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FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Project Name: Easement and Right-of-Way Through State Land

Location: Hilo Harbor, Hilo, Hawai‘i

Judicial District: South Hilo

Tax Map Key (TMK): (3) 2-1-009:007 & 031 (portion)

Land Area: 8,175 square feet (0.188 acre)

Landowner: State of Hawai‘i, Department of Land and Natural Resources, Department of Transportation-Highway Division, Department of Transportation-Harbors Division, County of Hawai‘i

Applicant: Hoku Kai Biofuels LLC/Summit Biofuel LLC

Approving Agency: State of Hawai‘i, Department of Land and Natural Resources

Triggering Action: Use of state/county lands

Determination: Finding of No Significant Impact

Summary
The Proposed Action is the purchase of an easement and right-of-way through state and county land at the Port of Hilo. The easement and right-of-way would extend from Pier 2 and Pier 3 to the south side of Kalaniana‘ole Avenue along the route of an existing petroleum pipeline. The existing pipeline and associated vaults and appurtenances are currently not in use. The applicant’s need is the infrastructure for bulk offloading and onloading of biofuels from marine vessels to shore-based storage tanks. This capacity currently does not exist within the State of Hawai‘i. The purpose of the Proposed Action is to utilize the existing pipeline as part of the establishment of a biofuel storage and distribution facility.

The granting of the easement enables the applicant to redevelop property at 794 Kalaniana‘ole Avenue for the bulk storage and distribution of biofuel. As a result, in addition to the impacts of granting the easement, the impacts of establishing a biofuels bulk storage and distribution facility were evaluated. No direct negative impacts as a result of the Proposed Action or the linked project were identified. A possible secondary impact is the release of biofuel to the environment. This secondary impact is mitigated through protective measures included in the Proposed Action.

Anticipated positive impacts of the Proposed Action are increased use of renewable resources and decreased use of fossil fuels, an associated reduction in air pollutant emissions, employment opportunities, and redevelopment of an abandoned industrial facility.
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1.0 INTRODUCTION

This section provides basic information on the Proposed Action and this Final Environmental Assessment (FEA).

1.1 Landowner

The pipeline easement of the Proposed Action crosses a number of different land parcels that are owned by the State of Hawai‘i or the County of Hawai‘i. Additionally, the State lands are managed by two different departments and two different divisions within one of these departments. Government entities involved in landownership related to the Proposed Action include the State of Hawai‘i Department of Land and Natural Resources (DLNR), Department of Transportation Harbors Division, Department of Transportation-Highways Division, and County of Hawai‘i.

1.2 Applicant

Hoku Kai Biofuels LLC/Summit Biofuel LLC (Hoku Kai/Summit) is the applicant seeking use of state land. Contact information for Hoku Kai Biofuels LLC is as follows.

Chuck Barker
Hoku Kai Biofuels LLC/Summit Biofuel LLC
43 Kukuau Street
Hilo HI 96720

1.3 Approving Agency

Administration of the pipeline lease has been entrusted to DLNR by the landowners. Therefore, the approving agency is the State of Hawai‘i DLNR. Contact information for the DLNR is as follows.

State of Hawaii
Department of Land and Natural Resources
P.O. Box 621
Honolulu HI 96809

1.4 Environmental Consultant

The environmental consultant supporting the preparation of this FEA is Insight Environmental LLC. Contact information for Insight Environmental is as follows.

Insight Environmental LLC
Attn: Evan Pfaff, P.E.
HC3 Box 13132
Kea‘au HI 96749
(808) 936-1121
evan@InsightHawaii.com
2.0 DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ACTION

The Proposed Action is the purchase and resumption of use of an easement and right-of-way through state land by the DLNR to Hoku Kai/Summit. The easement will provide Hoku Kai/Summit with access to an existing underground petroleum pipeline for use in the bulk offloading/onloading of biofuel. Linked to the Proposed Action is the redevelopment of the Hoku Kai/Summit facility at 794 Kalanianaʻole Avenue for use as a biofuel storage and distribution facility.

2.1 Purpose and Need

The applicant's need is to have a pipeline from the Hilo Harbor piers to its property at 794 Kalanianaʻole Avenue (Facility) for the offloading/onloading of biofuels from vessels to shore-based storage tanks. The purpose of the Proposed Action is to utilize the existing pipeline as part of the establishment of a biofuel storage and distribution facility.

2.2 Technical

An underground petroleum pipeline currently exists from Pier 2 to 794 Kalanianaʻole Avenue. Historically, this pipeline extended beneath the pier and terminated at Pier 3. This section of the pipeline has been removed but would be reinstalled under the Proposed Action. Hoku Kai Biofuels LLC/Summit Biofuel LLC would like to utilize this pipeline to offload biofuel from bulk fuel vessels and store it at its Facility for island-wide distribution by highway tanker truck. Hoku Kai/Summit would also use this pipeline to load inter-island barges with biofuel stored at its Facility for distribution to other islands. The existing pipeline, and thus the proposed easement, cross several Tax Map Key (TMK) parcels owned by different entities. Table 1 presents information on the involved TMK parcels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tax Map Key</th>
<th>Current Ownership</th>
<th>Parcel Area (acres)</th>
<th>Current Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(3) 2-1-009:007</td>
<td>State of Hawaiʻi</td>
<td>25.322</td>
<td>Main Hilo Harbor parcel encompassing Pier 1, 2, and 3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) 2-1-009:031</td>
<td>State of Hawaiʻi</td>
<td>0.3337</td>
<td>Strip of land on the east side of Kuhio Street used for parking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) 2-1-009:037</td>
<td>County of Hawaiʻi</td>
<td>0.0168</td>
<td>Unimproved area on the northeast corner of Kalanianaʻole Avenue and Kuhio Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) 2-1-009:999</td>
<td>State of Hawaiʻi</td>
<td>3.081</td>
<td>Kalanianaʻole Avenue</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The proposed easement is five feet wide, centered on the pipeline. The total length of the easement is approximately 1,635 feet. The location of the Proposed Action is identified in Figure 1. The Proposed Action area is shown on Figure 2. A Site Map is provided as Figure 3.
Figure 1. Location Map
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Figure 2. Area Map
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Figure 3. Site Map
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Source: County of Hawai‘i 2013.
Aerial image is shifted to the east relative to other data.
TMK - Tax Map Key

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map Identifier</th>
<th>Tax Map Key</th>
<th>Current Owner - Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>2-1-009:007</td>
<td>State of Hawai‘i - Port of Hilo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>2-1-009:031</td>
<td>State of Hawai‘i - Kuhio Street shoulder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2-1-009:037</td>
<td>County of Hawai‘i - Kalaniana‘ole Avenue shoulder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>2-1-009:999</td>
<td>County of Hawai‘i - Kalaniana‘ole Avenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>2-1-010:043</td>
<td>Hoku Kai Biofuels LLC - Industrial facility</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar GX, i-cubed, USDA, USGS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community

1 in = 300 feet
The pipeline was installed in the early 1960’s. Initial visual inspections have indicated that the pipeline is in good condition. The operational lifespan of a pipeline is not fixed but is determined by the maintenance and repair work performed. A rigorous protocol of inspections and testing, as established by the American Petroleum Institute (API) Standard 653 (API-653), *Tank Inspection, Repair, Alteration, and Reconstruction* (American Petroleum Institute, 2013), will certify that the pipeline is in proper working order. In order to place the pipeline in service for the transfer of biofuel, the following actions will need to be completed.

- Clean out the access vaults located along the pipeline.
- Drain and flush residual heating oil from the heating oil lines surrounding the pipeline.
- Clean residual asphalt cement from the pipeline.
- Upgrade connections and other pipeline appurtenances for use with biofuel and to be in accordance with current standards.
- Establish communication protocols with the Port for the transfer of biofuel.

Additionally, in the future Hoku Kai/Summit plans to reinstall the portion of the pipeline from Pier 2 to Pier 3 if it becomes beneficial for Port and business operations.

The Proposed Action will enable Hoku Kai/Summit to redevelop its Facility (794 Kalaniana‘ole Avenue) to operate as a bulk storage and distribution site for biofuel. The TMK for the Facility parcel is (3) 2-1-010:043, encompassing 2.3417 acres, and is owned by Hoku Kai/Summit. Hoku Kai/Summit proposes to operate the Facility using the capacity of the nine existing aboveground storage tanks (AST) on the property, which would provide 1.2 million gallons of biofuel storage capacity. The ASTs located at the Facility were installed in the early 1960’s. Initial visual inspections have indicated that the ASTs, while in need of some repair work, appear to be in usable condition. The operational lifespan of steel tanks is not fixed but is determined by the maintenance and repair work performed. A rigorous protocol of inspections and testing, as established by the API-653, certifies that the ASTs are in proper working order. Redevelopment of the Facility includes the following activities, which are depicted on Figure 4.

- Removal of dilapidated sheds, a boiler, abandoned equipment and solid waste, and existing aboveground piping.
- Cleanup of spilled petroleum products from the Facility ground surface.
- Cleaning and refurbishment of the nine existing ASTs.
- Installation of new aboveground piping and biofuel dispensing equipment.
- Installation of secondary containment structures and other spill prevention and control equipment.

No design modifications are proposed to specifically protect the pipeline and Facility from tsunami damage. The entire course of the existing pipeline is located underground, providing a substantial level of protection from tsunami damage. Both the pipeline and the Facility are within the Hilo Bay breakwater, which provides some protection from tsunami damage. The Facility AST secondary containment structure, while not designed to withstand tsunami forces, would provide some protection to Facility infrastructure from a small wave.
Figure 4. Facility Redevelopment Plan
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Redevelopment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1-T9</td>
<td>existing aboveground storage tank</td>
<td>to remain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>existing boiler shed</td>
<td>converted to office space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>existing scale house</td>
<td>to remain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>existing shed</td>
<td>to remain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B4</td>
<td>existing truck loading platform</td>
<td>to remain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B5</td>
<td>existing shed</td>
<td>to remain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B6-B8</td>
<td>existing shed</td>
<td>removed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>existing scale</td>
<td>to remain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>existing piping and pumps</td>
<td>removed and/or reconfigured</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Insight Environmental LLC

1" = 40'
Additionally, Hoku Kai/Summit intends to plant a row of dense palms along the front of the property that would also provide some protection from minor waves.

