State of Hawaii
DEPARTMENT OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES
Division of Aquatic Resources
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

August 10, 2012

Board of Land
and Natural Resources
Honolulu, Hawaii

Request for Authorization and Approval to Issue a Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument Research Permit to Dr. Robert Toonen, University of Hawaii, Hawaii Institute of Marine Biology, and Dr. Christopher Bird, Texas A&M University – Corpus Christi, for Access to State Waters to Conduct Intertidal Biodiversity Survey Activities

The Division of Aquatic Resources (DAR) hereby submits a request for your authorization and approval for issuance of a Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument research permit to Dr. Robert Toonen, assistant researcher, Hawaii Institute of Marine Biology, and Dr. Christopher Bird, assistant professor, Marine Biology Program, Texas A&M University–Corpus Christi, pursuant to § 187A-6, Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS), chapter 13-60.5, Hawaii Administrative Rules (HAR), and all other applicable laws and regulations.

The research permit, as described below, would allow entry and activities to occur in Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument (Monument), including the NWHI State Marine Refuge and the waters (0-3 nautical miles) surrounding the following sites:

- Nihoa Island
- Necker Island
- French Frigate Shoals
- Gardner Pinnacles

The activities covered under this permit would occur between September 1, 2012 and August 31, 2013.

The proposed activities are in majority renewal of work previously permitted and conducted in the Monument. Newly proposed activities include collecting ‘ōpīhi eggs by placing temporary collection baskets within water column, plankton sampling, and ‘ōpīhi heat stress tests.

INTENDED ACTIVITIES

The applicants proposed research aims to provide knowledge on the following 5 objectives: (1) collect complementary data on the intertidal ecosystem with a suite of research scientists, cultural practitioners, and resource managers; (2) establish a baseline survey of intertidal
ecosystems, specifically focused on ‘opipi species associations, relative abundance, and reproductive cycles to better understand the implications and consequences of climate change on these communities; (3) determine the species present using joint morphological and molecular DNA analyses to characterize the biodiversity of the Hawaiian intertidal zone and their connectivity to one another across the archipelago; and (4) to pinpoint the spawning timing of ‘opipi and test where ‘opipi larvae reside in the water column with plankton sampling; and (5) begin to explore the mechanisms of adaptation to climate change and speciation in the sea using ‘opipi as a model system to elucidate the mechanisms by which divergent selection can lead to adaptation radiation of marine species.

To gain such knowledge, the applicants propose to survey a maximum of 60 intertidal transects at each island and collecting 15 target invertebrate species, 1 fish species, and algal species inhabiting each transect by hand across all island sites. Aside from the proposed egg collections, the specimen collections relating to seven (7) of these species would be collected non-lethally and the rest would be by lethal means. The applicants are also proposing to collect voucher specimens of species that they cannot visually identify or may represent a new geographic record or new species, in accordance with the Monument Voucher Specimen Guidelines. The team would also snorkel within 0-20 m of the shore line in teams of four for the purposes of observational fish survey transects. No collections would be associated with these swimming transects.

In addition, and building upon the information gained from last year’s methodology as described above, the applicants are also proposing the following three new activities:

1. Collection of both Cellana sandwicensis and Cellana exarata eggs by the deployment and retrieval of 10 egg baskets in the nearshore waters between the ocean surface and 15’ deep. The baskets will be attached to two temporary, simple anchor and buoy lines. To safeguard the resources within PMNM, the anchor would be monitored during deployment, placed on rock or sand to avoid damaging corals and the line would be tight to avoid fouling. Cellana sp. samples would be shared with Ms. Shauna Kehaulani Springer (applicant requesting a Native Hawaiian Practices permit – permit application number PMNM-2012-052) for consumption purposes within the Monument.

2. Plankton sampling at different depths (surface, 30 feet and 60 feet) using a water pump with 100 foot hose to direct sea water through a 10 um nitex plankton net. Applicant would collect 99 samples total using this method where each sample would consist of plankton obtained from filtering 300 gallons of seawater. Also, up to 90 plankton tow samples would be collected via surface plankton tows for five minutes at five miles per hour, using a plankton net (0.5m mouth x 3 m length) at different distances from shore (20 m, 50 m, and 100 m). Plankton samples will be analyzed for ‘opipi larvae.

3. Conduct heat stress testing on collected ‘opipi involving 3 different three hour trials at 25°C, 35°C, and 45°C on board the ship. ‘Opipi behavior would be recorded during the trials and the specimens would be flash frozen, utilizing liquid nitrogen, and subsequently used for DNA analysis.
The applicants proposed research project would involve the following total collections:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Distribution</th>
<th>Sampling</th>
<th>Nihoa</th>
<th>Mokumanana</th>
<th>Mokupapa</th>
<th>Puhamonu</th>
<th>Preserve Tissue For Genetic Analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nesochthamus intertextus</td>
<td>Barnacle</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>Lethal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isognomon californicun</td>
<td>Bivalve</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>Lethal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smaragdinella calyculata</td>
<td>Bubble</td>
<td>Shell</td>
<td>Lethal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grapsus tenuiocrustatus</td>
<td>Crab</td>
<td>Indo-Pac</td>
<td>Non-lethal</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entomacrodus marmoratus</td>
<td>Fish</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>Non-lethal</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Istiblennius zebra</td>
<td>Fish</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>Non-lethal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dripa ricina</td>
<td>Snail</td>
<td>Indo-Pac</td>
<td>Non-lethal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echinolittorina hawaiiensis</td>
<td>Snail</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>Lethal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Littoraria pinnado</td>
<td>Snail</td>
<td>Indo-Pac</td>
<td>Lethal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nerita picea</td>
<td>Snail</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>Lethal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siphonaria normalis</td>
<td>Snail</td>
<td>Indo-Pac</td>
<td>Lethal</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colobocentrotus atraeus</td>
<td>Urchin</td>
<td>Indo-Pac</td>
<td>Lethal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echinometra oblonga</td>
<td>Urchin</td>
<td>Indo-Pac</td>
<td>Non-lethal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echinometra mathematici</td>
<td>Urchin</td>
<td>Indo-Pac</td>
<td>Non-lethal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellana exarata</td>
<td>Limpet</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>Lethal</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellana exarata eggs (from specimens listed above) *</td>
<td>Limpet</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>Lethal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellana sandwicensis</td>
<td>Limpet</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>Lethal</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellana sandwicensis eggs (from specimens listed above)</td>
<td>Limpet</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>Lethal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misc Algae algae various</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*estimated number of eggs determined assuming 200,000 eggs per female and all ‘ophi collected for egg baskets being female.
The activities proposed by the Applicant directly support the Monument Management Plan’s priority management needs 3.1 – Understanding and Interpreting the NWHI (through action plan 3.1.1 – Marine Conservation Science).

The activities described above may require the following regulated activities to occur in State waters:

- Removing, moving, taking, harvesting, possessing, injuring, disturbing, or damaging any living or nonliving monument resource
- Drilling into, dredging, or otherwise altering the submerged lands other than by anchoring a vessel; or constructing, placing, or abandoning any structure, material, or other matter on the submerged lands
- Swimming, snorkeling, or closed or open circuit SCUBA diving within any Special Preservation Area or Midway Atoll Special Management Area

REVIEW PROCESS:

The permit application was sent out for review and comment to the following scientific and cultural entities: Hawaii Division of Aquatic Resources, Hawaii Division of Forestry and Wildlife, Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument (NOAA/NOS), NOAA Pacific Islands Regional Office (NOAA-PIRO), United States Fish and Wildlife Service Hawaiian and Pacific Islands National Wildlife Refuge Complex Office, and the Office of Hawaiian Affairs (OHA). In addition, the permit application has been posted on the Monument Web site since June 29th, giving the public an opportunity to comment. The application was posted within 40 days of its receipt, in accordance with the Monument’s Public Notification Policy.

Comments received from the scientific community are summarized as follows:

Scientific reviews support the acceptance of this application.

The following concerns were raised. Applicant responses are noted below.

1. In the collections list, each algal specimen is listed as having "1000" non-lethally sampled. Could the applicant please confirm whether this is the number of individuals or total weight of sample in grams?

The applicants answer; 1000 samples, where a sample is a small piece of the stipe or blade or a pinch of turf algae. The samples are quite small and all of the samples from the 2011 cruise fit in a small cooler, with majority of space being taken up by the zip lock baggies they are stored in. These samples are necessary for identification of the intertidal and shallow subtidal algae on the islands they would survey. The algal populations would not be affected by this level of sampling.

They continue their response to explain that they estimate the percent cover of each algae species and would collect a voucher specimen (stipe and blade, not entire holdfast) from each type of algae to confirm algal id later in the laboratory under a microscope (they would not collect more than 1000 vouchers on an island, a mean of 17 vouchers per transect on 60 transects).
2. Will the researchers stop collecting samples during belt transects once the number of samples listed in their collection list has been achieved?

They answer the question, yes. However, they are not trying to collect 1000 samples of algae, they would collect vouchers as needed. In the field they would make a particular quantity of labeled baggies in which to store samples, so when they run out of baggies, they would stop collecting algae.

3. Can the applicants please confirm that the hazardous chemicals listed under question 12b of the permit application will be used on-board the vessel only.

The applicants state; Confirmed, and explain that they would only use ethanol and dmsoph on board the ship and it would not be taken onto the islands.

4. Stated cut-off in collection size is 1% of the population. The populations of C. exarata and C. sanwicensis at La Perouse are very limited; a full collection of 50 individuals suggests the entire population exceeds 5,000 individuals (of each species). Is this number supported by your data?

They applicants answer, “Yes”. They then provide that a conservative opii density estimate for La Perouse is 20/m2. The opii habitat length is 587.7 meters and they have measured that the habitat is at least 2.53 meters wide. Consequently, a conservative popualtion estimate is ~30,000 opii on La Perouse Pinnacles.

5. How were the temperatures for the heat stress trials selected? How do they relate to temperatures currently experienced by 'opii? Although the stated context for this experiment is climate change, the near doubling of temperatures seems to far exceed even the most extreme predictions of global temperature increases.

