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At the urging of the Commission, Mahi Pono, Hui O Nā Wai ‘Ehā, Maui Tomorrow Foundation, and the Office of Hawaiian Affairs reached a settlement with respect to the parties’ exceptions to the Hearings Officers Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Decision & Order (“Proposed D&O”) as to the allocation of surface water to Mahi Pono pursuant to SWUPA 2206. Prior to the settlement, Hui O Nā Wai ‘Ehā, Maui Tomorrow Foundation, and the Office of Hawaiian Affairs argued, *inter alia*, that the allocation of stream water for SWUPA 2206 should be limited to 4.68 mgd. See Hui O Nā Wai ‘Ehā’s and Maui Tomorrow Foundation, Inc.’s Exceptions to the Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Decision and Order, Dated November 1, 2017 at 44. No other parties raised exceptions as to SWUPA 2206.


The D&O filed on June 28, 2021 issued to Mahi Pono “an existing use permit for 15.65 mgd[.]” D&O COL ¶ 193.d. Without explanation, the Commission filed an Errata on June 30, 2021, materially changing the D&O with respect to Mahi Pono’s surface water use permit, including by reducing the allocation to 4.98125 mgd—less than one-third of the amount stated in

---

1 At the time the objections were made Hawaiian Commercial & Sugar Company was still the applicant.
the D&O and less than half the amount agreed to in the Stipulation. Despite disregarding the 11.22 mgd agreed to in the Stipulation, the Errata adopted other conditions agreed to by Mahi Pono.

Accordingly, Mahi Pono respectfully requests that the Commission reconsider the D&O as amended by the Errata and, on reconsideration adopt the terms set forth in the Stipulation.

This Motion is brought pursuant to Hawai‘i Administrative Rules § 13-167-64, and is based on the attached memorandum, declaration, and exhibits attached hereto, and the records and files herein.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawai‘i, July 8, 2021.

CADES SCHUTTE
A Limited Liability Law Partnership

/s/ Trisha H. S. T. Akagi
DAVID SCHULMEISTER
TRISHA H. S. T. AKAGI
Attorneys for
MAHI PONO, LLC
MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION

I. INTRODUCTION


Without explanation, the Errata drastically reduced the allocation of surface water to Mahi Pono’s SWUPA 2206 to 4.98125 mgd—one-third the allocation allotted in the D&O and less than half the allocation stipulated to by Hui O Nā Wai ‘Ehā, Maui Tomorrow Foundation
(together, the “Community Groups”), and the Office of Hawaiian Affairs (“OHA”), the only parties that filed exceptions to the Hearings Officer’s recommended allocation to SWUPA 2206.

For the reasons discussed below, Mahi Pono respectfully requests that the Commission reconsider the D&O as amended by the Errata and, on reconsideration adopt the terms of the Stipulation.

II. RELEVANT FACTUAL BACKGROUND

A. The Community Groups and OHA file exceptions to the Proposed D&O

On November 1, 2017, the Hearings Officer filed his Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Decision & Order (“Proposed D&O”). With respect to surface water use permit application (“SWUPA”) 2206, the Hearings Officer recommended allocating 15.65 mgd of surface water, consisting of 13.5 mgd for 3,650 acres as follows:

w. HC&S is issued a Category 2 existing-use permit for 15.65 mgd, 13.5 mgd for 3,650 acres of the Waihe’e-Hopoi fields and 2.15 mgd in system losses.

1. Irrigation requirements of 16.60 mgd are reduced by 0.1 mgd from HC&S’s ’Īao Tunnel and 3 mgd from Well No. 7’s natural sustainable yield.

x. In addition to the standard conditions, the permit is subject to the following special conditions:

1. HC&S will coordinate its and other down-ditch permittee’s day-to-day water requirements such that HC&S will utilize in the Waihe’e Ditch (through the Hopoi Chute, which drops water down to the Spreckels Ditch near the latter’s terminus) without negatively impacting down-ditch permittees’ allocations.

2. To prevent waste, HC&S is permitted to use any and all waters that reach the terminus of the Spreckels Ditch (previously ending in the Waialae Reservoir, which is now being bypassed).

3. Although HC&S is permitted 13.5 mgd of surface waters to irrigate its Waihe’e-Hopoi fields, when HC&S’s use of surface water reaches half its permitted amount, or approximately 7 mgd, it will be required to use Well No. 7 to the point that the brackish well water becomes unusable for irrigation.

