# Appendix C Sewer

# Criteria

The sewer system criteria for the HHFDC Keahuolu Lands follows the Hawaii County Department of Environmental Managements criteria as shown below:

1. Quantity of Wastewater

Average Sewer Flow = 80 gallons per capita per day School / Park Sewer Flow = 25 gallons per capita per day

Land Use	<u>Densities</u>
Single Family	4 persons per unit
Multi-Family	2.8 persons per unit
Commercial	140 capita per acre
Schools	550 students
Parks	100 capita per 5 acres

- 2. Pipeline sizing:
  - a. Size pipes to convey the design peak flow.
  - b. Minimum size of pipe is 8-inches for mains in roadway areas.
  - c. Minimum velocity in the sewer line flowing full is 2.0 feet per second.
  - d. Maximum velocity in the sewer line flowing full is 10 feet per second.
  - e. Minimum and maximum slopes for sewer lines flowing full:

Pipe Diameter	<u>Minimum Slope</u>	Maximum Slope
8"	0.00444	0.1110
10″	0.00331	0.0827
12″	0.00259	0.0648
15″	0.00192	0.0479
18″	0.00160	0.0377
21″	0.00092	0.0231
24″	0.00077	0.0193
27″	0.00066	0.0165
30″	0.00057	0.0143

- 3. Manhole Spacing:
  - a. 350 feet pipes up to and including 30 inches in diameter in street areas.
  - b. 250 feet pipes up to and including 18 inches in diameter in easement areas.
  - c. 350 feet pipes larger than 18 inches and up to and including 30 inches in diameter in easement areas.

# 4. Drop Manholes:

A drop manhole or shallow drop manhole should be provided where a sewer enters a manhole at a height of 18 inches or more above the manhole invert.

5. Sewer Treatment Plant Capacity:

The sewer treatment plant capacity is based on the design average flow from the development.

Hawaii Housing Finance and Development CorporationJune 12, 2007Keahuolu Affordable Housing Master PlanPrepared by: Belt Collins Hawaii Ltd.

# Sewer Flows - Keahuolu Lands - Concept A

80 gallons/capita per day
25 gallons/capita per day
4 persons/unit
2.8 persons/unit
140 capita/acre
550 students
100 persons/5 acres

Development	Units	capita/unit	capita	sewer flow (gallons/day)	average flow (gallons)
Concept A - LOW			1		() /
Single Family	400	4	1,600	80	128,000
Mult-Family	620	2.8	1,736	80	138,880
Commercial	9.7	140	1,358	80	108,640
Schools	1	550	550	25	13,750
Parks	25.18	100	504	25	12,590
Total			5,748		401,860

Average Wastewater Flow: Maximum Wastewater Flow: Dry Weather Infiltration/Inflow: Design Average Flow: Design Maximum Flow: Wet Weather Infiltration/Inflow: Design Peak Flow: 401,860 gallons/day 1,547,161 gallons/day 28,738 gallons/day **430,598** gallons/day 1,575,899 gallons/day 340,000 gallons/day 1,915,899 gallons/day

(Flow Factor = 3.85)

<-- Capacity Required at Plant

Capacity at the Wastewater Treatment Plant = 431,360 gallons/day Therefore, adequate capacity at the treatment plant.

June 12, 2007 Prepared by: Belt Collins Hawaii Ltd.

Page 2

# Sewer Flows - Keahuolu Lands - Concept B

Average Sewer Flows	80 gallons/capita per day
School / Park Sewer Flows	25 gallons/capita per day
Single Family Residential =	4 persons/unit
Multi-family Residential =	2.8 persons/unit
Commercial =	140 capita/acre
Schools =	550 students
Parks =	100 persons/5 acres

Development	Units	capita/unit	capita	sewer flow (gallons/day)	average flow (gallons)
Concept B - MED					
Single Family	600	4	2,400	80	192,000
Mult-Family	1240	2.8	3,472	80	277,760
Commercial	11.32	140	1,585	80	126,784
Schools	1	550	550	25	13,750
Parks	25.18	100	504	25	12,590
Total			8,510		622,884

Average Wastewater Flow: Maximum Wastewater Flow: Dry Weather Infiltration/Inflow: Design Average Flow: Design Maximum Flow: Wet Weather Infiltration/Inflow: Design Peak Flow: 622,884 gallons/day 2,186,323 gallons/day 42,552 gallons/day **665,436** gallons/day 2,228,875 gallons/day 340,000 gallons/day 2,568,875 gallons/day

(Flow Factor = 3.51)

<-- Capacity Required at Plant

Capacity at the Wastewater Treatment Plant = 431,360 gallons/day Additional Capacity Required at the Treatment Plant = 234,076 g

234,076 gallons/day

June 12, 2007 Prepared by: Belt Collins Hawaii Ltd. Page 3

# Sewer Flows - Keahuolu Lands - Concept C

80 gallons/capita per day
25 gallons/capita per day
4 persons/unit
2.8 persons/unit
140 capita/acre
550 students
100 persons/5 acres

Development	Units	capita/unit	capita	sewer flow (gallons/dav)	average flow (gallons)
Concept C - HIGH			1	(5*****)/	() /
Single Family	0	4	0	80	0
Mult-Family	2330	2.8	6,524	80	521,920
Commercial	11.32	140	1,585	80	126,784
Schools	1	550	550	25	13,750
Parks	25.18	100	504	25	12,590
Total			9,162		675,044

Average Wastewater Flow: Maximum Wastewater Flow: Dry Weather Infiltration/Inflow: Design Average Flow: Design Maximum Flow: Wet Weather Infiltration/Inflow: Design Peak Flow: 675,044 gallons/day 2,315,401 gallons/day 45,812 gallons/day **720,856** gallons/day 2,361,213 gallons/day 340,000 gallons/day 2,701,213 gallons/day

(Flow Factor = 3.43)

<-- Capacity Required at Plant

Capacity at the Wastewater Treatment Plant = 431,360 gallons/day Additional Capacity Required at the Treatment Plant =

289,496 gallons/day

June 12, 2007 Prepared by: Belt Collins Hawaii Ltd.

# Sewer Flows - Queen Liliuokalani Trust (QLT), Phases 2A & 2B

	ay
School / Park Sewer Flows 25 gallons/capita per da	
Single Family Residential = 4 persons/unit	
Multi-family Residential = 2.8 persons/unit	
Commercial = 140 capita/acre	
Schools = 550 students	
Parks = 100 persons/5 acres	

Development	Units	capita/unit	capita	sewer flow	average flow
				(gallons/day)	(gallons)
QLT - Phase 2A					
Single Family	587	4	2,348	80	187,840
Mult-Family	332	2.8	930	80	74,368
Commercial	5.9	140	823	80	65,856
Schools	1.0	550	550	25	13,750
Parks	13.2	100	264	25	6,600
Total			4.915		348.414

Note: Development units referenced from JZMK Partners Preliminary Residential Program, April 25, 2007.

Total Area:	246 acres
Average Wastewater Flow:	348,414 gallons/day
Flow Factor:	5.00 (See note below)
Maximum Wastewater Flow:	1,742,070 gallons/day
Dry Weather Infiltration/Inflow:	24,574 gallons/day
Design Average Flow:	372,988 gallons/day
Design Maximum Flow:	1,766,644 gallons/day
Wet Weather Infiltration/Inflow:	307,500 gallons/day
Design Peak Flow:	2,074,144 gallons/day

	Area	average flow	design peak flow
Development	(acres)	(gallons/day)	(gallons/day)
QLT Phase 2A	218.0	348,414	2,074,144
QLT Phase 2B*	221.5	353,994	2,107,363

\*QLT Phase 2B sewer flows are interpolated from Phase 2A. The Phase 2A area used for interpolating was reduced by 28.0 acres (archaeological preserve) in order to match future densities.

Note: Flow factor of 5.00 used to determine a conservative design peak flow.

June 12, 2007 Prepared by: Belt Collins Hawaii Ltd. Page 2

# Sewer Flows - Villages of Laiopua Existing Village 3 and High School, Proposed Villages 4, 5 and Park

Average Sewer Flows	80 gallons/capita per day
School / Park Sewer Flows	25 gallons/capita per day
Single Family Residential =	4 persons/unit
Multi-family Residential =	2.8 persons/unit
Commercial =	140 capita/acre
Schools =	550 students
Parks =	100 persons/5 acres

Development	Units	capita/unit	capita	sewer flow	average flow
				(gallons/day)	(gallons)
Villages of Laiopua (Existin	g and Prop	osed)			
Single Family	362	4	1,448	80	115,840
Mult-Family	680	2.8	1,904	80	152,320
Commercial	0.00	140	0	80	0
Schools	1	1400	1,400	25	35,000
Parks	5	100	100	25	2,500
Total			4,852		305,660

Note: Development units and flows referenced from Villages of Laiopua Planned Community Sewer System Master Plan, August 1994 by Belt Collins Hawaii.

Total Area:	183.2 acres
Average Wastewater Flow:	305,660 gallons/day
Flow Factor:	5.00 (See note below)
Maximum Wastewater Flow:	1,528,300 gallons/day
Dry Weather Infiltration/Inflow:	24,260 gallons/day
Design Average Flow:	329,920 gallons/day
Design Maximum Flow:	1,552,560 gallons/day
Wet Weather Infiltration/Inflow:	229,000 gallons/day
Design Peak Flow:	1,781,560 gallons/day

Note: Flow factor of 5.00 used to determine a conservative design peak flow.

June 12, 2007 Prepared by: Belt Collins Hawaii Ltd. Page 3

# Sewer Flows - Villages of Laiopua Future Villages 6, 10, 11, Park & Village Center

Average Sewer Flows	80 gallons/capita per day
School / Park Sewer Flows	25 gallons/capita per day
Single Family Peridential -	4 porcons/unit
Single Family Residential =	4 persons/unit
Multi-family Residential =	2.8 persons/unit
Commercial =	140 capita/acre
Schools =	550 students
Parks =	100 persons/5 acres

Development	Units	capita/unit	capita	sewer flow	average flow
				(gallons/day)	(gallons)
Villages of Laiopua (Future)					
Single Family	329	4	1,316	80	105,280
Mult-Family	116	2.8	325	80	25,984
Commercial	14.50	40	580	80	46,400
Parks	4.5	100	90	25	2,250
Total			2,311		179,914

Note: Development units and flows referenced from Villages of Laiopua Planned Community Sewer System Master Plan, August 1994 by Belt Collins Hawaii.

Total Area:	114.2 acres
Average Wastewater Flow:	179,914 gallons/day
Flow Factor:	5.00 (See note below)
Maximum Wastewater Flow:	899,570 gallons/day
Dry Weather Infiltration/Inflow:	11,554 gallons/day
Design Average Flow:	191,468 gallons/day
Design Maximum Flow:	911,124 gallons/day
Wet Weather Infiltration/Inflow:	142,750 gallons/day
Design Peak Flow:	1,053,874 gallons/day

Note: Flow factor of 5.00 used to determine a conservative design peak flow.

June 12, 2007 Prepared by: Belt Collins Hawaii Ltd. Page 4

# Miscellaneous Sewer Design Flows

	Design	
	Peak	
	Flow	
Development	(mgd)	Reference
Kealakehe/DHHL	1.987	Villages of Laiopua Planned Community, Sewer System Master Plan, August 1994 by Belt Collins Hawaii.
Queen Liliuokalani Village (QLV)	0.360	Department of Environmental Management
DHHL-Keahuolu	1.290	Villages of Laiopua Planned Community, Sewer System Master Plan, August 1994 by Belt Collins Hawaii. Makalapua Development Sewer System Master Plan, February 1994 (Revised August 1994) by Belt Collins Hawaii.

Note: Design Peak Flows are those shown on the Off-Site Sewer System Figures.

# HHFDC Keahuolu Lands Off-Site Sewer System Costs

# SEWER SYSTEM - Through QLT (Concept A)

Item	Estimated			
No.	Quantity	Description	Unit Price	Total
Sewer S	<u>ystem</u>			
1- 1	19,300	Cu. Yds., Unclassified Trench Excavation	\$ 150.00	\$ 2,895,000
1-2	1,700	Lin. Ft., 8-inch PVC sewer pipe (ave. 6' cover)	\$ 23.00	\$ 39,100
1-3	2,340	Lin. Ft., 12-inch PVC sewer pipe (ave. 8' cover)	\$ 37.00	\$ 86,580
1-4	3,320	Lin. Ft., 15-inch PVC sewer pipe, (ave. 9' cover)	\$ 47.00	\$ 156,040
1- 5	6,850	Lin. Ft., 24-inch PVC sewer pipe (ave. 8' cover)	\$ 110.00	\$ 753,500
1- 6	45	Each, Sewer manhole, PVC lined (6-12' deep)	\$ 11,000.00	\$ 495,000
1- 7	5	Each, Shallow drop sewer manhole, PVC lined (10' to 13' deep)	\$ 18,000.00	\$ 90,000
1- 8	2	Each, Deep drop sewer manhole, PVC lined (13' to 16')	\$ 26,000.00	\$ 52,000
1-9	1	Each, Connect to existing sewer lines	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 3,000
1- 10	1	Each, Connect to existing sewer manholes	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 4,000
1- 11	1	Lump Sum, Sewer line testing	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 30,000
1- 12	1	Lump Sum, Traffic Control	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000
		Subtotal for Sewer System - Through QLT		\$ 4,624,220
		Contingency (20%)		\$ 924,844
		Subtotal		\$ 5,549,064
		Design and construction Services (15%)		\$ 832,360
		Total		\$ 6,381,424
		SAY		\$ 6,381,000

