1	
2	
3	STATE OF HAWAI'I
4	DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND CONSUMER AFFAIRS
5	CABLE TELEVISION DIVISION
6	
7	
8	HAWAIIAN TELCOM APPLICATION FOR CABLE FRANCHISE
9	PUBLIC HEARING
10	
11	King Kalakaua Building-DCCA
12	335 Merchant Street
13	Queen Liliuokalani Room
14	Wednesday, July 19, 2006
15	4:00 p.m.
16	
17	Before: Mr. Clyde Sonobe, Cable Administrator
18	
19	DCCA Staff: Ms. Laurie Wong
20	
21	
22	Reported by: HOLLY M. HACKETT, RPR/CSR #130 Certified Shorthand Reporter
23	-
24	
25	

2	I N D E X	
3	PUBLIC TESTIMONY	PAGE
4	JOEL MATSUNAGA	5,75
5	BRIAN KANG	19
6	EDWARD MURLEY	25
7	THERESIA MCMURDO	31
8	KEITH ROLLMAN	32
9	SCOT F. LONG	33
10	J ROBERTSON	35
11	DON POOLE	38
12	JANE SUGIMURA	41
13	KEALII LOPEZ	42
14	SPARKY RODRIGUES	47
15	ALEX MCGHEE	50
16	PROFET WILLIE BURRUS	53
17	FUSHCIA KELIIKIPI-KAMAKANI	54
18	JORDAN KILA	55
19	ALAN IBANES	55
20	TULI LEOKA	56
21	AARON SHIRO	57
22	BRITNEY WOLF	58
23	AMBREE HAUHIO	60
24	TIONE WEST	60

1		INDEX	
2		CONTINUED	
3	PUBLIC SPEAKER		PAGE
4			
5	CHRISTIAN NAHOOPII		61
6	ALOHA DAVIS		62
7	NATURALEE PUOLI		62
8	BRONSON GARCIA		65
9	MIKE BOWEN		65
10	BENNETTE EVANGELISTA		66
11	EVERA WILLIAMS		68
12	MARK HELMBERGER		69
13	MOLESI SAGAPOLUTELE		72
14	JAN LEE		72
15	MEREDITH NICHOLS		74
16			
17		00	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1 July 19, 2006

2 MR. SONOBE: Good afternoon. My name is Clyde 3 Sonobe. I'm the cable administrator with the Department 4 of DCCA. I'd like to thank all of you for attending our 5 hearing this afternoon. Before we get started I'd just 6 like to mention a couple of reminders.

7 If you haven't already done so, please sign in 8 with the sign-in sheet that's on the desk outside. We 9 will be using that sign-in sheet to become the order of 10 speakers this afternoon.

11 If you also haven't done so, please ensure if 12 you have any comments that you submit your comments in 13 writing. We would want all of your comments to be part of 14 the official written record.

15 If you are planning to testify and you haven't 16 submitted written comments, please do so. If you need our 17 mailing address or if you need our e-mail address you can 18 see Glenn Shock at the back of the room and he'll provide 19 that information for you.

The purpose of today's hearing is to allow the public to comment on Hawaiian Telcom's application to provide video services on the island of Oahu. We've planned for our hearing to last until approximately 6:00 p.m. but we are available after that in case we have a large number of people waiting to submit their comments.

1 Based on the initial sign-in sheet I think we 2 will be able to allow each person approximately up to 5 3 minutes to submit their comments. So if we happen to have 4 a late-arriving crowd I may have to have adjust the amount 5 of time allocated. But based on want I've seen so far I б think we'd be fine with five minutes per person. 7 Once everyone has had the opportunity to submit their comments, if there is additional time we will allow 8 people who have testified to come forward again if they 9 10 want to submit additional comments. Hawaiian Telcom will do a brief presentation of 11 their application after which we will commence with the 12 public comment portion of our hearing. 13 14 Once the public comment section has been 15 completed then Hawaiian Telcom wanted to come back and do some final comments as well as a thank you to the 16 17 audience. 18 So are there any questions based on how we plan 19 to proceed this afternoon? If not, Hawaiian Telcom, 20 please proceed with your presentation. 21 MR. MATSUNAGA: Mr. Sonobe, members of the 22 public, good afternoon. I'm Joel Matsunaga, vice 23 president-external affairs of Hawaiian Telcom. 24 Thank you for allowing me this time to talk 25 about Hawaiian Telcom's plans to offer video services and

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 536-2001

1 how they will benefit the residents of Oahu and eventually the rest of the state. 2

3 I would like to take a moment to thank the 4 Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs for arranging 5 this forum for the public to present comments on Hawaiian 6 Telcom's plans for video service. In developing its 7 plans, the Company has had many meetings and discussions 8 with various people and organizations in the community.

9 The input that we received from others has been 10 very useful and helped us shape our plans to better meet the video services requirements of the residents of Oahu. 11

Since we announced our plans to offer video 13 services the Company has received a tremendous amount of 14 encouraging comments from residents who are looking forward to having a choice in video services providers. 15

12

We are hopeful that we will hear similar 16 17 support during this hearing. We also recognize, however, 18 that some in the public may have concerns or comments 19 regarding video services in general or with Hawaiian 20 Telcom's specific plans.

21 As with all of the input received from the 22 public to date, Hawaiian Telcom will carefully consider in its rollout of video services all comments presented 23 during this forum today. We value the public's input and 24 25 look forward to hearing the comments that will be

1 presented.

Before we begin, I should point out that while telephone companies such as Hawaiian Telcom are increasingly providing video services, the legal and regulatory landscape for video services is in a state of flux.

At the federal level it appears that there is
strong bipartisan support for enacting legislation that
will create a federal process for video franchising.

10 At the state level some telephone companies 11 have adopted the position that video services using IP technology are not subject to state franchising 12 requirements. That position is based on the fact that the 13 14 network platform used to deliver digital IPTV video is 15 different than the older technology underlying standard cable which most states' franchise requirements were 16 17 designed to regulate.

While Hawaiian Telcom believes there is merit to the position that IPTV technology is not subject to a cable franchise, we also believe it's important for Hawaii's consumers to have a choice in video providers as soon as possible.

23 That is why, regardless of positions being 24 taken by telephone companies elsewhere, or pending federal 25 legislation, the Company has elected to proceed at this

time with its application and to work with the DCCA for a cable franchise. We have requested an early approval with reasonable conditions which won't form a barrier to entry and deny consumers the benefits from competition.

5 Not only has Hawaiian Telcom filed an 6 application for a cable franchise, but we believe that 7 even though we are not a cable company and will be 8 employing a technology different from the traditional 9 cable technology on which existing rules are based, we 10 have filed a proposal which is consistent with the state's 11 requirements of cable providers.

12 That differs significantly from the development 13 of competition within the telecommunications market. 14 Today, as you know, there is effective competition in the 15 telecommunications market.

For example, you as the consumer can choose whether you want a wireline or a wireless phone. You can choose from among several providers of service. You can choose who provides you with broadband access to the Internet.

When competition was introduced in the telecommunications market, new entrants requested, and significantly, were given, specific competitive advantages by federal and state regulators to allow them to establish a foothold and to grow in size to be an effective

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 536-2001

1 competitor to the incumbent providers.

2 Unlike competitors entering the 3 telecommunications market, Hawaiian Telcom is not seeking 4 special treatment that would create market advantages as 5 we enter the video services market.

6 In fact, the Company has in its application, 7 fees, public access, and other requirements which we 8 believe meet the state's requirements for cable providers 9 while at the same time recognizes that Hawaiian Telcom 10 will be a new entrant in an established market and is 11 using a different technology from what the rules were 12 written to address.

13 As a new entrant in a market with an entrenched 14 incumbent, the challenges Hawaiian Telcom will face will be considerable. But while those challenges may be 15 significant, the Company is willing to commit its 16 resources and capital so that Hawaii's consumers will have 17 18 choices and, as a result, the ability to shape the 19 services and value that they receive from video providers. 20 Hawaiian Telcom has proposed several innovative 21 approaches to make it possible for us to be able to offer 22 this state-of-the-art technology as the only viable competitor in one of the nation's highest cable 23 24 penetration markets.

25

To make the best use of our time today, I plan

on covering two main areas during the remainder of my
 presentation. The first area will be to provide an
 overview of Hawaiian Telcom's plans to offer video service
 on Oahu.

5 The second area will be to focus on the 6 significant benefits that residents of Oahu will realize 7 with Hawaiian Telcom's new 100 percent digital video and 8 audio services.

9 The basic programming line-up on Hawaiian 10 Telcom's new video service will be very competitive to what's currently provided by cable and direct broadcast, 11 satellite providers. Hawaiian Telcom's programming will 12 include local channels. It will also include the channels 13 14 commonly viewed on existing cable such as CNN, the 15 Discovery Channel and premium channels such as Showtime and Starz, to name a few. 16

Hawaiian Telcom also recognizes the important role in our community of public access channels, otherwise referred to as public education and government, or PEG channels. The company's video service offerings will

21 include all six PEG channels.

But it won't stop there. With our recent transformation to a locally managed company, Hawaiian Telcom has the ability to focus specifically and exclusively on what products and offers best serve the

needs of consumers in Hawaii. That means that in addition to what's already available from others, Hawaiian Telcom is looking to provide new programming content that will appeal to the unique blend of cultures and interests of our island community.

6 Some of the new content will be available as 7 new channels while others may be accessible using video on 8 demand that allows a viewer to see what they want, when 9 they want to.

10 Hawaiian Telcom has a 123-year history of 11 providing reliable and state-of-the-art communication services to the residents of Hawaii. Since the company's 12 13 original charter from King Kalakaua in 1883, Hawaiian 14 Telcom has ensured that Hawaii benefited from a robust 15 communications infrastructure, a fact supported by Honolulu's ranking as the No. 1 'Digital City' in the 16 17 United States.

Using the state-of-the-art infrastructure and the Company's investment in a next generation network, Hawaiian Telcom will be able to deliver high-quality, 100 percent digital video and audio programming to the residents of the island of Oahu over the existing telephone line.

