

**MINUTES  
FOR THE MEETING OF THE  
BOARD OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES**

DATE: FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 23, 2007  
TIME: 9:00 A.M.  
PLACE: KALANIMOKU BUILDING  
LAND BOARD CONFERENCE ROOM 132  
1151 PUNCHBOWL STREET  
HONOLULU, HI 96813

Chairperson Peter Young called the meeting of the Board of Land and Natural Resources to order at 9:11 a.m. The following were in attendance:

**MEMBERS**

Mr. Peter Young  
Mr. Ron Agor  
Mr. Samuel Gon III  
Ms. Taryn Schuman

Mr. Tim Johns  
Mr. Jerry Edlao  
Mr. Robert Pacheco

**STAFF**

Mr. Dan Quinn, State Parks  
Ms. Jennifer Bethel  
Mr. Russell Tsuji, Land  
Mr. Eric Hirano, ENG

Mr. Paul Conry, DOFAW  
Ms. Athline Clark, DAR  
Mr. Dan Polhemus, DAR  
Mr. Ed Underwood, DOBOR

**OTHERS**

{Note: language for deletion is [bracketed], new/added is underlined}

**Item A-1 Minutes of February 9, 2007**

Member Schuman recused herself.

**Unanimously approved as submitted (Edlao, Gon)**

**Item D-4 Final Approval of the Acquisition of Private Lands and Set Aside to Department of Land and Natural Resources, Division of Forestry and Wildlife, for Addition to the Existing Honolulu Watershed Forest Reserve for Watershed Protection and Research, Native Species Habitat Restoration, Endangered Species Recovery, and Forest Recreation, Education and Management, Moanalua, Honolulu, Oahu, TMK: (1) 1-1-013:001 & 002.**

**Final Approval of the Acquisition of Private Lands and Set Aside to the City and County of Honolulu for Park and Recreation Purposes, Moanalua, Honolulu, Oahu, TMK: (1) 1-1-012:035. (PROJECT DEVELOPMENT/Gavin)**

Mr. Russell Tsuji, Administrator for Land Division, reported a project that Land Division and Forestry have been working on for awhile the State acquired at Moanalua. The State will acquire all except for the park which they will set aside for the County and are acceptable to that. There is potential issue of hazardous materials which TPL will take care of through studies. There is a potential issue with rock fall, but otherwise no changes to the submittal. Commend Gavin Chun and Linda Chow.

Member Edlao inquired of the rock fall potential?

Mr. Tsuji stated there was an issue with a home owner and Damon Estate of a rock fall into the drainage, but the easement required the home owner to maintain the drainage.

Ms. Linda Chow, Deputy Attorney General, replied there were a couple incidents. There has been some rock fall mitigation and mesh was installed.

Member Gon asked if all the rock hazard areas are on the makai portion?

Mr. Tsuji replied and there are some homes along the golf course portion near Tripler Hospital.

Member Gon also asked: is there any preventive measure along that lower edge?

Ms. Chow answered the engineer recommend they do an annual inspections in problem areas.

Member Gon asked: and is it likely we will be allowing development in hazardous areas?

Ms. Chow it would be unlikely because the area is conservation.

Ms. Lea Hong, Hawaiian Islands program director of Trust for Public Lands, wished to commend Linda Chow and the rest of the staff for protecting Moanalua Valley.

**Unanimously approved as submitted (Schuman, Gon)**

**Item D-6 Issuance of a Direct Lease to the University of Hawaii, College of Tropical Agriculture and Human Resources, Fee Simple Conveyance of State Land to the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands and Amend Prior Board Action of September 25, 1998 (Agenda Item D-17), and May 9, 1997 (Agenda Item D-26), Koolaupoko, Waimanalo,**

**Oahu, TMK: (1) 4-1-08:5, 79 and 80, and (1) 4-1-26:4 (SUPPORT BRANCH/Gary)**

Mr. Tsuji reported this has to do with property at the former Meadow Gold site at Waimanalo. It involves two parts. One part is a lease to University of Hawaii. Second part is carving out a fee simple transaction to DHHL.