# HHFDC Keahuolu Lands Off-Site Sewer System Costs

# SEWER SYSTEM - Through QLT (Concepts B and C)

Item	Estimated			
No.	Quantity	Description	Unit Price	Total
Sewer S	<u>ystem</u>			
1- 1	21,000	Cu. Yds., Unclassified Trench Excavation	\$ 150.00	\$ 3,150,000
1-2	1,700	Lin. Ft., 8-inch PVC sewer pipe (ave. 6' cover)	\$ 23.00	\$ 39,100
1-3	1,000	Lin. Ft., 12-inch PVC sewer pipe (ave. 8' cover)	\$ 37.00	\$ 37,000
1-4	4,660	Lin. Ft., 15-inch PVC sewer pipe, (ave. 9' cover)	\$ 47.00	\$ 219,020
1- 5	3,630	Lin. Ft., 24-inch PVC sewer pipe (ave. 8' cover)	\$ 110.00	\$ 399,300
1- 6	3,220	Lin. Ft., 30-inch HDPE sewer pipe, (ave. 8' cover)	\$ 90.00	\$ 289,800
1- 7	45	Each, Sewer manhole, PVC lined (6-12' deep)	\$ 11,000.00	\$ 495,000
1- 8	5	Each, Shallow drop sewer manhole, PVC lined (10' to 13' deep)	\$ 18,000.00	\$ 90,000
1-9	2	Each, Deep drop sewer manhole, PVC lined (13' to 16')	\$ 26,000.00	\$ 52,000
1- 10	1	Each, Connect to existing sewer lines	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 3,000
1- 11	1	Each, Connect to existing sewer manholes	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 4,000
1- 12	1	Lump Sum, Sewer line testing	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 30,000
1- 13	1	Lump Sum, Traffic Control	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000
		Subtotal for Sewer System - Through QLT		\$ 4,828,220
		Contingency (20%)		\$ 965,644
		Subtotal		\$ 5,793,864
		Design and construction Services (15%)		\$ 869,080
		Total		\$ 6,662,944
		SAY		\$ 6,663,000

# HHFDC Keahuolu Lands Off- Site Sewer System Costs

# SEWER SYSTEM - Through Laiopua (Concept A)

Item	Estimated					
No.	Quantity	Description		Unit Price		Total
SowerS	votom throug	the leippus only				
<u>3ewer 3</u> 1- 1	19 330	Cu. Vds. Unclassified Trench Excavation	¢	150.00	¢	2 800 500
1- 1 1- 2	8 255	Lin Et 21.inch P\/C sewer nine (ave 10' cover)	φ ¢	85.00	φ Φ	2,099,000
1-3	2 470	Lin, Ft. 30-inch HDPE sewer nine (ave. 8' cover)	Ψ ¢	90.00	Ψ ¢	222 300
1-4	2,470	Each Sewer manhole PVC lined (ave 9' deen)	Ψ Ψ	11 000 00	Ψ Φ	242,000
1-6	2	Each, Deep drop sewer manhole, PVC lined (14' deep)	Ψ ¢	19,000.00	Ψ ¢	242,000
1-7	7	Each, Deep drop sewer manhole, PVC lined (20 - 25' deep)	Ψ ¢	25,000.00	Ψ \$	175 000
1-8	1	Each, Connect to existing sewer lines	\$	3,000,00	\$	3 000
1-9	1	Each, Connect to existing sewer manholes	\$	4 000 00	\$	4 000
1- 10	1	Lump Sum. Sewer line testing	\$	30,000,00	\$	30,000
1- 11	1	Lump Sum, Traffic Control	\$	20,000.00	\$	20,000
		Subtotal for Laiopua sewer only			\$_	4,335,475
		Contingency (20%)			\$	867.095
		Subtotal			\$	5,202,570
		Design and construction Services (15%)			\$	780,386
		Total			\$	5,982,956
		SAY			\$	5,983,000
_						
Sewer th	hrough QLT c	only				
2-1	13,400	Cu. Yds., Unclassified Trench Excavation	\$	150.00	\$	2,010,000
2-2	1,700	Lin. Ft., 8-inch PVC sewer pipe (ave. 6' cover)	\$	23.00	\$	39,100
2-3	2,340	Lin. Ft., 12-inch PVC sewer pipe (ave. 8' cover)	\$	37.00	\$	86,580
2-4	1,700	Lin. Ft., 15-inch PVC sewer pipe, (ave. 9' cover)	\$ ¢	47.00	\$	79,900
2-5	2,450	Lin. Ft., 18-inch PVC sewer pipe, (ave. 9 cover)	ን ድ	60.00	¢	147,000
2-0	2,290	Each Sower manhole DVC lined (ave. 10 cover)	ф Ф	11 000 00	¢ D	251,900
2- 7 2- 8	8	Each, Shallow drop sewer manhole, PVC lined (10' to 13' deep)	ъ \$	17,000.00	э \$	136,000
		Subtotal for QLT sewer only			\$	3,113,480
		Contingency (20%)			\$	622,696
		Subtotal			\$	3,736,176
		Design and construction Services (15%)			\$	560,426
		Total			\$	4,296,602
		SAY			\$	4,297,000
		TOTAL FOR SEWER SYSTEM - THROUGH LAIOPUA				10,280,000

# HHFDC Keahuolu Lands Off- Site Sewer System Costs

# SEWER SYSTEM - Through Laiopua (Concepts B and C)

Item	Estimated					
No.	Quantity	Description		Unit Price		Total
Sower S	votom throug	the lease only				
<u>3ewer 3</u>	10 330	<u>Cu Vda Unalessified Transh Evenyation</u>	¢	150.00	¢	2 900 500
1-1	19,330	Lin Et. 21 inch DVC cover pipe. (ave. 10' cover)	<b>Э</b>	150.00	\$ ¢	2,899,500
1-2	0,200	Lin. Ft., 21-inch PVC sewer pipe, (ave. 10 cover)	\$ ¢	85.00	\$ ¢	701,675
1-3	2,470	Lin. Fl., 30-Inch HDPE sewer pipe, (ave. 8 cover)	\$	90.00	\$	222,300
1-4	22	Each, Sewei mannole, PVC lined (ave 9 deep)	\$	11,000.00	\$	242,000
1-6	2	Each, Deep drop sewer manhole, PVC lined (14 deep)	\$	19,000.00	\$	38,000
1-7	1	Each, Deep drop sewer mannole, PVC lined (20 - 25' deep)	\$	25,000.00	\$	175,000
1-8	1	Each, Connect to existing sewer lines	\$	3,000.00	\$	3,000
1-9	1	Each, Connect to existing sewer mannoles	\$	4,000.00	\$	4,000
1-10	1	Lump Sum, Sewer line testing	\$	30,000.00	\$	30,000
1- 11	1	Lump Sum, Traffic Control	\$	20,000.00	\$	20,000
		Subtotal for Laiopua sewer only			\$	4,335,475
		Contingency (20%)			\$	867.095
		Subtotal			ŝ	5 202 570
		Design and construction Services (15%)			ŝ	780 386
		Total			ŝ	5 982 956
		SAY			\$	5,983,000
Sewer th	rough QLT c	only				
2-1	14,500	Cu. Yds., Unclassified Trench Excavation	\$	150.00	\$	2,175,000
2-2	1,700	Lin. Ft., 8-inch PVC sewer pipe (ave. 6' cover)	\$	23.00	\$	39,100
2-3	1,000	Lin. Ft., 12-inch PVC sewer pipe (ave. 8' cover)	\$	37.00	\$	37,000
2-4	3,040	Lin. Ft., 15-inch PVC sewer pipe, (ave. 9' cover)	\$	47.00	\$	142,880
2-5	2,450	Lin. Ft., 18-inch PVC sewer pipe, (ave. 10' cover)	\$	60.00	\$	147,000
2-5	2,290	Lin. Ft., 24-inch PVC sewer pipe, (ave. 10' cover)	\$	110.00	\$	251,900
2-6	33	Each, Sewer manhole, PVC lined (ave 9' deep)	\$	11,000.00	\$	363,000
2- 7	8	Each, Shallow drop sewer manhole, PVC lined (10' to 13' deep)	\$	17,000.00	\$	136,000
		Subtotal for QLT sewer only			\$	3,291,880
		Contingency (20%)			\$	658 376
		Subtotal			\$	3.950.256
		Design and construction Services (15%)			ŝ	592,538
		Total			ŝ	4 542 794
		SAY			\$	4,543,000
		TOTAL FOR SEWER SYSTEM - THROUGH LAIOPUA				10,526,000

# Appendix D Solid Waste Management Plan

# SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN

# FOR THE

# **KEAHUOLU AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROJECT**

**Prepared for** 

Hawaii Housing Finance & Development Corporation 677 Queen Street, Suite 300 Honolulu, Hawai`i 96813

Prepared by

BELT COLLINS HAWAII LTD. 2153 North King Street, Suite 200 Honolulu, Hawai'i 96819

This work was prepared by me or under my supervision. Expiration Date of License: April 30, 2008

December 2007

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION		
SOLID WASTE GENERATION		
CONSTRUCTION PHASE	6	
OCCUPANCY PHASE	10	
SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT	14	
CONSTRUCTION PHASE	14	
OCCUPANCY PHASE	17	
SUMMARY	20	
References		
Appendix A – Calculations		

# LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1-1A: PRELIMINARY DEVELOPMENT PLAN – CONCEPT A	1
TABLE 1-1B: PRELIMINARY DEVELOPMENT PLAN – CONCEPT B	1
TABLE 1-1C: PRELIMINARY DEVELOPMENT PLAN – CONCEPT C	2
TABLE 1-2: ALTERNATIVE DEVELOPMENT PLAN CONCEPTS	2
TABLE 2-1: BUILDING FLOOR AREA	6
TABLE 2-2: CONSTRUCTION WASTE GENERATION PROJECTIONS	7
TABLE 2-3: CONSTRUCTION WASTE COMPOSITION	7
TABLE 2-4A: CONSTRUCTION WASTE COMPOSITION – CONCEPT A	8
TABLE 2-4B: CONSTRUCTION WASTE COMPOSITION – CONCEPT B	8
TABLE 2-4C: CONSTRUCTION WASTE COMPOSITION – CONCEPT C	9
TABLE 2-5A: POPULATIONS AND WASTE GENERATION RATES – CONCEPT A	10
TABLE 2-5B: POPULATIONS AND WASTE GENERATION RATES – CONCEPT B	11
TABLE 2-5C: POPULATIONS AND WASTE GENERATION RATES – CONCEPT C	11
TABLE 2-6: OCCUPANCY WASTE COMPOSITION	12

# LIST OF TABLES (CONTINUED)

TABLE 2-7A: OCCUPANCY WASTE COMPOSITION – CONCEPT A	13
TABLE 2-7B: OCCUPANCY WASTE COMPOSITION – CONCEPT B	13
TABLE 2-7C: OCCUPANCY WASTE COMPOSITION – CONCEPT C	14
TABLE 3-1: WASTE COMPOSITION DIVERTED OR RECYCLED CONSTRUCTION WASTE	15
TABLE 3-2A: DIVERTED OR RECYCLED CONSTRUCTION WASTE COMPOSITION – CONCEPT A	15
TABLE 3-2B: DIVERTED OR RECYCLED CONSTRUCTION WASTE COMPOSITION – CONCEPT B	16
TABLE 3-2C: DIVERTED OR RECYCLED CONSTRUCTION WASTE COMPOSITION – CONCEPT C	16
TABLE 3-3: WASTE COMPOSITION DIVERTED OR RECYCLED OCCUPANCY WASTE	17
TABLE 3-4A: DIVERTED OR RECYCLED OCCUPANCY WASTE COMPOSITION – CONCEPT A	18
TABLE 3-4B: DIVERTED OR RECYCLED OCCUPANCY WASTE COMPOSITION – CONCEPT B	18
TABLE 3-4C: DIVERTED OR RECYCLED OCCUPANCY WASTE COMPOSITION – CONCEPT C	19
TABLE 4-1A: SUMMARY OF WASTE DIVERTED AND LANDFILLED – CONCEPT A	20
TABLE 4-1B: SUMMARY OF WASTE DIVERTED AND LANDFILLED – CONCEPT B	21
TABLE 4-1C: SUMMARY OF WASTE DIVERTED AND LANDFILLED – CONCEPT C	22
TABLE 4-2: KEAHUOLU AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROJECT WASTE GENERATION IMPACT ON WEST HAWAI`I LANDFILL	23

# LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1: PROJECT LOCATION MAP	3
FIGURE 2: CONCEPTUAL SITE PLAN	4
FIGURE 3: PUBLIC FACILITIES – LANDFILLS / TRANSFER STATIONS	5

# INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this Solid Waste Management Plan (SWMP) is to develop a plan for the management of solid waste generated during the construction and occupancy of the Keahuolu Affordable Housing project.

The Keahuolu Affordable Housing project would be located on approximately 272 acres of land located at Tax Map Key: (3) 7-4-021:20 in North Kona, Hawai'i. (Figure 1 – Location Map). Nearby developments include the Kealakehe High School, Department of Hawaiian Home Lands Village 3 and the existing Kealakehe community. (Figure 2 – Area Map)

The proposed Keahuolu Affordable Housing project is a master planned community of 1,020 to 2,330 dwelling units (single-family and multi-family residences), 197,000 square feet of commercial/retail space, 11.82 acres of school facilities (550 students and 70 faculty and staff with 8,700 square feet of buildings), neighborhood parks, archaeological preserves, landscape buffers, open space and associated infrastructure.

Three preliminary development plan concepts with varying dwelling unit densities and the projected timeline are summarized in Tables 1-1A, 1-1B and 1-1C.

-				
	Land Use			
Year	Residential Units Commercial/Retail		School	
	(multifamily / single family)	(SF)	(SF)	
2010	200 / 100			
2011	200 / 100			
2012	200 / 100			
2013	20 / 100		8,700	
2014				
2015				
2016				
2017				
2018		100,000		
2019				
2020		97,000		
Total	1,020	197,000	8,700	

# TABLE 1-1A: PRELIMINARY DEVELOPMENT PLAN - CONCEPT A

# TABLE 1-1B: PRELIMINARY DEVELOPMENT PLAN CONCEPT B

	Land Use				
Year	Residential Units (multifamily / single family)	Commercial/Retail (SF)	School (SF)		
2010	200 / 100				
2011	200 / 100				
2012	200 / 100				
2013	200 / 100		8,700		
2014	200 / 100				
2015	200 / 100				
2016	40 / 0				
2017					
2018		100,000			
2019					
2020		97,000			
Total	1,840	197,000	8,700		

	Land Use			
Year	Residential Units (multifamily)	Commercial/Retail (SF)	School (SF)	
2010	300			
2011	300			
2012	300			
2013	300		8,700	
2014	300			
2015	300			
2016	300			
2017	230			
2018		100,000		
2019				
2020		97,000		
Total	2,330	197,000	8,700	

TABLE 1-1C: PR	RELIMINARY DEVELOPMEN	T PLAN – CONCEPT C
----------------	-----------------------	--------------------

The three concepts include a variety of high and medium density multifamily units and low density singlefamily units. The residential units would be located on approximately 162 acres in all three development concepts. Table 1-2 provides a breakdown of the units and densities.

