COPY

1	LAND USE COMMISSION		
2	STATE OF HAWAI'I		
3	HEARING AND ACTION VOLUME II of II		
4	SP87-362 DEPARTMENT OF)		
5	COUNTY OF HONOLULU - WAIMANALO)		
6	GULCH.		
. 7			
8	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS		
9	_ 		
10	The above-entitled matter came on for a Public Hearing		
1.1	at the Leiopapa A Kamehameha, commencing at 9:46 a.m.		
12	on Thursday, March 7, 2008 pursuant to Notice.		
13			
14			
15	·		
16			
17	REPORTED BY: HOLLY M. HACKETT, RPR, CSR #130		
18	Certified Shorthand Reporter		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

HOLLY M. HACKETT, RPR, CSR P. O. BOX 755 Honolulu, HI 96808 Ph/FAX 538-6458

1	APPEARANCES		
2	COMMISSIONERS: KYLE CHOCK THOMAS CONTRADES LISA M. JUDGE (Chairperson) DUANE KANUHA NORMAND LEZY RANSOM PILTZ NICHOLAS TEVES, JR.		
3			
4			
5			
6			
7		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
8	INTERIM EXECUTIVE OFFICER: RODNEY MAILE, ESQ. CHIEF CLERK: SANDRA MATSUSHIMA STAFF PLANNER: BERT SARUWATARI		
9			
10	DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL:	DIANE ERICKSON, ESQ.	
11	AUDIO TECHNICIAN:	WALTER MENCHING	
12	Docket No. SP87-362 D.E.S. City	and County of Honolulu	
1.3	Deput	TAKEUCHI, ESQ. ty Corporation Counsel	
14			
15	KEN V	EEN HANABUSA, ESQ. WILLIAMS, KOCA	
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

HOLLY M. HACKETT, RPR, CSR
P. O. BOX 755 Honolulu, HI 96808
Ph/FAX 538-6458

25

March 7, 2008

1.6

CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: (Gavel) Good morning.

Today is March 7th, 2008 and this is a meeting of the

Land Use Commission. We are currently discussing

the -- considering amendment to special use permit No.

86-SUP-5 to extend the deadline requiring cessation of

waste acceptance of Waimanalo Gulch Sanitary Landfill.

At this point the commission is in deliberations so we will not be taking any more public testimony. The public testimony portion was closed yesterday. So we will just continue our deliberations on this matter. Commissioner Wong.

COMMISSIONER WONG: Madam Chair, I'd like to move that this commission adopt the recommendations of the Planning Department with the exception that instead of the date of May 1, 2010 that that date be changed to November 1, 2009 which means approximately 18-months extension rather than 2-years extension.

And that in addition that the City give a report to this commission every six months with respect to the actions it's taken to alleviate the further use of the landfill.

COMMISSIONER PILTZ: Second.

CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: We have a motion on the floor for the commission to approve the Planning

Commission's recommendation with the modification 1 that the May 1, 2010 date become May 1, 2009, and a new condition that states that the City will give the 3 Land Use Commission reports every six months with 5 respect to the actions taken to alleviate the further Is that correct? Okay. use of this landfill. 6 sorry, did you say November 1st? 7 COMMISSIONER WONG: November 1. 8 If my calculation is right that should be 18 months. 9 CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: Okay. And that was 10 seconded by Commissioner Piltz. Discussion? 11 Commissioner Piltz. 12 COMMISSIONER PILTZ: Given the discussions 13 that we had yesterday and going with home rule, I feel 14 that is equitable. We need to know what the City's 15 going to do. So we're asking them to come earlier 16 instead of waiting until May 2010. Essentially all 17 we're doing is asking for a report six months earlier. 18 CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: Okay. Thank you. 19 20 Commissioner Lezy. COMMISSIONER LEZY: Chair, I'd like to move 21 that the commission go into executive session to 22 discuss legal issues with our counsel. 23 CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: There's a motion to go 24

into executive session. Is there a second?

25

COMMISSIONER CONTRADES: Second.

CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: Seconded by Commissioner Contrades. All those in favor please raise your hand. We will exit. You guys can all stay here. Thank you. There's fewer of us.

(Executive session 9:50)

CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: We'll go back on the record. We're currently in discussion over the motion made by Commissioner Wong and seconded by Commissioner Piltz. Is there a further discussion? Commissioner Wong.

COMMISSIONER WONG: Madam Chair, the issue before us relates to not just a question of placing waste material on a specific spot. It has a bigger issue dealing with the health and safety of the people of the City and County of Honolulu.

And it has to deal with the rights of the people living in the Leeward area who are subjected to the problems of the landfill. So it's a difficult question to try to balance these different issues.