The applicants explain that temperatures were selected based on actual field temperature measurements by Chris Bird during the course of his PhD disseration research. Temperatures in the Hawaiian intertidal can reach over 49 C due to the heating of the rocks. Heat is mediated by the cooler sea water, which is ~25 C. Typically when opii get caught in the ultra high temperatures during low wave events, they move to lower ground or die, so they do experience the proposed temperatures today. The vertical stratification of opii species guarantees that black foot opii experience higher temperatures than yellow foot opii. It is intriguing that yellow foot opii have moved higher on the shore on La Perouse, suggesting a capacity to adapt to warming temperatures. Typically, the upper limit of intertidal species are governed by physiological capacity and tolerance of stress, so this is a fascinating and informative system to investigate responses to thermal stress in an evolutionary context. As the climate warms, opii are expected to experience higher temperatures more frequently and we want to understand how much resources the opii must devote to physiological stress mediation versus reproductive output and growth. By measuring the expression of mRNA at a range of temperatures (25, 35, 45) we can start to understand how opii and marine species in general are affected by rising temperatures.
6. Have there been any updates on genetic research on ophi; have sub-populations or similarities been identified?

The applicants were not quite sure how to answer this question because they are not quite sure what the question is referring to. The present state of knowledge is that each island has its own stocks of ophi (microsats, nDNA sequence, mtDNA sequence), there are three species of ophi, C. exarata, C. sandwicensis, and C. talcosa, and that all three ophi species evolved in Hawaii. The applicants state that they are working on identifying finer scaled differences by typing thousands of single nucleotide polymorphisms. Also they explain that they did find that male and female ophi are segregating themselves vertically on the shoreline in their 2011 Papahanaumokuakea surveys, and are looking at this more closely.

7. There is potential for a higher amounts of collections depending on the number of transects studied per island. Would it be possible for the applicants to cease collection activities at a pre-determined number of completed transects/island and still maintain statistical validity with their research? If so, what would that pre-determined number be?

The applicants confirm that they would not collect more than 1000 algal specimens per island. Algal specimens are the only collection made based on transect number. If they exceed 1000 they would cease collections of algae, but we would continue to survey transects without collecting samples up to 60 transects. On pages 3 and 13 the applicants specify that they would not survey more than 60 transects on an island.

Comments received from the Native Hawaiian community are summarized as follows:

Cultural reviews support the acceptance of this application.

Comments received from the public are summarized as follows:

No comments were received from the public on this application.

Additional reviews and permit history:

Are there other relevant/necessary permits or environmental reviews that have or will be issued with regard to this project? (e.g. MMPA, ESA, EA)  Yes ☒ No ☐

If so, please list or explain:

- The proposed activities are in compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act.
- The proposed activities are in compliance with the National Historic Preservation Act.
- The Department has made an exemption determination for this permit in accordance chapter 343, HRS, and Chapter 11-200, HAR. See Attachment (“DECLARATION OF EXEMPTION FROM THE PREPARATION OF AN ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF CHAPTER 343, HRS AND CHAPTER 11-200 HAR, FOR PAPAHĀNAUMOKUĀKEA MARINE NATIONAL MONUMENT RESEARCH PERMIT TO DR. ROBERT TOONEN, HAWAII INSTITUTE OF MARINE BIOLOGY, AND DR. CHRISTOPHER BIRD,
TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY-CORPUS CHRISTI, FOR ACCESS TO STATE WATERS TO CONDUCT INTERTIDAL BIODIVERSITY ACTIVITIES UNDER PERMIT PMNM-2012-049")

Has Applicant been granted a permit from the State in the past? Yes ☒ No ☐
If so, please summarize past permits:

- The applicant was granted permits PMNM-2011-041 to conduct similar work in 2011.

Have there been any a) violations: Yes ☐ No ☒
b) Late/incomplete post-activity reports: Yes ☐ No ☒

Are there any other relevant concerns from previous permits? Yes ☐ No ☒

STAFF OPINION:

DAR staff is of the opinion that Applicant has properly demonstrated valid justifications for his application and should be allowed to enter the NWHI State waters and to conduct the activities therein as specified in the application with certain special instructions and conditions, which are in addition to the Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument Research Permit General Conditions. All suggested special conditions have been vetted through the legal counsel of the Co-Trustee agencies (see Recommendation section).

MONUMENT MANAGEMENT BOARD OPINION:

The MMB is of the opinion that the Applicant has met the findings of Presidential Proclamation 8031 and this activity may be conducted subject to completion of all compliance requirements. The MMB concurs with the special conditions recommended by DAR staff.

RECOMMENDATION:

Based on the attached proposed declaration of exemption prepared by the department after consultation with and advice of those having jurisdiction and expertise for the proposed permit actions:

1. That the Board declare that the actions which are anticipated to be undertaken under this permit will have little or no significant effect on the environment and is therefore exempt from the preparation of an environmental assessment.

2. Upon the finding and adoption of the department's analysis by the Board, that the Board delegate and authorize the Chairperson to sign the declaration of exemption for purposes of recordkeeping requirements of chapter 343, HRS, and chapter 11-200, HAR.
3. That the Board authorize and approve a Research Permit to Dr. Robert Toonen, Hawaii Institute of Marine Biology, and Dr. Christopher Bird, Texas A&M University-Corpus Christi, with the following special conditions:

a. This permit is not to be used for nor does it authorize the sale of collected organisms. Under this permit, the authorized activities must be for noncommercial purposes not involving the use or sale of any organism, by-products, or materials collected within the Monument for obtaining patent or intellectual property rights.

b. The permittee may not convey, transfer, or distribute, in any fashion (including, but not limited to, selling, trading, giving, or loaning) any coral, live rock, or organism collected under this permit without the express written permission of the Co-Trustees.

c. To prevent introduction of disease or the unintended transport of live organisms, the permittee must comply with the disease and transport protocol attached to this permit.

d. Tenders and small vessels must be equipped with engines that meet EPA emissions requirements.

e. Refueling of tenders and all small vessels must be done at the support ships and outside the confines of lagoons or near-shore waters in the State Marine Refuge.

Respectfully submitted,

[Signature]
Administrator

APPROVED FOR SUBMITTAL

[Signature]
William J. Aila, Jr.
Chairperson
Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument
Permit Application - Research
OMB Control # 0648-0548
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Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument
RESEARCH Permit Application

NOTE: This Permit Application (and associated Instructions) are to propose activities to be conducted in the Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument. The Co-Trustees are required to determine that issuing the requested permit is compatible with the findings of Presidential Proclamation 8031. Within this Application, provide all information that you believe will assist the Co-Trustees in determining how your proposed activities are compatible with the conservation and management of the natural, historic, and cultural resources of the Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument (Monument).

ADDITIONAL IMPORTANT INFORMATION:

- Any or all of the information within this application may be posted to the Monument website informing the public on projects proposed to occur in the Monument.

- In addition to the permit application, the Applicant must either download the Monument Compliance Information Sheet from the Monument website OR request a hard copy from the Monument Permit Coordinator (contact information below). The Monument Compliance Information Sheet must be submitted to the Monument Permit Coordinator after initial application consultation.

- Issuance of a Monument permit is dependent upon the completion and review of the application and Compliance Information Sheet.

INCOMPLETE APPLICATIONS WILL NOT BE CONSIDERED
Send Permit Applications to:
Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument Permit Coordinator
6600 Kalanianaʻole Hwy. # 300
Honolulu, HI 96825
nwhpermit@noaa.gov
PHONE: (808) 397-2660 FAX: (808) 397-2662

SUBMITTAL VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL IS PREFERRED BUT NOT REQUIRED. FOR ADDITIONAL SUBMITTAL INSTRUCTIONS, SEE THE LAST PAGE.
This Permit Application Cover Sheet is intended to provide summary information and status to the public on permit applications for activities proposed to be conducted in the Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument. While a permit application has been received, it has not been fully reviewed nor approved by the Monument Management Board to date. The Monument permit process also ensures that all environmental reviews are conducted prior to the issuance of a Monument permit.

**Summary Information**

**Applicant Name:** Robert J. Toonen¹ & Christopher E. Bird²

**Affiliation:**
¹Hawai‘i Institute of Marine Biology, University of Hawai‘i at Mānoa
²Marine Biology Program, Texas A&M University – Corpus Christi

**Permit Category:** Research

**Proposed Activity Dates:** September 12-25 2012

**Proposed Method of Entry (Vessel/Plane):** Vessel, the Searcher

**Proposed Locations:** Intertidal and shallow water habitats around basaltic islands on which 'opihi occur. Specifically, Nihoa Island, Mokumanamana Island, French Frigate Shoals (La Perouse Pinnacle), and Gardner Pinnacles

**Estimated number of individuals (including Applicant) to be covered under this permit:** Twelve total people will be covered under this permit, co-listed under the Native Hawaiian Practices application submitted by Shauna Kehaunani Springer.

**Estimated number of days in the Monument:** approximately 12 days

**Description of proposed activities:** (complete these sentences):

- The proposed activity would…

aim to examine the biodiversity of the Hawaiian intertidal and shallow subtidal ecosystem, and study the basic ecology of 'opihi populations within the NWHI. Additionally, we propose to conduct a comprehensive biodiversity survey of the intertidal zone in the NWHI and quantify species presence/absence and relative abundances within and among sites across the basaltic emergent islands. To this end we will sample species of uncertain taxonomy for combined morphological and DNA bar-coding analyses. We also seek to examine population connectivity of intertidal species in comparison to the broad survey of coral reef organisms sampled to date. We find different patterns of larval exchange among the 'opihi which suggests that intertidal species may differ from the average seen in subtidal taxa, and that has important management implications that need to be confirmed. We propose to examine the reproductive status, timing, and depth of larvae in select 'opihi populations across the NWHI to better understand natural population dynamics and potential mechanisms of speciation in these economically, ecologically
and culturally important limpets. Finally, we propose to evaluate the ability of 'ōpīhi to respond to and tolerate heat stress in order to assess adaptive capacity in the face of global warming, especially on the 'ōpīhi 'ālainalina of LaPerouse Pinnacles that have abandoned their cool mid shore habitat for the hotter high shore habitat.

