## HRS 343-5(c), APPLICANT ACTION ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT CHECKLIST

Append to FEA-EISPN Applicant Actions Checklist

Action (Project) Name:	FOR OEQC USE ONLY
Applicant:	·
Applicant.	Date of Publication:
Approving Agency <sup>1</sup>	Comment Deadline:
Island and Tax Map Key	Comment Deadline:
isiand and Tax Map Rey	
PART A: DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT (fill OEQC and the Approving Agency with OEQC Public verification by OEQC - with 45-day public comment	cation Form and Distribution List for
Content Requirements (see Section 11-200-17, HAR)	
1. Summary sheet (abstract) which concisely discusses the fo	llowing:
Brief description of the action	-
Significant beneficial and adverse impacts (including of	cumulative and secondary impacts)
Proposed mitigation measures Alternatives considered	
Unresolved issues	
Compatibility with land use plans and policies	
Listing of permits or approvals	
2. Table of contents	
3. Statement of purpose and need for the proposed action	
4. Project description which shall include the	
following:	Florida and Bata Manager Florida
A detailed map (U. S. Geological Survey topographic, Boundary Maps) and a related regional map	Flood Insurance Rate Maps, or Floodway
Statement of objectives	
<ul> <li>General description of the action's technical, economic</li> <li>Use of public funds or lands for the action (if any)</li> </ul>	c, social and environmental characteristics
Phasing and timing of action	
Summary of technical data, diagrams and other inform	nation necessary to permit an
evaluation of potential environmental impact by co	ommenting agencies and the public
Historic (archaeological and cultural) perspective	
5. Discussion of alternatives that could attain the objectives of	the action, regardless of cost, in sufficient
detail to explain why they were rejected	
Rigorous exploration and objective evaluation of the e actions	environmental impacts of all such alternative
Alternatives that enhance environmental quality or avo	oid, reduce, or minimize some or all of
the adverse environmental effects, costs, and risks	s (if any)
No action alternative	
Alternatives requiring actions of a significantly different	nt nature that would provide similar benefits
with different environmental impacts (if any) Alternatives related to different designs or details of the	ne proposed actions, which would
present different environmental impacts (if any)	to proposed detions, willon would

Alternative of postponing the action pending further study (if any)	
Alternative locations for the proposed project (if any)	
Comparative evaluation of the environmental benefits, costs, and risks of the proposed action and each reasonable alternative	
Detailed explanation(s) why alternatives were rejected	
6. Description of the environmental setting	
Description of the environment <sup>2</sup> in the vicinity of the action as its exists before commencement of the	Э
action from a local and regional perspective	
Environmental resources that are rare or unique to the region and the project site (including natural or human- made resources of historic, archaeological, contemporary cultural, or aesthetic significance)	
Reference to related projects, public and private, existent or planned in the region	
Population and growth characteristics, population and growth assumptions used to justify the action	
Identification of data sources used to identify, qualify, or evaluate any and all environmental consequences	
7. Relationship to land use plans, policies, and controls	
Discussion of how the proposed action may conform or conflict with objectives and specific terms of	
approved or proposed land use plans, policies, and controls, if any	
Where a conflict or inconsistency exists, reasons why the agency or applicant has decided to proceed notwithstanding the absence of full reconciliation	
List and status of necessary approvals from governmental agencies, boards or commissions or	
other similar groups having jurisdiction	
8. Probable impact (using the environmental setting described above as the backdrop for analysis and	
discussion)	
<ul> <li>Impacts (direct, indirect, and cumulative) of the project on the environment</li> <li>Impacts (direct, indirect, and cumulative) of the natural physical and human environment on the project</li> </ul>	ect
Consideration of all phases of the action and consideration of all environmental consequences	00.
Discussion of direct and indirect effects	
Discussion of cumulative environmental impacts in the reasonably foreseeable future of the proposed action in relation to other projects	
Proposed action in relation to other projects Population and growth impacts of an action	
Discussion and incorporation of necessary data (if the proposed action constitutes an direct or	
indirect source of pollution determined by a government agency)	
9. Relationship between local short-term uses of humanity's environment and the maintenance and	
enhancement of long- term productivity  Discussion of the extent to which the proposed action involves trade-offs among short-term and	
long-term gains and losses	
Discussion of the extent to which the proposed action forecloses future options, narrows the range	
of beneficial uses of the environment	
NOTE: Short-term and long-term do not necessarily refer to any fixed time periods, but shall be viewed in	
terms of the environmentally significant consequences of the proposed action.	
10. Separate and distinct section containing the description of all irreversible and irretrievable commitments	
of resources that would be involved in the proposed action should it be implemented	
<ul> <li>Identification of unavoidable impacts</li> <li>Identification of the extent to which the action makes use of non-renewable resources during the</li> </ul>	
phases of the action	
NOTE: Agencies shall avoid construing the term "resources" to mean only the labor and materials devoted	
to an action. "Resources" also means the natural and cultural resources committed to loss or	
destruction by the action.	
11. Addresses all probable adverse environmental effects which cannot be avoided	