The redeveloped Facility will utilize state of the art equipment and procedures to minimize the potential for a spill of biofuel to the Facility environment or a discharge to Hilo Harbor. A spill of biofuel to the environment could occur through one of the following mechanisms.

- Failure of an AST or associated piping.
- Failure of equipment or procedure during the transfer of biofuel from an AST to a tanker truck.
- Failure of the pipeline or a pipeline appurtenance.

To address these possible release mechanisms, the Facility will institute the following prevention mechanisms and infrastructure.

- Facility ASTs will be inspected and certified to meet API-653. This requires the AST to be inspected by an API-653 certified inspector. Under API-653, the ASTs must undergo external inspection and testing every 5 years and internal inspection and testing every 20 years. Additionally, the ASTs will be visually inspected monthly by the Facility operator.
- Facility ASTs and associated piping will be located within a secondary containment berm that will contain a release of any liquid material. The secondary containment area will have the capacity to contain the rated capacity of the largest AST located within the area, plus additional volume for precipitation. This would be approximately 0.5 million gallons.
- Liquid material transfer operations will be conducted within a secondary containment area that has the capacity to contain the volume of spilled biofuel under the most likely substantial spill scenarios. It is anticipated that secondary containment for transfer operations will be sized to contain the spill that would occur as a result of a transfer hose failure, approximately 100 gallons.
- The pipeline will be inspected and certified to meet API Standard 570, *Piping Inspection Code: In-Service Inspection, Rating, Repair, and Alteration Of Piping Systems* (American Petroleum Institute, 2009).

Additionally, the Facility will develop and document operating procedures that minimize the potential for a spill of biofuel and control measures for effectively responding to a spill to prevent a release or discharge. Infrastructure and procedures for preventing and responding to a release of biofuel will be documented in a Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasures (SPCC) Plan as required by 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 112 (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2013). As required by the SPCC regulations, the Facility SPCC Plan will include;

- a Facility description,
- secondary containment for bulk storage containers, portable containers, piping, and transfer areas,
- Facility and container inspections,
- container testing,
• procedures and equipment for spill prevention, control, and countermeasures, and
• personnel training on the SPCC Plan for all staff involved in biofuel handling.

Biofuel offloading and unloading operations from marine vessels will be coordinated with the
Port of Hilo. Required spill prevention equipment and procedures will be governed by the Port
Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasures Plan.

2.3 Permits and Approvals

No additional permits or approvals are required to obtain the easement and right-of-way from
DLNR.

Improvements to the pipeline may require a Special Management Area permit from the County
of Hawai‘i. The Applicant will submit a Special Management Area Assessment Application to
the County of Hawai‘i Planning Department for determination of the required permit.

The storage and wholesale distribution of biofuels would be classified under the 2012 North
American Industrial Classification System as code 424710, Petroleum Bulk Stations and
Terminals. This industrial sector is not required to obtain a Nation Pollution Discharge
Elimination System permit for the discharge of storm water from industrial facilities.

The Facility is subject to the Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasures requirements of
40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 112, and will be required to prepare and implement a Spill
Prevention, Control and Countermeasures Plan.

2.4 Land Use Conformance

This section will document that the granting of the easement through state land (Proposed
Action) is consistent with state and county land use planning.

2.4.1 Hawai‘i State Plan

The three themes of the Hawaii State Plan are individual and family self-sufficiency, social and
economic mobility, and community or social well-being (State of Hawai‘i Department of
Planning and Economic Development, 1986). The Proposed Action is not contrary to, nor
would it inhibit, the advancement of these themes. These goals of the State Plan are consistent
with the underlying premises of the renewal of use of the subject pipeline. The transportation
and electrical power generation fuels that this facility will make available represent both an
ecological improvement and an economic alternative to the existing oil fuels that currently
prevail in the fuel supply paradigm. Moreover, substantively reduced sulfur and carbon
atmospheric emissions benefit public health. This advances the social, community, and
economic interests of the people of the Island and State of Hawaii.
2.4.2 Hawai’i State Land Use

The easement land is within an Urban District of the State Land Use Commission (State of Hawai’i Land Use Commission, 2012). The Proposed Action allows the use of the easement land for activities consistent with urban district land use.

2.4.3 Hawai’i Coastal Zone Management

The easement land is within the County of Hawai’i Special Management Area (County of Hawai’i Planning Department, 2013). Development within the Special Management Area requires a Special Management Area permit from the County of Hawai’i Planning Department. The proposed improvements to the pipeline will be submitted to the County in a Special Management Area assessment application for determination of the need for a Special Management Area permit.

The Facility is not located within the Special Management Area.

2.4.4 County Zoning

The easement land is zoned General Industrial District (MG-1A) by the County of Hawai’i (County of Hawai’i Planning Department, 2012). Land use under the Proposed Action is consistent with the current land zoning.

2.4.5 County General Plan

The Proposed Action is within an area designated Industrial, within the Land Use Pattern Allocation Guide of the County General Plan (County of Hawai’i Planning Department, 2005). The Proposed Action allows the use of the easement land for activities consistent with an Industrial designation.

The County of Hawai’i General Plan goals most relevant to the Proposed Action are related to energy and are as follows (County of Hawai’i Planning Department, 2005).

- Encourage the development of alternative energy resources.
- Strive to assure a sufficient supply of energy to support present and future demands.

The Proposed Action is consistent with the advancement of these goals of the County General Plan.

2.5 Economic

Hoku Kai/Summit will pay a fee to DLNR for the pipeline easement. The cost of the easement will be initially proposed based on the findings of an appraisal and further negotiated by Hoku Kai/Summit and DLNR. The cost of the easement is expected to represent fair market value for the use of state lands.
The project will generate economic activity in the County by creating jobs during Facility and pipeline renovation activity as well as during Facility operation. The project is expected to reduce the price of biofuels in the State, shifting fuel expenditures from petroleum products to biofuels.

2.6 Social

There are no direct social aspects to the Proposed Action. The easement land and Facility are located in an industrial area of Hilo in immediate proximity to several bulk fuel terminals. The Proposed Action will provide employment opportunities that offer a living wage and other opportunities for individual development. Hoku Kai/Summit also intends to support public and youth programs within the community.

2.7 Environmental

No new development will occur as a result of the Proposed Action. The pipeline currently exists. Appurtenances to the pipeline will be changed to meet the proposed use as a biofuel pipeline. A portion of the pipeline, approximately 500 feet, extending from Pier 2 to Pier 3 will be reinstalled. The Facility is an existing abandoned industrial facility. It will be cleaned and restored to operating condition, with modern equipment and controls. The tanks will have all asbestos sheathing removed, and the currently unsightly property will be returned to that of an efficient, well-maintained industrial facility.

3.0 CONSULTATION

State and county agencies as well as private organizations anticipated to have an interest in the impacts to the human and natural environment of the Proposed Action were consulted prior to the preparation of this FEA as part of an early consultation process and review of the Draft Environmental Assessment (DEA). An early consultation letter was mailed to each agency and organization on April 11, 2014. Entities were provided with a minimum of 30 days to respond. The following entities were consulted prior to the preparation of the DEA. Entities marked with an “*” provided a response to the request for early consultation. Early consultation communications received from entities are included in Appendix A. The following entities were also provided with a copy of the DEA shortly after its publication in the Environmental Notice on September 23, 2014. Entities marked with a “#” provided a response to the DEA. Comments on the DEA and consultant response letters, as warranted, are included in Appendix B.

State of Hawaii
DLNR
Division of Aquatic Resources
*#Engineering Division
*Division of State Parks
4.0 DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT

This section describes the current and historical environment at, and in the vicinity of, the Proposed Action.

4.1 Natural Physical Environment

4.1.1 Soils

A portion of the easement land is currently covered with asphalt concrete paving while the remainder is grass sod. The Facility ground surface is composed of areas of asphalt concrete paving and areas of bare ground. Some form of road base is anticipated to be beneath the paved surfaces. The United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service classifies the soil of the easement land and at the Facility as Opihikao-Urban land complex (640). Typically, this soil is composed of highly decomposed plant material from zero to three inches and bedrock at three inches below the ground surface. It is found on slopes of two to 20 percent between sea level and 1,200 feet elevation. It is a well drained soil formed from organic material over pahoehoe lava (United States Department of Agriculture, 2013).

4.1.2 Topography

The easement land and surrounding area sits at approximately 10 feet in elevation. The land is relatively flat and even, sloping gently to the north. The Facility sits at approximately 15 feet in elevation. The land is relatively flat and even, sloping gently downwards to the north.
4.1.3 Groundwater

The HDOH Safe Drinking Water Branch has established an Underground Injection Control (UIC) line to serve as a boundary between drinking water and non-drinking water portions of aquifers. Areas above (mountain side) the UIC line are within drinking water portions of the aquifer, while areas below (ocean side) the UIC line are in non-drinking water portions of the underlying aquifer. The easement land, Facility, and surrounding area are below the UIC line in a non-drinking water portion of the aquifer (Hawai‘i Department of Health Safe Drinking Water Branch, 1999).