This work will be tightly linked with the Native Hawaiian cultural practice application and is a joint collaborative study among Na Mamo o Muole'a, the Nature Conservancy, the Hawai'i Institute of Marine Biology, Friends of Papahānaumokuākea, and the NOAA Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument. We will perform the standardized 'ōpīhi monitoring protocol developed through this collaboration, which is inclusive of Hawaiian methods of monitoring, has was specifically developed (and is continuously being refined) to monitor intertidal populations associated with 'ōpīhi across the Main and Northwestern Hawaiian Islands. To date, communities on the Big Island of Hawai'i, Maui, Kaho'olawe, Lana'i and through these efforts the NWHI have been surveyed for intertidal species composition, population size and age structure of organisms associated with 'ōpīhi. Here we request a permit to conduct the fourth year of surveys and monitoring within the NWHI.

b.) To accomplish this activity we would .... conduct standardized surveys developed collaboratively among the partners listed above to integrate quantitative scientific data collection with Native Hawaiian observational data. In addition, we will collect some target invertebrates by hand for taxonomic study (combined morphological and DNA-sequence based “bar-coding”) where species identity is in question. We will collect small tissue samples from a handful of very common intertidal species to examine patterns of population connectivity in the intertidal zone and compare that directly to the patterns found in subtidal species, and some 'ōpīhi will be collected for gonad index and heat stress tolerance experiments as outlined below and in the accompanying Native Hawaiian Practices Permit Application filed by Kehau Springer. Specifically, we will lay between 20 and 60 belt transects per island or atoll to assess class size, population density, community structure, species range, distribution, and rugosity for all identifiable organisms within the intertidal zone. In order to pin-point 'ōpīhi spawning timing, 20 egg baskets (1.5” diameter x 3” long PVC tubes with 20um nitex mesh enclosing 'ōpīhi eggs) will be deployed/collected in the morning and evening at each island. When the ship leaves the island, no supplies will be left behind. Fifty plankton samples per island will be collected to identify the depth of different larval species in the water column. Collected 'ōpīhi will be kept alive for heat stress trials (up to 50°C) aboard the ship prior to preservation. The samples we request to be collected for this work are summarized in Appendix 1. All data will be stored and analyzed at the Hawai'i Institute of Marine Biology by co-Pls Toonen & Bird. These data will be useful to both the Monument, as well as to local and governmental resource managers in the Main Hawaiian Islands to make effective decisions on managing the resources.

c.) This activity would help the Monument by ...

providing baseline knowledge of one of the least studied and potentially most threatened by climate change of all ecosystems in the Hawaiian archipelago. Sea level rise is inevitable at this
point, and the first community to feel the effects of climate change will be the one that lives at the interface of land and sea and experiences the greatest extremes of both environments: the intertidal. Limited knowledge of this ecosystem restricts our understanding of climate change impacts and suitable responses. Further, knowing which species occur and where they live is fundamental to the management of natural resources in any ecosystem, and the Hawaiian intertidal zone is poorly characterized in general. We will also confirm whether or not the intertidal species show a different pattern of population connectivity across the archipelago than do the subtidal ones surveyed to date. These data will provide the continued quantitative data on the species present in these ecosystems, their biodiversity, population dynamics and connectivity and also contribute to the ongoing debate about how new species arise in the sea. The tight collaboration of the team comprised of cultural practitioners, research scientists, and resource managers will ensure that the findings are of relevance to a broad group of stakeholders and of direct relevance to the people of Hawai`i.

Other information or background: Littoral habitats, those lying between the low-tide line and the upper limit of aquatic species on the shore, are among the most studied and well-known aquatic habitats on the planet. A primary exception to that generalization is that this zone is one of the least studied in Hawai`i. The effects of tides on littoral marine habitats are so ubiquitous that shorelines are commonly described as 'intertidal', whereas waves are considered a secondary factor that simply modifies the intertidal habitat. However in Hawai`i, mean significant wave height exceeds tidal range most of the time, and may be a primary structuring force for littoral communities as outlined in Bird (2006). The patterns of distribution and abundance of organisms on rocky shores, in particular the upper and lower limits of species, along vertical gradients of exposure have been studied extensively in other regions of the globe. Hypotheses addressing the causes of biotic zonation and community structure have evolved from strictly physical to an inseparable combination of physical and biological factors, including physiological tolerance (Connell 1961a b), species interactions (Bruno & Bertness 2001, Menge & Branch 2001), and all other forms of biotic factors.

A fundamental advance in the understanding of biotic zonation on rocky shores was the demonstration that species interactions also affected zonation patterns, where biotic factors generally affect the lower limit of distribution and physical factors affect the upper limit of distribution (Connell 1961a b, Paine 1967). A number of exceptions to this generalization have been demonstrated, many of which highlight the more general effect of biological interactions on the realized distribution of a species. Ultimately, the inseparable interaction between physical and biological factors define the realized limits of species (Denny & Wethey 2001), and intertidal communities are unique in that organisms must cope with some of the most severe extremes of both marine and terrestrial environments. This has led to debate about whether these species are so hardy that they are resistant to change, or whether they live in such extreme environments that climate change will impact them more (e.g., Stillman 2003). Available data from long-term surveys of the intertidal community in California suggest the latter: intertidal communities are one of the first to show ecosystem impacts of climate change that can already be documented and are expected to accelerate given future climate change scenarios (e.g., Barry et al 1995; Sagarin et al. 1999).
Section A - Applicant Information

1. Applicant

Name (last, first, middle initial):

Toonen, Robert J.
Associate Researcher, HIMB, University of Hawai‘i at Mānoa

Bird, Christopher E.
Assistant Professor, Texas A&M University - Corpus Christi

1a. Intended field Principal Investigator (See instructions for more information):
Chris Bird

2. Mailing address (street/P.O. box, city, state, country, zip):

Rob Toonen

Chris Bird

3. Affiliation (institutionagency/organization directly related to the proposed project):
HIMB, University of Hawai‘i at Mānoa
Marine Biology Program, Texas A&M University - Corpus Christi

4. Additional persons to be covered by permit. List all personnel roles and names (if known at time of application) here (e.g. John Doe, Research Diver; Jane Doe, Field Technician):
We expect that the final list of cruise personnel will be available soon, but has not yet been finalized. We seek a crew of 12 people drawn from across the partners listed above and these are the same participants as those on the Native Hawaiian Practices Permit Application filed by Kehau Springer.

We expect that the scientific crew will likely consist of 3 to 4 members, likely drawn from the following list or their equivalent expertise:

- Chris Bird (Ph.D., Asst Professor, TAMUCC),
- Rob Toonen (Ph.D., Assoc. Researcher, HIMB),
- Jennifer Smith (Ph.D., Asst Professor, Scripps Institution of Oceanography)
- Roxie Silva (Nature Conservancy)
- Hoku Johnson (PMNM, Resource manager)
- Matt Ramsey (former DAR Resource manager, NOAA Fisheries manager)
- Shauna Kehaunani Springer (MS, 'opihī researcher & cultural practitioner)

Additional crew members will be selected from Native Hawaiian communities as outlined in the Springer permit application and be included here as co-listed permittees for a maximum of 12 people in total.
Section B: Project Information

5a. Project location(s):  
- Nihoa Island: Land-based, Shallow water  
- Necker Island (Mokumanamana): Land-based, Shallow water  
- French Frigate Shoals: Land-based, Shallow water  
- Gardner Pinnacles: Land-based, Shallow water  
- Maro Reef  
- Laysan Island  
- Lisianski Island, Neva Shoal  
- Pearl and Hermes Atoll  
- Midway Atoll  
- Kure Atoll  
- Other

Ocean Based:  
- Shallow water  
- Deep water

NOTE: There is a fee schedule for people visiting Midway Atoll National Wildlife Refuge via vessel and aircraft.

Location Description:  
As outlined above, our survey and collection efforts will be concentrated in the intertidal zone, surrounding each emergent basaltic land mass on which 'opiihi occur. Although we include this within the land-based category above, the monitoring team would not access any sites beyond the splash zone on any island.

5b. Check all applicable regulated activities proposed to be conducted in the Monument:  
- Removing, moving, taking, harvesting, possessing, injuring, disturbing, or damaging any living or nonliving Monument resource  
- Drilling into, dredging, or otherwise altering the submerged lands other than by anchoring a vessel; or constructing, placing, or abandoning any structure, material, or other matter on the submerged lands  
- Anchoring a vessel  
- Deserting a vessel aground, at anchor, or adrift  
- Discharging or depositing any material or matter into the Monument  
- Touching coral, living or dead  
- Possessing fishing gear except when stowed and not available for immediate use during passage without interruption through the Monument  
- Attracting any living Monument resource  
- Sustenance fishing (Federal waters only, outside of Special Preservation Areas, Ecological Reserves and Special Management Areas)  
- Subsistence fishing (State waters only)  
- Swimming, snorkeling, or closed or open circuit SCUBA diving within any Special Preservation Area or Midway Atoll Special Management Area
6 Purpose/Need/Scope State purpose of proposed activities:
The primary objectives of this research expedition are to: (1) collect complementary data on the intertidal ecosystem with a suite of research scientists, cultural practitioners, and resource managers; (2) establish a baseline survey of intertidal ecosystems, specifically focused on ‘opihis species associations, relative abundance, and reproductive cycles to better understand the implications and consequences of climate change on these communities; (3) determine the species present using joint morphological and molecular DNA analyses to characterize the biodiversity of the Hawaiian intertidal zone and their connectivity to one another across the archipelago; (4) to pinpoint the spawning timing of ‘opihis, determine the size of reproductive maturity in the absence of human predation, and test where ‘opihis larvae reside in the water column with plankton surveys; and (5) begin to explore the mechanisms of adaptation to climate change and speciation in the sea using ‘opihis as a model system to elucidate the mechanisms by which divergent selection can lead to adaptive radiation of marine species.

Along these same lines we request permission to collect up to 3 voucher specimens of an individual organism that cannot be identified as a known species and/or may represent new geographic records or new species from the taxonomic groups under study as laid forth in the voucher specimen guidelines of the Monument. Voucher specimen(s) would be used for taxonomic study to determine the species identity and would be accessioned in an approved repository such as the Bishop and/or Smithsonian museum permanent collections as recommended.

