Board of Land and Natural Resources
State of Hawaii
Honolulu, Hawaii

CONTESTED CASE REGARDING:
APPLICATION FOR GEOTHERMAL EXPLORATION PERMIT
HAULALAI WEST RIFT ZONE, HAWAII

PETITIONER:
Terri Napeahi
Pele Defense Fund
P.O. Box 4969
Hilo, HI 96720

PERMIT APPLICANT:
University of Hawaii at Manoa
Hawaii Institute of Geophysics and Planetology (HIGP)
1680 East West Road, POST 526B
Honolulu, HI 96825

LANDOWNERS:
Tax Map Key Owner
(3) 7-3-009:019 RCFC Kaloko Heights, LLC
(3) 7-3-009:025 SCD-TSA Kaloko Makai
(3) 7-3-009:028 SCD-TSA Kaloko Makai
(3) 7-3-010:032 State - DLNR
(3) 7-3-010:035 AIC Kona, LLC
(3) 7-3-010-041 State - DHHL
(3) 7-4-008:001 Queen Liliuokalani Trust
(3) 7-4-008:005 Lanihau
(3) 7-4-008:047 327 Kona, LLC
(3) 7-4-008:048 Lanihau

BACKGROUND:
On March 27, 2015, the Board approved the issuance of a geothermal exploration permit to the University of Hawaii. The permit allowed UH to conduct a non-invasive geophysical study of the West Rift Zone of Hualalai, just north of Kailua-Kona on the island of Hawaii. (Exhibit 1)
On May 8, 2015, the Department issued the Geothermal Exploration Permit (H-01) to UH to conduct the survey. (Exhibit 2)

UH later identified additional locations along the Hualalai West Rift Zone for inclusion in the project. UH therefore came back to the Board on July 10, 2015 seeking approval for an exploration permit for the additional parcels. The Board approved the request (Exhibit 3), again finding the project was exempt under the Departments Exemption list as approved by the Office of Environmental Quality Control on June 5, 2015, included the following exemption:

Conduct geothermal exploration activity that involves non-invasive geophysical operations for testing and analysis. Activities conducted under this exemption shall comply with all applicable federal, state and county laws, rules, regulations, guidelines and standards. This exemption would not apply in Urban or Conservation land use districts or in sensitive environments.

At the July 10, 2015 Board meeting, Terri Napeahi on behalf of Pele Defense Fund made an oral request for a contested case and followed by a written request received on July 20, 2015. (Exhibit 4)

RECEIVED PETITION:
In the written petition Ms. Terri Napeahi claims an interest in the geothermal exploration permit on behalf of the Pele Defense Fund. The petitioner also claims an interest in an environmental review of the permit under HRS Ch. 343.

ANALYSIS:
The question of whether a particular person has standing involves a three part test:
(1) whether the person “has suffered an actual or threatened injury as a result of the defendant's wrongful conduct,” (2) whether “the injury is fairly traceable to the defendant’s actions,” and (3) whether “a favorable decision would likely provide relief for [the person’s] injury.”

Petitioner failed to provide specific information about the property, the project, or its relationship to either. Petitioner therefore fails to meet any prong of the three part test discussed above. Petitioner has not shown that an actual or threatened injury was suffered as a result of the Board’s action, how its injury (if any) is “fairly traceable” to the Board’s action, or how a favorable outcome (presumably the Board’s denial of the permit) would provide relief for the injury.

HAR § 13-1-29.1 allows the board to deny a request for contested case without a hearing under certain circumstances:

The board without a hearing may deny a request or petition or both for a contested case when it is clear as a matter of law that the request concerns a subject that is not within the adjudicatory jurisdiction of the board or when it is clear as a matter of law that the petitioner does not have a legal right, duty, or privilege entitling one to a contested case proceeding.
For the reasons stated above, it is clear as a matter of law that petitioner is not entitled to a
contested case. The Board may so decide at a sunshine meeting without a further hearing.

In preparation of this submittal, staff has consulted with the Department of the Attorney General.
The Department of the Attorney General supports the staff recommendation.