	Alternative Concepts			
	Α	В	С	
Residential Units				
High density – multifamily	400	800	800	
Medium density - multifamily	220	440	1,530	
Low density – single-family	400	600	0	
Total	1,020	1,840	2,330	
Density (dwelling units per				
acre)				
High density – multifamily	12	24	24	
Medium density – multifamily	8	16	12	
Low density – single-family	4	6	n/a	
Commercial/retail	197,000 SF	197,000 SF	197,000 SF	
School	8,700 SF	8,700 SF	8,700 SF	

 TABLE 1-2: ALTERNATIVE DEVELOPMENT PLAN CONCEPTS





Figure 1 LOCATION MAP

Keahuolu Affordable Housing Solid Waste Management Plan December 2007





Figure 2 AREA MAP

Keahuolu Affordable Housing Solid Waste Management Plan December 2007

#### KEAHUOLU AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROJECT

This report addresses reduction and recycling of solid wastes generated during the Keahuolu Affordable Housing project construction and occupancy. Occupancy waste collection would involve a centralized system, likely provided by one or more private contractors, where recyclables and wastes from residences, commercial/retail buildings and the school would be collected and taken directly to recycling centers or other licensed solid waste facilities. Waste that is not recycled would be taken directly to the closest landfill, which is the West Hawai'i Landfill in Pu'uanahulu, managed by Waste Management of Hawai'i, Inc. The shortest driving distance from the proposed development to the West Hawai'i Landfill is approximately 22 miles (See Figure 3 – Public Facilities - Landfills / Transfer Stations).



FIGURE 3: PUBLIC FACILITIES – LANDFILLS / TRANSFER STATIONS<sup>1</sup>

Notes:

1. Referenced from the 2002 Updated Integrated Solid Waste Management Plan for the County of Hawai'i.

Arrangements for construction waste recycling and disposal would be formalized once the planned development's construction scheduled is finalized. Likewise, arrangements for operations waste recycling and disposal would be formalized when the planned development is constructed and occupied.

# SOLID WASTE GENERATION

According to the Hawai'i County Code, solid waste (also known as rubbish) is defined as "any rejected material including paper and cardboard cartons, straw, excelsior, rags, clothes, shoes....and any other material of similar character". If not properly managed, solid waste can have serious negative effects on the environment which could potentially lead to various public health problems. The County of Hawai'i therefore requires solid waste to be removed from any building or premise and disposed of at an approved solid waste disposal facility.

Quantities of solid waste were estimated for both construction and occupancy phases of the planned development. The "construction phase" of development is anticipated to begin in 2008 and completed in 2020. The "occupancy phase" of development refers to the time at which all facilities have been constructed and are open for use. The construction and occupancy phases are expected to overlap, as construction of later portions of the Keahuolu Affordable Housing project would continue while earlier portions are completed and occupied.

# **CONSTRUCTION PHASE**

The construction of the proposed project is anticipated to start in 2008 and continue for 12 years until 2020. Projected building floor areas were used to estimate the amount of solid waste generated during construction (Tables 2-1 and 2-2). A range of 3.0 to 5.2 pounds (lbs) of construction waste per square foot (ft<sup>2</sup>) of building floor area was used to estimate the amount of solid waste generated by construction activities.

Building	Area (ft²)
Multifamily	400 – 1,500 Use Average: 1,000
Single-family	1,000 – 2,000 Use Average: 1,500
Commercial/retail	197,000
School	8,700

# TABLE 2-1: BUILDING FLOOR AREA

Veer	Construction Waste (tons/year)			
rear	Concept A Concept B		Concept C	
2008	525 – 910	525 – 910	450 – 780	
2009	1,050 – 1,820	1,050 - 1,820	900 – 1,560	
2010	1,050 – 1,820	1,050 - 1,820	900 – 1,560	
2011	658 – 1,141	1,063 – 1,843	913 – 1,583	
2012	358 – 621	1,063 – 1,843	913 – 1,583	
2013	0	1,050 – 1,820	900 – 1,560	
2014	0	585 – 1,014	900 – 1,560	
2015	0	60 - 104	795 – 1,378	
2016	150 – 260	150 – 260	495 – 858	
2017	150 – 260	150 – 260	150 – 260	
2018	146 – 252	146 – 252	146 – 252	
2019	146 – 252	146 – 252	146 – 252	
2020	0	0	0	

 TABLE 2-2: CONSTRUCTION WASTE GENERATION PROJECTIONS

#### Note:

1. All calculations are based on (3.0  $lbs/ft^2$ ) x (area of building) x (number of buildings constructed/year) to (5.2  $lbs/ft^2$ ) x (area of building) x (number of buildings constructed/year).

2. Pounds were multiplied by  $5 \times 10^{-4}$  (or 1/2000) to convert to tons.

3. See Appendix A for supporting calculations.

Shown below in Table 2-3 is an estimate of the components of construction waste based upon its typical composition. Tables 2-4A, 2-4B and 2-4C are estimates of the construction waste for the development plan concepts, the composition of construction waste is an estimate as the waste composition would vary according to the material selected for construction (See Appendix A for supporting calculations).

Wasta Tuna	Percent of	100 Percent
waste Type	of Total Waste <sup>1</sup>	of Total Waste <sup>2</sup>
Wood	40.4-43.3	41.9
Drywall	23.1-33.3	28.2
Cardboard	3.3-9.6	6.5
Metal	0.7-2.5	1.6
Other <sup>4</sup>	16.7-25.0	21.9
Total	84.2-113.7	100

# TABLE 2-3: CONSTRUCTION WASTE COMPOSITION

#### Notes:

1. HABiT, 2000.

2. Converted "Percent of Total Waste" to 100 percent by taking the average of the range.

3. Calculations based on annual waste generated. See Appendix A for supporting calculations.

4. Composed of plastics, shingles, ceramic, etc.

Voar	Construction Waste Type (tons/year)					
i cai	Wood	Drywall	Cardboard	Metal	Other <sup>1</sup>	Total
2008	220 - 381	148 - 257	34 - 59	8 - 15	115 - 199	525 – 910
2009	440 - 763	296 - 513	68 - 118	17 - 29	230 - 399	1,050 – 1,820
2010	440 - 763	296 - 513	68 - 118	17 - 29	230 - 399	1,050 – 1,820
2011	276 - 478	186 - 322	43 - 74	11 - 18	144 - 250	658 – 1,141
2012	150 - 260	101 - 175	23 - 40	6 - 10	78 - 136	358 – 621
2013	0	0	0	0	0	0
2014	0	0	0	0	0	0
2015	0	0	0	0	0	0
2016	63 - 109	42 - 73	10 - 17	2 - 4	33 - 57	150 – 260
2017	63 - 109	42 - 73	10 - 17	2 - 4	33 - 57	150 – 260
2018	61 - 106	41 - 71	9 - 16	2 - 4	32 - 55	146 - 252
2019	61 - 106	41 - 71	9 - 16	2 - 4	32 - 55	146 - 252
2020	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 2-4A: CONSTRU	CTION WASTE COMPOSIT	ION - CONCEPT A
---------------------	----------------------	-----------------

TABLE 2-4B: CONSTRUCTION WASTE COMPOSITION - CONCEPT B

Voor	Construction Waste Type (tons/year)									
Tear	Wood	Drywall	Cardboard	Metal	Other <sup>1</sup>	Total				
2008	220 - 381	148 - 257	34 - 59	8 - 15	115 - 199	525 – 910				
2009	440 - 763	296 - 513	68 - 118	17 - 29	230 - 399	1,050 - 1,820				
2010	440 - 763	296 - 513	68 - 118	17 - 29	230 - 399	1,050 - 1,820				
2011	445 - 772	300 - 520	69 - 120	17 - 29	233 - 404	1,063 – 1,843				
2012	445 - 772	300 - 520	69 - 120	17 - 29	233 - 404	1,063 – 1,843				
2013	440 - 763	296 - 513	68 - 118	17 - 29	230 - 399	1,050 – 1,820				
2014	245 - 425	165 - 286	38 - 66	9 - 16	28 – 222	585 – 1,014				
2015	25 - 44	17 - 29	4 - 7	1 - 2	13 - 23	60 - 104				
2016	63 - 109	42 - 73	10 - 17	2 - 4	33 - 57	150 – 260				
2017	63 - 109	42 - 73	10 - 17	2 - 4	33 - 57	150 – 260				
2018	61 - 106	41 - 71	9 - 16	2 - 4	32 - 55	146 - 252				
2019	61 - 106	41 - 71	9 - 16	2 - 4	32 - 55	146 - 252				
2020	0	0	0	0	0	0				

Notes: 1. Composed of plastics, shingles, ceramic, etc. 2 See Appendix A for supporting calculations.

Voar	Construction Waste Type (tons/year)						
i eai	Wood	Drywall	Cardboard	Metal	Other <sup>1</sup>	Total	
2008	189 - 327	127 - 220	29 - 51	7 - 12	99 - 71	450 - 780	
2009	377 - 654	254 - 440	59 - 101	14 - 25	197 - 342	900 - 1,560	
2010	377 - 654	254 - 440	59 - 101	14 - 25	197 - 342	900 - 1,560	
2011	383 - 663	257 - 446	59 - 103	15 - 25	200 - 347	913 – 1,583	
2012	383 - 663	257 - 446	59 - 103	15 - 25	200 - 347	913 – 1,583	
2013	377 - 654	254 - 440	59 - 101	14 - 25	197 - 342	900 - 1,560	
2014	377 - 654	254 - 440	59 - 101	14 - 25	197 - 342	900 - 1,560	
2015	333 - 577	224 - 389	52 - 90	13 - 22	174 - 302	795 – 1,378	
2016	207 - 360	140 - 242	32 - 56	8 - 14	108 – 188	495 - 858	
2017	63 - 109	42 - 73	10 - 17	2 - 4	33 - 57	150 – 260	
2018	61 - 106	41 - 71	9 - 16	2 - 4	32 - 55	146 - 252	
2019	61 - 106	41 - 71	9 - 16	2 - 4	32 - 55	146 - 252	
2020	0	0	0	0	0	0	

TABLE 2-4C: CONSTRUCTION WASTE COMPOSITION - C	CONCEPT C
--	-----------

Notes: 1. Composed of plastics, shingles, ceramic, etc. 2 See Appendix A for supporting calculations.

# **OCCUPANCY PHASE**

The Keahuolu Affordable Housing project is anticipated to begin its occupancy phase in 2010 and increase continuously until full occupancy is achieved in 2020. During the occupancy phase, solid waste quantities are a function of population. Therefore, the population during the occupancy phase was estimated based upon the following considerations:

- An average of 4 persons per single family unit.
- An average of 2.8 persons per multifamily unit
- 620 students, faculty and staff at the school facility
  - Students, faculty and staff are at the school only 42% of the day
     Daily population = 260 persons
- 197,000 square feet of commercial / retail
  - o 30 to 60 square feet / person for stores (per 1997 Uniform Building Code)
  - 100 square feet / person for office (per 1997 Uniform Building Code)
  - Use 72 square feet / person with 42% occupancy during the day
  - 100,000 square feet daily population = 583 persons
  - 97,000 square feet daily population = 566 persons
- Solid waste generation rate of 6.2 pounds per person per day.

Shown below in Tables 2-5A, 2-5B or 2-5C are population estimates as well as the anticipated amount of solid waste generated during the operations phase for development concepts A, B or C, respectively.

Year	Average Daily Population	Daily Waste Generated (Ibs/day) <sup>1</sup>	Annual Waste Generated (tons/year) <sup>2</sup>
2010	960	5,952	1,086
2011	1,920	11,904	2,172
2012	2,880	17,856	3,259
2013	3,596	22,295	4,069
2014	3,596	22,295	4,069
2015	3,596	22,295	4,069
2016	3,596	22,295	4,069
2017	3,596	22,295	4,069
2018	4,180	25,916	4,730
2019	4,180	25,916	4,730
2020 and Beyond	4,746	29,425	5,370

TABLE 2-5A: POPULATIONS AND WASTE GENERATION RATES - CONCEPT A

Notes:

1. Calculation based on (6.2 lbs./person/day) x (total average per day population). See Appendix A for supporting calculations.

2. Calculation based on (daily waste generation) x (365 days) x (1/2000 tons/lb.). See Appendix A for supporting calculations.

Year	Average Daily Population	Daily Waste Generated (Ibs/day) <sup>1</sup>	Annual Waste Generated (tons/year) <sup>2</sup>
2010	960	5,952	1,086
2011	1,920	11,904	2,172
2012	2,880	17,856	3,259
2013	4,100	25,420	4,639
2014	5,060	31,372	5,725
2015	6,020	37,324	6,812
2016	6,132	38,018	6,938
2017	6,132	38,018	6,938
2018	6,716	41,639	7,599
2019	6,716	41,639	7,599
2020 and Beyond	7,282	45,148	8,240

TABLE 2-5B: POPULATIONS AND WASTE GENERATION RATES - CONCEPT B

#### TABLE 2-5C: POPULATIONS AND WASTE GENERATION RATES - CONCEPT C

Year	Average Daily Population	Daily Waste Generated (Ibs/day) <sup>1</sup>	Annual Waste Generated (tons/year) <sup>2</sup>
2010	840	5,208	950
2011	1,680	10,416	1,901
2012	2,520	15,624	2,851
2013	3,620	22,444	4,096
2014	4,460	27,652	5,046
2015	5,300	32,860	5,997
2016	6,140	38,068	6,947
2017	6,784	42,061	7,676
2018	7,368	45,682	8,337
2019	7,368	45,682	8,337
2020 and Beyond	7,934	49,191	8,977

<u>Notes</u>: 1. Calculation based on (6.2 lbs./person/day) x (total average per day population). See Appendix A for supporting calculations.

2. Calculation based on (daily waste generation) x (365 days) x (1/2000 tons/lb.). See Appendix A for supporting calculations.

#### KEAHUOLU AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROJECT

The composition of wastes generated during the occupancy phase of the Keahuolu Affordable Housing project is based upon the 1993 Hawai'i Integrated Solid Waste Management Plan as well as a 2003 Oahu Waste Composition Study. Table 2-6 includes waste composition proportions and Tables 2-7A, 2-7B or 2-7C provides a yearly breakdown of the waste composition anticipated at the Keahuolu project for development concepts A, B or C, respectively. Management of these wastes is discussed in the following section.