7. Answer the Findings below by providing information that you believe will assist the Co-Trustees in determining how your proposed activities are compatible with the conservation and management of the natural, historic, and cultural resources of the Monument:

The Findings are as follows:

a. How can the activity be conducted with adequate safeguards for the cultural, natural and historic resources and ecological integrity of the Monument?
Most activities in this permit application were previously permitted and have demonstrated no impact on Monument cultural, natural and historic resources. Even the activities that have not previously been permitted within the scope of this work have been conducted safely within the scope of previously permitted research. Our research team consists of conservation biologists who are both teaching and studying the science of how best to manage and conserve biological diversity in the sea. As such, minimizing our impact to the ecosystem we are trying to conserve is naturally and inherently a top priority for any research we conduct, especially within the boundaries of the Monument. We believe that we have implemented every reasonable safeguard for the natural resources and ecological integrity of the Monument in our research, and we do not conduct research that could have a detectable impact to the ecosystem. We have an established track record of management-relevant research in this area and have not been able to detect any cumulative impacts of scientific collections to date (Selkoe et al. 2009). As outlined in greater detail below, our sample size, choice of species, and methodologies have all been selected to provide robust and scientifically rigorous information to managers with the least possible impact.
to the natural resources of the Monument. We will adhere to all rules, regulations and best practices established by the co-trustees for the Monument, including all quarantine requirements, wildlife viewing guidelines, and entry/exit notification procedures where applicable.

Additionally, our team has always tried and will continue to ensure that we have minimal impact on the cultural resources of Papahānaumokuākea. We rely on our colleagues who are cultural practitioners to take the lead on proper protocols for our voyage, and these are outlined in detail in the accompanying permit application by Springer. Regardless, each member of our team is already aware of the unique ecological and cultural status of the Monument, and our on-going collaboration with the cultural practitioners continues to expand our understanding of Hawaiian protocol in conducting research within Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument.

In addition to following the lead of our cultural practitioner team-mates, we ask that each researcher take responsibility to prepare an appropriate offering in advance to ensure that they reflect on why they are on this trip, what is the purpose of the trip, and enter the Monument with the proper intent. It is respectful to provide an offering and to not go forth to take from the place with empty hands. However, given concerns regarding transport of materials into the Monument, it is also difficult to present a proper offering in the form of a gift. In previous years, we have used pure rainwater collected by hand to ensure a personal connection with the offering, and we believe that this is the best option for research scientists unfamiliar with the proper cultural protocols. This fresh-caught rainwater can be autoclave sterilized (to ensure no biological transport) and poured out as a personal offering in return for the privilege of collecting samples in the Monument by each member of our team. In addition we will follow the lead and participate to the best of our ability in protocols undertaken by our cultural colleagues in whatever preparation is appropriate for the voyage.

b. How will the activity be conducted in a manner compatible with the management direction of this proclamation, considering the extent to which the conduct of the activity may diminish or enhance Monument cultural, natural and historic resources, qualities, and ecological integrity, any indirect, secondary, or cumulative effects of the activity, and the duration of such effects? With the exception of the use of egg baskets and plankton sampling to pinpoint the spawning timing of 'opihis and the location of their larvae within the Monument, all research proposed herein has been permitted previously and furthermore was conducted without detectable impact in the past. Our expansion of the scope of the initial surveys to include biodiversity surveys and connectivity work in the intertidal is similar to the work that we have done previously for subtidal reef-associated organisms, and has been done without detectable cumulative impact to date. Our proposed survey of the reproductive status, spawning timing, and larval behavior of 'opihis is likewise expected to have no detectable impact, but will provide valuable scientific and management information for the entire Hawaiian Archipelago. This type of research is directly mandated by the Proclamation, and is necessary to both maintain ecosystem integrity and provide for adaptive ecosystem management in the face of natural or anthropogenic disasters and global climate change. As outlined above and below, our activities have no detectable effect to diminish Monument resources, nor have any known indirect, secondary or cumulative effects on the ecosystem or resources therein. Because we are conservation biologists who are concerned about exactly these sort of impacts, we have voluntarily conducted a threat assessment of the
activities in the Monument (Selkoe et al. 2008) and compiled a cumulative impact threat map of the Monument (Selkoe et al. 2009) which has been provided to the co-trustees for use in future management decisions.

c. Is there a practicable alternative to conducting the activity within the Monument? If not, explain why your activities must be conducted in the Monument.

We expect it is self-evident that there is no practical alternative to sampling within the Monument when the goal of the research is to understand the baseline ecosystem state of the intertidal populations within the Monument. Likewise, surveys of biodiversity within understudied habitats of the Monument which seek to determine the species present, their abundance and distribution are only possible within the bounds of the region of interest. Finally, these studies will be of both direct benefit to the resource management within the Monument itself, and to the remainder of the Hawaiian Archipelago for ecologically, economically and culturally important species such as 'opihi.

The exceptions that may not seem quite so self-evident is the examination of gonad index, spawning timing, larval behavior, and heat tolerance within the Monument. The reproductive and larval work is needed to examine the baseline state of the populations in the absence of human harvest and will provide important information about spawning capacity, timing and ability of larvae to return to their source island. In 2011 we found differences in the reproductive state of 'opihi between islands and species that could have important implications for the connectivity of populations among islands. Finally, 'opihi are a unique system in which to study speciation in the sea and understand how Hawaiian biodiversity has been generated and altered by climate change. LePerouse Pinnacles at Mokupāpapa is the only island where 'opihi makaiauli and 'opihi 'alinalina are morphologically identical, reside in the same habitat above the crustose coralline algae zone, due to an evolutionary shift in 'alinalina to the hotter and drier high shore. We are proposing to extract additional data from 'opihi specimens that are being collected for reproductive and DNA analysis, but subjecting them to heat stress tests that will allow us to directly test for differential adaptations and capacity to tolerate a warming climate among species and islands. 'Alinalina at LaPerouse Pinnacles can provide a window into the future for 'alinalina on other islands because they are already inhabiting a hotter section of the shoreline.

d. How does the end value of the activity outweigh its adverse impacts on Monument cultural, natural and historic resources, qualities, and ecological integrity?

Given that we can detect no adverse effects of our activities on the resources of the Monument, we believe that the end value of this research clearly outweighs whatever imperceptible impact exists. We have an established track record of communicating our findings to the resource managers and making sure that all research conducted within the Monument meets the bar of management relevance. The proposed research will provide a quantitative baseline survey of intertidal ecosystems across the Hawaiian Archipelago and address questions of vulnerability to climate change. Additionally, the reproductive work proposed herein will benefit both population studies and resource management of 'opihi stocks in Hawai'i, but also contribute to
our understanding of how new species can arise in the sea. Finally, the intertidal zone is a greatly understudied ecosystem that is likely to be one of the most directly and immediately impacted by climate change because it experiences the extremes of both terrestrial and marine environments daily. An understanding of the intertidal communities across this region will identify potentially vulnerable locations and species, and (as outlined above) greatly increase the decision-making capacity of the co-trustees in dealing with the reality of future climate change within the Monument and the Hawaiian Archipelago in general.

e. Explain how the duration of the activity is no longer than necessary to achieve its stated purpose.

The expedition length is determined by limited funding, which makes it shorter than ideal, and is certainly no longer than is necessary to accomplish the research goals outlined in this permit application.

f. Provide information demonstrating that you are qualified to conduct and complete the activity and mitigate any potential impacts resulting from its conduct.

Rob Toonen has a PhD in Population Biology, is an Associate Researcher at HIMB, and has published ~200 research papers in peer-reviewed journals dealing specifically with the subject of conservation and management of Hawaiian natural resources. Chris Bird has a PhD in Ecology, Evolution, and Conservation Biology, was recently awarded an Assistant Professorship and has published ~20 research papers in peer-reviewed journals dealing specifically with the subject of conservation and management of Hawaiian natural resources, rocky shores in particular. With Rob Toonen and Celia Smith, Chris has been studying 'ophi and Hawaiian intertidal communities since 1999, before to the establishment of the Monument. This research has been of considerable interest to both the science and management community of Hawai‘i and has begun to receive international recognition for the insights we are gaining to understand divergent selection leading to speciation in the sea. This on-going project should be well known to the Monument co-trustees, and our research accomplishments are presented in regular meetings with the management community and semi-annual meetings. Our accomplishments and qualifications to perform this research are further documented in the included CVs. We will be responsible for the conduct of the scientific team and work closely with the cultural practitioner team to ensure a successful mission.

g. Provide information demonstrating that you have adequate financial resources available to conduct and complete the activity and mitigate any potential impacts resulting from its conduct.

This mission requires no specific funding beyond the cost of mounting the expedition to complete the research goals. The proposed field activities are funded in full by NOAA for the Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument. The data analysis and storage will be supported by the Monument as necessary, the Hawai‘i Institute of Marine Biology, and Texas A&M University. As a new faculty at Texas A&M, Chris Bird’s startup package includes funds for three graduate students, as well as ~$500,000 in equipment and supplies required to conduct research. The success of the unfunded 'ophi partnership demonstrates our collective
commitment to this effort and our ability to complete this sort of work voluntarily even in the absence of funding. We are able to leverage the existence of samples to obtain federal funding from a variety of sources, and have an established track record of doing exactly that. We also have a clearly established track record of completing and publishing the research conducted in the Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument on a reasonable time frame, and have every intention to continue that tradition. Finally, we provide regular individual research updates to the management community and will also continue that effort in the future.

h. Explain how your methods and procedures are appropriate to achieve the proposed activity's goals in relation to their impacts to Monument cultural, natural and historic resources, qualities, and ecological integrity.

Our choice of sites are guided by personal safety and natural resource concerns within the Monument, but are constrained by the fact that intertidal communities that support ‘ōpīhi populations are limited to basaltic emergent islands. Minimizing our impact on the natural resources of the Monument is critical to us because they are the focus of the study for purposes of conservation, and we absolutely do not want to detract from that system we are seeking to conserve. The methods and procedures we propose to use are widely accepted and are among the few that directly incorporate Native Hawaiian marine practitioners, resource managers and research scientists in collaborative study that is co-designed and jointly implemented. Our success in obtaining extramural funding, our rate of publication in high quality scientific journals, and the frequency with which those studies are cited all show that the work being performed is accepted, valued and endorsed by the global scientific community. Belt transects do not require any specialized equipment and are simple enough to be employed by community members without scientific training across the inhabited Main eight Hawaiian Islands. All our work takes full account the unique value and seeks to minimize any potential for impact to the Monument resources. No more than 11 people will be on land at any one time.

i. Has your vessel been outfitted with a mobile transceiver unit approved by OLE and complies with the requirements of Presidential Proclamation 8031?

