
<td>RAINBOW HAULING</td>
<td>AMALA PL</td>
<td>96732</td>
<td>SHWS, FINDS, INST CONTROL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAHULUI</td>
<td>1006820295</td>
<td>E &amp; E BLACK CONTRACTORS</td>
<td>AMALA PL</td>
<td>96732</td>
<td>SHWS, FINDS, INST CONTROL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAHULUI</td>
<td>S106816558</td>
<td>BIRD BUILDERS</td>
<td>AMALA PL</td>
<td>96732</td>
<td>SHWS, INST CONTROL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAHULUI</td>
<td>S106817019</td>
<td>F &amp; M CONTRACTORS</td>
<td>AMALA PL</td>
<td>96732</td>
<td>SHWS, INST CONTROL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAHULUI</td>
<td>S106818550</td>
<td>KING'S TOWING</td>
<td>AMALA PL</td>
<td>96732</td>
<td>SHWS, INST CONTROL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAHULUI</td>
<td>S106820468</td>
<td>SMILE'S AUTO SPECIALISTS</td>
<td>AMALA PL</td>
<td>96732</td>
<td>SHWS, INST CONTROL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAHULUI</td>
<td>S106982451</td>
<td>FAA - AIRPORT TRAF CONT TOWER (PMI</td>
<td>EENA RD KAHULUI AIRPORT</td>
<td>96732</td>
<td>LUST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAHULUI</td>
<td>S106820852</td>
<td>VECTOR CONTROL BRANCH, MAUI</td>
<td>54 HIGH ST, 641 MUA ST, KAHALE</td>
<td>96793</td>
<td>SHWS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAHULUI</td>
<td>1006818919</td>
<td>MAUI PETROLEUM HOBRON AVENUE</td>
<td>HOBRON AVE</td>
<td>96732</td>
<td>SHWS, INST CONTROL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAHULUI</td>
<td>1006820577</td>
<td>HOBRON AVE AREA (KAHULUI)</td>
<td>HOBRON AVE</td>
<td>96732</td>
<td>SHWS, FINDS, INST CONTROL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAHULUI</td>
<td>S106817096</td>
<td>FONG CONSTRUCTION</td>
<td>HUKILIKI ST</td>
<td>96732</td>
<td>SHWS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAHULUI</td>
<td>1006819999</td>
<td>MCC-AUTOMOTIVE TECHNOLOGY BUILDING</td>
<td>310 KAHAUMANU AVE</td>
<td>96732</td>
<td>SHWS, FINDS, SPILLS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAHULUI</td>
<td>S104534290</td>
<td>MAUI PALMS HOTEL LUST</td>
<td>150 KAHAUMANU AVE</td>
<td>96732</td>
<td>SHWS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAHULUI</td>
<td>1006843496</td>
<td>FAA - ASR-7 KAHULUI AIRPORT (PMID O</td>
<td>KAHULUI AIRPORT</td>
<td>96732</td>
<td>FINDS, LUST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAHULUI</td>
<td>U003541903</td>
<td>DOT - AIRPORTS DIVISION MCA YARD</td>
<td>KAHULUI AIRPORT</td>
<td>96732</td>
<td>LUST, UST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAHULUI</td>
<td>U003346417</td>
<td>ROBERT'S HAWAII (PMID OGG002105)</td>
<td>747 KAONAWAI ST (KAHULUI AIRPO</td>
<td>96732</td>
<td>LUST, UST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAHULUI</td>
<td>1006841969</td>
<td>DAVID PICO CESSPOOL DIGGING</td>
<td>OLD HALEAKALA HWY</td>
<td>96732</td>
<td>FINDS, LUST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAHULUI</td>
<td>U001236769</td>
<td>DAVID PICO CESSPOOL DIGGING</td>
<td>OLD HALEAKALA HWY</td>
<td>96732</td>
<td>UST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAHULUI</td>
<td>1006820345</td>
<td>A&amp;B DUMP SITE</td>
<td>W PAPA AVE</td>
<td>96732</td>
<td>SHWS, FINDS, INST CONTROL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAHULUI</td>
<td>S106819004</td>
<td>MAUI MEAT COMPANY FACILITY (FORMER</td>
<td>601 2ND ST</td>
<td>96732</td>
<td>SHWS, SPILLS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAHULUI</td>
<td>1006819647</td>
<td>WAIKAPU DUMP-MAUI COUNTY DUMP</td>
<td>WAIKAPU RD</td>
<td>96732</td>
<td>SHWS, FINDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAHULUI</td>
<td>S108559913</td>
<td>KALAMAULA LANDFILL</td>
<td>HOAWA RD</td>
<td>96732</td>
<td>SHWS, INST CONTROL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAHULUI</td>
<td>S103763652</td>
<td>CENTRAL MAUI LANDFILL</td>
<td>PUNENE, MAUI</td>
<td>96732</td>
<td>SWF/LF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAHULUI</td>
<td>1006819647</td>
<td>WAIKAPU DUMP-MAUI COUNTY DUMP</td>
<td>WAIKAPU RD</td>
<td>96732</td>
<td>SHWS, SPILLS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAHULUI</td>
<td>U004120867</td>
<td>DAVID PICO CESSPOOL DIGGING</td>
<td>OLD HALEAKALA HWY</td>
<td>96732</td>
<td>UST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAHULUI</td>
<td>1008194955</td>
<td>VACANT LAND TMK NO (2) 3-8-7-101</td>
<td>KUIHELANI HWY NEAR WAIKO RD</td>
<td>96793</td>
<td>RCRA-CEGQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAHULUI</td>
<td>1010696372</td>
<td>FORMER MAUI SCRAP METAL LICENSE AR</td>
<td>WAIKO RD NEAR HCS FIELD 920</td>
<td>96793</td>
<td>FINDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAHULUI</td>
<td>1010563215</td>
<td>FORMER MAUI SCRAP METAL LICENSE AR</td>
<td>WAIKO RD NEAR HCS FIELD 920</td>
<td>96793</td>
<td>RCRA-SQG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAILUKU</td>
<td>1006842014</td>
<td>HAWAIAN CEMENT - WAIKAPU QUARRY</td>
<td>HONOAPIILANI HWY</td>
<td>96793</td>
<td>FINDS, LUST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAILUKU</td>
<td>1006819707</td>
<td>WAIALE ASH PILE</td>
<td>MAHALANI ST</td>
<td>96793</td>
<td>SHWS, FINDS, INST CONTROL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAILUKU</td>
<td>S108008644</td>
<td>Y HATA- MAUI</td>
<td>200 WAIHEU BEACH RD AND KAHULU</td>
<td>96793</td>
<td>SHWS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAILUKU</td>
<td>S108559869</td>
<td>WAIMALUHIA MAUI METH / DRUG LAB AC</td>
<td>115 WAIMALUHIA LANE</td>
<td>96793</td>
<td>SHWS, SPILLS, INST CONTROL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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To maintain currency of the following federal and state databases, EDR contacts the appropriate governmental agency on a monthly or quarterly basis, as required.

**Number of Days to Update**: Provides confirmation that EDR is reporting records that have been updated within 90 days from the date the government agency made the information available to the public.

### STANDARD ENVIRONMENTAL RECORDS

#### Federal NPL site list

NPL: National Priority List
- National Priorities List (Superfund). The NPL is a subset of CERCLIS and identifies over 1,200 sites for priority cleanup under the Superfund Program. NPL sites may encompass relatively large areas. As such, EDR provides polygon coverage for over 1,000 NPL site boundaries produced by EPA's Environmental Photographic Interpretation Center (EPIC) and regional EPA offices.

| Date of Government Version: 09/29/2008 | Source: EPA |
| Date Data Arrived at EDR: 10/10/2008 | Telephone: N/A |
| Date Made Active in Reports: 11/19/2008 | Last EDR Contact: 01/26/2009 |
| Number of Days to Update: 40 | Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 04/27/2009 |

**NPL Site Boundaries**

**Sources:**
- EPA’s Environmental Photographic Interpretation Center (EPIC)
  - Telephone: 202-564-7333
- EPA Region 1
  - Telephone 617-918-1143
- EPA Region 2
  - Telephone 215-814-5418
- EPA Region 3
  - Telephone 404-562-8033
- EPA Region 4
  - Telephone 312-886-6686
- EPA Region 5
  - Telephone 206-553-8665
- EPA Region 6
  - Telephone 214-655-6659
- EPA Region 7
  - Telephone 913-551-7247
- EPA Region 8
  - Telephone 303-312-6774
- EPA Region 9
  - Telephone 415-947-4246
- EPA Region 10
  - Telephone 202-564-4267

**Proposed NPL**: Proposed National Priority List Sites
- A site that has been proposed for listing on the National Priorities List through the issuance of a proposed rule in the Federal Register. EPA then accepts public comments on the site, responds to the comments, and places on the NPL those sites that continue to meet the requirements for listing.

| Date of Government Version: 09/29/2008 | Source: EPA |
| Date Data Arrived at EDR: 10/10/2008 | Telephone: N/A |
| Date Made Active in Reports: 11/19/2008 | Last EDR Contact: 01/26/2009 |
| Number of Days to Update: 40 | Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 04/27/2009 |
| Data Release Frequency: Quarterly |

**NPL LIENS**: Federal Superfund Liens

- Federal Superfund Liens. Under the authority granted the USEPA by CERCLA of 1980, the USEPA has the authority to file liens against real property in order to recover remedial action expenditures or when the property owner received notification of potential liability. USEPA compiles a listing of filed notices of Superfund Liens.

| Date of Government Version: 10/15/1991 | Source: EPA |
| Date Data Arrived at EDR: 02/02/1994 | Telephone: 202-564-4267 |
| Date Made Active in Reports: 03/30/1994 | Last EDR Contact: 11/17/2008 |
| Number of Days to Update: 56 | Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/16/2009 |
| Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned |
**Federal Delisted NPL site list**

DELISTED NPL: National Priority List Deletions

The National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP) establishes the criteria that the EPA uses to delete sites from the NPL. In accordance with 40 CFR 300.425(e), sites may be deleted from the NPL where no further response is appropriate.

**Federal CERCLIS list**

CERCLIS: Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System

CERCLIS contains data on potentially hazardous waste sites that have been reported to the USEPA by states, municipalities, private companies and private persons, pursuant to Section 103 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA). CERCLIS contains sites which are either proposed to or on the National Priorities List (NPL) and sites which are in the screening and assessment phase for possible inclusion on the NPL.

**Federal CERCLIS NFRAP site List**

CERCLIS-NFRAP: CERCLIS No Further Remedial Action Planned

Archived sites are sites that have been removed and archived from the inventory of CERCLIS sites. Archived status indicates that, to the best of EPA’s knowledge, assessment at a site has been completed and that EPA has determined no further steps will be taken to list this site on the National Priorities List (NPL), unless information indicates this decision was not appropriate or other considerations require a recommendation for listing at a later time. This decision does not necessarily mean that there is no hazard associated with a given site; it only means that, based upon available information, the location is not judged to be a potential NPL site.

**Federal RCRA CORRACTS facilities list**

CORRACTS: Corrective Action Report

CORRACTS identifies hazardous waste handlers with RCRA corrective action activity.

**Federal RCRA non-CORRACTS TSD facilities list**

RCRA-TSDF: RCRA - Transporters, Storage and Disposal

RCRAInfo is EPA’s comprehensive information system, providing access to data supporting the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) of 1976 and the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments (HSWA) of 1984. The database includes selective information on sites which generate, transport, store, treat and/or dispose of hazardous waste as defined by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). Transporters are individuals or entities that move hazardous waste from the generator offsite to a facility that can recycle, treat, store, or dispose of the waste. TSDFs treat, store, or dispose of the waste.
Federal RCRA generators list

**RCRA-LQG: RCRA - Large Quantity Generators**

RCRAInfo is EPA's comprehensive information system, providing access to data supporting the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) of 1976 and the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments (HSWA) of 1984. The database includes selective information on sites which generate, transport, store, treat and/or dispose of hazardous waste as defined by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). Large quantity generators (LQGs) generate over 1,000 kilograms (kg) of hazardous waste, or over 1 kg of acutely hazardous waste per month.

**Federal institutional controls / engineering controls registries**

**US ENG CONTROLS: Engineering Controls Sites List**

A listing of sites with engineering controls in place. Engineering controls include various forms of caps, building foundations, liners, and treatment methods to create pathway elimination for regulated substances to enter environmental media or effect human health.

Federal institutional controls / engineering controls registries
US INST CONTROL: Sites with Institutional Controls

A listing of sites with institutional controls in place. Institutional controls include administrative measures, such as groundwater use restrictions, construction restrictions, property use restrictions, and post remediation care requirements intended to prevent exposure to contaminants remaining on site. Deed restrictions are generally required as part of the institutional controls.

Date of Government Version: 10/06/2008
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 10/17/2008
Date Made Active in Reports: 12/08/2008
Number of Days to Update: 52
Source: Environmental Protection Agency
Telephone: 703-603-0695
Last EDR Contact: 12/29/2008
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/30/2009
Data Release Frequency: Varies

Federal ERNS list

ERNS: Emergency Response Notification System
Emergency Response Notification System. ERNS records and stores information on reported releases of oil and hazardous substances.

Date of Government Version: 12/31/2007
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 01/23/2008
Date Made Active in Reports: 03/17/2008
Number of Days to Update: 54
Source: National Response Center, United States Coast Guard
Telephone: 202-267-2180
Last EDR Contact: 01/30/2009
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 04/19/2009
Data Release Frequency: Annually

State- and tribal - equivalent CERCLIS

SHWS: Sites List
Facilities, sites or areas in which the Office of Hazard Evaluation and Emergency Response has an interest, has investigated or may investigate under HRS 128D (includes CERCLIS sites).

Date of Government Version: 04/04/2008
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 06/18/2008
Date Made Active in Reports: 07/22/2008
Number of Days to Update: 34
Source: Department of Health
Telephone: 808-586-4249
Last EDR Contact: 12/18/2008
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/16/2009
Data Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

State and tribal landfill and/or solid waste disposal site lists

SWF/LF: Permitted Landfills in the State of Hawaii
Solid Waste Facilities/Landfill Sites. SWF/LF type records typically contain an inventory of solid waste disposal facilities or landfills in a particular state. Depending on the state, these may be active or inactive facilities or open dumps that failed to meet RCRA Subtitle D Section 4004 criteria for solid waste landfills or disposal sites.

Date of Government Version: 05/19/2004
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 05/20/2004
Date Made Active in Reports: 06/22/2004
Number of Days to Update: 33
Source: Department of Health
Telephone: 808-586-4245
Last EDR Contact: 02/02/2009
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 04/19/2009
Data Release Frequency: Varies

State and tribal leaking storage tank lists

LUST: Leaking Underground Storage Tank Database
Leaking Underground Storage Tank Incident Reports. LUST records contain an inventory of reported leaking underground storage tank incidents. Not all states maintain these records, and the information stored varies by state.

Date of Government Version: 06/30/2008
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 07/02/2008
Date Made Active in Reports: 07/22/2008
Number of Days to Update: 20
Source: Department of Health
Telephone: 808-586-4228
Last EDR Contact: 12/23/2008
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/23/2009
Data Release Frequency: Semi-Annually
INDIAN LUST R7: Leaking Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land
LUSTs on Indian land in Iowa, Kansas, and Nebraska
Date of Government Version: 04/01/2008
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 12/03/2008
Date Made Active in Reports: 12/23/2008
Number of Days to Update: 20
Source: EPA Region 7
Telephone: 913-551-7003
Last EDR Contact: 11/19/2008
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/16/2009
Data Release Frequency: Varies

INDIAN LUST R10: Leaking Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land
Date of Government Version: 11/18/2008
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/19/2008
Date Made Active in Reports: 12/23/2008
Number of Days to Update: 34
Source: EPA Region 10
Telephone: 206-553-2857
Last EDR Contact: 11/17/2008
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/16/2009
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

INDIAN LUST R9: Leaking Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land
LUSTs on Indian land in Arizona, California, New Mexico and Nevada.
Date of Government Version: 10/10/2008
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 10/10/2008
Date Made Active in Reports: 10/16/2008
Number of Days to Update: 6
Source: Environmental Protection Agency
Telephone: 415-972-3372
Last EDR Contact: 11/17/2008
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/16/2009
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

INDIAN LUST R8: Leaking Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land
LUSTs on Indian land in Colorado, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah and Wyoming.
Date of Government Version: 12/02/2008
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 12/04/2008
Date Made Active in Reports: 12/23/2008
Number of Days to Update: 6
Source: EPA Region 8
Telephone: 303-312-6271
Last EDR Contact: 11/17/2008
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/16/2009
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

INDIAN LUST R6: Leaking Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land
LUSTs on Indian land in New Mexico and Oklahoma.
Date of Government Version: 11/25/2008
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/26/2008
Date Made Active in Reports: 12/23/2008
Number of Days to Update: 27
Source: EPA Region 6
Telephone: 214-665-6597
Last EDR Contact: 11/17/2008
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/16/2009
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

INDIAN LUST R4: Leaking Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land
LUSTs on Indian land in Florida, Mississippi and North Carolina.
Date of Government Version: 06/06/2008
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 10/09/2008
Date Made Active in Reports: 11/19/2008
Number of Days to Update: 41
Source: EPA Region 4
Telephone: 404-562-8677
Last EDR Contact: 11/17/2008
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/16/2009
Data Release Frequency: Varies

INDIAN LUST R1: Leaking Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land
A listing of leaking underground storage tank locations on Indian Land.
Date of Government Version: 03/12/2008
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 03/14/2008
Date Made Active in Reports: 03/20/2008
Number of Days to Update: 6
Source: EPA Region 1
Telephone: 617-918-1313
Last EDR Contact: 11/17/2008
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/16/2009
Data Release Frequency: Varies
State and tribal registered storage tank lists

UST: Underground Storage Tank Database
Registered Underground Storage Tanks. UST’s are regulated under Subtitle I of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) and must be registered with the state department responsible for administering the UST program. Available information varies by state program.

Date of Government Version: 06/30/2008  Source: Department of Health
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 07/02/2008  Telephone: 808-586-4228
Date Made Active in Reports: 07/24/2008  Last EDR Contact: 12/23/2008
Number of Days to Update: 22  Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/23/2009
Data Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

INDIAN UST R10: Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land

Date of Government Version: 11/18/2008  Source: EPA Region 10
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/19/2008  Telephone: 206-553-2857
Date Made Active in Reports: 12/23/2008  Last EDR Contact: 11/17/2008
Number of Days to Update: 34  Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/16/2009
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

INDIAN UST R9: Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land
The Indian Underground Storage Tank (UST) database provides information about underground storage tanks on Indian land in EPA Region 9 (Arizona, California, Hawaii, Nevada, the Pacific Islands, and Tribal Nations).

Date of Government Version: 09/05/2008  Source: EPA Region 9
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 09/19/2008  Telephone: 415-972-3368
Date Made Active in Reports: 10/16/2008  Last EDR Contact: 11/17/2008
Number of Days to Update: 27  Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/16/2009
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

INDIAN UST R8: Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land
The Indian Underground Storage Tank (UST) database provides information about underground storage tanks on Indian land in EPA Region 8 (Colorado, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah, Wyoming and 27 Tribal Nations).

Date of Government Version: 12/01/2008  Source: EPA Region 8
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 12/04/2008  Telephone: 303-312-6137
Date Made Active in Reports: 12/23/2008  Last EDR Contact: 11/17/2008
Number of Days to Update: 19  Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/16/2009
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

INDIAN UST R7: Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land
The Indian Underground Storage Tank (UST) database provides information about underground storage tanks on Indian land in EPA Region 7 (Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska, and 9 Tribal Nations).

Date of Government Version: 06/01/2007  Source: EPA Region 7
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 06/14/2007  Telephone: 913-551-7003
Date Made Active in Reports: 07/05/2007  Last EDR Contact: 11/19/2008
Number of Days to Update: 21  Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/16/2009
Data Release Frequency: Varies

INDIAN UST R6: Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land
The Indian Underground Storage Tank (UST) database provides information about underground storage tanks on Indian land in EPA Region 6 (Louisiana, Arkansas, Oklahoma, New Mexico, Texas and 65 Tribes).

Date of Government Version: 11/25/2008  Source: EPA Region 6
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/26/2008  Telephone: 214-665-7591
Date Made Active in Reports: 12/23/2008  Last EDR Contact: 11/17/2008
Number of Days to Update: 27  Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/16/2009
Data Release Frequency: Semi-Annually
INDIAN UST R5: Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land
The Indian Underground Storage Tank (UST) database provides information about underground storage tanks on Indian land in EPA Region 5 (Michigan, Minnesota and Wisconsin and Tribal Nations).

Date of Government Version: 09/08/2008  Source: EPA Region 5
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 09/19/2008  Telephone: 312-886-6136
Date Made Active in Reports: 10/16/2008  Last EDR Contact: 11/17/2008
Number of Days to Update: 27  Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/16/2009
Data Release Frequency: Varies

INDIAN UST R4: Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land
The Indian Underground Storage Tank (UST) database provides information about underground storage tanks on Indian land in EPA Region 4 (Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee and Tribal Nations).

Date of Government Version: 06/06/2008  Source: EPA Region 4
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 10/09/2008  Telephone: 404-562-9424
Date Made Active in Reports: 11/19/2008  Last EDR Contact: 11/17/2008
Number of Days to Update: 41  Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/16/2009
Data Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

INDIAN UST R1: Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land
The Indian Underground Storage Tank (UST) database provides information about underground storage tanks on Indian land in EPA Region 1 (Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, Vermont and ten Tribal Nations).

Date of Government Version: 03/12/2008  Source: EPA, Region 1
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 03/14/2008  Telephone: 617-918-1313
Date Made Active in Reports: 03/20/2008  Last EDR Contact: 11/17/2008
Number of Days to Update: 6  Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/16/2009
Data Release Frequency: Varies

State and tribal institutional control / engineering control registries

INST CONTROL: Sites with Institutional Controls
Voluntary Remediation Program and Brownfields sites with institutional controls in place.

Date of Government Version: 04/04/2008  Source: Department of Health
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 06/18/2008  Telephone: 808-586-4249
Date Made Active in Reports: 07/22/2008  Last EDR Contact: 12/18/2008
Number of Days to Update: 34  Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/16/2009
Data Release Frequency: Varies

State and tribal voluntary cleanup sites

INDIAN VCP R7: Voluntary Cleanup Priority Listing
A listing of voluntary cleanup priority sites located on Indian Land located in Region 7.

Date of Government Version: 03/20/2008  Source: EPA, Region 7
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 04/22/2008  Telephone: 913-551-7365
Date Made Active in Reports: 05/19/2008  Last EDR Contact: 01/19/2009
Number of Days to Update: 27  Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 04/19/2009
Data Release Frequency: Varies

INDIAN VCP R1: Voluntary Cleanup Priority Listing
A listing of voluntary cleanup priority sites located on Indian Land located in Region 1.

Date of Government Version: 04/02/2008  Source: EPA, Region 1
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 04/22/2008  Telephone: 617-918-1102
Date Made Active in Reports: 05/19/2008  Last EDR Contact: 01/19/2009
Number of Days to Update: 27  Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 04/19/2009
Data Release Frequency: Varies
VCP: Voluntary Response Program Sites

Sites participating in the Voluntary Response Program. The purpose of the VRP is to streamline the cleanup process in a way that will encourage prospective developers, lenders, and purchasers to voluntarily cleanup properties.

Date of Government Version: 04/04/2008
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 06/18/2008
Date Made Active in Reports: 07/22/2008
Number of Days to Update: 34

Source: Department of Health
Telephone: 808-586-4249
Last EDR Contact: 12/18/2008
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/16/2009
Data Release Frequency: Varies

State and tribal Brownfields sites

BROWNFIELDS: Brownfields Sites

With certain legal exclusions and additions, the term ‘brownfield site’ means real property, the expansion, redevelopment, or reuse of which may be complicated by the presence or potential presence of a hazardous substance, pollutant, or contaminant.

Date of Government Version: 04/04/2008
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 06/18/2008
Date Made Active in Reports: 07/22/2008
Number of Days to Update: 34

Source: Department of Health
Telephone: 808-586-4249
Last EDR Contact: 12/18/2008
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/16/2009
Data Release Frequency: Varies

ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL RECORDS

Local Brownfield lists

US BROWNFIELDS: A Listing of Brownfields Sites

Included in the listing are brownfields properties addresses by Cooperative Agreement Recipients and brownfields properties addressed by Targeted Brownfields Assessments. Targeted Brownfields Assessments-EPA’s Targeted Brownfields Assessments (TBA) program is designed to help states, tribes, and municipalities--especially those without EPA Brownfields Assessment Demonstration Pilots--minimize the uncertainties of contamination often associated with brownfields. Under the TBA program, EPA provides funding and/or technical assistance for environmental assessments at brownfields sites throughout the country. Targeted Brownfields Assessments supplement and work with other efforts under EPA’s Brownfields Initiative to promote cleanup and redevelopment of brownfields. Cooperative Agreement Recipients-States, political subdivisions, territories, and Indian tribes become Brownfields Cleanup Revolving Loan Fund (BCRLF) cooperative agreement recipients when they enter into BCRLF cooperative agreements with the U.S. EPA. EPA selects BCRLF cooperative agreement recipients based on a proposal and application process. BCRLF cooperative agreement recipients must use EPA funds provided through BCRLF cooperative agreement for specified brownfields-related cleanup activities.

Date of Government Version: 10/01/2008
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/14/2008
Date Made Active in Reports: 12/23/2008
Number of Days to Update: 39

Source: Environmental Protection Agency
Telephone: 202-566-2777
Last EDR Contact: 02/10/2009
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 04/13/2009
Data Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

Local Lists of Landfill / Solid Waste Disposal Sites

DEBRIS REGION 9: Torres Martinez Reservation Illegal Dump Site Locations

A listing of illegal dump sites location on the Torres Martinez Indian Reservation located in eastern Riverside County and northern Imperial County, California.

Date of Government Version: 03/25/2008
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 04/17/2008
Date Made Active in Reports: 05/15/2008
Number of Days to Update: 28

Source: EPA, Region 9
Telephone: 415-972-3336
Last EDR Contact: 12/22/2008
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/23/2009
Data Release Frequency: Varies
ODI: Open Dump Inventory
An open dump is defined as a disposal facility that does not comply with one or more of the Part 257 or Part 258 Subtitle D Criteria.

Date of Government Version: 06/30/1985
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 08/09/2004
Date Made Active in Reports: 09/17/2004
Number of Days to Update: 39
Source: Environmental Protection Agency
Telephone: 800-424-9346
Last EDR Contact: 06/09/2004
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: N/A
Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned

INDIAN ODI: Report on the Status of Open Dumps on Indian Lands
Location of open dumps on Indian land.

Date of Government Version: 12/31/1998
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 12/03/2007
Date Made Active in Reports: 01/24/2008
Number of Days to Update: 52
Source: Environmental Protection Agency
Telephone: 703-308-8245
Last EDR Contact: 11/24/2008
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/23/2009
Data Release Frequency: Varies

Local Lists of Hazardous waste / Contaminated Sites

CDL: Clandestine Drug Labs
A listing of clandestine drug lab locations. The U.S. Department of Justice ("the Department") provides this web site as a public service. It contains addresses of some locations where law enforcement agencies reported they found chemicals or other items that indicated the presence of either clandestine drug laboratories or dumpsites. In most cases, the source of the entries is not the Department, and the Department has not verified the entry and does not guarantee its accuracy. Members of the public must verify the accuracy of all entries by, for example, contacting local law enforcement and local health departments.

Date of Government Version: 07/01/2008
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 10/31/2008
Date Made Active in Reports: 12/23/2008
Number of Days to Update: 53
Source: Drug Enforcement Administration
Telephone: 202-307-1000
Last EDR Contact: 10/31/2008
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/23/2009
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

Local Land Records

LIENS 2: CERCLA Lien Information
A Federal CERCLA ("Superfund") lien can exist by operation of law at any site or property at which EPA has spent Superfund monies. These monies are spent to investigate and address releases and threatened releases of contamination. CERCLIS provides information as to the identity of these sites and properties.

Date of Government Version: 08/19/2008
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 08/29/2008
Date Made Active in Reports: 09/09/2008
Number of Days to Update: 11
Source: Environmental Protection Agency
Telephone: 202-564-6023
Last EDR Contact: 11/17/2008
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/16/2009
Data Release Frequency: Varies

LUCIS: Land Use Control Information System
LUCIS contains records of land use control information pertaining to the former Navy Base Realignment and Closure properties.

Date of Government Version: 12/09/2005
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 12/11/2006
Date Made Active in Reports: 01/11/2007
Number of Days to Update: 31
Source: Department of the Navy
Telephone: 843-820-7326
Last EDR Contact: 12/08/2008
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/09/2009
Data Release Frequency: Varies

Records of Emergency Release Reports
HMIRS: Hazardous Materials Information Reporting System
HMIRS contains hazardous material spill incidents reported to DOT.
Date of Government Version: 09/30/2008
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 10/16/2008
Date Made Active in Reports: 11/19/2008
Number of Days to Update: 34
Source: U.S. Department of Transportation
Telephone: 202-366-4555
Last EDR Contact: 01/30/2009
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 04/13/2009
Data Release Frequency: Annually

SPILLS: Release Notifications
Releases of hazardous substances to the environment reported to the Office of Hazard Evaluation and Emergency Response since 1988.
Date of Government Version: 04/04/2008
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 06/18/2008
Date Made Active in Reports: 07/22/2008
Number of Days to Update: 34
Source: Department of Health
Telephone: 808-586-4249
Last EDR Contact: 12/18/2008
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/16/2009
Data Release Frequency: Varies

Other Ascertainable Records
RCRA-NonGen: RCRA - Non Generators
The database includes selective information on sites which generate, transport, store, treat and/or dispose of hazardous waste as defined by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). Non-Generators do not presently generate hazardous waste.
Date of Government Version: 09/10/2008
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 09/23/2008
Date Made Active in Reports: 10/16/2008
Number of Days to Update: 23
Source: Environmental Protection Agency
Telephone: (415) 495-8895
Last EDR Contact: 02/06/2009
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/16/2009
Data Release Frequency: Varies

DOT OPS: Incident and Accident Data
Department of Transportation, Office of Pipeline Safety Incident and Accident data.
Date of Government Version: 05/14/2008
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 05/28/2008
Date Made Active in Reports: 08/08/2008
Number of Days to Update: 72
Source: Department of Transporation, Office of Pipeline Safety
Telephone: 202-366-4595
Last EDR Contact: 11/26/2008
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 02/23/2009
Data Release Frequency: Varies

DOD: Department of Defense Sites
This data set consists of federally owned or administered lands, administered by the Department of Defense, that have any area equal to or greater than 640 acres of the United States, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands.
Date of Government Version: 12/31/2005
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 11/10/2006
Date Made Active in Reports: 01/11/2007
Number of Days to Update: 62
Source: USGS
Telephone: 703-692-8801
Last EDR Contact: 02/06/2009
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 05/04/2009
Data Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

FUDS: Formerly Used Defense Sites
The listing includes locations of Formerly Used Defense Sites properties where the US Army Corps of Engineers is actively working or will take necessary cleanup actions.
Date of Government Version: 12/31/2007
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 09/05/2008
Date Made Active in Reports: 09/23/2008
Number of Days to Update: 18
Source: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
Telephone: 202-528-4285
Last EDR Contact: 12/29/2008
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/30/2009
Data Release Frequency: Varies
CONSENT: Superfund (CERCLA) Consent Decrees
Major legal settlements that establish responsibility and standards for cleanup at NPL (Superfund) sites. Released periodically by United States District Courts after settlement by parties to litigation matters.

Date of Government Version: 09/15/2008  Source: Department of Justice, Consent Decree Library
Date Arrived at EDR: 10/22/2008  Telephone: Varies
Date Made Active in Reports: 12/23/2008  Last EDR Contact: 01/19/2009
Number of Days to Update: 62  Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 04/19/2009
Data Release Frequency: Varies

ROD: Records Of Decision
Record of Decision. ROD documents mandate a permanent remedy at an NPL (Superfund) site containing technical and health information to aid in the cleanup.

Date of Government Version: 10/21/2008  Source: EPA
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 10/29/2008  Telephone: 703-416-0223
Date Made Active in Reports: 12/23/2008  Last EDR Contact: 12/29/2008
Number of Days to Update: 55  Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/30/2009
Data Release Frequency: Annually

UMTRA: Uranium Mill Tailings Sites
Uranium ore was mined by private companies for federal government use in national defense programs. When the mills shut down, large piles of the sand-like material (mill tailings) remain after uranium has been extracted from the ore. Levels of human exposure to radioactive materials from the piles are low; however, in some cases tailings were used as construction materials before the potential health hazards of the tailings were recognized.

Date of Government Version: 07/13/2007  Source: Department of Energy
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 12/03/2007  Telephone: 505-845-0011
Date Made Active in Reports: 01/24/2008  Last EDR Contact: 12/17/2008
Number of Days to Update: 52  Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/16/2009
Data Release Frequency: Varies

MINES: Mines Master Index File
Contains all mine identification numbers issued for mines active or opened since 1971. The data also includes violation information.

Date of Government Version: 08/07/2008  Source: Department of Labor, Mine Safety and Health Administration
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 09/23/2008  Telephone: 303-231-5959
Date Made Active in Reports: 10/16/2008  Last EDR Contact: 12/23/2008
Number of Days to Update: 23  Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/23/2009
Data Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

TRIS: Toxic Chemical Release Inventory System
Toxic Release Inventory System. TRIS identifies facilities which release toxic chemicals to the air, water and land in reportable quantities under SARA Title III Section 313.

Date of Government Version: 12/31/2006  Source: EPA
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 02/29/2008  Telephone: 202-566-0250
Date Made Active in Reports: 04/18/2008  Last EDR Contact: 09/19/2008
Number of Days to Update: 49  Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 12/15/2008
Data Release Frequency: Annually

TSCA: Toxic Substances Control Act
Toxic Substances Control Act. TSCA identifies manufacturers and importers of chemical substances included on the TSCA Chemical Substance Inventory list. It includes data on the production volume of these substances by plant site.