Waste Type	Percent of Total Waste <sup>1</sup>
Paper	16.7
Yard	
Waste	12.5
Food	
Waste	8.4
Plastic	4.4
Other	
Organic	21.5
Metals	13.1
Glass	1.6
Other	
Inorganic	21.8
Total	100.0

### TABLE 2-6: OCCUPANCY WASTE COMPOSITION

<u>Notes</u>:

1. 1993 County of Hawai'i Integrated Solid Waste Management Plan, 2003 Oahu Waste Composition Study

	Occupancy Waste (tons/year)								
Year	Paper	Yard	Food	Plastic	Other Organic	Metals	Glass	Other Inorganic	Total
2010	181	136	91	48	233	142	17	237	1,086
2011	363	272	182	96	467	285	35	473	2,172
2012	544	407	274	143	701	427	52	710	3,259
2013	680	509	342	179	875	533	65	887	4,069
2014	680	509	342	179	875	533	65	887	4,069
2015	680	509	342	179	875	533	65	887	4,069
2016	680	509	342	179	875	533	65	887	4,069
2017	680	509	342	179	875	533	65	887	4,069
2018	790	591	397	208	1,017	620	76	1,031	4,730
2019	790	591	397	208	1,017	620	76	1,031	4,730
2020	897	671	451	236	1,155	703	86	1,171	5,370

# TABLE 2-7A: OCCUPANCY WASTE COMPOSITION - CONCEPT A

Notes:

1. Calculation based on (annual waste generated from Table 2-5A) x ("Percent of Total Waste" from Table 2-6).

	Occupancy Waste (tons/year)								
Year	Paper	Yard	Food	Plastic	Other Organic	Metals	Glass	Other Inorganic	Total
2010	181	136	91	48	233	142	17	237	1,086
2011	363	272	182	96	467	285	35	473	2,172
2012	544	407	274	143	701	427	52	710	3,259
2013	775	580	390	204	997	608	74	1,011	4,639
2014	956	716	481	252	1,231	750	92	1,248	5,725
2015	1,138	852	572	300	1,465	892	109	1,485	6,812
2016	1,159	867	583	305	1,492	909	111	1,512	6,938
2017	1,159	867	583	305	1,492	909	111	1,512	6,938
2018	1,269	950	638	334	1,634	995	122	1,657	7,599
2019	1,269	950	638	334	1,634	995	122	1,657	7,599
2020	1,376	1,030	692	363	1,772	1,079	132	1,796	8,240

TABLE 2-7B: OCCUPANCY WASTE COMPOSITION - CONCEPT B

<u>Notes</u>:

1. Calculation based on (annual waste generated from Table 2-5B) x ("Percent of Total Waste" from Table 2-6).

	Occupancy Waste (tons/year)								
Year	Paper	Yard	Food	Plastic	Other Organic	Metals	Glass	Other Inorganic	Total
2010	159	119	80	42	204	124	15	207	950
2011	317	238	160	84	409	249	30	414	1,901
2012	476	356	239	125	613	373	46	622	2,851
2013	684	512	344	180	881	537	66	893	4,096
2014	843	631	424	222	1,085	661	81	1,100	5,046
2015	1,001	750	504	264	1,289	786	96	1,307	5,997
2016	1,160	868	584	306	1,494	910	111	1,514	6,947
2017	1,282	960	645	338	1,650	1,006	123	1,673	7,676
2018	1,392	1,042	700	367	1,792	1,092	133	1,817	8,337
2019	1,392	1,042	700	367	1,792	1,092	133	1,817	8,337
2020	1,499	1,122	754	395	1,930	1,176	144	1,957	8,977

TABLE 2-7C: OCCUPANCY WASTE COMPOSITION - CONCEPT C

Notes:

1. Calculation based on (annual waste generated from Table 2-5C) x ("Percent of Total Waste" from Table 2-6).

# SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT

Emphasis for the management of solid wastes generated by the Keahuolu Affordable Housing project would be placed on waste diversion and recycling. Solid wastes would be managed in conformance with the applicable Department of Health and County requirements. The landfill nearest to the Keahuolu project is the West Hawai'i Landfill at Pu'uanahulu. Since the County of Hawai'i does not provide waste collection services, recycle and disposal of construction and occupancy wastes generated would be hauled by private contractors or individuals. Specific arrangements for construction and occupancy wastes would be managed in a centralized system or by private individuals, and hauled directly to recycling centers, transfer stations and the landfill.

Although West Hawai'i is located in an area where the annual precipitation does not often exceed the amount of evapotranspiration (water lost to the atmosphere by evaporation and transpiration), all waste should avoid contact with water as best as possible. Waste that comes into contact with water before being hauled to the landfill may result in leachate (liquid produced when water percolates through any permeable material) at the landfill. Leachate could contaminate both ground and surface water, which may lead to various environmental and health problems as well as the degradation of local amenities. It can best be managed by daily covering of all waste to minimize the amount of water percolation.

# **CONSTRUCTION PHASE**

As shown in Table 2-2, approximately 146 - 1,820 tons/year, 60 - 1,843 tons/year or 146 - 1,583 ton/year of construction waste would be generated for development concepts A, B or C, respectively, during the construction of the Keahuolu Affordable Housing project from 2008 to 2019.

#### KEAHUOLU AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROJECT

The primary method of reducing (or mitigating) the amount of construction waste to be hauled offsite would be recycling. The following items or materials would be recycled to the extent practicable: green waste (processed and used on site), wood waste (processed with green waste when practical, depending on type of wood and ability to chip, and used on site), cardboard (recycled off site), and metals (recycled off site). The remaining categories of wastes (i.e., drywall, other) may be recycled if a local recycling vendor is available. Otherwise, these non-recyclable wastes would be hauled to the landfill. The construction waste composition that may be diverted or recycled is shown in Table 3-1 and Tables 3-2A, 3-2B or 3-2C provides a yearly breakdown of the waste composition for the development concepts, A, B or C, respectively. According to the estimated waste composition information, approximately 73 – 910 tons/year, 30 – 922 tons/year or 73 – 792 tons/year of construction wastes could be recycled for development concepts A, B or C, respectively. The remaining 50% would likely be hauled to the landfill.

Waste Type	Percent of Total Waste <sup>1</sup>	100 Percent of Total Waste <sup>2</sup>
Wood	40.4-43.3	41.9
Cardboard	3.3-9.6	6.5
Metal	0.7-2.5	1.6
Total	44.4-55.4	49.9

TA	BLE 3-1: WASTE	COMPOSITION	
DIVERTED	OR RECYCLED CO	INSTRUCTION WAST	Έ

<u>Notes:</u>

1. HABiT, 2000.

2. Converted "Percent of Total Waste" to 100 percent by taking the average of the range.

Voor	Diverted or Recycled Construction Waste (tons/year)								
Tear	Wood	Cardboard	Metal	Total					
2008	220 - 381	34 - 59	8 - 15	263 – 455					
2009	440 - 763	68 - 118	17 - 29	525 – 910					
2010	440 - 763	68 - 118	17 - 29	525 – 910					
2011	276 - 478	43 - 74	11 - 18	329 – 571					
2012	150 - 260	23 - 40	6 - 10	179 – 311					
2013	0	0	0	0					
2014	0	0	0	0					
2015	0	0	0	0					
2016	63 - 109	10 - 17	2 - 4	75 – 130					
2017	63 - 109	10 - 17	2 - 4	75 – 130					
2018	61 - 106	9 - 16	2 - 4	73 – 126					
2019	61 - 106	9 - 16	2 - 4	73 – 126					
2020	0	0	0	0					

TABLE 3-2A: DIVERTED	OR RECYCLED CO	ONSTRUCTION W	ASTE COMPOSI	10N - CONCEPT A
				ION CONCLETA

Notes:

1. See Table 2-4A and Appendix A for supporting calculations.

Voor	Diverted or Recycled Construction Waste (tons/year)								
Tear	Wood	Cardboard	Metal	Total					
2008	220 - 381	34 - 59	8 - 15	263 – 455					
2009	440 - 763	68 - 118	17 - 29	525 – 910					
2010	440 - 763	68 - 118	17 - 29	525 – 910					
2011	445 - 772	69 - 120	17 - 29	532 – 922					
2012	445 - 772	69 - 120	17 - 29	532 – 922					
2013	440 - 763	68 - 118	17 - 29	525 – 910					
2014	245 - 425	38 - 66	9 - 16	293 – 507					
2015	25 - 44	4 - 7	1 - 2	30 – 52					
2016	63 - 109	10 - 17	2 - 4	75 – 130					
2017	63 - 109	10 - 17	2 - 4	75 – 130					
2018	61 - 106	9 - 16	2 - 4	73 – 126					
2019	61 - 106	9 - 16	2 - 4	73 – 126					
2020	0	0	0	0					

### TABLE 3-2B: DIVERTED OR RECYCLED CONSTRUCTION WASTE COMPOSITION - CONCEPT B

Notes:

1. See Table 2-4B and Appendix A for supporting calculations.

Voor	Diverted or Recycled Construction Waste (tons/year)								
leal	Wood	Cardboard	Metal	Total					
2008	189 - 327	29 - 51	7 - 12	225 – 390					
2009	377 - 654	59 - 101	14 - 25	450 – 780					
2010	377 - 654	59 - 101	14 - 25	450 – 780					
2011	383 - 663	59 - 103	15 - 25	457 – 792					
2012	383 - 663	59 - 103	15 - 25	457 – 792					
2013	377 - 654	59 - 101	14 - 25	450 – 780					
2014	377 - 654	59 - 101	14 - 25	450 – 780					
2015	333 - 577	52 - 90	13 - 22	398 – 689					
2016	207 - 360	32 - 56	8 - 14	248 – 429					
2017	63 - 109	10 - 17	2 - 4	75 – 130					
2018	61 - 106	9 - 16	2 - 4	73 – 126					
2019	61 - 106	9 - 16	2 - 4	73 – 126					
2020	0	0	0	0					

# TABLE 3-2C: DIVERTED OR RECYCLED CONSTRUCTION WASTE COMPOSITION - CONCEPT C

<u>Notes</u>: 1. See Table 2-4C and Appendix A for supporting calculations.

### **OCCUPANCY PHASE**

The primary method of reducing (or mitigating) the amount of occupancy waste to be hauled offsite is recycling. To the extent practicable, the planned development would arrange for green waste (e.g., yard waste) generated during grounds keeping be collected and processed for use as soil amendment on the site. Wastes that cannot be incorporated into green waste processing on site would be minimized, and recycled or hauled to the landfill as appropriate. Future arrangements for recycling collection (aluminum, paper, newspaper, glass, and plastic containers) in building areas, and waste hauling for the remainder of waste that is not readily recyclable, would be made. The wastes associated with commercial / retail activities would also be recycled (likely to include cardboard, paper, glass, and plastic containers). Specialized materials associated with grounds keeping (e.g., pesticides and fertilizers) would be used according to accepted practices (i.e., pesticide rinsate would be used as product, and fertilizer would be used up or incorporated into green waste processing at the site). Specialized materials associated with maintenance and industrial activities (e.g., motor oil and solvents) would be recycled when possible or disposed according to accepted practices for the County of Hawai'i.

The anticipated recycled waste composition for the occupancy is shown in Table 3-3 and Tables 3-4A, 3-4B or 3-4C provides a yearly breakdown of the recycled waste for the development concepts, A, B or C, respectively. Hawai'i County's recycling rate is approximately 25.8 percent (County of Hawai'i – Solid Waste Disposal Summary 2006 - 2006). Based on the Keahuolu Affordable Housing project having a recycling rate equivalent to that measured by Hawai'i County, 1,385 tons, 2,126 tons or 2,316 tons of the total occupancy waste would be diverted or recycled for development concepts A, B or C, respectively. All other organic and inorganic categories of waste would likely be hauled to the landfill. Contracts with private recyclers and waste haulers would be developed to achieve these ends. In addition, green waste would be processed and used on site as soil amendment to the extent practical. Processing of green waste may involve chipping and passive composting of organic waste, resulting in soil amendment for use at the Keahuolu Affordable Housing project.

Waste Type	Percent of Total Waste <sup>1</sup>
Paper	16.7
Yard Waste	12.5
Plastic	4.4
Metals	13.1
Glass	1.6
Total Recyclable	48.3
Total Diverted Waste	25.8

# TABLE 3-3: WASTE COMPOSITION DIVERTED OR RECYCLED OCCUPANCY WASTE

Notes:

1. 1993 County of Hawai'i Integrated Solid Waste Management Plan, 2003 Oahu Waste Composition Study

	Occupancy Waste (tons/year)									
Year	Paper	Yard	Plastic	Metals	Glass	Total Recyclable	Total Diverted Waste <sup>2</sup>			
2010	181	136	48	142	17	525	280			
2011	363	272	96	285	35	1,049	560			
2012	544	407	143	143 427 52 1,574		1,574	841			
2013	680	509	179	533	65	1,965	1,050			
2014	680	509	179	533	65	1,965	1,050			
2015	680	509	179	533	65	1,965	1,050			
2016	680	509	179	533	65	1,965	1,050			
2017	680	509	179	533	65	1,965	1,050			
2018	790	591	208	620	76	2,285	1,220			
2019	790	591	208	620	76	2,285	1,220			
2020	897	671	236	703	86	2,594	1,385			

# TABLE 3-4A: DIVERTED OR RECYCLED OCCUPANCY WASTE COMPOSITION - CONCEPT A

<u>Notes</u>:

1. Occupancy waste type (paper, yard, plastic, metals and glass) are referenced from Table 2-7A.

2. Calculation based on (annual waste generated from Table 2-7A) x (25.8 percent recycle rate).

	Occupancy Waste (tons/year)									
Year	Paper	Yard	Plastic	Metals	Glass	Total Recyclable	Total Diverted Waste <sup>2</sup>			
2010	181	136	48	142	17	525	280			
2011	363	272	96	285	35	1,049	560			
2012	544	407	143	427	52	1,574	841			
2013	775	580	204	608	74	2,241	1,197			
2014	956	716	252	750	92	2,765	1,477			
2015	1,138	852	300	892	109	3,290	1,757			
2016	1,159	867	305	909	111	3,351	1,790			
2017	1,159	867	305	909	111	3,351	1,790			
2018	1,269	950	334	995	122	3,670	1,961			
2019	1,269	950	334	995	122	3,670	1,961			
2020	1,376	1,030	363	1,079	132	3,980	2,126			

TABLE 3-48 DIVERTED OR	RECYCLED OCCUPAN	ICY WASTE COMPOSIT	ION - CONCEPT B
	ILCICLED OCCUPAN		ION CONCLITE

<u>Notes</u>:

1. Occupancy waste type (paper, yard, plastic, metals and glass) are referenced from Table 2-7B.

2. Calculation based on (annual waste generated from Table 2-7B) x (25.8 percent recycle rate).

	Occupancy Waste (tons/year)									
Year	Paper	Yard	Plastic	Metals	Glass	Total Recyclable	Total Diverted Waste <sup>2</sup>			
2010	159	119	42	124	15	459	245			
2011	317	238	84	249	30	918	490			
2012	476	356	125	373	46	1,377	736			
2013	684	512	180	537	537 66 1,978		1,057			
2014	843	631	222	661	81	2,437	1,302			
2015	1,001	750	264	786	96	2,897	1,547			
2016	1,160	868	306	910	111	3,355	1,792			
2017	1,282	960	338	1,006	123	3,708	1,980			
2018	1,392	1,042	367	1,092	133	4,027	2,151			
2019	1,392	1,042	367	1,092	133	4,027	2,151			
2020	1,499	1,122	395	1,176	144	4,336	2,316			

# TABLE 3-4C: DIVERTED OR RECYCLED OCCUPANCY WASTE COMPOSITION - CONCEPT C

Notes:

1. Occupancy waste type (paper, yard, plastic, metals and glass) are referenced from Table 2-7C.

2. Calculation based on (annual waste generated from Table 2-7C) x (25.8 percent recycle rate).

# **SUMMARY**

Based on the estimated waste generation rates for construction and occupancy at the Keahuolu Affordable Housing project and the solid waste management plans for waste diversion through minimization and recycling of materials, estimated waste diversion and landfilling generation are shown in Tables 4-1A, 4-1B or 4-1C for development concepts A, B or C, respectively.