1. Recommendation 1, 3<sup>rd</sup> line: Delete [“the subject 283 acres, more or less,”] and replace with “TMK: (1) 4-1-26: 4 and (1) 4-1-08: Portion 5 and Portion 80. Exact area to be worked out between UH and DHHL.”
2. Recommendation 2, 2<sup>nd</sup> line: Delete [“the subject 104 acres, more or less,”] and Replace with “TMK: (1) 4-1-08: Portion 5 and Portion 80. Exact area to be Worked out between UH and DHHL.”
3. Recommendation 2c, 1<sup>st</sup> line; Delete [“of the subject 104 acres”]

DHHL and tenants, Domonique and Shaun Kadooka are here.

Chairman Peter Young inquired you are saying this is on condition between UH and DHHL? Does this address the tenant’s issues?

Mr. Tsuji stated there are some issues which Linda Chin will address.

Ms. Linda Chin of the Dept. of Hawaiian Home Lands Home Management Division reported they went out to the site and originally they wanted the 52 acres under the Wong Farm to expand their homestead program. They had an applicant who wished to reside at Waimanalo. They discussed with the farmers and DLNR staff and they will take all responsibility. They decided to leave the acreage open and work it out with UH.

Chairman Young asked are the three parties in agreement? Are the Kadooka’s ok with working it out this way?

All parties acknowledged yes.

Member Gon asked if there was a buffer in case of rock fall?

Ms. Chin replied yes that is what it is for.

**Unanimously approved as submitted (Schuman, Gon)**

**Item D-7 Grant of Perpetual, Non-Exclusive Easement to Department of Defense, State of Hawaii for State Civil Defense Warning and Communication Siren Purposes, Kuliouou, Honolulu, Oahu, TMK: 3-8-016:081 (por). (ODLO/Cecil)**

Mr. Tsuji reported he had no changes to the submittal.

**Unanimously approved as submitted (Edlao, Pacheco)**

**Item J-1 Request for and Consent to Dismissal of Contested Case Hearing in Matter of Docket No. BORCCH-06-02 Regarding Proposed Joint Use Agreement for Dewey Lane, Waikiki, Oahu, (1) 2-6-009:002 and 10, (1) 2-6-07 (por), Public Right of Way as Shown on Map 4 Land of the Land Court Consolidation 64, the Request for Which had Been Previously Granted on March 10, 2006 on Agenda Item J-1.**

Mr. Ed Underwood, Administrator for Div. of Boating and Ocean Rec. has no amendments.

**Unanimously approved as submitted (Schuman, Pacheco)**

**Item C-4 Request for Approval of a Hunting Agreement Between the State of Hawaii Department of Land and Natural Resources and the Board of Water Supply, City and County of Honolulu for Watershed Programs.**

Mr. Paul Conry, Administrator of the Div. of Forestry and Wildlife reported this for an agreement between the State and Board of Water Supply to open up their lands in Manoa for the State hunting program. The hunters will sign a waiver and DLNR will manage it.

Member Johns asked: this is not a game management area?

Mr. Conry replied: no it is not. It's Board of Water Supply's lands.

Member Gon queried: to what extent do county and private land owners open their property? Is this statewide?

Mr. Conry said yes, we will cooperate with land owners and in cases pay them to use their lands such as on Lanai. There are other instances with other land owners as long as the department manages it.

Member Gon also asked: is, there any easy way for the public to find out about these public accessible hunting areas?

Mr. Conry replied when they have long time agreements that will become part of their rules. This is a temporary measure, but hope to make this long term.

Mr. Wayne Johnson representing Animal Rights Coalition reported pigs can feel pain and wants the board to not approve this.