We will be chartering the Searcher and the partner NOAA PMNM staff will ensure it meets the VMS type-approval requirement as stated in Monument regulations.

j. Demonstrate that there are no other factors that would make the issuance of a permit for the activity inappropriate.

There are no other factors that would make the issuance of the permit inappropriate. The activity is non-commercial. The end-value of the activity is informational and is intended solely to provide local and governmental managers with information critical to the conservation of natural resources.

8. Procedures/Methods:
The primary objectives of this research expedition are to: (1) collect complementary data on the intertidal ecosystem with a suite of research scientists, cultural practitioners, and resource managers; (2) establish a baseline survey of intertidal and shallow subtidal ecosystems, specifically focused on ‘ōpīhi species associations, relative abundance, and reproductive cycles to better understand the implications and consequences of climate change on these communities; (3) determine the species present using joint morphological and molecular DNA analyses to characterize the biodiversity of the Hawaiian intertidal zone and their connectivity to one another across the archipelago; (4) to pinpoint the spawning timing of ‘ōpīhi and test where ‘ōpīhi larvae reside in the water column with plankton surveys; and (5) begin to explore the mechanisms of adaptation to climate change and speciation in the sea using ‘ōpīhi as a model system to elucidate the mechanisms by which divergent selection can lead to adaptive radiation of marine species.

Objectives 1& 2: To accomplish these goals, we conduct 20-60 belt transects per island located randomly at sites selected based on access, safety and weather conditions. The transect methodology and data collection sheets come from a series of joint retreats between the resource management agency, NGO, research scientist and cultural practitioner partners to develop the collaborative protocol we implement. The data sheet and collaborative protocol, are described in more detail in Kehau Springer's permit application. In brief, we survey a series of belt transects per island, in which teams mark the start of the transect by recording the GPS waypoints. We then lay a transect sash chain perpendicular to the shoreline (mauka to makai), from the highest marine animal on the shore to 15 ft deep. We attach colored cable ties to the sash chain to divide the transect into zones, and count all ‘ōpīhi by size class within each zone. We count all other visually identifiable intertidal organisms associated with the ‘ōpīhi and record the species present and the abundance of each along the transect lines. Next we estimate the percent cover of each algal species and collect a voucher specimen from each type of algae to confirm algal id later in the laboratory under a microscope. If algal turfs are present, we collect a 1cm2 voucher sample of each visually distinct turf type because turfs are typically composed of 10's of species and are not identifiable in the field. We then measure the x,y,z spatial coordinates of each zone boundary along the transect before measuring the “rugose” length of the transect laid to contour the exact surface distance of each zone. Each data sheet is double-checked and photographed in the field, and matched with a photograph of the entire transect and the conditions are recorded along with anything else noteworthy along the transect line. An additional photograph is taken every 25cm along the transect chain to capture each zone boundary.

We are conducting very near shore fish surveys in PMNM. In order to survey shallow very near shore fish populations, we employ a system involving 4 snorkelers that swim parallel to the shore within 0-20 m of the shore line that was surveyed with transects. Each snorkeler has a different task. Snorkeler 1 surveys benthic fish. Snorkeler 2 surveys silver fish. Snorkeler 3 surveys colorful fish. Each surveying snorkeler records the number of fish of each species that they see on the swimming transect and is equipped with a camera to photograph unknown species. In 2011 we developed lists of the most common fish observed in the very near shore habitat that we have used to develop data sheets for data recording. Snorkeler 4 video tapes the fish along the transect to serve as visual documentation of the different species present. The snorkelers are paired and swim side by side with a boat escort for safety. The length of the swimming transect is recorded from the boat using a gps and a stopwatch to give an idea of catch per unit effort, but our primary goal at this point is to record the species present. This approach
covers both objective (1) and (2), and subsequent laboratory examination of the samples will fulfill objective (3).

In order to track ‘opihī reproductive cycles, we will continue the work started on our 2011 cruise where we targeted 50 ‘opihī per species per island for gonad index analysis in collaboration with Kehau Springer. There is evidence of a September 2011 spawning event in our previous data, therefore in 2012, our cruise is scheduled to bracket the September spawning period, from new moon to the first quarter. We plan to collect 25 individuals per species pre and post-spawning on Nihoa and Mokumanamana and preserve them by freezing them in liquid nitrogen. We will visit Mokupāpapa during the ‘opihī spawning period, so we will collect our samples for gonad analysis when we arrive and right before we leave the island. In the laboratory, we will dissect the ‘opihī to separate the gonad, the non-gonadal tissue, and the shell. The wet weight of the gonad and non-gonadal tissue will be weighed and compared to assess reproductive state for males and females before and after the spawning period which will allow us to determine if the ‘opihī spawn in September 2012.

Often when fishery species are managed with a size limit, the size at reproductive maturity decreases due to evolutionary pressure for younger maturation. We are going to test this hypothesis by comparing the size of reproductive maturity for ‘opihī inside and outside of PMNM, which has never been done. To do this, 10 C. sandwicensis and 10 C. exarata will be collected for each of the following size classes on each island: <10mm, 10-15mm, 15-20mm, 20-25mm, and 25-30mm. The ‘opihī will be frozen and analyzed for gonad index in the laboratory with the other ‘opihī.

Objective 3, connectivity: The target species we have identified for genetic assessment are ones which are abundant and common on every island surveyed to date, and for which the estimated population sizes are so large that collection of 50 individuals per island will have no detectable impact. Our cut off is that we will not sample more than 1% of the population at any site, and preliminary abundance surveys from previous years indicate that populations are well in excess of 5000 individuals per island for each of the species that we have included on this permit application. We will examine connectivity of the intertidal species to compare with the subtidal organisms scored to date and determined whether coral reef species are a good predictor of intertidal species connectivity. DNA samples will be analyzed using standard techniques well-established in the field and in use daily in our lab (see attached CV).

Objective 4, pinpointing the timing of ‘opihī spawning, requires the deployment of two egg basket buoy lines while we are stationed at an island (Figure 1). Egg baskets are 1.5” diameter x 3” long PVC tubes with 20μm nitex mesh enclosing ‘opihī eggs. If sperm is released by opihī, the eggs in the baskets become fertilized and we can determine the timing of spawning release by the stage of zygotic development. Between the ocean surface and 15 ft deep, 10 egg baskets will be attached to two temporary, simple anchor and buoy lines. The anchor will be placed on rock or sand to avoid damaging corals, the line will be tight to avoid fouling, and the lines will be monitored while deployed. Egg baskets will be changed out at 8am and 5pm, daily while the buoy lines are deployed. After collection, the contents of the egg baskets will be fixed in 95% ethanol and analyzed in the laboratory after the completion of the cruise. Timing of spawning is determined by taking the time of collection and subtracting the time it takes for an ‘opihī to develop from fertilization to the observed stage (we have developed standard curves).
There will be seven sets of egg basket deployments; three on Mokumanamana and four on Moku'upāpapa. For each deployment, five *Cellana exarata* and five *Cellana sandwicensis* will be collected, dissected, and strip-spawned at the time of deployment. Eggs from females will be combined within species, and partitioned among 10 egg baskets per species. For the entire cruise, 70 ‘opihi will be collected for egg basket activities. In order to maximize our use of these ‘opihi, we will additionally collect a 200 mg tissue sample for DNA analysis and the ‘opihi will be used in protocols outlined in Kehau Springer’s permit application.

Identifying the location of ‘opihi larvae, involves sampling plankton in the field and analyzing the samples in the lab for ‘opihi. In the field, we use a 5hp gasoline powered water pump with a 100 ft hose to sample water from specific depths from the main ship (Searcher). Here we will take samples from the surface, 30ft, and 60ft. Three samples will be collected from each of the three depths for 11 days of the trip (99 samples in total). Samples will be 300 gallons filtered through 10um nitex plankton nets and preserved in 95% ethanol. In addition to pump samples, we will collect 9 plankton tow samples from a zodiac on each shore day (10 shore days, 90 samples) using an 80um, 0.5m plankton net. Each transect will be five minutes at 5mph at the surface, parallel to the island’s shore line. Tows will be conducted at three distances from the shore: >20m, 50m, and 100m.

Objective 5. Climate change resistance and adaptation of ‘opihi. LePerouse Pinnacles at Moku’upāpapa is the only island where ‘opihi makaiauli and ‘opihi ‘alinalina are morphologically identical and reside in the same habitat above the crustose coralline algae zone due to an evolutionary shift in ‘alinalina to the hotter and drier high shore. We are proposing to extract additional data from ‘opihi specimens that are being collected for reproductive and DNA analysis, but subjecting them to heat stress tests that will allow us to directly test for differential adaptations and capacity to tolerate a warming climate among species and islands. ‘Alinalina at LaPerouse Pinnacles can provide a window into the future for ‘alinalina on other islands because they are already inhabiting a hotter section of the shoreline. We propose to expose the ‘opihi to three different three hour temperature trials aboard the ship at 25°C, 35°C, and 45°C. During the trials, ‘opihi behaviors such as locomotion and venting (where the shell is lifted off the surface) will be recorded. At the conclusion of each trial, the ‘opihi will be flash frozen and put under liquid nitrogen. In the laboratory, in addition to gonad and DNA analysis, tissue samples will be taken for transcriptome RNAseq gene expression analyses and protein expression analysis. Important comparisons include those between (1) *C. sandwicensis* on Moku’upāpapa and other islands where *C. sandwicensis* resides lower on the shore; (2) *C. sandwicensis* and *C. exarata*. In combination with RAD DNA sequencing we will both identify genes differentially expressed and survey their genetic diversity in order to assess the molecular capacity of ‘opihi to tolerate rising temperatures.