Date of Government Version: 12/31/2002  Source: EPA
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 04/14/2006  Telephone: 202-260-5521
Date Made Active in Reports: 05/30/2006  Last EDR Contact: 01/12/2009
Number of Days to Update: 46  Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 04/13/2009
Data Release Frequency: Every 4 Years
FTTS: FIFRA/ TSCA Tracking System - FIFRA (Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, & Rodenticide Act)/TSCA (Toxic Substances Control Act)
FTTS tracks administrative cases and pesticide enforcement actions and compliance activities related to FIFRA, TSCA and EPCRA (Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act). To maintain currency, EDR contacts the Agency on a quarterly basis.

Date of Government Version: 10/08/2008
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 10/17/2008
Date Made Active in Reports: 12/08/2008
Number of Days to Update: 52
Source: EPA/Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances
Telephone: 202-566-1667
Last EDR Contact: 12/15/2008
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/16/2009
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

FTTS INSP: FIFRA/ TSCA Tracking System - FIFRA (Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, & Rodenticide Act)/TSCA (Toxic Substances Control Act)
A listing of FIFRA/TSCA Tracking System (FTTS) inspections and enforcements.

Date of Government Version: 10/08/2008
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 10/17/2008
Date Made Active in Reports: 12/08/2008
Number of Days to Update: 52
Source: EPA
Telephone: 202-566-1667
Last EDR Contact: 12/15/2008
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/16/2009
Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

HIST FTTS: FIFRA/TSCA Tracking System Administrative Case Listing
A complete administrative case listing from the FIFRA/TSCA Tracking System (FTTS) for all ten EPA regions. The information was obtained from the National Compliance Database (NCDB). NCDB supports the implementation of FIFRA (Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act) and TSCA (Toxic Substances Control Act). Some EPA regions are now closing out records. Because of that, and the fact that some EPA regions are not providing EPA Headquarters with updated records, it was decided to create a HIST FTTS database. It included records that may not be included in the newer FTTS database updates. This database is no longer updated.

Date of Government Version: 10/19/2006
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 03/14/2008
Date Made Active in Reports: 04/10/2007
Number of Days to Update: 40
Source: Environmental Protection Agency
Telephone: 202-564-2501
Last EDR Contact: 12/17/2007
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/17/2008
Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned

HIST FTTS INSP: FIFRA/TSCA Tracking System Inspection & Enforcement Case Listing
A complete inspection and enforcement case listing from the FIFRA/TSCA Tracking System (FTTS) for all ten EPA regions. The information was obtained from the National Compliance Database (NCDB). NCDB supports the implementation of FIFRA (Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act) and TSCA (Toxic Substances Control Act). Some EPA regions are now closing out records. Because of that, and the fact that some EPA regions are not providing EPA Headquarters with updated records, it was decided to create a HIST FTTS database. It included records that may not be included in the newer FTTS database updates. This database is no longer updated.

Date of Government Version: 10/19/2006
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 03/01/2007
Date Made Active in Reports: 04/10/2007
Number of Days to Update: 40
Source: Environmental Protection Agency
Telephone: 202-564-2501
Last EDR Contact: 12/17/2008
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/17/2008
Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned

SSTS: Section 7 Tracking Systems
Section 7 of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act, as amended (92 Stat. 829) requires all registered pesticide-producing establishments to submit a report to the Environmental Protection Agency by March 1st each year. Each establishment must report the types and amounts of pesticides, active ingredients and devices being produced, and those having been produced and sold or distributed in the past year.

Date of Government Version: 12/31/2006
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 03/14/2008
Date Made Active in Reports: 04/18/2008
Number of Days to Update: 35
Source: EPA
Telephone: 202-564-4203
Last EDR Contact: 12/04/2008
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 01/12/2009
Data Release Frequency: Annually
ICIS: Integrated Compliance Information System
The Integrated Compliance Information System (ICIS) supports the information needs of the national enforcement and compliance program as well as the unique needs of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) program.

- Date of Government Version: 07/31/2008
- Source: Environmental Protection Agency
- Telephone: 202-564-5088
- Date Data Arrived at EDR: 08/13/2008
- Date Made Active in Reports: 09/09/2008
- Number of Days to Update: 27
- Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 04/13/2009
- Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

PADS: PCB Activity Database System
PCB Activity Database. PADS identifies generators, transporters, commercial storers and/or brokers and disposers of PCB’s who are required to notify the EPA of such activities.

- Date of Government Version: 12/04/2007
- Source: EPA
- Telephone: 202-566-0500
- Date Data Arrived at EDR: 02/07/2008
- Date Made Active in Reports: 03/17/2008
- Number of Days to Update: 39
- Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 05/04/2009
- Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

MLTS: Material Licensing Tracking System
MLTS is maintained by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and contains a list of approximately 8,100 sites which possess or use radioactive materials and which are subject to NRC licensing requirements. To maintain currency, EDR contacts the Agency on a quarterly basis.

- Date of Government Version: 10/03/2008
- Source: Nuclear Regulatory Commission
- Telephone: 301-415-7169
- Date Data Arrived at EDR: 10/15/2008
- Date Made Active in Reports: 11/19/2008
- Number of Days to Update: 35
- Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/30/2009
- Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

RADINFO: Radiation Information Database
The Radiation Information Database (RADINFO) contains information about facilities that are regulated by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) regulations for radiation and radioactivity.

- Date of Government Version: 10/28/2008
- Source: Environmental Protection Agency
- Telephone: 202-343-9775
- Date Data Arrived at EDR: 10/29/2008
- Date Made Active in Reports: 12/08/2008
- Number of Days to Update: 40
- Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 04/27/2009
- Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

FINDS: Facility Index System/Facility Registry System
Facility Index System. FINDS contains both facility information and ‘pointers’ to other sources that contain more detail. EDR includes the following FINDS databases in this report: PCS (Permit Compliance System), AIRS (Aerometric Information Retrieval System), DOCKET (Enforcement Docket used to manage and track information on civil judicial enforcement cases for all environmental statutes), FURS (Federal Underground Injection Control), C-DOCKET (Criminal Docket System used to track criminal enforcement actions for all environmental statutes), FFIS (Federal Facilities Information System), STATE (State Environmental Laws and Statutes), and PADS (PCB Activity Data System).

- Date of Government Version: 10/30/2008
- Source: EPA
- Telephone: (415) 947-8000
- Date Data Arrived at EDR: 10/31/2008
- Date Made Active in Reports: 12/23/2008
- Number of Days to Update: 53
- Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/30/2009
- Data Release Frequency: Quarterly

RAATS: RCRA Administrative Action Tracking System
RCRA Administration Action Tracking System. RAATS contains records based on enforcement actions issued under RCRA pertaining to major violators and includes administrative and civil actions brought by the EPA. For administration actions after September 30, 1995, data entry in the RAATS database was discontinued. EPA will retain a copy of the database for historical records. It was necessary to terminate RAATS because a decrease in agency resources made it impossible to continue to update the information contained in the database.
BRS: Biennial Reporting System
The Biennial Reporting System is a national system administered by the EPA that collects data on the generation and management of hazardous waste. BRS captures detailed data from two groups: Large Quantity Generators (LQG) and Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Facilities.

Date of Government Version: 12/31/2005
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 03/06/2007
Date Made Active in Reports: 04/13/2007
Number of Days to Update: 38
Last EDR Contact: 12/09/2008
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 03/09/2009
Data Release Frequency: Biennially

DRYCLEANERS: Permitted Drycleaner Facility Listing
A listing of permitted drycleaner facilities in the state.

Date of Government Version: 03/28/2008
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 03/28/2008
Date Made Active in Reports: 04/24/2008
Number of Days to Update: 27
Last EDR Contact: 12/22/2008
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 01/26/2009
Data Release Frequency: Varies

AIRS: List of Permitted Facilities
A listing of permitted facilities in the state.

Date of Government Version: 03/28/2008
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 03/28/2008
Date Made Active in Reports: 04/24/2008
Number of Days to Update: 27
Last EDR Contact: 12/22/2008
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 01/26/2009
Data Release Frequency: Varies

INDIAN RESERV: Indian Reservations
This map layer portrays Indian administered lands of the United States that have any area equal to or greater than 640 acres.

Date of Government Version: 12/31/2005
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 12/08/2006
Date Made Active in Reports: 01/11/2007
Number of Days to Update: 34
Last EDR Contact: 02/06/2009
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 05/04/2009
Data Release Frequency: Semi-Annually

SCRD DRYCLEANERS: State Coalition for Remediation of Drycleaners Listing
The State Coalition for Remediation of Drycleaners was established in 1998, with support from the U.S. EPA Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation. It is comprised of representatives of states with established drycleaner remediation programs. Currently the member states are Alabama, Connecticut, Florida, Illinois, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, North Carolina, Oregon, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, and Wisconsin.

Date of Government Version: 09/08/2008
Date Data Arrived at EDR: 09/10/2008
Date Made Active in Reports: 09/23/2008
Number of Days to Update: 13
Last EDR Contact: 02/09/2009
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: 05/11/2009
Data Release Frequency: Varies

FEDLAND: Federal and Indian Lands
EDR PROPRIETARY RECORDS

EDR Proprietary Records

Manufactured Gas Plants: EDR Proprietary Manufactured Gas Plants
The EDR Proprietary Manufactured Gas Plant Database includes records of coal gas plants (manufactured gas plants) compiled by EDR's researchers. Manufactured gas sites were used in the United States from the 1800's to 1950's to produce a gas that could be distributed and used as fuel. These plants used whale oil, rosin, coal, or a mixture of coal, oil, and water that also produced a significant amount of waste. Many of the byproducts of the gas production, such as coal tar (oily waste containing volatile and non-volatile chemicals), sludges, oils and other compounds are potentially hazardous to human health and the environment. The byproduct from this process was frequently disposed of directly at the plant site and can remain or spread slowly, serving as a continuous source of soil and groundwater contamination.

Date of Government Version: N/A
Date Data Arrived at EDR: N/A
Date Made Active in Reports: N/A
Number of Days to Update: N/A
Source: EDR, Inc.
Telephone: N/A
Last EDR Contact: N/A
Next Scheduled EDR Contact: N/A
Data Release Frequency: No Update Planned

OTHER DATABASE(S)

Depending on the geographic area covered by this report, the data provided in these specialty databases may or may not be complete. For example, the existence of wetlands information data in a specific report does not mean that all wetlands in the area covered by the report are included. Moreover, the absence of any reported wetlands information does not necessarily mean that wetlands do not exist in the area covered by the report.

Oil/Gas Pipelines: This data was obtained by EDR from the USGS in 1994. It is referred to by USGS as GeoData Digital Line Graphs from 1:100,000-Scale Maps. It was extracted from the transportation category including some oil, but primarily gas pipelines.

Electric Power Transmission Line Data
Source: PennWell Corporation
Telephone: (800) 823-6277
This map includes information copyrighted by PennWell Corporation. This information is provided on a best effort basis and PennWell Corporation does not guarantee its accuracy nor warrant its fitness for any particular purpose. Such information has been reprinted with the permission of PennWell.
Sensitive Receptors: There are individuals deemed sensitive receptors due to their fragile immune systems and special sensitivity to environmental discharges. These sensitive receptors typically include the elderly, the sick, and children. While the location of all sensitive receptors cannot be determined, EDR indicates those buildings and facilities - schools, daycares, hospitals, medical centers, and nursing homes - where individuals who are sensitive receptors are likely to be located.

AHA Hospitals:
Source: American Hospital Association, Inc.
Telephone: 312-280-5991
The database includes a listing of hospitals based on the American Hospital Association's annual survey of hospitals.

Medical Centers: Provider of Services Listing
Source: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
Telephone: 410-786-3000
A listing of hospitals with Medicare provider number, produced by Centers of Medicare & Medicaid Services, a federal agency within the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

Nursing Homes
Source: National Institutes of Health
Telephone: 301-594-6248
Information on Medicare and Medicaid certified nursing homes in the United States.

Public Schools
Source: National Center for Education Statistics
Telephone: 202-502-7300
The National Center for Education Statistics' primary database on elementary and secondary public education in the United States. It is a comprehensive, annual, national statistical database of all public elementary and secondary schools and school districts, which contains data that are comparable across all states.

Private Schools
Source: National Center for Education Statistics
Telephone: 202-502-7300
The National Center for Education Statistics' primary database on private school locations in the United States.

Flood Zone Data: This data, available in select counties across the country, was obtained by EDR in 1999 from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Data depicts 100-year and 500-year flood zones as defined by FEMA.

NWI: National Wetlands Inventory. This data, available in select counties across the country, was obtained by EDR in 2002 and 2005 from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Scanned Digital USGS 7.5' Topographic Map (DRG)
Source: United States Geologic Survey
A digital raster graphic (DRG) is a scanned image of a U.S. Geological Survey topographic map. The map images are made by scanning published paper maps on high-resolution scanners. The raster image is georeferenced and fit to the Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) projection.

STREET AND ADDRESS INFORMATION
© 2009 Tele Atlas North America, Inc. All rights reserved. This material is proprietary and the subject of copyright protection and other intellectual property rights owned by or licensed to Tele Atlas North America, Inc. The use of this material is subject to the terms of a license agreement. You will be held liable for any unauthorized copying or disclosure of this material.
Assessment of the impact of contaminant migration generally has two principle investigative components:

1. Groundwater flow direction, and
2. Groundwater flow velocity.

Groundwater flow direction may be impacted by surface topography, hydrology, hydrogeology, characteristics of the soil, and nearby wells. Groundwater flow velocity is generally impacted by the nature of the geologic strata.
GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION INFORMATION
Groundwater flow direction for a particular site is best determined by a qualified environmental professional using site-specific well data. If such data is not reasonably ascertainable, it may be necessary to rely on other sources of information, such as surface topographic information, hydrologic information, hydrogeologic data collected on nearby properties, and regional groundwater flow information (from deep aquifers).

TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION
Surface topography may be indicative of the direction of surficial groundwater flow. This information can be used to assist the environmental professional in forming an opinion about the impact of nearby contaminated properties or, should contamination exist on the target property, what downgradient sites might be impacted.

TARGET PROPERTY TOPOGRAPHY
General Topographic Gradient: General ENE

SURROUNDING TOPOGRAPHY: ELEVATION PROFILES

Source: Topography has been determined from the USGS 7.5’ Digital Elevation Model and should be evaluated on a relative (not an absolute) basis. Relative elevation information between sites of close proximity should be field verified.
HYDROLOGIC INFORMATION
Surface water can act as a hydrologic barrier to groundwater flow. Such hydrologic information can be used to assist the environmental professional in forming an opinion about the impact of nearby contaminated properties or, should contamination exist on the target property, what downgradient sites might be impacted.

Refer to the Physical Setting Source Map following this summary for hydrologic information (major waterways and bodies of water).

FEMA FLOOD ZONE
- Target Property County: MAUI, HI
- Flood Plain Panel at Target Property: 1500030190D
- Additional Panels in search area: 1500030180B

NATIONAL WETLAND INVENTORY
- NWI Quad at Target Property: YES - refer to the Overview Map and Detail Map

HYDROGEOLOGIC INFORMATION
Hydrogeologic information obtained by installation of wells on a specific site can often be an indicator of groundwater flow direction in the immediate area. Such hydrogeologic information can be used to assist the environmental professional in forming an opinion about the impact of nearby contaminated properties or, should contamination exist on the target property, what downgradient sites might be impacted.

AQUIFLOW®
- Search Radius: 1.000 Mile.

EDR has developed the AQUIFLOW Information System to provide data on the general direction of groundwater flow at specific points. EDR has reviewed reports submitted by environmental professionals to regulatory authorities at select sites and has extracted the date of the report, groundwater flow direction as determined hydrogeologically, and the depth to water table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MAP ID</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>GENERAL DIRECTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>FROM TP</td>
<td>GROUNDWATER FLOW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**GROUNDWATER FLOW VELOCITY INFORMATION**
Groundwater flow velocity information for a particular site is best determined by a qualified environmental professional using site specific geologic and soil strata data. If such data are not reasonably ascertainable, it may be necessary to rely on other sources of information, including geologic age identification, rock stratigraphic unit and soil characteristics data collected on nearby properties and regional soil information. In general, contaminant plumes move more quickly through sandy-gravelly types of soils than silty-clayey types of soils.

**GEOLOGIC INFORMATION IN GENERAL AREA OF TARGET PROPERTY**
Geologic information can be used by the environmental professional in forming an opinion about the relative speed at which contaminant migration may be occurring.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROCK STRATIGRAPHIC UNIT</th>
<th>GEOLOGIC AGE IDENTIFICATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Era:</td>
<td>Category:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System:</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Series:</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code: N/A</td>
<td>(decoded above as Era, System &amp; Series)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DOMINANT SOIL COMPOSITION IN GENERAL AREA OF TARGET PROPERTY

The U.S. Department of Agriculture’s (USDA) Soil Conservation Service (SCS) leads the National Cooperative Soil Survey (NCSS) and is responsible for collecting, storing, maintaining, and distributing soil survey information for privately owned lands in the United States. A soil map in a soil survey is a representation of soil patterns in a landscape. The following information is based on Soil Conservation Service SSURGO data.

Soil Map ID: 1

Soil Component Name: Puuone
Soil Surface Texture: sand
Hydrologic Group: Class B - Moderate infiltration rates. Deep and moderately deep, moderately well and well drained soils with moderately coarse textures.
Soil Drainage Class: Somewhat excessively drained
Hydric Status: Not hydric
Corrosion Potential - Uncoated Steel: High
Depth to Bedrock Min: > 0 inches
Depth to Watertable Min: > 0 inches

---

Soil Layer Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>Upper</th>
<th>Lower</th>
<th>Soil Texture Class</th>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Saturated hydraulic conductivity micro m/sec</th>
<th>Soil Reaction (pH)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0 inches</td>
<td>20 inches</td>
<td>sand</td>
<td>Granular materials (35 pct. or less passing No. 200), Fine Sand.</td>
<td>COARSE-GRAINED SOILS, Sands, Clean Sands, Poorly graded sand. COARSE-GRAINED SOILS, Sands, Sands with fines, Silty Sand.</td>
<td>Max: 14.11 Min: 4.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>20 inches</td>
<td>40 inches</td>
<td>cemented material</td>
<td>Granular materials (35 pct. or less passing No. 200), Fine Sand.</td>
<td>COARSE-GRAINED SOILS, Sands, Clean Sands, Poorly graded sand. COARSE-GRAINED SOILS, Sands, Sands with fines, Silty Sand.</td>
<td>Max: 14.11 Min: 4.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Soil Map ID: 2

Soil Component Name: lao
Soil Surface Texture: silty clay
Hydrologic Group: Class C - Slow infiltration rates. Soils with layers impeding downward movement of water, or soils with moderately fine or fine textures.
Soil Drainage Class: Well drained
Hydric Status: Not hydric
Corrosion Potential - Uncoated Steel: Moderate

Depth to Bedrock Min: > 0 inches
Depth to Watertable Min: > 0 inches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>Boundary</th>
<th>Soil Texture Class</th>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Unified Soil</th>
<th>Saturated hydraulic conductivity micro m/sec</th>
<th>Soil Reaction (pH)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Upper</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0 inches</td>
<td>14 inches</td>
<td>silty clay</td>
<td>Silt-Clay</td>
<td>COARSE-GRAINED</td>
<td>Max: 14.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Materials (more</td>
<td>SOILS, Sands,</td>
<td>Min: 6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>than 35 pct.</td>
<td>Clean Sands,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>passing No.</td>
<td>Poorly graded</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>200), Clayey</td>
<td>sand.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Soils.</td>
<td>COARSE-GRAINED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SOILS, Sands,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sands with fines,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Silty Sand.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>14 inches</td>
<td>48 inches</td>
<td>clay</td>
<td>Silt-Clay</td>
<td>COARSE-GRAINED</td>
<td>Max: 14.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Materials (more</td>
<td>SOILS, Sands,</td>
<td>Min: 6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>than 35 pct.</td>
<td>Clean Sands,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>passing No.</td>
<td>Poorly graded</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>200), Clayey</td>
<td>sand.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Soils.</td>
<td>COARSE-GRAINED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SOILS, Sands,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sands with fines,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Silty Sand.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>48 inches</td>
<td>59 inches</td>
<td>silty clay</td>
<td>Silt-Clay</td>
<td>COARSE-GRAINED</td>
<td>Max: 14.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Materials (more</td>
<td>SOILS, Sands,</td>
<td>Min: 6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>than 35 pct.</td>
<td>Clean Sands,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>passing No.</td>
<td>Poorly graded</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>200), Clayey</td>
<td>sand.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Soils.</td>
<td>COARSE-GRAINED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SOILS, Sands,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sands with fines,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Silty Sand.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Soil Map ID: 3

Soil Component Name: lao

Soil Surface Texture: cobbly silty clay

Hydrologic Group: Class C - Slow infiltration rates. Soils with layers impeding downward movement of water, or soils with moderately fine or fine textures.

Soil Drainage Class: Well drained

Hydric Status: Not hydric

Corrosion Potential - Uncoated Steel: Moderate

Depth to Bedrock Min: > 0 inches

Depth to Watertable Min: > 0 inches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>Upper</th>
<th>Lower</th>
<th>Soil Texture Class</th>
<th>AASHTO Group</th>
<th>Unified Soil</th>
<th>Saturated hydraulic conductivity (\mu\text{m/sec})</th>
<th>Soil Reaction ((\text{pH}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0 inches</td>
<td>14 inches</td>
<td>cobbly silty clay</td>
<td>Silt-Clay Materials (more than 35 pct. passing No. 200), Clayey Soils.</td>
<td>COARSE-GRAINED SOILS, Sands, Clean Sands, Poorly graded sand, COARSE-GRAINED SOILS, Sands, Sands with fines, Silty Sand.</td>
<td>Max: 14.11 Min: 1.41</td>
<td>Max: 7.3 Min: 6.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LOCAL / REGIONAL WATER AGENCY RECORDS

EDR Local/Regional Water Agency records provide water well information to assist the environmental professional in assessing sources that may impact ground water flow direction, and in forming an opinion about the impact of contaminant migration on nearby drinking water wells.

WELL SEARCH DISTANCE INFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATABASE</th>
<th>SEARCH DISTANCE (miles)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Federal USGS</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal FRDS PWS</td>
<td>Nearest PWS within 1 mile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Database</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FEDERAL USGS WELL INFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MAP ID</th>
<th>WELL ID</th>
<th>LOCATION FROM TP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Wells Found</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FEDERAL FRDS PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM INFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MAP ID</th>
<th>WELL ID</th>
<th>LOCATION FROM TP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No PWS System Found</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: PWS System location is not always the same as well location.

STATE DATABASE WELL INFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MAP ID</th>
<th>WELL ID</th>
<th>LOCATION FROM TP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>HI30000000001297</td>
<td>1/4 - 1/2 Mile West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>HI30000000001285</td>
<td>1/4 - 1/2 Mile SSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>HI30000000001284</td>
<td>1/4 - 1/2 Mile SSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>HI30000000001277</td>
<td>1/2 - 1 Mile South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>HI30000000001326</td>
<td>1/2 - 1 Mile North</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>HI30000000001280</td>
<td>1/2 - 1 Mile SSW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>HI30000000001274</td>
<td>1/2 - 1 Mile SSW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>HI30000000001268</td>
<td>1/2 - 1 Mile South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B9</td>
<td>HI30000000001266</td>
<td>1/2 - 1 Mile SSW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B10</td>
<td>HI30000000001265</td>
<td>1/2 - 1 Mile SSW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B11</td>
<td>HI30000000001264</td>
<td>1/2 - 1 Mile SSW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>HI30000000001257</td>
<td>1/2 - 1 Mile SSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>HI30000000001286</td>
<td>1/2 - 1 Mile WSW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map ID</td>
<td>Direction</td>
<td>Distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HI</td>
<td>West</td>
<td>1/4 - 1/2 Mile</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Well name**: Papohaku Park
- **Well no.**: 5429-02
- **Old name**: Not Reported
- **Well driller**: ROSCOE MOSS
- **Longitude**: 1562938
- **Latitude**: 205409
- **Lat**: 60
- **Lon**: 28
- **Lat m**: 20.89917
- **Lon m**: -156.49111
- **Utm**: 0
- **Gps**: 28.20537
- **Well type**: PER
- **Ground el**: 85
- **Well depth**: 140
- **Solid case**: 80
- **Perf case**: 110
- **Use**: IRRPA
- **Init water**: 24.7
- **Init head**: 24.71000
- **Init cl**: 60
- **Test date**: 6/4/1991
- **Test gpm**: 150
- **Test cl**: 60
- **Test temp**: Not Reported
- **Temp unit**: Not Reported
- **Pump gpm**: 150.00000
- **Draft mgy**: Not Reported
- **Head feet**: Not Reported
- **Max head**: Not Reported
- **Min head**: Not Reported
- **Geology**: RA
- **Pump yr**: 91
- **Draft yr**: Not Reported
- **Head yr**: Not Reported
- **Maxchl yr**: Not Reported
- **Minchl yr**: 0
- **Bot hole**: -55
- **Bot perf**: -25
- **Spec capac**: 300
- **Pump mgd**: 0.210
- **Draft mgd**: Not Reported
- **Aquifer**: 60102
- **Tmk**: 3-4-030:015
- **Old aqui**: Not Reported
- **Aqui code**: 60102
- **Latest hd**: Not Reported
- **Cur head**: Not Reported
- **Cur cl**: Not Reported
- **Cur temp**: Not Reported
- **Wcr**: 6/7/1991
- **Pir**: 7/7/1991
- **Surveyor**: Not Reported
- **Owner user**: Maui Pks & Rec
- **Old number**: Not Reported
- **Casing dia**: 8
- **Min chlor**: Not Reported
- **Max chlor**: Not Reported
- **Minchl**: Not Reported
- **Maxchl**: Not Reported
- **Minchl yr**: Not Reported
- **Maxchl yr**: Not Reported
- **Minchl feet**: Not Reported
- **Maxchl feet**: Not Reported
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A2
SSE
1/4 - 1/2 Mile
Lower

T: 140.00000
Pump depth: Not Reported
Pump elev: Not Reported
Site id: HI30000000001297

HI WELLS
HI30000000001285

Wid: 6-5329-015
Island: 6
Well no: 5329-15
Well name: Maui Comm Col
Old name: Not Reported
Yr drilled: 1970
Driller: OCEAN VIEW
Quad map: 05
Longitude2: 1562912
Latitude27: 205351
Longitude8: 1562902
Latitude83: 205339
Lat83d: 39
Lon83m: 156
Lon83d: 39
Lat83d: 156
Lon83d: -156.48389
Lon83d 1: 20.89417
Lat83d 1: 62
Lat83d 1: 205
Lon83d 1: 9
Lat83d 1: 16.05833
Lon83d 1: -205.5525
Lat83d 12: 62
Lat83d 12: 205
Lat83d 12: 9
Lon83d 12: 16.05833
Lon83d 12: -205.5525
Lon83d 12: 205
Lon83d 12: -156.48389
Lat83d 13: 20.89417

Gps: 0
Utm: 1
Owner user: Maui Pks & Rec
Old number: 17-2
Well type: ROT
Casing dia: 6
Ground el: 37
Well depth: 68
Solid case: 68
Perf case: Not Reported
Use: IRRSC
Use year: 71
Init water: 16.0
Init head: 16.00000
Init chlor: 394
Init cl: 394
Test date: Not Reported
Test gpm: 300
Test ddown: 4.0
Test chlor: 417
Test temp: Not Reported
Temp unit: Not Reported
Pump gpm: 200.00000
Draft mgy: Not Reported
Head feet: Not Reported
Max chlor: Not Reported
Min chlor: Not Reported
Geology: THO
Pump yr: Not Reported
Draft yr: Not Reported
Head yr: Not Reported
Maxchl: Not Reported
Maxchl yr: Not Reported
Minchl: Not Reported
Bot hole: -31
Bot solid: Not Reported
Spec capac: 75
Pump mgd: 0.288
Draft mgd: Not Reported
Aquifer: 60301
Tmk: 3-8-007:001
Old aqui: Not Reported
Aqu code: 60102
Latest hd: Not Reported
Cur head: Not Reported
Cur cl: Not Reported
Cur temp: Not Reported
Wcr: 1/1/1970
Pir: Not Reported
Surveyor: Not Reported
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A3</th>
<th>SSE</th>
<th>1/4 - 1/2 Mile</th>
<th>HI WELLS</th>
<th>HI3000000001284</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wid:</td>
<td>6-5329-021</td>
<td>Island:</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well no:</td>
<td>5329-21</td>
<td>Well name:</td>
<td>Maui Cent Park 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old name:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>Yr drilled:</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driller:</td>
<td>WAILANI DRLG</td>
<td>Quad map:</td>
<td>05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitude2:</td>
<td>1562911</td>
<td>Latitude27:</td>
<td>205348</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitude8:</td>
<td>1562901</td>
<td>Latitude83:</td>
<td>205336</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83d:</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Lat83m:</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83s:</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Lon83d:</td>
<td>156</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83m:</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Lon83s:</td>
<td>01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83dd:</td>
<td>20.89333</td>
<td>Lat83d 1:</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83dd:</td>
<td>-156.48361</td>
<td>Lat83m 1:</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83s 1:</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Lon83d 1:</td>
<td>205</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83m 1:</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Lon83s 1:</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83dd 1:</td>
<td>16.05833</td>
<td>Lon83d 1:</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83dd 1:</td>
<td>-205.55167</td>
<td>Lon83m 12:</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83s 12:</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Lon83d 12:</td>
<td>205</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83m 12:</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Lon83s 12:</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83dd 12:</td>
<td>16.05833</td>
<td>Lon83d 12:</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83dd 12:</td>
<td>-205.55167</td>
<td>Lon83m 12:</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long83dd:</td>
<td>-156.48361</td>
<td>Lon83s 12:</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83dd 13:</td>
<td>20.89333</td>
<td>Lon83d 13:</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gps:</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Utm:</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner user:</td>
<td>Maui Pks &amp; Rec</td>
<td>Old number:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well type:</td>
<td>ROT</td>
<td>Casing dia:</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground el:</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Well depth:</td>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid case:</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Perf case:</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use:</td>
<td>IRRPA</td>
<td>Use year:</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Init water:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>Init head:</td>
<td>2.50000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Init chlor:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>Init cl:</td>
<td>460</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test date:</td>
<td>##########</td>
<td>Test gpm:</td>
<td>270</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test ddow:</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>Test chlor:</td>
<td>560</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test temp:</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>Temp unit:</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump gpm:</td>
<td>270.00000</td>
<td>Draft mgy:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head feet:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>Max chlor:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min chlor:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>Geology:</td>
<td>THO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump yr:</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>Draft yr:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head yr:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>Maxchl yr:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minchl yr:</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Minchl:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bot solid:</td>
<td>-13</td>
<td>Bot hole:</td>
<td>-33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spec capac:</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>Bot perf:</td>
<td>-28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft mgd:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>Pump mgd:</td>
<td>0.389</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tmk:</td>
<td>3-8-007:001</td>
<td>Aquifer:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqui code:</td>
<td>60301</td>
<td>Old aqui:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cur head:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>Latest hd:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cur temp:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>Cur cl:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>80735.0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump depth</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump elev</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Island</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Island name</td>
<td>Maui Cent Park 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well no</td>
<td>5329-20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr drilled</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driller</td>
<td>WAILANI DRLG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quad map</td>
<td>05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitude2</td>
<td>1562920</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latitude27</td>
<td>205343</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitude8</td>
<td>1562910</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latitude83</td>
<td>205331</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83d</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83m</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83s</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83d</td>
<td>20.89194</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83d 1</td>
<td>-156.48611</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83d 1</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83d 1</td>
<td>205</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83d 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83d 1</td>
<td>16.05861</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83d 1</td>
<td>-205.55028</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83d 12</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83d 12</td>
<td>205</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83d 12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83d 12</td>
<td>16.05861</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83d 12</td>
<td>-205.55028</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83d 12</td>
<td>-156.48611</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83d 13</td>
<td>20.89194</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gps</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utm</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner user</td>
<td>Maui Pks &amp; Rec</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old number</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well type</td>
<td>ROT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casing dia</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground el</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well depth</td>
<td>110</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid case</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perf case</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use</td>
<td>IRPPA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use year</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Init water</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Init head</td>
<td>1.900000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Init chlor</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Init cl</td>
<td>260</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test date</td>
<td>#555555</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test gpm</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test ddown</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test chlor</td>
<td>260</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test temp</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temp unit</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump gpm</td>
<td>230.00000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft mgy</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head feet</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max chlor</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min chlor</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geology</td>
<td>THO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump yr</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft yr</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head yr</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxchl</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minchl yr</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bot hole</td>
<td>-39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bot perf</td>
<td>-24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spec capac</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump mgy</td>
<td>0.331</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft mgy</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquifer</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tmk</td>
<td>3-8-007:001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old aqui</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqui code</td>
<td>60102</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latest hd</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cur head</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cur cl</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cur temp</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wcr</td>
<td>#555555</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surveyor</td>
<td>S D DUPONTE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GEOCHECK® - PHYSICAL SETTING SOURCE MAP FINDINGS**
5
North
1/2 - 1 Mile
Lower

Wid: 6-5429-001  Island: 6
Well no: 5429-01  Well name: De Lara
Old name: Not Reported  Yr drilled: 1947
Driller: VENTURA J  Quad map: 05
Longitude2: 1562918  Latitude27: 205440
Longitude8: 1562908  Latitude83: 205428
Lat83d: 20  Lat83m: 54
Lat83s: 28  Lon83d: 156
Lon83m: 29  Lon83s: 08
Lat83dd: 20.90778
Lon83dd: -156.48556
Lat83 d1: 15  Lat83 m1: 62
Lat83 s1: 90  Lon83 d1: 205
Lon83 m1: 42  Lon83 s1: 8
Lat83 dd1: 16.05833
Lon83 dd1: -205.70222
Lat83 d12: 15  Lat83 m12: 62
Lat83 s12: 90  Lon83 d12: 205
Lon83 m12: 42  Lon83 s12: 8
Lat83 dd12: 16.05833
Lon83 dd12: -205.70222
Long83dd: -156.48556
Lat83 dd13: 20.90778