Water or air pollution
Urban congestion
<ul> <li>Threats to public health</li> <li>Consequences adverse to environmental goals and guidelines established by environmental response laws, coastal zone management laws, pollution control and abatement laws, and environmental policy</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Rationale for proceeding with proposed action notwithstanding unavoidable effects</li> <li>Discussion of other interests and considerations of governmental policies that are thought to offset the adverse environmental effects of the proposed action.</li> <li>Discussion of the extent to which stated countervailing benefits could be realized by following reasonable alternatives to the proposed action that would avoid some or all of the adverse environmental effects.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Mitigation measures proposed to avoid, minimize, rectify or reduce impact</li> <li>Provision for compensation for losses of cultural, community, historical, archaeological, fish and wildlif resources, including the acquisition of land, waters and interests therein (if any)</li> <li>Discussion of measures to reduce significant, unavoidable, adverse impacts to insignificant levels, and the basis for considering these levels acceptable</li> <li>Where a particular mitigation measure has been chosen from among several alternatives, the measures have been discussed and the reasons for the choice have been disclosed</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Timing of each step in the mitigation process</li> <li>What performance bonds (if any) may be posted</li> <li>Provisions proposed to assure that the mitigation measures will be taken</li> </ul>
13. Separate and distinct section summarizing unresolved issues Discussion of how such issues will be resolved prior to commencement of the action or discussion of the overriding reasons for proceeding without resolving the problems
14. Separate and distinct section containing a list that identifies all government agencies, other organizations and private individuals consulted in preparing the statement (consulted parties and commenters during the FEA-EISPN process)
Identity of all persons, firms, or agency preparing the statement by contract or by authorization
<ul> <li>15. Separate and distinct section containing reproduction of all substantive comments and responses made during the consultation process</li> <li>List of persons or agencies who were consulted and had no comment</li> </ul>
EIS Style (see Section 11-200-19, HAR)
1. The draft EIS is written in a way that conveys the required information succinctly in a form easily understood by members of the public and public decision makers
2. The scope of the draft EIS is commensurate with the scope of the proposed action and its impact
3. Data and analyses in the draft EIS are commensurate with the importance of the impact
4. Less important material is summarized, consolidated, or simply referenced
5. The draft EIS indicates at appropriate points in the text any underlying studies, reports and other information obtained and considered in preparing the draft EIS including cost benefit analyses and reports required under other legal authorities
6. The draft EIS focuses on important issues
7. The draft EIS is an essentially self-contained document, capable of being understood by the reader without the need for undue cross-reference