**Unanimously approved as submitted (Schuman, Gon)**

**Item C-6 Request for Amendment of the Hawaii Invasive Species Contract 53779 to the University of Hawaii so that a 3-Month Time Extension may be pursued.**

Mr. Paul Conry reported this is a study of foraging and movement of a parrot on the island of Oahu using mist net and live catcher. We are asking for a 3 month extension and recommend board approval.

Mr. Wayne Johnson of Animal Rights Coalition stated the principal investigator (Kristen Silvia) is on sabbatical in San Diego for a year. There is a documentary on these or similar parrots on San Francisco's Telegraph Hill where they moved to another area. Recently Dept. of Agriculture killed a squirrel from an incoming flight. If it's an invasive species we kill it. He doesn't want us to kill in such a beautiful state.

Member Gon commented: on how much extinction has happened to our native species. Hawaiian birds belong to the Hawaiian Islands and it is important to study the habits of non-native species for public health reasons and for their impacts on all the native species that are here. If I had a choice between protecting native species and ecosystems or seeing alien species removed and even killed, I would choose protecting the native ecosystems of Hawaii.

Member Pacheco added that the research does not involve killing the bird(s). It's only to check their movements.

**Unanimously approved as submitted (Gon, Pacheco)**

**Item C-1 Request for Approval of the Placement of Hazard and Management Signs on Improved Public Lands: Manoa Falls Trail, Honolulu, Oahu and at Kealakekua Bay State Historical Park, Hawaii, Pursuant to Act 82, Session Laws Hawaii, 2003**

**Item C-2 Request for Approval of the Hilo Forest Reserve Koa Regeneration and Salvage Project Management Plan as Required by Chapter 183-1.5, Hawaii Revised Statutes and the Department of Procure Services for Commercial Koa Salvage Work.**

**Item C-3 Request for Approval of the Deed of Conservation Easement Including Development Rights Made by Dunbar Ranch Partners in Favor of Maui Coastal Land Trust; and Final Approval of a Land Conservation Fund Grant of \$1.1 Million to Maui Coastal Land Trust for Partial Match for the Purchase of the Agricultural Conservation Easement on Kainalu Ranch, Molokai.**

**Item C-5 Proposed Public Shooting Range in the Makai Area of the Puu Anahulu Game Management Area (GMA) of the Big Island, North Kona District, Hawaii, Tax Map Keys (3) 7-1-003 and 7-1-004.**

**Unanimously approved as submitted (Pacheco, Gon)**

**Item D-5 Issuance of Right-of-Entry Permit to Sustainable Resources Group Intn'l, Inc. on Unencumbered Lands, Waimanalo, Koolaupoko, Oahu, TMK: 4-1-013:001 (por), 4-1-015:001 (por). (ODLO/Cecil)**

Andy Hood representing Sustainable Resources Group II is fine with this.

**Unanimously approved as submitted (Schuman, Gon)**

**Item D-3 Consideration of Request to Extend Right-of-Entry Over State Lands for Access Purposes to Lani Stark at Honopou, Makawao, Maui, TMK (2) 2-9-003:020 por., as Provided by Amended Board Action of July 28, 2006 (Item D-2) (MDLO/Daniel)**

Mr. Tsuji reported bringing back a matter involving a Ms. Lani Stark. Attached are two exhibits, of a prior board action. We have a State lessee, Mr. Lafayette "Laf" Young and Ms. Lani Stark has a neighboring property. Previously the board gave her Easement A to access her kuleana landlocked property. Many years ago there was a board action where she could apply for Easement B, a second access. The last time it went before the board the issue was a lot of commercial activity in ag zoned land. We brought it to the board and the action was to amend the prior board's action and rescind the easement deferring for 6 months allowing Ms. Lani Stark right of entry to use Easement B for limited use of gardening. At that prior board meeting Mr. Daniel Ornellas, Maui Land Agent went to the property in question and thought Easement A was adequate for access. Ms. Lani Stark was insistent that she needed Easement B.