Proposed D&O COL ¶ 193 (underlining omitted).

On January 5, 2018, the Community Groups filed their exceptions to the Proposed D&O. With respect to SWUPA 2206, the Community Groups asserted that the allocation to SWUPA 2206 should be limited to 4.68 mgd. See Hui O Nā Wai ‘Ehā’s and Maui Tomorrow Foundation, Inc.’s Exceptions to the Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Decision and

2 At the time, Hawaiian Commercial & Sugar Company (“HC&S”) was still the applicant.
Order, Dated November 1, 2017 at 44. OHA joined in the Community Groups’ exceptions. See Office of Hawaiian Affairs’ (1) Exceptions to the Hearings Officers Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision and Order Filed November 1, 2017 and (2) Joinder in Hui O Nā Wai ‘Ehā’s and Maui Tomorrow Foundation, Inc.’s Exceptions to the Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Decision and Order Filed on November 1, 2017.

HC&S declined to file any exceptions to the Proposed D&O.

B. Mahi Pono moves to substitute in for HC&S

On May 14, 2019, HC&S and Mahi Pono filed a Joint Motion for Withdrawal and Substitution of Parties for SWUPA 2206 (the “Joint Motion”). The request was made in response to Alexander & Baldwin’s 2018 sale to Mahi Pono of approximately 35,000 acres of agricultural lands, including substantially all of the lands consisting of the Waihee-Hopoi fields.

Although a number of parties filed responses to the Joint Motion, none of the parties opposed the withdrawal and substitution.

The Joint Motion was heard on August 28, 2019. At that hearing, Mahi Pono indicated that it may move to reopen the contested case hearing. See Stipulation and Order Regarding SWUPA 2206 at 2. Mahi Pono intended to seek reopening so that it could present evidence of its water usage and needs. See Declaration of Timothy O’Laughlin (“O’Laughlin Decl.”).

On September 9, 2019, the Commission issued Minute Order #16 Granting Hawaiian Commercial & Sugar Company’s and Mahi Pono, LLC’s Joint Motion for Withdrawal and Substitution of Parties for SWUPA 2206 (“Minute Order #16”). In the order, the Commission noted: “Although Mahi Pono also requested a continuation of the hearing on the [Joint] Motion to allow the parties time to reach a resolution in this proceeding, which the Commission did not grant, the Commission encourages the parties to engage in discussion regarding potential resolution of issues in this case.” Minute Order #16 at 2 (emphasis added).

C. At the urging of the Commission, the parties reach a settlement agreement

After months of discussions, Mahi Pono, the Community Groups, and OHA, through counsel, “reached a settlement whereby Mahi Pono has agreed to certain commitments and conditions, and the Community Groups and OHA have agreed to withdraw their Exceptions to SWUPA 2206[.]” See Stipulation and Order Regarding SWUPA 2206 at 2. Mahi Pono’s commitments included:
Increased and public reporting of data related to Mahi Pono’s water diversions, deliveries, and uses;
Closing the low-flow intake for the Spreckels Ditch on Wailuku River;
Identification of system losses attributable to sections of the water delivery system owned by Mahi Pono, creation of a remediation plan, and an initial allocation of $250,000 to implement said plan; and
Facilitation of communication and public transparency with respect to Mahi Pono’s compliance with its agreed to commitments.

In exchange for said commitments, the parties agreed to a number of terms, including:
- Mahi Pono receiving a surface water use permit for a total of 11.22 mgd;
- An initial allocation of 9.35 mgd
- An additional allocation of 1.87 mgd if certain conditions are met, including “reach[ing] and maintain[ing] a running annual average use of 4.5 mgd from Well 7 for actual, reasonable-beneficial agricultural use (i.e., not waste).” Stipulation and Order Regarding SWUPA 2206 at 4.

The settlement agreement was filed as a Stipulation and Order with the Commission on November 18, 2019. The parties agreed that “the terms of this Stipulation be incorporated as express conditions in Mahi Pono’s permit, and a copy of the Stipulation be attached to the permit.” Id. at 5.


D. CWRM files D&O and Errata that drastically reduces Mahi Pono’s allocation

On June 28, 2021, the Commission filed its D&O. With respect to Mahi Pono’s SWUPA 2206, the Commission concluded:
- “Mahi Pono’s total irrigation requirement is 16.60 mgd,” D&O COL ¶ 193.a;
- “Mahi Pono’s system losses are 2.15 mgd,” id. ¶ 193.b;
“Mahi Pono’s alternative sources are: . . . 0.1 mgd from Mahi Pono’s ‘Īao Tunnel[, and] 3 mgd from Well No. 7,” id. ¶ 193.c.