The easement land, Facility, and surrounding area are above the Hilo aquifer system in the Northeast Mauna Loa aquifer sector. The system is composed of a basal aquifer in unconfined flank formations. The basal aquifer is currently used for drinking water, and is considered to have a high risk of susceptibility to contamination (Mink & Lau, 1993). The general direction of groundwater flow is expected to be to the north towards the Pacific Ocean at Hilo. Groundwater in the easement vicinity is expected to be at approximately 10 feet below the ground surface. Groundwater at the Facility is expected to be at approximately 15 feet below the ground surface.

4.1.4 Surface Water

There are no fresh water streams, ponds, or springs in the vicinity of the easement land or Facility. The north end of the easement is over the open water of Hilo Bay at Pier 2. The Facility is located 830 feet from Hilo Bay.

4.1.5 Wildlife Habitat

The easement land, Facility, and surrounding land area are developed industrial areas. The easement land is an asphalt-paved port facility providing no wildlife habitat. The Facility is an asphalt-paved abandoned industrial facility providing no wildlife habitat.

The Port of Hilo is located in a portion of Hilo Bay known as Kuhio Bay and is east of Reeds Bay.

Hilo Bay is classified as a subtidal marine environment with an unconsolidated bottom. To the southwest of Pier 2 at 460 feet and 2,320 feet are 1.5 and 1.6 acre, respectively, intertidal estuary areas (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2014). No critical habitat is present on the easement land, Facility, or surrounding area (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Pacific Island Office, 2004).

4.1.6 Flora and Fauna

The easement land, Facility, and surrounding area, are developed industrial areas. No significant flora or fauna are present.

Based on observation of the easement land, Facility, and surrounding area no protected species are expected to be present. The easement land and surrounding areas have been categorized as
an area expected to have a low concentration of threatened or endangered species (The Nature Conservancy, 1992).

4.1.7 Sensitive Areas

No sensitive environments are present on the easement land or the Facility. To the southwest of Pier 2 at 460 feet and 2,320 feet are 1.5 and 1.6 acre, respectively, intertidal estuary areas (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2014).

4.1.8 Natural Hazards

The easement land is within flood hazard zones VE, AE, and X. Flood hazard zones VE and AE are within the 100-year flood zone. Flood hazard zone X is outside the 100-year flood zone. The Facility is outside the 100-year flood zone. The easement land and Facility are within lava zone three, as is all of urban Hilo. The easement land and Facility are within the tsunami evacuation zone. The easement land and Facility are within a low risk area for wild-land fire hazard.

4.1.9 Noise

Ambient noise in the area is consistent with that of an industrial area. In addition to street noise, the area is near Hilo International Airport and thus, has overhead noise from aircraft.

4.1.10 Air Quality

Air quality in the area is consistent with that of an industrial area. A slacking of the trade winds occasionally causes volcanic haze (vog) in the urban Hilo area.

4.1.11 Visual Resources

The easement land is within a low-lying flat area that does not offer any significant views beyond that of the immediate surrounding area. Industrial facilities line the coastline within the area, blocking most ocean views.

4.1.12 Hazardous Substances

A visual assessment of land use in the surrounding area finds several large tank farms for petroleum products. These facilities are located at this location because of existing underground pipelines that convey bulk product offloaded from vessels to the storage tanks of these facilities.

No hazardous substances are present aboveground along the easement. Because the pipeline for the Proposed Action is underground, it is not possible to fully inspect the area for hazardous substances. A Phase I Environmental Site Assessment of the easement land concluded that possible past releases from various facilities near the easement, as well as past use of the pipeline, may have impacted soil and groundwater of the easement (Environmental Science International, 2013a). Visual inspection of the pipeline access vaults at various times in 2013
has not identified any hazardous substances. The pipeline itself is known to contain some quantity of asphalt cement that was abandoned in the pipeline. Additionally, the pipeline is wrapped with a heating oil line. This line was drained of heating oil but may contain residual heating oil.

The Facility ASTs and aboveground piping contain a small quantity of asphalt cement that was abandoned in the ASTs at the time the Facility was abandoned. The ASTs and aboveground piping are wrapped in asbestos insulation. Small releases of asphalt cement to the Facility ground surface are visible. Approximately 100 gallons of liquid petroleum products in various containers remain at the Facility.

A Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) of the Facility identified several recognized environmental conditions associated with past use of the Facility as well as past use of adjoining properties (Environmental Science International, 2013b). A review of the conclusions drawn in the 2013 Phase I ESA finds that the authors deviate from the definition of a recognized environmental condition as defined by the ASTM International Standard (ASTM International, 2013). As a result, it is concluded that the 2013 Phase I ESA does not accurately reflect the environmental condition of the Facility.

Visual inspection of the Facility as well as documentation on the past environmental condition and management of Facility suggest that asphalt cement has impacted the ground surface of the Facility. It is also likely that diesel fuel has impacted the ground surface of the Facility. What is not known is whether asphalt cement, diesel fuel, and other petroleum products or hazardous substances have impacted the subsurface environment (bedrock and groundwater) at the Facility. Currently, there is no evidence that contaminated media at adjoining properties has migrated onto the Facility.

4.2 Human Physical Infrastructure

The easement land, Facility, and surrounding area are within a developed industrial area. The easement land is within the Port of Hilo, which is accessed via Kuhio Street off of Kalanianaʻole Avenue. The Port contains various buildings and the ground surface is paved with asphalt concrete. Various aboveground and belowground utility lines, including County water and sewer lines, Hawaiʻi Electric Light power lines, and Hawaiian Telcom communication lines, are present along Kalanianaʻole Avenue and within the Port facility.

Kalanianaʻole Avenue fronts the Facility property. A Hawaiʻi Electric Light electrical substation is adjacent to the Facility property to the west.

4.3 Social Environment

The easement land, Facility, and surrounding area are industrial areas with few social resources. A few small bars and shops are present in the surrounding area.
4.4 **Cultural Environment**

No cultural resources appear to be present on the easement land or at the Facility. Early consultation activities were conducted with the Office of Hawaiian Affairs and State Historic Preservation Division. No response was received from these agencies during early consultation. Both of these agencies as well as the Keaukaha Community Association were provided the opportunity to review and comment on the DEA in order to continue the exploration of cultural resources in the easement land, Facility, and surrounding area. No response from these entities was received during the DEA comment period. A copy of the FEA will be provided to these entities.

4.5 **Historical-Archaeological Environment**

The easement land is in the *ahupua‘a* of Wai‘akea, a large land division that includes most of the current town of Hilo and extends upslope to 6,000 feet above mean sea level. The Wai‘akea Forest Reserve is within the Wai‘akea *ahupua‘a*.

Hilo Bay has been an important sheltered harbor from the time Polynesian settlers arrived in Hawaii through the arrival of oceangoing vessels from Western explorers. In 1825, the HMS Blonde anchored within the projected area formed by Blonde Reef, for which the reef is named. In 1908 the construction of a breakwater on Blonde Reef began and was completed in 1929 (Wikipedia, 2014). A 1914 historical topographic map of the Hilo area depict port facilities and railroad lines at the Port of Hilo. A 1932 historical topographic map depicts what appear to be industrial facilities adjacent to the port.

Initial development (construction of permanent structures) of the Facility property is known to have occurred in the early 1960’s, after the 1960 tsunami. The 1975 tsunami did not have significant impacts to the Hilo Harbor area. There is no record of historical tsunami damage to the Facility or the Facility property. No historical tsunami damage is understood to have occurred.

No archeological or historical resources or features are known to exist on the easement land or Facility. Early consultation activities were conducted with the Office of Hawaiian Affairs and State Historic Preservation Division. No response was received by these agencies during early consultation. Both of these agencies as well as the Keaukaha Community Association were provided the opportunity to review and comment on the DEA in order to continue the exploration of cultural resources in the easement land, Facility, and surrounding area. No response from these entities was received during the DEA comment period. A copy of the FEA will be provided to these entities.

5.0 **IDENTIFIED IMPACTS**

This section summarizes the impacts to the affected environment (Section 4.0).
5.1 Natural Physical Environmental Impacts

The Proposed Action is not anticipated to have any direct negative impacts on the natural environment. An underground pipeline is currently present within the easement, and that is not planned to change as a result of the Proposed Action. An existing industrial facility is currently present at the Facility, and that is not planned to change as a result of the Proposed Action.

The pipeline and Facility will not emit noxious or objectionable odors, significant noise, or air emissions of volatile organic compounds. The Facility is not anticipated to have the potential to significantly impact storm water. As discussed in Section 2.2, the Facility will utilize a combination of physical infrastructure and management practices to reduce the potential of a discharge of biofuels from the Facility to surface water.

The Proposed Action is anticipated to have the following positive impacts on the environment.

- Restoration of an abandoned petroleum pipeline, preventing a release of petroleum products currently in the pipeline.
- Cleanup of an abandoned industrial facility, preventing a release to the environment of hazardous substances or petroleum products present on the Facility. Cleanup of the Facility is also expected to remove all of the accessible petroleum products that have been released to the environment at the Facility.
- Increased use of renewable resources and decreased use of fossil fuels.
- Reduction in air pollution emissions as a result of a transition from the consumption of fossil fuels to cleaner burning biofuel.

A potential secondary impact of the Proposed Action is the release of biofuel to the environment, specifically, a release to the soil of the Facility or easement land, or to marine waters at the Port. The potential for release of fuel is not unique to this project but is inherent in the transportation, transfer, and use of liquid fuels. Hoku Kai/Summit will construct and operate the Facility in a manner that prepares for and prevents negative environmental impacts from its activities to the full extent required by Federal and State law, industry standards, and best industry practice.

5.2 Human Physical Environment and Infrastructure Impacts

No impacts to the human physical environment and infrastructure are anticipated as a result of the Proposed Action.