24 So over the same facilities that the Company is 25 already providing quality voice communications and

high-speed data, Hawaiian Telcom will now offer robust all-digital video services. It also means that the Company will be able to offer consumers a true alternative to the existing cable provider without the inconvenience of digging up the streets to lay coaxial cable as was necessary with cable service.

7 More details on the technology that will be 8 used to provide video service are included in the 9 Company's Application for a Cable Franchise which was 10 filed on May 4, 2006 with the DCCA and is available for 11 review by the public.

12 A similar next generation network approach is 13 already providing digital video services to over 500,000 14 residences in Hong Kong, and to over 50,000 households in 15 Manitoba, Canada.

16 Closer to home, a number of telephone companies 17 are offering video services using this next-generation 18 platform that have been very well received by consumers in 19 their area including SureWest Communications in California 20 and Consolidated Communications in Illinois.

21 And recently, AT&T launched their U-Verse TV 22 offer in San Antonio and announced plans to roll out their 23 digital video services to cities across their nationwide 24 footprint.

25 This brings me to my second point which is how

residents of Oahu will benefit from the DCCA approving
 Hawaiian Telcom's application for a cable franchise.

If Hawaiian Telcom is allowed to provide video services to consumers on Oahu, it will represent a fundamental shift in the balance of power in the video services market away from the incumbent cable company toward the consumer.

8 This is because the residents of Oahu would now, for the first time, have a real choice of video 9 10 service providers. Because of the state's topography of mountains and valleys, it is difficult for most consumers 11 to receive TV broadcasts over the airwayes. This is 12 13 evidenced in May's TV ratings report from Nielsen Media 14 Research which indicates that 94 percent of the households 15 on the island of Oahu that have a TV, subscribe to cable TV service. 16

For those who live on the mainland, they have
direct broadcast satellite providers such as Dish or
DirecTV as viable alternatives to their cable company.

In fact, according to a 2006 FCC report on video competition, direct broadcast satellite accounts for 27 percent nationally of all the consumers that subscribe to paid video programming.

On Oahu that figure is substantially lower inthe single digits. That's because the direct broadcast

satellite footprint is targeted to serve North America,
 and is too low on the horizon to be a suitable alternative
 for many Hawaii consumers.

Hawaiian Telcom's application for a cable
franchise holds the promise of consumers in Hawaii finally
experiencing what consumers on the mainland have enjoyed,
namely, effective competition. With effective competition
consumers will have the ability to choose and change
providers.

10 In other words, the consumer will drive the 11 market. Competing providers will be challenged to best 12 meet the demands of consumers whether they are in the area 13 of programming options, service quality, or the value of 14 the service.

15 While Hawaiian Telcom recognizes that Oahu 16 already has a well-entrenched incumbent provider, we 17 believe that consumers long for a choice and will be open 18 to a viable alternative which we will be.

19 To that end, Hawaiian Telcom will be bringing 20 high quality, all-digital video and audio programming to 21 every TV in the household.

As in any competitive market, our success will be based on our ability to offer a combination of programming, features, service and pricing that provide the value that will be responsive to the demands of

1 Hawaii's consumers.

Hawaii also has the opportunity to be among the
leaders in the next generation network deployment.
Hawaiian Telcom is prepared to make this investment in
Hawaii much earlier than it would have been with the
Company's previous owner.

7 This investment in Hawaii to provide the state with an opportunity to continue as a leader in the digital 8 age is consistent with the other recent changes by 9 10 Hawaiian Telcom which has resulted in increased 11 investment, job creation and a local focus by the Company. Those changes also include the Company's 12 investment of \$100 million in new back office systems 13 14 created specifically for Hawaii. The transition to those 15 new systems continues to progress.

16 Upgrades to the systems to expand their 17 functionality as planned and to address any service issues 18 are continuing and will be ready to support our video 19 service operations by the time of its launch.

In closing, Hawaiian Telcom is pleased to provide consumers for the first time with the ability to choose between video service providers. The increased competition in the video service market will result in a fundamental shift in the video services market which will benefit Hawaii and its consumers.

Entering a market with a well-entrenched incumbent is a significant challenge. But it is a challenge Hawaiian Telcom is prepared to commit resources and capital to so that Hawaii's consumers can have the same benefits of competition that consumers in other states are enjoying.

7 While we are committed to being a video 8 services provider in Hawaii, we are also committed to 9 listening to the community on what its needs are and how 10 those needs can be balanced with the initial start-up of a 11 new entrant.

We believe our plan for video service as proposed is consistent with the needs communicated to the Company, the technology that makes this competitive option possible, and the state's cable franchise requirements, including the need for public access channels.

We expect to continue our listening today and
during the remainder of the process to obtain approval for
our application for a cable franchise.

Thank you to the DCCA and everyone else present for allowing us the opportunity to present our testimony today. We look forward to hearing the comments of today's speakers.

24 MR. SONOBE: Thank you, Mr. Matsunaga. We'll 25 start with the public comments now. Laurie, could you

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 536-2001

1 read the first.

2 MS. WONG: Jim Tollefson. 3 MR. TOLLEFSON: Mr. Sonobe, my name is Jim 4 Tollefson, the president of the Chamber of Commerce of 5 Hawaii. The Chamber of Commerce of Hawaii supports 6 Hawaiian Telcom's application for cable television 7 franchise for Oahu. The Chamber has read information regarding 8 Hawaiian Telcom's proposed IPTV service and believe it 9 10 will bring additional capital investment and competition to Oahu that will benefit our economy and its consumers. 11 12 Over the past several years we've all been able to observe firsthand the explosion and innovation of new 13 14 products and services in the communications market. Competition in this market has driven the introduction of 15 16 communication and entertainment services and technology 17 development. 18 Consumers on Oahu now have the opportunity, if granted by the DCCA, to have more choices in this market 19 20 through cable video service providers. 21 As a result this competition will drive all 22 providers of video service to more fully meet the needs of 23 Oahu's consumers through the continued development and deployment of new technology. 24

25 Furthermore, consumers will have the

opportunity to continue to benefit from state-of-the-art networks and with these advances in technology. These services have become an integral part of our everyday lives is business operations, and continued competition will meet consumer demands and enhance the state's economy.

For these reasons the Chamber of Commerce of Hawaii respectfully requests that the DCCA move to approve this application so that Oahu's residents will have the opportunity to choose from a competitive market. Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

12 MR. SONOBE: Thank you, Mr. Tollefson. It 13 appears that we have approximately 25 people who signed up 14 to testify. Based on that number if I can ask all of you 15 to limit your comments to three minutes, I think we will 16 be able to get through everyone within a reasonable time. 17 If people require more time we will bring them back up later. But if you can limit your initial comments to 18 19 three minutes it will be greatly appreciated.

We've been asked by our reporter if you could speak up because these microphones are apparently here only for the cameras. We don't have a speaker system in the room. So as you testify if you could speak up louder it will make it easier for our court reporter. If you can keep that in mind. Thank you.

1 MS. WONG: The second speaker is Brian Kang. 2 MR. KANG: Good afternoon, Mr. Sonobe, members 3 of the public. My name is Brian Kang. I'm here today 4 representing Oceanic Time Warner Cable. 5 Oceanic appreciates this opportunity to provide б comments to the Department of Commerce and Consumer 7 Affairs regarding Hawaiian Telcom's application. We have submitted written comments to the Department. 8 9 But just briefly for this oral presentation, 10 Oceanic welcomes fair competition and believes that 11 consumers ultimately benefit from the ability to make 12 informed choices. 13 Securing those benefits to consumers in a 14 regulated environment, however, requires a level playing 15 field for all participants. 16 Accordingly, Oceanic believes that the DCCA in 17 considering and evaluating Hawaiian Telcom's application 18 should ensure simple fairness in the marketplace by 19 applying comparable franchise requirements and standards 20 to Hawaiian Telcom as it has applied to Oceanic. 21 Since its current Oahu franchise was awarded in 22 1989 Oceanic has invested considerable resources and 23 provided substantial benefits to our community in 24 compliance with the franchise requirements. 25 Oceanic, for example, has provided on Oahu

buildout of service to all areas on Oahu, INET fiber
 connections to 66 data sites, systematic upgrades to the
 Oceanic system.

To ensure consumers receive the benefits and a fair and effective competition for a level playing field, Oceanic believes that the DCCA should fully consider and vet the following issues that are raised by Hawaiian Telcom's application.

9 Hawaiian Telcom proposes to utilize high-speed
10 internet access service to provide digital video services.
11 The DCCA should carefully evaluate Hawaiian Telcom's plans
12 and timetable regarding the buildout of the necessary
13 upgrades.

14 The DCCA should further evaluate how the limits 15 inherent in the underlying DSL technology will affect the 16 service areas.

Oceanic also believes that Hawaiian Telcom should be required to provide its video service in all areas of its existing telephone service footprint where the 25 homes per mile line extension standard of Oceanic's franchise is met pursuant to a reasonable schedule.

Due to the different nature of the technology employed Hawaiian Telcom has not proposed to provide analog service. While Oceanic's franchise currently requires such service, Oceanic anticipates that if it

seeks to modify this requirement in the future the DCCA
 will carefully and fairly consider the request.

3 Hawaiian Telcom's application also proposes to 4 provide the credit to the DCCA equal to 1 percent of 5 gross revenues and the DCCA shall use those credits to 6 purchase network products and services from Hawaiian 7 Telcom.

8 Oceanic believes that the DCCA should carefully 9 evaluate the proposed arrangement. Oceanic has provided 10 significant INET investments and contributions without a 11 credit and purchase arrangement. Oceanic believes that 12 Hawaiian Telcom should be required to provide comparable 13 services and resources to benefit the state and local 14 community.

Although Hawaiian Telcom has indicated that it will adhere to the operating fee payment structure defined by the DCCA for PEG funding, Hawaiian Telcom should also be required to provide funding to 'Olelo, Public Television and the DCCA consistent with the requirements imposed by Oceanic, or Oceanic should be relieved of these obligations.

Hawaiian Telcom has not committed to specific Hawaiian Telcom has not committed to specific PEG capital funding levels. However, Oceanic has provided capital funding of \$823,000 per year and comparable amount should be required of Hawaiian Telcom.

Hawaiian Telcom has indicated that it will be
 utilizing its current customer support infrastructure to
 support its video service.