		Occupancy Waste				7 2	d r)			
Year	Dive	rted	Landfilled		Dive	Diverted		filled	erteo ns/y	lfille ns/y
	Waste <sup>1</sup> (tons/yr)	# Trucks per week <sup>2</sup>	Waste <sup>3</sup> (tons/yr)	# Trucks per week <sup>2</sup>	Waste <sup>4</sup> (tons/yr)	# Trucks per week <sup>2</sup>	Waste <sup>5</sup> (tons/yr)	# Trucks per week <sup>2</sup>	Total Div Waste (to	Total Lan Waste (to
2008	263-455	0.5-0.9	262-455	0.5-0.9	0	0	0	0	263-455	262-455
2009	525-910	1.0-1.8	525-910	1.0-1.8	0	0	0	0	525-910	525-910
2010	525-910	1.0-1.8	525-910	1.0-1.8	280	0.5	806	1.6	805-1,190	1,331-1,716
2011	329-571	0.6-1.1	329-570	0.6-1.1	560	1.1	1,612	3.1	889-1,131	1,941-2,182
2012	179-311	0.3-0.6	179-310	0.3-0.6	841	1.6	2,418	4.7	1,020-1,152	2,597-2,728
2013	0	0	0	0	1,050	2.0	3,019	5.8	1,050	3,019
2014	0	0	0	0	1,050	2.0	3,019	5.8	1,050	3,019
2015	0	0	0	0	1,050	2.0	3,019	5.8	1,050	3,019
2016	75-130	0.1-0.3	75-130	0.1-0.3	1,050	2.0	3,019	5.8	1,125-1,180	3,094-3,149
2017	75-130	0.1-0.3	75-130	0.1-0.3	1,050	2.0	3,019	5.8	1,125-1,180	3,094-3,149
2018	73-126	0.1-0.2	73-126	0.1-0.2	1,220	2.3	3,510	6.8	1,293-1,346	3,583-3,636
2019	73-126	0.1-0.2	73-126	0.1-0.2	1,220	2.3	3,510	6.8	1,293-1,346	3,583-3,636
2020 +	0	0	0	0	1,385	2.7	3,985	7.7	1,385	3,985

TABLE 4-14.	SUMMARY OF	WASTE DIVERTED	
IABLE 4-IA.	SUMMART OF	WASIE DIVERIED	- CONCEPT A

Notes:

1. Diverted waste (tons/year) is waste that would be recycled. Values from total diverted construction waste (Table 3-2A).

2. Calculation based on 10-ton capacity for trucks that pick up waste.

3. Calculation based on total construction waste (Table 2-4A) – total diverted construction waste (Table 3-2A).

4. Diverted waste is waste that would be recycled. Values from total diverted occupancy waste (Table 3-4A).

5. Calculation based on total occupancy waste (Table 2-7A) - total diverted occupancy waste (Table 3-4A).

6. See Appendix A for supporting calculations.

		Construc	tion Waste		0	ccupar	ncy Wast	te	b (r	ed r)
	Dive	rted	Landfi	lled	Dive	rted	Land	filled	erte ns/y	dfille ns/y
Year	Waste <sup>1</sup> (tons/yr)	# Trucks per week <sup>2</sup>	Waste <sup>3</sup> (tons/yr)	# Trucks per week <sup>2</sup>	Waste <sup>4</sup> (tons/yr)	# Trucks per week <sup>2</sup>	Waste <sup>5</sup> (tons/yr)	# Trucks per week <sup>2</sup>	Total Dive Waste (to	Total Lanc Waste (to
2008	263-455	0.5-0.9	262-455	0.5-0.9	0	0	0	0	263-455	262-455
2009	525-910	1.0-1.8	525-910	1.0-1.8	0	0	0	0	525-910	525-910
2010	525-910	1.0-1.8	525-910	1.0-1.8	280	0.5	806	1.6	805-1,190	1,331-1,716
2011	532-922	1.0-1.8	531-921	1.0-1.8	560	1.1	1,612	3.1	1,092-1,482	2,143-2,533
2012	532-922	1.0-1.8	531-921	1.0-1.8	841	1.6	2,418	4.7	1,373-1,763	2,949-3,339
2013	525-910	1.0-1.8	525-910	1.0-1.8	1,197	2.3	3,442	6.6	1,722-2,107	3,967-4,352
2014	293-507	0.6-1.0	292-507	0.6-1.0	1,477	2.8	4,248	8.2	1,770-1,984	4,540-4,755
2015	30-52	0.1-0.1	30-52	0.1-0.1	1,757	3.4	5,055	9.7	1,787-1,809	5,085-5,107
2016	75-130	0.1-0.3	75-130	0.1-0.3	1,790	3.4	5,148	9.9	1,865-1,920	5,223-5,278
2017	75-130	0.1-0.3	75-130	0.1-0.3	1,790	3.4	5,148	9.9	1,865-1,920	5,223-5,278
2018	73-126	0.1-0.2	73-126	0.1-0.2	1,961	3.8	5,638	10.8	2,034-2,087	5,711-5,764
2019	73-126	0.1-0.2	73-126	0.1-0.2	1,961	3.8	5,638	10.8	2,034-2,087	5,711-5,764
2020 +	0	0	0	0	2,126	4.1	6,114	11.8	2,126	6,114

TABLE 4-1B: SUMMARY OF WASTE DIVERTED AND LANDFILLED – CONCEPT B

<u>Notes</u>:

1. Diverted waste (tons/year) is waste that would be recycled. Values from total diverted construction waste (Table 3-2B).

2. Calculation based on 10-ton capacity for trucks that pick up waste.

3. Calculation based on total construction waste (Table 2-4B) – total diverted construction waste (Table 3-2B).

4. Diverted waste is waste that would be recycled. Values from total diverted occupancy waste (Table 3-4B).

5. Calculation based on total occupancy waste (Table 2-7B) – total diverted occupancy waste (Table 3-4B).

6. See Appendix A for supporting calculations.

	(	Construc	tion Waste		0	ccupar	ncy Was	te	ъ <del>с</del>	b (
	Dive	rted	Landfi	lled	Dive	rted	Landfilled		erte ns/y	lfille ns/y
Year	Waste <sup>1</sup> (tons/yr)	# Trucks per week <sup>2</sup>	Waste <sup>3</sup> (tons/yr)	# Trucks per week <sup>2</sup>	Waste <sup>4</sup> (tons/yr)	# Trucks per week <sup>2</sup>	Waste <sup>5</sup> (tons/yr)	# Trucks per week <sup>2</sup>	Total Dive Waste (to	Total Lanc Waste (to
2008	225-390	0.4-0.8	225-390	0.4-0.8	0	0	0	0	225-390	225-390
2009	450-780	0.9-1.5	450-780	0.9-1.5	0	0	0	0	450-780	450-780
2010	450-780	0.9-1.5	450-780	0.9-1.5	245	0.5	705	1.4	695-1,025	1,155-1,485
2011	457-792	0.9-1.5	456-791	0.9-1.5	490	0.9	1,411	2.7	947-1,282	1,867-2,202
2012	457-792	0.9-1.5	456-791	0.9-1.5	736	1.4	2,115	4.1	1,193-1,528	2,571-2,906
2013	450-780	0.9-1.5	450-780	0.9-1.5	1,057	2.0	3,039	5.8	1,507-1,837	3,489-3,819
2014	450-780	0.9-1.5	450-780	0.9-1.5	1,302	2.5	3,744	7.2	1,752-2,082	4,194-4,524
2015	398-689	0.8-1.3	397-689	0.8-1.3	1,547	3.0	4,450	8.6	1,945-2,236	4,847-5,139
2016	248-429	0.5-0.8	247-429	0.5-0.8	1,792	3.4	5,155	9.9	2,040-2,221	5,402-5,584
2017	75-130	0.1-0.3	75-130	0.1-0.3	1,980	3.8	5,696	11.0	2,055-2,110	5,771-5,826
2018	73-126	0.1-0.2	73-126	0.1-0.2	2,151	4.1	6,186	11.9	2,224-2,277	6,259-6,312
2019	73-126	0.1-0.2	73-126	0.1-0.2	2,151	4.1	6,186	11.9	2,224-2,277	6,259-6,312
2020 +	0	0	0	0	2,316	4.5	6,661	12.8	2,316	6,661

TABLE 4-1C: SUMMARY OF WASTE DIVERTED AND LANDFILLED - CONCEPT C

Notes:

1. Diverted waste (tons/year) is waste that would be recycled. Values from total diverted construction waste (Table 3-2C).

2. Calculation based on 10-ton capacity for trucks that pick up waste.

3. Calculation based on total construction waste (Table 2-4C) – total diverted construction waste (Table 2-2C).

4. Diverted waste is waste that would be recycled. Values from total diverted occupancy waste (Table 3-4C).

5. Calculation based on total occupancy waste (Table 2-7C) – total diverted occupancy waste (Table 3-4C).

6. See Appendix A for supporting calculations.

Trucks would most likely be used to haul construction and occupancy waste to either a local recycling vendor, for diverted waste, or to the West Hawai'i Landfill, for landfilled waste. For construction waste the number of trucks is expected to be on an on-call basis, meaning that less than one truck per week to three trucks per week would be required for both diverted and landfilled waste. For occupancy waste the number of trucks is expected to be a set schedule varying from two to about 18 trucks per week for both diverted and landfilled waste. The truck route to the West Hawai'i Landfill would most likely be from the project down Kealakehe Parkway and along Queen Kaahumanu Highway. The truck route to the local recycling vendor (anticipated to be Atlas Recycling Center in Kona town) would most likely be from the project down Kealakehe Parkway to Queen Kaahumanu Highway, Malakala Boulevard, Luhia Street, Kaiwi Street and Pawai Place.

#### KEAHUOLU AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROJECT

According to the 2002 Updated Integrated Solid Waste Management Plan for the County of Hawai'i, the Pu'uanahulu Landfill is estimated to have 12 million cubic yards of air space which is enough to accommodate the waste generated by West Hawaii for approximately the next 40 years. In 2000, approximately 90,000 tons of waste was deposited at this landfill. Using this quantity of waste, the annual percent waste increase to the West Hawai'i Landfill from the Keahuolu Affordable Housing project were estimated in Table 4-2. The full-build out annual occupancy landfill waste percentage of the annual West Hawaii Landfill waste would be estimated to be 4.43%, 6,79% or 7.40% for the development concepts A, B or C, respectively.

Voar	Percent Annual Waste Increase to Landfill'							
i cai	Concept A	Concept B	Concept C					
2008	0.29 – 0.51	0.29 – 0.51	0.25 - 0.43					
2009	0.58 – 1.01	0.58 – 1.01	0.50 - 0.87					
2010	1.48 – 1.91	1.48 – 1.91	1.28 – 1.65					
2011	2.16 - 2.42	2.38 – 2.81	2.07 – 2.45					
2012	2.89 - 3.03	3.28 – 3.71	2.86 - 3.23					
2013	3.35	4.41 – 4.84	3.88 - 4.24					
2014	3.35	5.04 - 5.28	4.66 - 5.03					
2015	3.35	5.65 - 5.67	5.39 – 5.71					
2016	3.44 - 3.50	5.80 - 5.86	6.00 - 6.20					
2017	3.44 - 3.50	5.80 - 5.86	6.41 – 6.47					
2018	3.98 - 4.04	6.35 - 6.40	6.95 – 7.01					
2019	3.98 - 4.04	6.35 - 6.40	3.95 - 7.01					
2020	4.43	6.79	7.40					

# TABLE 4-2: KEAHUOLU AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROJECT WASTE GENERATION IMPACT ON WEST HAWAI`I LANDFILL

<u>Notes</u>:

Calculation based on [(total Landfilled Waste from Tables 4-1A, 4-1B or 4-1C) / (90,000 tons/year)] x 100 percent.

It should be noted that the objectives for waste diversion for both construction and operations at the Keahuolu Affordable Housing project are based upon the assumption that private companies in the vicinity of the development can be contracted to either directly recycle materials on the island of Hawai'i or to economically ship materials to recycling markets elsewhere in Hawai'i, the U.S. mainland, or international countries. If recycling vendors are not immediately available for all materials intended to be recycled, some of these materials may be hauled to the landfill.

# REFERENCES

1. County of Hawai'i. December 2002. Update to the Integrated Solid Waste Management Plan for the County of Hawai'i. Prepared by Harding ESE, Aiea, Hawai'i.

2. County of Hawai'i. 1993. Integrated Solid Waste Management Plan for the County of Hawai'i.

3. County of Hawai'i. Department of Environmental Management. Solid Waste Management Plan: Guidelines. Hawai'i, 2003.

4. Hawai'i Advanced Building Technologies Training Program (HABiT). January 2000. Guide to Resource-Efficient Building in Hawai'i - HABiT. Developed by Kathleen O'Brien of O'Brien and Associates, WA. Managed by Alan Ewell, Integrated Architecture and Gail-Suzuki-Jones, Clean Hawai'i Center, HI. Funded by U.S. Department of Energy through Hawai'i Department of Business, Economic.