It's been six months and her right of entry is up and she is requesting an extension. Mr. Larry Pacheco, a new Maui Land Agent was a former military police officer, Maui police officer and DOCARE officer. He accompanied Mr. Ornellas and saw the site last month. They confirmed Easement A was adequate for Ms. Lani Stark to access her entire property. Attached exhibits of photos show the gravel path that Ms. Stark can use to access her entire property. The staff is not recommending an extension of right of entry. They are recommending a denial of extension of any right of entry. His Maui Land agents are here for questions. Ms. Stark is here.

Chairman Young stated lets get oriented to the map, Exhibit A. You're suggesting continued use of Easement A be allowed and to no longer continue approval of use of Easement B.

Mr. Tsuji added use of Easement B was only limited for her to water her plants. But Mr. Ornellas and Mr. Pacheco found Easement A was adequate and if you have questions they are eye witnesses.

Chairman Young asked: we are still allowing access to the property? Once they are on their property they make their way to however they want to get to their property?

Mr. Tsuji replied yes Easement A will remain for access from the highway to reach Ms. Lani Stark's property. We are really talking about Easement B.

Chairman Young wanted to check that we weren't taking away access of a property by denial of Easement B.

Mr. Tsuji noted in the board submittal that Ms. Stark is interested in doing a commercial tour activity with her garden. This action does not affect it in anyway. If she desires to use Easement A for commercial activity she will need to get Chapter 343 compliance. She should obtain an environmental assessment or EIS because this easement was meant for personal access use for ag zoned land and not for commercial activity. The amount of vehicles parked there was inappropriate for ag land. There were other violations we noted in the last board submittal. Staff noted it was not corrected even when the board made it a condition to correct some of the violations. Mainly we are here for Easement B right of entry and recommending a denial of any further extension. The easement document was never executed. It was a board action many years ago.

Chairman Young inquired we acted on a six months to allow?

Mr. Tsuji replied it was to allow her right of entry to do her gardening. The six months was for her to do her commercial tours which she insisted on. In this ag zoned area she would have to go through environmental assessment process under chapter 343 of HRS. I understand she started it, but has not completed. That was what the six months was for. This board action is not saying you cannot do commercial activity. But you must comply with the law which is Chapter 343. In the meantime Easement A should only be used for access, but not for the kind of heavy impact that commercial tours would have on Easement A. Maybe not tour buses, but a high number of vehicles were traversing the area. At the prior board submittal it was noted that there were a lot of complaints from the surrounding neighbors. And from a Mr. Laf Young who is a tenant on the State property.

Chairman Young noted on exhibit LS-1A talked about July 2006 agenda item it recommend from the board to terminate, but the action was to extend for 6 months. The board has already moved to terminate? We already rescinded to deny? You're coming back for reconfirmation?

Mr. Tsuji replied a confirmation is not necessary. It is actually terminated.

Chairman Young asked to refresh our memory we had already voted to rescind that Easement?

Mr. Tsuji confirmed that's correct. Since Mr. Ornellas had submitted the reconfirmation, although Mr. Tsuji didn't think it was necessary. We are here. Board members should have the letter from Mr. Laf Young.

Ms. Lani Stark presented what was shown from the prior board meeting and documents since that meeting. She was working with a lady from the Dept. of Environmental Quality Control who said she was being discriminated against.

Chairman Young asked did they send you a letter saying an environmental assessment was not required?

Ms. Stark replied they told her verbally.

Member Edlao asked: who she spoke to?

Ms. Stark answered she spoke to two agents, but did not want to give their names.

Chairman Young asked: did the Office of Environmental Quality tell you specifically you do not need an environmental assessment for an easement over state land?

Ms. Stark replied they told her for this particular easement she is being discriminated against.

Chairman Young also asked: did you tell them it was on State land and it was for creation of a roadway over State Land?

Ms. Stark answered I didn't create this. When she bought this property that road was in existence.

Chairman Young asked: was that easement for commercial purposes? Or was it was for access to utilities and residence?

