Of Counsel:

IMANAKA KUDO & FUJIMOTO

A Limited Liability Law Company

BENJAMIN A. KUDO 2262-0 NAOMI U. KUWAYE 6648-0 AUSTIN A. CHING 8546-0

745 Fort Street, 17th Floor Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Telephone: (808) 521-9500 Attorneys for PETITIONER

WAIKOLOA MAUKA, LLC

# BEFORE THE LAND USE COMMISSION

### OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

In the Matter of the Petition of

DOCKET NO. A06-767

WAIKOLOA MAUKA, LLC

To Amend the Agricultural Land Use District Boundaries into the Rural Land Use District for Approximately 731.581 Acres in South Kohala District, Island of Hawaii, Tax Map Key No. (3) 6-8-02:016 (por.)

> WAIKOLOA MAUKA, LLC'S WRITTEN DIRECT TESTIMONY OF BERT R. TOBA

	WRITTEN DIRECT TESTIMONY OF BERT R. TOBA
BA	CKGROUND QUESTIONS
1.	Please state your name and business address for the record.
	Bert R. Toba R.M. Towill Corporation 420 Waiakamilo Road, Suite 411 Honolulu, Hawaii 96817
2.	What is your current occupation?
	I am an Engineer in private practice.
<i>3</i> .	Are you a licensed professional engineer?
	Yes. I am licensed to practice in the State of Hawaii.
<b>4</b> .	Do you specialize in a specific area of engineering?
	Yes. My license is in Civil Engineering.
5.	How long have you been an engineer by profession?
	I have been a practicing engineer for over 32 years.
6.	Could you briefly describe your educational background?
	I received a Bachelor of Science degree from the University of Hawaii in 1974.
<i>7</i> .	Did you provide a copy of your curriculum vitae for purposes of this hearing
	Yes.
8.	Is Petitioner's Exhibit "49" a true and correct copy of your curriculum vitae
	Yes.
9.	How long have you been with R.M. Towill Corporation?
	Six and a half years.

1	<i>10</i> .	What is your curi	ent position with R.M. Towill Corporation?
2 3		I am the Senior V	ice President for the corporation.
4 5	11.	Please describe y	our duties and responsibilities.
6 7		I am responsible f	for the daily operation of the R.M. Towill Corporation.
8 9	12.	What type of work	k do you perform?
10 11 12 13		•	s marketing, quality control, personnel management, in addition company's engineering, planning and surveying projects.
14	13.	Have you served	as a consultant on other residential developments?
15 16		Yes.	
17 18	<i>14</i> .	What were your d	luties as a consultant on these other projects?
19 20 21			structure master planning, infrastructure and site work designs, administration services.
22 23	<i>15</i> .	Have you ever pro	eviously qualified and/or testified as an expert witness?
24 25		Yes.	
26 27	16.	If yes, on how ma	ny occasions have you qualified to testify as an expert?
28 29 30		Seven.	
31 32 33	17.	•	ny occasions have you actually testified under oath as an front of an administrative or judicial body?
34 35		Six:	
36 37 38 39		1. Date: Action:	Mid to late 1970's Land Use Boundary Amendment State Land Use Commission Property located in Kihei, Maui, Hawaii
40 41		Attorney: Client:	Meyer Ueoka (Ueoka & Luna) Tony Hashimoto

## Written Direct Testimony of Bert R. Toba

1	2.	Date:	1991
2		Action:	State Special Use Permit Application
3			Maui Planning Commission
4			Contested Case Hearing
5			Property located in Haiku, Maui Hawaii
6		Hearings Officer:	Stanley Okamoto
7		Client:	Jehovah's Witness, Haiku, Hawaii
8			
9	3.	Date:	1998
10		Action:	Land Use District Boundary Amendment
11			State Land Use Commission
12			Makena Resort
13			Property located in Makena, Maui, Hawaii
14		Attorney:	Eric Maehara (Foley and Maehara)
15		Client:	Makena Resort Corp.
16			
17	4.	Date:	Late 1990's
18		Action:	Special Management Area Permit Application
19			Maui Planning Commission
20			Contested Case Hearing
21			Maui Ocean Resorts
22			Property located in Kaanapali, Lahaina, Maui, Hawaii
23		Hearings Officer:	Joel August
24		Attorney:	B. Martin Luna (Carlsmith Ball, LLP)
25		Client:	AMFAC Properties
26			
27	5.	Date:	August 2004
28		Action:	CIVIL NO. 4-1-0974-05 (VSM)
29			First Circuit Court, State of Hawaii
30			Craig Smallwood, et al. vs. City and County of Honolulu,
31			et al.
32			Pertaining to the Ocean Pointe Retaining Wall and Papipi
33			Road Drainage
34		Attorney:	Randall Ishikawa and Lane Ishida (Oshima Chun Fong &
35			Chung, LLP)
36		Client:	Haseko Hawaii, Inc.
37		_	
38	6.	Date:	March 3, 2005
39		Action:	Land Use District Boundary Amendment
40			State Land Use Commission
41			Maui Business Park, Phase II Incremental Redistricting
42			Property located in Kahului, Maui, Hawaii
43		Attorney:	Benjamin M. Matsubara and Curtis T. Tabata (Matsubara,
44		Cl.	Lee & Kotake)
45		Client:	A&B Properties, Inc.
46			