Gps: 0  Utm: 1
Owner user: De Lara J  Old number: 18-
Well type: Not Reported  Casing dia: 8
Ground el: Not Reported  Well depth: 31
Solid case: 22  Perf case: Not Reported
Use: IRR  Use year: 56
Init water: Not Reported  Init head: Not Reported
Init chlor: 528
Init cl: 528
Test date: Not Reported  Test gpm: Not Reported
Test ddown: 3.0  Test chlor: Not Reported
Test temp: Not Reported  Temp unit: Not Reported
Pump gpm: Not Reported  Draft mgy: Not Reported
Head feet: Not Reported  Max chlor: 528
Min chlor: 45  Geology: RA
Pump yr: Not Reported  Draft yr: Not Reported
Head yr: 47  Maxchl: 1/1/1947
Maxchl yr: 47  Minchl: 1/1/1948
Minchl yr: 48  Bot hole: Not Reported
Bot solid: Not Reported  Bot perf: Not Reported
Spec capac: Not Reported  Pump mgd: Not Reported
Draft mgd: Not Reported  Aquifer: 60102
Tmk: 3-4-029:031  Old aqui: Not Reported
Aquifer code: 60102  Latest hd: Not Reported
Cur head: Not Reported  Cur cl: Not Reported
Cur temp: Not Reported  Wcr: 1/1/1947
Pir: Not Reported  Surveyor: Not Reported
GEOCHECK® - PHYSICAL SETTING SOURCE MAP FINDINGS

6
SSW
1/2 - 1 Mile
Higher

Wid: 6-5329-017
Well no: 5329-17
Old name: Not Reported
Driller: ROSCOE MOSS
Longitude2: 1562941
Long83d: 20
Lat83d: 32
Lon83m: 29
Latest hd: 60102

Aquifer: Not Reported
Draft mgd: Not Reported
Pump mgd: Not Reported
Spec capac: Not Reported
Bot solid: 78
Bot perf: Not Reported

Geocheck - Physical Setting Source Map Findings
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Wid: 6-5329-014  Island: 6
Well no: 5329-14  Well name: Maui Stadium
Old name: Not Reported  Yr drilled: 1970
Driller: OCEAN VIEW  Quad map: 05
Longitude2: 1562931  Latitude27: 205338
Longitude8: 1562921  Latitude83: 205326
Lat83d: 20  Lat83m: 53
Lat83s: 26  Lon83d: 156
Lon83m: 29  Lon83s: 21
Lat83dd: 20.89056
Lon83dd: -156.48917
Lat83d 1: 15  Lat83m 1: 62
Lat83s 1: 92  Lon83d 1: 205
Lon83m 1: 32  Lon83s 1: 6
Lat83dd 1: 16.05889
Lon83dd 1: -205.535
Lat83d 12: 15  Lat83m 12: 62
Lat83s 12: 92  Lon83d 12: 205
Lon83m 12: 32  Lon83s 12: 6
Lat83dd 12: 16.05889
Lon83dd 12: -205.535
Long83dd: -156.48917
Lat83dd 13: 20.89056
Gps: 0  Utm: 1
Owner user: Maui Pks & Rec  Old number: 17-1
Well type: ROT  Casing dia: Not Reported
Ground el: 120  Well depth: 128
Solid case: 106  Perf case: Not Reported
Use: IRRPA  Use year: 71
Init water: Not Reported  Init head: Not Reported
Init chlor: 285
Init cl: 285
Test date: Not Reported  Test gpm: 300
Test ddown: Not Reported  Test chlor: 74
Test temp: Not Reported  Temp unit: Not Reported
Pump gpm: 200.00000  Draft mgy: Not Reported
Head feet: Not Reported  Max chlor: Not Reported
Min chlor: Not Reported  Geology: THO
Pump yr: Not Reported  Draft yr: Not Reported
Head yr: Not Reported  Maxchl: Not Reported
Maxchl yr: 0  Minchl: Not Reported
Minchl yr: 0  Bot hole: -8
Bot solid: 14  Bot perf: Not Reported
Spec capac: Not Reported  Pump mgd: 0.288
Draft mgd: Not Reported  Aquifer: 60102
Tmk: Not Reported  Old aqui: Not Reported
Aquifer code: 60102  Latest hd: Not Reported
Cur head: Not Reported  Cur cl: Not Reported
Cur temp: Not Reported  Wcr: 1/1/1970
Pir: Not Reported  Surveyor: Not Reported
### GEOCHECK® - PHYSICAL SETTING SOURCE MAP FINDINGS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T: Not Reported</th>
<th>Pump elev: Not Reported</th>
<th>Site id: H13000000001274</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

## 8 South
1/2 - 1 Mile Lower

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wid: 6-5329-019</th>
<th>Island: 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Well no: 5329-19</td>
<td>Well name: Maui Cent Park 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old name: Not Reported</td>
<td>Yr drilled: 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driller: WAILANI DRLG</td>
<td>Quad map: 05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitude2: 1562920</td>
<td>Latitude27: 205334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitude8: 1562910</td>
<td>Latitude8: 205322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83d: 20</td>
<td>Lat83m: 53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83s: 22</td>
<td>Lon83d: 156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83m: 29</td>
<td>Lon83s: 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83dd: 20.88944</td>
<td>Lon83dd: -156.48611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83d 1: 15</td>
<td>Lat83m 1: 62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83s 1: 91</td>
<td>Lon83d 1: 205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83m 1: 32</td>
<td>Lon83s 1: 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83dd 1: 16.05861</td>
<td>Lon83dd 1: -205.53389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83d 12: 15</td>
<td>Lat83m 12: 62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83s 12: 91</td>
<td>Lon83d 12: 205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83m 12: 32</td>
<td>Lon83s 12: 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83dd 12: 16.05861</td>
<td>Lon83dd 12: -205.53389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long83dd: -156.48611</td>
<td>Lat83dd 13: 20.88944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gps: 0</td>
<td>Utm: 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner user: Maui Pks &amp; Rec</td>
<td>Old number: Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well type: ROT</td>
<td>Casing dia: 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground el: 76</td>
<td>Well depth: 110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid case: 80</td>
<td>Perf case: 95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use: IRPPA</td>
<td>Use year: 98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Init water: Not Reported</td>
<td>Init head: 2.50000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Init chlor: Not Reported</td>
<td>Init cl: 240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test date: 1997</td>
<td>Test gpm: 300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test ddown: 1.0</td>
<td>Test chlor: 260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test temp: 23.9</td>
<td>Temp unit: C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump gpm: 230.00000</td>
<td>Draft mgy: Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head feet: Not Reported</td>
<td>Max chlor: Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min chlor: Not Reported</td>
<td>Geology: THO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump yr: 98</td>
<td>Draft yr: Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head yr: Not Reported</td>
<td>Maxchl: Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxchl yr: 0</td>
<td>Minchl: Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minchl yr: 0</td>
<td>Bot hole: -34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bot solid: -4</td>
<td>Bot perf: -19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spec capac: 300</td>
<td>Pump mgd: 0.331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft mgd: Not Reported</td>
<td>Aquifer: Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tmk: 3-8-007:001</td>
<td>Old aqui: Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqui code: 60301</td>
<td>Latest hd: Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cur head: Not Reported</td>
<td>Cur cl: Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cur temp: Not Reported</td>
<td>Wrc: Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pir: Not Reported</td>
<td>Surveyor: S D DUPONTE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GEOCHECK® - PHYSICAL SETTING SOURCE MAP FINDINGS

T: 267200.00000  Pump elev: -7
Pump depth: 83  Site id: HI3000000001268

B9
SSW
1/2 - 1 Mile
Lower

Wid: 6-5329-004  Island: 6
Well no: 5329-04  Well name: War Memorial Stadium
Old name: Not Reported  Yr drilled: 1971
Driller: PAUL SMITH  Quad map: 05
Longitude2: 1562933  Latitude27: 205333
Longitude8: 1562923  Latitude83: 205321
Lat83d: 20  Lat83m: 53
Lat83s: 21  Lon83d: 156
Lon83m: 29  Lon83s: 23
Lat83dd: 20.88917  Lon83dd: -156.48972
Lat83d 1: 15  Lat83m 1: 62
Lat83s 1: 92  Lon83d 1: 205
Lon83m 1: 32  Lon83s 1: 1
Lon83dd 1: 16.05889  Lon83dd 1: -205.53361
Lon83d 12: 15  Lat83m 12: 62
Lon83s 12: 92  Lon83d 12: 205
Lon83m 12: 32  Lon83s 12: 1
Lon83dd 12: 16.05889  Lon83dd 12: -205.53361
Lon83dd: -156.48972  Lat83dd 13: 20.88917
Gps: 0  Utm: 1
Owner user: Maui Pks & Rec  Old number: Not Reported
Well type: ROT  Casing dia: 8
Ground el: 80  Well depth: 110
Solid case: Not Reported  Perf case: Not Reported
Use: UNU  Use year: Not Reported
Init water: Not Reported  Init head: Not Reported
Init chlor: Not Reported  Init cl: 0
Test date: Not Reported  Test gpm: Not Reported
Test ddowm: Not Reported  Test chlor: Not Reported
Test temp: Not Reported  Temp unit: Not Reported
Pump gpm: 250.00000  Draft mgy: 44
Head feet: Not Reported  Max chlor: Not Reported
Min chlor: Not Reported  Geology: THO
Pump yr: Not Reported  Draft yr: 71
Head yr: Not Reported  Maxchl: Not Reported
Maxchl yr: 0  Minchl: Not Reported
Minchl yr: 0  Bot hole: -30
Bot solid: Not Reported  Bot perf: Not Reported
Spec capac: Not Reported  Pump mgd: 0.360
Draft mgd: 0.1  Aquifer: 60102
Tmk: 3-8-007:055  Old aqui: Not Reported
Aqu code: 60102  Latest hd: Not Reported
Cur head: Not Reported  Cur cl: Not Reported
Cur temp: Not Reported  Wcr: Not Reported
Pir: Not Reported  Surveyor: Not Reported
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wid:</td>
<td>6-5329-005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well no:</td>
<td>5329-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old name:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr drilled:</td>
<td>1971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driller:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quad map:</td>
<td>05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitude2:</td>
<td>1562936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitude8:</td>
<td>1562926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83d:</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83m:</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83s:</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83m:</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83s:</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83dd:</td>
<td>20.88917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83dd 1:</td>
<td>-156.49056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83m 1:</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83m 1:</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83s 1:</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83dd 1:</td>
<td>16.05889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83dd 1:</td>
<td>-205.53361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83d 12:</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83m 12:</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83m 12:</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83s 12:</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83dd 12:</td>
<td>16.05889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83dd 12:</td>
<td>-205.53361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83dd:</td>
<td>-156.49056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83dd 13:</td>
<td>20.88917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gps:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utm:</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner user:</td>
<td>Maui Pks &amp; Rec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old number:</td>
<td>19-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well type:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casing dia:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well depth:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid case:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perf case:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use:</td>
<td>IRRSC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use year:</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Init water:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Init head:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Init chlor:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Init cl:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test date:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test gpm:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test chlor:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test temp:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temp unit:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump gpm:</td>
<td>280.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft mgy:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head feet:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max chlor:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min chlor:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geology:</td>
<td>THO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump yr:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft yr:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head yr:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxchl:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minchl:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bot hole:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bot perf:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spec capac:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump mgd:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft mgd:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquifer:</td>
<td>60102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tmk:</td>
<td>AQU 3-8-007:055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old aqui:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqui code:</td>
<td>60102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latest hd:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cur head:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cur cl:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cur temp:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wcr:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pir:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surveyor:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site id:</td>
<td>HI30000000001266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test temp:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test unit:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test date:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test gpm:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test chlor:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test temp:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temp unit:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump gpm:</td>
<td>280.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft mgy:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head feet:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max chlor:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min chlor:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geology:</td>
<td>THO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump yr:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft yr:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head yr:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxchl:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minchl:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bot hole:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bot perf:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spec capac:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump mgd:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft mgd:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquifer:</td>
<td>60102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tmk:</td>
<td>AQU 3-8-007:055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old aqui:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqui code:</td>
<td>60102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latest hd:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cur head:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cur cl:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cur temp:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wcr:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pir:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surveyor:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### GEOCHECK® - PHYSICAL SETTING SOURCE MAP FINDINGS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>Pump elev:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump depth:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>Site id:</td>
<td>HI3000000001265</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Well Details**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wid:</td>
<td>6-5329-006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well no:</td>
<td>5329-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old name:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driller:</td>
<td>JM HEIZER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitude2:</td>
<td>1562937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitude8:</td>
<td>1562927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83d:</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83s:</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83m:</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83d:</td>
<td>20.88917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83d 1:</td>
<td>15.49083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83m 1:</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83s 1:</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83d 1:</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83m 1:</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83s 1:</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83d 1:</td>
<td>16.05889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83d 1:</td>
<td>-205.53361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83d 12:</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83s 12:</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83m 12:</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83d 12:</td>
<td>16.05889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83d 12:</td>
<td>-205.53361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long83dd:</td>
<td>-156.49083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83dd 13:</td>
<td>20.88917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gps:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner user:</td>
<td>Maui County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well type:</td>
<td>ROT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground el:</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid case:</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use:</td>
<td>UNU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Init water:</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Init cl:</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test date:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test ddown:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test temp:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump gpm:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head feet:</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min chlor:</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump yr:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head yr:</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxchl yr:</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minchl yr:</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bot solid:</td>
<td>-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spec capac:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft mgy:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tmk:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqui code:</td>
<td>60102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cur head:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cur temp:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pir:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Geographic Details**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Island:</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well name:</td>
<td>Baldwin High  TH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quad map:</td>
<td>05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old number:</td>
<td>105-TH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casing dia:</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well depth:</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perf case:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use year:</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Init head:</td>
<td>5.900000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test gpm:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test chlor:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temp unit:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft mgy:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max chlor:</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THO</td>
<td>Geology: THO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min chlor:</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>draft yr:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxchl:</td>
<td>1/1/1939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minchl:</td>
<td>1/1/1939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bot perf:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump mgd:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquifer:</td>
<td>60102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old aqui:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latest hd:</td>
<td>5.900000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cur cl:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wcr:</td>
<td>1/1/1939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surveyor:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Geographic Coordinates**

Latitude: 27° 08' 11.34" N
Longitude: 156° 43' 02.07" W

---

**HSWells**

HI3000000001264

**Well Details**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site id:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump depth:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump elev:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner user:</td>
<td>1U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utm:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gps:</td>
<td>20.88917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old number:</td>
<td>105-TH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casing dia:</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well depth:</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perf case:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use year:</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Init head:</td>
<td>5.900000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test gpm:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test chlor:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temp unit:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft mgy:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max chlor:</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THO</td>
<td>Geology: THO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min chlor:</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>draft yr:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxchl:</td>
<td>1/1/1939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minchl:</td>
<td>1/1/1939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bot perf:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump mgd:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquifer:</td>
<td>60102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old aqui:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latest hd:</td>
<td>5.900000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cur cl:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wcr:</td>
<td>1/1/1939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surveyor:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Geographic Coordinates**

Latitude: 27° 08' 11.34" N
Longitude: 156° 43' 02.07" W
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump depth:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump elev:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site id:</td>
<td>HI3000000001264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wid:</td>
<td>6-5329-016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Island:</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well no:</td>
<td>5329-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well name:</td>
<td>Papa Ave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old name:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yr drilled:</td>
<td>1971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driller:</td>
<td>OCEAN VIEW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quad map:</td>
<td>05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitude2:</td>
<td>1562911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latitude27:</td>
<td>205330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latitude83:</td>
<td>205318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83d:</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83m:</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83s:</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83d:</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83s:</td>
<td>01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83dd:</td>
<td>20.88833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83dd:</td>
<td>-156.48361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83d 1:</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83m 1:</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83d 1:</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83s 1:</td>
<td>16.05833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83dd 1:</td>
<td>-205.51889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83d 12:</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83m 12:</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83s 12:</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83d 12:</td>
<td>16.05833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83dd 12:</td>
<td>-205.51889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83dd 13:</td>
<td>20.88833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gps:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utm:</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner user:</td>
<td>Alalani Met Ch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old number:</td>
<td>20-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well type:</td>
<td>ROT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casing dia:</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground el:</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well depth:</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid case:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perf case:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use:</td>
<td>IRR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use year:</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Init water:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Init head:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Init chlor:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Init cl:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test date:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test gpm:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test cl:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test temp:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temp unit:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump gpm:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft mgy:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head feet:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max chlor:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min chlor:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geology:</td>
<td>THO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump yr:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft yr:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head yr:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxchl yr:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minchl yr:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bot hole:</td>
<td>-46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bot solid:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bot perf:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spec capac:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump mgd:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft mgd:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquifer:</td>
<td>60301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old aqui:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latest hd:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cur head:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cur cl:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cur temp:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wcr:</td>
<td>1/1/1971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pir:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
13
WSW
1/2 - 1 Mile
Higher

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T:</th>
<th>Not Reported</th>
<th>Pump:</th>
<th>Not Reported</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pump depth:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>Site:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GEOCHECK® - PHYSICAL SETTING SOURCE MAP FINDINGS**

**HI WELLS**

**HI3000000001286**

**13**

**WSW**

**1/2 - 1 Mile**

**Higher**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wid:</th>
<th>6-5330-004</th>
<th>Island:</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Well no:</td>
<td>5330-04</td>
<td>Well name:</td>
<td>Wailuku Mill TH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old name:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>Yr drilled:</td>
<td>1945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driller:</td>
<td>MULLIN</td>
<td>Quad map:</td>
<td>05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitude2:</td>
<td>1563005</td>
<td>Latitude27:</td>
<td>205354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitude8:</td>
<td>1562955</td>
<td>Latitude83:</td>
<td>205342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83d:</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Lat83m:</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat83s:</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Lon83d:</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83m:</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Lon83s:</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83dd:</td>
<td>20.895</td>
<td>Lon83dd 1:</td>
<td>-156.49861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83dd 1:</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Lat83m 1:</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83s 1:</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>Lon83d 1:</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83m 1:</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Lon83s 1:</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83dd 1:</td>
<td>16.05972</td>
<td>Lon83d 1:</td>
<td>-205.56722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83dd 12:</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Lat83m 12:</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83s 12:</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>Lon83d 12:</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83m 12:</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Lon83s 12:</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83dd 12:</td>
<td>16.05972</td>
<td>Lon83dd 12:</td>
<td>-205.56722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon83dd 13:</td>
<td>15.49861</td>
<td>Lon83dd 13:</td>
<td>20.895</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| Gps: | 0 | Utm: | 1 |
| Owner user: | Wailuku Sugar | Old number: | 113-TH |
| Well type: | ROT | Casing dia: | 1 |
| Ground el: | 180 | Well depth: | 705 |
| Solid case: | 663 | Perf case: | 705 |
| Use: | OBS | Use year: | 45 |
| Init water: | 16.4 | Init head: | 16.40000 |
| Init cl: | 22 | | |
| Test date: | Not Reported | Test gpm: | Not Reported |
| Test ddown: | Not Reported | Test chlor: | Not Reported |
| Test temp: | Not Reported | Temp unit: | Not Reported |
| Pump gpm: | Not Reported | Draft mgy: | Not Reported |
| Head feet: | 16.4 | Max chlor: | 135 |
| Min chlor: | 25 | Geology: | TW |
| Pump yr: | Not Reported | Draft yr: | Not Reported |
| Head yr: | 56 | Maxchl: | 1/1/1953 |
| Maxchl yr: | 53 | Minchl: | 1/1/1956 |
| Minchl yr: | 56 | Bot hole: | -525 |
| Bot solid: | -483 | Bot perf: | -525 |
| Spec capac: | Not Reported | Pump mgd: | Not Reported |
| Draft mgd: | Not Reported | Aquifer: | 60102 |
| Tmk: | Not Reported | Old aqui: | Not Reported |
| Aqui code: | 60102 | Latest hd: | 16.40000 |
| Cur head: | Not Reported | Cur cl: | Not Reported |
| Cur temp: | Not Reported | Wcr: | 1/1/1945 |
| Pir: | Not Reported | Surveyor: | Not Reported |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T:</th>
<th>Not Reported</th>
<th>Pump elev:</th>
<th>Not Reported</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pump depth:</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>Site id:</td>
<td>HI3000000001286</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Federal EPA Radon Zone for MAUI County: 3

Note: Zone 1 indoor average level > 4 pCi/L.
  : Zone 2 indoor average level >= 2 pCi/L and <= 4 pCi/L.
  : Zone 3 indoor average level < 2 pCi/L.

Federal Area Radon Information for Zip Code: 96793
Number of sites tested: 11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Average Activity</th>
<th>% &lt;4 pCi/L</th>
<th>% 4-20 pCi/L</th>
<th>% &gt;20 pCi/L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Living Area - 1st Floor</td>
<td>0.291 pCi/L</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living Area - 2nd Floor</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basement</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

USGS 7.5' Digital Elevation Model (DEM)
Source: United States Geologic Survey
EDR acquired the USGS 7.5' Digital Elevation Model in 2002 and updated it in 2006. The 7.5 minute DEM corresponds to the USGS 1:24,000- and 1:25,000-scale topographic quadrangle maps. The DEM provides elevation data with consistent elevation units and projection.

Scanned Digital USGS 7.5' Topographic Map (DRG)
Source: United States Geologic Survey
A digital raster graphic (DRG) is a scanned image of a U.S. Geological Survey topographic map. The map images are made by scanning published paper maps on high-resolution scanners. The raster image is georeferenced and fit to the Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) projection.

HYDROLOGIC INFORMATION

Flood Zone Data: This data, available in select counties across the country, was obtained by EDR in 1999 from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Data depicts 100-year and 500-year flood zones as defined by FEMA.

NWI: National Wetlands Inventory. This data, available in select counties across the country, was obtained by EDR in 2002 and 2005 from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

HYDROGEOLOGIC INFORMATION

AQUIFLOW® Information System
Source: EDR proprietary database of groundwater flow information
EDR has developed the AQUIFLOW Information System (AIS) to provide data on the general direction of groundwater flow at specific points. EDR has reviewed reports submitted to regulatory authorities at select sites and has extracted the date of the report, hydrogeologically determined groundwater flow direction and depth to water table information.

GEOLOGIC INFORMATION

Geologic Age and Rock Stratigraphic Unit

STATSGO: State Soil Geographic Database
Source: Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Services
The U.S. Department of Agriculture's (USDA) Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) leads the national Conservation Soil Survey (NCSS) and is responsible for collecting, storing, maintaining and distributing soil survey information for privately owned lands in the United States. A soil map in a soil survey is a representation of soil patterns in a landscape. Soil maps for STATSGO are compiled by generalizing more detailed (SSURGO) soil survey maps.

SSURGO: Soil Survey Geographic Database
Source: Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Services (NRCS)
Telephone: 800-672-5559
SSURGO is the most detailed level of mapping done by the Natural Resources Conservation Services, mapping scales generally range from 1:12,000 to 1:63,360. Field mapping methods using national standards are used to construct the soil maps in the Soil Survey Geographic (SSURGO) database. SSURGO digitizing duplicates the original soil survey maps. This level of mapping is designed for use by landowners, townships and county natural resource planning and management.
LOCAL / REGIONAL WATER AGENCY RECORDS

FEDERAL WATER WELLS

PWS: Public Water Systems
Source: EPA/Office of Drinking Water
Telephone: 202-564-3750
Public Water System data from the Federal Reporting Data System. A PWS is any water system which provides water to at least 25 people for at least 60 days annually. PWSs provide water from wells, rivers and other sources.

PWS ENF: Public Water Systems Violation and Enforcement Data
Source: EPA/Office of Drinking Water
Telephone: 202-564-3750

USGS Water Wells: USGS National Water Inventory System (NWIS)
This database contains descriptive information on sites where the USGS collects or has collected data on surface water and/or groundwater. The groundwater data includes information on wells, springs, and other sources of groundwater.

STATE RECORDS

Well Index Database
Source: Department of Land and Natural Resources
Telephone: 808-587-0214
CWRM maintains a Well Index Database to track specific information pertaining to the construction and installation of production wells in Hawaii.

OTHER STATE DATABASE INFORMATION

RADON

Area Radon Information
Source: USGS
Telephone: 703-356-4020
The National Radon Database has been developed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) and is a compilation of the EPA/State Residential Radon Survey and the National Residential Radon Survey. The study covers the years 1986 - 1992. Where necessary data has been supplemented by information collected at private sources such as universities and research institutions.

EPA Radon Zones
Source: EPA
Telephone: 703-356-4020
Sections 307 & 309 of IRAA directed EPA to list and identify areas of U.S. with the potential for elevated indoor radon levels.

OTHER

Airport Landing Facilities: Private and public use landing facilities
Source: Federal Aviation Administration, 800-457-6656

Epicenters: World earthquake epicenters, Richter 5 or greater
Source: Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STREET AND ADDRESS INFORMATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>© 2009 Tele Atlas North America, Inc. All rights reserved. This material is proprietary and the subject of copyright protection and other intellectual property rights owned by or licensed to Tele Atlas North America, Inc. The use of this material is subject to the terms of a license agreement. You will be held liable for any unauthorized copying or disclosure of this material.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix C

Maps
TMK (2) 38037028
511 Imi Place
Wailuku, HI 96793

Inquiry Number: 2417109.3
February 10, 2009

Certified Sanborn® Map Report
Certified Sanborn® Map Report

Site Name: TMK (2) 38037028
Address: 511 Imi Place
City, State, Zip: Wailuku, HI 96793

Client Name: EAC Pacific
P.O. Box 894203
Millilani, HI 96789

EDR Inquiry # 2417109.3
Contact: Robert Weber

The complete Sanborn Library collection has been searched by EDR, and fire insurance maps covering the target property location provided by EAC Pacific were identified for the years listed below. The certified Sanborn Library search results in this report can be authenticated by visiting www.edrnet.com/sanborn and entering the certification number. Only Environmental Data Resources Inc. (EDR) is authorized to grant rights for commercial reproduction of maps by Sanborn Library LLC, the copyright holder for the collection.

Certified Sanborn Results:

Site Name: TMK (2) 38037028
Address: 511 Imi Place
City, State, Zip: Wailuku, HI 96793

Cross Street: P.O. #
9BDBR003
Project: 9BDBR003
Certification #: F6E8-4C13-954F

UNMAPPED PROPERTY
This report certifies that the complete holdings of the Sanborn Library, LLC collection have been searched based on client supplied target property information, and fire insurance maps covering the target property were not found.

Limited Permission To Make Copies
EAC Pacific (the client) is permitted to make up to THREE photocopies of this Sanborn Map transmittal and each fire insurance map accompanying this report solely for the limited use of its customer. No one other than the client is authorized to make copies. Upon request made directly to an EDR Account Executive, the client may be permitted to make a limited number of additional photocopies. This permission is conditioned upon compliance by the client, its customer and their agents with EDR's copyright policy; a copy of which is available upon request.

Disclaimer - Copyright and Trademark notice
This Report contains certain information obtained from a variety of public and other sources reasonably available to Environmental Data Resources, Inc. It cannot be concluded from this Report that coverage information for the target and surrounding properties does not exist from other sources. NO WARRANTY EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, IS MADE WHATSOEVER IN CONNECTION WITH THIS REPORT. ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES, INC. SPECIFICALLY DISCLAIMS THE MAKING OF ANY SUCH WARRANTIES, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR USE OR PURPOSE. ALL RISK IS ASSUMED BY THE USER. IN NO EVENT SHALL ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES, INC. BE LIABLE TO ANYONE, WHETHER ARISING OUT OF ERRORS OR OMISSIONS, NEGLIGENCE, ACCIDENT OR ANY OTHER CAUSE, FOR ANY LOSS OF DAMAGE, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL CONSEQUENTIAL, OR EXEMPLARY DAMAGES. ANY LIABILITY ON THE PART OF ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES, INC. IS STRICTLY LIMITED TO A REFUND OF THE AMOUNT PAID FOR THIS REPORT. Purchaser accepts this Report "AS IS". Any analyses, estimates, ratings, environmental risk levels or risk codes provided in this Report are provided for illustrative purposes only, and are not intended to provide, nor should they be interpreted as providing any facts regarding, or prediction or forecast of, any environmental risk for any property. Only a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment performed by an environmental professional can provide information regarding the environmental risk for any property. Additionally, the information provided in this Report is not to be construed as legal advice.

Copyright 2009 by Environmental Data Resources, Inc. All rights reserved. Reproduction in any media or format, in whole or in part, of any report or map of Environmental Data Resources, Inc., or its affiliates, is prohibited without prior written permission.

EDR and its logos (including Sanborn and Sanborn Map) are trademarks of Environmental Data Resources, Inc. or its affiliates. All other trademarks used herein are the property of their respective owners.
The EDR Historical Topographic Map Report

TMK (2) 38037028
511 Imi Place
Wailuku, HI 96793

Inquiry Number: 2417109.4
February 10, 2009
EDR Historical Topographic Map Report

Environmental Data Resources, Inc.'s (EDR) Historical Topographic Map Report is designed to assist professionals in evaluating potential liability on a target property resulting from past activities. EDRs Historical Topographic Map Report includes a search of a collection of public and private color historical topographic maps, dating back to the early 1900s.

Thank you for your business.
Please contact EDR at 1-800-352-0050 with any questions or comments.

Disclaimer - Copyright and Trademark Notice
This Report contains certain information obtained from a variety of public and other sources reasonably available to Environmental Data Resources, Inc. It cannot be concluded from this Report that coverage information for the target and surrounding properties does not exist from other sources. NO WARRANTY EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, IS MADE WHATSOEVER IN CONNECTION WITH THIS REPORT. ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES, INC. SPECIFICALLY DISCLAIMS THE MAKING OF ANY SUCH WARRANTIES, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR USE OR PURPOSE. ALL RISK IS ASSUMED BY THE USER. IN NO EVENT SHALL ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES, INC. BE LIABLE TO ANYONE, WHETHER ARISING OUT OF ERRORS OR OMISSIONS, NEGLIGENCE, ACCIDENT OR ANY OTHER CAUSE, FOR ANY LOSS OF DAMAGE, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR EXEMPLARY DAMAGES. ANY LIABILITY ON THE PART OF ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES, INC. IS STRICTLY LIMITED TO A REFUND OF THE AMOUNT PAID FOR THIS REPORT. Purchaser accepts this Report AS IS. Any analyses, estimates, ratings, environmental risk levels or risk codes provided in this Report are provided for illustrative purposes only, and are not intended to provide, nor should they be interpreted as providing any facts regarding, or prediction or forecast of, any environmental risk for any property. Only a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment performed by an environmental professional can provide information regarding the environmental risk for any property. Additionally, the information provided in this Report is not to be construed as legal advice.

Copyright 2009 by Environmental Data Resources, Inc. All rights reserved. Reproduction in any media or format, in whole or in part, of any report or map of Environmental Data Resources, Inc., or its affiliates, is prohibited without prior written permission.

EDR and its logos (including Sanborn and Sanborn Map) are trademarks of Environmental Data Resources, Inc. or its affiliates. All other trademarks used herein are the property of their respective owners.
Historical Topographic Map

TARGET QUAD
NAME: Wailuku, HI
MAP YEAR: 1983
SERIES: 7.5
SCALE: 1:24,000

SITE NAME: TMK (2) 38037028
ADDRESS: 511 Imi Place
Wailuku, HI 96793
LAT/LONG: 20.8998 / 156.487

CLIENT: EAC Pacific
CONTACT: Robert Weber
INQUIRY#: 2417109.4
RESEARCH DATE: 02/10/2009
Environmental Data Resources, Inc. (EDR) Aerial Photo Decade Package is a screening tool designed to assist environmental professionals in evaluating potential liability on a target property resulting from past activities. EDR's professional researchers provide digitally reproduced historical aerial photographs, and when available, provide one photo per decade.

When delivered electronically by EDR, the aerial photo images included with this report are for ONE TIME USE ONLY. Further reproduction of these aerial photo images is prohibited without permission from EDR. For more information contact your EDR Account Executive.

Thank you for your business.
Please contact EDR at 1-800-352-0050 with any questions or comments.

Disclaimer - Copyright and Trademark Notice

This Report contains certain information obtained from a variety of public and other sources reasonably available to Environmental Data Resources, Inc. It cannot be concluded from this Report that coverage information for the target and surrounding properties does not exist from other sources. NO WARRANTY EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, IS MADE WHATSOEVER IN CONNECTION WITH THIS REPORT. ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES, INC. SPECIFICALLY DISCLAIMS THE MAKING OF ANY SUCH WARRANTIES, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR USE OR PURPOSE. ALL RISK IS ASSUMED BY THE USER. IN NO EVENT SHALL ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES, INC. BE LIABLE TO ANYONE, WHETHER ARISING OUT OF ERRORS OR OMISSIONS, NEGLIGENCE, ACCIDENT OR ANY OTHER CAUSE, FOR ANY LOSS OF DAMAGE, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR EXEMPLARY DAMAGES. ANY LIABILITY ON THE PART OF ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES, INC. IS STRICTLY LIMITED TO A REFUND OF THE AMOUNT PAID FOR THIS REPORT. Purchaser accepts this Report AS IS. Any analyses, estimates, ratings, environmental risk levels or risk codes provided in this Report are provided for illustrative purposes only, and are not intended to provide, nor should they be interpreted as providing any facts regarding, or prediction or forecast of, any environmental risk for any property. Only a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment performed by an environmental professional can provide information regarding the environmental risk for any property. Additionally, the information provided in this Report is not to be construed as legal advice.

Copyright 2009 by Environmental Data Resources, Inc. All rights reserved. Reproduction in any media or format, in whole or in part, of any report or map of Environmental Data Resources, Inc., or its affiliates, is prohibited without prior written permission.