4 Oceanic currently works with the DCCA to 5 jointly design and employ customer service survey once per 6 year. Oceanic believes that the DCCA should require 7 similar customer service monitoring and evaluation of 8 Hawaiian Telcom.

9 The foregoing are some of the major issues that 10 Oceanic believes that the DCCA should evaluate. In 11 responding to the DCCA's first request for information 12 Hawaiian Telcom has argued that the DCCA should apply 13 different standards for Hawaiian Telcom than the standards 14 imposed upon Oceanic.

Hawaiian Telcom argues that this different treatment is justified because, first, it will not create additional burdens or impositions on the public right-of-way.

19 Second, Hawaiian Telcom's decision to use 20 different technologies justifies different treatment. 21 And third, the requirements imposed upon 22 Oceanic were the quid pro quo for the privilege of being 23 the first entrant into the market.

As an initial matter Hawaiian Telcom argues that it believes that IPTV is not a cable service subject

1 to the state cable franchise statutes.

25

2 Oceanic believes that pursuant to Hawaii 440 3 (g) that Hawaiian Telcom is subject to regulation. In 4 addition, other jurisdictions have determined that video 5 services similar to IPTV are subject to cable franchise б statutes. For example, the recent case of Pacific 7 Telephone Company versus the City of Walnut Creek. For a discussion of why Oceanic also believes 8 9 that Hawaiian Telcom provides video services or cable 10 service under federal law, Oceanic refers the DCCA to the filings at the FCC by the National Cable and 11 Telecommunications Association Docket No. 04-36. 12 13 Having submitted an application for a franchise to provide cable service Hawaiian Telcom's decision to use 14 different technology to provide its service does not 15 justify franchise with regulatory requirements which will 16 effectively discriminate against Oceanic. 17 18 The benefits that Oceanic's franchise provides 19 to students, the government and potential subscribers in 20 areas meeting the density standard are not tied to the 21 technology that Oceanic uses to provide its service. 22 In addition while Hawaiian Telcom has argued 23 that it will not require the use of any new public 24 right-of-way to provide its video service, the fact is

that Hawaiian Telcom will use public rights-of-way in

1 providing its service.

2 Thus, while Oceanic recognizes that Hawaiian 3 Telcom is already subject to various regulatory 4 requirements, Hawaiian Telcom is now seeking to provide a 5 video service regulated by the DCCA through those 6 rights-of-way. And the regulatory treatment of service 7 must be fair and equitable.

8 Finally, Hawaiian Telcom's argument that the 9 new entrant into the video television business should be 10 afforded, quote "less burdensome requirements" is 11 unpersuasive.

12 The obligations imposed in Oceanic's franchise 13 are not conditioned on its size. It is not the role of 14 the DCCA to handicap competition by seeking to compensate 15 in circumstances which provide a perceived competitive 16 advantage or disadvantage to one competitor or another 17 through regulatory treatment. Any such attempt would 18 distort rather than encourage fair competition.

19 Thus, lost in Hawaiian Telcom's efforts to seek 20 expediency through going through this process it is a 21 practical reality that the true benefits of competition to 22 consumers and the community arise from a level playing 23 field.

Simply stated, Oceanic is not requesting thatthe DCCA impose any more burdensome requirements upon

1 Hawaiian Telcom than imposed upon Oceanic or even to

2 require precisely identical requirements.

3 Oceanic believes, however, that Hawaiian 4 Telcom, that substantially comparable competitively 5 non-discriminatory requirements upon Hawaiian Telcom will 6 ensure effective and robust competition for the benefit of 7 Oahu's consumers and community.

8 Thank you again for this opportunity to provide 9 comments.

10 MR. SONOBE: Thank you, Mr. Kang. Just as a 11 reminder we do have quite a bit of people waiting to 12 testify. So again if you can please limit your comments 13 to three minutes that will be appreciated by all 14 attendees. Thank you.

15 MS. WONG: The third person is Edward Murley. MR. MURLEY: Aloha, Mr. Sonobe. By name is 16 17 Edward Murley. I'm the vice president of regulatory 18 affairs for Time Warner Telecom. Time Warner Telecom is a 19 national competitive telecommunications carrier with 20 operations in 44 markets across the United States. 21 Time Warner Telecom has been providing 22 competitive telecommunication services in Hawaii since 23 1994. Today we serve hundreds of large business, governmental agencies and other telecom carriers 24

throughout the state. We are a facilities-based

25

1 competitor and own and operate an extensive fiberoptic 2 network on Oahu and an interisland fiberoptic system 3 connecting Kauai, Oahu, Maui and the Big Island. 4 Time Warner Telecom offers a suite of 5 telecommunications solutions and services to Hawaii's 6 business community. Our primary competitor is Hawaiian 7 Telcom. 8 Time Warner Telecom is not the cable company and we do not offer Road Runner, Internet service or 9 10 digital phone services. Those are services provided by Oceanic Time Warner Cable. 11 While it originally began as a subsidiary of 12 cable, the company was spun off in 1997 to become a 13 14 publicly traded stand-alone company. 15 Today our financial relationship with cable has decreased to the point where Time Warner Cable's parent, 16 17 Time Warner, Inc. has now just a 28 percent increase, that amount of interest in Time Warner Telecom. 18 19 As a general principal Time Warner Telecom 20 supports competition. We exist for competition's sake. 21 Over the last decade or so Time Warner Telecom has 22 successfully pursued a number of public policy goals in 23 the federal and state arenas, including Hawaii. 24 The state has embraced a number of the laws, 25 rules, regulations and tariffs that have made telecom

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 536-2001

competition possible. Time Warner Telecom very much
 believes that when properly done competition can bring
 consumers a great range of benefits and that in general
 service quality and customer choice improve while retail
 prices decrease as a result of the competition.

6 Hawaiian Tel's desire to enter into the cable 7 television is not unique to Hawaii. In most of these 8 situations across the country Time Warner Telecom does not 9 take a position on the local telephone company's 10 applications to get into the cable business. Time Warner Telecom generally doesn't care about such plans. We are 11 simply in the business of providing competitive telecom 12 13 solutions.

14 However, as you're likely aware, Hawaiian 15 Telecom is going through a very tumultuous systems conversion. On April 1st Hawaiian Telcom changed 16 17 virtually all of their back office systems and processes. 18 The magnitude of the transition cannot be 19 understated and it may even be the first time a large 20 incumbent telephone company has undertaken such an 21 extensive endeavor all at once. 22

In creating the new company Hawaiian Telcom chose to take on a very aggressive and daunting task, one, perhaps, more difficult than even entering the cable business.

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 536-2001

1 Hawaiian Telcom's conversion has not gone 2 well. Some of these problems are fairly well known by the 3 various press reports and to any customer who has received 4 a bad bill from Hawaiian Telcom or has had to wait on hold 5 for 20 to 30 minutes to report a problem or has б inadvertently had their service interrupted because of the 7 problems. 8 Time Warner Telcom has experienced all of these 9 problems as well. Some of the problems Time Warner

10 Telecom is experiencing as a result of Hawaiian Telcom 11 systems conversions are less well-known.

Many people are not aware that even as a facilities-based competitor with our own network Time Warner Telecom necessarily relies on Hawaiian Telcom for a number of critical things, matters which Hawaiian Telcom is required by state and federal laws to provide to competitors.

In its docket 04-0140 the Public Utilities Commission ultimately approved the sale from Verizon to the Carlisle Group to create what is now Hawaiian Telcom. As part of its decision and order approving the sale the PUC also approved an agreement, a stipulation, between Time Warner Telecom and Hawaiian Telcom which guaranteed certain things to Time Warner Telecom.

25 The basic principal of this agreement was

1 simple. Hawaiian Telcom would provide the same

2 functionality that Verizon provided before the cutover. 3 While the commitment was simple, it was significant. 4 Time Warner Telecom cannot afford to be harmed 5 by Hawaiian Tel's desire to change out its entire suite of б back office systems. The commitment is also important to 7 the state in its efforts to continue to support telecom 8 competition. And, thus, our agreement was approved and 9 even expanded upon by the commission. 10 Time Warner Telecom does not believe that

Hawaiian Telcom has met its commitments in that agreement.
The purpose of my testimony today is to inform the
Department that our telecom concerns are being
investigated by the Commission and that the companies are
involved in almost daily conversations some of which take
place at the highest executive levels to try to resolve
these problems as fast as possible.

However, Time Warner Telecom continues to experience extensive difficulties some four months after the cutover. Thus, we feel it necessary to take the unusual step of commenting on their application before the Department.

We strongly believe that Hawaiian Telcom must first prove that it has complied with the promises it made before it can go on to new business. Given its limited

1 amount of economic, technical and human resources,

Hawaiian Telcom's priorities must remain solely on fixingthe problems with its Telcom business.

we do not believe Hawaiian Telcom should even
be working on new telecom products and packages, let alone
on far-reaching and cutting edge endeavors such as IPTV.

7 Therefore, Time Warner Telecom recommends that 8 the department postpone any approval of Hawaiian Telcom's 9 plan for entering the television business until such time 10 that the PUC finds that Hawaiian Telcom has complied with 11 its numerous commitments made during the process of being 12 sold off by Verizon.

Hawaiian Telcom promised the Commission, the competitors, and the state that it could handle its standalone responsibilities without Verizon's assistance. We have not seen Hawaiian Telcom prove those capabilities yet.

18 Time Warner Telecom certainly does not believe 19 that the state should now award Hawaiian Tel with a cable 20 franchise. Hawaiian Telcom must first demonstrate that 21 they have kept their promises from 2005. Thank you very 22 much for this opportunity to testify and to provide Time 23 Warner Telecom's perspective on this matter.