**NOTE:** If land or marine archeological activities are involved, contact the Monument Permit Coordinator at the address on the general application form before proceeding, as a customized application will be needed. For more information, contact the Monument office on the first page of this application.
9a. Collection of specimens - collecting activities (would apply to any activity): organisms or objects (List of species, if applicable, attach additional sheets if necessary):

Common name:

Yellowfoot ‘ophihi (‘ophihi ‘alinalina)
Blackfoot ‘ophihi (‘ophihi mahaualu)
Shingle urchin (hā’uke‘uke kaupali)
Black nerite (pipipi)
Spotted periwinkle (pipipi kōlea)
Spotted drupe (makaloa)
Black purse shell (nahawele)
Thin shell rock crab (‘a‘ama)
Rock-boring urchin (‘ina kea)
Oblong urchin (‘ina)
Turf algae (limu)
see Appendix 1 for detailed list of samples.

Scientific name:

Cellana exarata
Cellana sandwicensis
Nesochthamalus intertextus
Isognomon californicum
Smaragdinella calyculata
Grapsus tenuicrustatus
Entomacrodus marmoratus
Istiblemmius zebra
Drupa ricina
Echinolittorina hawaiiensis
Littoraria pintado
Nerita picea
Siphonaria normalis
Colobocentrotus atratus
Echinometra oblonga
Echinometra mathaei

# & size of specimens:

Following above, see Appendix 1 for detailed list of maximum sample sizes

Collection location:

Following above, see Appendix 1 for detailed list of collection sites

☒ Whole Organism ☒ Partial Organism
9b. What will be done with the specimens after the project has ended?

Preserved samples remain the property of the Monument, and will maintained with population preserved connectivity biopsy tissue samples collected to date at HIMB, Texas A&M, and Scripps until they are used up by the study or such time as the Monument co-trustees request that they be returned to them. Taxonomic voucher specimens will be submitted for permanent inclusion in museum collections as per the voucher specimen guidelines.

We additionally request that specimens from the 2011 cruise be allowed to be transported to Texas A&M and Scripps for processing.

9c. Will the organisms be kept alive after collection? ☒ Yes ☒ No

'opih for heat stress experiments will need to be kept alive for a short period of time, less than 6 hours. The 'opih will be euthanized by freezing before the ship arrives at another atoll.

• General site/location for collections:

• Is it an open or closed system? ☒ Open ☒ Closed

Closed aquarium systems with water changes done by hand.

• Is there an outfall? ☒ Yes ☒ No

Any water from the aquarium will be treated and dumped into the ship's ballast tanks during manual water changes as needed to maintain the health of the animals.

• Will these organisms be housed with other organisms? If so, what are the other organisms? No.

• Will organisms be released?

No.

10. If applicable, how will the collected samples or specimens be transported out of the Monument?

Preserved samples (frozen, in ethanol, or saturated salt buffer) will be transported back to Oahu aboard the vessel. Specimens will be centrally housed at Texas A&M where Chris Bird can ensure their safe storage, preservation and care. Algae samples will be shipped to Jenn Smith and Levi Lewis at Scripps for identification and then will be housed at Texas A&M. Specimens may also be shipped to HIMB for processing. Voucher specimens will be subsampled for genetic analysis and stored frozen or in preservative prior to study.

Chris Bird -

RESEARCH
11. Describe collaborative activities to share samples, reduce duplicative sampling, or duplicative research:

All researchers working on this project have coordinated to share samples and avoid duplicate sampling. Specifically, the samples listed here and those in the accompanying permit application by Kehau Springer are explicitly the same samples and not duplicative or in addition to one another.

12a. List all specialized gear and materials to be used in this activity:

We will collect samples by hand using no specialized gear or materials beyond snorkeling gear, transect lines, data sheets, and butter knives. A 5hp gasoline powered water pump run from the boat will be used to direct water through a 10um plankton net. Egg baskets are 1.5” diameter x 3” long PVC tubes with 20um nitex mesh enclosing ‘opih’ eggs. If sperm is released by opih, the eggs in the baskets become fertilized and we can determine the timing of spawning release by the stage of zygotic development. Between the ocean surface and 15 ft deep, Egg baskets will be attached to two temporary, simple anchor and buoy lines. The anchor will be placed on rock or sand to avoid damaging corals (placement will be confirmed visually by free diving) the line will be tight to avoid fouling, the lines will be monitored while deployed, and no supplies/gear will be left behind at any island (Figure 1).

12b. List all Hazardous Materials you propose to take to and use within the Monument:

Tissue preservative solutions for DNA analyses include: 95% ethanol (EtOH), MSDS attached, and saturated salt buffer with dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), MSDS attached. Both EtOH and DMSO are commonly sold for human consumption, and should not pose any significant health or environmental risk.

13. Describe any fixed installations and instrumentation proposed to be set in the Monument:

None

14. Provide a time line for sample analysis, data analysis, write-up and publication of information:
Surveys will be completed in the field during the expedition. Data analysis and write-up will depend on the availability of specific support for researchers post-cruise. With current levels of partial support and volunteer activities, we expect it will take roughly an additional year to complete the post-cruise analysis of survey data. Time to publication can be considerably longer since the turn-around time for some journals now exceeds 800 days, but results will be reported as soon as possible among the partners and to the resource management community.

Regardless of the time to publication, the results from these studies are made available to Monument managers as quickly as possible through the brown-bag luncheons, semi-annual reports, and semi-annual mini symposium during which all researchers involved in this project present the most current findings from their ongoing research to the broader management community. Findings are always provided to the Monument co-trustees almost as quickly as they become available, and made available to the greater management community within no more than 6 months of the data being collected. Finally, given the specific partnership of Hawaiian cultural practitioners, NGO community, State and Federal resource managers, and research scientists, we are confident that research results will be communicated widely.

Additionally this permit application is a partner to the Native Hawaiian Practices permit application of Kehau Springer. All samples and methodologies discussed in this permit application are directly related to both permit applications and are the same samples, not in addition to one another. This project and its group of dedicated participants will continue to bridge the gap between cultural and western research in Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument, and community participants will communicate our collective findings to their respective communities (Hana, Kalapana, etc.) as outlined in Kahau Springer’s permit application.

### Tentative Cruise Itinerary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>PORT / Island</th>
<th>Departure Time</th>
<th>Distance</th>
<th>Spawning Period</th>
<th>Activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>09/12/2012</td>
<td>Depart Kewalo</td>
<td>0900</td>
<td>245nm @ 8 knots = 31 hrs</td>
<td>Pre-Spawn</td>
<td>Collect, Plankton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>for Nihoa (NIH)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/13/2012</td>
<td>Arrive NIH</td>
<td>SEARCHER arrive NIH, anchor in pm – scout coastline via small boat</td>
<td>Pre-Spawn</td>
<td>Collect, Plankton</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/14/2012</td>
<td>NIH</td>
<td>½ day operations @ NIH; 1400 departure for MMM</td>
<td>122nm @ 8 knots = 16 hrs</td>
<td>Pre-Spawn</td>
<td>Collect, Transect, Plankton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/15/2012</td>
<td>Arrive MMM</td>
<td>Full day operations at MMM</td>
<td>Pre-Spawn</td>
<td>Collect, Transect, Plankton</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/16/2012</td>
<td>MMM - transit</td>
<td>½ day operations @ MMM; 1100 departure for FFS</td>
<td>155nm @ 8 knots =</td>
<td>Spawning</td>
<td>Fish, Egg Basket, Plankton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>Time/Locations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/17/2012</td>
<td>FFS</td>
<td>Arrive FFS, ½ day operations</td>
<td>20 hrs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/18/2012</td>
<td>FFS</td>
<td>Full day operations @ FFS</td>
<td>Spawning Collect, Egg Basket, Plankton, Fish</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/19/2012</td>
<td>FFS</td>
<td>Full day operations @ FFS; depart for MMM in pm</td>
<td>155nm @ 8 knots = 20 hrs Spawning Transect, Egg Basket, Plankton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/20/2012</td>
<td>Arrive MMM</td>
<td>SEARCHER anchor in pm – scout coastline via small boat</td>
<td>Spawning Egg Basket, Plankton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/21/2012</td>
<td>MMM</td>
<td>Full day operations @ MMM depart for NIH around 1600</td>
<td>122nm @ 8 knots = 16 hrs Spawning Transect, Egg Basket, Plankton, Collect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/22/2012</td>
<td>NIH</td>
<td>Full day operations @ NIH</td>
<td>Post-Spawning Transect, Fish, Plankton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/23/2012</td>
<td>NIH</td>
<td>Full day operations @ NIH, depart at 1600 to HNL</td>
<td>245nm @ 8 knots = 31 hrs Post-Spawning Transect, Plankton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/24/2012</td>
<td>NIH – transit</td>
<td>Transit – arrive 11pm-mish</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/25 or 9/26</td>
<td>offload</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15. List all Applicants' publications directly related to the proposed project:

21 Simion, P, CE Bird, and RJ Toonen (in prep) Comparative phylogeography of *Octopus cyanea* and *O. oliveri* in the Hawaiian Archipelago.


19 Bird, CE, M Iacchei, and RJ Toonen (in prep) Isolation, disruptive selection, and divergence within a population of broadcast-spawning limpets.
18 Bird, CE and RJ Toonen (in prep) Patterns of recent divergence and gene flow between budding lineages and species boundaries in the sibling Hawaiian limpets (*Cellana spp.*)

17 Bird, CE, MA Timmers, PE Smouse and RJ Toonen (in review) Inferring dispersal patterns with $F_{ST}$ and $R_{ST}$: when is genetic distance too much information? Integrative and Comparative Biology. *Invited*

16 Bird, CE, E Franklin, RJ Toonen, & CM Smith (in review) Between wave and tide marks: a unified model of water level and vertical zonation on littoral shores.


With knowledge of the penalties for false or incomplete statements, as provided by 18 U.S.C. 1001, and for perjury, as provided by 18 U.S.C. 1621, I hereby certify to the best of my abilities under penalty of perjury of that the information I have provided on this application form is true and correct. I agree that the Co-Trustees may post this application in its entirety on the Internet. I understand that the Co-Trustees will consider deleting all information that I have identified as "confidential" prior to posting the application.