Accordingly, the Commission concluded that “Mahi Pono is issued an existing use permit for 15.65 mgd[.]” Id. ¶ 193.d.

On June 30, 2021, the Commission filed its Errata which drastically changed the portion of the D&O addressing SWUPA 2206. The changes include the following:

- Mahi Pono’s total irrigation requirement was decreased to 9.125 mgd;
- Mahi Pono’s system losses were reduced to 456,250 gpd; and
- The available water from Well No. 7 was increased to 4.5 mgd.

Accordingly, pursuant to the Errata, Mahi Pono’s allocation was drastically reduced to 4.98125 mgd. An additional condition was also added: “This existing use permit is limited to withdrawal of 3,981,250 mgd from Waihe’e Stream and 1,000,000 from Wailuku River.”

III. ARGUMENT

Reconsideration of a decision by the Commission is governed by Hawai‘i Administrative Rules § 13-167-64, which provides as follows:

(a) The commission may reconsider a decision it has made on the merits only if the moving party can show:

1. . .

2. That a substantial justice would occur.

Haw. Admin R. 13-167-64(a). Here, reconsideration is warranted to prevent a substantial injustice.

At the urging of the Commission, rather than moving to reopen the instant contested case hearing, Mahi Pono undertook months of discussions to resolve the only exceptions made to the Proposed D&O with respect to SWUPA 2206. At the time that Mahi Pono moved to substitute in as a party to the instant contested case hearing, the Community Groups and OHA had filed exceptions to the Proposed D&O with respect to SWUPA 2206 arguing, inter alia, that the allocation of surface water to SWUPA 2206 should be limited to 4.68 mgd. See Hui O Nā Wai ‘Ehā’s and Maui Tomorrow Foundation, Inc.’s Exceptions to the Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Decision and Order, Dated November 1, 2017 at 44. No other parties filed exceptions to the same. At the hearing on the Joint Motion, Mahi Pono indicated that it may seek to reopen the contested case hearing. See Stipulation and Order Regarding SWUPA
2206 at 2. Mahi Pono intended to seek reopening so that it could present evidence regarding its own water usage and needs. See O’Laughlin Decl. In response, the Commission “encouraged the parties to engage in discussion regarding potential resolution of issues in this case.” Minute Order #16 at 2. As a result, Mahi Pono forewent moving to reopen the contested case hearing and, instead, began good faith discussions with the Community Groups and OHA to resolve their exceptions.

After months of discussions, Mahi Pono agreed to significant commitments to resolve the Community Groups’ and OHA’s exceptions. These include:

- Increasing public reporting of data related to Mahi Pono’s water diversions, deliveries, and uses;
- Closing the low-flow intake for the Spreckels Ditch on Wailuku River;
- Identifying system losses attributable to sections of the water delivery system owned by Mahi Pono, creation of a remediation plan, and an initial allocation of $250,000 to implement said plan;
- Facilitating communication and public transparency with respect to Mahi Pono’s compliance with its agreed to commitments; and
- Reaching and maintaining a running annual average use of 4.5 mgd from Well 7. See Stipulation and Order Regarding SWUPA 2206 at 2-4. In exchange, the Community Groups and OHA “agree[d] to Mahi Pono receiving a surface water use permit for a total of 11.22 mgd,” which included an initial allocation of 9.35 mgd and an additional allocation of 1.87 mgd if certain conditions were met. Id. at 4.

Despite this settlement—a settlement the Commission urged the parties to reach and, at least one commissioner described as “an excellent compromise”—the D&O, as amended by the Errata, only allocated to Mahi Pono 4.98125 mgd. This allocation is less than the 15.65 mgd allocated in the original D&O and recommended by the Hearings Officer, less than the 11.22 mgd agreed to by Mahi Pono, the Community Groups and OHA, and is almost the same as the 4.68 mgd that the Community Groups and OHA argued for their filed exceptions. In other words, at the urging of the Commission, Mahi Pono gave up its opportunity to move to reopen the contested case hearing to negotiate a settlement agreement to resolve the Community Groups’ and OHA’s assertions that only 4.68 mgd should be allocated to SWUPA 2206 and in which Mahi Pono agreed to significant commitments, only to end up with an allocation
marginally higher than the Community Groups’ and OHA’s originally proffered allocation and less than half the amount agreed to by the parties in the Stipulation.3

To prevent this substantial injustice, Mahi Pono respectfully requests that the Commission reconsider its D&O as amended by the Errata and adopt the terms set forth in the Stipulation.

