BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

In the Matter of the Application of)

ALL AMERICAN MOVING, INC. )

For a Temporary Motor Carrier )
Certificate.

DOCKET NO. 03-0234

DECISION AND ORDER NO. 20475

Filed Oct. 1, 2003
At 9:00 o’clock A.M.

Chief Clerk of the Commission

ATTEST: A True Copy
KAREN HIGASHI
Chief Clerk, Public Utilities
Commission, State of Hawaii.
BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

In the Matter of the Application of
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For a Temporary Motor Carrier Certificate.

Decision and Order No. 20475

DECISION AND ORDER

I.

By an application filed on August 22, 2003 ("Application"), ALL AMERICAN MOVING, INC. (" Applicant") requests temporary authority to operate as a common carrier of property by motor vehicle over irregular routes on the island of Oahu in the household goods classification. The application is made pursuant to Hawaii Revised Statutes ("HRS") § 271-16.

Applicant served copies of the application on the Hawaii Transportation Association, which consists of carriers that may be affected by Applicant’s proposed service, and on the Division of Consumer Advocacy, Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs ("Consumer Advocate"). The Consumer Advocate did not participate.

1In support of the Application, Applicant also filed a Supplemental Memorandum in Support of Application, filed on September 4, 2003 ("Supplemental Memorandum"), and an Update to Application for Temporary Authority, filed on September 15, 2003 ("Update to Application").

2Applicant represents that it has developed an ongoing in-house training program that teaches a specialized skill group of modular techniques to its movers. See Supplemental Memorandum at 3.
in this proceeding, and no persons moved to intervene in this
docket.

II.

A.

For a grant of temporary operating authority pursuant to
HRS § 271-16, an applicant must satisfy two conditions. First, an
applicant must establish that there is "an immediate and urgent
need" for the proposed service. Second, an applicant must also
demonstrate that there is "no [other motor] carrier service capable
of meeting the need" between points or within the territory where
the temporary service will be instituted. See In re Robert’s Tours
& Transportation, Inc., Decision and Order No. 15274, Docket
No. 96-0437 (December 23, 1996). As discussed below, we conclude
that Applicant has failed to satisfy the two requirements necessary
to obtain temporary authority.

B.

As stated above, Applicant seeks temporary authority to
operate as a common carrier of household goods on the island of
Oahu. However, we find nothing in Applicant’s record sufficient to
indicate “an immediate and urgent need” for the proposed temporary
service. Although Applicant submitted an affidavit of its
President and numerous letters with its Application supporting its
request for temporary authority, these submissions indicate only a
general need, rather than “an immediate and urgent need” for
temporary service. While Applicant’s September 15, 2003 Update to
Application includes a representation of a time critical need by a potential customer, this representation comes from Applicant itself, rather than the potential customer. Similar to In re Dieter Uwe Thate, dba Dieter's Creative Hawaiian Isle Tours, Decision and Order No. 14375, Docket No. 95-0300 (November 9, 1995), these submissions are not any different from those generally supplied in applications for permanent authority to engage in the motor carrier business. In fact, the letters are in essence the same letters submitted in support of Applicant's application for permanent authority in Docket No. 03-0235. A showing of general need for a particular service is not a showing of immediacy and urgency of the need. Id.

In addition, we also find that Applicant has not shown that there is "no [other motor] carrier service capable of meeting the need" that Applicant's proposed temporary service would provide. As with the requirement of urgency, Applicant has not provided any direct evidence to support its claim that it is the only common carrier of household goods on the island of Oahu that can fill its potential customers' immediate and urgent needs. Applicant's representation that "members of the public" were unable to obtain another carrier to meet their needs is insufficient to meet the second requirement.

---

3Applicant asserts that there is no other carrier that currently has Applicant's specialized capabilities for modular set-up and take-down. However, it failed to include any letters, declarations or affidavits from actual, potential customers affirming that all other certificated household good movers on the island of Oahu were incapable of meeting their immediate and urgent needs.

03-0234 3
Based on the foregoing, we conclude that Applicant has not satisfied the temporary authority requirements set forth in HRS § 271-16. Accordingly, we further conclude that the application for temporary authority, filed on August 22, 2003, should be denied.

III.

THE COMMISSION ORDERS that Applicant's application for temporary authority, filed on August 22, 2003, is denied.

DONE at Honolulu, Hawaii this 1st day of October, 2003.
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