EDR and its logos (including Sanborn and Sanborn Map) are trademarks of Environmental Data Resources, Inc. or its affiliates. All other trademarks used herein are the property of their respective owners.
Date EDR Searched Historical Sources:
Aerial Photography February 10, 2009

Target Property:
511 Imi Place
Wailuku, HI 96793

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Details</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>Aerial Photograph. Scale: 1&quot;=1000'</td>
<td>Panel #: 2430088-D8/Flight Date: July 22, 1975</td>
<td>EDR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>Aerial Photograph. Scale: 1&quot;=1000'</td>
<td>Panel #: 2430088-D8/Flight Date: July 15, 1975</td>
<td>EDR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>Aerial Photograph. Scale: 1&quot;=1000'</td>
<td>Panel #: 2430088-D8/Flight Date: September 23, 1992</td>
<td>EDR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INQUIRY #: 2417109.5
YEAR: 1975

= 1000’
Appendix D

Glossary
GLOSSARY

Definitions

Activity and Use Limitation – An AUL is a legal or physical limitation to the use or access to a site or facility, typically by institutional controls or engineering controls.

Actual Knowledge - The knowledge actually possessed by an individual who is a real person, rather than an entity. Actual knowledge is to be distinguished from constructive knowledge that is knowledge imputed to an individual or entity.

Air Emissions - Any physical, chemical, biological, or radioactive substance or matter that is emitted into or otherwise enters the ambient air surrounding a property and which contains air pollutants as defined in Section 302 of the Clean Air Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 7602.

Aerial Photography Review – An examination of aerial photographs of a site to ascertain site development, activities, and features, and to serve as a source of historic site information.

All Appropriate Inquiries - That inquiry into the previous ownership and uses of a property consistent with good commercial or customary practice, as defined in 42 U.S.C. 9601 (35)(B), that will qualify a party to a real estate transaction for the Landowner Liability Protections (LLPs) to CERCLA liability provided by 42 U.S.C. 9601 (35)(A) and (B) and 9607(b), assuming compliance with other elements of the defense. Standards and practices are codified in Title 40 CFR Part 312.

Asbestos - Six naturally occurring fibrous minerals found in certain types of rock formations. Of the six, the minerals chrysotile, amosite and crocidolite have been most commonly used in U.S. building products. Due to its high tensile strength, incombustibility, and corrosion-resistance, asbestos was used in many commercial products beginning early in the 1900s and peaking in the period from World War II into the 1970s.

Asbestos Containing Materials (ACM) - Any material or product which contains more than one percent asbestos as visually determined by microscopy.

As-Built Plan - A drawing which covers property boundaries, streets bordering the site, building layouts, and renovations and additions as they actually exist.

Client - Purchaser of project services and most important member of the project team. May also be the User.

Comprehensive Environmental Response and Liability Information System (CERCLIS) - The list of sites compiled by EPA that EPA has investigated or is currently investigating for potential hazardous substance contamination for possible inclusion on the National Priorities List.

Commercial Real Estate - Any real Property except a dwelling or property with no more than four dwelling units for residential use. The term “commercial real estate” includes but is not limited to properties used for industrial, commercial, retail, office, agricultural, medical, or educational purposes and properties used for residential purposes which have more than four residential dwelling units.

Commercial Real Estate Transaction - A transfer of title to or possession of real Property or receipt of a security interest in real property, except that it does not include transfer of title to or possession of real property or the receipt of a security interest in real property with respect to an individual dwelling or a building containing less than five dwelling units, nor does it include the purchase of a lot or lots to construct a dwelling for occupancy by a purchaser, but a commercial real estate transaction does not include real property purchased or leased by persons or entities in the business of building or developing dwelling units.

Construction Debris - Concrete, brick, asphalt, and other material discarded in the construction or demolition of an improvement to real property.

Data gap - A lack of or inability to obtain information required by the AAI process despite good faith efforts by the environmental professional to gather such information.
De minimis – Conditions that generally do not present a threat to human health or the environment and that generally would not be the subject of an enforcement action if brought to the attention of appropriate government agencies. Conditions determined to be de minimis are not recognized environmental conditions (RECs).

Docket - Docket of civil actions filed by the Department of Justice for EPA since 1972.

Drum - A container (typically of 55 gallon capacity) which is used to store hazardous substances, hazardous wastes or other regulated materials.

Dwelling - Structure or portion thereof used for residential habitation.

EAC Pacific – Environmental assessment and consulting by a locally owned and operated consulting company. 808-626-7706, www.eacpacific.com, PO Box 894203, Mililani, HI 96789. Quality products and services from an experienced, registered, and certified Environmental Professional. Hawaii’s most experience environmental due diligence.

Emergency Response Notification System (ERNS) - ERNS contains over spill records and stores information on reported releases of oil and hazardous substances. The data are collected from spills reported to EPA and the Coast Guard (National Response Center).

Engineering Controls – A physical restriction, or activity and use limitation (AUL), to a site to prevent exposure to contaminants or release of contaminants to the soil or groundwater.

Environmental Audit - An investigative process to determine if an active facility is in compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations.

Environmental Due Diligence - The process of assessing the environmental characteristics of a parcel of commercial real estate, usually in connection with a real estate transaction. The degree and kind of due diligence vary for different properties and differing purposes. To meet one of the requirements for landowner liability protections (LLPs), the scope is defined by CERCLA and industry standard ASTM E-1527. Standards and practices for All Appropriate Inquiries are codified in Title 40 CFR Part 312.

Environmental Liens - A lien, which is a charge, security or encumbrance upon Property, imposed on a Property to secure the payment of a debt, obligation or duty arising out of an environmental release, contamination, or cleanup of a hazardous substance, including but not limited to liens imposed pursuant to CERCLA 42 U.S.C. 9607(1) and similar state or local statutes.

Environmental Professional - A person possessing sufficient education, training, and experience necessary to perform a records review, chronicle a site history, conduct a site reconnaissance, and other activities, and from this information, having the ability to develop conclusions regarding the environmental status of the real Property in question. [40 CFR § 312.10(b)(1)]

Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) - The process by which certain levels of All Appropriate Inquiry or Environmental Due Diligence are conducted for a Property. At the option of the User, an environmental site assessment may include more inquiry than that required to constitute All Appropriate Inquiries.

Facility Index System - A list compiled by EPA of all facilities identified by EPA for regulation under one or more environmental programs.

Federal Register - Publication of the United States government published daily (except federal holidays and weekends) containing all proposed and final regulations of the federal government. When regulations become final, they are included in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), as well as published in the Federal Register.

Fill Material - Dirt, soil, sand or other earth, which is obtained off-site, that is used to fill holes or depressions, create mounds, or otherwise artificially change the grade or elevation of real property. It does not include material that is used in limited quantities for normal aesthetic landscaping activities.

Friable Asbestos Material - Any material that contains asbestos that, when dry, can be crumbled, pulverized, or reduced to powder by hand pressure. This may also include previously non-friable material, which becomes broken or damaged by mechanical force.

Geographic Information System (GIS) - A technology of encoding data to analyze it from a geographic perspective. For example, addresses may be stored in a database and retrieved plotted in a map view.

Hazardous Chemicals - Chemicals or materials used in the work place that are regulated under the OSHA Hazard
Communication Standard or the “right-to-know” regulations in Title 29 CFR 1910.1200.

**Hazardous Material** - A hazardous material [HazMat] is any substance or mixture of substances having properties capable of producing adverse effects on the health and safety or the environment of a human being. Legal definitions are found in numerous individual regulations pertinent to storage, handling, transportation, etc. See also Hazardous Substances.

**Hazardous Material Incident Report System (HMIRS)** - HMIRS contains hazardous material spill incidents reported to the Department of Transportation. These spill incidents are not necessarily listed in ERNS.

**Hazardous Substances** - Chemicals, mixtures of chemicals, or materials subject to the regulations contained in Title 40 CFR. For transportation purposes, means a material, and its mixtures or solutions, identified by the letter "E" in Title 49 CFR 172.010 when offered for transportation in one package, or in one transport vehicle if not packaged, and when the quantity of the material therein equals or exceeds the reportable quantity (RQ). For details, refer to Title 49 CFR 171.8 and Title 49 CFR 172.101.

**Hazardous Waste** - Any material listed as such in Title 40 CFR 261, Subpart D, or that possesses any of the hazard characteristics of corrosivity, ignitability, reactivity, or toxicity as defined in Title 40 CFR 261, Subpart C, or that is contaminated by or mixed with any of the previously mentioned materials (see Title 40 CFR 261.3).

**Hazardous Waste Generator** - A person or entity that produces Hazardous Waste.

**Hazardous Waste Management** - Systematic control of the collection, source separation storage, transportation, processing, treatment, recovery, and disposal of Hazardous Wastes.

**Hazardous Waste Manifest, Uniform (EPA Usage)** - The shipping document, originated and signed by the Hazardous Waste Generator or his authorized representative, that contains the information required by Title 40 CFR 262, Subpart B.

**Hazardous Waste Site** - A location where Hazardous Wastes are stored, treated, incinerated, or otherwise disposed.

**Inaccessible Area** - Space enclosed with wall board or other similar material; locked area; space which would require destructive measures [i.e., cutting, hammering, removing, etc.] to gain access; etc., or requires special equipment to access such as ladders, cranes, etc.

**Institutional Controls** - A legal or administrative restriction, or activity and use limitation (AUL), on the use or access to a site to prevent exposure to contaminants or prevent activities that interfere with a response action.

**Infectious Waste** - Waste that contains pathogens or consists of tissues, organs, body parts, blood, and body fluids that are removed during surgery or other procedures. See Title 42 CFR Part 72.

**Lead-Based Paint Hazard** - A lead content of 1.0 milligrams or higher per square centimeter of painted surface, per HUD Regulations, 24 CFR 200.820 as delineated in the Architect's Analysis and Inspection for Project Mortgage Insurance Handbook No. 4680.1 Rev. 1.

**Landowner Liability Protections (LLPs)** - Under CERCLA, these protections include the bona fide prospective purchaser liability protection, contiguous property owner liability protection, and the innocent landowner defense from CERCLA liability.

**Lead-Based Paint** - The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) has defined a lead based painted surface as one of the following (lead-containing paint exhibits a lead concentration less than the following):

- A surface coating material containing more than one milligram per centimeter squared [1.0 mg/cm$^2$] of lead as determined by utilizing the X-ray fluorescence analysis method; or
- A surface coating material containing greater than 0.5% lead concentration by weight utilizing the flame atomic absorption spectrophotometry analysis method.

**Local Government Agencies** - Those agencies of city or county government having jurisdiction over the Property. City and county government agencies include but are not limited to parishes, townships and similar terms.

**Manifest, Uniform Hazardous Waste** - Shipping papers when properly prepared and distributed, provides a tracking system that consists of forms originating with the generator or shipper and following from the generator to disposal in a permitted TSDF.
Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS) - Written or printed material concerning a hazardous substance which is prepared by chemical manufacturers, importers and employers for hazardous chemicals pursuant to OSHA's Hazard Communication System, 29 CFR 1910.1200(g).

National Priorities List (NPL) - List compiled by EPA pursuant to CERCLA 42 U.S.C. 9605(a)(8)(B) of properties with the highest priority for cleanup pursuant to EPA's Hazard Ranking System. See 40 CFR Part 300.

NESHAPs - National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants. CAA Section 112 also refers to chemicals regulated under this program.

NIOSH - National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health of the Public Health Service, United States Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS). Federal agency which, among other activities, tests and certifies respiratory protective devices and air sampling detector tubes, recommends occupational exposure limits for various substances and assists OSHA and MSHA in occupational safety and health investigations and research.

Non-friable Asbestos Material - Any material that contains more than one percent asbestos that, when dry, cannot be crumbled, pulverized, or reduced to powder by hand pressure.

Non-PCB Transformer - Any transformer that contains less than 50 ppm PCB.

Operator - Person or entity occupying or using Commercial Real Estate.

Owner - Holder of legal or equitable title to real Property.

PCB Activity Database (PADS) - EPA regulates under TSCA the storage and disposal of PCBs. Those who handle PCBs (generators, transporters, commercial storers and/or brokers and disposers) are required to notify EPA of their PCB waste activities. PADS contains this list of notifiers.

PCB-Contaminated Transformer - Any transformer that contains 50 ppm PCB or greater, but less than 500 ppm PCB.

PCB Transformer - Any transformer that contains 500 ppm PCB or greater.

Phase I Environmental Site Assessment - The process of evaluating a Property which typically includes:
- review of records, both public and private
- site reconnaissance of the Property
- interviews with current owners and operators of the Property, and
- evaluation and report preparation

Pits, Ponds or Lagoons - Man-made or natural depressions in a ground surface that are likely to hold liquids or sludge containing hazardous substances or petroleum products. The likelihood of such liquids or sludge being present is determined by evidence of factors associated with the pit, pond or lagoon, including, but not limited to, discolored water, distressed vegetation or the presence of an obvious wastewater discharge.

Potentially Responsible Party - A person or entity that could be found to be legally and/or financially responsible for damages and remediation of a contaminated Property.

Project - Scope of services to be performed in conjunction with a specific Property.

Property - The real property which is the subject of the inquiry. Real property includes buildings and other fixtures and improvements located upon the property and affixed to the land.

Radon - A radioactive gas which occurs from the natural breakdown [radioactive decay] of uranium. Radon cannot be seen, smelled or tasted. Radon can be found in high concentrations in soils and rocks whether from natural sources, industrial wastes or by-products of uranium or phosphate mining. Outdoor air contains such low concentrations of radon that there is generally not a health problem. However, in an enclosed space, such as a home, radon can become concentrated in higher levels that may cause health concerns.

Readily Available - Information that is obtainable from researching accessible sources commonly known to Environmental Professionals obtainable upon request within a reasonable time and at a reasonable cost in the context of a Commercial Real Estate Transaction. The period of time that is reasonable will vary depending upon the circumstances and time frame of the project.

Recognized Environmental Conditions (RECs) - The presence or likely presence of any hazardous substances or
petroleum products on the property under conditions that indicate an existing release, a past release, or a material threat of a release into structures on the property or into the ground, ground water, or surface water of the property that would be subject to enforcement action if brought to the attention of appropriate government agencies.

**SETS** - SETS identifies Superfund sites where a particular company has been identified by EPA as a Potentially Responsible Party (PRP). SETS also identifies all PRPs identified by EPA at any specific Superfund site.

**Small Quantity Generators (SQGs)** - Defined as facilities producing less than 1,000 kilograms of hazardous waste per calendar month (kilograms per month), or less than 1 kilogram of acutely toxic waste.

**Soil and Groundwater Analysis** - Tests used to determine the presence of surface or subsurface contamination and concentration levels; may involve soil borings and installations of test pits and/or observation wells.

**Soil Vapor Surveys** - Surveys using gas chromatography, photo ionization, or similar equipment to map potential soil and groundwater contamination.

**Solid Waste Facilities/Landfill Sites (SWF/LS)** - SWF/LS type records typically contain an inventory of solid waste disposal facilities or landfills in a particular state. Depending on the state, these may be active or inactive facilities. The Federal Open Dump Inventory has been incorporated into SWF/LS.

**Solvent** - An organic chemical-based liquid that is capable of dissolving another substance and is itself a hazardous substance; used in a number of manufacturing/industri al processes including the manufacture of paints and coatings for industrial and household purposes, equipment clean-up and surface degreasing in metal fabricating industries.

**State Hazardous Waste Sites (SHWS)** - State hazardous waste site records are the state's equivalent to CERCLIS. These sites may not already be listed on the federal CERCLIS list. Priority sites planned for cleanup using state funds (state equivalent of Superfund) are identified, along with sites where cleanup will be paid for by potentially responsible parties. Available information varies by state.

**Topography** - The configuration of the land surface area, including its relative elevations and the position of natural and artificial features.

**TSD Facility** - A facility that treats, stores and/or disposes of hazardous waste.

**Toxic Release Inventory System (TRIS)** - TRIS includes all facilities which release toxic chemicals in reportable quantities to the air, water or land as required under SARA (Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization of 1986), Title III, Section 313. Reporting covers approximately 20,000 sites and is required (Form R) each July 1st for the previous year.

**Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA)** - TSCA promulgated a rule requiring manufacturers and importers of certain chemical substances included on the TSCA Chemical Substance Inventory list to report current data on the production volume of these substances by plant site. After initial reporting in 1986, recurring reporting is required every 4 years.

**Underground Storage Tank (UST)** - Any tank, including underground piping connected to the tank, which is or has been used to contain a hazardous substance or petroleum, and the volume of which is ten percent or more beneath the surface of the ground.

**User** - The party seeking to use the Phase I Environmental Assessment to perform Appropriate Inquiry with respect to the Property. A User may include, without limitation, a purchaser of the property, a potential tenant of the property, an owner of property, a lender, or a property manager.

**Wastewater** - Water that [i] is or has been used in an industrial or manufacturing process, [ii] conveys or has conveyed sewage, or [iii] is directly related to manufacturing, processing or raw materials storage areas at an industrial plant. Wastewater does not include water originating on or passing through or adjacent to a site, such as storm water flows, that [i] has not been used in industrial or manufacturing processes, [ii] has not been combined with sewage, or [iii] is not directly related to manufacturing, processing or raw materials storage areas at an industrial plant.
Acronyms

AAI – All Appropriate Inquiries, 40 CFR Part 312
ACM - Asbestos-Containing Material
AHERA - Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act
AST - Aboveground Storage Tank
ASTM - American Society for Testing and Materials
AUL – Activity and Use Limitation
CAA - Clean Air Act
CEA - Certified Environmental Auditor
CERCLA - Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq. as amended
CERCLIS - Comprehensive Environmental Response and Liability Information System
CFR - Code of Federal Regulations
CHCM - Certified Hazard Control Manager
CHMM - Certified Hazardous Materials Manager
CWA - Clean Water Act
DOH - State of Hawaii Department of Health
DOT - Department of Transportation

EAC - Environmental Assessment and Consulting from EAC Pacific, 808-626-7706, PO Box 894203, Mililani, HI 96789, www.eacpacific.com

EP – Environmental Professional as defined by 40CFR § 312.10(b).
EPA - Environmental Protection Agency
EPCRA - Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act
ERNS - Emergency Response Notification System
ESA - Environmental Site Assessment [different than an environmental audit; see Definitions]
FASA - Flame Atomic Spectrophotometry Analysis [Lead paint bulk]
FINDS - Facility Index System
FOIA - Freedom of Information Act
FR - Federal Register
GIS - Geographic Information System
HAR – Hawaii Administrative Rule
HCS - Hazard Communication Standard (OSHA)
HEER – the Hazard Evaluation and Emergency Response office of HIDOH
HIDOH - State of Hawaii Department of Health
HM - Hazardous Material
HMIRS - Hazardous Material Incident Report System
HWDMS - Hazardous Waste Data Management System
IC/EC – Institutional Controls or Engineering Controls
LLP – Landowner Liability Protections
LUST - Leaking Underground Storing Tank
mg/kg – milligrams per kilogram, equivalent to parts per million solid measure
mg/L – milligrams per liter, equivalent to parts per million liquid measure
MSDS - Material Safety Data Sheet
MSHA - Mine Safety and Health Administration of the U.S. Department of Interior
NCP - National Contingency Plan
NESHAPs - National Emission Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutants
NIOSH - National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
NPDES - National Pollution Discharge Elimination System
NPL - National Priority List
O&M - Operation and Maintenance
OSH Act - Occupational Safety and Health Act
OSHA - Occupational Safety and Health Administration
PADS - PCB Activity Database
PCBs - Polychlorinated Biphenyls
PCM - Phase Contrast Microscopy (Asbestos Air)
PLM - Polarized Light Microscopy (Asbestos Bulk)
POTWs - Publicly-owned Treatment Works
ppm - parts per million
PRP - Potentially Responsible Party pursuant to CERCLA 42 U.S.C. 9607[a]
QA - Quality Assurance
QC - Quality Control
RCRIS - Resource Conservation and Recovery Information System
REC – Recognized Environmental Condition
Appendix E

Supporting Documentation
CLIENT/USER QUESTIONNAIRE

INTRODUCTION: In order to qualify for one of the Landowner Liability Protections* offered by the Small Business Liability Relief and Brownfields Revitalization Act of 2001, the Client and/or User of the environmental site assessment must provide (if available) the following information. Failure to provide this information could result in a determination that all appropriate inquiries have not been completed.

Project Site: 511 Imi Place, Wailuku, Hawaii, TMK (2) 38037028
EAC Pacific Project No. 9BDBR003

1) Are you aware of any environmental cleanup liens against the property that are filed or recorded under federal, tribal, state or local law? [40 CFR 312.28]

   No

2) Are you aware of any activity and use limitations (AULs), such as engineering controls, land use restrictions, or institutional controls that are in place at the site with regard to environmental issues and/or have been filed or recorded in a registry under federal, tribal, state or local law? [40 CFR 312.28]

   No

3) As the User of the Phase I Environmental Site Assessment, do you have any specialized knowledge or experience related to the property or nearby properties? For example, are you involved in the same line of business as the current or former occupants of the property or an adjoining property so that you would have specialized knowledge of the chemicals and processes used by this type of business? [40 CFR 312.28]

   No

4) If you conclude that there is a difference between the purchase price being paid for this property and the fair market value of the property, is the lower purchase price because contamination is known or believed to be present at the property? [40 CFR 312.28]

   N/A

5) As the User of the Phase I Environmental Site Assessment, based on your knowledge and experience related to the property are there any obvious indicators that point to the presence or likely presence of contamination at the property? [40 CFR 312.31]

   No
6) Are you aware of commonly known or reasonably ascertainable information about the property that would help to identify conditions indicative of releases or threatened releases? Yes/No

[a.] Do you know the past uses of the property? Yes/No

[b.] Do you know of any hazardous substances or petroleum products that are present or were previously present at the property? Yes/No

[c.] Do you know of spills or releases of hazardous substances or petroleum products that have taken place at the property? Yes/No

[d.] Do you know of any environmental cleanups that have taken place at the property? Yes/No

Completed By:

[Signature]

[Name (print or type)]

[Relationship to property]

* Landowner Liability Protections (LLPs) is the term used to describe the three types of potential defenses to Superfund liability in EPA's Interim Guidance Regarding Criteria Landowners Must Meet in Order to Qualify for Bona Fide Prospective Purchaser, Contiguous Property Owner, or Innocent Landowner Limitations on CERCLA Liability ("Common Elements" Guide) issued on March 6, 2003.
# RESIDENTIAL APRAISAL CARD

## Description
Lot 97, PuuoneTr. Unit I, Wailuku

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I. TOPOGRAPHY</th>
<th>2. ST. IMPVTS</th>
<th>3. UTILITIES</th>
<th>4. USE</th>
<th>5. ADD'L L. IMPVTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LEVEL</td>
<td>GRAVEL</td>
<td>WATER</td>
<td>RES.</td>
<td>PAVED AREAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLOPING FT.</td>
<td>PAVED</td>
<td>ELECT.</td>
<td>COM'L</td>
<td>RET. WALLS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRADE:</td>
<td>CURB</td>
<td>GAS</td>
<td>IND.</td>
<td>TERR/PATIOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>GUTTER</td>
<td>SEWER</td>
<td>AGR.</td>
<td>LIN. FT.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>SIDE WK</td>
<td>UNDERG'D</td>
<td>INST.</td>
<td>WALKWAYS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Owner

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>TITLE HISTORY</th>
<th>NET AREA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MUNOZ, Frank &amp; TOKUNACA, Donald H</td>
<td>A/S 1/2/70 fr &quot;Munoz etal SCT 7.15 Cons 1430C Int rt 7% DP 8600 M/R $193.52</td>
<td>32,953 F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(IKEHARA, William K/Estate, G/I)</td>
<td>4/10/70 Bk 6999/1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PONG, Daniel P. &amp; Aileen L</td>
<td>D: 7/22/71 fr Munoz etal SCT- A/&quot; out 9/1/71 Bk 7768/141</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUJITOMO, Harold &amp; Matsue J/T</td>
<td>D: 8/9/71 fr Ikehara SCT 14.00 8/16/71 Bk 7736/976</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STONER, C. Earl Jr; LIESEG, Grover L</td>
<td>D: 3/15/72 fr Pong SCT - Cons 10 3/15/72 Bk8188/237</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PETERS, Gary B; FONG, Daniel P. S; MEYER</td>
<td>TMB 1744,72-73 D 10/6/72 fr Pong etal SCT 17.15 cons 10 to Stoner etal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harry K; SCHNEIDER, Barbara F; PETERS,</td>
<td>10/6/72 Bk8652/468</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jack L; MORK, Arthur Y T/C</td>
<td>TMB 668 1976 D: 7/22/76 fr Stoner etal to Stoner etal SCT $20.65 7/30/76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STONER, C. Earl Jr. (m)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FONG, Daniel P. S. (m) T/C</td>
<td>Bk 11563/428</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CAMA
MAUI COUNTY PARCEL HISTORY (TT102) FOR:

TMK: 3-8-037-028-0000

05/02/2005 -----------------------------------------------
INSTR-DESC: Warrant Deed
INSTR NO: 05-086974
TRANS NO: 266322
INSTR-DATE: 04/25/2005
REC-DATE: 05/02/2005

AMOUNT: $1,100,000.00
AREA: 32,953 SQ.FT.
STATE-CONV-TAX: $1,100

FROM: ELLEAIR HAWAII, INC.
TO: SAND HILL PROPERTIES, LLC

LOT 97-A
TAX KEY DESIGNATION (2) 3-8-037-028
32,953 SF
NO DES FOR PP 709, LOTS 97, 101 & 102

SUBJ/ES

GROUP# NAME F TC %-OWNER TITLE-DESC
2 0011 SAND HILL PROPERTIES, LLC

08/03/1990 -----------------------------------------------
INSTR-DESC: Warranty Deed
INSTR NO: 9000118865
TRANS NO: 69266
INSTR-DATE: 07/25/1990
ACK/EFF DATE: 08/03/1990

AMOUNT: $575,000.00
STATE-CONV-TAX: $287.50

FROM: C EARL STONER JR AND DANIEL P S FONG
TO: ELLEAIR HAWAII INC

LOTS: 97 - 11,441 SF, 101 - 10,680 SF AND 102 - 10,832 SF

GROUP# NAME F TC %-OWNER TITLE-DESC
2 0011 ELLEAIR HAWAII INC

10/07/1987 -----------------------------------------------

GROUP# NAME F TC %-OWNER TITLE-DESC
2 0011 STONER, C EARL JR 3TC
2 0021 FONG, DANIEL P S 3TC

----------- SEE HISTORY SHEET FOR MORE INFORMATION -----------
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Thank you for your business.
Please contact EDR at 1-800-352-0050 with any questions or comments.
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SUMMARY

- **City Directories:**

Business directories including city, cross reference and telephone directories were reviewed, if available, at approximately five year intervals for the years spanning 1995 through 2009. (These years are not necessarily inclusive.) A summary of the information obtained is provided in the text of this report.
Date EDR Searched Historical Sources: February 11, 2009

Target Property:
511 Imi Place
Wailuku, HI 96793

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Uses</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>Address Not Listed in Research Source</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Address Not Listed in Research Source</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Address Not Listed in Research Source</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Address Not Listed in Research Source</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adjoining Properties

SURROUNDING
Multiple Addresses
Wailuku, HI 96793

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Uses</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td><strong>IMI PLACE</strong></td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apts (510)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apts (514)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apts (517)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Residence (525)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No addresses listed prior to 510</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>IMI DR</strong></td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Address not listed in research source (626)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Verified (631)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>NANA ST</strong></td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apts (1697)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Residence (1698)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Residence (1742)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apts (1743)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Uses</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td><strong>IMI PLACE</strong></td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apts (510)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apts (514)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Residence (517)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Address not listed in research source (525)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No addresses listed prior to 510</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>IMI DR</strong></td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Residence (626)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kanae Boy (mgmt consulting) (631)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>NANA ST</strong></td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apts (1697)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Residence (1698)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Residence (1742)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apts (1743)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td><strong>IMI PLACE</strong></td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apts (510)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apts (514)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Address not listed in research source (517)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Address not listed in research source (525)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No addresses listed prior to 510</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>IMI DR</strong></td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Residence (626)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Residence (631)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>NANA ST</strong></td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Uses</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Apts (1697)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Residence (1698)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Residence (1742)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apts (1743)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td><strong>IMI PLACE</strong></td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apts (510)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apts (514)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apts (517)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No current listing (525)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No addresses listed prior to 510</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>IMI DR</strong></td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No current listing (626)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No current listing (631)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>NANA ST</strong></td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apts (1697)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Residence (1698)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No current listing (1742)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apts (1743)</td>
<td>Polk's City Directory</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CAREER HISTORY    Twenty-three years of program development, project execution, and leadership in environmental issues in Hawaii. Provided environmental services and consultation to businesses and governments in the Pacific region since 1985. Developed and implemented programs and projects demonstrating extensive technical expertise in EPA, OSHA, HIDOH, and commercial protocol and methodology. Established a proven track record of providing simple, yet optimal, solutions for environmental impact mitigation. Principal of EAC Pacific since January 1995.

PROJECT MANAGEMENT    Developed and managed teams of professional scientists, engineers, and technical specialists that successfully completed thousands of Phase I environmental site assessments, asbestos and lead paint surveys, permit applications, regulatory assistance audits, industrial hygiene surveys, air quality studies, hazardous waste minimization, risk assessment, UST/LUST closures, and site characterizations in a technically and legally defensible manner within the time and budgetary constraints of the client.

PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS/CERTIFICATIONS
USEPA Qualified Environmental Professional
Registered Environmental Manager - National Registry of Environmental Professionals
Certified Hazardous Materials Manager - Institute of Hazardous Materials Management
Secretary, Hawaii Chapter of the Academy of Hazardous Materials Managers
State of Hawaii Certified Asbestos Inspector
State of Hawaii Certified Asbestos Management Planner
State of Hawaii Certified Asbestos Project Designer

EDUCATION
BA, Zoology, University of Hawaii, 1985
MPH, Environmental Health, University of Hawaii, 1992

GEOGRAPHIC EXPERIENCE    Successfully accomplished projects throughout the major Hawaiian Islands, Guam, and the Republic of Korea.
Environmental Assessment & Consulting

Providing Hawaii’s most experienced Environmental Due Diligence.
Appendix E:
Traffic Impact Analysis Report
September 30, 2011

Mr. David Billings
Imi Ikena Housing Partners, LLC
c/o Chris Hart & Partners, Inc.
115 N. Market Street
Wailuku, Maui, HI 96793-1717

Re: Traffic Impact Assessment Report
Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project
TMK: (2) 3-8-037:028
Wailuku, Maui, Hawaii

Dear Mr. Billings:

Phillip Rowell and Associates have completed the following Traffic Impact Analysis Report (TIAR) for proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project in Wailuku, Maui. The report is presented in the following format:

A. Project Location and Description
B. Purpose and Objective of Study
C. Study Approach
D. Description of Existing Streets and Intersection Controls
E. Existing Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
F. Level-of-Service Concept
G. Existing Levels-of-Service
H. Existing Deficiencies
I. Background Traffic Projections
J. Project Trip Generation
K. Background Plus Project Projections
L. Traffic Impact Assessment
M. Mitigation
N. Other Traffic Related Issues
O. Summary and Recommendations

A. Project Location and Description

The proposed project is located at 511 Imi Place in Wailuku. Imi Place is a cul-de-sac.

The development will consist of 28 affordable rental units, or apartments. Primary access and egress will be via a driveway along the south side of Pio Drive to the project’s main parking lot. Secondary access and egress will be via a driveway at the end of Imi Place, which will serve the main entrance to the project.

There will be a total of 56 parking spaces: 42 spaces in the main parking lot along Pio Place, nine (9) spaces in a secondary parking lot along Pio Place and five (5) spaces in a parking lot along Imi Place.

A location map and preliminary site plan is provided as Attachment A.
B. Purpose and Objective of Study

1. Quantify and describe the traffic related characteristics of the proposed project.

2. Identify potential deficiencies adjacent to the project that will impact traffic operations in the vicinity of the proposed project.

C. Study Approach

1. A field reconnaissance was performed to identify existing roadway cross-sections, intersection lane configurations, traffic control devices, and surrounding land uses.

2. Existing weekday peak hour traffic volumes were obtained for the following intersections:
   a. Kanaloa Avenue at Kaahumanu Avenue
   b. Kanaloa Avenue at Kahului Beach Road
   c. Kanaloa Avenue at Lihi Street

3. Existing levels-of-service were determined using the methodology described in the 2000 Highway Capacity Manual.

4. A list of related development projects within and adjacent to the study area that will impact traffic conditions at the study intersections was compiled.

5. Future background traffic volumes without traffic generated by the study project were estimated. A level-of-service analysis was performed to determine traffic operating conditions and levels-of-service as a result of background growth and traffic generated by other known future development projects.

6. Peak hour traffic that the proposed project will generate was estimated using trip generation analysis procedures recommended by the Institute of Transportation Engineers. Project generated traffic was distributed and assigned to the adjacent roadway network.

7. A level-of-service analysis for future traffic conditions with traffic generated by the study project was performed.

8. The impacts of traffic generated by the proposed project were quantified and summarized.

9. Improvements or modifications necessary to mitigate the traffic impacts of the project and to provide adequate access to and egress from the site were identified and analyzed.

10. A report documenting the conclusions of the analyses performed and recommendations was prepared.

D. Description of Existing Streets and Intersection Controls

All project traffic will access and egress the project via the intersection of Kanaloa Avenue at Lihi Street. Lihi Street is a two-lane, two-way County street connecting the project with Kanaloa Avenue. In the vicinity of Lihi Street, Kanaloa Avenue is a two-lane, two-way roadway. There is
also a bike lane along both sides of Kanaloa Avenue and there is parallel parking along both sides of the street. The posted speed limit is 20 miles per hour.

The intersection of Kanaloa Avenue at Lihi Street is an unsignalized, T-intersection. Kanaloa Avenue is the major street. The STOP sign is along the Lihi Street approach, which is the north leg of the intersection. There is a separate left turn lane for traffic turning from Kanaloa Avenue into Lihi Street. The Lihi Street approach has one lane for both left and right turns.