5. Hawai'i Department of Business, Economic Development and Tourism. No date. A Contractor's Waste Management Guide - Best Management Practices and Tools for Job Site Recycling and Waste Reduction in Hawai'i. Prepared by O'Brien & Company, Bainbridge Island, Washington.

6. Hawai'i. Department of Environmental Services. Oahu Waste Composition, Disposal and Recycling – 2003. <u>http://envhonolulu.org/solid\_waste/archive/Oahu\_Waste\_Composition.html</u>.

7. Personal Communication, Mr. Chris Chin-Chance, Recycling Specialist, County of Hawai'i – Department of Environmental Management, November 30, 2006.

8. Recycle Hawai'i. June 1999. Big Island Recycling Guide. Brochure.

9. Tchobanoglous, George, Theisen, Hilary, Eliassen, Rolf. Solid Waste: Engineering Principles and Management Issues. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1977.

10. Uniform Building Code Volume 1, 1997 ed.

APPENDIX A – CALCULATIONS

#### **Construction Waste Generation - Concept A**

Year	Building Type	Number	Building Area (sf)	Construction Waste (to	ns/year)
2008	Multifamily	200	200,000	525	min.
	Single Family	100	150,000	910	max.
2009	Multifamily	400	400,000	1,050	min.
	Single Family	200	300,000	1,820	max.
2010	Multifamily	400	400,000	1,050	min.
	Single Family	200	300,000	1,820	max.
2011	Multifamily	400	400,000	658	min.
	Single Family	20	30,000	1,141	max.
	School		8,700		
2012	Multifamily	200	200,000	358	min.
	Single Family	20	30,000	621	max.
	School		8,700		
2013					
2014					
2015					
2016	Commercial/Retail		100,000	150	min.
				260	max.
2017	Commercial/Retail		100,000	150	min.
				260	max.
2018	Commercial/Retail		97,000	146	min.
				252	max.
2019	Commercial/Retail		97,000	146	min.
				252	max.
2020					

Multifamily	
Single Family	
Construction Waste	
Construction Waste	

 1000
 square feet

 1500
 square feet

 3
 lbs / ft<sup>2</sup>

 5.2
 lbs / ft<sup>2</sup>

min.

max.

#### **Construction Waste Generation - Concept B**

Year	Building Type	Number	Building Area (sf)	Construction Waste (to	ns/year)
2008	Multifamily	200	200,000	525	min.
	Single Family	100	150,000	910	max.
2009	Multifamily	400	400,000	1,050	min.
	Single Family	200	300,000	1,820	max.
2010	Multifamily	400	400,000	1,050	min.
	Single Family	200	300,000	1,820	max.
2011	Multifamily	400	400,000	1,063	min.
	Single Family	200	300,000	1,843	max.
	School		8,700		
2012	Multifamily	400	400,000	1,063	min.
	Single Family	200	300,000	1,843	max.
	School		8,700		
2013	Multifamily	400	400,000	1,050	min.
	Single Family	200	300,000	1,820	max.
2014	Multifamily	240	240,000	585	min.
	Single Family	100	150,000	1,014	max.
2015	Multifamily	40	40,000	60	min.
	Single Family	0	0	104	max.
2016	Commercial/Retail		100,000	150	min.
				260	max.
2017	Commercial/Retail		100,000	150	min.
				260	max.
2018	Commercial/Retail		97,000	146	min.
				252	max.
2019	Commercial/Retail		97,000	146	min.
				252	max.
2020					

Multifamily	1000	square feet	
Single Family	1500	square feet	
Construction Waste	3	lbs / ft <sup>2</sup>	min.
Construction Waste	5.2	lbs / ft <sup>2</sup>	max.

Notes:

All calculations are based on (3.0  $lbs/tt^2$ ) x (area of building) x (number of buildings constructed/year). Pounds were

multiplied by  $5 \times 10^{-4}$  (or 1/2000) to convert to tons.

#### KEAHUOLU AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROJECT SWMP - CONSTRUCTION WASTE

#### **Construction Waste Generation - Concept C**

Year	Building Type	Number	Building Area (sf)	Construction Waste (to	ons/year)
2008	Multifamily	300	300,000	450	min.
	Single Family	0	0	780	max.
2009	Multifamily	600	600,000	900	min.
	Single Family	0	0	1,560	max.
2010	Multifamily	600	600,000	900	min.
	Single Family	0	0	1,560	max.
2011	Multifamily	600	600,000	913	min.
	Single Family	0	0	1,583	max.
	School		8,700		
2012	Multifamily	600	600,000	913	min.
	Single Family	0	0	1,583	max.
	School		8,700		
2013	Multifamily	600	600,000	900	min.
	Single Family	0	0	1,560	max.
2014	Multifamily	600	600,000	900	min.
	Single Family	0	0	1,560	max.
2015	Multifamily	530	530,000	795	min.
	Single Family	0	0	1,378	max.
2016	Multifamily	230	230,000	495	min.
	Single Family	0	0	858	max.
	Commercial/Retail		100,000		
2017	Commercial/Retail		100,000	150	min.
				260	max.
2018	Commercial/Retail		97,000	146	min.
				252	max.
2019	Commercial/Retail		97,000	146	min.
				252	max.
2020					

Multifamily	1000	square feet	
Single Family	1500	square feet	
Construction Waste	3	lbs / ft <sup>2</sup>	min.
Construction Waste	5.2	lbs / ft <sup>2</sup>	max.

Notes:

All calculations are based on (3.0 lbs/ft<sup>2</sup>) x (area of building) x (number of buildings constructed/year). Pounds were multiplied by  $5 \times 10^{-4}$  (or 1/2000) to convert to tons.

#### KEAHUOLU AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROJECT SWMP - CONSTRUCTION WASTE

Voor	Construction Waste	Wood	Drywall	Cardboard	Metal	Other
real	(tons/year)	(tons/year)	(tons/year)	(tons/year)	(tons/year)	(tons/year)
2008	525	220	148	34	8	115
	910	381	257	59	15	199
2009	1,050	440	296	68	17	230
	1,820	763	513	118	29	399
2010	1,050	440	296	68	17	230
	1,820	763	513	118	29	399
2011	658	276	186	43	11	144
	1,141	478	322	74	18	250
2012	358	150	101	23	6	78
	621	260	175	40	10	136
2013	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0
2014	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0
2015	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0
2016	150	63	42	10	2	33
	260	109	73	17	4	57
2017	150	63	42	10	2	33
	260	109	73	17	4	57
2018	146	61	41	9	2	32
	252	106	71	16	4	55
2019	146	61	41	9	2	32
	252	106	71	16	4	55
2020						

#### **Construction Waste Composition - Concept A**

	Wood	Drywall	Cardboard	Metal	Other
Waste Composition:	41.9%	28.2%	6.5%	1.6%	21.9%

#### **Construction Waste Composition - Concept B**

Voor	Construction Waste	Wood	Drywall	Cardboard	Metal	Other
real	(tons/year)	(tons/year)	(tons/year)	(tons/year)	(tons/year)	(tons/year)
2008	525	220	148	34	8	115
	910	381	257	59	15	199
2009	1,050	440	296	68	17	230
	1,820	763	513	118	29	399
2010	1,050	440	296	68	17	230
	1,820	763	513	118	29	399
2011	1,063	445	300	69	17	233
	1,843	772	520	120	29	404
2012	1,063	445	300	69	17	233
	1,843	772	520	120	29	404
2013	1,050	440	296	68	17	230
	1,820	763	513	118	29	399
2014	585	245	165	38	9	128
	1,014	425	286	66	16	222
2015	60	25	17	4	1	13
	104	44	29	7	2	23
2016	150	63	42	10	2	33
	260	109	73	17	4	57
2017	150	63	42	10	2	33
	260	109	73	17	4	57
2018	146	61	41	9	2	32
	252	106	71	16	4	55
2019	146	61	41	9	2	32
	252	106	71	16	4	55
2020						
		Wood	Drywall	Cardboard	Metal	Other
	Waste Composition:	41.9%	28.2%	6.5%	1.6%	21.9%

	wood	Diywali	Calubbalu	Metal	Ourie
Waste Composition:	41.9%	28.2%	6.5%	1.6%	2′

#### KEAHUOLU AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROJECT SWMP - CONSTRUCTION WASTE

#### Construction Waste Composition - Concept C

Voor	Construction Waste	Wood	Drywall	Cardboard	Metal	Other
real	(tons/year)	(tons/year)	(tons/year)	(tons/year)	(tons/year)	(tons/year)
2008	450	189	127	29	7	99
	780	327	220	51	12	171
2009	900	377	254	59	14	197
	1,560	654	440	101	25	342
2010	900	377	254	59	14	197
	1,560	654	440	101	25	342
2011	913	383	257	59	15	200
	1,583	663	446	103	25	347
2012	913	383	257	59	15	200
	1,583	663	446	103	25	347
2013	900	377	254	59	14	197
	1,560	654	440	101	25	342
2014	900	377	254	59	14	197
	1,560	654	440	101	25	342
2015	795	333	224	52	13	174
	1,378	577	389	90	22	302
2016	495	207	140	32	8	108
	858	360	242	56	14	188
2017	150	63	42	10	2	33
	260	109	73	17	4	57
2018	146	61	41	9	2	32
	252	106	71	16	4	55
2019	146	61	41	9	2	32
	252	106	71	16	4	55
2020						

	Wood	Drywall	Cardboard	Metal	Other
Waste Composition:	41.9%	28.2%	6.5%	1.6%	21.9%

Vear	Building Type	Number Population		Waste Generated		
Tear	Dalialing Type	Number		Daily (lbs/day)	Annual (tons/yr)	
2010	Multifamily	200	560	3,472		
	Single Family	100	400	2,480	1,086	
	Total		960	5,952		
2011	Multifamily	400	1,120	6,944		
	Single Family	200	800	4,960	2,172	
	Total		1,920	11,904		
2012	Multifamily	600	1.680	10.416		
	Single Family	300	1.200	7.440	3,259	
	Total		2.880	17.856		
2013	Multifamily	620	1.736	10.763		
	Single Family	400	1,600	9.920		
	School	1	260	1.612	4,069	
	Total		3,596	22,295		
2014	Multifamily	620	1,736	10,763		
	Single Family	400	1,600	9,920		
	School	1	260	1,612	4,069	
	Total		3 596	22 295		
2015	Multifamily	620	1 736	10 763		
2010	Single Family	400	1,600	9 920		
	School	1	260	1 612	4,069	
	Total		3 596	22 295		
2016	Multifamily	620	1 736	10 763		
2010	Single Family	400	1,600	9 920		
	School	1	260	1 612	4,069	
	Total	1	3 596	22 295		
2017	Multifamily	620	1 736	10 763		
2017	Single Family	400	1,700	9 920		
	School	1	260	1 612	4,069	
	Total	1	3 596	22 295		
2018	Multifamily	620	1 736	10 763		
2010	Single Family	400	1,730	0,705		
	School	400	1,000	1,612	4 730	
	Commercial/Retail	100.000	584	3 621	4,100	
		100,000	1 1 9 0	25.016		
2010	Multifomily	620	4,100	20,910		
2019	Single Femily	400	1,730	0,703		
		400	1,000	9,920	4 720	
	School Commorgial/Datail	100.000	200	1,012	4,750	
		100,000	284	3,021		
2020	I UIdl Multifomily	620	4,180	20,916		
2020	Single Femily	020	1,730	10,763		
	Single Family	400	1,600	9,920	5 270	
	Sci1001	1	260	1,612	5,570	
	Commercial/Retall	197,000	1,150	7,130		
	i otal		4,746	29,425		

# Occupancy Waste Generation - Concept A

Multifamily	2.8	persons / unit
Single Family	4	persons / unit
School	620 students/faculty/staff at the school for 429	% of the day
	260	persons
Commercial/Retail	30 to 60 sf/person for stores (per 1997 UBC)	
	100 sf/person for office (per 1997 UBC)	
	Use 72 sf/person for 42% of the day	
	0.005833	persons/sf
Waste Generation	6.2	lbs/person/day

Vear	Building Type	Number	Population	Waste Generated		
i cai	Dullaling Type	Building Type Humber Topulation		Daily (lbs/day)	Annual (tons/yr)	
2010	Multifamily	200	560	3,472		
	Single Family	100	400	2,480	1,086	
	Total		960	5,952		
2011	Multifamily	400	1,120	6,944		
	Single Family	200	800	4,960	2,172	
	Total		1,920	11,904		
2012	Multifamily	600	1,680	10,416		
	Single Family	300	1,200	7,440	3,259	
	Total		2,880	17,856		
2013	Multifamily	800	2,240	13,888		
	Single Family	400	1,600	9,920	4 000	
	School	1	260	1.612	4,639	
	Total		4.100	25.420		
2014	Multifamily	1.000	2.800	17.360		
-	Single Family	500	2.000	12,400	5 705	
	School	1	260	1,612	5,725	
	Total		5.060	31.372		
2015	Multifamily	1.200	3,360	20.832		
	Single Family	600	2,400	14.880	0.040	
	School	1	260	1.612	6,812	
	Total		6.020	37.324		
2016	Multifamily	1.240	3.472	21,526		
	Single Family	600	2,400	14.880		
	School	1	260	1.612	6,938	
	Total		6.132	38.018		
2017	Multifamily	1.240	3.472	21.526		
	Single Family	600	2.400	14.880	0.000	
	School	1	260	1,612	6,938	
	Total		6.132	38.018		
2018	Multifamily	1,240	3,472	21,526		
	Single Family	600	2,400	14,880		
	School	1	260	1,612	7,599	
	Commercial/Retail	100,000	584	3,621		
	Total		6.716	41.639		
2019	Multifamily	1,240	3,472	21,526		
	Single Family	600	2.400	14.880		
	School	1	260	1.612	7,599	
	Commercial/Retail	100.000	584	3.621	·	
	Total		6.716	41.639		
2020	Multifamily	1.240	3.472	21,526		
	Single Family	600	2,400	14.880		
	School	1	260	1.612	8,240	
	Commercial/Retail	197.000	1.150	7.130		
	Total		7,282	45,148		

# Occupancy Waste Generation - Concept B

Multifamily	2.8	persons / unit
Single Family	4	persons / unit
School	620 students/faculty/staff at the school for 429	% of the day
	260	persons
Commercial/Retail	30 to 60 sf/person for stores (per 1997 UBC)	
	100 sf/person for office (per 1997 UBC)	
	Use 72 sf/person for 42% of the day	
	0.005833	persons/sf
Waste Generation	6.2	lbs/person/day