RECOMMENDATION
That the Board of Land and Natural Resources deny the request for a contested case in regards to
Application for a Geothermal Exploration Permit, Hualalai West Rift Zone, Hawaii by Ms. Terri
Napeahi.

Respectfully submitted,

CARTY S. CHANG
Chief Engineer

APPROVED FOR SUBMITTAL:

SUZANNE D. CASE
Chairperson

Exhibit(s): 1 March 27, 2015 Board Approval (Item L-2)
2 Geothermal Exploration Permit H-01
3 July 10, 2015 Board Approval (Item L-5)
4 Petition for Contested Case Hearing by Terri Napeahi
State of Hawaii  
DEPARTMENT OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES  
Engineering Division  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813  

July 10, 2015

Board of Land and Natural Resources  
State of Hawaii  
Honolulu, Hawaii

Application for Geothermal Exploration Permit and Declaration of Exemption from Chapter 343, HRS. Hualalai West Rift Zone, Hawaii TMK: (3) 7-3-009:019, 025, 028, (3) 7-3-010:032, 035, 041 (3) 7-4-008:001, 005, 047, 057

APPLICANT:

University of Hawaii at Manoa (Nicole Lautze)  
Hawaii Institute of Geophysics and Planetology (HIGP)  
1680 East West Road, POST 526B  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96825

University of Hawaii at Hilo (Don Thomas)  
The Center for the Study of Active Volcanoes (CSAV)  
200 West Kawili Street  
Hilo, Hawaii 96720

BACKGROUND:

At its regular meeting of March 27, 2015, under agenda item L-2, the Board approved the subject matter regarding the issuance of a geothermal exploration permit for certain locations along the Hualalai West Rift Zone. A copy of the approved submittal is attached as Exhibit 1.

After March 27, 2015, the applicant has redefined the project in order to maintain the integrity of the study. As such, additional locations along the Hualalai West Rift Zone have been identified for the project. This board action is requesting the approval of additional locations, along the Hualalai Rift Zone, which the applicant has identified they wish to include in the project.

CHAPTER 343 – ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT:

In accordance with Hawaii Administrative Rule, Section 11-200-8(A), the subject request is exempt from the preparation of an environmental assessment pursuant to Exemption Class No. 5, “Basic data collection, research, experimental management, and resource evaluation activities which do not result in a serious or major disturbance to an environmental resources.” An accompanying Environmental Assessment Exemption Notification is attached as Exhibit 2.
LOCATION:

A map of the project location is attached as Exhibit 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tax Map Key</th>
<th>This Board Action</th>
<th>Previously Approved</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>State Land Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(3) 7-1-001:006</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>State</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) 7-2-002:001</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kamehameha Schools</td>
<td>Agriculture*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) 7-2-003:003</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kamehameha Schools</td>
<td>Agriculture*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) 7-2-004:004</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>State</td>
<td>Agriculture*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) 7-2-005:008</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>State</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) 7-2-007:001</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>GKK Makalei</td>
<td>Agriculture*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) 7-3-009:019</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>RCFC Kaloko Heights, LLC</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) 7-3-009:025</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>SCD-TSA Kaloko Makai</td>
<td>Agriculture*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) 7-3-009:028</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>SCD-TSA Kaloko Makai</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) 7-3-010:032</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>State</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) 7-3-010:035</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>AIC Kona, LLC</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) 7-3-010-041</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>State - DHHL</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) 7-4-002:006</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Queen Liliuokalani Trust</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) 7-4-002:017</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Palani Ranch</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) 7-4-002:018</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Palani Ranch</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) 7-4-008:001</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Queen Liliuokalani Trust</td>
<td>Agriculture*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) 7-4-008:005</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lanihau</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) 7-4-008:047</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>327 Kona, LLC</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) 7-4-008:057</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lanihau</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Project is restricted to agriculture zoned areas only.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Board of Land and Natural Resources:

(1) Authorize the approval and issuance of a Geothermal Exploration Permit submitted by the University of Hawaii for non-invasive exploration activities in the areas specified; and

(2) Authorize the Chairperson to issue a Geothermal Exploration Permit subject to terms and conditions as may be prescribed by the Chairperson to best serve the interests of the State; and

(3) Declare this project exempt from the preparation of an environmental assessment pursuant to Chapter 343 HRS and Chapter 11-200 HAR; and
Authorize the Department to oversee the performance of the permitted work and take appropriate action including but not limited to modification to permit documents or conditions, issuance of fines and/or revocation of the permit, if necessary.

