

JOSH GREEN, M.D.
GOVERNOR | KE KIA'ĀINA

KEITH A. REGAN
ACTING LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR
KA HOPE KIA'ĀINA KŪ WĀ



STATE OF HAWAII | KA MOKU'ĀINA 'O HAWAII'
DEPARTMENT OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES
KA 'OIHANA KUMUWAIWAI 'ĀINA

P.O. BOX 621
HONOLULU, HAWAII 96809

DAWN N.S. CHANG
CHAIRPERSON
BOARD OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES
COMMISSION ON WATER RESOURCE
MANAGEMENT

RYAN K.P. KANAKA'OLE
FIRST DEPUTY

CIARA W.K. KAHAHANE
DEPUTY DIRECTOR - WATER

AQUATIC RESOURCES
BOATING AND OCEAN RECREATION
BUREAU OF CONVEYANCES
COMMISSION ON WATER RESOURCE
MANAGEMENT
CONSERVATION AND COASTAL LANDS
CONSERVATION AND RESOURCES
ENFORCEMENT
ENGINEERING
FORESTRY AND WILDLIFE
HISTORIC PRESERVATION
KAHOOLAWE ISLAND RESERVE COMMISSION
LAND
STATE PARKS

May 1, 2026

Via Email

Ms. Rene Umberger
Executive Director
For the Fishes
rene@forthefishes.org

Re: For the Fishes Comments on the Department of Land and Natural Resources' Proposed Exemption List

Aloha Ms. Umberger,

Thank you for your comments on the Department of Land and Natural Resources' (DLNR) Proposed Exemption List. Your comments focused on *General Exemption Type 4, Part 1, Number 21*.

Currently, DLNR utilizes *General Exemption Type 1, Part 1, Number 44* to issue a variety of permits across various Divisions. This language is notably similar to the language proposed for *General Exemption Type 4, Part 1, Number 21* and *General Exemption Type 5, Part 1, Number 20*:

(Current DLNR Exemption List, rev. 11/10/20) General Exemption Type 1, Part 1, Number 44: "Permits, licenses, registrations, and rights-of-entry issued by the Department that are routine in nature, involving negligible impacts beyond that previously existing."

(Proposed Revisions, 2026) General Exemption Type 4, Part 1, Number 21: "Issue permits, licenses, registrations, and rights-of-entry that are routine in nature, involving negligible impacts beyond that previously existing and result in minor alterations in the conditions of land, water, or vegetation."

(Proposed Revisions, 2026) General Exemption Type 5, Part 1, Number 20: "Issue permits, licenses, registrations, and rights-of-entry that are routine in nature, involving negligible impacts beyond that previously existing and do not result in a serious or major disturbance to an environmental resource."

DLNR's intention to add the two proposed exemptions was to better differentiate between permits, licenses, registrations, and rights-of-entry by more closely reflecting the General Exemption Type in which they are categorized:

- 1) General Exemption Type 1:** Operations, repairs or maintenance of existing structures, facilities, equipment, or topographical features, involving minor expansion or minor change of use beyond that previously existing;
- 2) General Exemption Type 4:** Minor alterations in the conditions of land, water, or vegetation; and
- 3) General Exemption Type 5:** Basic data collection, research, experimental management, and resource and infrastructure testing and evaluation activities that do not result in a serious or major disturbance to an environmental resource.

DLNR is not amenable to adding the clarifier of “non-extractive activities,” as there are “extractive” activities, such as gathering for personal use, collection for traditional and customary Hawaiian practices, and research that DLNR believes fit the intent of HRS § 343-6(a)(2). Exemptions are only for activities that “probably have minimal or no significant effects on the environment” (HRS § 343-6(a)(2)), considered “individually or cumulatively” (HAR § 11-200.1-59(a)).

Additionally, in 2017 the Hawai‘i Supreme Court concluded that commercial aquarium collection of an unlimited number of fish is an action under HEPA that is not exempt from the preparation of an environmental assessment. In 2021, the environmental impact statement for West Hawai‘i commercial aquarium collection was accepted. After the HEPA environmental review process was completed, DLNR began the rulemaking process to begin issuing permits for West Hawai‘i commercial aquarium collection. The proposed rules require that applicants demonstrate that they are a party of an approved environmental assessment with a finding of no significant impact or an accepted environmental impact statement. According to the 2017 Hawai‘i Supreme Court ruling, applicants do not qualify for an exemption.

DLNR values public participation for its projects. If you have any further concerns or would like to meet about this issue, please contact me at ryan.kp.kanakaole@hawaii.gov.