Cargo ship traffic at the Port of Hilo is not anticipated to increase, but instead transition a portion of traffic from fossil fuels to biofuel. Truck traffic in the port area is not anticipated to increase, but instead transition a portion of traffic from fossil fuels to biofuel. The Facility will operate several pumps for conveying biofuel, but is not anticipated to be a major energy user. The facility is also not anticipated to use significant water or generate any industrial wastewater.
5.3 Social Impacts

The Proposed Action is not anticipated to have any negative impact on the social environment of the easement land, Facility, or surrounding area.

The Proposed Action is anticipated to have a positive economic impact on the surrounding area by providing employment opportunities during redevelopment and operation of the Facility.

5.4 Cultural Impacts

The Proposed Action is not anticipated to have any impact on the cultural environment or practices of the easement land, Facility, or surrounding area.

6.0 ALTERNATIVES

The No Action Alternative and the Alternate Pipeline/Facility alternative were considered.

Under the No Action Alternative, the easement would not be granted. Hoku Kai/Summit would not be able to conduct bulk transfer operations of biofuel and thus would not redevelop its Facility as a biofuel storage and distribution facility. The No Action Alternative does not meet the Applicants need. Additionally, the No Action Alternative, when compared to the advantage of a lower emissions profile alternative fuel, which would be made more available and affordable under the Proposed Action, would fail to serve the public purpose of cleaner energy, reducing harmful atmospheric emissions, and providing economic alternatives to businesses and the public in the Hawaiian Islands.

Under the Alternate Pipeline/Facility alternative, Hoku Kai/Summit would identify a suitable location for and construct a pier that can receive bulk marine vessels for the offloading of biofuel. The pier might be situated such that a pipeline could be constructed to the current Hoku Kai/Summit Facility or might include the development of a different property into a bulk biofuels storage and distribution facility. This alternative would require shoreline modifications and result in a loss of marine habitat. This alternative would result in a loss of public coastal access. This alternative would be cost-prohibitive but also would result in significantly more environmental impacts than the Proposed Action.

7.0 MITIGATION MEASURES

No direct negative impacts have been identified as the result of the Proposed Action. The impacts from a release of biofuel to the environment were identified as a potential secondary impact. Mitigation measures to minimize the potential of a release, as well as the impacts from a release, are included as part of the Proposed Action and are described in Section 2.2.
8.0 **DETERMINATION**

The DLNR has determined that the Proposed Action has No Significant Impact on the environment. The DLNR will issue its determination, a Finding of No Significant Impact, under HAR 11-200-11.1 or HAR 11-200-11.2 in a notice of determination letter to the Office of Environmental Quality Control.

9.0 **FINDINGS AND REASONS**

This section evaluates the identified impacts of the Proposed Action against the 13 criteria for significance outlined in HAR 11-200-12.

1. *Involves an irrevocable commitment to loss or destruction of any natural or cultural resource.*

The Proposed Action will not cause or contribute to the loss or destruction of a natural or cultural resource. The Proposed Action allows for the use of an existing petroleum pipeline and enables the redevelopment of an existing industrial facility.

2. *Curtails the range of beneficial uses of the environment.*

The Proposed Action does not curtail the range of beneficial uses of the environment.

3. *Conflicts with the state’s long-term environmental policies or goals and guidelines as expressed in chapter 344, HRS, and any revisions thereof and amendments thereto, court decisions, or executive orders.*

The Proposed Action is consistent with state and county environmental polices, goals, and guidelines.

4. *Substantially affects the economic welfare, social welfare, and cultural practices of the community or State.*

The Proposed Action will have no negative effect on the economic welfare, social welfare, or cultural practices of the community or State.

5. *Substantially affects public health.*

The Proposed Action will have no negative effect on public health.

6. *Involves substantial secondary impacts, such as population changes or effects on public facilities.*

The Proposed Action will not have substantial secondary impacts. Potential secondary impacts have been identified along with mitigation measures to prevent and limit the impact of those secondary impacts.

7. *Involves a substantial degradation of environmental quality.*
The Proposed Action will not degrade environmental quality.

8. *Is individually limited but cumulatively has considerable effect upon the environment or involves a commitment for larger actions.*

The Proposed Action is not part of a larger project nor does it involve a commitment to a larger action. The Proposed Action enables the redevelopment of an existing industrial facility. Thus, the impacts of the linked project have been evaluated in this FEA.

9. *Substantially affects a rare, threatened, or endangered species, or its habitat.*

The Proposed Action will have no effect on protected species or their habitat. The Proposed Action is within a developed industrial environment.

10. *Detrimentally affects air or water quality or ambient noise levels.*

The Proposed Action does not detrimentally affect air or water quality or ambient noise levels.

11. *Affects or is likely to suffer damage by being located in an environmentally sensitive area such as a flood plain, tsunami zone, beach, erosion-prone area, geologically hazardous land, estuary, fresh water, or coastal waters.*

The Proposed Action will not affect environmentally sensitive areas. The Proposed Action does not involve the construction of substantial infrastructure that is likely to be affected by tsunami or lava flow. The Proposed Action allows for the use of existing infrastructure that is located belowground, relatively protected from tsunami or lava flow.

12. *Substantially affects scenic vistas and viewplanes identified in county or state plans or studies.*

The Proposed Action does not affect natural beauty sites identified in the County of Hawai‘i General Plan. The Proposed Action is not anticipated to have any impact on scenic vistas or viewplanes.

13. *Requires substantial energy consumption.*

The Proposed Action does not require substantial energy consumption.
REFERENCES


County of Hawai‘i Planning Department. (2005). *County of Hawai‘i General Plan*. Hilo HI: County of Hawai‘i.


County of Hawai‘i Planning Department. (2013). *Special Management Area Maps*. Hilo HI: County of Hawai‘i.


APPENDIX A

Early Consultation Communication
May 16, 2014

Insight Environmental LLC
HC3 Box 13132
Keaau, Hawaii 96749

Dear Sirs/Madams:

SUBJECT: Early Consultation for Environmental Assessment of an Easement and Right-of-Way through State Lands, Insight Environmental LLC on behalf of Hoku Kai Biofuels LLC, Applicant, South Hilo, Hawaii, TMK: (3) 2-1-009: portion of parcels 007 & 031

Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on the subject matter. The Department of Land and Natural Resources' (DLNR) Land Division distributed or made available a copy of your report pertaining to the subject matter to DLNR Divisions for their review and comments.

At this time, enclosed are comments from the (i) Engineering Division, and (ii) Division of State Parks on the subject matter. Should you have any questions, please feel free to call Kevin Moore at 587-0426.

Sincerely,

Russell Y. Tsuji
Land Administrator

Enclosure(s)
April 21, 2014

MEMORANDUM

TO: PR:

DLNR Agencies:
- Div. of Aquatic Resources
- Div. of Boating & Ocean Recreation
- Engineering Division
- Div. of Forestry & Wildlife
- Div. of State Parks
- Commission on Water Resource Management
- Office of Conservation & Coastal Lands
- Land Division – Hawaii District
- Historic Preservation

FROM: Russell Y. Tsuji, Land Administrator

SUBJECT: Early Consultation for Environmental Assessment of an Easement and Right-of-Way through State Lands

LOCATION: South Hilo, Hawaii, TMK: (3) 2-1-009: portion of parcels 007 & 031

APPLICANT: Insight Environmental LLC on behalf of Hoku Kai Biofuels LLC

Transmitted for your review and comment is information on the above referenced project. We would appreciate your comments on this project. Please submit any comments by May 13, 2014.

If no response is received by this date, we will assume your agency has no comments. If you have any questions about this request, please contact Kevin Moore at 587-0426. Thank you.

Attachment

( ) We have no objections.
( ) We have no comments.
( ) Comments are attached.

Signed: [Signature]

Print name: Larry S. Chung, Chief Engineer
Date: 4/21/14

cc: Central Files
DEPARTMENT OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES
ENGINEERING DIVISION

LD/ Russell Y. Tsuji
REF: Early Consultation for EA of an Easement and R-O-W through State Lands, Insight Environmental LLC on behalf of Hoku Kai Biofuels LLC, South Hilo Hawaii.027

COMMENTS

() We confirm that the project site, according to the Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), is located in Flood Zone ____.  

(X) Please take note that the project site, according to the Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), is located in Flood Zones VE, AE, and X. The National Flood Insurance Program regulates developments within Zones VE and AE as indicated in bold letters below, but not Zone X. 

() Please note that the correct Flood Zone Designation for the project site according to the Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) is ____. 

(X) Please note that the project site must comply with the rules and regulations of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) presented in Title 44 of the Code of Federal Regulations (44CFR), whenever development within a Special Flood Hazard Area is undertaken. If there are any questions, please contact the State NFIP Coordinator, Ms. Carol Tyau-Beam, of the Department of Land and Natural Resources, Engineering Division at (808) 587-0267.

Please be advised that 44CFR indicates the minimum standards set forth by the NFIP. Your Community's local flood ordinance may prove to be more restrictive and thus take precedence over the minimum NFIP standards. If there are questions regarding the local flood ordinances, please contact the applicable County NFIP Coordinators below:

() Mr. Mario Siu Li at (808) 768-8098 or Ms. Ardis Shaw-Kim at (808) 768-8296 of the City and County of Honolulu, Department of Planning and Permitting. 

(X) Mr. Frank DeMarco at (808) 961-8042 of the County of Hawaii, Department of Public Works.

() Mr. Carolyn Cortez at (808) 270-7253 of the County of Maui, Department of Planning.

() Mr. Stanford Iwamoto at (808) 241-4896 of the County of Kauai, Department of Public Works.

() The applicant should include project water demands and infrastructure required to meet water demands. Please note that the implementation of any State-sponsored projects requiring water service from the Honolulu Board of Water Supply system must first obtain water allocation credits from the Engineering Division before it can receive a building permit and/or water meter.

() The applicant should provide the water demands and calculations to the Engineering Division so it can be included in the State Water Projects Plan Update.