24 MR. SONOBE: Thank you, Mr. Murley.
25 MS. WONG: The fourth person to testify is

1 Theresia McMurdo.

MS. McMURDO: Good afternoon, Mr. Sonobe. My 2 3 name is Theresia McMurdo. And I'm here today as the 4 vice-chair of the Filipino Community Center. The Filipino 5 Community Center supports Hawaiian Telcom's application б for a cable television franchise for Oahu. 7 We believe Hawaiian Telcom's entry into the video services market and the resulting competition it 8 9 creates is a win for consumers over all. We will all 10 benefit from this innovative technology because new capital will be invested in Oahu's infrastructure and 11 12 additional jobs will be created. 13 In my role as a community volunteer I know 14 Hawaiian Tel as a strong supporter of the community through its charitable giving programs. This tradition of 15 giving dates back over a century. We believe that support 16 17 for Hawaiian Telcom's proposed IPTV service will allow the 18 company to continue to give back to the community for the 19 next 100 years. 20 Approval of this application is good for 21 consumers, the company and our community. Please support 22 Hawaiian Telcom's application for a cable television franchise. Thank you. 23 24 MR. SONOBE: Thank you, Ms. McMurdo.

25 MS. WONG: The fifth person to sign up is Keith

1 Rollman.

2 MR. ROLLMAN: Good afternoon everybody. I'm 3 Keith Rollman. I'm a senior advisor to the Department of 4 Information Technology of the city and county of Honolulu. 5 We've been asked to prepare some testimony which we're 6 submitting in writing. We will stand on our written 7 testimony.

8 It was to advise the Mayor essentially on 9 policy issues regarding the city's position in this 10 matter. I'm not going to read the whole thing, but I will 11 give you the gist of it.

12 We, of course, support the concept of free

13 market competition and we, therefore, support Hawaiian Telcom's intent to enter the Honolulu cable video market. 14 We'll also have an obligation and a responsibility to the 15 citizens of the city and county of Honolulu to participate 16 in a benefits package for the community with the state. 17 18 There is a historical precedence for this. In 19 fact in 1992 as part of a negotiated settlement between 20 the state and city and county and Oceanic Cable the city 21 was granted dedicated use of two strands of fiberoptic

22 cable.

23 So that's a specific example of how the city 24 and county has participated in the negotiation of public 25 benefits of these franchise agreements although we were

not the franchise grantor we are also the island of Oahu
 in terms rights-of-way and certain building permitting
 processes.

4 So we wanted to make sure we're included in 5 that process. I'm sure we can reach an agreement that's 6 fair to all parties especially to the taxpayers of 7 Honolulu who in turn are the present and future customers 8 of Hawaiian Telcom. With that expectation we support 9 Hawaiian Telcom's application for a cable franchise to 10 operate in the city and county of Honolulu.

11 MR. SONOBE: Thank you Mr. Rollman.

12 MR. ROLLMAN: Thank you.

MS. WONG: The sixth person to sign up is ScotLong.

MR. LONG: Good afternoon, Mr. Sonobe. My name is Scot Long. I'm the business manager, financial secretary of the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local Union 1357 which represents the bargaining unit employees of Hawaiian Telcom, Incorporated.

20 On behalf of IBEW Local Union 1357 I would like 21 to testify in strong support of Hawaiian Telcom's 22 application for a cable television franchise in Oahu. 23 IBEW Local Union 1357 believes that Hawaiian Telcom's 24 proposed video service will benefit our state by infusing 25 additional capital investment in the island and creating

1 more job opportunities in Hawaii.

IBEW Local Union 1357 is not only proud of its long history of actively supporting new advancements in the communications field that have resulted in Hawaii being a leader in telecommunications infrastructure, but also of the opportunities created for greater employment security or job growth for our current and future union members.

9 IPTV is a technology we support because 10 competition in the video service market means consumers 11 will now have a choice in deciding which video service 12 provider provides the best products and services to fit 13 their needs.

14 When IPTV becomes available please be assured 15 that our members will be fully committed to providing the 16 quality service that consumers expect and will demand from 17 this innovative, new offering.

Hawaiian Telcom's willingness to commit
significant investment capital and resources to IPTV
brings the benefits of increased infrastructure,
competition and employment opportunities to our state
while further enhancing Hawaii's reputation as the best
place to live, work and raise a family.

For these and other reasons IBEW Local Union1357 respectfully requests that the Department of Commerce

1 and Consumer Affairs move to approve Hawaiian Telcom's 2 application as soon as possible and without any conditions 3 that may unreasonably delay or jeopardize the introduction 4 of these services to Oahu's residents. 5 Thank you very much for allowing IBEW local б Union 1357 the opportunity to present this testimony. 7 MR. SONOBE: Thank you, Mr. Long. 8 MS. WONG: Number seven is J Robertson. 9 MR. ROBERTSON: Good afternoon, Mr. Sonobe. 10 I'm J Robertson. I'm the executive director for Ho'oike Kauai Community Television. 11 On the Garden Isle we have no objection to a 12 cable franchise agreement with Hawaiian Telcom provided 13 14 that provisions or compensation for use of public 15 rights-of-way are ensured. Specifically we support 16 language that would preserve the interests of public 17 education and government access to this band width. 18 It's imperative, however, that IPTV is treated 19 the same as cable television despite the very interesting, 20 innovative technological differences. 21 Rights-of-way compensation are clearly within 22 our public interest and they assure a playing field for all parties. The citizens of Oahu and throughout the 23 state of Hawai'i are entitled the opportunities that PEG 24 25 access clearly provides. First we'd like to have a clear

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 536-2001

indication of support of PEG which was encouraging to hear
 earlier. And this must be part of any framework.

3 This support should include a franchise fee
4 payment of up to 5 percent of the gross revenues, same as
5 applies to Oceanic Time Warner.

6 We would suggest that no less than 3 percent 7 be paid to the PEG access provider annually for operating 8 fund. We would also support 1 percent to be provided to 9 Hawaii Public Broadcasting, another 1 percent to the DCCA 10 for administrative costs.

11 Second, we'd like to see a negotiated annual 12 capital contribution to be provided in addition to the 13 operating funds. This amount should be no less than what 14 is currently offered by the current cable operator.

Third, a provision for channel capacity or in the entrance of IPTV digital band width. This allocation should be equal to or even possibly greater that the current amount that is provided by the existing operator.

19 It also should allow for the expansion of these 20 systems and for future deployment of additional 21 technologies. We would hope that these channels would be 22 in consecutive order.

23 Connectivity to the band width should be 24 clearly defined as the responsibility of the cable 25 operator and not at the expense of the PEG access

organization. And in no case should the PEG provider be forced into becoming a paying customer of the operator. All connections, lines, equipment and whatever is needed to access the cable system should be at the operator's expense and provided to the PEG and to the community at large.

7 We'd also like to recommend that the cable 8 operators provide live points of origin. This provision 9 would enhance the ability to produce important remote 10 broadcasts for the benefit of our communities. The 11 existing cable operator has several live origination 12 points throughout Oahu and we would like to see the 13 applicant be required to provide an equal number of these.

Also required for the buildout and deployment of the institutional network or INET should be a component of this agreement. This provision would parallel the current requirements and possibly even seek to provide an expansion of this marvelous service to our community.

Finally, it's hoped that these suggestions are deemed to be a measure of practical responsibility on the part of the Applicant. And building community through media and through communication is a vital mission that we all share. The benefits that are derived from the use of our public rights-of-way are vast and extremely profitable. So the appreciation for the use of these

gains is simply an appropriate corporate citizenship at a
 very basic level. Thank you very much.

3 MR. SONOBE: Thank you, Mr. Robertson. Laurie, 4 before we continue, I'd like to call a 5-minute recess so 5 we could give our court reporter a break. It's been about 6 45 minutes. So if we could reconvene in about 5 minutes. 7 Thank you.

(Recess was held.)

8

9 MR. SONOBE: Excuse me. If we can all get 10 seated we'd like to start.

MS. WONG: The eighthh person to sign up is Don 2 Poole.

13 MR. POOLE: Mr. Sonobe, I'm please to let me 14 speak tonight. I don't have a fancy title. I'm just a 15 common consumer, but I had the pleasure of retiring from 16 one of the best service organizations in the world.

17 I would like to support the approval by the 18 Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs of Hawaiian 19 Telcom's application for cable television franchise for 20 Oahu. I believe that Hawaiian Telcom's new video service 21 will resort in increased competition in the Oahu market 22 that will benefit consumers.

Today there is a monopoly in video service. I believe that we need competition since this will mean more choices for me and lower prices since I will be able to

choose a company based on services and prices to fit what
 I want to watch.

3 I was once an Oceanic Time Warner Cable 4 subscriber and received the worst possible service one 5 could ever imagine. I once placed a service call because 6 I was receiving no signal. After waiting two weeks for a service person to arrive, he spent 10 minutes in my unit 7 8 and the cable came back on. So he informed me I just had a loose wire. Within 10 minutes after he left my service 9 10 went out again.

I immediately called Oceanic Cable and let them know the service person was still close by and I was still getting no signal. They said I would have to make a new service call. I again waited two weeks for a service person to arrive. I listed and detailed the problem and what had been done so far. I had been without service for one month by this time.

He went to the junction box outside my unit, replaced the connector that required 10 minutes of work. The day after the service person left I took all my Oceanic Cable equipment to the Oceanic Oceanic Time Warner desk at Sears and said, "I never wanted to do business with you again period."

I have a legal satellite dish on my lanai. I receive excellent service with one year contract and I now

1 own the equipment including DVR. The reception is 2 excellent. And the equipment has worked flawlessly for 3 three years now. I could not be happier. Not every condo 4 owner has this option as most lanais are either too small 5 or face the incorrect direction or something obstructs 6 their view of the horizon. I was lucky.

7 At this time I have to resolve a new challenge. 8 Hawaiian Telcom allowed me to upgrade my dial-up service 9 to high-speed DS line with excellent service. The problem 10 now is I have a satellite dish television; I have a 11 telephone land line and DSL and cellphone service through 12 Hawaiian Telcom.

13 If they could supply me with a high quality 14 television through my DSL line I could eliminate the cost 15 of the satellite dish and hopefully create a package to 16 supply me with television, telephone, cellphone, DSL at a 17 price lower than Oceanic or satellite.

18 Let's have some competition. Oceanic Time
19 Warner Cable service personnel are arrogant. They feel
20 they have a monopoly. As a customer we have no choice.
21 Choice is the key word here.

