# BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

HERMINA M. MORITA	
Complainant,	) DOCKET NO. 2007-0324
vs.	
HAWAII SUPERFERRY, INC.	
Respondent.	

ORDER NO. 23806

2001 NOV -7 A 8: 00

DIV OF CONSUMER ADVOCACY
OFFICE COMMERCE AND
CONSUMER AFFAIRS

Chief Clerk of the (mmission

ATTEST: A True Copy
KAREN HIGASHI
Chief Clerk, Public Utilities
Commission, State of Hawaii.

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

HERMINA M. MORITA

Complainant,

Docket No. 2007-0324

vs.

Order No. 23806

HAWAII SUPERFERRY, INC.

Respondent.

#### ORDER

By this Order, the commission instructs HERMINA M. MORITA ("Complainant") and HAWAII SUPERFERRY, INC. ("Respondent"), to submit a stipulated procedural schedule for the commission's review and consideration. Any stipulated procedural schedule shall be submitted within thirty (30) days from the date of this Order. If the parties are unable to agree to a stipulated procedural schedule, each party shall submit a proposed schedule for the commission's consideration by the same date.

The Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs, Division of Consumer Advocacy ("Consumer Advocate") is an  $\underline{\text{ex officio}}$  party to any proceeding before the commission, pursuant to Hawaii Revised Statutes ("HRS") § 269-51 and Hawaii Administrative Rules ("HAR") § 6-61-62(a). The Consumer Advocate, however, did not file a statement of position indicating whether it intends to participate in this proceeding. See Hawaii Administrative Rules § 6-61-62(d).

### Background

On September 27, 2007, Complainant filed a formal complaint against Respondent in which Complainant alleges that Respondent failed to comply with Decision and Order No. 21524, filed on December 30, 2004, in Docket No. 04-0180 which conditionally granted Respondent a certificate of public convenience and necessity, pursuant to HRS § 271G-1, et seq.; failed to comply with HRS § 343-1, et seq., and the Harbors Operating Agreement dated September 7, 2005.

By Order No. 23696, filed on October 4, 2007, in this docket, the commission directed Respondent to file an answer to the formal complaint within twenty (20) days after the date of service of the order. On October 26, 2007, Respondent timely filed its Answer to Verified Complaint.

Under the circumstances, the commission finds it appropriate to instruct the parties to submit a stipulated procedural schedule for the commission's review and consideration.

II.

## <u>Order</u>

#### THE COMMISSION ORDERS:

1. Within thirty (30) days from the date of this Order, the parties shall submit to the commission a stipulated procedural schedule, incorporating their agreed-upon issues, procedures, and schedule with respect to this proceeding.

2. If the parties are unable to stipulate to such a schedule, each party shall submit a proposed procedural schedule for the commission's consideration by the same date.

•		,	11011 0 0007	
DONE at	Honolulu,	Hawaii	NOV - 6 2007	
DUNE at	nonoruru,	11awall	1101 0 2007	•

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

By: Carlito P. Caliboso, Chairman

By: John E. Cole

John E. Cole, Commissioner

By: Leslie H. Kondo, Commissioner

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Jodi L. K. Vi Commission Counsel

2007-0324.cp

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this date served a copy of the foregoing Order No. 23806 upon the following parties, by causing a copy hereof to be mailed, postage prepaid, and properly addressed to each such party.

CATHERINE P. AWAKUNI
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND CONSUMER AFFAIRS
DIVISION OF CONSUMER ADVOCACY
P. O. Box 541
Honolulu, HI 96809

HAROLD BRONSTEIN, ESQ. P.O. Box 3064 Lihue, HI 96766

Attorney for HERMINA M. MORITA

HAWAII SUPERFERRY, INC. 500 Ala Moana Boulevard, Suite 300 Honolulu, HI 96813

THOMAS W. WILLIAMS, JR., ESQ.
AUDREY E. J. NG
PETER Y. KIKUTA
DAMON L. SCHMIDT
GOODSILL ANDERSON QUINN & STIFEL
Alii Place, Suite 1800
1099 Alakea Street
Honolulu, HI 96813

Attorneys for HAWAII SUPERFERRY, INC.

Karen Higashi

DATED: NOV - 6 2007