Ms. Stark replied it was for access to utilities for a secondary residence on the property.

Chairman Young inquired are you using it for access and utilities for a secondary residence or are you using it for commercial activity?

Ms. Stark stated she needs to answer the question in chronological order. She presented history, background and documents.

Chairman Young stated we don't have jurisdiction of land use on your parcel because it is agricultural. However we do have jurisdiction of land use on State property.



Ms. Stark replied yes, she understands that.

Chairman Young asked: are you clear that you still have continued use and access of Easement A and that we are not denying you that?

Ms. Stark answered yes, she understands that.

Chairman Young inquired we have fulfilled a legal obligation to you having a landlocked parcel by giving you legal access to your parcel?

Ms. Stark acknowledged yes.

Chairman Young also added your request to extend your right of entry is denied over Easement B, but it does not take away your right to access your parcel? We have given you Easement A.

Ms. Stark says it does. She understands Easement A is her right.

Chairman Young added it is a kuleana right, not necessarily a birth right.

Ms. Stark stated that Easement B is a necessity as well as Easement A. She needs Easement B to access the back of the property to an additional building site. The topography makes it difficult to access the back of the property. She presented a map saying there is topography on it.

Chairman Young replied there is no topography drawn on it.

Ms. Stark explained there is a drop off because of a gully and that is why she needs Easement B to access that part of her parcel. It is State property

Chairman Young asked: why don't you just get some heavy equipment to widen the pathway?

Ms. Stark replied this easement was already in existence. The topography of the rest of the gardens do not allow for that. She states she thinks it's unsafe, but then says she is not a land surveyor.

Chairman Young asked: how are you going to judge it is unsafe?

Ms. Stark explained if you came and saw it. It's very unstable and could wash out.

And she added that the letter that Mr. Ornellas had her sign stated she hadn't complied which she says she did. Then continued explaining all the research she had done, presented maps, etc.

A Ms. Akahi Panini spoke in support of Ms. Stark. Her son does maintenance on the trails for Ms. Stark. Ms. Panini stated the walk between Easement A and B is a long distance.

Member Gon asked: is access on A better than access B or both? And would you need both to get to the gardens?

Ms. Panini replied yes both because both are used to haul equipment, fertilizer, etc.

Member Pacheco asked: if you are not allowed any commercial activities, what other way are you funding to upkeep these gardens?

Ms. Stark replied I had to take a mortgage.

Member Edlao asked: did she go through the application process?

Mr. Tsuji acknowledged: yes, that is what the board acted on. Her map is shown to tourists.

Ms. Panini stated the property has been used for educational purposes to teach Hawaiian plants and Ms. Stark is very respectful of the Hawaiian culture.

Mr. Pacheco reported she has direct access to her property. There is a 3 foot wide path all throughout it. Easement B is used to make it easier to get there.

Chairman Young asked: could you get heavy equipment up the easement easily?

Mr. Pacheco replied absolutely. The existing ginger trail will take you where she wants to go. They drove the state vehicle on the trail with no problem. He has no problem with eco-tourism if managed properly. This was brought to their attention because there were complaints of cars everywhere and Ms. Stark was out front collecting money. If that is your idea of education! I don't know. But if the intent is to be safe in the agricultural areas, then this is not the area for that level and volume of tourism.

Member Pacheco asked if the one easement was sufficient then why was a second easement given?

Mr. Tsuji answered it was Land Division staff. He didn't agree with some of the things that were recommended in the past. They are tearing up the State parcel on Easement A. Why tear up a second time with Easement B?

Member Pacheco asked how long did Ms. Stark run her commercial business?

Ms. Stark replied: one month.