I'm suggesting to my fellow commissioners
that we find a method to do it. To totally deny the
extension poses major problems for the people of
Honolulu. Then to grant it summarily as the Planning
Department did, raised other questions about what will

happen 2 years from now. As Commissioner Lezy and Commissioner Chock mentioned yesterday, Madam Chair, there's a serious question as to whether or not the City and County of Honolulu has in good faith complied with the order and conditions previously issued under the SUP.

So the question is how can we prevent this kind of thing from occurring. I want to make clear that in this motion where we ask for a status report six months from today and six months thereafter is to point out that this problem is a problem for the City and County of Honolulu government.

That by "government" I'm referring to the City Council as well as the administration. I think we need to make clear that this problem sits at the doorsteps of the City Council and the administration, not just one branch of the City but both branches, both the council and the administration.

And I think we ought to make clear that because that issue sits on the doorsteps of the government, both council and the administration, that they would be accountable in the event that this issue comes back to this commission again because not enough has been done.

I'd like to think that this is perhaps the

last chance for the City government to get together and solve this problem. Yes, they had a blue ribbon committee. But that's wonderful. That's all talk. Now it's time for action. That's what I think we need to do.

Again, by the reporting requirements we're going to find out whether or not the City government has the political will to do something about it. The reporting requirements, and I would like to suggest, Madam Chair, it not be some simple letter from the Deputy Corporation Counsel saying, "Well, we're thinking about rolling out blue bins or green bins or we're going to roll out this, or roll out that."

But it be words of action, "We have done this. We have done that." So time for talking and thinking about what we're going to do is over. I think we need action.

That, Madam Chair, is why I'm proposing that there be reports every six months. And it's my understanding that in the event these reports are inadequate or improper that this commission has the power to issue an order to show cause which has the effect, perhaps, of causing major problems with this SUP.

So in asking for my fellow commissioners'

EXHIBIT K84

support, what we have tried to do is to give the 1 government another shot at it but there's going to be 2 an end. 3 And that the second thing we'd like to do is 4 ask for a report every six months to keep the 5 government, shall we say, keep the feet under the fire 6 so that we know that positive actions are being taken. 7 I believe, therefore, Madam Chair, that this 8 provision, this motion that I'm proposing, covers the 9 concerns that the various commissioners have. We all 10 have different concerns. And I'm trying to find a way 11 that we can come up with something that would work. 12 So, Madam Chair, I urge my fellow 13 commissioners to go along with this motion. 14 CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: Thank you, Commissioner 15 16 Wong. COMMISSIONER PILTZ: Madam Chair? 17 CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: Commissioner Piltz. 18 I accept Commissioner COMMISSIONER PILTZ: 19 I too feel that it is Wong's addition to his motion. 20 an obligation of the City and the County. 21 don't want to see is that two years from now they ask 22 for another extension. 23 Although item No. 12 states that: The 24

200-acre parcel shall be restricted from accepting any

additional waste material and be closed in accordance 1 to an approved closure plan by May 1, 2010 or until 2 approved area reached its permitted capacity." 3 This docket has been on our table since 1987. 4 We really need to put a closure to it. You need to 5 find out what else you need to do. Therefore I accept 6 Commissioner Wong's addition to his motion. 7 Commissioner Piltz, I CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: 8 want to be clear that the motion made by Commissioner 9 Wong is that that date is changed to November 1, 2009. 10 COMMISSIONER PILTZ: That's correct. 11 CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: And at the end it says, 12 "Reaches its capacity, whichever occurs first." 13 COMMISSIONER PILTZ: 14 Correct. CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: Thank you. Commissioner 15 Lezy. 16 COMMISSIONER LEZY: Thank you, Chair. With 17 due respect to Commissioners Wong and Piltz, I 18 continue to believe that the appropriate course of 19 action here for the commission is to deny this 20 application. 21 I don't take this decision lightly, certainly 22 But my principal concern has been and remains 23 that the motion that's pending and the motions that 24

were proposed prior create no binding obligation for

the Department of Environmental Services to meet their obligations under the prior order.

1.9

2.1

And that in allowing this extension, granting this amendment, we're contravening the basic purpose of the 2003 decision and order and I think, more importantly, the prior affirmative representations that were made by the Department of Environmental Services in connection with that previous amendment.

I believe that if we proceed as has been proposed that we will allow an improper status quo to remain.

I think it's clear, it's been by the Applicant that the intent is to return, come back to us and seek further amendment, again, in direct contravention of the prior decision and order which stated explicitly that the entire 200-acre property would cease to accept waste material May 1st of 2008.