Traffic will approach from and depart to the north toward Kahului Beach Road via Kanaloa Avenue. Kahului Beach Road is a major State roadway connecting Kahului with Wailuku. In the vicinity of Kanaloa Avenue, Kahului Beach Road is a four-lane, State highway. The intersection with Kanaloa Avenue is signalized with protected left turns from Kahului Beach Road to Kanaloa Avenue.

Traffic will approach from and depart to the south toward Kaahumanu Avenue via Kanaloa Avenue. In the vicinity of Kanaloa Avenue, Kaahumanu Avenue is a four-lane, divided State highway. The intersection with Kanaloa Avenue is signalized. The eastbound and westbound left turns are protected. The northbound and southbound movements are split phases.

Attachment B is a schematic drawing indicating the existing lane configurations and right-of-way controls.

E. Existing Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

The existing peak hour traffic volumes at the study intersections are shown in Attachment C.

1. The traffic counts include buses, trucks, motorcycles, mopeds and other large vehicles. Bicycles and pedestrians were not counted.

2. All intersections were counted from 6:30 AM to 9:00 AM and from 3:30 PM to 6:00 PM on weekdays (Tuesday or Thursday).

3. The traffic volumes of adjacent intersections may not match the volumes shown for an adjacent intersection because the peak hours of the adjacent intersections may not coincide and there are driveways between the intersections.

4. Pedestrian activity was negligible during the traffic counts.

F. Level-of-Service Concept

"Level-of-Service" is a term which denotes any of an infinite number of combinations of traffic operating conditions that may occur on a given lane or roadway when it is subjected to various traffic volumes. Level-of-service (LOS) is a qualitative measure of the effect of a number of factors which include space, speed, travel time, traffic interruptions, freedom to maneuver, safety, driving comfort and convenience.
There are six levels-of-service, A through F, which relate to the driving conditions from best to worst, respectively. The characteristics of traffic operations for each level-of-service are summarized in Table 1. In general, LOS A represents free-flow conditions with no congestion. LOS F, on the other hand, represents severe congestion with stop-and-go conditions. Level-of-Service D is typically considered acceptable for peak hour conditions in urban areas.\(^1\)

Corresponding to each level-of-service shown in the table is a volume/capacity ratio. This is the ratio of either existing or projected traffic volumes to the capacity of the intersection. Capacity is defined as the maximum number of vehicles that can be accommodated by the roadway during a specified period of time. The capacity of a particular roadway is dependent upon its physical characteristics such as the number of lanes, the operational characteristics of the roadway (one-way, two-way, turn prohibitions, bus stops, etc.), the type of traffic using the roadway (trucks, buses, etc.) and turning movements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of Service</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
<th>Volume-to-Capacity Ratio(^{2})</th>
<th>Stopped Delay (Seconds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A, B</td>
<td>Uncongested operations; all vehicles clear in a single cycle.</td>
<td>0.000-0.700</td>
<td>&lt;20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Light congestion; occasional backups on critical approaches</td>
<td>0.701-0.800</td>
<td>20.1-35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Congestion on critical approaches but intersection functional. Vehicles must wait through more than one cycle during short periods. No long standing lines formed.</td>
<td>0.801-0.900</td>
<td>35.1-55.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Severe congestion with some standing lines on critical approaches. Blockage of intersection may occur if signal does not provide protected turning movements.</td>
<td>0.901-1.000</td>
<td>55.1-80.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Total breakdown with stop-and-go operation</td>
<td>&gt;1.001</td>
<td>&gt;80.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:

(2) This is the ratio of the calculated critical volume to Level-of-Service E Capacity.

Like signalized intersections, the operating conditions of intersections controlled by stop signs can be classified by a level-of-service from A to F. However, the method for determining level-of-service for unsignalized intersections is based on the use of gaps in traffic on the major street by vehicles crossing or turning through that stream. Specifically, the capacity of the controlled legs of an intersection is based on two factors: 1) the distribution of gaps in the major street traffic stream, and 2) driver judgement in selecting gaps through which to execute a desired maneuver. The criteria for level-of-service at an unsignalized intersection is therefore based on delay of each turning movement. Table 2 summarizes the definitions for level-of-service and the corresponding delay.

\(^1\) Institute of Transportation Engineers, *Transportation Impact Analyses for Site Development: A Recommended Practice*, 2006, page 60
Table 2  Level-of-Service Definitions for Unsignalized Intersections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level-of-Service</th>
<th>Expected Delay to Minor Street Traffic</th>
<th>Delay (Seconds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Little or no delay</td>
<td>&lt;10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Short traffic delays</td>
<td>10.1 to 15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Average traffic delays</td>
<td>15.1 to 25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Long traffic delays</td>
<td>25.1 to 35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Very long traffic delays</td>
<td>35.1 to 50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>See note (2) below</td>
<td>&gt;50.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
(2) When demand volume exceeds the capacity of the lane, extreme delays will be encountered with queuing which may cause severe congestion affecting other traffic movements in the intersection. This condition usually warrants improvement of the intersection.

G. Existing Levels-of-Service

The results of the level-of-service analysis are summarized in Table 3. For the signalized intersections of Kanaloa Avenue at Kaahumanu Avenue and Kanaloa Avenue at Kahului Beach Road, volume-to-capacity ratios, control delays and levels-of-service of the overall intersection and each controlled lane group are shown.

For the unsignalized intersection of Kanaloa Avenue at Lihi Street, delays and levels-of-service of the controlled lane groups are shown. The Highway Capacity Manual methodology does not calculate volume-to-capacity ratios for uncontrolled lane groups of unsignalized intersections. The HCS methodology calculates the delays, which define the level-of-service, of controlled lane groups only. Since the southbound through and right turn lane group is uncontrolled, delays are not calculated and levels-of-service are not provided.

H. Existing Deficiencies

We have used the Institute of Transportation Engineers standard that Level-of-Service D is the minimum acceptable Level-of-Service and that the criteria is applicable to the overall intersection rather than each controlled lane group. Minor movements, such as left turns, and minor side street approaches may operate at Level-of-Service E or F for short periods of time during the peak hours so that the overall intersection and major movements along the major highway will operate at Level-of-Service D, or better. All volume-to-capacity ratios must be 1.00 or less.

Using this standard, no existing deficiencies were identified at the study intersections.
Table 3 Existing (2011) Levels-of-Service

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intersection, Approach and Movement</th>
<th>AM Peak Hour</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>PM Peak Hour</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kanaloa Avenue at Kaahumanu Avenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastbound Left</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastbound Thru</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastbound Right</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westbound Left</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>70.9</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westbound Thru</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westbound Right</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northbound Left</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northbound Thru</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>79.6</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>97.0</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northbound Right</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southbound Left</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>61.7</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>91.4</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southbound Thru</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southbound Right</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>57.4</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>69.8</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanaloa Avenue at Kahului Beach Road</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastbound Left</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastbound Right</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northbound Left</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northbound Thru</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southbound Thru</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southbound Right</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanaloa Avenue at Lihi Street</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastbound Left</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southbound Left &amp; Right</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTES:
(1) Delay in seconds per vehicle.
(2) LOS denotes Level-of-Service calculated using the operations method described in Highway Capacity Manual. Level-of-Service is based on delay.
(3) NC = Not Calculated. The Highway Capacity Manual methodology does not calculate volume-to-capacity ratios, delay or levels-of-service for the uncontrolled lane groups for unsignalized intersections.
(4) See Attachment D for Level-of-Service Worksheets.

I. Background Traffic Projections

Background traffic projections are defined as future background traffic conditions without proposed project generated traffic. Future traffic growth consists of ambient background growth that is a result of regional growth and cannot be attributed to a specific project. This background growth rate will also compensate for any small development projects that are not identified as a related project.

Background Growth

The Maui Long Range Transportation Plan\(^2\) concluded that traffic in Maui would increase an average of 1.6% per year from 1990 to 2020. This growth rate was used to estimate the background growth between 2011 and 2015, which is the design year for this project. The growth factor was calculated using the following formula:

\[ \text{Growth Factor} = (1 + \text{Average Growth Rate})^n \]

\( n \) is the number of years between 1990 and 2020, which is 30 years.

\[ \text{Growth Factor} = (1 + 0.016)^{30} \]

\[ \text{Growth Factor} = 2.598 \]

\[ \text{Background Growth} = \text{Current Traffic} \times \text{Growth Factor} \]

\[ \text{Background Growth} = \text{Current Traffic} \times 2.598 \]

\( \text{Current Traffic} \) is the traffic volume at the time of the study.

\( \text{Background Growth} \) is the estimated increase in traffic volume due to background growth.

\[^2\] Kaku Associates, Maui Long Range Land Transportation Plan, February 1997
Related Projects

The second component in estimating background traffic volumes is traffic resulting from related projects. Related projects are defined as those projects in the immediate vicinity of the study project that would significantly impact traffic at the study intersections. Related projects may be development projects or roadway improvements.

Three related projects were identified:

**Maui Family YMCA Expansion**

This project is the expansion of the existing Maui Family YMCA facility located at 250 Kanaloa Avenue, which is along the east side of Kanaloa Avenue. The existing facility is 17,000 square feet. A new 35,000 square foot facility will be constructed adjacent to the existing building and the parking lot will be expanded. Access and egress will be via an existing driveway along the east side of Kanaloa Avenue.

Traffic assignments were obtained from the traffic study for the project³.

**Ka Lima O Maui Affordable Housing Project**

The proposed Ka Lima O Maui Affordable Housing Project is located at 95 Mahalani Street in Wailuku. The project is the construction of 16 apartments, 3,600 square feet of office space, and 3,600 square feet of storage and garage space, and the renovation on an existing 2,500 square foot office building.

Access and egress will be via the existing driveway serving the J. Walter Cameron Center, which is along the west side of Mahalani Street.

Traffic assignments were obtained from the traffic study for the project⁴.

**Maui Lani**

Maui Lani is a master planned community currently under development. Upon completion, it will include residential, commercial, recreation, public and quasi-public uses. Maui Lani is located south and west of existing Wailuku development is generally located between Kuihelani Highway and Waiale Drive. As part of the project, 120,000 square feet of retail space, including a Safeway grocery store, will be located in the southwest quadrant of the intersection of Kaahumanu Avenue at Maui Lani Parkway.

---


Traffic assignments were obtained from the traffic study for the project\textsuperscript{5}.

Traffic from these projects was assigned to the appropriate traffic movements at the study intersections. The related projects’ trip assignments are summarized as Attachment E. The estimated 2015 background traffic projections for 2015 are shown in Attachment F.

J. Project Trip Generation

Future traffic volumes generated by the project were estimated using the methodology described in the Trip Generation Handbook\textsuperscript{6} and data provided in Trip Generation\textsuperscript{7}. This method uses trip generation equations or rates to estimate the number of trips that the project will generate during the peak hours of the project and along the adjacent street.

The proposed project is the construction of 28 affordable multifamily units. Trip generation rates for apartments were used for the trip generation analysis. Trip generation rates for the peak hour of the generator were used. The peak hours of residential projects typically coincide with the peak hours of the adjacent street.

The trip generation analysis is summarized in Table 4. The proposed project will generate 4 inbound and 11 outbound trips during the morning peak hour. During the afternoon peak hour, the project will generate 12 inbound and 7 outbound trips.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4</th>
<th>Trip Generation Analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Period &amp; Direction</td>
<td>Multi-Family (Apartment) Units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trips per Unit or Percent\textsuperscript{(1)}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM Peak Hour</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inbound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Outbound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM Peak Hour</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inbound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Outbound</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
(1) Trip generation rates for the peak hour of the generator are used.

Project trips were distributed and assigned based on existing traffic approach and departure patterns of traffic into and out of the study area as estimated from the traffic counts. Based on these counts, the approach and departure patterns are summarized in Attachment F. The resulting project trip assignments are shown in Attachment G.

\textsuperscript{5} Parsons Brinckerhoff, Traffic Study Maui Lani Development Roadway Master Plan, November 2002


\textsuperscript{7} Institute of Transportation Engineers, Trip Generation, 7\textsuperscript{th} Edition, Washington, D.C., 2003
K. Background Plus Project Projections

Background plus project traffic projections were estimated by superimposing the peak hourly traffic generated by the proposed project on the background (without project) peak hour traffic projections. This assumes that the peak hourly trips generated by the project coincide with the peak hour of the adjacent street. This represents a worse-case condition as it assumes that the peak hours of all the intersection approaches and the peak hour of the study project coincide. The resulting background plus project peak hour traffic projections are shown in Attachment H.

L. Traffic Impact Assessment

A level-of-service analysis was performed for “without project” and “with project” conditions. The results of the level-of-service analysis are summarized in Table 5. For the signalized intersections of Kanaloa Avenue at Kaahumanu Avenue and Kanaloa Avenue at Kahului Beach Road, volume-to-capacity ratios, control delays and levels-of-service of the overall intersection and each controlled lane group are shown.

For the unsignalized intersection of Kanaloa Avenue at Lihi Street, delays and levels-of-service of the controlled lane groups are shown. The Highway Capacity Manual methodology does not calculate volume-to-capacity ratios for uncontrolled lane groups of unsignalized intersections. The HCS methodology calculates the delays, which define the level-of-service, of controlled lane groups only. Since the southbound through and right turn lane group is uncontrolled, delays are not calculated and levels-of-service are not provided.

The conclusions of the level-of-service are:

1. The intersection of Kanaloa Avenue at Kaahumanu Avenue will operate at Level-of-Service D during the morning and afternoon peak hours, without and with project generated traffic. There are no changes in the level-of-service of any group as a result of project generated traffic. All changes in volume-to-capacity ratios are 0.01 or less. The changes in delay are 0.8 second, or less, per vehicle during the morning peak hour. During the afternoon peak hour, the delay of the northbound through lane group increases 3.4 seconds per vehicle and the delay of the southbound left turn increases 2.3 seconds per vehicle. The delays of the remaining lane groups increase 0.3 seconds, or less, per vehicle.

2. The intersection of Kanaloa Avenue at Kahului Beach Road will operate at Level-of-Service B during the morning peak hour and Level-of-Service C during the afternoon peak hour. There are no changes in the level-of-service of any group as a result of project generated traffic. All changes in volume-to-capacity ratio are 0.1, or less, and all increases in delays are 0.7 seconds, or less, per vehicle.

3. At the intersection of Kanaloa Avenue at Lihi Street, the eastbound left turn will operate at Level-of-Service A, without and with project generated traffic. There will be no increase in delay during the morning peak hour. The delay will increase 0.1 second per vehicle during the afternoon peak hour. This implies that project generated traffic will have minimal impact on traffic along Kanaloa Avenue at this location. Traffic along Lihi Street will operate at Level-of-Service C during both peak periods, without and with project generated traffic. The delay will increase 1.1 seconds per vehicle during the morning peak hour and 1.0 second per vehicle during the afternoon peak hour. The eastbound through movement and the
Westbound through and right turn movements are uncontrolled. Since these are uncontrolled movements, HCS does not calculate delays. Therefore, there are no levels-of-service for these lane groups.

Table 5 2015 Intersection Levels-of-Service

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intersection and Movement</th>
<th>AM Peak Hour</th>
<th>Without Project</th>
<th>With Project</th>
<th>PM Peak Hour</th>
<th>Without Project</th>
<th>With Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V/C Delay</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>V/C Delay</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>V/C Delay</td>
<td>LOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanaloa Av. at Kaahumanu Av.</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>44.5 D</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>44.8 D</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>54.5 D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastbound Left</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>81.3 F</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>82.1 F</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>91.7 F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastbound Thru</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>43.8 D</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>44.1 D</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>53.1 D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastbound Right</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>31.5 C</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>31.7 C</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>27.0 C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westbound Left</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>74.1 E</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>74.2 E</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>56.9 E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westbound Thru</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>24.4 C</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>24.5 C</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>22.1 C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westbound Right</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>16.3 B</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>16.4 B</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>16.8 B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northbound Left</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>65.8 E</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>65.9 E</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>74.2 E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northbound Thru</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>81.9 F</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>82.7 F</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>107.0 F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northbound Right</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>40.5 D</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>40.7 D</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>76.2 E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southbound Left</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>66.7 E</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>67.4 E</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>108.5 F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southbound Thru</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>82.6 F</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>83.4 F</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>114.3 F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southbound Right</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>59.5 E</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>59.5 E</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>70.3 E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanaloa Av. at Kahului Beach Rd</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>16.6 B</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>16.8 B</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>22.4 C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastbound Left</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>39.5 D</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>39.5 D</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>52.9 D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastbound Right</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>25.4 C</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>25.4 C</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>24.7 C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northbound Left</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>41.8 D</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>42.1 D</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>58.9 E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northbound Thru</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>7.0 A</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>7.1 A</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>8.5 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southbound Thru</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>19.3 B</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>19.5 B</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>26.0 C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southbound Right</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>13.6 B</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>13.7 B</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>12.8 B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanaloa Av at Lihi St.</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>2.4 A</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>2.8 A</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>1.6 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastbound Left</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>8.9 A</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>8.9 A</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>9.1 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southbound Left &amp; Right</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>19.3 C</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>20.4 C</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>18.8 C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTES:
(1) Delay is in seconds per vehicle.
(2) Denotes Level-of-Service calculated using the operations method described in Highway Capacity Manual. Level-of-Service is based on delay.
(3) NC = Not Calculated. The Highway Capacity Manual methodology does not calculate volume-to-capacity ratios, delay or levels-of-service for the uncontrolled lane groups for unsignalized intersections.
(4) See Attachment D for Level-of-Service Worksheets.

M. Mitigation

As previously discussed, we have used the Institute of Transportation Engineers standard that Level-of-Service D is considered to be the minimum acceptable peak hour level-of-service for urban intersections and that the criteria is applicable to the overall intersection rather than each controlled lane group. Minor movements, such as left turns, and minor side street approaches may operate at Level-of-Service E or F for short periods of time during the peak hours so that the overall intersection and major movements along the major highway will operate at Level-of-Service D, or better. All volume-to-capacity ratios must be 1.00 or less.

Using this standard, no deficiencies were identified at the study intersections and therefore no mitigation is recommended.

8 Institute of Traffic Engineers Transportation Impact Analyses for Site Development, A Recommended Practice, Washington, D.C., 2006, p 60.
N. Other Traffic Related Issues

Public Transportation

Maui Public Bus Transit System operates the Wailuku Loop Routes 1 and 2 along Kanaloa Avenue. A copy of the route map is provided as Attachment I. There is a bus stop on both sides of Kanaloa Avenue at Lihi Street. The bus operates at 60-minute intervals.

Bicycles

Bicycle parking is provided in the secondary parking lot along Pio Place.

O. Summary and Recommendations

1. The development will consist of 28 affordable rental units, or apartments. Primary access and egress will be via a driveway along the south side of Pio Drive to the project’s main parking lot. Secondary access and egress will be via a driveway at the end of Imi Place, which will serve the main entrance to the project.

2. There will be a total of 56 parking spaces: 42 spaces in the main parking lot along Pio Place, nine (9) spaces in a secondary parking lot along Pio Place and five (5) spaces in a parking lot along Imi Place.

3. The proposed project will generate 4 inbound and 11 outbound trips during the morning peak hour. During the afternoon peak hour, the project will generate 12 inbound and 7 outbound trips.

4. The level-of-service analysis concluded that the intersection of Kanaloa Avenue at Kaahumanu Avenue will operate at Level-of-Service D during the morning and afternoon peak hours, without and with project generated traffic. There are no changes in the level-of-service of any group as a result of project generated traffic. All changes in volume-to-capacity ratios are 0.01 or less. The changes in delay are 0.8 second, or less, per vehicle during the morning peak hour. During the afternoon peak hour, the delay of the northbound through lane group increases 3.4 seconds per vehicle and the delay of the southbound left turn increases 2.3 seconds per vehicle. The delays of the remaining lane groups increase 0.3 seconds, or less, per vehicle.

5. The level-of-service analysis also concluded that the intersection of Kanaloa Avenue at Kahului Beach Road will operate at Level-of-Service B during the morning peak hour and Level-of-Service C during the afternoon peak hour. There are no changes in the level-of-service of any group as a result of project generated traffic. All changes in volume-to-capacity ratio are 0.1, or less, and all increases in delays are 0.7 seconds, or less, per vehicle.

6. At the intersection of Kanaloa Avenue at Lihi Street, the eastbound left turn will operate at Level-of-Service A, without and with project generated traffic. There will be no increase in delay during the morning peak hour. The delay will increase 0.1 second per vehicle during the afternoon peak hour. The implies that project generated traffic will have minimal impact on traffic along Kanaloa Avenue at this location. Traffic along Lihi Street will operate at Level-of-Service C during both peak periods, without and with project generated traffic. The delay will
increase 1.1 seconds per vehicle during the morning peak hour and 1.0 second per vehicle during the afternoon peak hour. The eastbound through movement and the westbound through and right turn movements are uncontrolled. Since these are uncontrolled movements, HCS does not calculated delays. Therefore, there are no levels-of-service for these lane groups.

7. The need for mitigation was assessed using the Institute of Transportation Engineers standard that Level-of-Service D is considered to be the minimum acceptable peak hour level-of-service for urban intersections and that the criteria is applicable to the overall intersection and major movements rather than to each controlled lane group. Minor movements, such as left turns, and minor side street approaches may operate at Level-of-Service E or F for short periods of time during the peak hours so that the overall intersection and major movements along the major highway will operate at Level-of-Service D, or better. All volume-to-capacity ratios must be 1.00 or less. Using this standard, no deficiencies were identified at the study intersections and therefore no mitigation is recommended.

Respectfully submitted,

PHILLIP ROWELL AND ASSOCIATES

Phillip J. Rowell, P.E.
Principal

---

9 Institute of Traffic Engineers Transportation Impact Analyses for Site Development, A Recommended Practice, Washington, D.C., 2006, p 60.
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## HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

### 1: KANALOA AVENUE & KAHULUI BEACH ROAD 9/28/2011

### Movement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lane Configurations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideal Flow (vph)</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Lost time (s)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane Util. Factor</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frt</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flt Protected</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satd. Flow (prot)</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>1583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satd. Flow (perm)</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>1583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume (vph)</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>1168</td>
<td>1236</td>
<td>385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak-hour factor, PHF</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adj. Flow (vph)</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>1327</td>
<td>1358</td>
<td>583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTOR Reduction (vph)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane Group Flow (vph)</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>1327</td>
<td>1358</td>
<td>322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turn Type</td>
<td>Perm</td>
<td>Prot</td>
<td>Perm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protected Phases</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permitted Phases</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuated Green, G (s)</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>43.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective Green, g (s)</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>43.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuated g/C Ratio</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearance Time (s)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Extension (s)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane Grp Cap (vph)</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>2512</td>
<td>1956</td>
<td>875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v/s Ratio Prot</td>
<td>c0.14</td>
<td>c0.08</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>c0.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v/s Ratio Perm</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v/c Ratio</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniform Delay, d1</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progression Factor</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incremental Delay, d2</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delay (s)</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of Service</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach Delay (s)</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach LOS</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Intersection Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HCM Average Control Delay</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCM Level of Service</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCM Volume to Capacity ratio</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuated Cycle Length (s)</td>
<td>78.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum of lost time (s)</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intersection Capacity Utilization</td>
<td>58.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU Level of Service</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis Period (min)</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**c** Critical Lane Group
## HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

2: KAAHUAMANA AVENUE & KANALOA AVENUE

**Date:** 9/28/2011

### Movement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBT</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>WBL</th>
<th>WBT</th>
<th>WBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>NBR</th>
<th>SBL</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lane Configurations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideal Flow (vphpl)</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Lost time (s)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane Util. Factor</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frt</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flt Protected</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satd. Flow (prot)</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>1863</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1681</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>1583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flt Permitted</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satd. Flow (perm)</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>1863</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1681</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>1583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume (vph)</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>759</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>1090</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak-hour factor, PHF</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adj. Flow (vph)</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>843</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>1211</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTOR Reduction (vph)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane Group Flow (vph)</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>843</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>1211</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Turn Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Turn Type</th>
<th>Prot</th>
<th>Perm</th>
<th>Prot</th>
<th>Perm</th>
<th>Split</th>
<th>pm+ov</th>
<th>Split</th>
<th>Perm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protected Phases</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permitted Phases</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuated Green, G (s)</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>62.4</td>
<td>62.4</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>94.1</td>
<td>94.1</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective Green, g (s)</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>62.4</td>
<td>62.4</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>94.1</td>
<td>94.1</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuated g/C Ratio</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearance Time (s)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Extension (s)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane Grp Cap (vph)</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>1481</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2234</td>
<td>999</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v/s Ratio Prot</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>c0.24</td>
<td>c0.25</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v/s Ratio Perm</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v/c Ratio</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniform Delay, d1</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>67.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progression Factor</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incremental Delay, d2</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delay (s)</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>70.9</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>79.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of Service</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach Delay (s)</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>67.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach LOS</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Intersection Summary

- **HCM Average Control Delay:** 37.7
- **HCM Level of Service:** D
- **HCM Volume to Capacity ratio:** 0.71
- **Actuated Cycle Length (s):** 149.1
- **Sum of lost time (s):** 12.0
- **Intersection Capacity Utilization:** 63.0%
- **ICU Level of Service:** B
- **Analysis Period (min):** 15

**c Critical Lane Group**
### Movement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBT</th>
<th>WBT</th>
<th>WBR</th>
<th>SEL</th>
<th>SER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Lane Configurations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sign Control</th>
<th>Free</th>
<th>Free</th>
<th>Stop</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Volume (veh/h)

| Volume (veh/h) | 24 | 181 | 402 | 5 | 36 | 49 |

### Peak Hour Factor

| Peak Hour Factor | 0.55 | 0.81 | 0.80 | 0.63 | 0.75 | 0.77 |

### Hourly flow rate (vph)

| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 44 | 223 | 502 | 8 | 48 | 64 |

### Pedestrians

#### Lane Width (ft)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Walking Speed (ft/s)</th>
<th>Median type</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Percent Blockage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Right turn flare (veh)</th>
<th>Median storage veh</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Median type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Upstream signal (ft)</th>
<th>886</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### pX, platoon unblocked

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vC, conflicting volume</th>
<th>510</th>
<th>817</th>
<th>506</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### vC1, stage 1 conf vol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vC2, stage 2 conf vol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### vCu, unblocked vol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>tC, single (s)</th>
<th>4.1</th>
<th>6.4</th>
<th>6.2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### tC, 2 stage (s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>tF (s)</th>
<th>2.2</th>
<th>3.5</th>
<th>3.3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### p0 queue free %

| 96 | 86 | 89 |

### cM capacity (veh/h)

| 1055 | 332 | 566 |

### Direction, Lane #

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume Total</th>
<th>44</th>
<th>223</th>
<th>510</th>
<th>112</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume Left</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume Right</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### cSH

| 1055 | 1700 | 1700 | 434 |

### Volume to Capacity

| 0.04 | 0.13 | 0.30 | 0.26 |

### Queue Length 95th (ft)

| 3    | 0    | 0    | 25   |

### Control Delay (s)

| 8.6  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 16.1 |

### Lane LOS

| A    | C    |

### Approach Delay (s)

| 1.4  | 0.0  | 16.1 |

### Approach LOS

| C    |

### Intersection Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Average Delay</th>
<th>2.4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intersection Capacity Utilization</td>
<td>33.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU Level of Service</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis Period (min)</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
Imi Ikena Affordable Housing
Phillip Rowell & Associates
Case1am
EXISTING PM PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES
## HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

### 1: KANALOA AVENUE & KAHULUI BEACH ROAD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lane Configurations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideal Flow (vphpl)</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Lost time (s)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane Util. Factor</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frt</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flt Protected</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satd. Flow (prot)</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>1583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flt Permitted</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satd. Flow (perm)</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>1583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Volume (vph)</strong></td>
<td>263</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>1392</td>
<td>1286</td>
<td>267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak-hour factor, PHF</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adj. Flow (vph)</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>1450</td>
<td>1413</td>
<td>303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTOR Reduction (vph)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane Group Flow (vph)</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>1450</td>
<td>1413</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Turn Type</th>
<th>Perm</th>
<th>Prot</th>
<th>Perm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protected Phases</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permitted Phases</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuated Green, G (s)</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective Green, g (s)</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuated g/C Ratio</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearance Time (s)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Extension (s)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane Grp Cap (vph)</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v/s Ratio Prot</td>
<td>c0.17</td>
<td>c0.10</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v/s Ratio Perm</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v/c Ratio</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniform Delay, d1</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>32.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progression Factor</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incremental Delay, d2</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delay (s)</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>42.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of Service</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach Delay (s)</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach LOS</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Intersection Summary

- HCM Average Control Delay: 17.5
- HCM Level of Service: B
- HCM Volume to Capacity ratio: 0.79
- Actuated Cycle Length (s): 78.3
- Sum of lost time (s): 12.0
- Intersection Capacity Utilization: 67.9%
- ICU Level of Service: C
- Analysis Period (min): 15

**Critical Lane Group:** c
### Movement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBT</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>WBL</th>
<th>WBT</th>
<th>WBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>NBR</th>
<th>SBL</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ideal Flow (vphl)</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Lost time (s)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane Util. Factor</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frt</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falt Protected</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satd. Flow (prot)</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>1863</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1681</td>
<td>1721</td>
<td>1583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falt Permitted</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satd. Flow (perm)</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>1863</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1681</td>
<td>1721</td>
<td>1583</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Volume (vph)

| Volume (vph) | 124 | 1268 | 18 | 199 | 939 | 183 | 54 | 133 | 506 | 187 | 53 | 88 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.95 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 131 | 1307 | 19 | 216 | 1021 | 199 | 60 | 1863 | 562 | 197 | 56 | 93 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 84 |

### Lane Group Flow (vph)

| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 131 | 1307 | 13 | 216 | 1021 | 127 | 60 | 538 | 123 | 130 | 9 | 84 |

### Turn Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Turn Type</th>
<th>Prot</th>
<th>Perm</th>
<th>Prot</th>
<th>Perm</th>
<th>Split</th>
<th>pm+ov</th>
<th>Split</th>
<th>Perm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protected Phases</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permitted Phases</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuated Green, G (s)</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>103.1</td>
<td>103.1</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective Green, g (s)</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>103.1</td>
<td>103.1</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuated g/C Ratio</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearance Time (s)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Extension (s)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane Grp Cap (vph)</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>1631</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>2143</td>
<td>958</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>587</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### v/s Ratio

| v/s Ratio Prot | 0.07 | c0.37 | 0.12 | 0.29 | 0.03 | 0.08 | c0.24 | 0.07 | c0.08 |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.71 | 0.80 | 0.02 | 0.49 | 0.48 | 0.13 | 0.34 | 0.80 | 0.75 | 0.77 | 0.06 |

### Uniform Delay, d1

| Uniform Delay, d1 | 73.8 | 39.2 | 24.9 | 54.8 | 18.6 | 14.4 | 71.6 | 75.1 | 53.3 | 74.7 | 74.9 | 69.7 |

### Progression Factor

| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 12.2 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 1.2 |

### Delay (s)

| Delay (s) | 86.1 | 43.5 | 25.0 | 55.6 | 18.8 | 14.5 | 72.8 | 97.0 | 72.5 | 91.4 | 93.7 | 69.8 |

### Level of Service

| Level of Service | F | D | C | E | B | B | E | F | E | F | E | E |
| Approach Delay (s) | 47.1 | 23.7 | 77.2 | 86.4 |
| Approach LOS | D | C | E | F | E |

### Intersection Summary

| HCM Average Control Delay | 47.9 |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.86 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 170.3 |
| Intersecion Capacity Utilization | 83.0% |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |
| Critical Lane Group | c |

---

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

2: KAAHUAMANA AVENUE & KANALOA AVENUE

9/28/2011

Imi Ikena Affordable Housing
Phillip Rowell & Associates
Case1pm
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBT</th>
<th>WBT</th>
<th>WBR</th>
<th>SEL</th>
<th>SER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lane Configurations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sign Control</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>Stop</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume (veh/h)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak Hour Factor</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hourly flow rate (vph)</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrians</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane Width (ft)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking Speed (ft/s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Blockage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right turn flare (veh)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median type</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median storage veh)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upstream signal (ft)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pX, platoon unblocked</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vC, conflicting volume</td>
<td>527</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vC1, stage 1 conf vol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vC2, stage 2 conf vol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vCu, unblocked vol</td>
<td>527</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tC, single (s)</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tC, 2 stage (s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tF (s)</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p0 queue free %</td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cM capacity (veh/h)</td>
<td>1040</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direction, Lane #</td>
<td>EB 1</td>
<td>EB 2</td>
<td>WB 1</td>
<td>SE 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume Total</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume Left</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume Right</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cSH</td>
<td>1040</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>439</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume to Capacity</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queue Length 95th (ft)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Delay (s)</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane LOS</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach Delay (s)</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach LOS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intersection Summary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Delay</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intersection Capacity Utilization</td>
<td>30.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ICU Level of Service A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis Period (min)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
Imi Ikena Affordable Housing
Phillip Rowell & Associates
Case1pm
BACKGROUND AM PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC PROJECTIONS

KANALOA AVENUE
KAAHUMANU AVENUE
LIHI STREET
LIHOLIHO STREET
PIO PLACE
PIO DRIVE
MAHAU STREET
VAKEA AVENUE
KAHULUI BEACH RD.
LOWER MAIN STREET
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lane Configurations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideal Flow (vphpl)</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Lost time (s)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane Util. Factor</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frt</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flt Protected</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satd. Flow (prot)</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>1583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flt Permitted</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satd. Flow (perm)</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>1583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume (vph)</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>1243</td>
<td>1316</td>
<td>456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak-hour factor, PHF</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adj. Flow (vph)</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>1412</td>
<td>1446</td>
<td>691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTOR Reduction (vph)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane Group Flow (vph)</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>1412</td>
<td>1446</td>
<td>354</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Turn Type</th>
<th>Perm</th>
<th>Prot</th>
<th>Perm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protected Phases</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permitted Phases</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuated Green, G (s)</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective Green, g (s)</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuated g/C Ratio</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearance Time (s)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Extension (s)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane Grp Cap (vph)</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v/s Ratio Prot</td>
<td>c0.16</td>
<td>c0.09</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v/s Ratio Perm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v/c Ratio</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniform Delay, d1</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>32.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progression Factor</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incremental Delay, d2</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delay (s)</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>41.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of Service</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach Delay (s)</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach LOS</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Intersection Summary**

| HCM Average Control Delay | 16.6 | HCM Level of Service | B |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.81 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 77.8 | Sum of lost time (s) | 12.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | 63.4% | ICU Level of Service | B |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |

C Critical Lane Group
### HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

#### 2: KAAHUAMANA AVENUE & KANALOA AVENUE

**9/28/2011**

**Movement**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lane Configurations</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBT</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>WBL</th>
<th>WBT</th>
<th>WBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>NBR</th>
<th>SBL</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ideal Flow (vphpl)</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0|

| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95|

| Frt Protected | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85|

| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1681 | 1770 | 1583|

| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95|

| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1681 | 1770 | 1583|

### Movement Summary

- **Volume (vph)**: 77 834 63 377 1180 173 22 94 359 105 115 60
- **Peak-hour factor, PHF**: 0.62 0.90 0.74 0.83 0.90 0.95 0.79 0.76 0.72 0.76 0.60 0.66
- **Adj. Flow (vph)**: 124 927 85 454 1311 182 28 131 382 128 192 13
- **RTOR Reduction (vph)**: 0 0 35 0 0 52 0 0 90 0 0 79
- **Lane Group Flow (vph)**: 124 927 50 454 1311 130 28 131 382 128 192 13

### Turn Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Turn Type</th>
<th>Prot</th>
<th>Perm</th>
<th>Prot</th>
<th>Perm</th>
<th>Split</th>
<th>pm+ov</th>
<th>Split</th>
<th>Perm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protected Phases</td>
<td>5 2</td>
<td>1 6</td>
<td>8 8</td>
<td>1 4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permitted Phases</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Protection Phases

- **Actuated Green, G (s)**: 15.6 60.2 60.2 44.8 89.4 89.4 15.4 15.4 60.2 21.5 21.5 21.5
- **Effective Green, g (s)**: 15.6 60.2 60.2 44.8 89.4 89.4 15.4 15.4 60.2 21.5 21.5 21.5

### Actuated g/C Ratio

- **Actuated g/C Ratio**: 0.10 0.38 0.38 0.28 0.57 0.57 0.10 0.10 0.38 0.14 0.14 0.14
- **Effective g/C Ratio**: 0.10 0.65 0.65 0.57 1.00 1.00 0.38 0.38 0.57 0.43 0.43 0.43

### Clearance Time (s)

- **Total Lost time (s)**: 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0

### Vehicle Extension (s)

- **Vehicle Extension (s)**: 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0

### Lane Group Cap (vph)

- **Lane Group Cap (vph)**: 175 1349 604 502 2004 896 173 182 644 229 241 216

### v/s Ratio

- **v/s Ratio Prot**: 0.07 c0.26 c0.26 0.37 0.02 0.07 c0.21 0.08 c0.11
- **v/s Ratio Perm**: 0.05 0.11 0.09 0.06

### v/c Ratio

- **v/c Ratio**: 0.71 0.69 0.08 0.90 0.65 0.14 0.16 0.72 0.59 0.56 0.80 0.06

### Uniform Delay, d1

- **Uniform Delay, d1**: 68.9 41.0 31.2 54.5 23.6 16.2 65.3 69.2 39.1 63.8 66.1 59.4

### Progression Factor

- **Progression Factor**: 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00

### Incremental Delay, d2

- **Incremental Delay, d2**: 12.3 2.9 0.3 19.6 0.8 0.1 2.9 2.9 1.5 1.5 16.5 1.5

### Delay (s)

- **Delay (s)**: 81.3 43.8 31.5 74.1 24.4 16.3 65.8 81.9 40.5 66.7 82.6 59.5

### Level of Service

- **Level of Service**: **F** **D** **C** **E** **C** **B** **E** **F** **D** **E** **F**

### Approach Delay (s)

- **Approach Delay (s)**: 47.0 35.2 50.3 72.5

### Approach LOS

- **Approach LOS**: **D** **D** **D** **E**

### Intersection Summary

- **HCM Average Control Delay**: 44.5
- **HCM Level of Service**: **D**
- **HCM Volume to Capacity ratio**: 0.78
- **Actuated Cycle Length (s)**: 157.9
- **Sum of lost time (s)**: 16.0
- **Intersection Capacity Utilization**: 66.5%
- **ICU Level of Service**: **C**
- **Analysis Period (min)**: 15

---

**HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis**

Imi Ikena Affordable Housing

Phillip Rowell & Associates

Case2am
### Movement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBT</th>
<th>WBT</th>
<th>WBR</th>
<th>SEL</th>
<th>SER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Lane Configurations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sign Control</th>
<th>Free</th>
<th>Free</th>
<th>Stop</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>0%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Volume (veh/h)

- 24
- 217
- 488
- 5
- 36
- 49

### Peak Hour Factor

- 0.55
- 0.81
- 0.80
- 0.63
- 0.75
- 0.77

### Hourly flow rate (vph)

- 44
- 268
- 610
- 8
- 48
- 64

### Pedestrians

- Lane Width (ft)
- Walking Speed (ft/s)
- Percent Blockage
- Right turn flare (veh)
- Median type
- Median storage veh
- Upstream signal (ft)
- pX, platoon unblocked
- vC, conflicting volume
  - 618
  - 969
  - 614
- vC1, stage 1 conf vol
- vC2, stage 2 conf vol
- vCu, unblocked vol
  - 618
  - 969
  - 614
- tC, single (s)
  - 4.1
  - 6.4
  - 6.2
- tC, 2 stage (s)
  - 2.2
  - 3.5
  - 3.3
- tF (s)
  - 95
  - 82
  - 87
- cM capacity (veh/h)
  - 962
  - 268
  - 492

### Direction, Lane #

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume Total</th>
<th>EB 1</th>
<th>EB 2</th>
<th>WB 1</th>
<th>SE 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>618</td>
<td>112</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume Left</th>
<th>EB 1</th>
<th>EB 2</th>
<th>WB 1</th>
<th>SE 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume Right</th>
<th>EB 1</th>
<th>EB 2</th>
<th>WB 1</th>
<th>SE 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>cSH</th>
<th>962</th>
<th>1700</th>
<th>1700</th>
<th>362</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume to Capacity</th>
<th>0.05</th>
<th>0.16</th>
<th>0.36</th>
<th>0.31</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Queue Length 95th (ft)</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>32</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control Delay (s)</th>
<th>8.9</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>19.3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lane LOS</th>
<th>A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach Delay (s)</th>
<th>1.2</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>19.3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach LOS</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Intersection Summary

- Average Delay: 2.4
- Intersection Capacity Utilization: 37.7%
- ICU Level of Service: A
- Analysis Period (min): 15
BACKGROUND PM PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC PROJECTIONS
## Movement EBL EBR NBL NBT SBT SBR

### Ideal Flow (vphpl)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ideal Flow (vphpl)</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Total Lost time (s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Lost time (s)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Lane Util. Factor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lane Util. Factor</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Frt

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frt</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Flt Protected

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flt Protected</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Satd. Flow (prot)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Satd. Flow (prot)</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>1583</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Flt Permitted

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flt Permitted</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Satd. Flow (perm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Satd. Flow (perm)</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>1583</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Volume (vph)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume (vph)</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>1482</td>
<td>1371</td>
<td>323</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Peak-hour factor, PHF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peak-hour factor, PHF</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Adj. Flow (vph)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adj. Flow (vph)</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>1544</td>
<td>1507</td>
<td>367</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### RTOR Reduction (vph)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RTOR Reduction (vph)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Lane Group Flow (vph)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lane Group Flow (vph)</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>1544</td>
<td>1507</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Turn Type Perm Prot Perm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Turn Type</td>
<td>Prot</td>
<td>Perm</td>
<td>Prot</td>
<td>Perm</td>
<td>Prot</td>
<td>Perm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Actuated Phases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actuated Phases</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Effective Green, G (s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Effective Green, G (s)</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>38.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Actuated g/C Ratio

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actuated g/C Ratio</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Clearance Time (s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clearance Time (s)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Vehicle Extension (s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Extension (s)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Lane Grp Cap (vph)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lane Grp Cap (vph)</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>2407</td>
<td>1698</td>
<td>760</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### v/s Ratio Prot

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>v/s Ratio Prot</td>
<td>c0.20</td>
<td>c0.13</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>c0.43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### v/s Ratio Perm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>v/s Ratio Perm</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### v/c Ratio

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>v/c Ratio</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Uniform Delay, d1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uniform Delay, d1</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Progression Factor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Progression Factor</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Incremental Delay, d2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incremental Delay, d2</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Delay (s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delay (s)</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Level of Service

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level of Service</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Approach Delay (s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Approach Delay (s)</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Approach LOS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Approach LOS</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Intersection Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intersection Summary</td>
<td>HCM Average Control Delay</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>HCM Level of Service</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HCM Volume to Capacity ratio</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Actuated Cycle Length (s)</td>
<td>79.4</td>
<td>Sum of lost time (s)</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intersection Capacity Utilization</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
<td>ICU Level of Service</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Analysis Period (min)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c Critical Lane Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

## 2: KAAHUAMANA AVENUE & KANALOA AVENUE

**9/28/2011**

### Movement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBT</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>WBL</th>
<th>WBT</th>
<th>WBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>NBR</th>
<th>SBL</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ideal Flow (vphpl)</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Lost time (s)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane Util. Factor</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frt Protected</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satd. Flow (prot)</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>1863</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1681</td>
<td>1724</td>
<td>1583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frt Permitted</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satd. Flow (perm)</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>1863</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1681</td>
<td>1724</td>
<td>1583</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Lane Configurations

| Volume (vph) | 144 | 1378 | 19 | 204 | 1024 | 238 | 55 | 147 | 513 | 232 | 75 | 108 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.95 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.96 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 152 | 1421 | 20 | 222 | 1113 | 259 | 61 | 163 | 570 | 244 | 79 | 114 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 102 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 152 | 1421 | 14 | 222 | 1113 | 168 | 61 | 163 | 556 | 157 | 166 | 12 |

### Turn Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Turn Type</th>
<th>Prot</th>
<th>Perm</th>
<th>Prot</th>
<th>Perm</th>
<th>Split</th>
<th>pm+ov</th>
<th>Split</th>
<th>Perm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protected Phases</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permitted Phases</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuated Green, G (s)</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>102.6</td>
<td>102.6</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective Green, g (s)</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>102.6</td>
<td>102.6</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuated g/C Ratio</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearance Time (s)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Extension (s)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane Grp Cap (vph)</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>1582</td>
<td>708</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>2076</td>
<td>929</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v/s Ratio Prot</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v/s Ratio Perm</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v/c Ratio</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniform Delay, d1</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>77.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progression Factor</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incremental Delay, d2</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>29.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delay (s)</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>53.1</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>107.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of Service</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach Delay (s)</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>82.4</td>
<td>100.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach LOS</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Intersection Summary

| HCM Average Control Delay | 54.5 |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.92 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 174.9 |
| Sum of lost time (s) | 12.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | 88.3% |
| ICU Level of Service | E |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |
| c Critical Lane Group |
### Movement and Lane Configurations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBT</th>
<th>WBT</th>
<th>WBR</th>
<th>SEL</th>
<th>SER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sign Control</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>Stop</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Volume and Peak Hour Factor

| Volume (veh/h) | 35 | 319 | 338 | 4 | 17 | 34 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.80 | 0.88 | 0.50 | 0.88 | 0.71 | 0.61 |

### Hourly flow rate (vph)

| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 44 | 362 | 676 | 5 | 24 | 56 |

### Pedestrians

| Pedestrians |
| Lane Width (ft) |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |
| Percent Blockage |
| Right turn flare (veh) |
| Median type |
| Median storage veh |
| Upstream signal (ft) |
| pX, platoon unblocked |
| vC, conflicting volume |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |
| vCu, unblocked vol |
| tC, single (s) |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |
| tF (s) |
| p0 queue free % |
| cM capacity (veh/h) |

### Direction, Lane #

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Direction, Lane #</th>
<th>EB 1</th>
<th>EB 2</th>
<th>WB 1</th>
<th>SE 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume Total</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume Left</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume Right</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cSH</td>
<td>912</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume to Capacity</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queue Length 95th (ft)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Delay (s)</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane LOS</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach Delay (s)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach LOS</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Intersection Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intersection Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average Delay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intersection Capacity Utilization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU Level of Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis Period (min)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Movement Capacities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ideal Flow (vph)</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Lost time (s)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane Util. Factor</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frt</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flt Protected</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satd. Flow (prot)</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>1583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flt Permitted</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satd. Flow (perm)</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>1583</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Volume and Flow

| Volume (vph) | 205 | 110 | 105 | 1243 | 1316 | 457 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.73 | 0.78 | 0.64 | 0.88 | 0.91 | 0.66 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 281 | 141 | 164 | 1412 | 1446 | 692 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 112 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 338 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 281 | 29 | 164 | 1412 | 1446 | 354 |

### Turn Phases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Turn Type</th>
<th>Perm</th>
<th>Prot</th>
<th>Perm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protected Phases</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permitted Phases</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuated Green, G (s)</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective Green, g (s)</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuated g/C Ratio</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearance Time (s)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Extension (s)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane Grp Cap (vph)</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v/s Ratio Prot</td>
<td>c0.16</td>
<td>c0.09</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v/s Ratio Perm</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v/c Ratio</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniform Delay, d1</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progression Factor</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incremental Delay, d2</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delay (s)</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>42.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of Service</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach Delay (s)</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach LOS</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Intersection Summary

| HCM Average Control Delay | 16.8 |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.81 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 78.0 |
| Sum of lost time (s) | 12.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | 63.6% |
| ICU Level of Service | B |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |

---

**HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis**

**1: KANALOA AVENUE & KAHULUI BEACH ROAD**

**Imi Ikena Affordable Housing**

**Phillip Rowell & Associates**

**Case3am**
# HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

## 2: KAAHUAMANA AVENUE & KANALOA AVENUE

### 9/28/2011

**Imi Ikena Affordable Housing**
**Phillip Rowell & Associates**
**Case3am**

### Movement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBT</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>WBL</th>
<th>WBT</th>
<th>WBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>NBR</th>
<th>SBL</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ideal Flow (vphpl)</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Lost time (s)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane Util. Factor</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frt</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flt Protected</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satd. Flow (prot)</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>1863</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1681</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>1583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flt Permitted</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satd. Flow (perm)</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>1863</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1681</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>1583</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Volume (vph)

| Volume (vph) | 77 | 834 | 63 | 377 | 1180 | 175 | 22 | 95 | 359 | 108 | 117 | 62 |

### Peak-hour factor, PHF

| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.62 | 0.90 | 0.74 | 0.83 | 0.90 | 0.95 | 0.79 | 0.72 | 0.76 | 0.82 | 0.60 | 0.66 |

### Adj. Flow (vph)

| Adj. Flow (vph) | 124 | 927 | 85 | 454 | 1311 | 184 | 28 | 132 | 385 | 132 | 195 | 13 |

### RTOR Reduction (vph)

| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 82 |

### Lane Group Flow (vph)

| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 124 | 927 | 50 | 454 | 1311 | 131 | 28 | 132 | 385 | 132 | 195 | 13 |

### Turn Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Turn Type</th>
<th>Prot</th>
<th>Perm</th>
<th>Prot</th>
<th>Perm</th>
<th>Split</th>
<th>pm+ov</th>
<th>Split</th>
<th>Perm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protected Phases</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permitted Phases</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuated Green, G (s)</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>60.2</td>
<td>60.2</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>89.5</td>
<td>89.5</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective Green, g (s)</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>60.2</td>
<td>60.2</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>89.5</td>
<td>89.5</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuated g/C Ratio</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearance Time (s)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Extension (s)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Lane Grp Cap (vph)

| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 174 | 1346 | 602 | 502 | 2001 | 895 | 173 | 182 | 644 | 230 | 243 | 217 |

### v/s Ratio

| v/s Ratio Prot | 0.07 | c0.26 | 0.26 | 0.37 | 0.02 | 0.07 | c0.21 | 0.08 | c0.11 |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.06 |

### v/c Ratio

| v/c Ratio | 0.71 | 0.69 | 0.08 | 0.90 | 0.66 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.73 | 0.60 | 0.57 | 0.80 | 0.06 |

### Uniform Delay, d1

| Uniform Delay, d1 | 69.2 | 41.2 | 31.4 | 54.6 | 23.7 | 16.3 | 65.4 | 69.3 | 39.2 | 64.0 | 66.2 | 59.4 |

### Progression Factor

| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

### Incremental Delay, d2

| Incremental Delay, d2 | 12.9 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 19.6 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 13.4 | 1.5 | 3.4 | 17.2 | 0.1 |

### Delay (s)

| Delay (s) | 82.1 | 44.1 | 31.7 | 74.2 | 24.5 | 16.4 | 65.9 | 82.7 | 40.7 | 67.4 | 83.4 | 59.5 |

### Level of Service

| Level of Service | F | D | C | E | C | B | E | F | D | E | F | E |

### Approach Delay (s)

| Approach Delay (s) | 47.3 | 35.3 | 50.6 | 73.0 |

### Approach LOS

| Approach LOS | D | D | D | E |

### Intersection Summary

| HCM Average Control Delay | 44.8 |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.79 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 158.3 |
| Sum of lost time (s) | 16.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | 66.7% |
| ICU Level of Service | C |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |

---

**c** Critical Lane Group
### Movement Configuration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBT</th>
<th>WBT</th>
<th>WBR</th>
<th>SEL</th>
<th>SER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Sign Control

- Freeway
- Grade: 0%

### Grade

- Volume (veh/h): 27, 217, 488, 6, 40, 56
- Peak Hour Factor: 0.55, 0.81, 0.80, 0.63, 0.75, 0.77
- Hourly flow rate (vph): 49, 268, 610, 10, 53, 73

### Pedestrians

- Lane Width (ft)
- Walking Speed (ft/s)
- Percent Blockage
- Right turn flare (veh)

### Median Information

- Type: None
- Storage veh: None
- Upstream signal (ft): 886
- pX, platoon unblocked
- vC, conflicting volume: 620, 981, 615
- vC1, stage 1 conf vol
- vC2, stage 2 conf vol
- vCu, unblocked vol: 620, 981, 615
- tC, single (s): 4.1, 6.4, 6.2
- tC, 2 stage (s)
- tF (s): 2.2, 3.5, 3.3
- p0 queue free %: 95, 80, 85
- cM capacity (veh/h): 961, 263, 491

### Direction, Lane #

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume Total</th>
<th>EB 1</th>
<th>EB 2</th>
<th>WB 1</th>
<th>SE 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>126</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume Left</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume Right</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cSH</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume to Capacity</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queue Length 95th (ft)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Delay (s)</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane LOS</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach Delay (s)</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach LOS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Intersection Summary

- Average Delay: 2.8
- Intersection Capacity Utilization: 38.4%
- ICU Level of Service: A
- Analysis Period (min): 15
## HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

### 1: KANALOA AVENUE & KAHULUI BEACH ROAD

**9/28/2011**

### Movement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lane Configurations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideal Flow (vphpl)</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Lost time (s)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane Util. Factor</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frt</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flt Protected</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satd. Flow (prot)</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>1583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flt Permitted</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satd. Flow (perm)</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>1583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume (vph)</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>1482</td>
<td>1371</td>
<td>324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak-hour factor, PHF</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adj. Flow (vph)</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>1544</td>
<td>1507</td>
<td>368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTOR Reduction (vph)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane Group Flow (vph)</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>1544</td>
<td>1507</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Turn Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Turn Type</th>
<th>Perm</th>
<th>Prot</th>
<th>Perm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protected Phases</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permitted Phases</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuated Green, G (s)</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective Green, g (s)</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuated g/C Ratio</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearance Time (s)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Extension (s)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane Grp Cap (vph)</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v/s Ratio Prot</td>
<td>c0.20</td>
<td>c0.13</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v/s Ratio Perm</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v/c Ratio</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniform Delay, d1</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>33.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progression Factor</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incremental Delay, d2</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>26.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delay (s)</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>59.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of Service</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach Delay (s)</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>23.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach LOS</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Intersection Summary

| HCM Average Control Delay | 22.5 |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.89 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 79.4 |
| Sum of lost time (s) | 12.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | 75.2% |
| ICU Level of Service | D |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |

---

Imi Ikena Affordable Housing
Phillip Rowell & Associates
Case3pm
## HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

### Movement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBT</th>
<th>EBR</th>
<th>WBL</th>
<th>WBT</th>
<th>WBR</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>NBR</th>
<th>SBL</th>
<th>SBT</th>
<th>SBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Lane Configurations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ideal Flow (vphpl)</th>
<th>1900</th>
<th>1900</th>
<th>1900</th>
<th>1900</th>
<th>1900</th>
<th>1900</th>
<th>1900</th>
<th>1900</th>
<th>1900</th>
<th>1900</th>
<th>1900</th>
<th>1900</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Lost time (s)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane Util. Factor</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frt</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flt Protected</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satd. Flow (prot)</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>1863</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1681</td>
<td>1725</td>
<td>1583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flt Permitted</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satd. Flow (perm)</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>1863</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1681</td>
<td>1725</td>
<td>1583</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Volume (vph)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>146</th>
<th>1378</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>204</th>
<th>1024</th>
<th>244</th>
<th>55</th>
<th>149</th>
<th>513</th>
<th>234</th>
<th>76</th>
<th>110</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Peak-hour factor, PHF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>0.95</th>
<th>0.97</th>
<th>0.95</th>
<th>0.92</th>
<th>0.92</th>
<th>0.90</th>
<th>0.90</th>
<th>0.95</th>
<th>0.95</th>
<th>0.95</th>
<th>0.95</th>
<th>0.95</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Adj. Flow (vph)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>154</th>
<th>1421</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>222</th>
<th>1113</th>
<th>265</th>
<th>61</th>
<th>166</th>
<th>570</th>
<th>246</th>
<th>80</th>
<th>116</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### RTOR Reduction (vph)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>93</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>103</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Lane Group Flow (vph)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>154</th>
<th>1421</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>222</th>
<th>1113</th>
<th>172</th>
<th>61</th>
<th>166</th>
<th>556</th>
<th>159</th>
<th>167</th>
<th>13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Turn Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protected Phases</th>
<th>Prot</th>
<th>Perm</th>
<th>Prot</th>
<th>Perm</th>
<th>Split</th>
<th>pm+ov</th>
<th>Split</th>
<th>Perm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Permitted Phases</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuated Phases</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective Green, g (s)</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>102.4</td>
<td>102.4</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuated g/C Ratio</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearance Time (s)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Extension</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane Grp Cap (vph)</td>
<td>1561</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>2070</td>
<td>926</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v/s Ratio Prot</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>c0.40</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>c0.24</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v/s Ratio Perm</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniform Delay, d1</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>77.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progression Factor</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incremental Delay, d2</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>32.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delay (s)</td>
<td>92.0</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>110.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of Service</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach Delay (s)</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>101.5</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Intersection Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HCM Average Control Delay</th>
<th>55.0</th>
<th>HCM Level of Service</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actuated Cycle Length (s)</td>
<td>175.1</td>
<td>Sum of lost time (s)</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intersection Capacity Utilization</td>
<td>88.3%</td>
<td>ICU Level of Service</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis Period (min)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>c Critical Lane Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Movement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>EBT</th>
<th>WBT</th>
<th>WBR</th>
<th>SEL</th>
<th>SER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sign Control</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>Stop</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Volume (veh/h) | 45 | 319 | 338 | 6 | 19 | 39 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.80 | 0.88 | 0.50 | 0.88 | 0.71 | 0.61 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 56 | 362 | 676 | 7 | 27 | 64 |

### Pedestrians

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lane Width (ft)</th>
<th>Walking Speed (ft/s)</th>
<th>Percent Blockage</th>
<th>Right turn flare (veh)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median type</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median storage veh</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Upstream signal (ft) | 575 |
| pX, platoon unblocked |     |

| vC, conflicting volume | 683 | 1154 | 679 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |     |     |     |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |     |     |     |
| vCu, unblocked vol   | 683 | 1154 | 679 |
| tC, single (s)       | 4.1 | 6.4  | 6.2 |
| tC, 2 stage (s)      |     |     |     |
| p0 queue free %      | 94  | 87   | 86  |
| cM capacity (veh/h)  | 910 | 204  | 451 |

### Direction, Lane #

| Volume Total | 56 | 362 | 683 | 91 |
| Volume Left  | 56 | 0   | 0   | 27 |
| Volume Right | 0  | 0   | 7   | 64 |
| cSH          | 910| 1700| 1700| 333|
| Volume to Capacity | 0.06 | 0.21 | 0.40 | 0.27 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 5  | 0   | 0   | 27 |
| Control Delay (s) | 9.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.8 |
| Lane LOS      | A  | C   |     |     |
| Approach Delay (s) | 1.2 | 0.0 | 19.8 |     |
| Approach LOS  |     |     | C   |     |

### Intersection Summary

| Average Delay | 1.9 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | 34.9% |
| ICU Level of Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |
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I. **INTRODUCTION**

The purpose of this report is to provide information on the existing infrastructure which will be servicing the proposed project. It will also evaluate the adequacy of the existing infrastructure and anticipated improvements which may be required for the proposed project.

II. **SITE LOCATION AND PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

The subject parcel is identified as T.M.K.: (2) 3-8-037: 028 which encompasses an area of 32,955 square feet. The project site is bordered by Pio Place to the north, the Wailuku Manor condominium to the south, Imi Place and apartment buildings to the east, and the Puuone Hale Alii condominium to the west.

The proposed project consists of a four story condominium building containing 28 two and three bedroom unit. Associated improvements include grading, paved parking areas, utility connections, and landscaping.

III. **ROADWAYS**

Kaahumanu Avenue is located south of the project site. It is a four lane divided State Highway which runs in the east-west direction through Kahului. It serves as the primary arterial between Kahului and Wailuku.

Kanaloa Avenue and Lower Beach Road connects to and is perpendicular to Kaahumanu Avenue, which serves as access points to the project through multiple minor streets.

The project site will be accessed by driveways along Pio Place and Imi Place. Both streets have 44 ft right-of-ways fronting the project and meet the required width for County minor streets. Pio Place fronting the project site will be improved to County standards as required by the Department of Public Works. Frontage improvements will include concrete curb, gutter and sidewalk along the property fronting Pio Place.
IV. **DRAINAGE**

The project site is currently undeveloped and is covered with various trees, shrubs and grass. The property generally slopes down in the west to east direction with the elevations on the site ranging from 245 feet at the mauka boundary to 115 feet at the makai boundary, averaging about 13.5%.

According to the "Soil Survey of Islands of Kauai, Oahu, Maui, Molokai, and Lanai, State of Hawaii (August, 1972)," prepared by the United States Department of Agriculture Soil Conservation Service, the soil within the project site is classified as Puuone sand (PZUE). Puuone sand is characterized as having rapid permeability near the surface, slow runoff, and a moderate to severe wind erosion hazard. According to Panel No. 150003 0384E of the Flood Insurance Rate Maps, with a revised date of September 25, 2009, the project site is situated in Flood Zone X (See Exhibit 4). Flood Zone X represents areas outside the 0.2% annual chance floodplain.

It is estimated that the existing 50-year storm runoff from the project site is 0.83 cfs with a runoff volume of approximately 546 cf. Presently, onsite runoff sheet flows across the project site in the west to east direction towards the downstream properties as well as both Imi Place and Pio Place. Approximately 0.1 cfs exits the project site in the direction of Imi Place. Approximately 0.2 cfs exits the project site in the direction of the downstream apartment buildings. Approximately 0.5 cfs exits the project site in the direction of Pio Place. Surface runoff that exits the project site, continues downstream and enters the existing drainage system in the surrounding area.

After the development of the proposed project, it is estimated that the 50-year storm runoff will be 3.27 cfs with a runoff volume of approximately 1,764 cf, producing a net increase of approximately 2.44 cfs of surface runoff and 1,218 cf of runoff volume. Surface runoff from the project site will be intercepted by grated catch basins located around the proposed building and parking areas and conveyed to three proposed onsite subsurface drainage systems. There will be one subsurface drainage system in each of the three parking areas, providing approximately 1,825 cf of storage volume, to store the additional surface runoff created from the project and prevent the surface runoff from continuing downstream. The subsurface drainage system consists of a perforated drainline embedded in crushed rock which will be wrapped with a layer of filter fabric. Surface runoff entering the perforated pipe will be allowed to exfiltrate into the ground. It will be sized to accommodate the increase in runoff volume from the project site for a 50 year-1 hour storm. There will be no
additional runoff from the project site onto the adjacent properties. The drainage design criteria shall be to minimize any alterations to the natural pattern of the existing onsite surface runoff.

V. **SEWER**

There is an existing 8-inch sewer line on Pio Place and Imi Place that services the property. A new service lateral and service manhole will be constructed along Pio Drive to meet current standards and requirements. The proposed project will generate approximately 17,850 gallons per day of wastewater (See Appendix B). Wastewater collected from this area is transported to the Kahului Wastewater Reclamation Facility.

According to the Wastewater Reclamation Division, County of Maui, the County is assessing sewer fees of $1,165.35/unit for multi-family projects in this area. The Kahului Wastewater Reclamation Facility has a capacity of 7.9 million gallons per day (mgd). As of March 2010, the average daily flow into the Kahului Wastewater Reclamation Facility is approximately 4.9 mgd. However, according to the Wastewater Reclamation Division, County of Maui, the total allocation, including projects already permitted, is 6.95 mgd.

VI. **WATER**

Domestic water and fire flow for this area are serviced from the 3.0 M.G. Mokuhau tank and wells in Happy Valley, which is at elevation of 358 feet. There is an existing 12-inch waterline on Lower Main Street which feeds an existing 6-inch waterline along Pio Place and Imi Place. There are existing fire hydrants fronting the property on Pio Place and Imi Place.

In accordance with the Department of Water Supply’s Domestic Consumption Guidelines for a multi-family development, the maximum daily demand for the project is approximately 23,520 gallons per day (See Appendix C). The project will utilize low-flow fixtures as part of the water conservation measure. A new 2" water meter will be requested as part of the building permit process to service the parcel. A new reduced pressure backflow preventer will be installed to meet DWS standards.

Fire flow demand for a multi-family development is 2,000 gallons per minute for a 2 hour duration and will be met by the existing fire hydrants along the street and the installation of an onsite fire sprinkler system.
VII. **ELECTRIC, TELEPHONE AND CABLE TV**

The existing electrical distribution system services the subject property from Pio Place. The installation of electrical, telephone and cable TV systems for the project will be coordinated with Maui Electric Company, Hawaiian Telcom, and Oceanic Time Warner Cable.
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NOTE: This area is shown as being protected from the 1-percent-annual-chance or greater flood hazard by a levee system that has been provisionally accredited. Overtopping or failure of any levee system is possible. For additional information see the "Provisionally Accredited Levee Note" in Notes to Users.
APPENDIX A
HYDROLOGIC CALCULATIONS
Hydrologic Calculations

Purpose: Determine the increase in surface runoff from the development of the proposed project based on a 50-year storm.