() Additional Comments: ___________________________________________________________

() Other: _________________________________________________________________

Should you have any questions, please call Mr. Dennis Imada of the Planning Branch at 587-0257.

Signed: CARTY S. CHANG, CHIEF ENGINEER

Date: 4/28/14
FLOOD ZONE DEFINITIONS

SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS SUBJECT TO INUNDATION BY THE 1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD – The 1% annual chance flood (100-year flood), also known as the base flood, is the flood that has a 1% chance of being equaled or exceeded in any given year. The Special Flood Hazard is the area subject to flooding by the 1% annual chance flood. Areas of Special Flood Hazard include Zone A, AE, AH, AO, V, and VE. The Base Flood Elevation (BFE) is the water-surface elevation of the 1% annual chance flood. Mandatory flood insurance purchase applies in these zones:

- **Zone A**: No BFE determined.
- **Zone AE**: BFE determined.
- **Zone AH**: Flood depths of 1 to 3 feet (usually areas of ponding); BFE determined.
- **Zone AO**: Flood depths of 1 to 3 feet (usually sheet flow on sloping terrain); average depths determined.
- **Zone V**: Coastal flood zone with velocity hazard (wave action); no BFE determined.
- **Zone VE**: Coastal flood zone with velocity hazard (wave action); BFE determined.
- **Zone AEF**: Floodway areas in Zone AE. The floodway is the channel of stream plus any adjacent floodplain areas that must be kept free of encroachment so that the 1% annual chance flood can be carried without increasing the BFE.

NON-SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREA – An area in a low-to-moderate risk flood zone. No mandatory flood insurance purchase requirements apply, but coverage is available in participating communities.

- **Zone XS**: Areas of 0.2% annual chance flood; areas of 1% annual chance flood with average depths of less than 1 foot and drainage areas less than 1 square mile, and areas protected by levees from 1% annual chance flood.
- **Zone X**: Areas determined to be outside the 0.2% annual chance floodplain.

OTHER FLOOD AREAS

- **Zone D**: Unstudied areas where flood hazards are undetermined, but flooding is possible. No mandatory flood insurance purchase requirements apply, but coverage is available in participating communities.

PROPERTY INFORMATION

- **COUNTY**: HAWAII
- **TMK NO.**: (3) 2-1-009-007
- **PARCEL ADDRESS**: 99 KUHIO STREET
  - **HILO, HI 96720**
- **FIRM INDEX DATE**: APRIL 02, 2004
- **LETTER OF MAP CHANGE(S)**: NONE
- **FEMA FIRM PANEL(S)**: 155160085C
- **PANEL EFFECTIVE DATE**: SEPTEMBER 16, 1988
- **PARCEL DATA FROM**: JUNE 2013
- **IMAGERY DATA FROM**: MAY 2005

IMPORTANT PHONE NUMBERS

- **County NFIP Coordinator**
  - County of Hawaii
  - Frank DeMarco, CFM
  - (808) 961-8042

- **State NFIP Coordinator**
  - Carol Tyau-Beam, P.E., CFM
  - (808) 587-0267

Disclaimer: The Department of Land and Natural Resources (DLNR) assumes no responsibility arising from the use of the information contained in this report. Viewers/Users are responsible for verifying the accuracy of the information and agree to indemnify the DLNR from any liability, which may arise from its use.

If this map has been identified as 'PRELIMINARY' or 'UNOFFICIAL', please note that it is being provided for informational purposes and is not to be used for official/legal decisions, regulatory compliance, or flood insurance rating. Contact your county NFIP coordinator for flood zone determinations to be used for compliance with local floodplain management regulations.
FLOOD HAZARD ASSESSMENT REPORT

NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM

FLOOD ZONE DEFINITIONS

SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS SUBJECT TO INUNDATION BY THE 1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD – The 1% annual chance flood (100-year flood), also known as the base flood, is the flood that has a 1% chance of being equaled or exceeded in any given year. The Special Flood Hazard is the area subject to flooding by the 1% annual chance flood. Areas of Special Flood Hazard include Zone A, AE, AH, A1, A2, V, and VE. The Base Flood Elevation (BFE) is the water-surface elevation of the 1% annual chance flood. Mandatory flood insurance purchase applies in these zones:

- **Zone A:** No BFE determined.
- **Zone AE:** BFE determined.
- **Zone AH:** Flood depths of 1 to 3 feet (usually areas of ponding); BFE determined.
- **Zone AO:** Flood depths of 1 to 3 feet (usually sheet flow on sloping terrain); average depths determined.
- **Zone V:** Coastal flood zone with velocity hazard (wave action); no BFE determined.
- **Zone VE:** Coastal flood zone with velocity hazard (wave action); BFE determined.
- **Zone AEF:** Floodway areas in Zone AE. The floodway is the channel of stream plus any adjacent floodplain areas that must be kept free of encroachment so that the 1% annual chance flood can be carried without increasing the BFE.

NON-SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREA – An area in a low-to-moderate risk flood zone. No mandatory flood insurance purchase requirements apply, but coverage is available in participating communities.

- **Zone XS (shaded):** Areas of 0.2% annual chance flood; areas of 1% annual chance flood with average depth of less than 1 foot or with drainage areas less than 1 square mile; and areas protected by levees from 1% annual chance flood.
- **Zone X:** Areas determined to be outside the 0.2% annual chance floodplain.

OTHER FLOOD AREAS

- **Zone D:** Unstudied areas where flood hazards are undetermined, but flooding is possible. No mandatory flood insurance purchase requirements apply, but coverage is available in participating communities.

PROPERTY INFORMATION

- **COUNTY:** HAWAII
- **TMK NO:** (3) 2-1-009-031
- **PARCEL ADDRESS:**
- **FIRM INDEX DATE:** APRIL 02, 2004
- **LETTER OF MAP CHANGE(S):** NONE
- **FEMA FIRM PANEL(S):** 1551660085C
- **PANEL EFFECTIVE DATE:** SEPTEMBER 16, 1988

PARCEL DATA FROM: JUNE 2013
IMAGERY DATA FROM: MAY 2005

IMPORTANT PHONE NUMBERS

County NFIP Coordinator
County of Hawaii
Frank DeMarco, CFM
(808) 661-8042

State NFIP Coordinator
Carol Tyau-Beam, P.E., CFM
(808) 587-0267

Disclaimer: The Department of Land and Natural Resources (DLNR) assumes no responsibility arising from the use of the information contained in this report. Viewers/Users are responsible for verifying the accuracy of the information and agree to indemnify the DLNR from any liability, which may arise from its use.

If this map has been identified as 'PRELIMINARY' or 'UNOFFICIAL', please note that it is being provided for informational purposes and is not to be used for official/legal decisions, regulatory compliance, or flood insurance rating. Contact your county NFIP coordinator for flood zone determinations to be used for compliance with local floodplain management regulations.
April 21, 2014

MEMORANDUM

TO: DLNR Agencies:
   X Div. of Aquatic Resources
   X Div. of Boating & Ocean Recreation
   X Engineering Division
   X Div. of Forestry & Wildlife
   X Div. of State Parks
   X Commission on Water Resource Management
   X Office of Conservation & Coastal Lands
   X Land Division – Hawaii District
   X Historic Preservation

FROM: Russell Y. Tsuji, Land Administrator

SUBJECT: Early Consultation for Environmental Assessment of an Easement and Right-of-Way through State Lands

LOCATION: South Hilo, Hawaii, TMK: (3) 2-1-009: portion of parcels 007 & 031

APPLICANT: Insight Environmental LLC on behalf of Hoku Kai Biofuels LLC

Transmitted for your review and comment is information on the above referenced project. We would appreciate your comments on this project. Please submit any comments by May 13, 2014.

If no response is received by this date, we will assume your agency has no comments. If you have any questions about this request, please contact Kevin Moore at 587-0426. Thank you.

Attachment

( ) We have no objections.
( ) We have no comments.
( ) Comments are attached.

Signed: [Signature]

Print name: [Signature]
Date: [Date]

cc: Central Files
Mr. Evan Pfaff, P.E.
Principal
Insight Environmental LLC
HC3 Box 13132
Keaau, Hawaii 96749
Email: www.InsightHawaii.com

Dear Mr. Pfaff:

SUBJECT: Early Consultation for Environmental Assessment of an Easement and Right-of-Way through State Lands, Hilo Harbor, Hawaii

The Department of Health (DOH), Environmental Planning Office (EPO), acknowledges receipt of your letter dated April 11, 2014. Thank you for allowing us to review and comment on the subject document. The document was routed to the relevant Environmental Health divisions and offices. They will provide specific comments to you if necessary. EPO recommends that you review the standard comments at: http://health.hawaii.gov/epo/home/landuse-planning-review-program/.
You are required to adhere to all applicable standard comments.

You may also wish to review the recently revised Water Quality Standards Maps that have been updated for all islands. The new Water Quality Standards Maps (2013) can be found at: http://health.hawaii.gov/cwb/site-map/clean-water-branch-home-page/water-quality-standards/

The EPO suggests that you examine the many sources available on strategies to support the sustainable and healthy design of communities and buildings, including the following:
State of Hawaii, Office of Planning: www.planning.hawaii.gov and the 2013 ORMP;
U.H., School of Ocean and Earth Science and Technology: www.soest.hawaii.edu;
U.S. Health and Human Services: www.hhs.gov/about/sustainability; and
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency’s sustainability programs: www.epa.gov/sustainability.

The DOH encourages everyone to apply these sustainability strategies and principles early in the planning and review of projects. We also request that for future projects you consider conducting a Health Impact Assessment (HIA). More information is available at:
www.cdc.gov/healthyplaces/hia.htm; and

We request you share all of this information with others to increase community awareness on sustainable, innovative, inspirational, and healthy community design.

