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DECISION AND ORDER

I.

By an application filed on November 6, 2002, NOW COMMUNICATIONS, INC. (Applicant) requests that the commission grant it a certificate of authority (COA) to operate as a reseller of intrastate telecommunications services in the State of Hawaii (State). Applicant makes its request pursuant to Hawaii Administrative Rules (HAR) chapter 6-80.

Copies of the application were served on the Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs, Division of Consumer Advocacy (Consumer Advocate). By Statement of Position filed on November 19, 2002, the Consumer Advocate informs us that it does not object to the approval of the application, provided that certain tariff concerns are addressed.

II.

Applicant is a Mississippi corporation, authorized to conduct business in the State as a foreign corporation. It was incorporated on February 29, 1996 and maintains its principal
offices in Lawrenceville, Georgia. In Hawaii, Applicant intends to offer local exchange and intrastate long distance telecommunications services on a resold basis.

III.

Upon review of the application, the commission makes the following findings pursuant to HAR § 6-80-18(a):

1. Applicant possesses sufficient technical, financial, and managerial resources and abilities to provide the proposed services;

2. Applicant is fit, willing, and able to properly perform the telecommunications services proposed and to conform to the terms, conditions, and rules prescribed or adopted by the commission; and

3. Applicant’s proposed telecommunications services are in the public interest.

Accordingly, the commission concludes that Applicant should be granted a COA to provide resold intrastate telecommunications services in the State. Furthermore, based on our review of the record including, but not limited to, Applicant’s proposed tariff and the Consumer Advocate’s concerns, we also conclude that Applicant should make the following revisions to its proposed tariff.

1. Section 1 (Technical Terms and Abbreviations; original page 9) defines the term “Processing Fee”; however, Applicant’s proposed tariff does not state an amount for this cost item. For clarity, Applicant should state the exact cost for “Processing Fee” or delete this definition from Section 1.
2. Sections 2.13.7 (Billing and Payment; original page 15) and 2.18.4 (Denial of Service with Notice; original page 17) should be reviewed for consistency with HAR § 6-80-102(e). This rule states that a carrier may not consider a customer’s bill past due unless it remains unpaid for twenty calendar days after the billing date stated on the bill. Upon review, Applicant should amend these sections, as necessary.

3. Section 2.13.7 (Billing and Payment; original page 15) should be reviewed and for consistency with HAR § 6-80—100. This rule lists certain information that must be set forth in the customer’s bill. Section 2.13.7 states that Applicant “may set forth” the listed information. This section of Applicant’s proposed tariff should be amended, accordingly.

IV.

THE COMMISSION ORDERS:

1. Applicant is granted a COA to operate as a reseller of intrastate telecommunications services in the State.

2. As a holder of a COA, Applicant shall be subject to all applicable provisions of Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS) chapter 269, HAR chapters 6-80 and 6-81, any other applicable State laws and commission rules, and any orders the commission may issue from time to time.

3. Applicant shall file tariffs in accordance with HAR §§ 6-80-39 and 6-80-40. Applicant shall ensure that the appropriate effective date is reflected in its tariff. In the event of a conflict between any provision of a tariff and State law, State law shall prevail.

4. Applicant shall conform its proposed tariff to the provisions of HAR chapter 6-80 by, among other things, incorporating the tariff revision set forth in section III of 02-0396
this decision and order. An original and eight copies of the
tariff shall be filed with the commission, and two copies of the
same shall be served on the Consumer Advocate.

5. Within 30 days of the date of this decision and
order, Applicant shall pay a public utility fee of $60, pursuant
to HRS § 269-30. Any checks shall be made payable to the Hawaii
Public Utilities Commission and sent to 465 South King Street,
Room No. 103, Honolulu, Hawaii, 96813.

6. In accordance with HAR § 6-80-39, Applicant shall
not offer, initiate, or provide any telecommunications services
within the State, at wholesale or retail, until it complies with
the requirements set forth in this decision and order.

DONE at Honolulu, Hawaii this 28th day of May, 2003.
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