A. Determine the Runoff Coefficient (C):

EXISTING CONDITION:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infiltration (High)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relief (Rolling)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetal Cover (Good)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development Type (Open)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ C = 0.21 \]

DEVELOPED CONDITION:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infiltration (Negligible)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relief (Flat)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetal Cover (Good)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development Type (Apartment)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ C = 0.68 \]

Use \[ C = 0.70 \]

B. Determine the 50-year 1-hour rainfall:

\[ i_{10} = 2.5 \text{ inches} \]

Adjust for time of concentration to compute Rainfall Intensity (I):

Existing Condition:

\[ T_c = 11 \text{ minutes} \]

\[ I = 5.18 \text{ inches/hour} \]

Developed Condition:

\[ T_c = 6 \text{ minutes} \]

\[ I = 6.14 \text{ inches/hour} \]

C. Drainage Area (A) = 0.76 Acres
D. Compute the 50-year storm runoff volume (Q):

\[
Q = CIA
\]

Existing Conditions:

\[
Q = (0.21)(5.18)(0.76)
= 0.83 \text{ cfs}
\]

Developed Conditions:

\[
Q = (0.70)(6.14)(0.76)
= 3.27 \text{ cfs}
\]

The increase in runoff due to the proposed development is 3.27 - 0.83 = 2.44 cfs.
Hydrograph Plot

Hyd. No. 1

PRE

Hydrograph type = Rational
Storm frequency = 50 yrs
Drainage area = 0.8 ac
Intensity = 5.18 in
I-D-F Curve = 2-5.IDF

Peak discharge = 0.83 cfs
Time interval = 1 min
Runoff coeff. = 0.21
Time of conc. (Tc) = 11 min
Reced. limb factor = 1

Total Volume = 546 cuft

1 - Rational - 50 Yr - Qp = 0.83 cfs
Hydrograph Plot

Hyd. No. 7

POST

Hydrograph type = Rational
Storm frequency = 50 yrs
Drainage area = 0.8 ac
Intensity = 6.14 in
I-D-F Curve = 2-5.IDF

Peak discharge = 3.27 cfs
Time interval = 1 min
Runoff coeff. = 0.7
Time of conc. (Tc) = 6 min
Reced. limb factor = 2

Total Volume = 1,764 cuft

7 - Rational - 50 Yr - Qp = 3.27 cfs

Q cfs

Time (min)
**SUBSURFACE DRAINAGE SYSTEM VOLUME CALCULATIONS**

Imi Ikena  
Wailuku, Hawaii

**DRAIN #1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pipe/Trench Section Data:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cradle Over Pipe:</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipe Diameter:</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cradle Depth Below Pipe:</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cradle Thickness on Sides of Pipe:</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total Trench Depth: | 7.00     |
| Total Trench Width: | 8.00     |
| Gross Trench Cross Sectional Area: | 56.60 |
| Pipe Cross Sectional Area: | 12.57   |
| Trench Aggreg. Cross Sectional Area: | 43.43 |

| Length of Pipe: | 30.00 |

**Storage Volume Calculation:**

| Pipe Storage Capacity (cf): | 377 |
| Cradle Storage Capacity (cf): | 1,303 |

| Gross Aggregate Cradle Volume (43% void ratio) (cf): | 560 |
| Allowable Void Volume (50% of voids) (cf): | 280 |

**Total Storage Capacity (cf):** 657
SUBSURFACE DRAINAGE SYSTEM VOLUME CALCULATIONS

Imi Ikena
Wailuku, Hawaii

DRAIN #2

Pipe/Trench Section Data:
Cradle Over Pipe: 1.00
Pipe Diameter: 3.00
Cradle Depth Below Pipe: 2.00
Cradle Thickness on Sides of Pipe: 2.00

Total Trench Depth: 6.00
Total Trench Width: 7.00
Gross Trench Cross Sectional Area: 42.00
Pipe Cross Sectional Area: 7.07
Trench Aggreg. Cross Sectional Area: 34.93

Length of Pipe: 20.00

Storage Volume Calculation:
Pipe Storage Capacity (cf): 141
Cradle Storage Capacity (cf): 699
Gross Aggregate Cradle Volume (43% void ratio) (cf): 300
Allowable Void Volume (50% of voids) (cf): 150

Total Storage Capacity (cf): 292
SUBSURFACE DRAINAGE SYSTEM VOLUME CALCULATIONS

Imi Ikena
Wailuku, Hawaii

DRAIN #3

Pipe/Trench Section Data:
Cradle Over Pipe: 1.00
Pipe Diameter: 4.00
Cradle Depth Below Pipe: 2.00
Cradle Thickness on Sides of Pipe: 2.00

Total Trench Depth: 7.00
Total Trench Width: 8.00
Gross Trench Cross Sectional Area: 56.00
Pipe Cross Sectional Area: 12.57
Trench Aggreg. Cross Sectional Area: 43.43

Length of Pipe: 40.00

Storage Volume Calculation:
Pipe Storage Capacity (cf): 503
Cradle Storage Capacity (cf): 1,737
Gross Aggregate Cradle Volume (43% void ratio) (cf): 747
Allowable Void Volume (50% of voids) (cf): 374

Total Storage Capacity (cf): 875
APPENDIX B
WASTEWATER CALCULATIONS
WASTEWATER CALCULATIONS

Per the 2000 Wastewater Flow Standards:

Wastewater Contribution for the multi-family project = 255 gallons/day/unit
Occupancy = 2.5 persons/unit

Contribution = (28 units) x (255 gallons/day/unit) x (2.5 persons/unit)
= 17,850 gallons per day
APPENDIX C
WATER DEMAND CALCULATIONS
WATER DEMAND CALCULATIONS

Project Data:
   28 Multi-family units (0.76 acres)

Per 2002 Water System Standards:
   Consumption Guidelines:
      Multi-Family Residential = 560 gallons/unit or 5,000 gallons/acre

Average Daily Demand (ADD) =
   Multi-Family Residential = 560 x 28 units = 15,680 gallons
   or
   = 5,000 x 0.76 acres = 3,800 gallons
   ADD = 15,680 gpd

Max. Daily Demand (1.5 x ADD) = 1.5 x 15,680 = 23,520 gpd

Max. Fire Flow = 2,000 gpm (Multi-family)
Appendix G:
Architectural Drawings
*NOTE: CABINET MANUFACTURER SHALL VERIFY FIELD DIMENSIONS PRIOR TO FABRICATION & INSTALLATION.
Appendix H: Early Consultation Comment and Response
## IMI IKENA AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROJECT
### EARLY CONSULTATION COMMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENCY</th>
<th>EARLY CONSULTATION LETTER</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
<th>RESPONSES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>FEDERAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service</td>
<td>June 8, 2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STATE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Education</td>
<td>June 8, 2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Health (DOH)</td>
<td>June 8, 2009</td>
<td>June 23, 2009</td>
<td>Sept. 28, 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Health (DOH)</td>
<td></td>
<td>June 29, 2009</td>
<td>Sept. 29, 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOH, Maui District Health Office</td>
<td>June 8, 2009</td>
<td>June 22, 2009</td>
<td>Sept. 29, 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOH, Environmental Planning Office</td>
<td>June 8, 2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOH, Clean Air Division</td>
<td>June 8, 2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOH, Clean Water Branch</td>
<td>June 8, 2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dept of Land &amp; Natural Resources (DLNR)</td>
<td>June 8, 2009</td>
<td>June 17, 2009</td>
<td>Sept. 28, 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLNR, Historic Preservation Division</td>
<td>June 8, 2009</td>
<td>Aug 4, 2009</td>
<td>Sept. 29, 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLNR, Land Division</td>
<td>June 8, 2009</td>
<td>June 13, 2009</td>
<td>Sept. 28, 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Transportation</td>
<td>June 8, 2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dept of Economic Development &amp; Tourism (DBEDT)</td>
<td>June 8, 2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBEDT, Office of State Planning</td>
<td>June 8, 2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COUNTY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dept of Fire Control &amp; Public Safety</td>
<td>June 8, 2009</td>
<td>June 12, 2009</td>
<td>Sept. 29, 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Environmental Management</td>
<td>June 8, 2009</td>
<td>July 2, 2009</td>
<td>Sept. 29, 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dept of Parks &amp; Recreation</td>
<td>June 8, 2009</td>
<td>June 19, 2009</td>
<td>Sept. 28, 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dept of Planning</td>
<td>June 8, 2009</td>
<td>June 26, 2009</td>
<td>Sept. 29, 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dept of Public Works</td>
<td>June 8, 2009</td>
<td>June 18, 2009</td>
<td>Sept. 28, 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dept of Water Supply</td>
<td>June 8, 2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police Department</td>
<td>June 8, 2009</td>
<td>June 12, 2009</td>
<td>Sept. 29, 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LOCAL UTILITIES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MECO</td>
<td>June 8, 2009</td>
<td>June 16, 2009</td>
<td>Sept. 28, 2011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
June 8, 2009

Mr./Ms. XXXXX, Title
Department of XXXXXX
XXXXXX Drive
XXXXXX, HI 96XXX

Subject: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project
Wailuku, Maui
TMK: (2) 3-8-037:028

Dear Mr./Ms. XXXXX,

DBR Development LLC proposes to develop a 28-unit affordable rental housing apartment complex located at 511 Imi Place, Wailuku, Hawaii. The subject property is located in the Sand Hills area, north of Keopuolani Park off of Liholiho Street. See: Figure 1, Regional Location Map, Figure 2, Tax Map Key, and Figure 3, Site Plan. The project site is zoned A-2, Apartment District by the County of Maui, the State Land Use designation is Urban, and the Wailuku-Kahului Community Plan designation is Multi-Family.

The project will include the development of 28 rental units all targeted at households earning thirty (30) to sixty (60) percent of the area median income as established by HUD. Rents will be restricted in perpetuity. DBR Development LLC has contracted with a local, on-site property management firm to manage the day-to-day operations of the property to maintain the financial and physical integrity of the rental units and grounds. The project will be developed with the use of the County of Maui, Department of Housing and Human Concerns’ Affordable Housing Fund. Use of County funds requires the preparation of an Environmental Assessment, which will be prepared in accordance with Chapter
343, Hawaii Revised Statutes. In this regard, we are, on behalf of the applicant, requesting early review and comment on the proposed project in accordance with the requirements of Chapter 343, HRS, and of the Hawaii Administrative Rules, Title 11, Chapter 200.

Please provide all written comments to our office by July 8, 2009. Should you have any additional questions or require additional information, please call me at (808) 242 1955.

Respectfully,

Matthew M. Slepin
Senior Associate • Land Planner

ENCLOSURES

CC: Project File No. 09-025
June 11, 2009

Mr. Matthew M. Slepin
Chris Hart & Partners, Inc.
115 N. Market St.
Wailuku, HI 96793

Subject: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project
 TMK: 3-8-037: 028

Dear Mr. Slepin:

The report does not provide adequate information to make comments. Please provide a copy of the EA, design (if applicable), and drainage report.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Ranae Ganske-Cerizo
District Conservationist

Helping People Help the Land
An Equal Opportunity Provider and Employer
Mr. Neal S. Fujiwara, Dist. Conservationist  
U. S. Department of Agriculture  
Natural Resources Conservation Service  
210 Imi Kala Street, Suite 209  
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

Subject: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project; Wailuku, Maui; TMK: (2) 3-8-037:028

Dear Mr. Fujiwara,

Thank you for your June 11, 2009 letter providing early consultation comments on the proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project. In response to your comments we offer the following: Upon completion, a copy of the Draft Environmental Assessment, including but limited to project site design and drainage report, will be furnished to you for your review.

Thank you again for providing us with your comments. Please feel free to call me or Jennifer Maydan at (808) 242-1955 should you have any questions.

Respectfully,

Christopher L. Hart, ASLA  
President  
Landscape Architect/Planner

ENCLOSURES

CC: Mr. David Billings, Imi Ikena Housing Partners LLC  
Project File No. 09-025
June 23, 2009

Mr. Matthew M. Slepin
Chris Hart & Partners, Inc.
115 N. Market Street
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793-1717

Dear Mr. Slepin:

Subject: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project at 511 Imi Place Wailuku, Maui, Hawaii
TMK (2) 3-8-037: 028 28-unit affordable rental housing

Thank you for allowing us the opportunity to review the above subject project proposes the Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project. We have the following comments and information on the above subject property:

It has been determined that the property (under Sand Hill Properties, LLC) is located within the County sewer service system and should be connected. Therefore, we have no objections to the project as domestic wastewater treatment and disposal will be by the sewer service system. We further encourage the developer to work with the County to utilize recycled water for irrigation and other non-potable water purposes.

Should you have any questions, please contact the Planning & Design Section of the Wastewater Branch at (808)586-4294.

Sincerely,

TOMAS S. SEE, P.E., CHIEF
Wastewater Branch

c: DOH's Environmental Planning Office Mr. Jiacai Liu & Mr. Phillip Anderson (EPO 09-094)
DOH – WWB's Maui Staff – Mr. Roland Tejano
Ms. Sina Pruder, Acting Chief
State of Hawaii
Department of Health
Wastewater Branch
919 Ala Moana Blvd., Room 309
Honolulu, Hawaii 96814

Subject: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project; Wailuku, Maui; TMK: (2) 3-8-037:028

Dear Ms. Pruder,

Thank you for your June 23, 2009 letter providing early consultation comments on the proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project. We appreciate your response and understand that the Department of Health does not have any objections to the proposed project at this time. The Imi Ikena apartment complex will be connected to the County sewer system. While we understand the importance of conserving fresh water resources by utilizing recycled water for irrigation and other non-potable water purposes, the County of Maui does not provide recycled water in the Wailuku/Kahului area.

Thank you again for providing us with your comments. Please feel free to call me or Jennifer Maydan at (808) 242-1955 should you have any questions.

P.S.: I apologize for the delayed response resulting from the national economic downturn.

Respectfully,

Christopher L. Hart, ASLA
President
Landscape Architect/Planner

ENCLOSURES

CC: Mr. David Billings, Imi Ikena Housing Partners LLC
Project File No. 09-025
June 29, 2009

Mr. Matthew M. Slepin
Senior Associate, Land Planner
Chris Hart & Partners, Inc.
115 N. Market Street
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793-1717

Facility/Site: Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project

Subject: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project, Wailuku, Maui. TMK: (2) 3-8-037:028

Dear Mr. Slepin:

The Hawaii Department of Health Hazard Evaluation and Emergency Response (HEER) Office has reviewed your Early Consultation Request for Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project, Wailuku, Maui. TMK: (2) 3-8-037:028. At this time, there are no comments regarding the proposed project. As with any new development, a Phase I investigation should be conducted to determine previous uses of the property. The HEER Office looks forward to reviewing that document upon its completion. Should there be any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at 586-4249.

Sincerely,

Richard Palmer
Environmental Health Specialist
Hazard Evaluation and Emergency Response Office
State of Hawaii Department of Health
September 29, 2011

Mr. Richard Palmer, Environmental Health Specialist  
State of Hawaii  
Department of Health  
Hazard Evaluation and Emergency Response Office  
919 Ala Moana Blvd., Room 206  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96814

Subject: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project; Wailuku, Maui; TMK: (2) 3-8-037:028

Dear Mr. Palmer,

Thank you for your June 29, 2009 letter providing early consultation comments on the proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project.

We appreciate your response and understand that the Department of Health Hazard Evaluation and Emergency Response Office does not have any comments on the proposed project at this time. A Subsurface Investigation Report was completed in August 2006 for the property and will be included in the appendix of the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA). Upon completion a copy of the Draft EA will be furnished to you for your review.

Thank you again for providing us with your comments. Please feel free to call me or Jennifer Maydan at (808) 242-1955 should you have any questions.

Respectfully,

Christopher L. Hart, ASLA
President
Landscape Architect/Planner

CC: Mr. David Billings, Imi Ikena Housing Partners LLC
Project File No. 09-025

115 N. Market Street, Wailuku, Maui, Hawaii 96793-1717  •  Ph 808-242-1955  •  Fax 808-242-1956
www.chpmaui.com
June 22, 2009

Mr. Matthew M. Slepin  
Senior Associate Planner  
Chris Hart & Partners, Inc.  
115 N. Market Street  
Wailuku, Hawai‘i 96793

Dear Mr. Slepin:

Subject: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing  
Project, Wailuku, Maui  
TMK: (2) 3-8-037:028

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the early consultation. The following comments are offered:

The noise created during the construction phase of the project may exceed the maximum allowable levels as set forth in Hawaii Administrative Rules, Chapter 11-46 “Community Noise Control”. A noise permit may be required and should be obtained before the commencement of this project.

Should you have any questions, please call me at 808 984-8230 or e-mail me at patricia.kitkowski@doh.hawaii.gov.

Sincerely,

Patti Kitkowski  
Acting District Environmental Health Program Chief
September 29, 2011

Ms. Patti Kitkowski, Acting Dist. Env. Health Program Chief
State of Hawaii
Department of Health
Maui District Health Office
State Building, 54 High St., Rm. #301
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

Subject: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project;
Wailuku, Maui; TMK: (2) 3-8-037:028

Dear Ms. Kitkowski,

Thank you for your June 22, 2009 letter providing early consultation comments on the proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project.

With regard to your comments we note the following:

A noise permit will be obtained before the commencement of work if it is determined that the noise created during the construction phase of the project exceeds maximum allowable levels as set forth in (HAR), Chapter 11-46, “Community Noise Control”.

Thank you again for providing us with your comments. Please feel free to call me or Jennifer Maydan at (808) 242-1955 should you have any questions.

Respectfully,

Christopher L. Hart, ASLA
President
Landscape Architect/Planner

CC: Mr. David Billings, Imi Ikena Housing Partners LLC
Project File No. 09-025 ✓
Mr. Matthew M. Slepin,
Senior Associate, Land Planner
Chris Hart & Partners, Inc.
115 N. Market Street
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793-1717

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on the early consultation for the proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project. The Department of Land and Natural Resources (DLNR) has no comments to offer as the project location is on private property.

Should you have any questions, please contact the DLNR Oahu District Land Office at (808) 587-0433.

Sincerely,

LAURA H. THIELEN

[Enclosure for forwarding]
Mr. William J. Aila Jr.
State of Hawaii
Department of Land and Natural Resources
Kalanimoku Building, 1151 Punchbowl Street
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Subject: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project; Wailuku, Maui; TMK: (2) 3-8-037:028

Dear Mr. Aila,

Thank you for your June 17, 2009 letter providing early consultation comments on the proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project.

We appreciate your response and understand that the Department of Land and Natural Resources does not have any comments on the proposed project at this time.

Thank you again for providing us with your comments. Please feel free to call me or Jennifer Maydan at (808) 242-1955 should you have any questions.

P.S. I apologize for the delayed response resulting from the National Economic Down Turn.

Respectfully,

Christopher L. Hart, ASLA
President
Landscape Architect/Planner

ENCLOSURES

CC: Mr. David Billings, Imi Ikena Housing Partners LLC
Project File No. 09-025
August 4, 2009

Mr. Matthew M. Slepin, Senior Associate  
Chris Hart & Partners, Inc.  
115 N. Market Street  
Wailuku, Hawa‘i  96793  
mslepin@chpmaui.com

SUBJECT:  Chapter 6E-8 Historic Preservation Review – Early Consultation for the Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project  
Wailuku Ahupua‘a, Wailuku District, Island of Maui  
TMK: (2) 3-8-037:028

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the aforementioned project, correspondence for which we received on June 10 of 2009. Please accept our apologies for the delay in responding.

Based on the submitted information, the project involves the construction of a 28 unit affordable rental housing project on the .756 acre parcel of land situated at 511 Imi Place in Wailuku.

A search of our records indicates that an archaeological inventory survey of the proposed area of effect has not yet occurred. Therefore, upon review of any permit involving ground altering disturbance within the subject parcel, we will recommend that the following condition be attached:

An archaeological inventory survey shall be conducted by a qualified archaeological consultant with a report of the findings, significance assessments and recommended mitigation submitted to this office for review and acceptance prior to issuance of the permit.

A list of those meeting the requirements to perform such work can be obtained on the SHPD’s website at http://hawaii.gov/dlnr/hpd/pdfs/2009-Permittee.pdf or by contacting our main office at (808) 692-8015.

If you have any questions or comments regarding this letter, please contact the SHPD’s Lead Maui Archaeologist, Ms. Patty Conte (Patty.J.Conte@hawaii.gov).

Aloha,

Nancy McMahon, Deputy SHPO/State Archaeologist  
State Historic Preservation Division
September 29, 2011

Ms. Pua Aiu, PhD
SHPD Administrator
Department of Land and Natural Resources
State Historic Preservation Division
601 Kamakila Blvd, Room 555
Kapolei, HI 96707

Subject: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project;
Wailuku, Maui; TMK: (2) 3-8-037:028

Dear Ms. Aiu,

Thank you for your August 4, 2009 letter providing early consultation comments on the proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project.

With regard to your comments we note the following: An Archaeological Assessment survey of the project site was conducted by Scientific Consultant Services, Inc. and a report, dated April 2005, detailing the findings was submitted to your office for approval. By letter dated June 22, 2005, your office deemed the report acceptable. The approval letter is enclosed for your reference.

Thank you again for providing us with your comments. Please feel free to call me or Jennifer Maydan at (808) 242-1955 should you have any questions.

P.S.: I apologize for the delayed response resulting from the national economic downturn.

Aloha,

ENCLOSURE

CC: Mr. David Billings, Imi Ikena Housing Partners LLC
Project File No. 09-025

Respectfully,

Christopher L. Hart, ASLA
President
Landscape Architect/Planner

115 N. Market Street, Wailuku, Maui, Hawaii 96793-1717 • Ph 808-242-1955 • Fax 808-242-1956
www.chpmauai.com
June 22, 2005

Michael Dega, Ph.D.
Scientific Consultant Services
711 Kapiolani Blvd, Suite 975
Honolulu, HI 98813

Dear Dr. Dega:

SUBJECT: Historic Preservation Review 6E-42 - Archaeological Assessment Survey 0.76 Acres in Wailuku for Mr. Michael Bollenbacher Wailuku District, Wailuku District, Island of Maui TMK (2) 3-8-37: 28

Thank you for the opportunity to review this report which our staff received on May 2, 2005 (Tome and Dega 2005, An Archaeological Assessment of 0.76 in Wailuku, Wailuku Ahupua'a, Wailuku District, Island of Maui, Hawaii, [TMK3-8-37:28])...Scientific Consultant Services, Inc., ms. As indicated above, the parcel consists of 0.76 acres located within the Pu'uone Dune system, nearly at the apex.

The background section acceptably establishes the ahupua'a settlement pattern and predicts the likely site pattern in the project area. The historical information provided summarizes the history of the post-contact period land uses. The summary of previous archaeological work in the area provides a baseline for the current work. It was anticipated that burials and/or cultural deposits might be encountered in the dune system.

The survey has adequately covered the project area documenting no historic properties. Subsurface testing (fourteen backhoe trenches) were also negative for evidence of cultural deposits. A total of 339.44 m³ evidenced minor amounts of imported silty clay fill.

We concur with the mitigation recommendations that archaeological monitoring is warranted in the subject parcel during all ground altering disturbance. The parcel’s location in the sand dune suggests a high probability that subsurface deposits may yet be identified within. Please specify in
We find this report to be acceptable. We will await the submittal of an archaeological monitoring plan following any request for determination from the county to SHPD. As always, if you disagree with our comments or have questions, please contact Dr. Melissa Kirkendall (Maui/Lana'i SHPD 243-5169) as soon as possible to resolve these concerns.

Aloha,

MELANIE A. CHINEN, Administrator
State Historic Preservation Division

MK: kf

c:  Bert Ratte, DPWEM, County of Maui
     Michael Foley, Director, Dept of Planning, 250 S. High Street, Wailuku, HI 96793
     Maui Cultural Resources Commission, Dept. of Plng, 250 S. High St, Wailuku, HI 96793
June 13, 2009

Chris Hart & Partners, Inc.
115 N. Market Street
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793-1717

Attention:  Mr. Matthew M. Slepin

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Subject:  Early Consultation Request for Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project

Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on the subject matter. The Department of Land and Natural Resources' (DLNR) has no other comments to offer on the subject matter. Should you have any questions, please feel free to call our office at 587-0433. Thank you.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Morris M. Atta
Administrator
Mr. Russell Tsuji, Administrator  
State of Hawaii  
Department of Land and Natural Resources  
Land Division  
Post Office Box 621  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96809

Subject: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project; Wailuku, Maui; TMK: (2) 3-8-037:028

Dear Mr. Tsuji,

Thank you for your June 13, 2009 letter providing early consultation comments on the proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project. We appreciate your response and understand that the Department of Land and Natural Resources, Land Division does not have any comments on the proposed project at this time.

Thank you again for providing us with your comments. Please feel free to call me or Jennifer Maydan at (808) 242-1955 should you have any questions.

P.S. Apologies for the delayed response resulting from the National Economic Downturn.

Respectfully,

Christopher L. Hart, ASLA  
President  
Landscape Architect/Planner

ENCLOSURES

CC: Mr. David Billings, Imi Ikena Housing Partners LLC  
Project File No. 09-025
June 15, 2009

Mr. Chris Hart  
Chris Hart & Partners, Inc.  
115 N. Market Street  
Wailuku, Maui, Hawaii 96792-1717

Dear Mr. Hart:

Subject: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project  
Wailuku, Maui  
TMK: (2) 3-8-037:028

Thank you for the opportunity to review the subject proposal. The Department of Hawaiian Home Lands has no comment to offer at this time. If you have any questions, please contact our Planning Office at (808) 620-9480.

Aloha and mahalo,

[Signature]

Micah A. Kane, Chairman  
Hawaiian Homes Commission
September 28, 2011

Mr. Albert “Alapaki” Nahaleā, Chairman
State of Hawaii
Department of Hawaiian Homelands
PO Box 1879
Honolulu, Hawaii 96804

Subject: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project; Wailuku, Maui; TMK: (2) 3-8-037:028

Dear Mr. Nahaleā,

Thank you for your June 15, 2009 letter providing early consultation comments on the proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project.

We appreciate your response and understand that Department of Hawaiian Homelands does not have any comments on the proposed project at this time.

Thank you again for providing us with your comments. Please feel free to call me or Jennifer Maydan at (808) 242-1955 should you have any questions.

P.S. I apologize for the delayed response resulting from the national economic downturn.

Respectfully,

Christopher L. Hart, ASLA
President
Landscape Architect/Planner

ENCLOSURES

CC: Mr. David Billings, Imi Ikena Housing Partners LLC
Project File No. 09-025
June 23, 2009

Matthew Slepin
Chris Hart & Partners
115 N. Market Street
Wailuku, Maui, 96793-1706

RE: Early consultation request for comments on the proposed Imi Ikena affordable housing project, Wailuku, Maui, TMK: 3-8-037:028.

Aloha e Matthew Slepin,

The Office of Hawaiian Affairs (OHA) is in receipt of the above-mentioned letter dated June 8, 2009. OHA has reviewed the project and offers the following comments.

OHA understands this proposal to be for the development of 28 affordable rental housing units on land designated as Urban and Multi-Family by the state and county, respectively. We note that affordable has been defined as households earning 30 to 60% of the area median income and that these units will remain for rent at affordable rates in perpetuity. As such, we are pleased to support this project as it has been presented to us thus far and furthermore offer the following as suggestions to better shape this proposal:

On January 28, 2008 Assistant Secretary of the Department of Energy Alexander Karsner and Hawaii Governor Linda Lingle signed a groundbreaking Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the state government and the U.S. Department of Energy’s Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy. The agreement created the Hawaii Clean Energy Initiative, which seeks to transform Hawaii’s energy portfolio into a predominately renewable energy mix, moving away from reliance on fossil fuels. The state reflected these principles in Hawaii Revised Statutes Chapter 196, which sets energy efficiency and environmental standards for state facilities that the applicant may wish to emulate in this proposal.

Particularly the use of the Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) Green Building Rating System, which is the nationally accepted benchmark for the design,
construction, and operation of high performance green buildings may be appropriate. Similarly, the use of photovoltaic and small wind harvesting electrical generation for peripheral uses such as parking lot lighting could also be considered. Solar energy should also be incorporated into the building plans. During construction, OHA urges the use of recyclable materials: steel studs and structural members, and wood products from certified sustainable sources.

Additionally, landscaping not only adds beauty and value to your property, but also helps control erosion by reducing the amount and speed of runoff. Ground covers are one of the best erosion controls and include any plant material that covers the ground surface so the soil cannot be seen from above and rain does not strike directly upon it. As such, OHA would like to suggest that the project area be landscaped with drought tolerant native or indigenous species that are common to the area. Any invasive species should also be removed. Doing so would not only serve as practical water-saving landscaping practices, but also serve to further the traditional Hawaiian concept of mālama ʻāina and create a more Hawaiian sense of place. This would also help to reduce the amount of impervious surfaces in the project area, thereby reducing runoff as well. Tree and landscape planting to shade paved parking areas and provide shade and cooling to building elements and outdoor use areas should also be considered.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. If you have further questions, please contact Grant Arnold by phone at (808) 594-0263 or e-mail him at granta@oha.org.

ʻO wau iho nō me ka ʻoiaʻiʻo,

Clyde W. Nāmuʻo
Administrator

C: OHA Maui CRC
Mr. Clyde Namu'o, Administrator  
State of Hawaii  
Office of Hawaiian Affairs  
711 Kapiolani Boulevard, Suite 500  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Subject: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project;  
Wailuku, Maui; TMK: (2) 3-8-037:028

Dear Mr. Namu'o,

Thank you for your June 23, 2009 letter providing early consultation comments on the proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project.

In response to your comments we offer the following:

All 28 rental units will be targeted at households earning thirty (30) to sixty (60) percent of the area median income as established by HUD and the rents will be restricted in perpetuity. Thank you for your support of this affordable housing project.

Thank you for the information regarding the Hawaii Clean Energy Initiative and the Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) Green Building Rating System. The developer will not be obtaining LEED certification for the buildings; however the following “green” design features will be incorporated into the project: solar water heating, solar electric for common areas, metal stud framing, and renewable and recycled materials will be used where feasible.

The project site will be landscaped to control erosion and add beauty and a Hawaiian sense of place to the property. Drought tolerant native species will be planted to minimize irrigation requirements. Shade trees will be planted in and around parking lots and other paved areas to provide shade and cooling.
Mr. Clyde Namu'o, Administrator
Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project
Wailuku, Maui, HI
TMK: (2) 3-8-037:028
September 29, 2011
Page 2 of 2

Thank you again for providing us with your comments. Please feel free to call me or Jennifer Maydan at (808) 242-1955 should you have any questions.

P.O. I apologize for the delayed response resulting from the national economic downturn.

Christopher L. Hart, ASLA
President
Landscape Architect/Planner

CC: Mr. David Billings, Imi Ikena Housing Partners LLC
Project File No. 09-025
Mr. Matthew M. Slepin, Land Planner
Chris Hart & Partners, Inc.
115 N. Market Street
Wailuku, Maui, HI 96793

Subject: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project, Wailuku, HI, TMK: (2) 3-8-037: 028

Dear Mr. Slepin,

The Department of Fire and Public Safety has reviewed the information that was provided in regards to the proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project. We would like to thank you for the opportunity to review the project at this early stage. The proposed 28-unit, 4 story apartment complex will require the installation of a fire alarm system and a fire sprinkler system. Additional concerns and recommendations will be addressed during the building permit process.

Please feel free to contact Lt. Scott English of our Fire Prevention Bureau at 244-9161 if there are any questions or concerns regarding this subject.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Valerie Brandon
Firefighter I
Fire Prevention Bureau

For: Valeriano F. Martin
Captain
Fire Prevention Bureau

June 12, 2009
Mr. Jeffrey A. Murray, Captain  
County of Maui  
Department of Fire Control & Public Safety  
200 Dairy Road  
Kahului, Hawaii 96732

Subject: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project; Wailuku, Maui; TMK: (2) 3-8-037:028

Dear Mr. Murray,

Thank you for your June 12, 2009 letter providing early consultation comments on the proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project.

In response to your comments we offer the following: All building fire safety requirements, including installation of a fire alarm system and a fire sprinkler system, will addressed during the building permit process of the project.

Thank you again for providing us with your comments. Please feel free to call me or Jennifer Maydan at (808) 242-1955 should you have any questions.

PS: I apologize for the delayed response resulting from the national economic downturn.

Respectfully,

Christopher L. Hart, ASLA  
President  
Landscape Architect/Planner

CC: Mr. David Billings, Imi Ikena Housing Partners LLC  
Project File No. 09-025
July 2, 2009

Mr. Mathew M. Slepin  
Chris Hart & Partners, Inc.  
115 N. Market Street  
Wailuku, Hawaii  96793-1717

SUBJECT: IMI IKENA AFFORDABLE HOUSING  
EARLY CONSULTATION  
TMK (2) 3-8-037:028

We reviewed the subject application and have the following comments:

1. Solid Waste Division comments:
   a. Include a plan for construction waste disposal/recycling/reuse.

2. Wastewater Reclamation Division (WWRD) comments:
   a. Although wastewater system capacity is currently available as of 7/2/2009, the developer should be informed that wastewater system capacity cannot be ensured until the issuance of the building permit.
   b. Provide discussion and calculations (sewer impact study) to substantiate that the existing wastewater system is adequate to serve this project.
   c. Wastewater contribution calculations are required before building permit is issued.
   d. Developer shall pay assessment fees for treatment plant expansion costs in accordance with ordinance setting forth such fees. Assessment Fees may be waived upon receipt of documentation from the Department of Housing and Human Concerns that the project meets affordable guidelines. The project is within the Wailuku sewer service area.
e. Developer is required to fund any necessary off-site improvements to collection system and wastewater pump stations.
f. Sewage calculations required to substantiate the slopes of the proposed sewer lines.
g. Show or list minimum slope of new sewer laterals.
h. Plans shall show a property sewer service manhole near the property line. If a property sewer service manhole does not exist, one shall be installed.
i. Consultant to recheck clearances between existing sewer lines and new utility lines (18" or less requires a concrete jacket).
j. Consultant to check calculations relative to pipe slopes, size, velocities and type of pipe, including friction factor.
k. Indicate on the plans the ownership of each easement (in favor of which party). Note: County will not accept sewer easements that traverse private property.
l. Any common area kitchen facilities within the proposed project shall comply with pre-treatment requirements (including grease interceptors, sample boxes, screens etc.)
m. Non-contact cooling water and condensate should not drain to the wastewater system.

If you have any questions regarding this memorandum, please contact Gregg Kresge at 270-8230.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Cheryl K. Okuma, Director
September 29, 2011

Mr. Kyle Ginoza, Director
County of Maui
Department of Environmental Management
One Main Plaza, 2200 Main St., Suite 175
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

Subject: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project; Wailuku, Maui; TMK: (2) 3-8-037:028

Dear Mr. Ginoza,

Thank you for your July 2, 2009 letter providing early consultation comments on the proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project.

In response to comments from the Solid Waste Division we offer the following: The Draft Environmental Assessment (EA) will include a plan for construction waste disposal/recycling/reuse.

In response to comments from the Wastewater Reclamation Division we offer the following:

a. We understand that final assurance of wastewater system capacity can not be given until issuance of the building permit.
b. Wastewater calculations for the proposed project will be provided in the Draft EA.
c. We understand that wastewater contribution calculations are required before the building permit can be issued.
d. Documentation from the Department of Housing and Human Concerns will be provided with the building permit which states that the project meets affordable guidelines and wastewater assessment fees are therefore waived.
e. DBR Development, LLC will fund any necessary off-site improvements to the
collection system and/or wastewater pump stations if deemed necessary due to project impacts.

f. Sewage calculations will be provided during the building permit submittal.

g. Slopes on the sewer lateral will be shown on the building permit plans.

h. A new service lateral and service manhole will be constructed along Pio Drive to meet current standards and requirements.

i. Clearances will be checked on the building permit plans.

j. Calculations relative to pipe slopes, size, velocities and type of pipe, including friction factor, will be completed at the building permit stage.

k. If required, the ownership of any easements will be designate on the building permit plans.

l. No common area kitchen facilities are planned within the proposed project.

m. Non-contact cooling water and condensate will not drain to the wastewater system.

Thank you again for providing us with your comments. Please feel free to call me or Jennifer Maydan at (808) 242-1955 should you have any questions.