Mahalo,

Laura Leialoha Phillips McIntyre, AICP
Program Manager, Environmental Planning Office

c: Clean Water Branch, Hazard Evaluation & Emergency Response Office, District Health Office-Hawaii (via email)
MEMORANDUM

DATE: April 29, 2014

TO: Mr. Evan Pfaff, P.E.
Principal, Insight Environmental LLC

FROM: Newton Inouye  
District Environmental Health Program Chief

SUBJECT: Early Consultation for Environmental Assessment of an Easement and Right-of-Way through State Lands, Hilo Harbor, Hawai’i

The applicant would need to meet the requirements of our Department of Health Air Pollution Rules, Chapter 60.1, Title 11, State of Hawaii for fugitive dust control. If there is need to discuss these requirements, please contact our Clean Air Branch staff at Ph. 933-0401.

Construction activities must comply with the provisions of Hawaii Administrative Rules, Chapter 11-46, “Community Noise Control.”

1. The contractor must obtain a noise permit if the noise levels from the construction activities are expected to exceed the allowable levels of the rules.

2. Construction equipment and on-site vehicles requiring an exhaust of gas or air must be equipped with mufflers.

3. The contractor must comply with the requirements pertaining to construction activities as specified in the rules and the conditions issued with the permit.

Should there be any questions on this matter, please contact the Department of Health at 933-0917.

c:EPO

WORD: EA for Hoku Kai Biofuels LLC
April 30, 2014

Mr. Evan Pfaff, P.E.
Principal
Insight Environmental LLC
HC3 Box 13132
Keaau, Hawaii 96749

Dear Mr. Pfaff:

SUBJECT: Comments on the Early Consultation for Assessment of an Easement and Right-of-Way through State Lands
Hilo Harbor, Island of Hawaii, Hawaii

The Department of Health (DOH), Clean Water Branch (CWB), acknowledges receipt of your letter, dated April 11, 2014, requesting comments on your project. The DOH-CWB has reviewed the subject document and offers these comments. Please note that our review is based solely on the information provided in the subject document and its compliance with the Hawaii Administrative Rules (HAR), Chapters 11-54 and 11-55. You may be responsible for fulfilling additional requirements related to our program. We recommend that you also read our standard comments on our website at: http://health.hawaii.gov/epo/files/2013/10/CWB_Oct22.pdf

1. Any project and its potential impacts to State waters must meet the following criteria:

   a. Antidegradation policy (HAR, Section 11-54-1.1), which requires that the existing uses and the level of water quality necessary to protect the existing uses of the receiving State water be maintained and protected.

   b. Designated uses (HAR, Section 11-54-3), as determined by the classification of the receiving State waters.

   c. Water quality criteria (HAR, Sections 11-54-4 through 11-54-8).

2. National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit coverage is required for pollutant discharges into State surface waters and for certain situations involving storm water (HAR, Chapter 11-55).
a. Discharges into Class 2 or Class A State waters can be covered under an NPDES general permit only if all of the NPDES general permit requirements are met. Please see the DOH-CWB website (http://health.hawaii.gov/cwb/) for the NPDES general permits and instructions to request coverage.

b. All other discharges into State surface waters and discharges into Class 1 or Class AA State waters require an NPDES individual permit. To request NPDES individual permit coverage, please see the DOH-CWB forms website located at: http://health.hawaii.gov/cwb/site-map/clean-water-branch-home-page/forms/

c. NPDES permit coverage for storm water associated with construction activities is required if your project will result in the disturbance of one (1) acre or more of total land area. The total land area includes a contiguous area where multiple separate and distinct construction activities may be taking place at different times on different schedules under a larger common plan of development or sale. NPDES permit coverage is required before the start of the construction activities.

Land disturbance includes, but is not limited to clearing, grading, grubbing, uprooting of vegetation, demolition (even if leaving foundation slab), staging, stockpiling, excavation into pavement areas which go down to the base course, and storage areas (including areas on the roadway to park equipment if these areas are blocked off from public usage, grassed areas, or bare ground).

3. If your project involves work in, over, or under waters of the United States, it is highly recommend that you contact the Army Corp of Engineers, Regulatory Branch (Tel: 438-9258) regarding their permitting requirements.

Pursuant to Federal Water Pollution Control Act [commonly known as the “Clean Water Act” (CWA)], Paragraph 401(a)(1), a Section 401 Water Quality Certification (WQC) is required for “[a]ny applicant for Federal license or permit to conduct any activity including, but not limited to, the construction or operation of facilities, which may result in any discharge into the navigable waters...” (emphasis added). The term “discharge” is defined in CWA, Subsections 502(16), 502(12), and 502(6); Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Section 122.2; and Hawaii Administrative Rules (HAR), Chapter 11-54.

4. Please note that all discharges related to the project construction or operation activities, whether or not NPDES permit coverage and/or Section 401 WQC are required, must comply with the State’s Water Quality Standards. Noncompliance with water quality requirements contained in HAR, Chapter 11-54, and/or permitting requirements, specified in HAR, Chapter 11-55, may be subject to penalties of $25,000 per day per violation.
If you have any questions, please visit our website at: http://health.hawaii.gov/cwb, or contact the Engineering Section, CWB, at (808) 586-4309.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
ALEC WONG, P.E., CHIEF
Clean Water Branch

CTM:tg

c: DOH-EPO #14-059 [via email only]
Evan Pfaff, P.E.
Insight Environmental
HC3 Box 13132
Keaau, HI 96749
evan@insighthawaii.com

Site:
Hoku Kai Biofuels, 794 Kalanianaole Avenue

Subject:
Response to Request for Early Comments Regarding an Environmental Assessment of a Pipeline Easement and Right-of-Way in Hilo Harbor

Dear Evan Pfaff:

The Hawaii Department of Health (HDOH) Hazard Evaluation & Emergency Response Office (HEER Office) was provided a copy of your April 11, 2014 letter to the Environmental Health Administration regarding early consultation for environmental assessment of an easement and right-of-way through state lands at Hilo Harbor. Thank you for bringing this to HDOH attention early on.

The HEER Office is providing oversight for soil and groundwater contamination concerns remaining at the former Big Island Asphalt site (now Hoku Kai Biofuels), and at least some of those issues are linked to concerns regarding the former and now proposed new pipeline route. The former “pipeline” consisted of a larger pipeline for asphalt and two smaller oil-filled pipelines surrounding that one that were used to heat the asphalt and help it flow though the line. Although the two smaller “turbine oil lines” were cleared of oil in the past on port property, they still need to be double-checked between the port entrance and the former asphalt site property to ensure no residual is remaining. In addition, we have general concerns regarding the need to further evaluate (and address, as appropriate) whether the concrete vault or lining in which the pipeline is installed could serve as a preferred pathway for contaminants reaching the harbor in the event of a significant spill or leak into or along the pipeline route. These are examples of two issues linked to the pipeline corridor that have been identified in past, yet remain to be addressed fully.

There may be other concerns that could arise from any excavation/installation work (if necessary) on the pipeline terminus at the proposed plant site, or along the pipeline corridor to the harbor. The Hilo Harbor cargo yard area (which includes the area above
the pipeline route) is also undergoing a major upgrade over this next year, so it will be important to ensure any pipeline-related work necessary on port property is coordinated with the port’s contractors closely, for safety and scheduling concerns.

Please continue to communicate and work with the HEER Office regarding soil and groundwater related environmental issues associated with the proposed pipeline. We look forward to discussing the issues noted above, and any other relevant environmental issues as the pipeline proposal proceeds.

Sincerely,

John Peard, Project Manager
HDOH, HEER Office, Hilo
john.peard@doh.hawaii.gov
(808) 933-9921

e-cc: Laura McIntyre, EPO, laura.mcintyre@doh.hawaii.gov
April 30, 2014

Mr. Evan Pfaff, P.E.
Insight Environmental LLC
HC3 Box 13132
Kea‘au, HI 96749

Dear Mr. Pfaff:

Subject: Pre-Consultation for Draft Environmental Assessment
Project: Easement for Fuel Pipeline
TMKs: (3) 2-1-010:043, Wai‘akea, South Hilo, Hawai‘i

Thank you for your letter dated April 15, 2014, requesting comments from this office regarding the preparation of a Draft Environmental Assessment (DEA) for the subject project.

The subject parcel consists of 2.3417 acres located within the State Land Use Urban district, zoned General Industrial (MG-1a) by the County, and designated Industrial by the Hawai‘i County General Plan Land Use Pattern Allocation Guide (LUPAG) map. While the subject TMK is not within the Special Management Area (SMA), properties makai of Kalaniana‘ole Avenue are within the SMA.

We understand that Hoku Kai Biofuels LLC is seeking an easement through State lands for use of a fuel pipeline to transport biofuels to and from cargo ships in Hilo Harbor to its property on the mauka side of Kalaniana‘ole Avenue. Hoku Kai Biofuels LLC also plans to redevelop the storage tanks located on the property for the storage and distribution of biofuel.

The draft EA should include a list of the TMKs through which the easement is being requested. Should any improvements to the pipeline or accompanying infrastructure be required makai of Kalaniana‘ole Avenue and within the SMA, the applicant shall submit an SMA Assessment Application for the proposed uses, activities or operations and receive an SMA determination from the Planning Department, prior to commencement of the activities.

The County of Hawai‘i General Plan 2005 (as amended) is the policy document for the long range comprehensive development of the island of Hawai‘i and identifies the visions, values, and priorities important to the people of this County. It can be found electronically at (http://www.cohplanningdept.com/community-planning/general-plan/).
General Plan policies related to Energy, and relevant to this EA, include:

3.3(a) "Encourage the development of alternate energy resources."
3.3(f) "Strive to assure a sufficient supply of energy to support present and future demands."

