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DECISION AND ORDER

By this Decision and Order, the commission approves MAUI ELECTRIC COMPANY, LIMITED’s ("MECO") request to reduce the customer incentive levels for compact fluorescent lamps ("CFLs") for its Commercial and Industrial Customized Rebate ("CICR") Program, as described below.

I.
Request to Reduce Customer Incentive Levels

By letter dated and filed on September 19, 2007,¹ MECO requests commission approval to reduce the customer incentive levels for CFLs for its CICR Program, as follows:

¹MECO filed its request in this docket and in Docket No. 05-0069. To ensure the completeness of the commission's records, the commission will also file a copy of this Decision and Order in Docket No. 05-0069.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CFL - Screw In</th>
<th>Current Incentive</th>
<th>Proposed Incentive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFL - Pin Mount</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$2.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to MECO, the current customer incentives for CFLs were based on an estimated installed cost of $20 per CFL in commercial applications. MECO asserts that the installed cost of CFLs has decreased to $10 - $15 per CFL.\(^2\) As such, "MECO maintains that a reduction in the customer incentive level is warranted, and that the reduced customer incentive level should be sufficient to drive the continued adoption by commercial customers of this energy efficiency measure."\(^3\)

By letter dated November 30, 2007, in response to PUC-IR-1, MECO requests further modifications to its customer incentive levels for CFLs to make them consistent with the incentives offered by Hawaiian Electric Company, Inc. ("HECO"). According to MECO, HECO set 25% of the incremental cost of the energy efficiency measure as a benchmark for customer incentive levels in its Commercial and Industrial Energy Efficiency Program. MECO states that "[t]hese incentive levels, based on HECO's experience, have proven to provide appropriate incentives that encourage adoption of the measure under varying product and

\(^2\)MECO's Response to PUC-IR-1.

\(^3\)Letter dated and filed September 19, 2007, from Edward L. Reinhardt, President of MECO, to the commission, at 2.
installation scenarios. Accordingly, MECO now requests approval of the following customer incentive levels for CFLs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Current Incentive</th>
<th>Proposed Incentive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CFL - Screw In</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFL - Pin Mount</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$5.00(^5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFL - Specialty(^6)</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND CONSUMER AFFAIRS, DIVISION OF CONSUMER ADVOCACY ("Consumer Advocate")\(^7\) does not object to MECO's request.

Here, given the circumstances, the commission will approve MECO's incentive levels for CFLs for its CICR Program. As noted by MECO, "[r]atepayer funded [demand-side management ("DSM")] programs need to strike a balance between offering

\(^4\)MECO's Response to PUC-IR-1; see also HECO's Response to PUC-IR-2 and PUC-IR-3, filed on November 30, 2007, in Docket Nos. 94-0010, 94-0011, 94-0012 (consolidated).

\(^5\)As MECO is proposing to now utilize incremental cost rather than installed cost as the basis for determining incentive levels, MECO is not proposing a change in incentive levels for Pin Mount and Specialty CFLs.

\(^6\)Specialty CFLs include dimmable, three-way, reflector, cold cathode and other non-standard CFLs. See MECO's Response to PUC-IR-1.

\(^7\)By letter dated and filed on December 3, 2007, the Consumer Advocate informed the commission that it does not object to commission approval of MECO's request to reduce the CFL customer incentive levels. The Consumer Advocate is an ex officio party to this docket, pursuant to Hawaii Revised Statutes § 269-51 and Hawaii Administrative Rules § 6-61-62.
customer incentives to motivate customers to install energy efficient measures and/or adopt new technologies versus overpaying incentives and/or providing incentives to customers who would have installed the energy efficiency measure even without a utility DSM program. Accordingly, the commission approves MECO's request to reduce the customer incentive levels for CFLs for its CICR Program, as described herein.

II.
Order

THE COMMISSION ORDERS:

MECO's request to reduce the customer incentive levels for CFLs for its CICR Program, as described herein, is approved.
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