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DECISION AND ORDER

I.

By a petition filed on May 28, 2003, VERIZON HAWAII INC. ("Verizon Hawaii") requests that the commission approve Amendment No. 1 to the Interconnection Agreement Between Time Warner of Hawaii, L.P. ("Time Warner")¹ and Verizon Hawaii ("Amendment"). Verizon Hawaii included a copy of the Amendment as part of its petition. The Amendment was filed pursuant to Section 252(e) of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 ("Act")² and Hawaii Administrative Rules ("HAR") § 6-80-54.

Copies of Verizon Hawaii's petition and the accompanying Amendment were served on the Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs, Division of Consumer Advocacy ("Consumer Advocate"). By statement of position filed on

¹Also known as, Time Warner Communications of Hawaii, L.P. dba Oceanic Communications and Time Warner Telecom of Hawaii, L.P.

²The Act amended Title 47 of the United States Code ("U.S.C."). Section references in this decision and order are, thus, to those in 47 U.S.C., as amended by the Act.
July 29, 2003, the Consumer Advocate informed the commission that it does not object to the approval of Verizon Hawaii’s petition.

No person moved to intervene or participate in this docket.

II.

Verizon Hawaii is a corporation duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Hawaii ("State"). It is engaged in the provision of varied telecommunications services to its customers and the general public within Verizon Hawaii’s chartered territory in the State. Verizon Hawaii is an incumbent local exchange carrier, as contemplated by § 252 of the Act. Time Warner is an authorized facilities-based carrier and reseller of telecommunications services in the State.¹

The commission approved the original interconnection agreement between Time Warner and Verizon Hawaii by Decision and Order No. 15736, filed on August 6, 1997, in Docket No. 97-0219. The Amendment modifies the original interconnection agreement by, among other things, clarifying that Internet traffic shall not be eligible for payment of reciprocal compensation and that inter-carrier compensation for Internet service provider-bound

¹By Decision and Order No. 14145, filed on August 17, 1995, in Docket No. 94-0093, Time Warner was first granted a certificate of public convenience and necessity to provide telecommunications services in Hawaii. Its authority was amended through Decision and Order No. 14395, filed on November 27, 1995, in Docket No. 95-0316, and then further amended through the requirements and effectuation of HAR chapter 6-80 (see Order No. 14842, filed on August 5, 1996, in Docket No. 95-0329).
traffic will be governed by the Order on Remand and Report and Order, In the Matter of Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions in the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Intercarrier Compensation for ISP Bound Traffic, FCC 01-131, CC Docket Nos. 96-98 and 99-68. The terms and conditions of the Amendment were negotiated and arrived at voluntarily, as contemplated by 47 U.S.C. § 252(a).

In its statement of position, the Consumer Advocate concluded that the Amendment is reasonable and consistent with the federal requirements. Specifically, the Consumer Advocate "determined that the reciprocity treatment [set forth in the Amendment,] . . . is reasonable since it allows each Party an opportunity to independently determine the basis for which reciprocal compensation payments are due."  

III.

In our review of the Amendment, we are governed by 47 U.S.C. § 252 (e) and HAR § 6-80-54. These sections provide that we may reject a negotiated agreement only if:

(1) The agreement, or any portion of the agreement, discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or

(2) The implementation of the agreement, or any portion of the agreement, is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

Our review indicates that the Amendment, filed on May 28, 2003, does not discriminate against other

---

"Consumer Advocate's Statement of Position, filed on July 29, 2003, at 4."
telecommunications carriers and that the implementation of the Amendment is consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. The Consumer Advocate agrees with our assessment of the Amendment.\textsuperscript{5}

IV.

THE COMMISSION ORDERS:

1. Amendment No. 1 to the Interconnection Agreement Between Verizon Hawaii and Time Warner, filed on May 28, 2003, is approved.

2. This docket is closed.

DONE at Honolulu, Hawaii this 12th day of August, 2003.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

By \textbf{Carlito P. Caliboso}, Chairman

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

By \textbf{(EXCUSED)}

Wayne H. Kimura, Commissioner

By \textbf{Janet E. Kawelo}, Commissioner

\textbf{Ji Sook Kim}
Commission Counsel

\textsuperscript{5}Ibid.
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