Please include a discussion of the proposed project’s alignment with the General Plan.

We recommend that the draft EA include a thorough discussion on the BMPs proposed to prevent and contain any fuel spills and immediate measures to be employed to mitigate adverse environmental impacts that may result from the failure of such prevention and containment strategies. Please also include the proposed maintenance / inspection schedule for the infrastructure involved in fuel transport and storage to ensure to the extent possible that failures do not occur.

We have no further comments to offer, at this time. However, please keep us informed and provide our department with a copy of the draft EA for our review and comment. If you have any questions or if you need further assistance, please feel free to contact Lucas Mead of this office at (808) 961-8140.

Sincerely,

DUANE KANUHA
Planning Director

LM:cs
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April 30, 2014

Mr. Evan Pfaff  
Insight Environmental LLC  
HC3 Box 13132  
Keaau, Hawai‘i 96749

Dear Mr. Pfaff,

SUBJECT: EARLY CONSULTATION FOR ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT OF AN EASEMENT AND RIGHT-OF-WAY THROUGH STATE LANDS, HILO HARBOR, HAWAII

The Hawai‘i Fire Department does not have any comments to offer at this time regarding the above-referenced early consultation on Environmental Assessment.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

DARREN J. ROSARIO  
Fire Chief

KV:lc
April 22, 2014

Mr. Evan Pfaff, P.E.
Principal
Insight Environmental, LLC
HC3 Box 13132
Keaau, HI 96749

Dear Mr. Pfaff:

Subject: Early Consultation for Environmental Assessment of an Easement and Right-of-Way through State Lands, Hilo Harbor, Hawaii

Staff, upon reviewing the provided document, does not anticipate any significant impact to traffic and/or public safety concerns.

Thank you for allowing us the opportunity to comment.

If you have any questions, please contact Captain Richard Sherlock, Hilo Patrol, at 961-2214

Sincerely,

HENRY J. TAVARES, JR.
ASSISTANT POLICE CHIEF
AREA I OPERATIONS BUREAU

RS:ili
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"Hawai‘i County is an Equal Opportunity Provider and Employer"
May 14, 2014

Mr. Evan Pfaff
Insight Environmental LLC
HC3 Box 13132
Kea'au, HI 96749

PRE-ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT CONSULTATION
EASEMENT AND RIGHT-OF-WAY THROUGH STATE LANDS
FOR HOKU KAI BIOFUELS LLC
TAX MAP KEY 2-1-010:043

This is in response to your Pre-Environmental Assessment consultation letter dated April 11, 2014.

Please be informed that there is an existing 6-inch waterline within Kalaniana‘ole Street, fronting the subject parcel. The subject parcel is currently serviced through a 1½-inch meter. The applicant should provide our department with construction plans for review and approval, so that we may identify any affected water system facilities. Should the proposed improvements require the relocation, adjustment, and/or modification of our department’s existing water system facilities, the owner/applicant shall be responsible for the construction work and costs for said work.

Due to the proposed commercial re-development of the subject parcel, the Department requests that water usage calculations, prepared by a professional engineer licensed in the State of Hawai‘i, be submitted to show the estimated maximum daily water usage for the development. Should the estimated maximum daily water usage exceed the capacity of the existing meter, the applicant may be required to install an additional or larger meter, and remit the prevailing facilities charge, which is subject to change.

If a larger or additional meter is required, a backflow prevention assembly will also be required for that meter. The installation of the backflow prevention assembly(s) must be inspected and approved by the Department.

Please be informed that the existing 6-inch waterline fronting the parcel is inadequate to provide 2,000 GPM of fire flow required per the Department’s Water System Standards. A minimum 12-inch diameter waterline, or a “looped” 8-inch diameter waterline, must front the property to provide the required 2,000 GPM fire flow. The closest 12-inch waterline is located at the intersection of Kamehameha Avenue and Kea’a Street, approximately 3,200 feet from the subject parcel. The applicant should contact the Fire Department to determine any other fire protection requirements or alternatives.

Should there be any questions, please contact Ryan Quitoriano of our Water Resources and Planning Branch at 961-8070, extension 256.

Sincerely yours,

Quirino Antonio, Jr., P.E.
Manager-Chief Engineer

RQ:jms

...Water, Our Most Precious Resource... Ka Wai A Kāne...
APPENDIX B

Draft Environmental Assessment Comments and Response Letters
Insight Environmental LLC  
HC3 Box 13132  
Keaau, Hawaii 96749

October 23, 2014

Dear Sirs/Madams:

SUBJECT: Draft Environmental Assessment (DEA) Hoku Kai Biofuels Easement at Port of Hilo, Insight Environmental LLC on behalf of Hoku Kai Biofuels LLC, Applicant, South Hilo, Hawaii, TMK: (3) 2-1-009: portion of parcels 007 & 031

Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on the subject matter. The Department of Land and Natural Resources' (DLNR) Land Division distributed or made available a copy of your report pertaining to the subject matter to DLNR Divisions for their review and comments.

At this time, enclosed are comments from the (i) Engineering Division, and (ii) Land Division on the subject matter. Should you have any questions, please feel free to call Kevin Moore at 587-0426.

Sincerely,

Russell V. Tsui
Land Administrator

Enclosure(s)
MEMORANDUM

FOR: From: DLNR Agencies: 
   ☑ Div. of Aquatic Resources 
   ☐ Div. of Boating & Ocean Recreation 
   ☑ Engineering Division 
   ☐ Div. of Forestry & Wildlife 
   ☐ Div. of State Parks 
   ☐ Commission on Water Resource Management 
   ☑ Office of Conservation & Coastal Lands 
   ☑ Land Division – Hawaii District 
   ☑ Historic Preservation

FROM: Russell Y. Tsuji, Land Administrator

SUBJECT: Draft Environmental Assessment (DEA) Hoku Kai Biofuels Easement at Port of Hilo

LOCATION: South Hilo, Hawaii, TMK: (3) 2-1-009: portion of parcels 007 & 031

APPLICANT: Insight Environmental LLC on behalf of Hoku Kai Biofuels LLC

Transmitted for your review and comment is information on the above referenced project. The DEA was published in the September 23, 2014 edition of the Office of Environmental Quality Control’s The Environmental Notice, and is available at the OEQC’s website. A hard copy is available for review in the Land Division office in Honolulu. We would appreciate your comments on this project. Please submit any comments by October 22, 2014.

If no response is received by this date, we will assume your agency has no comments. If you have any questions about this request, please contact Kevin Moore at 587-0426. Thank you.

Attachment

Additional

☐ We have no objections.
☐ We have no comments.
☐ Comments are attached.

Signed:

Print name: Curt S. Chang, Chief Engineer

Date: 10/31/14

cc: Central Files
September 30, 2014

MEMORANDUM

TO:  DLNR Agencies:
     X Div. of Aquatic Resources
     __ Div. of Boating & Ocean Recreation
     X Engineering Division
     __ Div. of Forestry & Wildlife
     __ Div. of State Parks
     __ Commission on Water Resource Management
     X Office of Conservation & Coastal Lands
     X Land Division – Hawaii District
     X Historic Preservation

FROM:  Russell Y. Tsuji, Land Administrator

SUBJECT:  Draft Environmental Assessment (DEA) Hoku Kai Biofuels Easement at Port of Hilo

LOCATION:  South Hilo, Hawaii, TMK: (3) 2-1-009: portion of parcels 007 & 031

APPLICANT:  Insight Environmental LLC on behalf of Hoku Kai Biofuels LLC

Transmitted for your review and comment is information on the above referenced project. The DEA was published in the September 23, 2014 edition of the Office of Environmental Quality Control’s The Environmental Notice, and is available at the OEQC’s website. A hard copy is available for review in the Land Division office in Honolulu. We would appreciate your comments on this project. Please submit any comments by October 22, 2014.

If no response is received by this date, we will assume your agency has no comments. If you have any questions about this request, please contact Kevin Moore at 587-0426. Thank you.

Attachment

( ) We have no objections.
( ) We have no comments.
( ) Comments are attached.

Signed: ______________

Print name: Gordon Cheit
Date: 10/7/14

cc:  Central Files
Mr. Evan Pfaff, P.E.
Principal
Insight Environmental LLC
HC3 Box 13132
Keaau, Hawaii 96749
Email: evan@InsightHawaii.com

Dear Mr. Pfaff:

SUBJECT: Draft Environmental Assessment of Hoku Kai Biofuels (an Easement and Right-of-Way through State Lands) at Port of Hilo, Hilo Harbor, Hawaii

The Department of Health (DOH), Environmental Planning Office (EPO), acknowledges receipt of your letter dated September 26, 2014. Thank you for allowing us to review and comment on the subject document that is available online at: http://oepc.doh.hawaii.gov/Shared%20Documents/EA_and_EIS_Online_Library/Hawaii/2010s/2014-09-23-HA-5B-DEA-Hoku-Kai-Biofuels-Easement-at-Port-of-Hilo.pdf

The document was routed electronically to the relevant Environmental Health branches and offices. They will provide specific comments to you if necessary. EPO recommends that you review the standard comments at: http://health.hawaii.gov/eo/landuse-planning-review-program/.

You are required to adhere to all applicable standard comments and permit requirements. We encourage you to examine and utilize the Hawaii Environmental Health Portal. The portal provides links to our e-Permitting Portal, Environmental Health Warehouse, Groundwater Contamination Viewer, Hawaii Emergency Response Exchange, Hawaii State and Local Emission Inventory System, Water Pollution Control Viewer, Water Quality Data, Warnings, Advisories and Postings. The Portal is continually updated. Please visit it regularly at: https://cha-cloud.doh.hawaii.gov You may also wish to review the recently revised Water Quality Standards Maps that have been updated for all islands. The new Water Quality Standards Maps can be found at: http://health.hawaii.gov/cwb/sje-map/clean-water-branch-home-page/water-quality-standards/.