I urge you not to delay this application. Please place the power of choice in the hands of the consumer. Let us through competition choose which company has the best innovative products and services at the most

1 affordable prices. Thank you very much for allowing me 2 the opportunity to present this testimony. 3 MR. SONOBE: Thank you, Mr. Poole. 4 MS. WONG: The next person to sign up to 5 testify is number 11, Jane Sugimura. б MS. SUGIMURA: Good afternoon, Mr. Sonobe. My 7 name is Jane Sugimura. I'm the president of the Hawaii Council of Associations of Apartment Owners. That's known 8 9 as HCAAO. HCAAO represents 110 apartment associations. 10 This is condo and co-op in Oahu. That covers almost 29,000 individual units. 11 Most, if not all, of our member associations 12 have been involved over the years in negotiating the best 13 14 service package, and service and cost package for the cable television service for the residents in their 15 buildings. So they're very interested in this 16 17 application. 18 HCAAO supports Hawaiian Telcom's application for a cable television franchise for Oahu. We believe, 19 20 and I am going to summarize my statement in the interest 21 of time and at your suggestion. 22 MR. SONOBE: Thank you. 23 MS. SUGIMURA: We do support the application. We believe that with more competition it may affect cost 24 25 and services to the consumers. And in our case they are

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 536-2001

1 apartment owners. For that reason we do urge the DCCA to 2 approve Hawaiian Telcom's application. Thank you. 3 MR. SONOBE: Thank you, Ms. Sugimura. 4 MS. WONG: The next person to sign up No. 12 is 5 Keali'i Lopez. б MS. LOPEZ: Aloha Mr. Sonobe. I'm Keali'i 7 Lopez, president and CEO of 'Olelo Community Television, 8 Oahu's public, educational and government access provider. 9 In addition to my comments today, 'Olelo will 10 submit more detailed written testimony on the topics I 11 will touch upon. 12 As Oahu's paid access provider 'Olelo serves cable subscribers by providing them with a view of what's 13 14 happening in their community, extensive educational 15 programming and insight into their state and local 16 governments. 17 We also serve our producers and presenters by 18 offering them access to their communities via cable 19 television. I want to start with thanking Hawaiian Telcom

for its continued offer to support PEG access. The items I'm going to touch upon really are in an attempt to secure and reassure through DCCA's franchise negotiations that those are protected.

DCCA was very forward thinking in their
 franchise negotiations with Oceanic Time Warner and worked

to ensure considerable community access and benefits.
 Our goal with respect to Hawaiian Telcom's
 franchise request is to ensure that similar forward
 thinking and support for PEG access be continued and
 expanded upon.

6 It's important that subscribers to that system 7 and those who use PEG access service receive services that 8 are at least comparable to those provided by Oceanic Cable 9 and that our producers and presenters continue to enjoy 10 access to the largest possible audience for their 11 messages.

12 'Olelo receives much of its funding through 13 cable franchise fees required of Oceanic Cable and passed 14 through to cable subscribers. Three percent of Oceanic's 15 gross revenues as defined by the DCCA go to covering 16 'Olelo's operating expenses. While an additional \$3 per 17 subscribe annually goes to capital funds.

We ask that Hawaiian Telcom at minimum be required to provide the same 3 percent of gross revenues and \$3 per customer. These revenues should not be capped. It is foreseeable and probably inevitable that the increased competition between Oceanic and Hawaiian Telcom will lead to the reduction in prices.

24 Because 'Olelo's funding is a reflection of the 25 cable company's gross revenues, the increased competition

1 may in turn lead to reduction of our funding. Removing 2 the cap will allow us greater flexibility in the near 3 future. It will also permit 'Olelo to continue to offer 4 services that match the changing needs of our clients and 5 our communities in the long term.

6 Hawaiian Telcom services as part of an IPTV 7 network rely on technology that are very different from 8 those employed by a traditional cable television system. 9 'Olelo needs to ensure that the application of these new 10 and different technologies do not present barriers to our 11 entry into the Hawaiian Telcom system.

12 Consequently, we request that Hawaiian Telcom 13 provide to 'Olelo the necessary hardware/software 14 connections to allow us entry into and complete 15 functionality within their system.

Included in those connections would be dedicated fiber and hardware that will allow us to get video feeds from our playback facility to their head end. Because 'Olelo services are community based we are often asked to originate live programming from various locations on Oahu. Oceanic Cable helped facilitate these

22 productions by providing 16 fiberoptic origination points 23 at various locations around the island.

We ask that Hawaiian Telcomp be required to provide origination points in places specified by 'Olelo

or the DCCA and we would also request that 'Olelo retain
 the ability to move those points as necessary to serve the
 community needs.

We also request a mechanism and process be in place to add sites in the future, again, in an effort to provide flexibility to meet changing community needs.

To assist with viewer transition to Hawaiian
Telcom's services we ask for Hawaiian Telcom's assistance
in promoting 'Olelo's programming on their channels.

In addition it is vital that 'Olelo be made part of Hawaiian Telcom's programs listing services wherever they appear with no less prominence than any other Oahu local station.

14 It is also important that Hawaiian Telcom offer 15 community access programing in a way that does not 16 negatively impact our viewers or presenters. We request 17 an adequate band width to carry the equivalent of six 18 analog channels currently delivered on Oceanic Cable, and 19 request formal process to trigger the addition of band 20 width based on hours of programming.

We also ask that the quality of signal delivered of each of these channels is at least equivalent to signal quality of Hawaiian Telcom's other channels. We request that community access programming be part of Hawaiian Telcom's basic tier service to ensure that we're

1 available to the greatest number of subscribers.

2

3 assignment be grouped consecutively in a lower tier of 4 channels in order to be blended with other local channels. 5 This will benefit subscribers by creating a reasonable 6 continuum of local programming while benefitting our 7 producers and presenters with increased likelihood of 8 viewership.

In addition we request that PEG access, channel

9 The IP technology that Hawaiian Telcom will 10 employ in its video services in itself reflects the fact 11 that television is changing and that the traditional ways 12 of receiving and viewing television are no longer the only 13 ways.

'Olelo would like Hawaiian Telcom's franchise to reflect this by providing video on demand capability to community access programs for all subscribers, to local PEG access producers and presenters. This would be in conjunction with the band width capacity I spoke of earlier.

20 We recognize that Hawaiian Telcom's cable 21 franchise is likely to span many years and that the 22 technologies and offerings available today will change 23 over time. Therefore we request that the DCCA include a 24 formal process for increasing distribution capacity, 25 services and equipment over the life of the franchise.

1 This is key to enabling the forward thinking provisions 2 the state has had in place for the past 17 years. And in 3 fairness the same consideration should apply when Oceanic 4 Time Warner Cable's franchise is renegotiated in the 5 coming years.

б In closing, we believe that the introduction of 7 this new television technology into our community could be 8 beneficial. My purpose today has simply been to ensure 9 that when the franchise is granted that community access 10 programming will have a prominent place in Hawaiian 11 Telcom's offerings, and that the DCCA enable flexibility in Hawaiian Telcom's franchise to ensure that this 12 franchise, when granted, does not represent a ceiling of 13 14 PEG access provisions to be required of Ocean Time Warner 15 Cable. This is necessary so that our long-standing tradition of service can continue for our producers and 16 17 presenters and the community at large. Thank you for your 18 time.

MR. SONOBE: Thank you, Ms. Lopez.
 MS. WONG: The next is number 13 Sparky
 Rodrigues.
 MR. RODRIGUES: Aloha. Thank you for having
 me. I've used PEG access at 'Olelo Community Television

25 Community Television resources in under-served and

24

to help build a stronger community. Using 'Olelo

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 536-2001

under-represented communities has given them a chance to
 have a voice, gain skills, create teams and solve
 community problems.

Youth from elementary, middle, high school,
kupuna and issues that never get reported on network or
main stream media have all had a voice with PEG access via
'Olelo Community Television.

8 On a personal note, companies that give back to 9 the community in a meaningful and responsible way gets my 10 business and loyalty. As a customer I always look for the 11 best value measured against the best company.

12 I'm currently a customer of Oceanic as well as 13 Hawaiian Telcom. I tried to get more services from 14 Hawaiian Telcom but could not be served where I live and 15 Oceanic provided the service.

I could have gone to a cheaper satellite system for services. But because Oceanic gives back by providing funds to PEG community access television I chose Oceanic.

Hawaiian Telcom has since upgraded services in
the community but have not convinced me to switch yet.
Satellite services do not give back to the community by
supporting PEG community access so they will never get my
business.

Also I lost a lot of respect for Oceanic when they cut the Internet funding support to PEG community

access. Add the Internet revenues to Hawaiian Telcom's
 support package in your give-back and I'll sign up
 tomorrow.

What I'd like to see in the franchise agreement match or exceed what Oceanic is currently funding PEG community access. Exceed in the, in a meaningful way what Oceanic is giving and you get my serious consideration. Exceed and add your internet revenues to the calculation and I'll sign up right now.

10 A portions of the gross revenues to support all 11 PEG operations provide capital support that is flexible and adaptable to maintain current operations, facilities, 12 equipment and allow for upgrades and acquisition of PEG 13 14 facilities to keep up with the emerging technologies. Provide cross promotion of access programs in all cable 15 16 channels. Provide digital channel cable band width for 17 all community access television. Programming in the 18 expanding capacity to allow for growth into emerging technologies and community development needs. 19

Offer programs on demand service interaction applications with the needed support to ensure PEG access support for success. Consecutively numbering of channels close to the local channels and PBS Hawaii. Offer closed captioning of all programming, include all native languages.

Offer expanded revenue stream support to PEG
 community access in all emerging technologies that may

3 become part of the cable net systems. Allow for 4 flexibility and expanding cable net services and band 5 width. Live origination points around the island and as 6 expanded, to all islands.

7 Provide additional locations for live
8 origination points at all the PEG community centers that
9 exist and that may come about. Provide unrestricted
10 commercial channel space, both analog, digital channels to
11 actively promote fund raising beyond funding limits.

12 I want PEG community access to be a resource 13 that continues to build community and promote democratic

14 process. Oceanic will not give up their market share 15 willingly, but you can sway the public in your favor by 16 helping us build a stronger community. The Hawaiian 17 Telcom franchise needs to be willing, flexible, adaptive 18 in working with the PEG access that sets the bar really 19 high for Oceanic. And my suggestion is take the lead and 20 exceed. Thank you.