Respectfully submitted,

CARTY S. CHANG
Chief Engineer

Approved For Submittal:

SUZANNE D. CASE
Chairperson

Exhibits:  
1. March 27, 2015 Approved Board Action  
2. EA Exemption Notification  
3. Project Map
Geothermal Exploration Permit (H-01)
Hualalai West Rift Zone, Hawaii

To: University of Hawaii at Manoa
   Hawaii Institute of Geophysics and Planetology (HIGP)
   1680 East West Road, POST 526B
   Honolulu, Hawaii 96825
   University of Hawaii at Hilo
   The Center for the Study of Active Volcanoes (CSAV)
   200 West Kawili Street
   Hilo, Hawaii 96720

Your application dated December 8, 2014, amended on April 16, 2015, for a permit to explore the
West Rift Zone of Hualalai using a non-invasive and passive geophysical methodology is approved.

   Exploration activity: Magnetotelluric (geophysics)
   Locations (TMK):
   (3) 7-1-001:006, (3) 7-2-002:001, (3) 7-2-003:003,
   (3) 7-2-004:004, (3) 7-2-005:008, (3) 7-2-007:001,
   (3) 7-4-002:006 & 017, 018

Approval is granted in accordance with the Department of Land and Natural Resources’
(Department's) Administrative Rules, Chapter 13-183, Hawaii Administrative Rules (HAR), and
subject to the following conditions:

(1) All work shall be performed in accordance with the permission and terms of the occupiers of
the land, the exploration program submitted with your application, the Department’s
Administrative Rules Chapter 13-183, HAR, and all other applicable Federal, State, and
County laws, ordinances or rules;

(2) The permittee, its successors and assigns, shall indemnify, defend, and hold the State of
Hawaii harmless from and against any loss, liability, claim or demand for property damage,
personal injury and death arising from any act or omission of the applicant, assigns, officers,
employees, contractors and agents under this permit or relating to or connected with the
granting of this permit;

EXHIBIT 2
(3) The permittee shall observe and comply with all valid requirements of County, State and Federal authorities and regulations to the land and permittee’s operations including but not limited to, all water and air pollution control laws and those relating to the environment;

(4) The permittee shall notify the Department, in writing, of the start date of field operations at least 48 hours in advance;

(5) If changes to the proposed exploration program are contemplated, the permittee shall obtain the Chairperson’s approval prior to implementing such changes;

(6) The permittee shall submit to the Chairperson a completion report within 6 months after the expiration of the permit. The report shall include at a minimum

   a. Project summary. Report shall describe pertinent work done for the project.
   b. Project history. Report shall include a daily summary of the field activities undertaken during the project.
   c. Data. Report shall include all the data gathered by the project and any interpretations made of the data.

(7) This permit shall expire 365 days from the date of issuance.

(8) The Permittee shall submit to the Chairperson a notice that all requirements outlined by the State Historic Preservation Division (SHPD), by SHPD prior to commencement of any activities in this permit.

(9) The Permittee shall submit to the Chairperson a notice of permission by the land owners prior to the commencement of any activities in the following TMK: (3) 7-2-004:004, (3) 7-2-005:008.