Filing of an EIS (see Section 11-200-20, HAR, 2008 Distribution Policy)	
1. The applicant filed the draft EIS with the approving agency along w required by the approving agency	ith the minimum amount of copies
2. The applicant simultaneously filed four printed copies (or alternative copies) of the draft EIS with the Office of Environmental Quality Company	
3. The applicant signed the draft EIS and indicated that the draft prepared under the applicant's direction or supervision and that the applicant's knowledge fully addresses document content requal, HAR	ne information submitted, to the best of
Distribution (see Section 11-200-21, HAR, and 2008 Distribution Policy	2
1. The applicant submitted a distribution list with the draft EIS for verifically Control	ication by the Office of Environmental
2. The Office of Environmental Quality Control issued a written verification issue date of The Environmental Notice announcing the draft EIS	
3. The Office received the fifth printed copy (or the third copy for applied draft EIS by mail/courier, thus confirming the distribution of the document of	
PART B: FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT (filed by the with OEQC and the Approving Agency with OEQC Publicate for verification by OEQC – with public comment period).	ion Form and Distribution List
Content Paguiraments (see Section 11-200-18 HAP)	FOR OEQC USE ONLY  Date FEIS Received:
1. The draft EIS was revised as the final EIS to incorporate substantive comments received during the 45-day public review period	Date of Decision: Acceptance Non-acceptance Statutory Hammer falls
2. Reproductions of all timely-received letters received containing substantive comments and, as applicable,	Yes (date) No Date Decision Received:
summaries of any scoping meetings	Date Decision Published:
3. A list of persons, organizations, and public agencies commenting on the draft EIS	
4. The point-by-point responses of the applicant to each substantive or recommendation received in the review and consultation process	uestion, comment, or
5. The text of the final EIS is written in a format that allows the reader draft EIS	to easily distinguish changes made to the
EIS Style (see Section 11-200-19, HAR)	
1. The final EIS is written in a way that conveys the required information understood by members of the public and public decision makers	on succinctly in a form easily
2. The scope of the final EIS is commensurate with the scope of the p	roposed action and its impact

3. Data and analyses in the final EI	S are commensurate with the importance of the impact
4. Less important material is summ	arized, consolidated, or simply referenced
	riate points in the text any underlying studies, reports and other dered in preparing the final EIS including cost benefit analyses and gal authorities
6. The final EIS focuses on importa	nt issues
7. The final EIS is an essentially se without the need for undue cross	If-contained document, capable of being understood by the reader ss-reference
Filing of an EIS (see Section 11-200-20	), HAR, 2008 Distribution Policy)
1. The applicant filed the final EIS very required by the approving agen	with the approving agency along with the minimum amount of copies
	ed two printed copies (or alternatively, one electronic copy and two hard Office of Environmental Quality Control
prepared under the applicant's	S and indicated that the final EIS and all ancillary documents were direction or supervision and that the information submitted, to the best of addresses document content requirements as set forth in Sections 11-
Distribution (see Section 11-200-21, H	AR, and 2008 Distribution Policy)
1. The applicant submitted a distrib Quality Control	ution list with the final EIS for verification by the Office of Environmental
	ality Control issued a written verification of the distribution list before the Al Notice announcing the final EIS
	ted copy (or the third copy for applicants submitting an electronic copy) of the confirming the distribution of the document on
Public Review Requirements (see Sec	tion 11-200-22, HAR)
Point-by-point discussion of Discussion as to how each Response letters reproduce the text of the draft EIS Response letters describe Issues raised when the ap raised in the comments	d (postmark or time stamped) comments includes: If the validity, significance, and relevance of comments comment was evaluated and considered in planning the proposed action ed in the final EIS indicate verbatim changes that have been made to the disposition of significant environmental issues raised plicant's position is at variance with the recommendations and objections are addressed in detail, giving reasons why specific comments were not of overriding importance warranting an override of the suggestions
	ft environmental impact statement shall reference the original draft environmental d comply with all applicable filing, public review, and comment requirements set l-200, HAR

80

	1. Certification of satisfactory completion of the procedures for environmental assessment (Section 11-200-9, HAR), consultation (Section 11-200-15, HAR), public review (Section 11-200-22, HAR), preparation and submission of the statement (Sub-Chapter 7, HAR 11-200)
-	2. Certification of satisfactory completion of the content requirements (for environmental assessment and environmental impact statement (Chapter HAR 11-200)
-	_ 3. Certification that comments received during the review process have received responses satisfactory to the approving agency (or agency with authority to determine acceptability - see end note 1)

Determination of Acceptability (see Section 11-200-23, HAR)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Section 343-5(c), HRS, states in pertinent part that "[t]he authority to accept a final statement shall rest with the agency initially receiving and agreeing to process the request for approval. The final decision-making body or **approving agency** for the request for approval is not required to be the accepting authority. The planning department for the county in which the proposed action will occur shall be a permissible accepting authority for the final statement." [Emphasis supplied].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Section 11-200-2, HAR, defines "environment" as "humanity's surroundings, inclusive of all the physical, economic, cultural and social conditions that exist within the area affected by a proposed action, including land, human and animal communities, air, water, minerals, flora, fauna, ambient noise, and objects of historic or aesthetic significance."