21 MR. SONOBE: Thank you, Mr. Rodrigues.
22 MS. WONG: The next person to sign up to
23 testify is number 14, Alex McGhee.

24 MR. McGHEE: Thank you. My name is Alex
25 McGhee. I'm the executive vice president of Enterprise

1 Honolulu. I think I can do three minutes.

Enterprise Honolulu is not related to the car rental company. Some people make that mistake, one a week maybe. We work in economic development. And our primary purpose as a nonprofit organization is to build better paying jobs in Hawaii and to help diversify our economy.

7 It's been very educational for me today and I
8 thank the DCCA and the people who have testified before I
9 came up here to listen to their testimony.

10 So I wanted to offer a few comments in addition 11 to the written testimony which has been provided by Mike 12 Fitzgerald who's our CEO. One thing I do notice is that 13 everybody has got something they want from you. And I 14 don't want anything from you. So I feel good about that. 15 The list of what people want is really long. 16 It is good for businesses to expand and grow in Hawaii.

17 It is good for innovation to happen in Hawaii. From 18 innovation and for businesses growing and expanding our 19 economy gets stronger. The opportunities for the people 20 here become more diverse.

And I know that there are any number of people who are in this room today who are working in businesses and are attempting to do that every day.

24 Before I came to Enterprise Honolulu I spent a 25 little more than 25 years in the television business so I

have some familiarity with it. I managed about four and a
 half hours of news every day that I was responsible for
 supervising.

I don't watch a lot of television anymore because I'm just at that stage in my life. But it is a good business and it provides good-paying jobs. And it provides innovation and it helps Hawaii in ways that we are positioned presently to pursue and take advantage of. Enterprise Honolulu strongly supports Hawaiian

10 Telcom's application. We would request of you -- so I 11 guess after all I am asking for something -- we would 12 request of you that you be reasonable in considering this 13 application. We believe that the company is making and 14 has made a good faith effort to reach the community 15 standards that are important for them to address; that 16 they are making a good faith of that.

17 And if I might add that is perhaps a little 18 unfair of folks to be critical of them at this point on 19 another aspect of their business which they have had some 20 difficulty with, as they do an enormous changeover and 21 that that's not what's before the Commission right now. 22 That they are making a good faith effort to correct those 23 problems and that they are here today before you in a good faith effort to help us and you diversify this 24 25 economy. Thank you very much.

1 MR. SONOBE: Thank you, Mr. McGhee. 2 MS. WONG: Next is number 15, Prophet Willie 3 Burrus. 4 MR. SONOBE: Excuse me. Could you repeat your 5 name for me. I missed your last name. б MR. BURRUS: Prophet Willie Burrus. 7 MR. SONOBE: Thank you. 8 MR. BURRUS: Good afternoon, Mr. Sonobe. I'm 9 Prophet Willie Burrus. I'm here in support of 'Olelo. I 10 have a television show with them and they've served me very well. 11 12 Now, I am a customer of Hawaiian Telcom DSL and I also have Oceanic Cable. So I really don't have a 13 14 complaint of either. I do believe in fair trade if they meet the standards. If they meet the requirements that 15 Oceanic Cable is now providing to the community, I believe 16 17 that they should have that opportunity. And if they're 18 not then I believe that you need to really look at their 19 application. But I am very much so happy with Oceanic Cable. 20 21 I've been on the islands here since 1986 and I've had 22 Oceanic Cable since I've been on the islands. And I've 23 been very happy with Oceanic Cable. And it's my choice. 24 But I also said I believe in fair trade. 25 So if Hawaiian Tel can meet the standards that

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 536-2001

1 Oceanic Cable is providing for the community, then I 2 believe they should have the opportunity to compete with 3 Oceanic Cable. 4 MR. SONOBE: Thank you, sir. 5 MS. WONG: Next is number 16 Virginia Dowling. б I'm sorry I can't read the handwriting very well. Any Virginia here would like to testify? (no response) Next 7 8 is No. 17 Fuschia Keliikipi-Kamakani. 9 MS. KELIIKIPI-KAMAKANI: Hi. My name is 10 Fuschia Keliikipi-Kamakani. I'm 14 years old and going to be a freshman at Waianae High School where the 'Olelo, 11 Waianae 'Olelo is held. 12 My experience here at Waianae 'Olelo has been 13 14 very enjoyable and I would like 'Olelo to continue their 15 programs. If you allow Hawaiian Telcom to have a cable service they should have to follow the same 16 17 responsibilities as Oceanic Cable. 18 Without their funding 'Olelo will possibly be shut down. That means the community will not be able to 19 give their opinions on things. And without 'Olelo the 20 21 kids around the island won't have the chance to learn 22 about media. 23 I think that Hawaiian Telcom should have to have the same obligations as Oceanic Cable because that 24 25 means that they can have community access and 'Olelo will

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 536-2001

1 also be able to continue their program that I'm in right 2 now. Thank you for allowing me to speak on behalf of 3 'Olelo's summer youth program. 4 MR. SONOBE: Thank you. 5 MS. WONG: Next number 18 Jordan Kila. б MR. KILA: Hi. My name's Jordan Kila. I'm 14 7 years old and I attend Waianae High School as a sophomore. My experience with 'Olelo was great. I really learned a 8 9 lot from the managers and stuff at 'Olelo. I learned how 10 to operate cameras like the P-100. I also learned how to use color correction 11 chromo and a little bit of motion. This summer video 12 13 program here at 'Olelo for these young kids who want to 14 come with the media business. This program is so good that taking this away from us would ruin our dreams of 15 what we want to do. It also takes away our freedom of 16 17 speech. So don't start taking advantage of other 18 companies. Thank you for allowing me to speak on behalf of 'Olelo. 19 MR. SONOBE: Thank you. 20 21 MS. WONG: Next No. 19 Alan Ibanes. 22 MR. IBANES: Dear Mr. Director: Hi. My name is Alan Ibanes. I am 12 years old. I'm from Waianae and 23 currently going to be a 7th grader at Waianae 24 25 Intermediate.

1 With all the experience I had in Waianae I 2 think Hawaiian Telcom should stick to the phone and 3 Internet service because Oceanic has provided the best 4 things for us like the community access channels, the 5 money, the money to do the summer media programs and for б some a home and 'ohana. So if Hawaiian Telcom wants to be 7 also in the cable business they should provide the same as Oceanic. Thank you. 8 9 MR. SONOBE: Thank you. 10 MS. WONG: Next No. 20 Tuli Leota. 11 MR. LEOTA: Dear director, my name is Tuli 12 Leota. I am a 12 year-old boy that will be attending 13 Waianae Intermediate as a 7th grader. I'm a proud 14 resident of Waianae and a proud member of summer media 15 program at 'Olelo. 16 It is my first year at 'Olelo but just through with this one experience there I've gained new trades and 17 18 it has opened many new doors in my life. 19 Before I came to 'Olelo I was shy and not 20 really serious about media. But since then I have come to 21 'Olelo I've gained many new friends and now I see media as 22 a hobby in my future or maybe even a job. 23 'Olelo provides a second home for some of us. 24 We come here not only to work but we come here to better 25 ourselves and to become better people. At 'Olelo we do

things together as one family, as one 'ohana. At 'Olelo
 we learn to give and get respect. And we can use this in
 our lives to gain many things.

4 Let's just say that 'Olelo makes this island a 5 better place to live. It let's the people speak their 6 minds. It lets people speak the truth. How can people 7 live without knowing the truth? We'd all just be living a 8 huge lie. I'd rather know the truth rather than a lie.

9 So if you're gonna let Hawaiian Telcom do this 10 at least make them be like Oceanic Cable and let us keep 11 not only 'Olelo's channels but to keep our only way of 12 informing people of the truth. Thank you.

13 MR. SONOBE: Thank you.

14 MS. WONG: No. 21 Aaron Oshiro.

15 MR. OSHIRO: Dear Mr. Director, aloha. My name 16 is Aaron Oshiro. I am 13 years old and a student at 17 Waianae Intermediate School. I will be in the 8th grade 18 for the school year of 2006 and 2007.

19 I had great experiences with 'Olelo and the 20 summer 'Olelo media program. I enjoy helping the 21 community to learn the media skills necessary to share 22 their concerns and opinions of Hawaii. But if Hawaiian 23 Telcom becomes a competitor with Oceanic Cable and doesn't 24 allow channels for community access, the public will not 25 be able to listen to the issues of the people and of the

1 community.

'Olelo allows the people of Hawaii to express 2 3 how they disagree with several choices that some 4 politicians make. So that is the truth. And politicians 5 don't like that. So we should have that just to let them б say what they want. 7 'Olelo can barely funded the summer media program. So if Hawaiian Telcom is not obligated to have 8 9 the 'Olelo programming on their cable and customers use 10 Hawaiian Telcom, 'Olelo will have less money in the fund 11 to support the media centers and the programs which might 12 mean the media centers and programs cut. Please don't let 13 this happen to 'Olelo. 14 Mahalo for listening to me and my friends. 15 Thank you. 16 MR. SONOBE: Thank you. 17 MS. WONG: No. 22 Britney Wolf. 18 MS. WOLF: Aloha. My name is Britney Wolf. I'm 16 and attending Nanakuli High and Intermediate 19 20 School. I'm going to be a junior and start third year in 21 our media program. But this summer I attended Waianae 22 'Olelo summer media program. This program has taught me 23 way more than what I had learned in my school's media 24 program. I enjoyed every second at 'Olelo. 25 I'm looking forward to it next year. What I

1 have learned was about cameras and how real shoots go on. It's a little complicated but, oh, so exciting at the same 2 3 time. My whole family has Oceanic Cable and the cable 4 bill is a small amount to pay compared to the other bills 5 we have to pay here in Hawaii. б Man, can I tell you Hawaii is expensive! To 7 have community access to show what we need everyone to know is awesome. Plus it's free to tell everyone 8 9 something where you have to pay to be in the newspaper. 10 I support all the way Oceanic Cable because they fund 'Olelo and we do not fund Hawaiian Telcom. 11 We want Hawaiian Telcom to have cable too but they should at 12 least be able to do the same community access, fund the 13 14 same things that Oceanic does. 'Olelo has shown great progress in the 15 community. I got to say is one of the best. If it wasn't 16 17 for 'Olelo and Oceanic Cable my experience with the media wouldn't have happened. 18 Media has been a part of my schedule and now 19 20 that I'm in this program became a part of my life. I 21 don't even want this program to end. Thank you. 22 MR. SONOBE: Thank you. 23 MS. WONG: Number 23 Ambree Hauhio. MS. HAUHIO: Aloha. My name is Ambree Hauhio. 24 25 I am at the age of 16 years. I'm going to be a senior at