Suzanne D. Case, Chairperson
Department of Land and Natural Resources

Land Board Member
Hawaii County Planning Department
Department of Business, Economic Development and Tourism/Strategic Industries Division
Eric Tanaka, Engineering Division, Hilo

5/7/2015
Date of Issuance
State of Hawaii
DEPARTMENT OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES
Engineering Division
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

March 27, 2015

Board of Land and Natural Resources
State of Hawaii
Honolulu, Hawaii

Application for Geothermal Exploration Permit
Hualalai West Rift Zone, Hawaii
TMK: (3) 7-1-001:006, 7-2-002:001, 7-2-003:003, 7-2-004:004,
7-2-005:008, 7-2-007:001, 7-4-002:006, 7-4-002:017 & 18

APPLICANT:

University of Hawaii, Hawaii Institute of Geophysics and Planetology
(c/o Nicole Lautze, Assistant Researcher)

BACKGROUND:

In accordance with Hawaii Administrative Rules Chapter 13-183, the University of Hawaii has submitted an application for a Geothermal Exploration Permit to conduct a non-invasive geophysical study of the West Rift Zone of Hualalai, just north of Kailua Kona on the Island of Hawaii.

The proposed exploration activities are for scientific data collection only. The University of Hawaii is proposing to use a standard exploration method called a magnetotelluric survey (MT). Full details about the survey method and the proposed activity are described in the application, attached as Exhibit 1.

The proposed project is part of an overall effort referred to as the “Geothermal Resources Exploration Plan for Hawaii” being undertaken by the applicant. The project is being supported by funding from both the U.S. Department of Energy and the Department of Land and Natural Resources. The initial focus of the project will be a MT-based assessment of the Hualalai area and is the first exploration permit application being submitted for this project.

The application has been reviewed by the State Historic Preservation Division and the Office of Conservation and Coastal Lands, who have provided comments noting specific requirements that shall be met by the applicant, prior to the commencement of the proposed survey. These comments are included as part of Exhibit 2 and the conditions specified therein have been incorporated as part of the attached draft permit (see Exhibit 3).

Approved by the Board of Land & Natural Resources at the meeting held on
M AR 2 7 2 0 1 5

ITEM L-2
The original application had identified additional areas for the project, but since the land use districts in those areas are classified as either Urban or Conservation lands, those particular areas of the project have been omitted from the final project application at the applicants request (see Exhibit 5).

**LOCATION:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tax Map Key</th>
<th>State Land</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>State Land Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(3) 7-1-001:006</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) 7-2-002:001</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kamehameha Schools</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) 7-2-003:003</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kamehameha Schools</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) 7-2-004:004</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) 7-2-005:008</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) 7-2-007:001</td>
<td></td>
<td>GKK Makalei</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) 7-4-002:006</td>
<td></td>
<td>Queen Liliuokalani Trust</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) 7-4-002:017</td>
<td></td>
<td>Palani Ranch</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) 7-4-002:018</td>
<td></td>
<td>Palani Ranch</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Project is restricted to agriculture zoned areas only.

**CHAPTER 343 – ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT:**

In accordance with Hawaii Administrative Rule Section 11-200-8(A), the subject request is exempt from preparation of an environmental assessment pursuant to Exemption Class No. 5, “Basic data collection, research, experimental management, and resource evaluation activities which do not result in a serious or major disturbance to an environmental resources.” See Exhibit 4.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the Board of Land and Natural Resources approve the application for a Geothermal Exploration Permit submitted by the University of Hawaii for non-invasive exploration activities, in the area specified and authorize the Chairperson to issue the permit subject to the following conditions:

1. That the University of Hawaii comply with all applicable statutes, ordinances, rules and regulations of the Federal, State, and County governments; and
(2) That the University of Hawaii shall submit evidence of compliance with all other terms and conditions as may be prescribed by the Chairperson prior to the commencement of any proposed work.

Respectfully submitted,

CHRIS T. TAKASHIGE
Acting Chief Engineer

Approved For Submittal:

CARTY S. CHANG
Interim Chairperson

Attachments
Office Depot. OfficeMax
complimentary fax cover sheet

number of pages including cover sheet: 9

attention to: Vincent Lee    date: 7-20-15
company: Pele Defense Fund    from: Terri L. Napahi
phone #: 808-587-0390    company: DLNR
fax #: 808-587-0390    senders phone #: 315-9996

comments: Please send me a message that you received this application form APO-1: send me an email or fax. Email: (Peledefensefund@gmail.com) or call Terri L. Napahi 808-315-9996.

