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BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

In the Matter of the Application of

HAWAIIAN ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC. DOCKET NO. 2006-0360

For Approval of a Multi-Year Syndicated Credit Facility, Approval to Borrow Under the Syndicated Credit Facility, Approval to Use the Proceeds of the Borrowings for Certain Purposes and Approval to Use an Expedited Approval Procedure

STIPULATED PROCEDURAL ORDER

Applicant Hawaiian Electric Company, Inc. ("HECO") and the Division of Consumer Advocacy of the Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs (the "Consumer Advocate") hereby stipulate that the attached Stipulated Procedural Order is mutually acceptable to each respective party.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawaii, November 6, 2006

WILLIAM A. BONNET
Vice President
Hawaiian Electric Company, Inc.

CATHERINE P. AWAKUNI
Executive Director
Division of Consumer Advocacy
Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs
BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

In the Matter of the Application of

HAWAIIAN ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC.

FOR APPROVAL OF A MULTI-YEAR SYNDICATED CREDIT FACILITY, APPROVAL TO BORROW UNDER THE SYNDICATED CREDIT FACILITY, APPROVAL TO USE THE PROCEEDS OF THE BORROWINGS FOR CERTAIN PURPOSES AND APPROVAL TO USE AN EXPEDITED APPROVAL PROCEDURE

STIPULATED PROCEDURAL ORDER

WHEREAS, on August 30, 2006, Hawaiian Electric Company, Inc. ("HECO" or "Company") filed an application for approval of a $175 million unsecured revolving credit facility with a syndicated group of lenders ("Syndicated Credit Facility" or "SCF") and related promissory notes for a five-year term ending March 31, 2011, approval to borrow under the SCF credit agreement (with maturities of such borrowings in excess of 364 days) from time to time in amounts up to an aggregate of $175 million, and approval to use an expedited approval procedure if approvals are sought in the future to increase the facility amount, renew the SCF at or prior to its maturity, refinance the SCF before maturity to take advantage of terms that are more favorable to the Company, and/or change other terms of the SCF if such changes are required or desirable;

WHEREAS, on September 15, 2006, the Commission issued Order No. 22860 which directed HECO and the Division of Consumer Advocacy of the Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs (the "Consumer Advocate") (collectively referred to as "Parties") to submit to
the Commission a stipulated procedural schedule;¹

WHEREAS, the parties have reached agreement on prehearing procedural matters and submitted a Stipulated Procedural Order which is acceptable to all parties.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED that the following Schedule of Proceedings, and procedures shall be utilized in this docket.

I. SCHEDULE OF PROCEEDINGS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Information Requests to HECO</td>
<td>October 6, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HECO Responses to Information Requests</td>
<td>October 20, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplemental Information Requests to HECO</td>
<td>November 16, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HECO Responses to Supplemental Information Requests</td>
<td>December 1, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Advocate Statement of Position</td>
<td>December 21, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Requests to Consumer Advocate</td>
<td>January 9, 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Advocate Responses to HECO-IRs</td>
<td>January 19, 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HECO Reply Statement of Position</td>
<td>February 2, 2007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Following HECO’s Reply Statement of Position, the parties will meet informally to attempt to reach a stipulation on issues where there is agreement and/or partial agreement, and/or

¹ Order No. 22860, which the Commission issued on September 15, 2006, directed HECO and the Consumer Advocate (“Parties”) to submit to the Commission a stipulated procedural schedule, incorporating their agreed-upon schedule for this proceeding within 20 days from the date of this order. Twenty days from September 15 is October 5, 2006. Since the Commission served the Parties by mail, two days were added to the prescribed period, pursuant to §6-61-21(e) of the Hawaii Administrative Rules (“HAR”). Because October 7 was a Saturday, the due date for filing was October 9, as prescribed by HAR 6-61-22. On October 6, the Company, with concurrence from the CA, requested an extension until October 23, 2006 to file a stipulated procedural schedule. On October 23, HECO requested for an
establish additional procedural steps, as required. The establishment of additional procedural steps will be subject to Commission approval. If pursuant to the establishment of additional procedural steps the parties request that the Commission conduct an evidentiary hearing in this proceeding, then the parties will designate witnesses that shall 1) be responsible for sponsoring the information contained in each section of their respective Statement of Position or Rebuttal Statement of Position, and 2) be available for cross examination. Matters related to Witnesses and Order of Examination at the Evidentiary Hearing will be established at a later date, if applicable.

II. MISCELLANEOUS MATTERS TO FACILITATE AND EXPEDITE THE ORDERLY CONDUCT OF THESE PROCEEDINGS

A. Requests for Information

A party to this proceeding may submit information requests to another party within the time schedule specified in this Stipulated Procedural Order. If a party is unable to provide the information requested within the prescribed time period, it should so indicate to the inquiring party as soon as possible. The Parties shall then endeavor to agree upon a later date for submission of the requested information. If the Parties are unable to agree, the responding party may seek approval for the late submission from the Commission upon a showing of good cause. It is then within the Commission’s discretion to approve or disapprove such late filings and take any additional action that may be appropriate, such as extending the date for the party to respond.