__________________________________________  __________________________
Signature                                      Date

SEND ONE SIGNED APPLICATION VIA MAIL TO THE MONUMENT OFFICE BELOW:

Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument Permit Coordinator
6600 Kalaniana‘ole Hwy. # 300
Honolulu, HI 96825
FAX: (808) 397-2662

DID YOU INCLUDE THESE?
☒ Applicant CV/Resume/Biography
☒ Intended field Principal Investigator CV/Resume/Biography
☒ Electronic and Hard Copy of Application with Signature
☒ Statement of information you wish to be kept confidential
☒ Material Safety Data Sheets for Hazardous Materials
Appendix 1.

### 2012 Collection List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Distribution</th>
<th>Sampling</th>
<th>Nihoa</th>
<th>Mokumanana</th>
<th>Mokupapa</th>
<th>Puha honu</th>
<th>Preserve Tissue For Genetic Analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Nesochthammus intertextus</em></td>
<td>Barnacle</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>Lethal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50 Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Isognomon californicum</em></td>
<td>Bivalve</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>Lethal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50 Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Smaragdinella calyculata</em></td>
<td>Shell</td>
<td>Indo-Pac</td>
<td>Lethal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50 Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Grapsus tenicuropatus</em></td>
<td>Crab</td>
<td>Indo-Pac</td>
<td>Non-lethal</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50 Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Entomacrodus marmoratus</em></td>
<td>Fish</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>Non-lethal</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>50 Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Istiblennius zebra</em></td>
<td>Fish</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>Non-lethal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50 Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Drupa ricina</em></td>
<td>Snail</td>
<td>Indo-Pac</td>
<td>Non-lethal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>50 Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Echinolittorina hawaiensis</em></td>
<td>Snail</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>Lethal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50 Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Littoraria pintado</em></td>
<td>Snail</td>
<td>Indo-Pac</td>
<td>Lethal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50 Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nerita picea</em></td>
<td>Snail</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>Lethal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>50 Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Siphonaria normalis</em></td>
<td>Snail</td>
<td>Indo-Pac</td>
<td>Lethal</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>200 Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Colobocentrotus atratus</em></td>
<td>Urchin</td>
<td>Indo-Pac</td>
<td>Lethal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>50 Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Echinometra oblonga</em></td>
<td>Urchin</td>
<td>Indo-Pac</td>
<td>Non-lethal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>50 Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Echinometra mathaei</em></td>
<td>Urchin</td>
<td>Indo-Pac</td>
<td>Non-lethal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50 Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cellana exarata</em></td>
<td>Limpet</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>Lethal</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>50 Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellana exarata eggs (from specimens listed above) *</td>
<td>Limpet</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>Lethal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0 Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cellana sandwicensis</em></td>
<td>Limpet</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>Lethal</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>50 Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellana sandwicensis eggs (from specimens listed above)</td>
<td>Limpet</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>Lethal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0 Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misc Algae</td>
<td>algae</td>
<td>various</td>
<td>Non-lethal</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000 Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*estimated number of eggs determined assuming 200,000 eggs per female and all ʻopihi collected for egg baskets being female.
Figure 1. Egg basket deployment apparatus
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Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument
Compliance Information Sheet

1. Updated list of personnel to be covered by permit. List all personnel names and their roles here (e.g. John Doe, Diver; Jane Doe, Field Technician, Jerry Doe, Medical Assistant):
1) Hoku Johnson (Chief Scientist)
2) Shauna Kehaunani Springer (PI for NH Cultural Permit)
3) Christopher Bird (PI for Research Permit)
4) Levi Lewis (Researcher & Medical Assistant)
5) Patrick Springer (Researcher)
6) Dean Tokishi (Researcher)
7) TNC Rep (Researcher)
8) Hana Rep (Researcher)
9) Kalapana Rep (Researcher)
10) Kipahulu Rep (Researcher)

2. Specific Site Location(s): (Attach copies of specific collection locations):
Habitat: Intertidal zone to 20 ft subtidal.
Substrate: Basalt
Islands: Nihoa, Necker Island (Mokumanamana), French Frigate Shoals (Mokupāpapa)
Locations within islands: leeward sides of islands, typically the west sides depending on weather and sea conditions

3. Other permits (list and attach documentation of all other related Federal or State permits):
This permit will be conducted alongside Research Permit Number PMNM-2012-052 (Springer)

3a. For each of the permits listed, identify any permit violations or any permit that was suspended, amended, modified or revoked for cause. Explain the circumstances surrounding the violation or permit suspension, amendment, modification or revocation.
None

4. Funding sources (Attach copies of your budget, specific to proposed activities under this permit and include funding sources. See instructions for more information):
This trip is fully supported by NOAA / NOS / Office of National Marine Sanctuaries, Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument and the Hawaii Institute of Marine Biology. Sample processing will be funded by Texas A&M University – Corpus Christi laboratory startup funds of Chris Bird and algae processing will be funded by Scripps, UC San Diego via Levi Lewis and Jen Smith.

5. Time frame:
Activity start: 12 September 2012
Activity completion: Ongoing

Dates actively inside the Monument:
From: 12 September 2012
To: 25 September 2012

Describe any limiting factors in declaring specific dates of the proposed activity at the time of application:

Personnel schedule in the Monument:
A complete itineray is forthcoming but the cruise will mirror the 2011 cruise where we sailed to Mokupapapa, then visited Mokumanamana, and finally Nihoa. The project is aiming to spend 1-3 days at each of the aforementioned sites depending on weather conditions. The full list of personnel listed in item one will be on the same vessel and accessing the sites at the same time. Additionally, the vessel Searcher will be staffed by six individuals.

6. Indicate (with attached documentation) what insurance policies, bonding coverage, and/or financial resources are in place to pay for or reimburse the Monument trustees for the necessary search and rescue, evacuation, and/or removal of any or all persons covered by the permit from the Monument:
This project is fully supported by the Monument. The Federal Government is self-insured. In addition the cruise participants will carry emergency evacuation insurance (e.g. DAN insurance or something comparable).

7. Check the appropriate box to indicate how personnel will enter the Monument:

☑ Vessel
☐ Aircraft

Provide Vessel and Aircraft information:
8. The certifications/inspections (below) must be completed prior to departure for vessels (and associated tenders) entering the Monument. Fill in scheduled date (attach documentation): Documentation of all inspections will be provided prior to departure. Hull, tender, ballast water, gear/equipment inspections cannot occur until two weeks prior to departure.

☐ Rodent free, Date: TBD
☐ Tender vessel, Date: TBD
☐ Ballast water, Date: TBD
☐ Gear/equipment, Date: TBD
☐ Hull inspection, Date: TBD

9. Vessel information (NOTE: if you are traveling aboard a National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration vessel, skip this question):
Vessel name: SEACHER
Vessel owner: The Medical Foundation for the Study of the Environment
Captain's name: Jonathan Littenberg
IMO#:192
Vessel ID#:U.S. Coast Guard 1103056
Flag: U.S.
Vessel type: Steel Hull
Call sign: WDA 6100
Embarkation port: Honolulu
Last port vessel will have been at prior to this embarkation: Honolulu
Length: LOA 96 ft/Registered 78.1 ft
Gross tonnage: 197
Total ballast water capacity volume (m3): n/a
Total number of ballast water tanks on ship: n/a
Total fuel capacity: 9,600 gallons
Total number of fuel tanks on ship: 6
Marine Sanitation Device: Yes
Type: II

Explain in detail how you will comply with the regulations regarding discharge in the Monument. Describe in detail. If applicable, attach schematics of the vessel's discharge and treatment systems:
All materials and fluids shall be properly stored in holding tanks while the vessel is in Monument waters and will be properly treated and disposed of upon our exit from the Monument.
Other fuel/hazardous materials to be carried on board and amounts: 
Approximately 30 gallons of unleaded fuel in jerry cans for use in the skiffs.

Provide proof of a National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Office of Law Enforcement-approved Vessel Monitoring System (VMS). Provide the name and contact information of the contractor responsible for installing the VMS system. Also describe VMS unit name and type:
Vessel Monitoring System - Thrane & Thrane Sailor TT-3606XP

VMS Email: 436998398@c12.stratoemobile.net
Inmarsat ID#: 4TT072E62B15
Contact: Jonathan Littenberg (808.225.8982) or Barbara Littenberg (808.221.6156)

* Individuals MUST ENSURE that a type-approved VMS unit is installed and that its automatic position reports are being properly received by the NOAA OLE system prior to the issuance of a permit. To make sure your VMS is properly configured for the NOAA OLE system, please contact NOAA OLE at (808) 203-2503 or (808) 203-2500.

* PERMITS WILL NOT BE ISSUED TO INDIVIDUALS ENTERING THE MONUMENT VIA VESSEL UNTIL NOAA OLE HAS CONTACTED THE MONUMENT PERMIT COORDINATOR WITH A ‘POSITIVE CHECK’ READING.

10. Tender information:

On what workboats (tenders) will personnel, gear and materials be transported within the Monument? List the number of tenders/skiffs aboard and specific types of motors:
One 16 foot RHIB Zodiac with Yamaha 4 stroke engine and one 16 foot inflatable Avon with Yamaha 4 stroke tiller engine.
Additional Information for Land Based Operations

11. Proposed movement of personnel, gear, materials, and, if applicable, samples: none

12. Room and board requirements on island: none

13. Work space needs: none

DID YOU INCLUDE THESE?
☐ Map(s) or GPS point(s) of Project Location(s), if applicable
☐ Funding Proposal(s)
☐ Funding and Award Documentation, if already received
☐ Documentation of Insurance, if already received
☐ Documentation of Inspections
☐ Documentation of all required Federal and State Permits or applications for permits
TO: Division of Aquatic Resources File

THROUGH: William J. Aila, Jr., Chairperson

FROM: Guy Kaulukukui
Division of Aquatic Resources

SUBJECT:

DEVELOPMENT OF EXEMPTION FROM THE PREPARATION OF AN ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF CHAPTER 343, HRS AND CHAPTER 11-200 HAR, FOR PAPAHĀNAUMOKUĀKEA MARINE NATIONAL MONUMENT RESEARCH PERMIT TO DR. ROBERT TOONE, HAWAII INSTITUTE OF MARINE BIOLOGY, AND DR. CHRISTOPHER BIRD, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY-CORPUS CHRISTI, FOR ACCESS TO STATE WATERS TO CONDUCT INTERTIDAL BIODIVERSITY ACTIVITIES UNDER PERMIT PMNM-2012-049.