By sending this fax at Office Depot, Inc., the sender agrees not to use this fax to: (i) transmit material whose transmission is unlawful, harassing, libelous, abusive, threatening, harmful, vulgar, obscene, pornographic or otherwise objectionable; (ii) create a false identity, or otherwise attempt to mislead others as to the identity of the sender or the origin of this fax; (iii) post or transmit any material that may infringe the copyright, trade secret, or other rights of any third party; (iv) violate any federal, state or local law in the location, or (v) conduct activities related to gambling, sweepstakes, raffles, lotteries, contests, ponzi schemes or the like.

Please note that Office Depot, Inc., does not review the contents of any fax sent using its services. The sender of this fax hereby agrees to indemnify Office Depot Inc., to the fullest extent of the law and for any and all claims, suits, or damages arising out or in connection with the request to send, or sending this fax.

deportmax store 06472
311 Makaala Street
Hilo, HI 96720
p: 808.969.9065 f: 808.969.6914
ods09472cpc@officedepot.com
STATE OF HAWAII
BOARD OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES
PETITION FOR A CONTESTED CASE HEARING

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. File (deliver, mail or fax) this form within ten (10) days of the Board Action Date to:

   Department of Land and Natural Resources
   Administrative Proceedings Office
   1151 Punchbowl Street, Room 130
   Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
   Phone: (808) 587-1496, Fax: (808) 587-0390

2. DLNR’s contested case hearing rules are listed under Chapter 13-1, HAR, and can be obtained from
   the DLNR Administrative Proceedings Office or at its website (http://hawaii.gov/dlnr/rules/Ch13-1-
   Official-Rules.pdf). Please review these rules before filing a petition.

3. If you use the electronic version of this form, note that the boxes are expandable to fit in your
   statements. If you use the hardcopy form and need more space, you may attach additional sheets.

4. Pursuant to §13-1-30, HAR, a petition that involves a Conservation District Use Permit must be
   accompanied with a $100.00 non-refundable filing fee (payable to “DLNR”) or a request for waiver
   of this fee. A waiver may be granted by the Chairperson based on a petitioner’s financial hardship.

5. All materials, including this form, shall be submitted in three (3) photocopies.

---

**A. PETITIONER**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Name</th>
<th>Pele Defense Fund</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Contact Person</td>
<td>Terri L. Napeahi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Address</td>
<td>P.O. Box 4969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. City</td>
<td>Hilo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. State and ZIP</td>
<td>HI 96720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Email</td>
<td><a href="mailto:paledefensefund@gmail.com">paledefensefund@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Phone</td>
<td>(808) 315-9996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Fax</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**B. ATTORNEY (if represented)**

| 9. Attorney Name | James M. Dombroski |
| 10. Firm Name    | Law Offices of James M. Dombroski |
| 11. Address      | P.O. Box 75127 |
| 12. City         | Petaluma |
| 13. State and ZIP | California 94954 |
| 14. Email        | jdomski@aol.com |
| 15. Phone        | (707) 762-7807 |
| 16. Fax          | (707) 769-0449 |
### C. SUBJECT MATTER

17. Board Action Being Contested

|-----------------------|--------------|

20. Nature and Extent of Petitioner’s Interest That May Be Affected by the Board Action

21. Any Disagreement Petitioner May Have with an Application before the Board

22. Any Relief Petitioner Seeks or Deems Itself Entitled to

23. How Petitioner’s Participation in the Proceeding Would Serve the Public Interest

24. Any Other Information That May Assist the Board in Determining Whether Petitioner Meets the Criteria to Be a Party under Section 13-1-31, HAR

[ ] Check this box if Petitioner is submitting supporting documents with this form.

[ ] Check this box if Petitioner will submit additional supporting documents after filing this form.

**Petitioner or Representative (Print Name):**

**Signature:**

**Date:**

 FORM APO-11  
Page 2 of 2
17. Board Action Being Contested

We are contesting the Board of Land and Natural Resource Commission's approval to Agenda Item L. ENIGEERING - Application for Geothermal Exploration Permit and Declaration of Exemption from Chapter 343, HRS. Hualalai West Rift Zone, Hawai'i Tax Map Key: (3) 7-3-009:019,025,028, (3) 7-3010:032, 035, 041, (3) 7-4-00:001, 005,047,057.