Sincerely,



Ryan Kanaka‘ole
Acting Chairperson
Department of Land and Natural Resources

cc:
Environmental Review Program (dbedt.opsd.erp@hawaii.gov)
Michele Lefebvre (michele.louise.lefebvre@gmail.com)

Attachment: For the Fishes Letter



April 13, 2026

Environmental Advisory Council
Office of Planning and Sustainable Development
Department of Business, Economic Development & Tourism
State of Hawai'i

Re: Proposed Exemption List for the Department of Land and Natural Resources, dated February 3, 2026

Dear Chair Hegger-Nordblom, Vice chair Stone, and members of the Council,

For the Fishes respectfully submits this testimony in strong opposition to the Department of Land and Natural Resources' (DLNR) proposed addition of **General Exemption Type 4, Part 1, No. 21**, as currently written, to its exemption list.

This exemption creates a loophole big enough to drive decades of documented reef damage through. Activities like commercial aquarium collection have already reduced fish populations by up to 80%—they are the opposite of “minimal impact.” Calling them exempt doesn't make them harmless; it just removes accountability.

This Proposed Exemption Is Overbroad and Legally Deficient

As written, this exemption would apply to permits, licenses, registrations, and rights-of-entry for “ongoing activities.” This language is overly broad on its face and fails to meet the requirements of the Hawai'i Environmental Policy Act (HEPA), which allows exemptions only for actions that will “*probably have minimal or no significant effects on the environment,*” both individually and cumulatively (HRS § 343-6(a)(2)).

This proposal lacks the limiting criteria necessary to ensure that only truly minimal-impact activities qualify. As such, it creates a dangerous loophole.

Risk of Misuse: Exempting Harmful Extractive Activities

Without clear limitations, this exemption could be used to bypass environmental review for **ongoing extractive activities**, including commercial aquarium fish collection—an industry with a long, well-documented history of ecological harm and regulatory evasion.

DLNR has already signaled its intent to rely on HEPA exemptions in future aquarium trade permitting. The agency's draft aquarium rule—whose public comment period closed yesterday—explicitly defines “HEPA exemption” as a pathway for approving such activities without environmental review. This raises

serious concerns that the proposed exemption could be used to shield commercial aquarium collection from scrutiny.

Decades of Evidence Show Significant Environmental Harm

The aquarium trade does not meet the legal standard for exemption.

DLNR's own 1998 *State of the Reefs* report identified aquarium collection as a major cause of coral reef degradation in Hawai'i, particularly on O'ahu and Hawai'i Island. A DLNR/DAR-funded study found population declines of **45% to 63%** for targeted species in collection areas, with clear shifts in reef fish community structure (Appendix 1).

Long-term monitoring data further demonstrate severe depletion:

- Yellow tang populations in collection areas were reduced by **over 60% in most years**, and by **80% or more in multiple years**, compared to protected areas.
- These impacts were sustained over decades and repeatedly documented in reports to NOAA and the Legislature.




Figure 1. Long-term depletion of yellow tang in West Hawai'i aquarium collection areas compared to protected areas. Bars represent the % difference in abundance for each year from 1999 to 2018. Bars below the x axis indicate lower abundance in the collected areas than the protected areas.¹

Across most years, populations in collected areas were reduced by more than 60%, and by 80% or more in multiple years—demonstrating sustained, significant impacts inconsistent with “minimal impact” activities under HEPA.

¹ DLNR/DAR (2019). Report to the Thirtieth Legislature, 2020 Regular Session Findings and Recommendations of Effectiveness of the West Hawai'i Regional Fishery Management Area (WHRFMA)

Case Study: Paku'iku'i (Achilles Tang)

The impacts on paku'iku'i provide a clear warning of what happens when extractive activities are allowed to proceed without timely intervention:

- Aquarium collectors removed up to 80% of the population along the majority of the West Hawai'i coastline.
- DAR documented these declines as early as 2006 and repeatedly characterized the impact as "major."

Catch is the average aquarium catch over FY `06 – `10				
Scientific Name		Catch	30'-60' Population	Catch as % of Population
<i>Acanthurus achilles</i>		8,477	10,655	79.56%

Catch is the average aquarium catch over FY `10 – `12					
Scientific Name	Common Name		Catch	30'-60' Population	Catch as % of Population
<i>Acanthurus achilles</i>	Achilles Tang		9,801	13,666	77.38%

Figure 2. Severe depletion of paku'iku'i (Achilles tang) associated with aquarium collection pressure. Population estimates and % of population taken by aquarium collectors. Catch is the average aquarium catch over FY `06 – `10; and FY `10 - `12.^{2 3}

Aquarium collectors removed up to 80% of the population across much of West Hawai'i's coastline, a level of extraction DAR itself identified as having a "major impact," ultimately contributing to population collapse and emergency closure. Despite this, no protective action was taken for over a decade.

