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OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

In the Matter of the Application of
HAWAII ELECTRIC LIGHT COMPANY, INC. Docket No. 03-0388
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Kailua 19.2 MVAR Capacitor Bank Installation
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Karen P. Heigerl
Chief Clerk of the Commission
BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

In the Matter of the Application of
HAWAII ELECTRIC LIGHT COMPANY, INC. Docket No. 03-0388
for approval to commit funds in excess of $500,000 for Item H0000832,
Kailua 19.2 MVAR Capacitor Bank Installation

STIPULATED PROCEDURAL ORDER

Applicant Hawaii Electric Light Company, Inc. ("HELCO") and the Division of Consumer Advocacy of the Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs (the “Consumer Advocate”) hereby stipulate that the attached Stipulated Procedural Order is mutually acceptable to each respective party.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawaii, December 15, 2003

WILLIAM A. BONNET
Vice President
Hawaii Electric Light Company, Inc.

CHERYL S. KIKUTA
Acting Executive Director
Division of Consumer Advocacy
Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs
BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

In the Matter of the Application of

HAWAII ELECTRIC LIGHT COMPANY, INC.  )  Docket No. 03-0388

for approval to commit funds in excess of $500,000 for Item H0000832, Kailua 19.2 MVAR Capacitor Bank Installation

STIPULATED PROCEDURAL ORDER

On November 7, 2003, Hawaii Electric Light Company, Inc. ("HELCO") filed an application for approval to commit funds in excess of $500,000 for Item H0000832, the Kailua 19.2 MVAR Capacitor Bank Installation project. HELCO served copies of the application on the Division of Consumer Advocacy of the Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs (the "Consumer Advocate" or "CA").

By Order No. 20640, filed November 14, 2003, the Commission directed HELCO and the Consumer Advocate to submit a stipulated procedural schedule to the Commission for approval by December 4, 2003 (twenty days from the date of Order No. 20640).

By Order No. 20713, filed December 11, 2003, the Commission approved HELCO's and the Consumer Advocate's request for an extension of time until December 11, 2003 to submit a stipulated procedural schedule to the Commission.

On December 11, 2003, HELCO and the Consumer Advocate requested a further
extension of time until December 18, 2003 to submit a stipulated procedural schedule to the Commission.

HELCO and the Consumer Advocate have reached agreement on procedural matters and submit this Stipulated Procedural Order to the Commission, which is acceptable to the parties.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED that the following Schedule of Proceedings and procedures shall be utilized in this docket.

I.

**SCHEDULE OF PROCEEDINGS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HELCO Application for Kailua 19.2 MVAR Capacitor Bank Installation</td>
<td>November 7, 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA Information Requests (&quot;IRs&quot;) to HELCO¹</td>
<td>January 9, 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HELCO IR Responses to CA¹</td>
<td>January 30, 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA Supplemental IRs (&quot;SIRs&quot;); if necessary</td>
<td>February 13, 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HELCO Responses to SIRs¹</td>
<td>February 27, 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA Statement of Position (&quot;SOP&quot;)</td>
<td>March 19, 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HELCO SOP, if necessary*</td>
<td>April 8, 2004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* If the CA objects to approval of the application, or requests that approval be subject to conditions.

If there are substantial disagreements following the filing of the SOPs, and the parties cannot resolve the differences by stipulation and the parties do not waive the right

¹ Whenever possible, parties will provide copy of documents on diskette upon request.
to a hearing, the parties shall propose a hearing schedule (including the filing of simultaneous post-hearing briefs) for Commission approval.

If HELCO determines that a Reply SOP is unnecessary, HELCO and the CA will notify the Commission that the proceeding is ready for decision making.

The parties acknowledge that under Section 2.3.g.2 of the Commission’s General Order No. 7, the Commission’s 90-day period to act upon the Application and render a Decision and Order expires on February 5, 2004. Given the schedule agreed to by the parties, HELCO requests an extension of the 90-day period for the Commission to act upon the Application until 20 days after HELCO provides notice that the proceeding is ready for decision making (and HELCO does not file a Reply SOP) or 20 days after HELCO files its Reply SOP.

If the parties propose a hearing schedule (because there are substantial disagreements following the filing of the SOPs, and the parties cannot resolve the differences by stipulation and the parties do not waive the right to a hearing), HELCO requests that the Commission approve an extension of the 90 day period for the Commission to act upon the Application until 45 days after the submittal of post-hearing briefs.
II.

MISCELLANEOUS MATTERS TO FACILITATE
AND EXPEDITE THE ORDERLY CONDUCT OF
THESE PROCEEDINGS

A. Requests for Information

To the extent practical, HELCO and the Consumer Advocate will cooperate (1) by exchanging information requests and responses as they become available, and (2) by resolving questions regarding information requests and responses informally to attempt to work out problems with respect to understanding the scope or meaning of information requests, or with respect to the availability of information. If a party is unable to provide the information requested within the prescribed time period, it should so indicate to the inquiring party as soon as possible. The parties shall then endeavor to agree upon a later date for submission of the requested information.

In lieu of responses to information requests that would require the reproduction of voluminous documents or materials, the documents or materials may be made available for reasonable inspection and copying at a mutually agreeable designated location and time. In the event such information is available on computer diskette or other readily usable electronic medium, the party responding to the information request may make the diskette or such electronic medium available to the other party and the Commission.

