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ORDER

I.

On May 9, 2003, HAWAIIAN ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC., HAWAII ELECTRIC LIGHT COMPANY, INC., and MAUI ELECTRIC COMPANY, LIMITED (collectively, the "utilities") submitted: (1) their joint quarterly report, with redactions (the "redacted quarterly
report"; and (2) a redacted version of their annual report (the "redacted annual report"), previously filed on January 31, 2003.

In submitting these reports, the utilities explained:

1. The redacted quarterly report contains "customer information that is confidential and proprietary, and this information has been deleted."

2. The annual report, previously filed on January 31, 2003, without redaction (the "annual report"), contains "confidential customer information, which should been deleted and provided under a Protective Order."

By Order No. 20219, filed on May 30, 2003, the commission instructed the utilities to file, pursuant to paragraph 5 of Protective Order No. 20168, "a written statement justifying its reasons for deleting the customer information from its redacted quarterly report."¹

On June 6, 2003, the utilities filed their written statement. On June 16, 2003, the Consumer Advocate filed its response thereto.

This order addresses whether the utilities, in filing their redacted quarterly report, have met, after-the-fact, the requirements under paragraph 5 of Protective Order No. 20168.

¹Order No. 20219 also: (1) denied the utilities' request to destroy the annual report; and (2) directed the Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs, Division of Consumer Advocacy (Consumer Advocate), to file a response to the utilities' written statement.
II.

A.

The utilities, in justifying their designation of confidentiality, state:

1. They receive customer information as part of their normal business operations, which "is generally not available to the general public."

   Such customer information includes the: (A) customer's name and address; (B) customer's kilowatt-hour ("kwh") usage; and (C) customer's kilowatt ("kw") load.

2. Their practice has been to not disclose customer information to the general public in order to protect the customers' privacy interests.

3. Conversely, they provide customer information to third-parties: (A) when compelled by subpoena; or (B) upon a customer's authorization to disclose such information.

4. The information designated as confidential in the redacted quarterly report includes: (A) the customer's name; (B) the manufacturer, model, and size of the distributing generating unit being installed at the customer's location; and (C) certain milestone dates regarding the installation of the distributed generating unit at the customer's location.

   This information was redacted from the quarterly report in order to protect each customer's privacy interests.

B.

That said, for the redacted quarterly report and all future status reports filed in this docket, the utilities propose to:

1. Redact the following information: (A) customer's name and address; (B) customer's kwh usage;
(C) customer's kw load; and (D) customer's billing data. (See Section II.A, paragraph 1, above.)

2. Identify, without redaction: (A) the manufacturer, model, and size of the distributing generating unit being installed at the customer's location; and (B) certain milestone dates regarding the installation of the distributed generating unit at the customer's location. (See Section II.A, paragraph 4, above.)

Consistent with this proposal, the utilities offer to provide a revised redacted version of their quarterly report.

C.

The Consumer Advocate does not object to the utilities' proposal to limit the redacted information in the quarterly report and in future status reports to the: (1) customer's name and address; (2) customer's kwh usage; (3) customer's kw load; and (4) customer's billing data.

III.

Upon review, the commission finds reasonable, in this docket, the utilities' rationale and proposal governing the redaction of certain customer information from public review. The commission, thus, will approve the utilities' proposal set forth in Section II.B, above. ¹

¹The utilities' proposal does not retroactively apply to the customer information previously identified without redaction, in the annual report filed on January 31, 2003. See the utilities' written statement, filed on June 6, 2003, at 1–2. See also Order No. 20219, filed on May 30, 2003, Sections II.B and III.

²This ruling does not preclude the utilities from obtaining their customers' authorization to disclose such information for public review.
At the same time, the commission emphasizes the utilities' continual obligation to fully disclose all customer information, without redaction, to the commission and Consumer Advocate, for review and monitoring purposes. As the commission noted, in Decision and Order No. 19773, filed on November 15, 2002:

The commission recognizes that distributed generation/interconnection is an evolving, "work in progress" in this State. The parties' joint submission represents a step forward, with the goal of improving and streamlining the interconnection process.

The commission intends to closely monitor the utilities' and distributed generators' actions in achieving the commission's underlying objective of facilitating the development and use of distributed generation in the State. Any adjustments or modifications to the utilities' respective tariffs can then be made, if necessary.

Decision and Order No. 19773, at 12 – 13.

IV.

THE COMMISSION ORDERS:

1. The utilities' proposal governing the prospective redaction, in this docket, of certain customer information, as described in Section II.B, above, is approved.

2. The utilities shall promptly file their revised redacted quarterly report, consistent with Section II.B, above.
DONE at Honolulu, Hawaii this 27th day of June, 2003.
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