By 2022, populations had collapsed to the point that an emergency rule banning all take and possession—including for food fishing—was required.

This outcome illustrates the real-world consequences of allowing ongoing extractive activities to proceed without adequate environmental safeguards.

Exemptions Must Not Apply to Extractive Activities

Given this record, it is clear that extractive activities—especially those with a demonstrated history of population depletion—cannot reasonably be considered to have "minimal or no significant effects."

² DLNR/DAR, Walsh et. al (2010) Long-Term Monitoring of Coral Reefs of the Main Hawaiian Islands Final Report 2009 NOAA Coral Reef Conservation Program State of Hawai'i Monitoring Report NA06NOS4260113 10/01/2006 - 09/30/2010

³ DLNR/DAR Walsh et. al (2012) Long-Term Monitoring of Coral Reefs of the Main Hawaiian Islands Final Report 2009 NOAA Coral Reef Conservation Program Hawai'i Island Monitoring Report NA09NOS4260100 10/01/2009 – 12/31/2012

To ensure compliance with HEPA and prevent misuse, we strongly urge the Council to amend the proposed exemption as follows:

“Issue permits, licenses, registrations, and rights-of-entry that are routine in nature, involving non-extractive activities with negligible impacts beyond those previously existing and resulting in only minor alterations to land, water, or vegetation.”

This clarification is essential to prevent the exemption from being used to bypass environmental review for activities that have already caused substantial ecological harm.

Conclusion

The proposed exemption, as currently written, is inconsistent with HEPA, unsupported by the scientific record, and vulnerable to misuse. Hawai‘i’s reefs—and the communities that depend on them—cannot afford further erosion of environmental safeguards.


We respectfully urge the Council to amend this section.

Mahalo for the opportunity to testify.

Sincerely,

Rene Umberger
Executive Director
For the Fishes

APPENDIX 1. DLNR STATE OF THE REEFS REPORT



State of Hawai'i
Department of Land and Natural Resources • Division of Aquatic Resources

Athline M. Clark
Dave Gulko

APPENDIX 1. DLNR STATE OF THE REEFS REPORT

WHAT WERE THE MAIN CAUSES OF HAWAIIAN CORAL REEF DEGRADATION IN 1998?

Keep in mind that this chart only applies to those areas of a listed island that contain coral reefs and not necessarily to the island as a whole.

	NWHI	Kaua'i	O'ahu	Moloka'i Lana'i	Kaho'olawe	Maui	Hawai'i
NATURAL IMPACTS							
Hurricanes & Storms	Major	Major	Major	Major	Major	Major	Major
Acanthaster Outbreaks	Major	Major	Major	Major	Major	Major	Major
Coral Bleaching	Major	Major	Major	Major	Major	Major	Major
Coral Diseases	Major	Major	Major	Major	Major	Major	Major
ANTHROPOGENIC IMPACTS							
Alluvial Sediment	Major	Major	Major	Major	Major	Major	Major
Aquarium Fish	Major	Major	Major	Major	Major	Major	Major
Fishing - Commercial	1	2	2	2	2	2	2
Fishing - Destructive	Major	Major	2	Major	Major	Major	Major
Fishing - Recreational	Major	Major	Major	Major	3	Major	Major
Marine Debris	Major	Major	Major	Major	Major	Major	Major
Oil & Chemical Spills	4	5	Major	Major	Major	Major	6
Pollution/Nutrients - Agricultural	Major	Major	Major	Major	Major	Major	Major
Pollut./Nutr. - Urban/Industrial	Major	Major	Major	Major	Major	Major	Major
Sediment Runoff	Major	Major	Major	Major	Major	Major	Major
Tourism & Leisure	7	Major	Major	Major	Major	Major	Major
Urbanization - Coastal	Major	Major	Major	Major	Major	Major	Major
Urbanization - Dredging	Major	Major	Major	Major	Major	Major	8

- No Impact
- Minimal Impact
- Moderate Impact
- Major Impact

- 1 Primarily Kaimuki Grounding Oct. '98
- 2 Chlorine Poisoning Case South Shore of Oahu & Deep Water Gill Near Waimanalo Coast
- 3 Entirely Indigenous Fishing
- 4 Primarily from the Kaimuki Grounding Incident Oct. '98
- 5 Includes Tesoro Oil Spill
- 6 Includes Kaneohe Pinnacles Chemical Spill Sept. '98
- 7 Midway Excavation Operation
- 8 Includes Kaneohe Harbor Expansion