18. Board Action Date

July 10, 2015

19. Item No.

Engineering L 5

20. Nature and Extent of Petitioner's Interest That May Be Affected by the Board Action

I am of Native Hawaiian descent and a member of the Pele Defense Fund organization concerned with Hawaiian religious and cultural traditions and practice and seeking to assure compliance with applicable law.

21. Any Disagreement Petitioner May Have with an Application before the Board

We are petitioning the applicability of the exemption granted to the project as a whole and its potential impacts which require environmental review. Impacts on Native Hawaiian traditional spiritual beliefs, historical and burial sites also including the Na'alahele registered trails. Community health is already an issue with the only geothermal plant existing since the early 80's PGG in Puna, Hawaii. Environmental degradation of land and ocean resources have already been noted by the processes and techniques used to operate Geothermal Development. The potential impacts it will have economically for business and the tourism industry of the Big Island.

22. Any Relief Petitioner Seeks or Deems Itself Entitled to

The relief sought would be for the Board to rescind the exemption and require and environmental assessment.

23. How Petitioner's Participation in the Proceeding Would Serve the Public Interest

My participation would serve the public interest and assist the board by assuring that persons concerned with Hawaiian Religious and cultural traditions and practice have an opportunity to present the grounds that appear to require and environmental assessment. Also allow others who live, work and own businesses in close proximity of the proposed testing and exploration sites to present their ground as well.

24. Any other Information That may Assist the Board in Determining Whether Petitioner Meets the Criteria to be a Party under Section 13-1-31, HAR (Attached is a letter from Attorney At Law James M. Dombroski concerning Pele Defense Fund's request for a contested case.)
DLNR Permit for Geothermal Exploration at Hualalai

Query: Is it the intent of the applicant to play hopscotch with the sensitive environment and urban and conservation district lands until it finally reaches a point in the larger project where it is compelled to comply with environmental review requirements?

There can be little doubt that the present project and its supporters are seeking resources for the sake of geothermal development on the west side of Hawai‘i Island. The proposed action is plainly, as reported, just a phase or increment and a necessary precedent of a much larger undertaking. The locations identified for research include sensitive environments. Isolating this particular phase or component of the larger undertaking for the stated purpose of avoiding environmental review is an improper segmentation of the larger project.

It is the opinion of this office that the DLNR permit for geothermal exploration at Hualalai was improvidently granted due to (1) Inapplicability of the exemption in view of the location’s sensitive environment and (2) Improper segmentation of the proposed action to avoid the need for environmental review.

1. Hualalai is a sensitive environment

DLNR Exemption Class 5 (OEQC approval June 5, 2015) includes the following paragraph:

11. Conduct geothermal exploration activity that involves non-invasive geophysical operations for testing and analysis. Activities conducted under this exemption shall comply with all applicable federal, state and county laws, rules, regulations, guidelines and standards. This exemption would not apply in Urban or Conservation land use districts or in sensitive environments.

[See http://1.usa.gov/1CjILee]

It would be reckless to deny that Hualalai is a sensitive environment. Proposed test sites are close to Honuaula forest reserve on the southwestern flank of the volcano and also approach the Pu‘u Wa‘a Wa‘a Forest Reserve, State Wildlife Sanctuary and Forest Bird Sanctuary. While sensitive resources of those conservation areas do not stop at their legal boundary, the proximity of the proposed activity to the protected conservation lands is notable.

An internet article titled The Many-furrowed Hill [see www.puwaawaa.org] begins:
Hawaii residents and visitors alike are drawn to this magnificent natural landmark nestled near the northern flank of Hualalai mountain. Pu’u Wa’a’wa’a is the namesake of the greater ahupua’a (traditional land management area) in the North Kona district that encompasses over 35,000 acres of land on the leeward side of Hawaii island, spanning eight miles from an elevation of 6,500 feet to sea level at Kiholo Bay. An area with a deep history, diverse biological features, unique geological formations, and amazing natural beauty, this ahupua’a is managed as both a State Forest Reserve in the mauka (mountainous) and State Park in the makai (coastal) lands.

