# BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

In the Matter of ----

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Instituting a Proceeding on Communications, Including an Investigation of the Communications Infrastructure of the State of Hawaii.

DOCKET NO. 7702

ORDER NO. 19405

Filed June 7, 2002

At \_(0:00 o'clock \_

Chief Clerk of the Commission

ATTEST: A True Copy KAREN HIGASHI Chief Clerk, Public Utilities Commission, State of Hawaii.

# DEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

---- In the Matter of ---- ) ) PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION )

Instituting a Proceeding on Communications, Including an Investigation of the Communications Infrastructure of the State of Hawaii.

Docket No. 7702

Order No. 19405

#### ORDER

I.

### Background

In Decision and Order No. 19018, filed on November 15, 2001, the commission ordered, among other things, VERIZON HAWAII INC. (Verizon Hawaii) file, to pursuant specific requirements, the following: (1) its revised proposed shared caged collocation arrangement (revised shared caged its option, a collocation); and (2) at full statement of justification and a detailed accounting of each cost element for its Operations Support Systems (OSS) transition, OSS transaction, and National Open Market Center (NOMC) shared/fixed costs it seeks to recover (OSS and NOMC cost justifications). Pursuant to the decision and order, Verizon Hawaii filed its revised shared caged collocation proposal on December 21, 2001, and filed its OSS and NOMC cost justifications on May 17, 2002. Additionally, on December 21, 2001, Verizon Hawaii filed its revised wholesale

non-recurring cost (NRC) study, pursuant to the Parties' Stipulation in Partial Settlement of Various Phase III Issues (Stipulation).

By this order, the commission will address the revised shared caged collocation proposal and establish a schedule for the filing of comments with regards to the OSS and NOMC cost justifications and the revised wholesale NRC study.

II.

### Shared Caged Collocation

Decision Pursuant to and Order No. 19018. Verizon Hawaii contends that the revised shared caged collocation proposal: (1) utilized AT&T's tariff proposal for shared caged collocation (AT&T's tariff) as its foundation; (2) conforms to all applicable Federal Communications Commission requirements; and (3) and reflects the commission's determinations in Decision and Order No. 19018. Additionally, Verizon Hawaii specified that the revised shared caged collocation proposal: (1) excludes host-guest arrangement; (2) any ensures that construction costs for a shared cage are not higher than the costs to provide a similar cage for a single competitive local exchange carrier (CLEC) collocator; (3) ensures that each CLEC of a shared collocation arrangement is billed separately for cage construction based on the utilized space; (4) makes shared caged

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The commission, by Order No. 18230 (filed on December 6, 2000), as amended by Order No. 18236 (filed on December 8, 2000), approved the Stipulation, among other things.

collocation available in single-bay increments; and (5) makes certain revisions to AT&T's tariff for overall consistency with its own physical collocation tariff or with tariffs of its affiliated companies in other jurisdictions.

In Decision and Order No. 19018, the commission instructed interested-parties to file comments on Verizon Hawaii's proposal within 30 days of the filing. No comments were filed within the prescribed time.

Upon review, we find that the revised shared caged collocation arrangement appears to conform to all of the requirements of Decision and Order No. 19018. It also appears that the other parties to this docket have no concerns with Verizon Hawaii's proposal. Accordingly, we conclude that Verizon Hawaii's revised shared caged collocation arrangement, filed on December 21, 2001, should be approved. Due to various intrastate collocation tariff matters pending before the commission, direction with regards to the incorporation of this arrangement into Verizon Hawaii's P.U.C. Tariff No. 19 will be provided in a subsequent order.

III.

#### Filing Schedule

To facilitate the commission's review of the OSS and NOMC cost justifications and the revised wholesale NRC study, we shall require interested-parties to file comments on these matters within 90 days from the date of this order. The filed comments shall include detailed narratives on any cost figures

that the interested-parties disagree with, if any. shall contain specific adjustments to The narratives the disagreed on cost figures and a reasonable and justifiable explanation for each such adjustment, arriving at an adjusted proposed cost figure. The comments shall also provide a detailed explanation of disagreements with Verizon Hawaii's cost recovery methodology, if any, and provide a full justification for any proposed alternative. Within 60 days from the filing of the comments, if any, Verizon Hawaii shall file its response. To the extent possible, Verizon Hawaii's response shall address each concern raised by the interested-parties on a point-by-point basis. The parties to this docket are required to adhere to the filing schedule set forth above.

IV.

#### THE COMMISSION ORDERS:

- 1. Verizon Hawaii's revised shared caged collocation arrangement, filed on December 21, 2001, is approved.
- 2. With regards to the OSS and NOMC cost justifications and revised wholesale NRC study, unless otherwise ordered, the parties to this docket shall adhere to the requirements set forth in section III of this order.

DONE at Honolulu, Hawaii the 7th day of June, 2002.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

Ву

Dennis R. Yamada,

Chairman

Ву

Wayne/H. Kimura, Commissioner

Ву

Janet E. Kawelo, Commissioner

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Ji Sook Kim

Commission Counsel

7702.eh

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this date served a copy of the foregoing Order No.19405 upon the following parties, by causing a copy hereof to be mailed, postage prepaid, and properly addressed to each such party.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND CONSUMER AFFAIRS DIVISION OF CONSUMER ADVOCACY P. O. Box 541 Honolulu, HI 96809

ALAN M. OSHIMA, ESQ.
MICHAEL H. LAU, ESQ.
OSHIMA, CHUN, FONG & CHUNG
Davies Pacific Center, Suite 400
841 Bishop Street
Honolulu, HI 96813

TERRANCE SPANN, ESQ.
GENERAL ATTORNEY
OFFICE OF THE JUDGE ADVOCATE GENERAL
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
Litigation Center
901 North Stuart Street, Room 400
Arlington, VA 22203-1837

JOEL K. MATSUNAGA
VICE PRESIDENT-EXTERNAL AFFAIRS
VERIZON HAWAII INC.
P. O. Box 2200, A-17
Honolulu, HI 96841

LESLIE ALAN UEOKA, ESQ. VERIZON HAWAII INC. P. O. Box 2200 Honolulu, HI 96841

# (<u>Certificate</u> of <u>Service</u> - Continued)

LISA SUAN
GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS MANAGER
GST TELECOM HAWAII, INC.
737 Bishop Street, Suite 1900
Honolulu, HI 96813

EDWARD C. MURLEY VICE PRESIDENT REGULATORY AFFAIRS OCEANIC COMMUNICATIONS 2669 Kilihau Street Honolulu, HI 96819

J. DOUGLAS ING, ESQ.
BETH K. FUJIMOTO, ESQ.
WATANABE, ING & KAWASHIMA
First Hawaiian Center, 23<sup>rd</sup> Floor
999 Bishop Street
Honolulu, HI 96813

STEPHEN H. KUKTA, ESQ.

SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY, L.P.

1850 Gateway Drive, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor
San Mateo, CA 94404-2467

Catherine Sakato

DATED: June 7, 2002