The following permitted activities are found to be exempted from preparation of an environmental assessment under the authority of Chapter 343, HRS and Chapter 11-200, HAR:

Project Title:
Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument Research Permit to Dr. Robert Toonen, University of Hawaii, Hawaii Institute of Marine Biology, and Dr. Christopher Bird, assistant professor, Marine Biology Program, Texas A&M University-Corpus Christi for Access to State Waters to Conduct Intertidal Biodiversity Survey Activities

Permit Number: PMNM-2012-049

Project Description:
The research permit application, as described below, would allow entry and activities to occur in Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument (Monument), including the NWHI State waters from September 1, 2012 through August 31, 2013.

The Applicant proposes to collect samples of common intertidal invertebrates, fish, and algae by hand and plankton by net in order to establish an intertidal baseline survey of the NWHI, that would characterize the biodiversity, and explore the mechanisms of genetic speciation in the sea.

The proposed activities are in direct support of the Monument Management Plan’s priority management need 3.1 – Understanding and Interpreting the NWHI (through action plan 3.1.1 –

ITEM F-5c
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Marine Conservation Science). This action plan specifies to "measure connectivity and genetic diversity of key species to enhance management decisions." Activities to support marine conservation science, including biodiversity and genetic diversity surveys such as those to be carried out by the permittee, are also addressed in the Monument Management Plan Environmental Assessment. This EA summarizes that understanding the genetic diversity of species groups and how these populations change could be helpful to forecast, prepare for and mediate potential threats to populations within the Monument (PMNM MMP Vol. 2, p.171). Identification of biodiversity and genetic diversity of invertebrates in the NWHI, such as those proposed, would enhance this understanding.

Consulted Parties:
The permit application was sent out for review and comment to the following scientific and cultural entities: Hawaii Division of Aquatic Resources, Hawaii Division of Forestry and Wildlife, Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument (NOAA/NOS), NOAA Pacific Islands Regional Office (NOAA-PIRO), United States Fish and Wildlife Service Hawaiian and Pacific Islands National Wildlife Refuge Complex Office, and the Office of Hawaiian Affairs (OHA). In addition, the permit application has been posted on the Monument Web site since June 29th, giving the public an opportunity to comment. The application was posted within 40 days of its receipt, in accordance with the Monument’s Public Notification Policy.

Exemption Determination:
After reviewing HAR § 11-200-(8), including the criteria used to determine significance under HAR § 11-200-12, DLNR has concluded that the activities under this permit would have minimal or no significant effect on the environment and that issuance of the permit is categorically exempt from the requirement to prepare an environmental assessment based on the following analysis:

1. All activities associated with this permit, including transect monitoring and tissue biopsy sampling and subsequent genetic and taxonomic study of reef fish, algae, and invertebrates, have been evaluated as a single action. As a preliminary matter, multiple or phased actions, such as when a group of actions are part of a larger undertaking, or when an individual project is precedent to or represents a commitment to a larger project, must be grouped together and evaluated as a single action. HAR § 11-200-7. Since this permit involves an activity that is precedent to a later planned activity, i.e. the continuation of nearshore biodiversity monitoring and sampling and associated genetic studies, the categorical exemption determination here will treat all planned activities as a single action.

2. The Exemption Class for Scientific Research with no Serious or Major Environmental Disturbance Appears to Apply. Chapter 343, HRS, and section 11-200-8, HAR, provide for a list of classes of actions exempt from environmental assessment requirements. HAR §11-200-8(A)(5) specifically exempts the class of actions which involve “basic data collection, research, experimental management, and resource evaluation activities, which do not result in a serious or major disturbance to an environmental resource.” This exemption class has been interpreted to include fisheries research related to the development and management of various aquatic organisms, including life history, migration, and growth studies, such as those being proposed.

Accordingly, the former Division of Fish and Game established its own published list of exempted activities types under this exemption class, including “surveys, censuses, inventories,

The proposed sampling for genetic and biodiversity study activities here appear to fall squarely under the exemption class identified under HAR § 11-200-8(a)(5), and are succinctly described under the former Fish and Game Division exemption list published in 1976, as involving the collection and non-lethal sampling of aquatic animals to study migration patterns and life cycles. As discussed below, no significant disturbance to any environmental resource is anticipated in either the proposed lethal specimen collection, non lethal tissue biopsy sampling, or voucher specimen collections proposed by this Applicant. Thus, so as long as the below considerations are met, an exemption class should include the action now contemplated.

3. Cumulative Impacts of Actions in the Same Place and Impacts with Respect to the Potentially Particularly Sensitive Environment Will Not be Significant. Even where a categorical exemption appears to include a proposed action, the action cannot be declared exempt if “the cumulative impact of planned successive actions in the same place, over time, is significant, or when an action that is normally insignificant in its impact on the environment may be significant in a particularly sensitive environment.” HAR § 11-200-8(B). To gauge whether a significant impact or effect is probable, an exempting agency must consider every phase of a proposed action, any expected primary and secondary consequences, the long-term and short-term effects of the action, the overall and cumulative effect of the action, and the sum effects of an action on the quality of the environment. HAR § 11-200-12. Examples of actions which commonly have a significant effect on the environment are listed under HAR § 11-200-12.

While the specific intent and goals of this project are unique, past projects have included similar collections and techniques with no adverse impact. Similar nearshore biodiversity monitoring activities have been permitted and performed within the NWHI. In addition, lethal and non lethal genetic tissue sampling has also been undertaken in the marine environment within PMNM. The species targeted for collection in this project are ones identified as being abundant and common on every island surveyed to date, for which the estimated population sizes are so large that collection of 50 individuals per island would have no detectable impact. The Applicant notes that no more than 1% of the population at any site would be sampled. With this in mind, significant cumulative impacts are not anticipated as a result of this activity, and numerous safeguards further ensure that the potentially sensitive environment of the project area will not be significantly affected. All activities will be conducted in a manner compatible with the management direction of the Monument Proclamation in that the activities do not diminish monument resources, qualities, and ecological integrity, or have any indirect, secondary, cultural, or cumulative effects. The joint permit review process did not reveal any anticipated indirect or cumulative impacts, nor did it raise any cultural concerns, that would occur as a result of these activities.

Since no significant cumulative impacts or significant impacts with respect to any particularly sensitive aspect of the project area are anticipated, the categorical exemptions identified above should remain applicable.
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The activities would be conducted from the NOAA contracted vessel, R/V Searcher (PMNM-2012-001), during September 2012. There is one other proposed activity that is anticipated to take place on this vessel pending approval of the permit application.

The other proposed activity, Springer (PMNM-2012-052) would also take place in the intertidal area of these islands at the same time. Springer proposes a Native Hawaiiian Practices observational approach to studying this habitat and its associated organisms and harvest resources for consumption in PMNM. The two proposed activities while differing in their approach in characterizing this habitat were co-designed with the same goal of adding a greater total knowledge base for this region. As such, there would be no duplicate sampling of the resources nor organisms, but rather sharing of resources since the proposals were cohesively designed together.

The culmination of these permits, occurring in the southern half of the Monument over a 2-week period, is not anticipated to have significant cumulative impacts. The NOAA Ship Hi’ialakai (PMNM-2012-009) may also be in the Monument during this time frame facilitating needs of the Biogeographic cruise. There is no anticipated overlap and therefore no associated cumulative impacts relating Toonen-Bird’s intertidal cruise with this biogeographic cruise and as none of the proposed activities occurring as part of the latter focus on the nearshore, intertidal region. The following table outlines proposed activities that are anticipated to take place on the biogeographic cruise pending approval of permit applications.

Table 1. Concurrent Projects Aboard NOAA SHIP HI’IALAKAI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Permit</th>
<th>Purpose and Scope</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PMNM-2012-009</td>
<td>The permit allows NOAA Ship Hi’IALAKAI entry into PMNM. Personnel aboard the vessel will be permitted under separate permits.</td>
<td>All locations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOAA Ship HI’IALAKAI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMNM-2012-050</td>
<td>The proposed action is to allow collection of fish and alien invertebrates and deployment of data loggers at mesophotic depths.</td>
<td>All locations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kosaki (approved)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMNM-2012-053</td>
<td>The proposed action is to visit nearshore marine and terrestrial areas to develop a body of fine art work (paintings, sketches and photographs).</td>
<td>All locations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enos (proposed)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMNM-2012-038</td>
<td>The proposed action is to film and photograph natural/cultural elements of PMNM to supplement and update PMNM’s cultural briefing video.</td>
<td>All locations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthony (proposed)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMNM-2012-029</td>
<td>The permitted action is to deploy and retrieve hydrophone arrays and 4 deep water Ecological Acoustic Recorders (EARs).</td>
<td>All locations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lammers (issued)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMNM-2012-030</td>
<td>The proposed action is to allow collection of certain coral and shallow water reef fishes.</td>
<td>All locations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karl (issued)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permit</td>
<td>Purpose and Scope</td>
<td>Location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMNM-2012-041</td>
<td>The permitted action is to collect seawater samples to measure abiotic marine alkalinity and pH.</td>
<td>All locations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winn and Kahng (issued)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMNM-2012-050</td>
<td>The proposed action is to allow collection of specific reef fish and top predator movements</td>
<td>All locations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meyer (proposed)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMNM-2012-045</td>
<td>The proposed action is to allow collection of specific reef fish, invertebrate and algal species</td>
<td>All locations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowen (proposed)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since no significant cumulative impacts or significant impacts with respect to any particularly sensitive aspect of the project area are anticipated, the categorical exemptions identified above should remain applicable.

4. Overall Impacts will Probably be Minimal and Insignificant. Again, any foreseeable impacts from the proposed activity will probably be minimal, and further mitigated by general and specific conditions attached to the permit. Specifically, all research activities covered by this permit will be carried out with strict safeguards for the natural, historic, and cultural resources of the Monument as required by Presidential Proclamation 8031, other applicable law and agency policies and standard operating procedures.

Conclusion. Upon consideration of the permit to be approved by the Board of Land and Natural Resources, the potential effects of the above listed project as provided by Chapter 343, HRS and Chapter 11-200 HAR, have been determined to be of probable minimal or no significant effect on the environment and exempt from the preparation of an environmental assessment.

William J. Aila, Jr.                                          Date
Chairperson, Board of Land and Natural Resources