A party shall not be required, in a response to an information request, to provide data that are already on file with the Commission or otherwise part of the public record, or that may be stipulated to pursuant to Part B, infra. The responding party shall, in lieu
of production of a document in the public record, include in its response to the information request an identification of the document with reasonable specificity sufficient to enable the requesting party to locate and copy the document. In addition, a party shall not be required, in a response to an information request, to make computations, compute ratios, reclassify, trend, calculate, or otherwise rework data contained in its files or records.

A party may object to responding to an information request that it deems to be irrelevant, immaterial, unduly burdensome, onerous or repetitious, or where the response contains information claimed to be privileged or subject to protection (confidential information). If a party claims that information requested is confidential, and withholds production of all or a portion of such confidential information, the party shall: (1) provide information reasonably sufficient to identify the confidential information withheld from the response, without disclosing privileged or protected information; (2) state the basis for withholding the confidential information (including, but not limited to, the specific privilege applicable or protection claimed for the confidential information and the specific harm that would befall the party if the information were disclosed); and (3) state whether the party is willing to provide the confidential information pursuant to a protective order governing this docket.

A party seeking production of documents notwithstanding a party's claim of confidentiality, may file a motion to compel production with the Commission.
B. Matters of Public Record

In order to provide a means to reduce unnecessary reproduction of documents and to facilitate these proceedings, identified matters of public record, such as reports that HELCO has filed with the Commission, published decisions of this or other Commissions, published scientific or economic statistical data, material and textbooks, technical or industry journals relating to electric utility matters, and specified parts of the record in previous Commission dockets shall be admissible in this proceeding without the necessity of reproducing each document; provided that the document to be admitted is clearly identified by reference to the place of publication, file or docket number, and the identified document is available for inspection by the Commission and the parties; and further provided that any party has the right to explain, qualify or conduct examination with respect to the identified document. The Commission can rule on whether the identified document can be admitted into evidence when a party proffers such document for admission as evidence in this case.

From time to time, the parties may enter into stipulations that such documents, or any portion of such documents, may be introduced into evidence in this case.

C. Copies of Filings and Information Requests.

1. Filings:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commission</td>
<td>Original + 8 copies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HELCO</td>
<td>2 copies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Advocate</td>
<td>2 copies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. **Information Requests and Responses:**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commission</td>
<td>Original + 8 copies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HELCO</td>
<td>2 copies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Advocate</td>
<td>2 copies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. All pleadings, and other documents required to be filed with the Commission shall be filed at the office of the Commission in Honolulu within the time limit prescribed pursuant to Chapter 61, subchapter 2, section 6-61-15 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure.

4. Copies of all filings, information requests and information request responses should be sent to the other parties by hand delivery or via facsimile. In addition, if available, all parties shall provide copies of their filings, information requests and information request responses to the other parties via diskette or e-mail in a standard electronic format that is readily available by the parties. The parties agree to use Word 97 or Word 2000 as the standard programming format for filings in this case. However, if workpapers, documentation, or exhibits attached to any filing are not readily available in an electronic format, a party shall not be required to convert such workpapers, documentation, or exhibits into an electronic format. Also, existing documents produced in response to requests need not be converted to Word 97/Word 2000 as long as the applicable format is identified. In the event a copy of a filing, information request or information request response is delivered to a party via diskette or e-mail, unless otherwise agreed to by such party, the same number of copies of such filing, information request or information request response must still be delivered to such party by hand.
delivery or via facsimile as provided in Parts C.1 and C.2 above.

D. Communications

Chapter 61, subchapter 3, section 6-61-29 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure concerning ex parte communications is applicable to any communications between a party and the Commission. However, the parties may communicate with Commission counsel on matters of practice and procedure through their own counsel or designated official.

Communications between the parties should either be through counsel or through designated representatives. All pleadings, papers, and other documents filed in this proceeding shall be served on the opposing party. All motions, supporting memoranda, and the like shall also be served on opposing counsel.

E. General

These procedures are consistent with the orderly conduct of this docket.

Pursuant to Chapter 61, subchapter 3, section 6-61-37 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, this Stipulated Procedural Order shall control the subsequent courses of the proceedings, unless modified at or prior to the hearings to prevent manifest injustice.
DONE at Honolulu, Hawaii, this 19th day of December, 2003.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

By ________
Carlito P. Caliboso, Chairman

By ________
Wayne H. Kimura, Commissioner

By ________
Janet E. Kawelo, Commissioner

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Michael Azama
Commission Counsel
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this date served a copy of the foregoing Stipulated Procedural Order No. 20723 upon the following parties and participant, by causing a copy hereof to be mailed, postage prepaid, and properly addressed to each such party or participant.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND CONSUMER AFFAIRS
DIVISION OF CONSUMER ADVOCACY
335 Merchant Street, Room 326
Honolulu, Hawaii  96813

WILLIAM A. BONNET
VICE PRESIDENT, GOVERNMENT AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
HAWAIIAN ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC.
P. O. Box 2750
Honolulu, HI  96840-0001

THOMAS W. WILLIAMS, JR., ESQ.
GOODSILL ANDERSON QUINN & STIFEL
1800 Alii Place
1099 Alakea Street
Honolulu, Hawaii  96813

Karen Higashi

DATED:  December 19, 2003