WAl	WAIKOLOA HIGHLANDS	
18.	Are you familiar with the petition area and the existing characteristics of this area located in the South Kohala District on the island of Hawaii?	
	Yes, I am. The project area is undeveloped and covered with moderate vegetation. The terrain is hilly with several gullies running through the property.	
19.	Are you familiar with Waikoloa Mauka, LLC's ("Petitioner") Waikoloa Highlands ("Project")?	
	Yes, I am. I have been involved with the design of phases 1 and 2 of the proposed subdivision of the property.	
<i>20</i> .	How did you familiarize yourself with the Project?	
	I conducted several site visits and reviewed plans, studies and correspondence from a subdivision project which had been proposed by the Waikoloa Land Company on the property. Waikoloa Land Company was a former owner of the property.	
<u>ANA</u>	LYSIS	
21.	Could you please describe your involvement in the Project?	
	I am the R.M. Towill Corporation principal in charge of the Project. I have planners, surveyors and engineers working on the Project under my overall supervision.	
22.	Did you prepare any reports in conjunction with the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Project?	
	Yes. Those reports include the "Floodplain Limits and Flood Control Plan for the Waikoloa Highlands Subdivision, September 2006"; Drainage Report for the Waikoloa Highlands Subdivision, Phase 1, September 2006"; and "Waikoloa Highlands Water Distribution System, Waikoloa Highlands Subdivision, September 2006".	
<i>23</i> .	Were these reports conducted by you or under your supervision?	
	They were prepared by R.M. Towill Corporation engineers working under my supervision.	

1 2 3	24.	Are Petitioner's Exhibits "50", "51" and "52" true and correct copies of your reports?
4 5		Yes.
6 7	25.	Could you describe the methodology used to conduct your reports of the infrastructure requirements of the Project?
8		
9		For the flood and drainage studies, hydrologic calculations were prepared using
0		the regression equation and a 100-year storm event for drainage basins 100 acres
1		and larger. The rational method and 10-year and 50-year storm events were used
12		for local subdivision drainage with drainage basins of smaller than 100 acres.
13		Hydraulic analyses were then performed. Existing stream floodway limits were
14 15		determined using the U.S. Army Corp of Engineers, Hydrologic Engineering Center - River Analysis System (HEC-RAS) computer program. This program
6		was also used for the sizing of proposed new drainage channels within the project
17		The Haestad Culvert Master computer programs were used to size roadway
8		culverts.
9		
20		Water consumption and fire flow demands were determined in accordance with
21		the Department of Water Supply and West Hawaii Water Company planning and
22 23		design standards. The water distribution pipelines were then sized using the
23		Watercad computer program.
24 25	2.	
26	<i>26</i> .	Is the methodology you employed consistent with generally accepted industry standards?
27		
28		Yes.
29		
30		The methodology used in preparing the flood and drainage studies are consistent
31		with the County of Hawaii Drainage Standards.
32		
33		The methodology used in preparing the water study is consistent with Department
34		of Water Supply and Waikoloa Water Company planning and design standards.
35		
36	<i>27</i> .	Are there any regulatory or advisory bodies that publish guidelines in an
37		attempt to summarize these generally accepted practices?
88		
39		Yes.
10		
1		The U.S. Army Corp of Engineers and County of Hawaii Drainage Standards are
12 13		accepted standards normally followed in preparing drainage studies in the County of Hawaii.
1.4		