**Unanimously approved as submitted (Edlao, Schuman)**

**Item F-1 Request for Authorization/Approval to Issue One (1) Northwestern Hawaiian Islands (NWHI) Research Permit to Dr. George Antonelis, of National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), National Marine Fisheries Service, Valid from March 8, 2007 to the end of April 2007, to Access Sites in the Waters Surrounding French Frigate Shoals, Laysan Island, Pearl and Hermes Atoll, and Midway Atoll to Capture and Tag Monk Seals, and to Conduct Monk Sea and Cetacean Surveys, for the Purpose of Contributing to the Understanding of Monk Seal and Cetacean Population Dynamics in the NWHI.**

Ms. Athline Clark of Aquatic Resources stated no changes.

Member Edlao had a concern about recreational swimming because of the wording. Please correct the wording on who may swim.

Dr. Charles Littnan of NOAA Fisheries replied it is for habitat research only.

Member Schuman asked weren't there special conditions the last time to the previous permit? Shouldn't we be considering those special conditions?

Ms. Clark replied they would get a copy to the members.

Member Schuman was concerned this application would be signed and submitted again later?

Ms. Clark apologized the time to do the permit was short. An application is attached.

Member Edlao asked about the additional ecosystem sampling.

Dr. Dave Johnston of NOAA Fisheries explained this is a fish finder like an echo sounder on a fishing boat. There is no affect on the fish.

Member Edlao asked are these fish killed after you catch them?

Dr. Charles Littnan stated: no, they need to change the language.

Ms. Clark added this is Federal NOAA language.

Ms. Stephanie Fried from Environmental Defense stated there were a lot of problems with this application and the form was not used properly. When the application went in they had all the information on the vessel, but none of it was included in the permit. Nor was the ecological information included. There are a lot of questions not answered. Who is monitoring? She relayed information about an incident with a vessel.

Member Pacheco asked: is this boat permit for multiple boats?

Ms. Fried replied yes they could go on different boats. Also the requirements of the boats are not listed. A lot of missing documents not included. The State has a list of questions that needs to be included on this permit. Top part is a gag order.

Chairman Young replied we withdrew it yesterday. He told them to take it (the gag order) out of circulation.

Member Pacheco asked: how many DAR staff received this?

Ms. Clark replied they only sent to DAR biologist. Not to regular staff.

Member Pacheco asked: could we state what is the "gag order?"

Ms. Fried answered you may not discuss the contents of the applications outside the Division. You agree not to retain the documents in whole or part related to this review. And I agree not to contact the applicants related to their review.

Ms. Fried shared some information on Bio-safety. She stated: please have Bio-safety protocol in place beforehand.

Ms. Clark explained all State safety policies will be applied to this. All controls will be included as updated.

Ms. Fried wants us not to use this permit. They should provide the permit matrix. Why does it show one in March, but there is no information on it?

KAHEA had concerns that a lot of areas have not been addressed in regards to the permit. NWHI is a pristine place and we had one mistake already. We need a moratorium and to set things in place first.

Member Edlao had a concern with the recreational swimming.

Member Pacheco also asked is exercise swimming allowed? We need to differentiate between recreational swimming and bathing. This is hard to regulate.

Member Schuman asked: are there any special conditions?

Ms. Clark replied: there were two added to the NOAA permit.

1. What was considered an emergency.
2. Who, what, timeline, etc. Same general permit conditions, but time sensitive.

Member Pacheco inquired where are these conditions in the permit?

Ms. Clark stated they did not attach all conditions each time because the Board has seen these previously. They have someone who is creating a GIS data base for the activities.

And there are people who are going up on a boat to research insects and the Battle of Midway.

Member Johns replied we need to get the best information we can get on the NWHI.

Ms. Clark agreed and they are posting everything from yesterdays briefing on their website.

Member Pacheco and Johns both stated they would like them to provide all information to see the whole picture. It tells the researchers how this is going to work.

Ms. Clark reported they will have three deadlines a year and depending how many permits come in for that season. February is the busiest season.

Member Gon requested, as a precautionary measure, if staff could provide copies of the conditions each time it comes in with permits because members cannot remember each time.

Member Pacheco and Johns expressed their concerns of damaging this pristine area and the risks of bio-hazard. Whether bringing something from there here or from here there.