The EPO suggests that you examine the many sources available on strategies to support sustainability:

2014 National Climate Change Report – Highlights for Hawaii:


U.S. Health and Human Services: www.hhs.gov/about/sustainability;

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency’s sustainability programs: www.epa.gov/sustainability;

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC):


We request you share all of this information with others to increase community awareness on sustainable, innovative, inspirational, and healthy community design.

Mahalo,

Laura Leialoha Phillips McIntyre, AICP
Program Manager, Environmental Planning Office

October 14, 2014

Mr. Evan Pfaﬀ, P.E.
Insight Environmental LLC
HC3 Box 13132
Kea’au, HI 96749

Dear Mr. Pfaﬀ:

Subject: Request for Comments on Draft Environmental Assessment
Project: Easement for Fuel Pipeline
TMKs: (3) 2-1-009:007, 031(Por.), 037 & 999(Por.), Waiakae, South Hilo, Hawai‘i

Thank you for your letter dated September 26, 2014, requesting comments from this office regarding the Draft Environmental Assessment (DEA) for the subject project.

The subject parcels are located within the State Land Use Urban district, zoned General Industrial (MG-Ia) by the County, and designated Industrial by the Hawai‘i County General Plan Land Use Pattern Allocation Guide (LUPAG) map. The subject parcels are all within the Special Management Area (SMA).

Hoku Kai Biofuels LLC / Summit Biofuel LLC is seeking an approximately 8,175 s.f. easement through three State and one County parcel for repair and operation of a fuel pipeline to transport biofuels to and from cargo ships in Hilo Harbor to its property on the mauka side of Kalaniana‘ole Avenue on TMK (3) 2-1-010:043. The County of Hawai‘i Planning Department will require the applicant to submit an SMA Use Permit Assessment Application for the project for parcels within the SMA to review against the SMA guidelines per Chapter 205A-26, Hawai‘i Revised Statutes.

Specific comments on the draft EA:

Page 6  It would be helpful to see a site plan of the proposed redevelopment of the facility, including structures proposed for removal and new structures proposed for operation and spill prevention.

Page 6  What is the current age and projected safe operational lifespan of both the transfer pipelines and the Aboveground Storage Tanks (ASTs) given their past and planned use?

Page 6  What is the proposed biofuel storage capacity at the facility?

Page 6  Biofuel spills could also occur during transfer of biofuel from ship to ASTs, and ASTs to ship, and should be considered in any spill prevention program.

Page 7  What is the worst case spill scenario and volume that the secondary containment area will be designed to contain?
The Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasures Plan should be summarized or appended to the document.

Are there any design modifications proposed to protect ASTs and associated infrastructure from tsunami? Is there any record of historical tsunami damage to the facility or the property the facility stands upon?

The draft EA states that the potential for release of fuel to the marine waters, port, or soils of the facility is inherent in the transportation, transfer, and use of liquid fuels. As such, the draft EA should indicate all planned environmental monitoring protocols, immediate measures, containment strategies and reporting procedures to mitigate to the absolute extent possible any resultant environmental impact caused by such a release.

We have no further comments to offer, at this time. However, please keep us informed and provide our department with a copy of the final EA for our review and comment. If you have any questions or if you need further assistance, please feel free to contact Lucas Mead of this office at (808) 961-8140.

Sincerely,

DUANE KANUHA
Planning Director

LM:cs
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October 28, 2014

Duane Kanuha
Director
County of Hawai‘i
Planning Department
101 Pauahi Street, Suite 3
Hilo, HI 96720

Subject: Response to Comments on Draft Environmental Assessment, Hoku Kai Biofuels LLC
Easement and Right-of-Way Through State Land, Hilo Harbor, Hawai‘i

Mr. Kanuha,

Thank you for the comments provided in your October 14, 2014 letter from the County of Hawai‘i Planning Department on the subject Draft Environmental Assessment (DEA).

With regard to the Special Management Area (SMA), Insight Environmental LLC, on behalf of Hoku Kai Biofuels LLC, will be submitting an SMA Use Permit Assessment Application for the project parcels within the SMA.

With regard to the Planning Department’s eight specific comments on the DEA, as follows are responses to each comment. Additionally, the Final Environmental Assessment (FEA) has been modified to reflect the content of the response provided below.

Page 6: It would be helpful to see a site plan of the proposed redevelopment of the facility, including structures proposed for removal and new structures proposed for the operation and spill prevention.

Final redevelopment plans for the biofuels storage and distribution facility have not been finalized and are subject to the condition of the property and existing infrastructure, which is currently under investigation. Current anticipated redevelopment plans, as described in Section 2.2 will be depicted on Figure 4 of the FEA, which is attached to this letter.

Page 6: What is the current age and projected safe operational lifespan of both the transfer pipelines and the Aboveground Storage Tanks (ASTs) given their past and planned use?

The pipeline and Facility ASTs were installed in the early 1960’s. Initial visual inspections have indicated that the pipeline is in good condition. The ASTs, while in need of some repair work, also appear to be in usable condition. The operational lifespan of pipelines and steel tanks is not fixed but is determined by the maintenance and repair work performed. A rigors protocol of inspections and testing, as established by the American Petroleum Institute (API) Standard 653 (API-653), Tank Inspection, Repair, Alteration, and Reconstruction certifies that the pipeline and ASTs are in proper working order.

Page 6: What is the proposed biofuel storage capacity of the facility?

The proposed biofuel storage capacity of the Facility is the capacity of the existing ASTs on the property, 1.2 million gallons.
Page 6: Biofuel spills could also occur during transfer of biofuel from ship to ASTs, and ASTs to ship, and should be considered in any spill prevention plan.

Yes, biofuel spills during ship to shore and shore to ship transfer operations are a possibility. Spill prevention, control, and countermeasures for these operations need to be planned and trained for. This need and the intention to address this need are stated in the last paragraph of Section 2.2 of the DEA and FEA.

Page 7: What is the worst case spill scenario and volume that the secondary containment area will be designed to contain?

It is anticipated that the AST secondary containment area will be designed to contain the volume of the largest AST located within the area, plus additional volume for precipitation. This would be approximately 0.5 million gallons. It is anticipated that secondary containment for transfer operations will be sized to contain the spill that would occur as a result of a transfer hose failure, approximately 100 gallons.

Page 7: The Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasures Plan should be summarized or appended to the document.

The Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasures Plan (SPCC) for the Facility is dependent on final redevelopment plans for the Facility, which are currently being developed. Thus, the SPCC Plan for the Facility is not yet drafted. However, with limited exception, the SPCC Rule (40 CFR 112) requires that all SPCC Plans include:

- a Facility description,
- secondary containment for bulk storage containers, portable containers, piping, and transfer areas,
- Facility and container inspections,
- container testing,
- procedures and equipment for spill prevention, control, and countermeasures, and
- personnel training.

Page 12: Are there any design modifications proposed to protect ASTs and associated infrastructure from tsunami? Is there any record of historical tsunami damage to the facility or the property the facility stands upon?

No design modifications are proposed to specifically protect the pipeline and Facility from tsunami damage. The entire course of the existing pipeline is located underground, providing a substantial level of protection from tsunami damage. Both the pipeline and the Facility are within the Hilo Bay breakwater, which provides some protection from tsunami damage. The Facility AST secondary containment structure, while not designed to withstand tsunami forces, would provide some protection to Facility infrastructure from a small wave. Additionally, Hoku Kai/Summit intends to plant a row of dense palms along the front of the property that would also provide some protection from minor waves. This information has been included in Section 2.2 of the FEA.
Initial development (construction of permanent structures) of the Facility property is known to have occurred in the early 1960’s, after the 1960 tsunami. The 1975 tsunami did not have significant impacts to the Hilo Harbor area. There is no record of historical tsunami damage to the Facility or the Facility property. No historical tsunami damage is understood to have occurred. This information has been included in Section 4.5 of the FEA.

Page 15: The draft EA states that the potential for release of fuel to the marine waters, port, or soils of the facility is inherent in the transportation, transfer, and use of liquid fuels. As such, the draft EA should indicate all planned environmental monitoring protocols, immediate measures, containment strategies and reporting procedures to mitigate to the absolute extent possible any resultant environmental impact caused by such a release.

Hoku Kai/Summit will construct and operate the Facility in a manner that prepares for and prevents negative environmental impacts from its activities to the full extent required by Federal and State law, industry standards, and best industry practice.

I hope that the provided responses and modifications to the FEA have satisfactorily addressed your comments. Once published, a copy of the FEA will be provided to the Planning Department.

Sincerely,

Evan Pfaff, P.E.
Principal
Insight Environmental LLC

Attached: FEA Figure 4. Facility Redevelopment Plan
Figure 4. Facility Redevelopment Plan
Final Environmental Assessment
Easement and Right-of-Way Through State Land
Hilo Harbor, Hilo, Hawai‘i
TMK (3) 2-1-009:007& 031 (portion)
June 2013
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October 6, 2014

Mr. Evan Pfaff, P.E.
Principal
Insight Environmental, LLC
HC3 Box 13132
Keaau, HI 96749

Dear Mr. Pfaff:

Subject: DEA (AFNSI) HOKU KAI BIOFUELS EASEMENT AT PORT OF HILO, HILO, HAWAII

Staff, upon reviewing the provided document, does not anticipate any significant impact to traffic and/or public safety concerns.

Thank you for allowing us the opportunity to comment.

If you have any questions, please contact Captain Richard Sherlock, Hilo Patrol, at 961-2214

Sincerely,

HENRY L. TAVARES, JR.
ASSISTANT POLICE CHIEF
AREA I OPERATIONS BUREAU

RS: HLI
140251