IV. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons the Motion should be granted.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawai‘i, July 8, 2021.

CADES SCHUTTE
A Limited Liability Law Partnership

/s/ Trisha H. S. T. Akagi
DAVID SCHULMEISTER
TRISHA H. S. T. AKAGI
Attorneys for
MAHI PONO, LLC

3 Notwithstanding the adoption of an allocation that is less than half of what the parties agreed to in the Stipulation, the Commission adopted other portions of the parties’ Stipulation, such as determining that 4.5 mgd would be available from Well No. 7.
DECLARATION OF TIMOTHY O’LAUGHLIN

I, TIMOTHY O’LAUGHLIN, hereby declare as follows:

1. I am a consultant for Mahi Pono, LLC ("Mahi Pono"). Unless otherwise stated, I make this declaration based upon personal knowledge.

2. On April 18, 2019, the Commission on Water Resource Management (the “Commission”) heard Hawaiian Commercial & Sugar Company and Mahi Pono’s Joint Motion for Withdrawal and Substitution of Parties for SWUPA 2206 (the “Joint Motion”).

3. At the time the hearing occurred, I was also a consultant for Mahi Pono.

4. At the time of the hearing I recommended to Mahi Pono and Mahi Pono contemplated moving to reopen the above-referenced contested case hearing so that it could present evidence regarding Mahi Pono’s water usage, infrastructure, farm plan and economics.

5. Mahi Pono forewent its opportunity to move to reopen the above-referenced contested case hearing to pursue a settlement with Hui O Nā Wai ‘Ehā, Maui Tomorrow Foundation, and the Office of Hawaiian Affairs with respect to the parties’ exceptions to the
Hearings Officers Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Decision & Order ("Proposed D&O") as to the allocation of surface water to Mahi Pono¹ pursuant to SWUPA 2206.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.


TIMOTHY O’LAUGHLIN

¹ At the time the objections were made Hawaiian Commercial & Sugar Company was still the applicant.
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

On July 8, 2021, I caused true and correct copies of the foregoing documents to be served on the following parties by electronic service. Service on those parties who have not agreed to electronic service is via the Commission website pursuant to Minute Order #4.

Noelani & Alan Almeida
Gordon Almeida
P.O. Box 1005
Wailuku, HI 96793

alana89@juno.com

Douglas Bell
1420 Honua Place
Waikapu, HI 96793

puna.papabell@gmail.com

Doyle Betsill
c/o Betsill Brothers
P.O. Box 1451
Wailuku, HI 96793

teresa@bbcmaui.com

Francisco Cerizo
P.O. Box 492
Wailuku, HI 96793

cerizof@gmail.com
Heinz Jung and Cecilia Chang  
P.O. Box 1211  
Wailuku, HI 96793  
cici.chang@hawaiiantel.net

Jordanella (Jorrie) Ciotti  
484 Kalua Road  
Wailuku, HI 96793  
jorrieciotti@gmail.com

Fred Coffey  
1271 Malaihi Road  
Wailuku, HI 96793  
hawaii50peleke@yahoo.com

James Dodd  
P.O. Box 351  
Wailuku, HI 96793  
jimdodd47@gmail.com

Kathy De Hart  
P.O. Box 1574  
Wailuku, HI 96793  
kdehart17@gmail.com

Steve Haller  
1060 East Kuiaha Road  
Haiku, HI 96708  
hallerlandscapes@gmail.com

John V. and Rose Marie H. Duey  
Hooululahui LLC  
575 A Iao Valley Road  
Wailuku, HI 96793  
jduey@maui.net  
cc: Nani Santos  
nanisantos808@gmail.com

Stanley Faustino  
c/o Kanealoha Lovato-Rodrigues  
384 Waihee Valley Road  
Wailuku, HI 96793  
kanealoha808@gmail.com

William Freitas  
c/o Kapuna Farms LLC  
2644 Kahekili Highway  
Wailuku, HI 96793  
kapunafarms@gmail.com

Diannah Goo  
c/o April Goo  
2120 C Kahekili Highway  
Wailuku, HI 96793  
ag2517@aol.com
Greg Ibara  
227 Kawaipuna Street  
Wailuku, HI 96793  
gregibara56@gmail.com