Ms. Clark reported the language will be made consistent with swimming and bathing.

**Unanimously approved as submitted (Agor, Edlao)**

**Item F-2      The Division of Aquatic Resources Requests Board of Land and Natural Resources (BLNR) Authorization/Approval to Issue One (1) Northwestern Hawaiian Islands (NWHI) Research Permit to C.O. Karl Mangels, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Ship Oscar Elton Sette, for Access to State Waters to Support Marine Research Activities.**

Ms. Clark reported this was not reviewed by OHA. The vessel has been inspected and fishing is not allowed. There are bio-hazard concerns.

Commander Karl Mangels reported the ship has to follow stringent Federal protocols. It has a health advisor on board who administers the protocols.

Member Johns asked is it anything like the bio-hazard book Stephanie Fried passed out?

Commander Mangels replied no he is not aware of it.

Ms. Clark stated fishing is not allowed in State waters and it is not allowed within the NWHI Monument.

Commander Mangels stated: the fisherman listed is a title for a position classification. They launch small boats, operate winches and a variety of equipment.

Ms. Clark clarified that there will be swimming only to maintain ship operations.

**Unanimously approved as submitted (Gon, Pacheco)**

**Item D-1 Consent to Assign General Lease No. S-5642, JJCO Inc., Assignor, to JJCO Properties, LLC, Assignee, Waiakea, South Hilo, Hawaii, TMK: (3) 2-2-32:62. (HDLO/Gordon)**

Member Schuman recused herself.

**Unanimously approved as submitted (Pacheco, Edlao)**

**Item D-2 Amend Prior Board Action of April 13, 2006, Item D-3, Cancellation of Revocable Permit No. S-7085 to Kau Agribusiness Company Inc.; Issuance of Revocable Permit to Edmund C. Olson, Trustee of the Edmund C. Olson Trust II for Use of Water from Noguchi Tunnel; Wood Valley and Kau Forest Reserve, Kau, Hawaii, Tax Map Keys: (3) 9-6-06:15,16,17,18; (3) 9-6-07:02; (3) 9-6-08:39,45,46 and (3) 9-7-01:01,18. (HDLO/Joanne)**

**Unanimously approved as submitted (Pacheco, Agor)**

**Item E-1 Request From the World Triathlon Corporation to Use the Hapuna Beach State Recreation Area in South Kohala, Hawaii, for the 2007 Ford Ironman 70.3 Hawaii Half Triathlon.**

**Unanimously approved as submitted (Pacheco, Edlao)**

**Item L-1 Approval for Award of Construction Contract – Job No. F54B609A, Wailua River State Park, Marina Roadway and Parking Lot Resurface Wailua, Kauai, Hawaii.**

**Unanimously approved as submitted (Johns, Edlao)**

**Item M-1 Issuance of a Direct Lease Schuman Aviation Company, Ltd. Honolulu International Airport.**

Member Schuman recused herself.

- Item M-2      Issuance of a Restaurant and Lounge Concession at Lihue Airport**
  
- Item M-3      Issuance of Fixed Base Facility Lease Bradley Pacific Aviation, Inc.  
Kona International Airport at Keahole.**
  
- Item M-4      Issuance of Direct Lease Korean Airlines Co., Ltd. Honolulu  
International Airport.**
  
- Item M-5      Issuance of a Restaurant and Lounge Concession at Hilo International  
Airport and Kona International Airport at Keahole.**
  
- Item M-6      Amendment No. 1 to State Lease No. DOT-A-05-0001 Application for  
Additional Space Trans Executive Airlines of Hawaii, Inc. DBA  
Transair Honolulu International Airport.**

**Unanimously approved as submitted (Pacheco, Johns)**


Adjourned 12:30 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,



Adaline Cummings  
BLNR Secretary

Approved for submittal:



---

PETER T. YOUNG  
Chairperson  
Department of Land and Natural Resources