Applicant is on record saying they will certainly come back and request further that portions of the property be opened to accept waste. I believe that that is simply inappropriate given the prior representations that were made.

Again, what I consider to be a lack of a good faith effort by the Applicant to adhere to the conditions that required them to look at alternatives

to deal with the stream of municipal waste, because of this I would ask my fellow commissioners as they consider the vote that they will give on this motion to look carefully at what they're doing and where this will end up.

CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: Any other comments, Commissioners? Commissioner Wong.

1.0

COMMISSIONER WONG: Madam Chair, I understand Commissioner Lezy's position. But, on the other hand, I think to totally deny is a draconian action that places the City government in a very precarious position in that the waste still has to be picked up and removed from the streets and put somewheres. And so to totally deny has a very catastrophic effect.

I agree with Commissioner Lezy that the City, the previous administrations and others and previous City Councils have not demonstrated good faith efforts to comply with the order that speaks of the fact that:

The City and County shall, to the extent feasible, use alternative technologies to provide comprehensive, a waste stream management program that includes HPOWER, plasma arc, plasma gasification and recycling technologies.

I would suggest that, hopefully this is the last extension, that with the reporting requirements

every six months that the report will not be a report just issued by the deputy corporation counsel but there be some evidence by the administration and by the City Council that they're on the same page to fix this problem.

1.

1.4

Now, with respect to the concern about continuing, shall we say the special use permit, it would appear to me that at some point there may be a question as to whether or not the special use permit is the proper method such that it could be amended to add additional land area, or whether or not the proper method is for a new district boundary amendment application.

As we all know the district boundary amendment application is a more lengthy and probably more comprehensive process.

So recognizing all of these issues I believe that this motion with the reporting requirements and the -- with the reporting requirements makes it very clear that these issues are at the doorsteps of the City Council and Administration so that hopefully no further extensions of this particular special use permit would be made.

So, therefore, in trying to come up with a solution I urge my fellow commissioners to approve

this motion.

CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: Thank you, Commissioner Wong. Any further comments? Before I call for the question I'd just like to make one comment.

That, again, it's unfortunate that the commission finds itself in this very difficult position, rather untenable position being 60 days, less than 60 days from the promised closure of the landfill and no viable alternatives being presented to us.

We have heard through the record and read the testimony of the citizens of the Leeward Coast and the Ko Olina Resort and their concerns and issues. We have seen the promises of the City and County government.

And it's really hard to weigh all those things against what Commissioner Wong is explaining, the public health and safety issue that faces us with a need for a place to deposit the municipal solid waste.

And I think the question that a lot of the Commissioners are asking is what can we do to not find ourselves back here in the same situation in 2009?

And hopefully the parties have heard these concerns of the commissioners and will take action so that we

don't find ourselves in the same position and that history does not repeat itself. 2 But with that being said, I will turn the 3 microphone over to the interim executive officer and 4 ask him to call for the question -- or take the vote. 5 COMMISSIONER KANUHA: Before you call for the 6 7 question --CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: I'm sorry. Sure. 8 COMMISSIONER KANUHA: Could the executive 9 officer or you, Madam Chair, restate the motion again 10 just so that we're perfectly clear on what we're 11 voting on. 12 CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: I believe the motion 13 that's before, on the floor right now, is to accept 14 the Planning Commission recommendation with the one 15 modification that the date of the May 1st, 2010 be 16 modified to November 1st, 2009. 17 And that there be a new condition added that 18 requires the Applicant to report to the Land Use 19 Commission every six months the actions that it's 20 taking to alleviate further use of the landfill. 21 that correct, Commissioner Wong? 22 COMMISSIONER WONG: That's correct. 23 (Pause) . 24

CHAIRPERSON JUDGE:

25

Is that okay?

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, HOLLY HACKETT, R.P.R., C.S.R. in and for the
4	State of Hawai'i, do hereby certify;
5	That I was acting as shorthand reporter in the
6	foregoing LUC matter on the 7th day of March 2008;
7	That the proceedings were taken down in
8	computerized machine shorthand by me and were
9	thereafter reduced to print by me;
10	That the foregoing represents, to the best
11	of my ability, a correct transcript of the proceedings
12	had in the foregoing matter.
13	I further certify that I am not counsel for any
14	of the parties hereto, nor in any way interested in
15	the outcome of the cause named in the caption.
16	DATED: This 14th day of March 2008
17	
18	Hally In Hackett
19	HOLLY M. HACKETT, R.P.R., C.S.R. #130 Certified Shorthand Reporter
20	Certified Shorthand Reporter
21	
22	-
23	
24	
25	