From Wikipedia:

Several ecological reserves lie on the flanks of Hualalai. The Pu’u Wa’a Wa’a forest sanctuary was established in 1982 (along with the Laupahoehoe sister reserve on Mauna Kea) as a testbed for long term ecological research about Hawaiian moist forest and dry forest biomes, and lies within a mile of the volcano’s summit on its northwestern flank. Elevation differs from sea level near the coastal edge to 6,300 ft (1,920 m) near the summit. Median annual rainfall is about 48.7 in (123 cm). Plentiful lava flows from the 18th century provide unique niches for vegetative and soil growth in the region. The southern section of the reserve, closest to the summit, has been split into a bird sanctuary.

The Honuaula forest reserve on the southwestern flank of the volcano at 19°30'25"N 155°54'41"W, preserves an extensive koa (Acacia koa) forest stand, with smaller Naio (Myoporum sandwicense) and Mamane (Sophora chrysophylla) trees and an undergrowth of ‘Akala (Rubus hawaiensis) and various ferns. The reserve measures 666 acres (268 ha) and protects an ecosystem that has since been largely deforested in the surrounding area. The Wai Awa spring reserve on the lower slopes of the mountain is somewhat swampy and is home to the flowering evergreen chi’a (Metrodieros polymorpha), the woody climber ‘ia‘ie (Freycinetia arborea), and a dense undergrowth of ‘Ama‘u (Sadlera cyatheoides).

[see http://bit.ly/1gHusvD]

The applicant’s project description portrays the placement of numerous wires below the ground surface connected to instruments powered by automobile batteries, and further says:

... in areas that are heavily vegetated, we may need to clear some vegetation to allow us to weight the entire length of the cables to the ground with sand bags or soil.... The field crew will restore each station site to its original condition, by filling in the electrode holes and the antenna trenches, as they remove the equipment.

... For each area that we expect to survey we will meet with the landowners or land manager to determine whether there are any sensitive areas, for example cultural features, sensitive plant or animal species, that we need to avoid or be particularly cautious about impacting. We can then plan the survey and site layout to avoid those sensitive areas of the property. (Emphasis supplied.)

The August 29, 2014, Permit for Access, Collecting and Research on state lands issued to the applicant for this proposed action included as a requirement the special condition that there will be “an initial site visit with DOFAW staff to the four sites listed above (and any additional needed) to make sure non native and or threatened and endangered plants or animals occur in, or will be affected by the proposed project areas.” While the four specified sites were part of what was omitted in the second
application, the relevance of surveying any additional sites needed in areas affected by the proposed project remains.

The January 26, 2015, comments of the DLNR's Historic Preservation Division refer to "multiple historic properties including burial sites have been recorded" and say that "there is a distinct possibility that historic properties could be impacted by the proposed project. Therefore, we request that the proposed test sites are subject to an archaeological field inspection by a qualified archaeologist...." Despite the applicant's attempt to redraw its exploration map, it is obviously playing with fire in that regard.

The Hualalai environment is replete with sensitive areas, both natural and cultural.

The applicant has admitted in its application that its proposed action will take place in a sensitive environment. Now it has proposed to hopscotch around sensitive locations based upon hearsay obtained by casual interviews. That is not adequate to support the application of the Class 5 exemption that explicitly excludes its applicability in sensitive environments. The bane of most sensitive environments in Hawai'i is the introduction of invasive species and a common source of such harm, as is well-known to portions of the DLNR charged with their control, is the transfer of invasive material by such transient activity as the applicant has proposed. Further, the intrusion upon cultural sites, including burials, is a recognized risk of the exploration activity.

