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For Approval to Simultaneously Change its Depreciation Accrual Rates and Remove the Lost Gross Margin Component from its 2005 Resource Cost Adjustment (DSM & IRP) Surcharge
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STIPULATED SCHEDULING ORDER

Applicant KAUAI ISLAND UTILITY COOPERATIVE ("KIUC") and the DIVISION OF CONSUMER ADVOCACY, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND CONSUMER AFFAIRS (the "Consumer Advocate"), by and through their respective attorneys, hereby stipulate that the attached Stipulated Scheduling Order is mutually acceptable to each respective party.


KENT D. MORIHARA, ESQ. JON S. ITOMURA, ESQ.
MICHAEL H. LAU, ESQ. Attorney for Division of Consumer Advocacy
Attorneys for Kauai Island Utility Cooperative Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs
On February 4, 2005, KAUAI ISLAND UTILITY COOPERATIVE ("KIUC") filed an application for approval to simultaneously change its depreciation accrual rates and remove the Lost Gross Margin component from its 2005 Resource Cost Adjustment (DSM & IRP) Surcharge. This application was filed pursuant to Decision and Order No. 21567 filed on January 27, 2005 in Docket No. 04-0368.

KIUC served copies of the application on the Division of Consumer Advocacy, Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs ("Consumer Advocate").

Pursuant to said Decision and Order No. 21567, the Commission directed KIUC and the Consumer Advocate to file a proposed procedural schedule to govern the application proceeding.

KIUC and the Consumer Advocate have reached an agreement on a proposed schedule to govern the application proceeding and hereby submit this Stipulated
Scheduling Order to the Commission, which is acceptable to the parties.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED that the following shall be utilized in this docket.

**SCHEDULE OF PROCEEDINGS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KIUC Application Filing</td>
<td>February 4, 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Advocate (CA) Information Requests (IRs) to KIUC</td>
<td>March 11, 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIUC IR Responses to CA</td>
<td>March 28, 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA Supplemental IRs (&quot;SIRs&quot;)(^1), if necessary</td>
<td>April 11, 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIUC Responses to SIRs(^1)</td>
<td>April 25, 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA Statement of Position (&quot;SOP&quot;)</td>
<td>May 16, 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIUC Reply SOP, if necessary*</td>
<td>May 27, 2005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* If the Consumer Advocate objects to approval of the Application, or requests that approval be subject to conditions.

Notwithstanding the above, the parties shall have the right to amend the above schedule as may be agreed in writing from time to time. However, the intent of the parties in agreeing to a schedule at this time is to promote the efficient and cost-effective allocation of resources. Therefore, any changes to the schedule should be proposed only when there is an urgency or substantial competing need that cannot be reasonably accommodated without a change.

If there are substantial disagreements following the filing of the SOPs, and the parties cannot resolve the differences by stipulation and the parties do not waive the

---

\(^1\) Whenever possible, parties will provide copy of documents electronically upon request.
right to a hearing, the parties shall propose a hearing schedule (including the filing of simultaneous post-hearing briefs) for Commission approval.

If KIUC determines that a Reply SOP is unnecessary, KIUC will notify the Commission that the proceeding is ready for decision making.

Notwithstanding the above, if the parties propose a hearing schedule (because there are substantial disagreements following the filing of the SOPs, and the parties cannot resolve the differences by stipulation and the parties do not waive the right to a hearing), KIUC requests the Commission in such a case to act upon the Application within thirty (30) days after the submittal of the parties’ respective post-hearing briefs.

DONE at Honolulu, Hawaii, MAR 4 2005.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

By Carlito P. Caliboso, Chairman

By Wayne H. Kimura, Commissioner

By Janet E. Kawelo, Commissioner

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Kevin Katsura
Commission Counsel
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this date served a copy of the foregoing Stipulated Scheduling Order No. 2 upon the following parties, by causing a copy hereof to be mailed, postage prepaid, and properly addressed to each such party or participant.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND CONSUMER AFFAIRS
DIVISION OF CONSUMER ADVOCACY
335 Merchant Street, Room 326
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

DUTCH ACHENBACH
President and Chief Executive Officer
Kauai Island Utility Cooperative
4463 Pahe'e Street
Lihue, Hawaii 96766-2032

JOSEPH MCCAWLEY
MICHAEL YAMANE
Kauai Island Utility Cooperative
4463 Pahe'e Street
Lihue, Hawaii 96766-2032

KENT D. MORIHARA, ESQ.
MICHAEL H. LAU, ESQ.
841 Bishop Street, Suite 400
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

DATED: _____MAR - 4 2005_____. Honolulu, Hawaii.

Karen Higashi