In lieu of responses to information requests that would require the reproduction of voluminous documents or materials (e.g., documents over 50 pages), the documents or materials may be made available for reasonable inspection and copying at a mutually agreeable designated extension until November 6, 2006 to file the stipulated procedural schedule. On October 26, 2006, the Commission issued Order No. 22982, which approved HECO’s request for extension of time.
location and time. In the event such information is available on computer diskette or other readily usable electronic medium, the party responding to the information request shall make the diskette or such electronic medium available to the other parties, and the Commission. Subject to objections that may be raised and to the extent practicable, the electronic files for spreadsheet will contain all cell references and formulae intact, and will not be converted to values prior to submission. A party shall not be required, in a response to an information request, to provide data that is/are already on file with the Commission or otherwise part of the public record, or that may be stipulated to pursuant to Part B, infra. The responding party shall, in lieu of production of a document in the public record, include in its response to the information request an identification of the document with reasonable specificity sufficient to enable the requesting party to locate and copy the document. In addition, a party shall not be required, in a response to an information request, to make computations, compute ratios, reclassify, trend, calculate, or otherwise rework data contained in its files or records.

For each response to an information request, the responding party should identify the person who is responsible for preparing the response as well as the witnesses who will be responsible for sponsoring the response at the evidentiary hearing.

A party may object to responding to an information request that it deems to be irrelevant, immaterial, unduly burdensome, onerous or repetitious, or where the response contains information claimed to be privileged or subject to protection (confidential information). If a party claims that information requested is confidential, and withholds production of all or a portion of such confidential information, the party shall: (1) provide information reasonably sufficient to identify the confidential information withheld from the response, without disclosing privileged or protected information; (2) state the basis for withholding the confidential
information (including, but not limited to, the specific privilege applicable or protection claimed for the confidential information and the specific harm that would befall the party if the information were disclosed); and (3) state whether the party is willing to provide the confidential information to some or all representatives of the party pursuant to a protective order.

A party seeking production of documents notwithstanding a party’s claim of confidentiality, may file a motion to compel production with the Commission.

The responses of each party to information requests shall adhere to a uniform system of numbering agreed upon by the Parties. For example, the first information request submitted by the Consumer Advocate in this docket shall be referred to and designated as "CA-IR-1," and a response to this information request shall be referred to and designated as "Response to CA-IR-1."

Each response shall be provided on a separate page and shall recite the entire question asked and set forth the response and/or reference the attached responsive document.

B. Matters of Public Record

To reduce unnecessary reproduction of documents and to facilitate these proceedings, identified matters of public record shall be admissible in this proceeding without the necessity of reproducing each document; provided that the document to be admitted is clearly identified by reference to the place of publication, file or docket number, and the identified document is available for inspection by the Commission and the Parties; and further provided that any party has the right to explain, qualify or conduct examination with respect to the identified document. The Commission can rule on whether the identified document can be admitted into evidence when a party proffers such document for admission as evidence in this case.

From time to time, the parties may enter into stipulations that such documents, or any
portion of such documents, may be introduced into evidence in this case.

C. Copies of Information Requests, Responses to Information Requests and Statements of Position

1. Information Requests, Responses to Information Requests, Statements of Position:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commission</td>
<td>Original + 8 copies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HECO</td>
<td>3 copies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Advocate</td>
<td>2 copies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. All documents required to be filed with the Commission shall comply with the formatting requirements prescribed pursuant to Chapter 61, Subchapter 2, Section 6-61-16 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure and shall be filed at the office of the Commission in Honolulu within the time limit prescribed pursuant to Chapter 61, Subchapter 2, Section 6-61-15 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure.

3. Copies of all filings should be sent to the Parties by hand delivery or United States mail (first class, postage prepaid). In addition, if available, all parties shall provide copies of their filings to the other parties via diskette or e-mail in a standard electronic format that is readily available by the parties. The Parties agree to use Word 97, Word 2000 or Word 2003 as the standard programming format for filings in this case. However, if workpapers, documentation, or exhibits attached to any filing are not readily available in an electronic format, a party shall not be required to convert such workpapers, documentation, or exhibits into an electronic format. Also, existing documents produced in response to requests need not be converted to Word 97/Word 2000/Word 2003 as long as the applicable format is identified. In the event a copy of a filing is delivered to a party via diskette or e-mail, unless otherwise agreed to by such party, the same number of copies of such filing must still be delivered to such party by
D. Communications

Chapter 61, Subchapter 3, Section 6-61-29 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure concerning ex parte communications is applicable to any communications between a party and the Commission. However, the parties may communicate with Commission counsel on matters of practice and procedure through their own counsel or designated official.

Communications between the parties should either be through counsel or through designated representatives. All pleadings, papers, and other documents filed in this proceeding shall be served on the opposing party. All motions, supporting memoranda, and the like shall also be served on opposing counsel.
E. **General**

These procedures are consistent with the orderly conduct of this docket. This Stipulated Procedural Order shall control the subsequent course of these proceedings, unless modified by the Parties in writing and approved by the Commission or upon the Commission's own motion.

DONE at Honolulu, Hawaii, this 24th day of November, 2006.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF HAWAI'I

By

Carlito P. Caliboso, Chairman

By

John E. Cole, Commissioner

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

By

Michael Azama
Commission Counsel
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this date served a copy of the foregoing Stipulated Procedural Order No. 23061 upon the following parties, by causing a copy hereof to be mailed, postage prepaid, and properly addressed to each such party.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND CONSUMER AFFAIRS
DIVISION OF CONSUMER ADVOCACY
335 Merchant Street, Room 326
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

DEAN K. MATSUURA
DIRECTOR, REGULATORY AFFAIRS
HAWAIIAN ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC.
P. O. Box 2750
Honolulu, Hawaii 96840-0001

TAYNE S. Y. SEKIMURA
FINANCIAL VICE PRESIDENT
HAWAIIAN ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC.
P. O. Box 2750
Honolulu, Hawaii 96840-0001

THOMAS W. WILLIAMS, JR.
PETER Y. KIKUTA
GOODSILL ANDERSON QUINN & STIFEL
1800 Alii Place
1099 Alakea Street
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

DATED: NOV 24 2006

Karen Higashi