Vear	Building Type	Building Type Number Population		Waste Generated			
rear	Building Type			Daily (lbs/day)	Annual (tons/yr)		
2010	Multifamily	300	840	5,208			
	Single Family	0	0	0	950		
	Total		840	5,208			
2011	Multifamily	600	1,680	10,416			
	Single Family	0	0	0	1,901		
	Total		1,680	10,416			
2012	Multifamily	900	2,520	15,624			
	Single Family	0	0	0	2,851		
	Total		2,520	15,624			
2013	Multifamily	1,200	3,360	20,832			
	Single Family	0	0	0	4.000		
	School	1	260	1,612	4,096		
	Total		3,620	22,444			
2014	Multifamily	1,500	4,200	26,040			
	Single Family	0	0	0	5.040		
	School	1	260	1,612	5,046		
	Total		4.460	27.652			
2015	Multifamily	1,800	5,040	31,248			
	Single Family	0	0	0	5 007		
	School	1	260	1.612	5,997		
	Total		5.300	32.860			
2016	Multifamily	2.100	5.880	36,456			
	Single Family	0	0	0	0.047		
	School	1	260	1.612	6,947		
	Total		6.140	38.068			
2017	Multifamily	2,330	6,524	40,449			
	Single Family	0	0	0	7.070		
	School	1	260	1,612	7,676		
	Total		6,784	42,061			
2018	Multifamily	2,330	6,524	40,449			
	Single Family	0	0	0			
	School	1	260	1,612	8,337		
	Commercial/Retail	100,000	584	3,621			
	Total		7,368	45,682			
2019	Multifamily	2,330	6,524	40,449			
	Single Family	0	0	0			
	School	1	260	1.612	8,337		
	Commercial/Retail	100.000	584	3.621			
	Total	,	7.368	45.682			
2020	Multifamily	2.330	6.524	40.449			
	Single Family	0	0	0			
	School	1	260	1.612	8,977		
	Commercial/Retail	197.000	1,150	7.130	*		
	Total	- ,	7.934	49.191			

# Occupancy Waste Generation - Concept C

Multifamily	2.8	persons / unit
Single Family	4	persons / unit
School	620 students/faculty/staff at the school for 42%	% of the day
	260	persons
Commercial/Retail	30 to 60 sf/person for stores (per 1997 UBC)	
	100 sf/person for office (per 1997 UBC)	
	Use 72 sf/person for 42% of the day	
	0.005833	persons/sf
Waste Generation	6.2	lbs/person/day

#### KEAHUOLU AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROJECT SWMP - OCCUPANCY WASTE COMPOSITION

#### Occupancy Waste Composition - Concept A

Year	Occupancy Waste (tons/year)								
	Paper	Yard	Food	Plastic	Other Organic	Metals	Glass	Inorganic	Total
2010	181	136	91	48	233	142	17	237	1,086
2011	363	272	182	96	467	285	35	473	2,172
2012	544	407	274	143	701	427	52	710	3,259
2013	680	509	342	179	875	533	65	887	4,069
2014	680	509	342	179	875	533	65	887	4,069
2015	680	509	342	179	875	533	65	887	4,069
2016	680	509	342	179	875	533	65	887	4,069
2017	680	509	342	179	875	533	65	887	4,069
2018	790	591	397	208	1,017	620	76	1,031	4,730
2019	790	591	397	208	1,017	620	76	1,031	4,730
2020	897	671	451	236	1,155	703	86	1,171	5,370
Waste Co	omposition								
	16.7%	12.5%	8.4%	4.4%	21.5%	13.1%	1.6%	21.8%	100.0%

#### **Occupancy Waste Composition - Concept B**

Year		Occupancy Waste (tons/year)							
	Paper	Yard	Food	Plastic	Other Organic	Metals	Glass	Inorganic	Total
2010	181	136	91	48	233	142	17	237	1,086
2011	363	272	182	96	467	285	35	473	2,172
2012	544	407	274	143	701	427	52	710	3,259
2013	775	580	390	204	997	608	74	1,011	4,639
2014	956	716	481	252	1,231	750	92	1,248	5,725
2015	1,138	852	572	300	1,465	892	109	1,485	6,812
2016	1,159	867	583	305	1,492	909	111	1,512	6,938
2017	1,159	867	583	305	1,492	909	111	1,512	6,938
2018	1,269	950	638	334	1,634	995	122	1,657	7,599
2019	1,269	950	638	334	1,634	995	122	1,657	7,599
2020	1,376	1,030	692	363	1,772	1,079	132	1,796	8,240
Waste Co	omposition								
	16.7%	12.5%	8.4%	4.4%	21.5%	13.1%	1.6%	21.8%	100.0%

#### **Occupancy Waste Composition - Concept C**

16.7%

12.5%

8.4%

Year		Occupancy Waste (tons/year)								
	Paper	Yard	Food	Plastic	Other Organic	Metals	Glass	Inorganic	Total	
2010	159	119	80	42	204	124	15	207	950	
2011	317	238	160	84	409	249	30	414	1,901	
2012	476	356	239	125	613	373	46	622	2,851	
2013	684	512	344	180	881	537	66	893	4,096	
2014	843	631	424	222	1,085	661	81	1,100	5,046	
2015	1,001	750	504	264	1,289	786	96	1,307	5,997	
2016	1,160	868	584	306	1,494	910	111	1,514	6,947	
2017	1,282	960	645	338	1,650	1,006	123	1,673	7,676	
2018	1,392	1,042	700	367	1,792	1,092	133	1,817	8,337	
2019	1,392	1,042	700	367	1,792	1,092	133	1,817	8,337	
2020	1,499	1,122	754	395	1,930	1,176	144	1,957	8,977	
Waste Co	omposition									

4.4%

21.5%

13.1%

1.6%

21.8%

100.0%

#### KEAHUOLU AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROJECT SWMP - CONSTRUCTION WASTE - DIVERTED OR RECYCLED

#### Construction Waste - Diverted or Recycled - Concept A

2008	(tons/year) 525	(tons/year)	(tons/year)	(tons/vear)	(tone/voor)
2008	525			(toris/year)	(ions/year)
		220	34	8	263
	910	381	59	15	455
2009	1,050	440	68	17	525
	1,820	763	118	29	910
2010	1,050	440	68	17	525
	1,820	763	118	29	910
2011	658	276	43	11	329
	1,141	478	74	18	571
2012	358	150	23	6	179
	621	260	40	10	311
2013	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0
2014	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0
2015	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0
2016	150	63	10	2	75
	260	109	17	4	130
2017	150	63	10	2	75
	260	109	17	4	130
2018	146	61	9	2	73
	252	106	16	4	126
2019	146	61	9	2	73
	252	106	16	4	126
2020					

	Wood	Cardboard	Metal	Other
Waste Composition:	41.9%	6.5%	1.6%	49.9%

#### Construction Waste - Diverted or Recycled - Concept B

Vear	Construction Waste	Wood	Cardboard	Metal	Total
i eai	(tons/year)	(tons/year)	(tons/year)	(tons/year)	(tons/year)
2008	525	220	34	8	263
	910	381		15	455
2009	1,050	440	68	17	525
	1,820	763	118	29	910
2010	1,050	440	68	17	525
	1,820	763	118	29	910
2011	1,063	445	69	17	532
	1,843	772	120	29	922
2012	1,063	445	69	17	532
	1,843	772	120	29	922
2013	1,050	440	68	17	525
	1,820	763	118	29	910
2014	585	245	38	9	293
	1,014	425	66	16	507
2015	60	25	4	1	30
	104	44	7	2	52
2016	150	63	10	2	75
	260	109	17	4	130
2017	150	63	10	2	75
	260	109	17	4	130
2018	146	61	9	2	73
	252	106	16	4	126
2019	146	61	9	2	73
	252	106	16	4	126
2020					
		Wood	Cardboard	Metal	Total

WoodCardboardMetalTotalRecycled Waste Waste Composition:41.9%6.5%1.6%49.9%

#### KEAHUOLU AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROJECT SWMP - CONSTRUCTION WASTE - DIVERTED OR RECYCLED

#### Construction Waste - Diverted or Recycled - Concept C

Voor	Construction Waste	Wood	Cardboard	Metal	Total
Teal	(tons/year)	(tons/year)	(tons/year)	(tons/year)	(tons/year)
2008	450	189	29	7	225
	780	327	51	12	390
2009	900	377	59	14	450
	1,560	654	101	25	780
2010	900	377	59	14	450
	1,560	654	101	25	780
2011	913	383	59	15	457
	1,583	663	103	25	792
2012	913	383	59	15	457
	1,583	663	103	25	792
2013	900	377	59	14	450
	1,560	654	101	25	780
2014	900	377	59	14	450
	1,560	654	101	25	780
2015	795	333	52	13	398
	1,378	577	90	22	689
2016	495	207	32	8	248
	858	360	56	14	429
2017	150	63	10	2	75
	260	109	17	4	130
2018	146	61	9	2	73
	252	106	16	4	126
2019	146	61	9	2	73
	252	106	16	4	126
2020					

	Wood	Cardboard	Metal	Other
Waste Composition:	41.9%	6.5%	1.6%	21.9%

#### KEAHUOLU AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROJECT SWMP - OCCUPANCY WASTE COMPOSITION - DIVERTED OR RECYCLED

				Occupancy '	Waste (tons/ye	ear)		
Year	Dopor	Vord	Diactia	Matala	Close	Total	Total	Total Wests
	Faper	raiu	Flaslic	Wetals	01855	Recyclable	Diverted	TOTAL WASIE
2010	181	136	48	142	17	525	280	1,086
2011	363	272	96	285	35	1,049	560	2,172
2012	544	407	143	427	52	1,574	841	3,259
2013	680	509	179	533	65	1,965	1,050	4,069
2014	680	509	179	533	65	1,965	1,050	4,069
2015	680	509	179	533	65	1,965	1,050	4,069
2016	680	509	179	533	65	1,965	1,050	4,069
2017	680	509	179	533	65	1,965	1,050	4,069
2018	790	591	208	620	76	2,285	1,220	4,730
2019	790	591	208	620	76	2,285	1,220	4,730
2020	897	671	236	703	86	2,594	1,385	5,370
Waste Co	omposition							

13.1%

1.6%

48.3%

25.8%

#### Occupancy Waste Composition - Diverted or Recycled - Concept A

# Occupancy Waste Composition - Diverted or Recycled - Concept B

12.5%

4.4%

16.7%

		-		Occupancy V	Vaste (tons/ye	ear)		
Year	Paper	Yard	Plastic	Metals	Glass	Total Recyclable	Total Diverted	Total Waste
2010	181	136	48	142	17	525	280	1,086
2011	363	272	96	285	35	1,049	560	2,172
2012	544	407	143	427	52	1,574	841	3,259
2013	775	580	204	608	74	2,241	1,197	4,639
2014	956	716	252	750	92	2,765	1,477	5,725
2015	1,138	852	300	892	109	3,290	1,757	6,812
2016	1,159	867	305	909	111	3,351	1,790	6,938
2017	1,159	867	305	909	111	3,351	1,790	6,938
2018	1,269	950	334	995	122	3,670	1,961	7,599
2019	1,269	950	334	995	122	3,670	1,961	7,599
2020	1,376	1,030	363	1,079	132	3,980	2,126	8,240
Waste C	omposition							
	16.7%	12.5%	4.4%	13.1%	1.6%	48.3%	25.8%	

#### Occupancy Waste Composition - Diverted or Recycled - Concept C

				Occupancy V	Naste (tons/ye	ear)		
Year	Paper	Yard	Plastic	Metals	Glass	Total Recyclable	Total Diverted	Total Waste
2010	159	119	42	124	15	459	245	950
2011	317	238	84	249	30	918	490	1,901
2012	476	356	125	373	46	1,377	736	2,851
2013	684	512	180	537	66	1,978	1,057	4,096
2014	843	631	222	661	81	2,437	1,302	5,046
2015	1,001	750	264	786	96	2,897	1,547	5,997
2016	1,160	868	306	910	111	3,355	1,792	6,947
2017	1,282	960	338	1,006	123	3,708	1,980	7,676
2018	1,392	1,042	367	1,092	133	4,027	2,151	8,337
2019	1,392	1,042	367	1,092	133	4,027	2,151	8,337
2020	1,499	1,122	395	1,176	144	4,336	2,316	8,977
Waste C	omposition							
	16.7%	12.5%	4.4%	13.1%	1.6%	48.3%	25.8%	

#### KEAHUOLU AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROJECT SWMP - SUMMARY OF WASTE DIVERTED AND LANDFILLED

	<u></u>	Constru	ction Waste	•		Occupan	cy Waste		Total	Total
Voor	Dive	rted	Land	dfilled	Dive	erted	Land	dfilled	Diverted	Landfilled
real	Waste	# Trucks	Waste	# Trucks per	Waste	# Trucks per	Waste	# Trucks per	Waste	Waste
	(tons/yr)	per week	(tons/yr)	week	(tons/yr)	week	(tons/yr)	week	(tons/year)	(tons/yr)
2009	263	0.5	262	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	263	262
2000	455	0.9	455	0.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	455	455
2000	525	1.0	525	1.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	525	525
2009	910	1.8	910	1.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	910	910
2010	525	1.0	525	1.0	280	0.5	806	1.6	805	1,331
2010	910	1.8	910	1.8	200	0.5	000	1.0	1,190	1,716
2011	329	0.6	329	0.6	560	1 1	1 612	3.1	889	1,941
2011	571	1.1	570	1.1	500	1.1	1,012	5.1	1,131	2,182
2012	179	0.3	179	0.3	841	16	2 / 18	47	1,020	2,597
2012	311	0.6	310	0.6	041	1.0	2,410	4.7	1,152	2,728
2013	0	0.0	0	0.0	1 050	2.0	3 019	5.8	1,050	3,019
2013	0	0.0	0	0.0	1,000	2.0	3,013	5.0	1,050	3,019
2014	0	0.0	0	0.0	1 050	2.0	3 019	5.8	1,050	3,019
2014	0	0.0	0	0.0	1,000	2.0	3,013	5.0	1,050	3,019
2015	0	0.0	0	0.0	1 050	2.0	3 019	5.8	1,050	3,019
2010	0	0.0	0	0.0	1,000	2.0	0,010	0.0	1,050	3,019
2016	75	0.1	75	0.1	1 050	2.0	3 019	5.8	1,125	3,094
2010	130	0.3	130	0.3	1,000	2.0	3,013	5.0	1,180	3,149
2017	75	0.1	75	0.1	1 050	2.0	3 019	5.8	1,125	3,094
2017	130	0.3	130	0.3	1,000	2.0	3,013	5.0	1,180	3,149
2018	73	0.1	73	0.1	1 220	23	3 510	6.8	1,293	3,583
2010	126	0.2	126	0.2	1,220	2.0	3,510	0.0	1,346	3,636
2019	73	0.1	73	0.1	1 220	23	3 510	6.8	1,293	3,583
2013	126	0.2	126	0.2	1,220	2.0	3,510	0.0	1,346	3,636
2020	0	0.0	0	0.0	1 385	27	3 985	77	1,385	3,985
2020	0	0.0	0	0.0	1,000	2.1	0,000	1.1	1,385	3,985
Company	Pacific Waste		Phone:	(808) 882-7295		Contact Info:	Rich Truax (Sal	es Manager)		