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 536-2001

1 Nanakuli High School.

2 During the summer I've been attending the 3 summer media program in Waianae. It's been a privilege to 4 experience it. I've learned a lot about this media and 5 how it works and how to make it work. б I've also learned a lot about Hawaiian values 7 and how it really could affect our lives in a good way. 8 At 'Olelo it's been working, we're trying to work out how 9 to cooperate with others at different ages, to know and 10 meet people in amount of weeks and later end up as an 'ohana. And as an 'ohana we hope that the community 11 access is still available to everyone. 12

13 Community access is a way to just let everyone 14 know how we feel and to get out our message. So as for me 15 it's just an opportunity for unfortunate people without money to broadcast at other than other expensive 16 17 television channels. I just feel that everyone or every station should have the same obligation. Mahalo nui loa 18 for letting me speak on behalf of 'Olelo. 19 MR. SONOBE: Thank you. 20 21 MS. WONG: Number 24, Tiare West. 22 MS. WEST: Aloha. My name is Tiare West. I'm 12 years and I'm going to be a 7th grader at Waianae 23

24 Intermediate School.

25 For my summer break I've been going to Waianae

'Olelo for a summer media program. I've been going Monday
 through Friday for at least six weeks.

3 While I was going to 'Olelo I learned a lot of 4 things like how to use a PD-100, PD-170 and a PD-150. And 5 I also learned how to edit on Final Cut Pro.

6 To get back on the track that we're heading to, 7 if you let Hawaiian Telcom have the same -- you should 8 Hawaiian Telcom have the same rules as the Oceanic Cable 9 Company because right now 'Olelo's being supported by 10 Oceanic Cable Company.

And if you let Hawaiian Telcom become like a 11 12 cable company you should let them, you should have them 13 follow the same rules. Because if Hawaiian Telcom takes 14 some of the people that are with Oceanic right now then the budget for 'Olelo would come a little lower. So we 15 wouldn't be able to have the summer media program anymore. 16 17 So I was just wondering if you can think twice before you 18 do it. And whatever makes you feel right you can do it. It's fine with me. Thank you. 19

20 MR. SONOBE: Thank you.

21 MS. WONG: Next No. 25 Christian Naho'opi'i. 22 MR. NAHO'OPI'I: Aloha. My name is Christian 23 Naho'opi'i. I'm a graduate of Halau Kumana. I'm also one 24 of the interim managers for the summer media program which 25 the students you just heard and a few more that are coming

1 up after myself.

2 We're just here to say that we are in support 3 of Hawaiian Telcom having a cable, providing cable 4 services but we do want them to follow the same 5 obligations to the community. And, yeah, I'll just keep 6 it short for my students to elaborate. Mahalo. MR. SONOBE: Thank you. 7 MS. WONG: Number 26, Aloha Davis. 8 9 MS. DAVIS: Aloha. My name is Aloha Davis. I 10 was one of the intern manager at Waianae 'Olelo summer 11 media program. I'm not going to talk much, just wanted to say that we are in favor of Hawaiian Telcom's cable if 12 they want to provide now. We just hope that you guys look 13 14 into consideration of allowing the community access to their cable. I'll let the rest of the interns elaborate. 15 16 Mahalo for your time. 17 MR. SONOBE: Thank you. 18 MS. WONG: No. 27. Naturalee Puou. MS. PUOU: My name's Naturalee Puou. I'm 19 20 another intern manager for the Waianae summer media 21 program. And as you just heard I brought a lot of my 22 interns with me. They volunteered to come because they 23 wanted to be here to speak on behalf of 'Olelo. 24 I still support Oceanic Cable but if Hawaiian 25 Telcom is also considering public access, then they have

my support as well. Because of Oceanic Cable 'Olelo gets
 most of its funding which is how we get a wonderful
 program such as the summer media.

And basically our goal is to target at-risk children on the Waianae Coast because those are our main concerns right now because of the stereotype that we face being from Waianae.

8 As the summer media program we have allowed 9 these students to better themselves because we have 10 incorporated the Hawaiian culture in our program this 11 year. Therefore a lot of their lessons have been based on 12 Hawaiian values.

We don't have all Hawaiian students but because these values are able to, because these values hold the same importance and significance in other cultures around the world we're able to come together and have this same understanding within this media halau.

Basically because 'Olelo is a nonprofit the first thing for a profit company to do is to get rid of the limb that really isn't, would be a dead limb for Oceanic Cable if they were to compete with Hawaiian Telcom. We don't want that to happen because public access is important.

I still don't understand why we're still up
here vying for our existence because why should we cut off

1 our voices when we're the people who pretty much make this place what it is. And I don't understand why we have to 2 3 keep coming back, appear to testify and to protest and to 4 justify our existence when the people of Hawaii need a 5 voice. б In Hawaiian culture or the Hawaiians believe 7 you've got, the mouth is the most dirtiest part of the body because of the things that come out of it. 8 9 But when you communicate with righteousness or 10 when you communicate in a pono way it allows the community to stand together and be strong and get what needs to be 11 12 done. So I support both Hawaiian Telcom as well as 13 14 Oceanic Cable if they support us as well. Mahalo. 15 MR. SONOBE: Thank you. MS. WONG: No. 28. Kaleo Keliikipi. Do we 16 17 have Kaleo? 18 MR. BOWEN: He's not feeling well right now. 19 MS. WONG: Okay. No. 29, Mark Helmberger. MR. BOWEN: He's gone. 20 21 MS. WONG: He's gone? Okay. 22 MR. BOWEN: Until he's back I'll speak. 23 (Taking seat at speaker's table) 24 MS. WONG: I'm sorry, you are Mark? 25 MR. BOWEN: He went out for a second.

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 536-2001

1 MS. WONG: Okay. And what's your name? 2 MR. BOWEN: My name is Mike Bowen. 3 MS. WONG: Okay. Before you we have someone 4 else. 5 MR. BOWEN: Before me? б MS. WONG: Yes. Okay. Sorry. No. 30 is 7 Bronson Garcia. 8 MR. GARCIA: Aloha. My name is Bronson Garcia 9 and I'm 17 years old and attend Nanakuli High and 10 Intermediate School as a senior. I'm a participant of the 11 Waianae summer media program. My experience there was a 12 amazing. I met lots of interesting people. I had many 13 memorable moments and I learned so much. 14 I think that Hawaiian Telcom should be under the same obligations as Oceanic Cable and should provide 15 16 community access service to programs in the summer media 17 can continue to be funded. 18 I think that more so because this program was, it helped me a lot. I got through a lot of problems with 19 20 this. I learned leadership. I learned independence as 21 well as teamwork. And I think this can help more people. 22 Thank you. 23 MR. SONOBE: Thank you. 24 MS. WONG: 31 Mike Bowen. 25 MR. BOWEN: Thanks for allowing me to come up.

I don't have a lot to say. I'm just here to say that I'm in support of Hawaiian Telcom if they're willing and the DCCA is willing to make them susceptible to the same requirements that Oceanic has always been required to provide. And amidst those things would be Oceanic has provided six analog channels.

7 Hawaiian Telcom should be willing to provide the equal band width of six analog or six analog channels 8 but in their digital format because digital takes up less 9 band width than analog. So they should be required to 10 provide the same. And that's about all I have to say. 11 12 MR. SONOBE: Thank you. MS. WONG: No. 33 Bennette Evangelista. 13 14 MS. EVANGELISTA: Mr. Sonobe, members of the 15 public, good afternoon. My name is Bennette Evangelista, community leader and volunteer. And I want to thank you 16 17 for this opportunity to speak in favor of the application. 18 I've been a member of this local business 19 community for sometime now. And I was one of those who 20 lauded from the sidelines when the news broke that

21 Hawaiian Telcom was going to go local.

First of all, as a former banker I knew the tremendous impact, economic impact this would bring to the islands. At the same time I knew that the jobs were being brought back to Hawaii and more importantly we could now

interact with employees of the Phone Company who
 understood our local ways and, more importantly, as an
 immigrant myself, our local accents.

As Hawaiian Telcom grew in the marketplace I was very impressed by the fact that they were very good, and solid corporate citizens. They gave back to Hawaii and its people.

8 I have been on numerous boards and many times 9 that corporate support meant survival for many of these 10 nonprofit organizations. But this is really not the 11 reason why I'm supporting this application. I'm 12 supporting it because of the economic reason.

First of all, I support it because it offers a choice for Hawaii consumers. In every economy and every industry monopoly is not healthy. Competition is always good. And whether it be price or customer service the consumers will always reap the benefits.

18 Second, I support it because of the capital 19 infrastructure investment it will bring to Hawaii. This represents millions of dollars and, of course, additional 20 21 jobs for our people. I'm not an economist. But by all 22 means if you take in the multiplier effect I will be sure 23 the yield on this initial investment will be three-fold. 24 I also support it thirdly for the particular 25 technology that Hawaiian Telcom is proposing to use which

1 offers expanded and unlimited channels and services.

For Hawaii's multicultural community this is really an ideal situation. Think about it. Instead of just one Korean channel that would provide Korean soap operas, you can now have as many as four.

6 Or, for instance, instead of one Filipino 7 channel, which we now currently have, you can have as many 8 as five or six and one which could even be Filipino HBO 9 which could just be showing Filipino movies.

10 I know my 71 year-old mother would be happy about that. I really believe that this is an opportunity 11 we cannot afford to miss. I strongly urge the Division to 12 consider this application. And I know my mother would 13 14 thank you. Much mahalo for your kind consideration. 15 MR. SONOBE: Thank you, Ms. Evangelista. MS. WONG: No. 41 Emine Da Huong. Emine 16 17 Pacific Beauties? (no response) Evera Williams? 18 MS. WILLIAMS: Hello, I'm Evera Williams. And 19 I'd like to speak on behalf of my community which is the Palolo Kai community. I work a lot with the community 20 21 there. Public access has been really important to that 22 and very empowering.