Charlene E. and Jacob H. Kana, Sr.  
P.O. Box 292  
Wailuku, HI 96793  
char1151@hawaii.rr.com

Evelyn Kamasaki  
Cynthia Ann McCarthy  
Claire S. Kamasaki  
1550 Nukuna Place  
Wailuku, HI 96793  
cmcmaui@live.com

Nicholas Harders on behalf of:  
Karl and Lee Ann Harders  
1422 Nuna Pl.  
Wailuku, HI 96793  
waikapu@me.com

and

Theodore and Zelie Harders  
T&Z Harders FAM LTD PTNSHP  
Theodore and Zelie Harders Family Ltd. Partnership  
1415 Kilohi Street  
Wailuku, HI 96793

Kimberly Lozano  
P.O. Box 2082  
Wailuku, HI 96793  
pauahi808@aol.com

Renee Molina  
P.O. Box 1746  
Wailuku, HI 96793  
myoheo@yahoo.com

Downey Rugtiv Manoukian TTEE  
POB 1609  
Waianae, HI 96792  
downrm@yahoo.com

Douglas Myers  
1299 Malaihi Road  
Wailuku, HI 96793  
upperwaiehu@yahoo.com

Lorrin Pang  
166 River Road  
Wailuku, HI 96793  
pangk005@hawaii.rr.com
Nelson Okamura  
Kihei Gardens & Landscaping Co. LLP  
P.O. Box 1058  
Puunene, HI 96784  
nokamura@kiheigardens.com

Francis Ornellas  
340 Iao Valley Road  
Wailuku, HI 96793  
kumuwiliwili@gmail.com

Victor and Wallette Pellegrino  
c/o Hokuao Pellegrino  
213 West Waiko Road  
Waikapu, HI 96793  
hokuao.pellegrino@gmail.com

L. Ishikawa  
Piko Ao, LLC  
2839 Kalialani Circle  
Pukalani, HI 96768  
lorilei@hawaii.edu

Michael Rodrigues  
2518 W. Main Street  
Wailuku, HI 96793  
mikerodmaui@yahoo.com

Waldemar & Darlene Rogers  
1421 Nuna Place  
Wailuku, HI 96793  
rogersw001@hawaii.rr.com

Burt Sakata  
107 Wailea Valley Rd.  
Wailuku, HI 96793  
waihee89@yahoo.com

Bryan Sarasin, Sr.  
c/o Bryan Sarasin, Jr.  
P.O. Box 218  
Wailuku, HI 96793  
mauifishfarm@hawaiiantel.net

Duke and Jean Sevilla and Christina Smith  
702 Kaae Road  
Wailuku, HI 96793  
sevillad001@hawaii.rr.com

Jeff and Ramona Lei Smith  
P.O. Box 592  
Wailuku, HI 96793  
ohianui.ohana@gmail.com
Murray and Carol Smith  
P.O. Box 11255  
Lahaina, HI 96761

Crystal Smythe  
John Minamina Brown Trust  
727 Wainee Street, Suite 104  
Lahaina, HI 96761

Clayton Suzuki  
Linda Kadosaki  
Reed Suzuki  
Scott Suzuki  
P.O. Box 2577  
Wailuku, HI 96793

John Varel  
191 Waihee Valley Road  
Wailuku, HI 96793

Michele and Leslie Vida, Jr.  
135 Pilikana Place  
Wailuku, HI 96793

Leslie Vida, Sr.  
c/o Donna Vida  
125 Pilikana Street  
Wailuku, HI 96793

Roger Yamaoka  
Kevin Yamaoka  
1295 Old Waikapu Road  
Wailuku, HI 96793

Caleb Rowe, Esq.  
Kristin Tarnstrom, Esq.  
County of Maui  
Department of the Corporation Counsel  
200 South High Street  
Wailuku, HI 96793  
(County of Maui, Department of Water Supply)
Colin J. Lau, Esq.
465 S. King Street, Room 300
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
cc: Russell Kumabe
    Holly McEldowney
    (Department of Land and Natural Resources,
     Division of State Parks)

Tina Aiu, Esq.
Oahu Island Director
Hawaiian Islands Land Trust, HILT
P.O. Box 965
Wailuku, HI 96793
cc: Scott Fisher
    Penny Levin