#### Summary of Waste Diverted and Landfilled - Concept A

Company Pacific Waste Construction

Operations

Contact Info: Rich Truax (Sales Manager) email: richtruax@pacificwasteinc.com

Truck Volume: 20'x8'x7' (LxWxH) = 41.5 CY (Max Load is 10 tons)

Bin Volume: 72"x43"x45" (LxWxH) = 3 CY Use Truck Capacity: 10 tons / truck

#### Summary of Waste Diverted and Landfilled - Concept B

		Constru	ction Waste	-		Occupan	cy Waste		Total	Total
Veer	Dive	rted	Land	dfilled	Dive	erted	Land	dfilled	Diverted	Landfilled
rear	Waste	# Trucks	Waste	# Trucks per	Waste	# Trucks per	Waste	# Trucks per	Waste	Waste
	(tons/yr)	per week	(tons/yr)	week	(tons/yr)	week	(tons/yr)	week	(tons/year)	(tons/yr)
2009	263	0.5	262	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	263	262
2006	455	0.9	455	0.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	455	455
2000	525	1.0	525	1.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	525	525
2009	910	1.8	910	1.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	910	910
2010	525	1.0	525	1.0	280	0.5	806	1.6	805	1,331
2010	910	1.8	910	1.8	200	0.5	000	1.0	1,190	1,716
2011	532	1.0	531	1.0	560	1 1	1 612	3.1	1,092	2,143
2011	922	1.8	921	1.8	500	1.1	1,012	5.1	1,482	2,533
2012	532	1.0	531	1.0	8/1	16	2 /18	47	1,373	2,949
2012	922	1.8	921	1.8	041	1.0	2,410	4.7	1,763	3,339
2013	525	1.0	525	1.0	1 107	23	3 112	66	1,722	3,967
2010	910	1.8	910	1.8	1,157	2.5	5,442	0.0	2,107	4,352
2014	293	0.6	292	0.6	1 477	2.8	4 248	82	1,770	4,540
2014	507	1.0	507	1.0	1,477	2.0	7,270	0.2	1,984	4,755
2015	30	0.1	30	0.1	1 757	34	5 055	97	1,787	5,085
2010	52	0.1	52	0.1	1,707	0.4	0,000	5.7	1,809	5,107
2016	75	0.1	75	0.1	1 790	34	5 148	99	1,865	5,223
2010	130	0.3	130	0.3	1,750	0.4	3,140	5.5	1,920	5,278
2017	75	0.1	75	0.1	1 790	3.4	5 148	99	1,865	5,223
2017	130	0.3	130	0.3	1,730	5.4	5,140	3.5	1,920	5,278
2018	73	0.1	73	0.1	1 961	3.8	5 638	10.8	2,034	5,711
2010	126	0.2	126	0.2	1,901	5.0	5,050	10.0	2,087	5,764
2019	73	0.1	73	0.1	1 961	3.8	5 638	10.8	2,034	5,711
2013	126	0.2	126	0.2	1,501	0.0	3,030	10.0	2,087	5,764
2020	0	0.0	0	0.0	2 126	4.1	6 114	11.8	2,126	6,114
2020	0	0.0	0	0.0	2,120	4.1	0,114	11.0	2,126	6,114
Company	Pacific Waste		Phone:	(808) 882-7295		Contact Info:	Rich Truax (Sal	es Manager)		

Company Pacific Waste Construction

Contact Info: Rich Truax (Sales Manager) email: richtruax@pacificwasteinc.com

Operations

Truck Volume: 20'x8'x7' (LxWxH) = 41.5 CY (Max Load is 10 tons)

Bin Volume: 72"x43"x45" (LxWxH) = 3 CY Use Truck Capacity: 10 tons / truck

#### KEAHUOLU AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROJECT SWMP - SUMMARY OF WASTE DIVERTED AND LANDFILLED

		Constru	ction Waste			Occupan	cy Waste		Total	Total
Voor	Dive	rted	Land	dfilled	Dive	erted	Land	dfilled	Diverted	Landfilled
real	Waste	# Trucks	Waste	# Trucks per	Waste	# Trucks per	Waste	# Trucks per	Waste	Waste
	(tons/yr)	per week	(tons/yr)	week	(tons/yr)	week	(tons/yr)	week	(tons/year)	(tons/yr)
2008	225	0.4	225	0.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	225	225
2000	390	0.8	390	0.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	390	390
2000	450	0.9	450	0.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	450	450
2003	780	1.5	780	1.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	780	780
2010	450	0.9	450	0.9	245	0.5	705	14	695	1,155
2010	780	1.5	780	1.5	245	0.0	105	1.4	1,025	1,485
2011	457	0.9	456	0.9	490	0.9	1 4 1 1	27	947	1,867
2011	792	1.5	791	1.5	430	0.5	1,411	2.1	1,282	2,202
2012	457	0.9	456	0.9	736	14	2 1 1 5	41	1,193	2,571
2012	792	1.5	791	1.5	100	1.4	2,110	4.1	1,528	2,906
2013	450	0.9	450	0.9	1 057	2.0	3 039	5.8	1,507	3,489
2010	780	1.5	780	1.5	1,007	2:0	0,000	0.0	1,837	3,819
2014	450	0.9	450	0.9	1 302	2.5	3 744	72	1,752	4,194
2014	780	1.5	780	1.5	1,002	2:0	0,144	1.2	2,082	4,524
2015	398	0.8	397	0.8	1 547	3.0	4 450	8.6	1,945	4,847
2010	689	1.3	689	1.3	1,047	0.0	4,400	0.0	2,236	5,139
2016	248	0.5	247	0.5	1 792	34	5 155	99	2,040	5,402
2010	429	0.8	429	0.8	1,102	0.1	0,100	0.0	2,221	5,584
2017	75	0.1	75	0.1	1 980	3.8	5 696	11.0	2,055	5,771
2011	130	0.3	130	0.3	1,000	0.0	0,000	11.0	2,110	5,826
2018	73	0.1	73	0.1	2 151	4 1	6 186	11 9	2,224	6,259
2010	126	0.2	126	0.2	2,101		0,100	11.5	2,277	6,312
2019	73	0.1	73	0.1	2 151	4 1	6 186	11.9	2,224	6,259
2010	126	0.2	126	0.2	2,101		0,100	11.0	2,277	6,312
2020	0	0.0	0	0.0	2,316	4.5	6,661	12.8	2,316	6,661
2020	0	0.0	0	0.0	2,010		0,001	12.0	2,316	6,661
Company	Pacific Waste	•	Phone:	(808) 882-7295		Contact Info:	Rich Truax (Sal	es Manager)		

#### Summary of Waste Diverted and Landfilled - Concept C

Company Pacific Waste Construction

Contact Info: Rich Truax (Sales Manager) Truck Volume: 20'x8'x7' (LxWxH) = 41.5 CY (Max Load is 10 tons) email: richtruax@pacificwasteinc.com

Operations

Bin Volume: 72"x43"x45" (LxWxH) = 3 CY Use Truck Capacity: 10 tons / truck

	Construc	tion Waste	Occupan	cy Waste	Total	Total	
Voor	Diverted	Landfilled	Diverted	Landfilled	Diverted	Landfilled	Percent Annual Waste
real	Waste	aste Waste Waste Was a(vr) (tana(vr) (tana(vr)		Waste	Waste	Waste	Increase to Landfill
	(tons/yr)	(tons/yr)	(tons/yr)	(tons/yr)	(tons/year)	(tons/yr)	
2009	263	262	0	0	263	262	0.29%
2006	455	455	0	0	455	455	0.51%
2000	525	525	0	0	525	525	0.58%
2009	910	910	0	0	910	910	1.01%
2010	525	525	280 806		805	1,331	1.48%
2010	910	910	200	800	1,190	1,716	1.91%
2011	329	329	560	1 612	889	1,941	2.16%
2011	571	570	500	1,012	1,131	2,182	2.42%
2012	179	179	9/1	2 /19	1,020	2,597	2.89%
2012	311	310	041	2,410	1,152	2,728	3.03%
2012	0	0	1.050	3,019	1,050	3,019	3.35%
2013	0	0	1,050		1,050	3,019	3.35%
2014	0	0	1.050	2 010	1,050	3,019	3.35%
2014	0	0	1,030	3,019	1,050	3,019	3.35%
2015	0	0	1.050	3 010	1,050	3,019	3.35%
2013	0	0	1,050	5,015	1,050	3,019	3.35%
2016	75	75	1 050	3 010	1,125	3,094	3.44%
2010	130	130	1,050	5,015	1,180	3,149	3.50%
2017	75	75	1.050	3 019	1,125	3,094	3.44%
2017	130	130	1,050	5,015	1,180	3,149	3.50%
2018	73	73	1 220	3 5 1 0	1,293	3,583	3.98%
2010	126	126	1,220	3,510	1,346	3,636	4.04%
2010	73	73	1 220	3 510	1,293	3,583	3.98%
2019	126	126	1,220	5,510	1,346	3,636	4.04%
2020	0	0	1 385	3 985	1,385	3,985	4.43%
2020	0	0	1,505	5,305	1,385	3,985	4.43%

#### Summary of Waste Diverted and Landfilled - Concept A

Company Pacific Waste Phone:

Rich Truax (Sales Manager)

Construction Operations email: richtruax@pacificwasteinc.com Use Truck Capacity: 10 tons / truck

Summary of Waste Diverted and Landfilled - Concept B

	Construction Waste		Occupancy Waste		Total	Total	
Year	Diverted	Landfilled	Diverted	Landfilled	Diverted	Landfilled	Percent Annual Waste
	Waste	Waste	Waste	Waste	Waste	Waste	Increase to Landfill
	(tons/yr)	(tons/yr)	(tons/yr)	(tons/yr)	(tons/year)	(tons/yr)	
2008	263	262	0	0	263	262	0.29%
	455	455			455	455	0.51%
2009	525	525	0	0	525	525	0.58%
	910	910			910	910	1.01%
2010	525	525	280	806	805	1,331	1.48%
	910	910			1,190	1,716	1.91%
2011	532	531	560	1,612	1,092	2,143	2.38%
	922	921			1,482	2,533	2.81%
2012	532	531	841	2,418	1,373	2,949	3.28%
	922	921			1,763	3,339	3.71%
2013	525	525	1,197	3,442	1,722	3,967	4.41%
	910	910			2,107	4,352	4.84%
2014	293	292	1,477	4,248	1,770	4,540	5.04%
	507	507			1,984	4,755	5.28%
2015	30	30	1,757	5,055	1,787	5,085	5.65%
	52	52			1,809	5,107	5.67%
2016	75	75	1,790	5,148	1,865	5,223	5.80%
2010	130	130			1,920	5,278	5.86%
2017	75	75	1,790	5,148	1,865	5,223	5.80%
	130	130			1,920	5,278	5.86%
2018	73	73	1,961	5,638	2,034	5,711	6.35%
	126	126			2,087	5,764	6.40%
2019	73	73	1,961	5,638	2,034	5,711	6.35%
	126	126			2,087	5,764	6.40%
2020	0	0	2,126	6,114	2,126	6,114	6.79%
	0	0			2,126	6,114	6.79%

Company Pacific Waste Phone: Construction Rich Truax (Sales Manager) email: richtruax@pacificwasteinc.com

Operations

Use Truck Capacity: 10 tons / truck

#### KEAHUOLU AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROJECT SWMP - PROJECT IMPACT ON WEST HAWAI'I LANDFILL

	Construction Waste		Occupancy Waste		Total	Total	
Veer	Diverted	Landfilled	Diverted	Landfilled	Diverted	Landfilled	Percent Annual Waste
rear	Waste	Waste	Waste	Waste	Waste	Waste	Increase to Landfill
	(tons/yr)	(tons/yr)	(tons/yr)	(tons/yr)	(tons/year)	(tons/yr)	
2008	225	225	0	0	225	225	0.25%
	390	390			390	390	0.43%
2009	450	450	0	0	450	450	0.50%
	780	780			780	780	0.87%
2010	450	450	245	705	695	1,155	1.28%
	780	780			1,025	1,485	1.65%
2011	457	456	490	1,411	947	1,867	2.07%
	792	791			1,282	2,202	2.45%
2012	457	456	736	2,115	1,193	2,571	2.86%
	792	791			1,528	2,906	3.23%
2013	450	450	1,057	3,039	1,507	3,489	3.88%
	780	780			1,837	3,819	4.24%
2014	450	450	1,302	3,744	1,752	4,194	4.66%
	780	780			2,082	4,524	5.03%
2015	398	397	1,547	4,450	1,945	4,847	5.39%
	689	689			2,236	5,139	5.71%
2016	248	247	1,792	5,155	2,040	5,402	6.00%
	429	429			2,221	5,584	6.20%
2017	75	75	1,980	5,696	2,055	5,771	6.41%
	130	130			2,110	5,826	6.47%
2018	73	73	2,151	6,186	2,224	6,259	6.95%
	126	126			2,277	6,312	7.01%
2019	73	73	2,151	6,186	2,224	6,259	6.95%
	126	126			2,277	6,312	7.01%
2020	0	0	2,316	6,661	2,316	6,661	7.40%
	0	0			2,316	6,661	7.40%

# Summary of Waste Diverted and Landfilled - Concept C

Company Pacific Waste Phone: Construction Rich Truax (Sales Manager)

Operations

email: richtruax@pacificwasteinc.com

Use Truck Capacity: 10 tons / truck