1 2 3 4		The West Hawaii Water Company Standards, and the Hawaii County, Department of Water Supply, 2002 Water System Standards, are accepted standards normally used for water system planning and design in the Waikoloa area.
5	28.	If so, is your methodology consistent with these guidelines? If not, why?
7 8		Yes.
9 10	29.	Have you reviewed the plans for the Project site?
11 12		Yes.
13 14 15	30.	Have you reviewed the functional drainage and water distribution plans for the Waikoloa District?
16		Yes. The following were reviewed:
17 18		<ul> <li>County approved drainage studies for the project vicinity, including the "Floodway Limits and Flood Control Plan for the Highlands Golf Estates</li> </ul>
19 20		<ul><li>at Waikoloa, December 1992" report; and</li><li>The "Waikoloa Water Master Plans, February 1991" was reviewed.</li></ul>
21 22 23 24 25	31.	Is the Project consistent with the functional drainage and water distribution plans for the Waikoloa District?
25 26		Yes.
27 28	32.	What level of flood will the drainage infrastructure be capable of withstanding?
29 30 31 32 33		The Project's drainage infrastructure has been designed to safely pass the 100-year off-site storm flows through the Project property. The internal collection system is sized to handle at a minimum, a 10-year storm flow. A map indicating flood boundaries has been included as Exhibit "53".
34 35 36 37	33.	There was previously mention of drainage systems being implemented on a portion of land that was subsequently removed from the petition area. How will this affect the drainage systems for the Project and the area as a whole?
38 39		That portion of land, which is adjacent to the northern limits of the Project, just southeast of the Waikoloa Road/Pua Melia Road intersection, was set aside during
40 41		the early planning phase of the Phase 1 subdivision for a possible drainage storage basin, should one be needed. Later, during the design process, our engineers
42 43		determined that a drainage storage basin would not be needed. Instead, 35 drywells, each with a capacity of 6 cfs could be installed within the street
14 15 16		shoulders to intercept the development runoff and ensure that the 71 cfs of increased runoff that would be generated by the development would not leave the Project site.

#### . What is the proposed system for irrigation and household water use? Potable water service will be provided to each of the lots for both irrigation and household water uses. A map of our water distribution plan has been included as Exhibit "54". . What are the allocations for each type of use? Based on the "Waikoloa Water Master Plan, February 1991" report (Appended to EIS), 1,000 gallons per day (GPD) (including for landscape irrigation) is allotted per lot. Of that total, the "Highlands Golf Estate Landscape Irrigation Water Study, August 2005" by Hawaii Design Associates, Inc. recommends that 600 GPD be allocated for landscape irrigation use. That leaves 400 GPD for household water uses. . In your opinion, are these allocations sufficient, deficient or excessive? It should be sufficient. The Hawaii County Department of Water Supply planning and design standards designates an average daily water consumption rate of 400 GPD for single-family through multi-family uses. The 400 GPD average daily water consumption, if added to the 600 GPD recommended irrigation demand, results in a total average daily water demand of 1,000 GPD for each lot. That total water demand is consistent with the water allocation to be provided to this Project by the "Waikoloa Water Master Plan". . How much water storage capacity will the Project have? The Project will require 0.50 million gallons (MG) of storage, of which, 0.11 MG is provided by an existing water reservoir (1210' service zone). This is consistent with the "Waikoloa Water Master Plan". . Will this be sufficient to support the proposed increase in population that the Project will have on the area? Yes. The required storage capacity will be added (constructed) onto the existing water system. . What is the Project's proposed system for removing liquid and solid waste? The County of Hawaii does not operate a sewer system in the Waikoloa region and the privately owned West Hawaii Sewer Company does not provide sewer service to the Waikoloa Heights Project area. Waikoloa Heights is located outside of West Hawaii Sewer Company's service boundaries.

# Written Direct Testimony of Bert R. Toba

1		A private sewer collection system and treatment plant which is owned and
2		operated by a homeowners association would cost approximately \$16.8 million
3		and involve large operation and maintenance costs for the homeowners.
4		
5		The Project therefore proposes to utilize individual wastewater systems (IWS) on
6		each lot. An IWS includes a septic tank and leaching (absorption) field which
7		will meet the State Department of Health's regulations for individual wastewater
8		systems.
9		
10		The services of a private collection contractor will be utilized for solid waste
11		disposal.
12		
13	<i>40</i> .	Will this be sufficient to meet the Project's needs based on the estimated
14		increase in population?
15		
16		Yes.
17		
18	<i>41</i> .	In your opinion, will the proposed infrastructure improvements be sufficient to
19		support the Project?
20		••
21		Yes.
22		