Yvonne Izu, Esq.
Wayne E. Costa, Jr., Esq.
Kris N. Nakagawa, Esq.
Morihara Lau & Fong LLP
400 Davies Pacific Center
841 Bishop Street
Honolulu, HI 96813
cc: Garret Hew
    (Hawaiian Commerical & Sugar Co. (HC&S))

Isaac Moriwake, Esq.
Earthjustice
950 Richards Street
Suite 400
Honolulu, HI 96813
(Hui O Na Wai Eha and Maui Tomorrow
Foundation)

Avery and Mary Chumbley
363 West Waiko Road
Wailuku, HI 96793
(Makani Olu Partners LLC)
Jodi Yamamoto, Esq.  
Wil Yamamoto, Esq.  
Yamamoto Caliboso  
1099 Alakea Street, Suite 2100  
Honolulu, HI 96813  
(MMK Maui, LP, The King Kamehameha Golf Club, Kahili Golf Course)  
jyamamoto@ychawaii.com  
wyanamoto@ychawaii.com

Judy A. Tanaka, Esq.  
Pamela Bunn, Esq.  
Dentons US LLP  
1001 Bishop Street, Suite 1800  
Honolulu, HI 96813  
(Office of Hawaiian Affairs)  
judy.tanaka@dentons.com  
pam.bunn@dentons.com

Craig Nakamura, Esq.  
Catherine L.M. Hall, Esq.  
Carlsmith Ball LLP  
2200 Main Street, Suite 400  
Wailuku, HI 96793  
(Wahi Hoomalu Limited Partnership)  
cnakamura@carlsmith.com  
chall@carlsmith.com

Peter A. Horovitz, Esq.  
Kristine Tsukiyama, Esq.  
Merchant Horovitz LLLC  
2145 Wells Street, Suite 303  
Wailuku, HI 96793  
(Waikapu Properties, LLC and MTP (Maui Tropical Plantation) Operating Company, LLC)  
pah@mhmaui.com  
kkt@mhmaui.com  
cc: Albert Boyce  
albertboyce@gmail.com

Brian Kang, Esq.  
Emi L.M. Kaimuloa  
Watanabe Ing, LLP  
First Hawaiian Center  
999 Bishop Street, 23rd Floor  
Honolulu, HI 96813  
(Wailuku Country Estates Irrigation Company (WCEIC))  
bkang@wik.com  
ekaimuloa@wik.com
Paul R. Mancini, Esq.  pmancini@mrwlaw.com
James W. Geiger, Esq.  jgeiger@mrwlaw.com
Mancini, Welch, & Geiger LLP  
RSK Building  
305 Wakea Avenue, Suite 200  
Kahului, HI 96732  
cc: Avery Chumbley  
(Wailuku Water Company, LLC)

Tim Mayer, Ph.D  tim_mayer@fws.gov
Supervisory Hydrologist  
Water Resources Branch  
US Fish and Wildlife Service  
911 NE 11th Avenue  
Portland, OR 97232-4181  
cc: Frank Wilson  frank.wilson@sol.doi.gov

Earleen Tianio  earleen@tonytlaw.com
Takitani, Agaran & Jorgensen, LLLP  
24 North Church Street, Suite 409  
Wailuku, HI 96793  
(Ken Ota, Saedence Ota, Kurt Sloan,  
Elizabeth Sloan, Anthony Takitani,  
Audrey Takitani, Kitagawa Motors, Inc.,  
SPV Trust and Gerald W. Lau Hee)

Lawrence H. Miike  lhmiike@hawaii.rr.com
Hearings Officer  
1151 Punchbowl Street, Room 227  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Linda L.W. Chow, Esq.  linda.l.chow@hawaii.gov
Deputy Attorney General  
465 S. King Street, Room 300  
Honolulu, Hawaii, 96813

Mahi Pono, LLC  grant.nakama@mahipono.com
c/o Grant Nakama  
P.O. Box 1104  
Puunene, HI 96784
Kathy S. Yoda
1151 Punchbowl St # 227,
Honolulu, HI 96813
Commission on Water Resource Management
State of Hawai`i

kathy.s.yoda@hawaii.gov

DATED: Honolulu, Hawai`i, July 8, 2021.

CADES SCHUTTE
A Limited Liability Law Partnership

/s/ Trisha H. S. T. Akagi
DAVID SCHULMEISTER
TRISHA H. S. T. AKAGI
Attorneys for
MAHI PONO, LLC