Christopher L. Hart, ASLA
President
Landscape Architect/Planner

CC: Mr. David Billings, Imi Ikena Housing Partners LLC
    Project File No. 09-025 ✅
June 19, 2009

Chris Hart & Partners, Inc.
Attention: Matthew M. Slepin
115 N. Market Street
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

Dear Mr. Slepin:

Subject: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project, Wailuku, Maui, TMK: 3-8-037:028

We have reviewed the Pre Assessment Consultation for Draft Environmental Assessment - Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project, and we have no comments or objections to the subject project.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Please contact me or Patrick Matsui, Chief of Planning and Development, at 270-7931 if there are any questions.

Sincerely,

TAMARA HORCAJO
Director of Parks & Recreation

c: Patrick Matsui, Chief of Planning & Development
TH:PM:spg
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RECEIVED
JUL 08 2009

CHRIS HART & PARTNERS
September 28, 2011

Mr. Glenn Correa, Director
Department of Parks & Recreation
County of Maui
700 Hali‘a Nokoa Street, Unit 2
Wailuku, HI 96793

Subject: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project; Wailuku, Maui; TMK: (2) 3-8-037:028

Dear Glenn,

Thank you for your June 19, 2009 letter providing early consultation comments on the proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project.

We appreciate your response and understand that the Department of Parks and Recreation does not have any comments on the proposed project at this time.

Thank you again for providing us with your comments. Please feel free to call me or Jennifer Maydan at (808) 242-1955 should you have any questions.

P.S.: I apologize for the delayed response resulting from the National Economic Downturn.

Respectfully,

Christopher L. Hart, ASLA
President
Landscape Architect/Planner

ENCLOSURES

CC: Mr. David Billings, Imi Ikena Housing Partners LLC
Project File No. 09-025
COUNTY OF MAUI
DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING

June 26, 2009

Mr. Matt Slepin
Chris Hart & Partners, Inc.
115 North Market Street
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

Dear Mr. Slepin:

SUBJECT: PRE-CONSULTATION COMMENTS IN PREPARATION OF A DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT (EA) FOR THE PROPOSED IMI IKENA AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROJECT, LOCATED AT WAILUKU, ISLAND OF MAUI, HAWAII; TMK: (2) 3-8-037:028 (EAC 2009/0025)

The Department of Planning (Department) is in receipt of the above-referenced document for the proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project. The Department understands the proposed action includes the following:

- The development of a 28-unit apartment complex;
- All units will be rented to households earning 30 percent to 60 percent of the area median income as established by the Department of Housing and Urban Development;
- Rent for the units will be restricted in perpetuity; and
- A property management firm will manage the apartment complex.

Based on the foregoing, the Department provides the following comments in preparation of the Draft EA:

1. The land use designations for the project area are as follows:
   a. State Land Use – Urban
   b. Wailuku-Kahului Community Plan – Multi-Family
   c. County Zoning – A-2 Apartment
   d. Other – Located outside of the Special Management Area

2. The proposed use is an allowable use in the A-2 Apartment District; and
3. The proposed project is required to meet the following requirements of the Apartment Zoning District:

- Minimum lot area of 10,000 square feet;
- Minimum lot width of 70 feet;
- Total ground area of all buildings shall not exceed 35 percent of lot area;
- Gross floor area ratio of all buildings shall not exceed 90 percent of the lot area; and
- Meet front yard setback of 20 feet, side yard setback of 15 feet, and rear yard setback of 20 feet.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Please include the Department on the distribution list of the Draft EA. Should you require further clarification, please contact Staff Planner Robyn Loudermilk by email at robyn.loudermilk@mauicounty.gov or at 270-7180.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

CLAYTON I. YOSHIDA, AICP
Planning Program Administrator

for

JEFFREY S. HUNT, AICP
Planning Director

xc: Robyn L. Loudermilk, Staff Planner
ZAED
Project File
General File

JSH:CIY:RLL:sg
K:\WP_DOCS\PLANNING\EAC\2009\0025_LmilkensAffordableHousing\Comments.doc
Mr. William Spence, Director  
County of Maui  
Department of Planning  
250 South High Street  
Wailuku, Hawaii  96793  

Subject: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project; Wailuku, Maui; TMK: (2) 3-8-037:028  

Dear Mr. Spence,  

Thank you for your June 26, 2009 letter providing early consultation comments on the proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project.  

In response to your comments we offer the following: Thank you for providing the land use designations for the project area and confirming that the proposed use is an allowable use in the A-2 Apartment District. The proposed project will meet all requirements of the Apartment Zoning District.  

Thank you again for providing us with your comments. Please feel free to call me or Jennifer Maydan at (808) 242-1955 should you have any questions.  

P.S.: Apology for the delay in response resulting from the national economic downturn.  
Aloha,  

Respectfully,  

Christopher L. Hart, ASLA  
President  
Landscape Architect/Planner  

CC: Mr. David Billings, Imi Ikena Housing Partners LLC  
Project File No. 09-025 /
June 18, 2009

Mr. Matthew M. Slepin
CHRIS HART & PARTNERS, INC.
115 North Market Street
Wailuku, Maui, Hawaii 96793

Dear Mr. Slepin:

SUBJECT: EARLY CONSULTATION REQUEST FOR PROPOSED IMI
IKENA AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROJECT
TMK (2) 3-8-037:028

We reviewed your early consultation request and have no comments at this time.

Please call Michael Miyamoto at 270-7845 if you have any questions regarding
this letter.

Sincerely,

MILTON M. ARAKAWA, A.I.C.P.
Director of Public Works

MMA:MMM:is
xc: Highways Division
     Engineering Division
S:\LUCANZMI\Prop_Imi_Ikena_Affordable_Housing_ec_38037028_is.wpd

CC: Matt Inn
RECEIVED
JUN 22 2009

CHRIS HART & PARTNERS, INC.
Landscape Architecture and Planning
0911025
September 28, 2011

Mr. David Goode, Director
County of Maui
Department of Public Works
200 South High Street
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

Subject: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project; Wailuku, Maui; TMK: (2) 3-8-037:028

Dear David Goode,

Thank you for your June 18, 2009 letter providing early consultation comments on the proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project.

We appreciate your response and understand that Department of Public Works does not have any comments on the proposed project at this time.

Thank you again for providing us with your comments. Please feel free to call me or Jennifer Maydan at (808) 242-1955 should you have any questions.

P.S.: Apologize for the delayed response resulting from the national economic down turn.

ENCLOSURES

CC: Mr. David Billings, Imi Ikena Housing Partners LLC
Project File No. 09-025

Respectfully,

Christopher L. Hart, ASLA
President
Landscape Architect/Planner
September 30, 2011

Mr. Paul Meyer, Deputy Director
County of Maui
Department of Water Supply
200 S. High St., 5th Floor
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

Subject: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project;
Wailuku, Maui; TMK: (2) 3-8-037:028

Dear Mr. Meyer,

As a follow-up to our meeting with yourself and your engineer Miles Fujinaka on September 30, 2011, we would like to thank you for taking the time to meet with Jennifer Maydan and myself to discuss the water needs for the proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project.

As discussed during our meeting, there is an existing 12-inch waterline on Lower Main Street which feeds an existing 6-inch waterline along Pio Place and Imi Place. There are also existing fire hydrants fronting the property on Pio Place and Imi Place. At the meeting you indicated that developed water sources within Central Maui are limited and that the Department of Water Supply is not issuing reservations of capacity at this time; thus water meters are issued on a “first-come first-served” basis. You also confirmed that since the project is a 100% affordable housing project it is not subject to the requirements of the County’s “Show Me the Water” bill (MCC 14.12.040). We would also like to note that the project engineer, Stacy Otomo, will be contacting Lt. Scott English at the Fire Department to discuss the issue of adequate fire flow for the project.

Thank you again for meeting with us to discuss the water needs of the project. Please provide us a response as soon as possible to confirm the issues discussed at the meeting for inclusion in our Draft Environmental Assessment (EA). Please feel free to call Jennifer Maydan at (808) 242-1955 should you have any questions.
Mr. Paul Meyer, Deputy Director
Department of Water Supply
Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project
September 30, 2011
Page 2 of 2

Respectfully,

Christopher L. Hart, ASLA
President
Landscape Architect/Planner

CC: Mr. David Billings, Imi Ikena Housing Partners LLC
Mr. Stacy Otomo, Otomo Engineering, Inc.
Project File No. 09-025
Mr. Matthew M. Slepin  
Senior Associate  
Chris Hart & Partners, Inc.  
115 N. Market Street  
Wailuku, HI 96793

Dear Mr. Slepin:

SUBJECT: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project  
TMK (2) 3-8-037: 028

This is in response to your letter dated June 8, 2009, requesting comments on the above subject.

We have reviewed the information submitted for the above mentioned project and have enclosed our comments and recommendations at this time. Thank you for allowing us to review this project.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

Assistant Chief Wayne T. Ribao  
for: Gary A. Yabuta  
Chief of Police

cc: Jeffrey Hunt, Maui County Dept. of Planning
TO : GARY YABUTA, CHIEF OF POLICE, COUNTY OF MAUI
VIA : CHANNELS
FROM : STEPHEN ORIKASA, ADMINISTRATIVE SERGEANT,
       WAILUKU PATROL DIVISION
SUBJECT : RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR EARLY CONSULTATION COMMENTS
          REGARDING THE PROPOSED IMI IKENA AFFORDABLE HOUSING
          PROJECT

This communication is submitted as a response to a request for early consultation
comments by Chris Hart & Partners, Inc., Senior Associate, Land Planner, Matthew M.
Slepin, regarding the following:

SUBJECT : Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project
ADDRESS : 511 Imi Place, Wailuku, Hawaii 96793
TMK : (2) 3-8-037:028

RESPONSE:

In review of the submitted documents the focus, from the police perspective, would be
upon the safety impacts upon pedestrian and vehicular movement during the
construction phases and commencement of use of this project.

Depending on the time of day Imi Place along with adjacent and surrounding streets can
experience moderate pedestrian and vehicular flow. The implementation of two ingress
and egress locations along Pio Place would be restricting upon on street parking, but the
artist's rendition of the project does not indicate any improvements for on street parking
or pedestrian walkways.

There are numerous apartment and condominium complexes as well as private
residences in close proximity to this project. A structure currently exist on this project
property and during the demolition and construction phases, extreme efforts should be
made to minimize noise, dust & debris so not to inhibit those whose health and well
being may be affected. Adequate traffic control devices and personnel should also be
utilized to minimize the impacts to pedestrian and vehicular movement by the heavy
equipment and vehicles traveling in and out of the area.

The proposed project has on-site areas, but no designation for visitor parking. If visitors
are required to park off-site (on street), roadway improvements, in compliance with codes
and requirements, should also be completed to meet the need.
At this time it is difficult to foresee the impacts these additional vehicles and pedestrians would have upon the current conditions. There should be considerations given to the current conditions of the two-lane, two-way flow roadways in the surrounding area with limited to no space for expansion.

Respectfully submitted for your review and approval.

Stephen T. Orikasa  E#716
Administrative Sergeant/Wailuku Patrol Division
06/12/09 @ 1135 Hours
September 29, 2011

Mr. Gary A. Yabuta, Chief
County of Maui
Police Department
55 Mahalani Street Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

Subject: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project; Wailuku, Maui; TMK: (2) 3-8-037:028

Dear Mr. Yabuta,

Thank you for your June 12, 2009 letter providing early consultation comments on the proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project.

In response to your comments we offer the following:

Pio Place fronting the project site will be improved to County standards as required by the Department of Public Works. Frontage improvements will include concrete curb, gutter and sidewalk along the property fronting Pio Place.

The project site is currently vacant and undeveloped. All construction activities will comply with the provisions of HAR, Chapter 11-60.1, “Air Pollution Control,” Section 11-60.1-33, Fugitive Dust. Fugitive dust emissions will be controlled to a large extent by watering of active work areas, using wind screens, keeping adjacent paved roads clean, and by covering of open-bodied trucks. Other dust control measures that may be implemented include limiting the area disturbed at any given time and/or mulching or stabilizing inactive areas that have been worked. Paving and landscaping early in the construction schedule will also reduce dust emissions.

Proper mitigative measures will be employed to minimize construction-related noise impacts and comply with all Federal and State noise control regulations. Increased noise activity due to construction will be limited to daytime hours and persist only during the construction period. Noise from construction activities will be short-term and will comply with DOH noise regulations (HAR, Chapter 11-46, Community Noise Control).
Mr. Gary A. Yabuta, Chief
Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project
Wailuku, Maui, HI
TMK: (2) 3-8-037:028
September 29, 2011
Page 2 of 2

Each unit within the apartment complex will be provided two (2) off-street parking spaces for a total of 56 parking spaces as required by Maui County Code, Chapter 19.36, Off-Street Parking and Loading.

Thank you again for providing us with your comments. Please feel free to call me or Jennifer Maydan at (808) 242-1955 should you have any questions.

P.S.: I apologize for the delayed response resulting from the national economic downturn.

Christopher L. Hart, ASLA
President
Landscape Architect/Planner

CC: Mr. David Billings, Imi Ikena Housing Partners LLC
Project File No. 09-025
June 16, 2009

Mr. Matthew M. Slepin, Senior Associate Planner
Chris Hart & Partners Inc.
115 N. Market St.
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

Subject: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project
Wailuku, Maui, Hawaii
TMK: (2) 3-8-037:028

Dear Mr. Slepin,

Thank you for allowing us to comment on the Early Consultation for the subject project.

In reviewing our records and the information received, Maui Electric Company (MECO) has no objection or comments to the subject project at this time.

Should you have any questions or concerns, please call me at 871-2340.

Sincerely,

Ray Okazaki
Staff Engineer
September 28, 2011

Mr. Kyle Tamori, Staff Engineer
Maui Electric Company, Ltd.
P.O. Box 398 Kahului, Hawaii 96733

Subject: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project; Wailuku, Maui; TMK: (2) 3-8-037:028

Dear Mr. Tamori,

Thank you for your June 13, 2009 letter providing early consultation comments on the proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project.

We appreciate your response and understand that Maui Electric Company does not have any comments on the proposed project at this time.

Thank you again for providing us with your comments. Please feel free to call me or Jennifer Maydan at (808) 242-1955 should you have any questions.

P.S. I apologize for the delayed response resulting from the National Economic Down Turn.

Respectfully,

Christopher L. Hart, ASLA
President
Landscape Architect/Planner

ENCLOSURES

CC: Mr. David Billings, Imi Ikena Housing Partners LLC
Project File No. 09-025 /
Matthew M. Slepin  
Senior Associate, Land Planner  
Chris Hart & Partners, Inc.  
115 N. Market Street  
Wailuku, HI 96793-1717

Re: Early Consultation RFP, Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project

Dear Mr. Slepin:

Per our conversation today, I am presenting in writing my questions/concerns regarding the impact of the proposed project as it affects my Puuone Hale Alii condominium.

My first concern is whether the new structure will effectively block the ocean view which Hale Alii now enjoys, thus de-evaluating the property. Will the new structure be erected in such a manner that this can be prevented?

My second concern is that of parking. Will the renters in the proposed project be provided with more than one parking space? I find the reality of the parking problem in this area is due to the fact that the condos provide only one space to the occupant whereas the reality is that many have more than one car, creating a scarcity of street parking.

Thank you for advising me on these issues.

Ronald Baxter
September 27, 2011

Ronald Baxter
8 Admiral Drive, A129
Emeryville, CA 94608

Subject: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project; Wailuku, Maui; TMK: (2) 3-8-037:028

Dear Mr. Baxter,

Thank you for your June 17, 2009 letter providing early consultation comments on the proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project.

In response to your comments we offer the following:

The project site is within the County’s A-2 Apartment Zoning District which allows for four-story buildings according to Maui County Code, Chapter 19.12.040, Height Restrictions. While the proposed apartment complex is down-slope from the Puuone Hale Alii Condominium, the construction of the new complex will obstruct private ocean views from some units within Puuone Hale Alii Condominium.

Each unit within the apartment complex will be provided two (2) off-street parking spaces for a total of 56 parking spaces as required by Maui County Code, Chapter 19.36, Off-Street Parking and Loading.

Thank you again for providing us with your comments. Please feel free to call me at (808) 242-1955 should you have any questions.
P.S. I apologize for the delayed response resulting from the national economic downturn.

Aloha,

Christopher L. Hart, ASLA
President
Landscape Architect/Planner

ENCLOSURES

CC: Mr. David Billings, Imi Ikena Housing Partners LLC
    Project File No. 09-025
Matthew M. Slepin
Land Planner – Senior Associate
Chris Hart & Partners, Inc.
115 N. Market Street
Wailuku, HI 96793

Re: Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project

Dear Mr. Slepin,

At the public briefing earlier this month regarding the above-mentioned project (hereafter "the Project"), you suggested I send you a letter to document my concerns.

As I understand it, the Project’s northern-most boundary abuts the property of Carmel Apartments (hereafter “Carmel”), at 1743 Nana Street. Although I write only as an interest-holder in three of the eight units of Carmel, I have spoken with several of the other owners regarding the impact that the Project may have on the owners and residents of Carmel.

First, I — as well as all of the other owners that I spoke with — am concerned about the possibility of loitering in the Project’s parking lot, which could result in noise, security, and litter problems on our lot. The safety and comfort of our residents would be diminished, and the value of our units would be affected. In addition, such activity could result in a substantial loss of privacy for some of Carmel’s residents, as people standing in the Project’s parking lot would probably be able to see into Carmel’s first-floor bedroom windows.

I was told at the public briefing that the developer intended to build a 10-foot high wall on the boundary between the Project and Carmel. Exactly what that means is still unclear to me, but my impression is that the wall is, at least in part, a retaining wall. I believe that I made it clear during the public briefing that I was against any "build up" of the Project’s property that would raise the height of the property substantially above its current lie.

The Carmel landscaping is intentionally low-maintenance; we would like to keep it that way. I therefore hope that during the Project’s design phase some consideration was given toward keeping any litter, leaves and other materials from ending up in the Carmel property.

While I haven’t discussed this with any of the other Carmel owners, it seems to me
that a well-designed high wall, set back from the existing boundary wall, would adequately minimize these problems.

Thank you for the opportunity to express my concerns regarding the Project, and for your consideration of the matters raised herein. Please feel free to call me if you have any questions about the matters raised herein, or if I can be of any assistance in resolving them. I can be reached at 244-0442 during most of the afternoon hours.

Very truly yours,

Dave S. Fukuoka
September 27, 2011

David S. Fukuoka
P.O. Box 1153
Wailuku, HI 96793

Subject: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project; Wailuku, Maui; TMK: (2) 3-8-037:028

Dear Mr. Fukuoka,

Thank you for your August 16, 2009 letter providing early consultation comments on the proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project. In response to your comments we offer the following:

As stated in our June 8, 2009 letter requesting early consultation comments, the Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project will include the development of 28 rental units all targeted at households earning thirty (30) to sixty (60) percent of the area median income as established by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. The goal of the project is to address the critical need for affordable housing on Maui by providing quality affordable rental housing for the island’s workforce. Rental rates will be restricted in perpetuity and a local, on-site property management firm will manage the day-to-day operation of the property to maintain the financial and physical integrity of the rental units and grounds. Additionally, numerous studies have been conducted by various credible universities, government agencies and organizations to determine the impact of affordable housing on surrounding property values. These studies have repeatedly established that affordable housing has no detrimental effect on property values of adjacent or nearby properties.

The eastern boundary of the proposed large parking will be landscaped with trees and
shrubs to provide privacy for residents of Carmel Apartments. The retaining wall will be a minimum setback of 4"-4" from the Carmel Apartment property line. Most of the wall will be set back a greater distance. The height of the wall varies from 8" at the northeast corner to 7" at the southeast corner. More importantly, the parking lot retaining wall will be retaining the Carmel Apartment side, meaning that the parking lot will be constructed in a cut condition and will be lower than the existing grade.

A landscape maintenance company will regularly maintain the landscape planting on the project site and ensure that the trees, shrubs and groundcover plants are well maintained and debris managed to the practical extent possible.

Thank you again for providing us with your comments. Please feel free to call me or Jennifer Maydan at (808) 242-1955 should you have any questions.

P.S.: I apologize for the delayed response resulting from the national economic downturn.

Respectfully,

Christopher L. Hart, ASLA
President
Landscape Architect/Planner

CC: Mr. David Billings, Imi Ikena Housing Partners LLC
Project File No. 09-025
To all concerned,

I am writing in regards to your letter dated June 8, 2009. I must admit that when I first heard of your project several years ago I was concerned about the impact it would have on our already crowded street parking and road congestion. I am referring to the plans with the hot tub in the corner and the gated driveway on Imi Place. I thought that an upscale project would bring up property values and infuse the neighborhood with decent type of people.

I am now very concerned that your new project would do the opposite. We do not want the type of people who abandon their cars on our already crowded streets. We do not want to live next to another Harbor Lights type community. While everyone wants to help our homeless and poor no one wants to live next to this type of neighbor. We would not have purchased in our condo if we wanted to be exposed to a Harbor Lights type of community. I worry about our possessions and if we will need to start locking up everything we own. I wonder what a family that makes $18,000 a year would need to steal from me just to make ends meet.

I am also concerned over property values if this low/medium project is built. Property around Kuhio Park Terrace is not doing very well on the resale market. I hope that you will consider the effect this project will have on our little neighborhood and build elsewhere. Alternate sites could be the lot next to Harbor Lights, or better yet next to your house.

Sincerely,

[Randy Doss for Koki Masuda]
September 27, 2011

Koki Masuda
585 Laau St.
Kahului, HI 96732

Subject: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project; Wailuku, Maui; TMK: (2) 3-8-037:028

Dear Mr. Masuda,

Thank you for your letter providing early consultation comments on the proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project. We understand that you are not in support of the proposed project and are concerned about potential impact on surrounding homeowners.

Numerous studies have been conducted by various credible universities, government agencies and organizations to determine the impact of affordable housing on surrounding property values. These studies have repeatedly established that affordable housing has no detrimental effect on property values of adjacent or nearby properties. Additionally, professionally conducted studies have also concluded that there is no evidence of an increase in crime resulting from the establishment of affordable housing into a neighborhood.

As stated in our June 8, 2009 letter requesting early consultation comments, the Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project will include the development of 28 rental units all targeted at households earning thirty (30) to sixty (60) percent of the area median income as established by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. The goal of the project is to address the critical need for affordable housing on Maui by providing quality affordable rental housing for the island’s workforce. Rental rates will be restricted in perpetuity and a local, on-site property management firm will manage the day-to-day operation of the property to maintain the financial and physical integrity.
of the rental units and grounds. Careful tenant screening and security measures will be used to ensure that illegal activities do not take place, and if they do, they will be dealt with accordingly.

Thank you again for providing us with your comments. Please feel free to call me at (808) 242-1955 should you have any questions.

P.S. I apologize for the delayed response resulting from the national economic downturn.

Aloha,

Chris Hart

Respectfully,

Christopher L. Hart, ASLA
President
Landscape Architect/Planner

ENCLOSURES

CC: Mr. David Billings, Imi Ikena Housing Partners LLC
    Project File No. 09-025
August 5, 2009

Chris Hart & Partner, Inc.
115 N. Market Street
Wailuku, Maui, Hawaii 96793

Re: Comments on the Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project

Dear Mr. Hart:

I am responding to your letter of June 8, 2009, regarding the above-referenced project.

A major concern that I have relates to the serious shortage of on-street parking that already exists on Pio Drive.

The addition of 28 rental units to this neighborhood will exacerbate this problem unless the project provides adequate off-street parking spaces for all of its tenants and additional spaces for the project’s employees and guests.

I believe that reconfiguring the project to provide two parking stalls for each unit (56) and an additional eight (8) stalls for employee and guest parking is necessary to avoid aggravating an already intolerable on-street parking situation in this neighborhood.

In addition, since the project involves County of Maui funds, the developer should consider working with the County to see if marking of on-street stalls will help to increase the capacity of on-street parking on Pio Drive and adjacent roadways.

Another means of limiting any adverse impacts on the parking situation might be to designate a number of these rental units for elderly or senior citizens. These types of tenants may reduce the number of parking stalls needed for each rental unit.

Your serious attention to this matter will be appreciated by myself and others who live or rent existing units in this neighborhood, which already provides a large number of affordable housing units to owners and renters.

Very truly yours,

James B. Takayasu

[Signature]

[Stamp: Received]

JBT:hl
September 27, 2011

James B. Takayesu
P.O. Box 2912
Wailuku, HI 96793

Subject: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project; Wailuku, Maui; TMK: (2) 3-8-037:028

Dear Takayesu,

Thank you for your August 5, 2009 letter providing early consultation comments on the proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project. We offer the following in response to your comments:

Each unit within the apartment complex will be provided two (2) off-street parking spaces for a total of 56 parking spaces as required by Maui County Code, Chapter 19.36, Off-Street Parking and Loading.

All units within the complex will be targeted at households earning thirty (30) to sixty (60) percent of the area median income as established by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. Although units will not be specifically designated for senior citizens, it is likely that a portion of the tenants within the required income category will be senior citizens, thus potentially reducing the demand for parking stalls.

Thank you again for providing us with your comments. Please feel free to call me at (808) 242-1955 should you have any questions.
P.S. I apologize for the delayed response resulting from the national economic downturn,

ENCLOSURES

CC:  Mr. David Billings, Imi Ikena Housing Partners LLC  
     Project File No. 09-025

Respectfully,

Christopher L. Hart, ASLA  
President  
Landscape Architect/Planner
July 1, 2009

Re: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project
Wailuku, Maui
TMK: (2) 3-8-037:028

To whom it may concern:

We received your letter dated June 8, 2009. We are owners of a unit at Mt. Thomas, and we are not in favor of low-income housing being built on the property described in your letter. We feel strongly this low-income housing project would have a negative impact on other homes in the area causing the prices of the other homes to decline in value.

It seems the land located in the Sand Hills area, north of Keopuolani Park off of Liholiko Street would be much better suited for another residential use other than low-income housing.

We realize this type of housing needs to be available, but it certainly seems like the above mentioned land is not an appropriate location for this project.

We would like to voice our concern as we are against the building of 28 rental units all targeted as low income housing in this area. We hope you will seriously consider our concern when a final decision is made.

Sincerely,

Judy E. Thomas

Edgar A. Thomas
September 27, 2011

Ms. Judy E. & Mr. Edgar A. Thomas
5956 S Fairfax Court
Centennial, CO 80121

Subject: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project; Wailuku, Maui; TMK: (2) 3-8-037:028

Dear Ms. & Mr. Thomas,

Thank you for your July 1, 2009 letter providing early consultation comments on the proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project. We understand that you are not in support of the proposed project and are concerned about potential impact on surrounding home values.

Numerous studies have been conducted by various credible universities, government agencies and organizations to determine the impact of affordable housing on surrounding property values. These studies have repeatedly established that affordable housing has no detrimental effect on property values of adjacent or nearby properties.

As stated in our June 8, 2009 letter requesting early consultation comments, the Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project will include the development of 28 rental units all targeted at households earning thirty (30) to sixty (60) percent of the area median income as established by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. The goal of the project is to address the critical need for affordable housing on Maui by providing quality affordable rental housing for the island’s workforce. Rental rates will be restricted in perpetuity and a local, on-site property management firm will manage the day-to-day operation of the property to maintain the financial and physical integrity of the rental units and grounds.
Thank you again for providing us with your comments. Please feel free to call me or Jennifer Maydan at (808) 242-1955 should you have any questions.

P.S. Apologize for the delayed response resulting from the national economic downturn.

Aloha,

Christopher L. Hart, ASLA
President
Landscape Architect/Planner

ENCLOSURES

CC:  Mr. David Billings, Imi Ikena Housing Partners LLC
     Project File No. 09-025
Dear Neighbor,

DBR Development LLC proposes to develop a 28-unit affordable rental housing apartment complex located at 511 Imi Place, Wailuku, Hawaii. The subject property is located in the Sand Hills area, north of Keopuolani Park off of Liholiho Street. See: Figure 1, Regional Location Map, Figure 2, Tax Map Key, and Figure 3, Site Plan. The project site is zoned A-2, Apartment District by the County of Maui, the State Land Use designation is Urban, and the Wailuku-Kahului Community Plan designation is Multi-Family.

The project will include the development of 28 rental units all targeted at households earning thirty (30) to sixty (60) percent of the area median income as established by HUD. Rents will be restricted in perpetuity. DBR Development LLC has contracted with a local, on-site property management firm to manage the day-to-day operations of the property to maintain the financial and physical integrity of the rental units and grounds. The project will be developed with the use of the County of Maui, Department of Housing and Human Concerns’ Affordable Housing Fund. Use of County funds requires the preparation of an Environmental Assessment, which will be prepared in accordance with Chapter 343, Hawaii Revised Statutes. In this regard, we are, on behalf of the applicant, requesting early review and comment on the proposed project in accordance with the requirements of Chapter 343, HRS, and of the Hawaii Administrative Rules, Title 11, Chapter 200.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Also, loss of oceanviews, ouch!
September 27, 2011

Susan S. Ventura
1063 Lower Main St. #304
Wailuku, HI 96793

Subject: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project; Wailuku, Maui; TMK: (2) 3-8-037:028

Dear Ms. Ventura,

Thank you for your July 8, 2009 letter providing early consultation comments on the proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project. In response to your comments we offer the following:

All construction activities will comply with the provisions of HAR, Chapter 11-60.1, “Air Pollution Control,” Section 11-60.1-33, Fugitive Dust. Fugitive dust emissions will be controlled to a large extent by watering of active work areas, using wind screens, keeping adjacent paved roads clean, and by covering of open-boxed trucks. Other dust control measures that may be implemented include limiting the area disturbed at any given time and/or mulching or stabilizing inactive areas that have been worked. Paving and landscaping early in the construction schedule will also reduce dust emissions.

Proper mitigative measures will be employed to minimize construction-related noise impacts and comply with all Federal and State noise control regulations. Increased noise activity due to construction will be limited to daytime hours and persist only during the construction period. Noise from construction activities will be short-term and will comply with DOH noise regulations (HAR, Chapter 11-46, Community Noise Control).
Numerous studies have been conducted by various credible universities, government agencies and organizations to determine the impact of affordable housing on surrounding property values. These studies have repeatedly established that affordable housing has no detrimental effect on property values of adjacent or nearby properties.

Ocean views from some existing units mauka of the project site may be blocked by the proposed apartment buildings.

Thank you again for providing us with your comments. Please feel free to call me or Jennifer Maydan at (808) 242-1955 should you have any questions.

Respectfully,

Christopher L. Hart, ASLA
President
Landscape Architect/Planner

ENCLOSURES

CC: Mr. David Billings, Imi Ikena Housing Partners LLC
Project File No. 09-025
WAILUKU MANOR
510 IMI PLACE
WAILUKU HAWAII

8 July 2009

Jennifer Maydan
jmaydan@chpmaui.com
Project Coordinator
Chris Hart & Partners, Inc.
115 Market Street
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

Gentlemen:

The Association of Owners of Wailuku Manor is hereby expressing their strident opposition to the development of the proposed IMI IKENA project on the property adjoining them.

While the building of any structure on a previously vacant property brings caution to its neighbors, one, such as this, specifically targeted for a disadvantaged population, elicits some specific concerns with which the Association does not care to have added to similar ones already evident in the area.

The following are the most evident concerns:
1. A tenant population is less respectful of neighbors than is an owner population.
2. Those of the targeted population who cause problems for neighbors are known to be able to “work the system” to avoid eviction.
3. There will be more vehicles associated with the property than will there be parking spaces built. The parking in the area is currently stressed beyond its limits. Emergency vehicles already have had problems responding to calls in the area.
4. The targeted population is more stressed and thus more prone to violence than the population as a whole.
5. Harbor Lights and Kuhio Park Terrace come to mind as projects that were built with the best intentions similar to those of Imi Ikena. Neither project would be welcomed in the neighborhood.
6. Enforcing rules within this population is more difficult than with most projects.
7. This property will not enhance the property value of Wailuku Manor.

Were the developer to have realistic solutions to these concerns, the Association would reconsider its opposition to the development.

Sincerely,

John L. Sullivan, CMCA, AMS
Account Manager, APMI
369, Hoku Lii Pl. #202
Kihei, HI 95753
for the Board
September 27, 2011

John L. Sullivan
Association of Owners of Wailuku Manor
369 Huku Lii Pl. #202
Kihei, HI 95753

Subject: Early Consultation Request for Proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project; Wailuku, Maui; TMK: (2) 3-8-037:028

Dear Mr. Sullivan,

Thank you for your July 8, 2009 letter providing early consultation comments on the proposed Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project.

We understand that you are not in support of the proposed project and offer the following in response to your comments:

Numerous studies have been conducted by various credible universities, government agencies and organizations to determine the impact of affordable housing on surrounding property values. These studies have repeatedly established that affordable housing has no detrimental effect on property values of adjacent or nearby properties. Additionally, professionally conducted studies have also concluded that there is no evidence of an increase in crime resulting from the establishment of affordable housing into a neighborhood.

As stated in our June 8, 2009 letter requesting early consultation comments, the Imi Ikena Affordable Housing Project will include the development of 28 rental units all targeted at households earning thirty (30) to sixty (60) percent of the area median
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income as established by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. The goal of the project is to address the critical need for affordable housing on Maui by providing quality affordable rental housing for the island's workforce. Rental rates will be restricted in perpetuity and a local, on-site property management firm will manage the day-to-day operation of the property to maintain the financial and physical integrity of the rental units and grounds. Careful tenant screening and security measures will be used to ensure that illegal activities do not take place, and if they do, they will be dealt with accordingly.

Each unit within the apartment complex will be provided two (2) off-street parking spaces for a total of 56 parking spaces as required by Maui County Code, Chapter 19.36, Off-Street Parking and Loading.

Thank you again for providing us with your comments. Please feel free to call me or Jennifer Maydan at (808) 242-1955 should you have any questions.

P.S. I apologize for the delayed response resulting from the National Economic Summit.

ENCLOSURES

CC: Mr. David Billings, Imi Ikena Housing Partners LLC
Project File No. 09-025

Respectfully,

Christopher L. Hart, ASLA
President
Landscape Architect/Planner