2. Project Segmentation

From the State Office of Environmental Quality Control (OEQC) publication Practice and Implementation of HEPA (2102), § 1.9 Phased Actions, at page 8

Section 11-200-7, HAR, states that "[a] group of actions proposed by an agency or an applicant shall be treated as a single action when:

A. The component actions are phases or increments of a larger total undertaking

B. An individual project is a necessary precedent for a larger project

C. An individual project represents a commitment to a larger project

D. The actions in question are essentially identical and a single statement will adequately address the impacts of each individual action and those of the group of actions as a whole"

A proposed action must be described in its entirety and cannot be broken up into component parts, which if each is taken separately, may have minimal impact on the environment. Segmenting a project in this incremental way to avoid the preparation of an environmental impact statement is forbidden. If a project includes a later phase that cannot be fully described in the current EA because it is only likely to be implemented in the distant future (as opposed to the "reasonably foreseeable future"), the EA should disclose as much detail as possible about the future phase. Should the future phase of such a project eventually be proposed, a new environmental review document will be required at that time.

To comply with HAR 11-200-7, where a smaller actions are associated with a larger project, those actions must be combined to avoid segmentation of environmental review. The Hawai'i Supreme Court has said that the agency receiving the request for approval of the action is responsible for preparation of the environmental assessment and isolating a "particular component of the development for environmental assessment

According to the applicant and news reports, the present Hualalai project is seeking its first exploration permit as part of an overall effort referred to as the “*Geothermal Resources Exploration Plan for Hawaii,*” funded by DLNR and the U.S. Department of Energy.

The proposed project is part of an overall effort referred to as the “*Geothermal Resources Exploration Plan for Hawaii*” being undertaken by the applicant. The project is being supported by funding from both the U.S. Department of Energy and the Department of Land and Natural Resources. The initial focus of the project will be a MT-based assessment of the Hualalai area and is the first exploration permit application being submitted for this project. March 27, 2015, *Application for Geothermal Exploration Permit*

The *Hawaii Geothermal Assessment and Roadmap Report* compiled by the Pacific International Center For High Technology Research (PICHTR) for the University of Hawaii’s Hawaii Natural Energy Institute in January 2013, at page 18, says, “Dr. Thomas’s current project, awarded by U.S. DOE through Recovery Act funding, focuses primarily on non-Invasive resource exploration. The project is also co-funded by the State through DLNR and HNEI” ... and “the project’s industrial partner, Avalor Energy, also a technology partner, will be producing a data visualization software product from the DOE project. All data will be made available through the National Geological database.” Dr. Thomas confirmed that a good future role for UH is to continue exploration research on behalf of the state including possibly drilling more slim holes to gain better data.” The Report later describes (at page 34) Avalor Energy as “interested in geothermal development in Hawaii!” and says it “is currently involved with the DOE-funded project in coordination with Dr. Donald Thomas at UH to conduct geophysical survey work at Mauna Kea summit, Kilauea, the Kilauea East Rift zone, Haleakula, and other sites as funding allows. Previous core samples will be correlated to computational results to validate the modeling techniques that should lead to more robust knowledge about potential developable resources.... Avalor’s key priority at present is securing land position...."

The date of the PIChTR Report (January 2013) suggests that the present proposed action may have changed to some degree from what is described above, but the gist of the above information is valuable still: that is, the initial non-invasive resource exploration has an explicit commercial context for further work to identify resources for geothermal development – and that includes continued exploration with a likely possibility of drilling test holes to gain better data.

A November 19, 2012, commentary in the Honolulu Star-Advertiser [see republication at http://bit.ly/1MBecn3] titled *The Big Island’s west side is where more power is needed* said, “Hawaii Electric Light Co.’s recently launched search for developers to expand geothermal energy production on Hawaii Island emphasizes the potential benefits of locating new production facilities on the west side of the island where population growth is the fastest.*

News reports have said, “Donald Thomas, director of The Center for the Study of Active Volcanoes at UH-Hilo, said the main reason for adding additional sites was to avoid costly environmental assessments that were required on some of the original parcels.” See Hawai‘i Tribune Herald, July 12, 2015, *Land Board approves additional sites for Hualalai geothermal survey* [see http://bit.ly/1LvTXLz].
3. Conclusion

The DLNR permit for geothermal exploration at Hualalai was improvidently granted due to (1) inapplicability of the exemption in view of the location's sensitive environment and (2) improper segmentation of the proposed action to avoid the need for environmental review.

DATED: Petaluma, California, July 19, 2015.
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