In the summer of 2016, a survey was distributed to the Council on Ocean Resources to garner input and suggestions. Five respondents provided feedback and select comments. The results of the survey are listed below:

1. For the remainder of the planning period of the 2013 ORMP (2.5 years), is there another Action Team (ACT) that should be established?

   SHOULD WE CREATE ANOTHER ACT?
   - 40%: No, the current activities are adequate for the next 2.5 years
   - 60%: We should do more please

2. If yes, as an interagency group, where would you like to see additional efforts focused on to potentially form new action teams?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MP #</th>
<th>Top Priority</th>
<th>Medium Priority</th>
<th>Low Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># 3 Watershed Management</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># 4 Marine Resources</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># 6 Ocean Economy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># 7 Cultural Heritage of the Ocean</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># 8 Training, Education, Awareness</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># 9 Collaboration and Conflict Resolution</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># 10 Community and Place-Based Management Projects</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># 11 National Ocean Policy and Pacific Regional Objectives</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. The Hawaii Ocean Partnership Agreement states that "the Council shall convene at least twice annually or at any other time at the call of the Chair or at the request of two or more Council members." In the recent past, the Council has only met annually to receive updates on ORMP implementation progress.

   HOW OFTEN SHOULD THE COUNCIL CONVENE?
   - Twice per year
   - Once per year
   - More than twice per year
4. **In anticipation of the next Council meeting, please share topic interests you would like to see on the agenda.**

   (1) Continued status updates; discussion of relevant proposed legislation at the State and counties (ordinances or administrative rules).
   (2) Assess ORMP efforts in terms of effectiveness. In other words, how have ORMP efforts resulted in positive and successful changes in Hawaii.
   (4) Management priorities - Cross-cutting themes - No new topics.
   (5) Floating facilities and energy, utilities, commercial, etc. Farming the sea: flora, fauna, mineral. City/State: jurisdictional integration and coordination.

5. **Can you share a way the ORMP has provided a benefit to your agency/department/organization?**

   (1) Networking and collaboration.
   (2) Regular meetings can be time consuming but they are also beneficial for building interagency communication and collaboration.
   (4) Island updates on issues, collaboration within different departments, networking presence, good speakers.
   (5) By providing a forum for coordination and education.

6. **Can you provide suggestions on how CZM can improve your agency’s experience with the ORMP?**

   (2) Fund scientific research.
   (4) Continued speakers on projects, initiatives, etc. Need all counties present/CZM-dedicated.
   (5) Helping identify strategies for coastal development in the face of sea level rise and climate change.

7. **How else can the ORMP and its network help to support your agency/department/organization in its roles and responsibilities in ocean and coastal resources management?**

   (1) One of our biggest local challenges is proposed shoreline armoring. ORMP could assist by helping to develop viable alternatives to shoreline armoring and facilitate changes in the permitting process for such alternatives to make them more appealing (i.e. beach nourishment).
   (2) Act as a conduit to specific agencies to implement meaningful change in practices.
   (5) Helping improve the science of land and sea interface.