I support Hawaiian Telcom's entry into the
field. And I like the idea competitive access like that.
But I highly support them paying a share toward public

access as I wish the satellite people would do too. In
 fact all of those companies providing those services
 should pay a portion of their profit to public access so
 that it is maintained.

5 I think our system here, especially on Oahu, is 6 exceptional. We have the ability now to make sure it 7 stays exceptional. I highly urge you to do that. Thank 8 you.

9 MR. SONOBE: Thank you, Ms. Williams. We've 10 completed our list of speakers. Is there anyone who has, 11 who wishes to speak who wasn't signed up?

12 MR. HELMBERGER: I signed up but I had to step 13 out.

14 MR. SONOBE: Can I get your name, please. MR. HELMBERGER: Yes. I'm Mark Helmberger. 15 I've been with 'Olelo as a volunteer producer, and just 16 17 volunteer since 1999. I worked on such diverse projects 18 as the D.A.R.E. concert that just happened, the ICE 19 program down to Hawaii high school soccer games as well as 20 what we do personally which is we do a number of church 21 studies.

We support, of course, the Hawaiian Telcom bid for cable access. We would like to emphasize, though, is Hawaii has something that's very unique to Hawaii. That is the way that they handle PEG access.

1 You look at the diversity of people that comes 2 through here. It thrills my heart to watch those kids go 3 out. If you go to Waianae you can really appreciate what 4 an opportunity they have been offered through 'Olelo. 5 That has spread throughout the islands. There are 3 to 6 4,000 producers that cover every subject that you can 7 think of and many that you've never thought of. That's 8 'Olelo.

9 But there is one other access group that really 10 hasn't been addressed yet. That is the number of people 11 who watch PEG access. I've been astounded. When we first 12 started I was, like, yeah, who's going to watch community 13 access TV until I actually got my face on that.

'Olelo is unique in that it is really, it's the voice of the island and the island watches it. So I would like to emphasize when considering Hawaiian Telcom's bid, make sure that there is band width consideration there.

18 If you take the digital channel and you compare 19 it to an analog channel, the band width of the analog 20 channel, as you're well aware, is significantly larger. 21 If we were to get the same band width considerations with 22 the Hawaiian Telcom proposal we would be able to offer 23 this voice of the island much more opportunities and 24 options.

25 Please don't forget the PEG access and what

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 536-2001

'Olelo has done on this island to give this island its own
 unique voice.

Hawaii is one of the most culturally diverse
places I've ever been to. And I have had the privilege in
my limited lifetime to be over most of the world.

6 Yet here on the island you have such a 7 multicultural base of people that to have a community 8 access TV that actually addresses all of their needs is 9 crucial.

10 So when you're considering this proposal please 11 make sure that there is a band width consideration for 12 Hawaiian Telcom to give the same equivalent band width 13 that Oceanic does, and to offer the other advantages of 14 the higher technology that they're proposing such as was 15 mentioned before; video on demand or the DVR programs.

16 These would not be exceptional burdens on 17 Hawaiian Telcom. We believe would actually enhance the 18 'Olelo experience that would take what we've done with PEG 19 access here on the island and really put it to the top of 20 the list in the country. Thank you.

21 MR. SONOBE: Thank you.

22 VOICE IN AUDIENCE: I signed in.

MS. WONG: Okay. You didn't check if youwanted to testify. Did you want to testify?

25 MR. SAGAPOLUTELE: Yes. Hi. My name is Molesi

1 Sagapolutele. I'm speaking on behalf of our community, 2 Samoan community. I've been with 'Olelo quite long. I 3 don't know how long but it's quite long. This is the 4 Voice of Samoa. If the 'Olelo is the voice of Hawaii it's 5 also the voice of our community. We get our news from the 6 island news. This is only access we have to our 7 community. Our culture, church, material and also the 8 political. 9 So if the Telecom, Hawaiian Telcom they should 10 do the same as 'Olelo -- or Oceanic Cable or better to 11 serve the community. Thank you very much. MR. SONOBE: Thank you. Is there anyone else 12 13 who would like to speak? 14 MS. LEE: Yeah, I guess I will. Wow. Thank 15 you. This is a very, very rare opportunity. 16 MR. SONOBE: Could you state your name, please. 17 MS. LEE: Yeah. My name is Jan Lee. Wow. The history of PEG, public access television, PEG and the 18 19 history and truth and everything that was going on. I 20 just want to say that people are really happy to have 21 Hawaiian Telcom enter into this marketplace because it's 22 really great. 23 And the people who participate in P-E-G it's like I heard so much misinformation today. The kids that 24 25 came through here I felt like we were like battered women

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 536-2001

1 and our husbands said, "Oh, it was your fault so I beat
2 you."

3 It's kind of weird because the people don't 4 really understand the history of PEG and all the years and 5 the suffering since the '70s to bring PEG access.

6 So my only point here in relation to the 7 Hawaiian Telcom is that the regulation is watched over 8 very carefully so that we can have the free speech that's 9 been very limited in our PEG access community.

Number 1 we have, like, private schools all around. They can't get PEG access, you know, because they can't. You know, it's so difficult for the private school community to get any kind of programming on.

I just over the last 15 years watched the 'Olelo PEG access budget increase from one, two, three thousand, I mean one, two, three million dollars a year and our access gets less and less and less.

18 So I don't know what's going on. But I hope 19 with Hawaiian Telcom entering in that they won't get 20 abused like an abused woman, you know in the access 21 picture. You know, that their funds that they're 22 investing in the community will be, I guess, you know 23 taken care of properly.

24 Because, you know, for the massive investment 25 \$9 million a year? And we get some community program and

1 the rest comes from Germany or -- where's that place? 2 Burbank? I think we local people deserve more. It's been 3 just increasing that way with NASA satellite feed. So I 4 support it and maybe we can see some changes. 5 MR. SONOBE: Thank you. MS. LEE: Thank you. б 7 MR. SONOBE: Is there anyone else wishing to 8 speak? 9 MS. NICHOLS: Hi. Thanks for letting me speak 10 kind of late in the game here. My name is Meredith Nichols. And I'm a director at 'Olelo Community 11 Television. 12 I'd just like to make some clarifying points 13 14 about some of the statements we just heard. Primarily 15 that 'Olelo does offer services to private schools as well as public schools. And we do our steady best to serve as 16 17 many members of the community as we can. 18 We believe we're doing a pretty good job, that 19 our reach has increased as we open new community centers. 20 And the community remains the primary -- service to the 21 community remains our primary goal. 22 With that goal in mind we want to also state 23 our appreciation from Oceanic Cable and what they do in order to make that possible. And also in the context of 24 25 this hearing to also state that we appreciate Hawaiian

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 536-2001

Telcom already stating that they're also in support of
 public access and making a statement that they are
 dedicated to also providing the public voice on their
 channel.

5 So we appreciate that again. Of course we will 6 have written testimony that's more detailed. But I did 7 want to make those clarifying statements.

8 MR. SONOBE: Thank you, Ms. Nichols. Is there 9 anyone else wishing to make comments this evening? If not 10 I'd like to have Hawaiian Telcom come back up for their 11 closing comments.

MR. MATSUNAGA: Thank you again, Mr. Sonobe. Hawaiian Telcom would like to thank everybody for providing comments to us. The comments that have been provided as the other comments we've gotten previously in other meetings that we have had with the community have been very helpful to us.

18 I think after listening to the comments we are 19 even more encouraged that providing video service here on 20 Oahu with our franchise application.

21 What we've heard is that people do want to see 22 competition. That many people realize that competition 23 will bring benefits to the consumer and to the state of 24 Hawai'i.

25 And we are prepared to do that. We are

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 536-2001

1 prepared to be a provider although we are facing a very 2 well-entrenched competitor incumbent here in Hawaii. 3 We do provide a platform that uses a different 4 technology. That is the reality of our service. It will 5 not be cable service. And will be an IPTV-based platform. б But, however, we believe that the fact that 7 we're using a different platform and different technology 8 offers differences but also advantages from what the 9 traditional cable service is today. 10 We are also a new entrant. And as a new entrant we have the realities of building a market share 11 as we grow our market and deploy our network here in 12 Hawaii. We believe that all of those things can be 13 14 accommodated in our negotiations with the DCCA. 15 The other thing that we're encouraged after listening to all of the comments we've heard a tremendous 16 17 amount of comments about the importance to the community of PEG access. We have stated very early on that Hawaiian 18 19 Telcom is committed, we are committed to providing PEG 20 access. 21 We were very pleased that 'Olelo was willing to 22 meet with us very early on and provide us with a lot of

24 that they served as a resource for us. And we're reaching 25 out to others so that we can make sure that we fulfill

information because we do realize how important it is and

23

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 536-2001

1 that important need in the community.

2 So we are committed to PEG access. For many of those who spoke today we appreciate their comments. It 3 4 helps to enforce our own perception of how important that 5 is for the community. б Other than that we will be open to hearing from 7 others. We will be getting a copy of the transcripts. We 8 will carefully review all of the comments presented today. 9 We appreciate everybody's comments. thank you. 10 MR. SONOBE: Thank you, Mr. Matsunaga. With that, thank you everyone who attended and provided 11 12 comments and have a good evening. Thank you. 13 (Proceedings adjourned at 5:54 p.m.) --000000--14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	
2	CERTIFICATE
3	
4	I, HOLLY HACKETT, R.P.R., C.S.R. in and for the
5	State of Hawai'i, do hereby certify;
б	That I was acting as shorthand reporter in the
7	foregoing DCCA matter on the 19th day of July, 2006
8	That the proceedings were taken down in
9	computerized machine shorthand by me and were thereafter
10	reduced to print by me;
11	That the foregoing represents, to the best
12	of my ability, a correct transcript of the proceedings
13	had in the foregoing matter.
14	I further certify that I am not counsel for any
15	of the parties hereto, nor in any way interested in the
16	outcome of the cause named in the caption.
17	DATED: This 25th day of July 2006
18	
19	
20	HOLLY M. HACKETT, R.P.R., C.S.R. #130 Certified Shorthand Reporter
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	