. 1	APPEARANCES:	
2	CHAIRPERSON:	ALLEN HOE
3	VICE CHAIRPERSON:	ALLEN KAJIOKA (A94-706)
4	COMMISSIONERS:	JOANN MATTSON
5		LLOYD KAWAKAMI TRUDY SENDA ELTON WADA
6	Deputy Attorney General:	
7	Executive Officer: Chief Clerk:	ESTHER UEDA
8	Staff:	DARLENE KINOSHITA BERT SARUWATARI KATHY YONAMINE
9	Case No. A94-708	RAIHI IONAMINE
10	For the Petitioner State of Hawaii:	RICK EICHOR, ESQ
11	state of nawaii:	Deputy Attorney General MARY LOU KOBAYASHI, OSP
12	Case No. A94-706	
13		
14	For the Petitioner:	B. MARTIN LUNA, ESQ. GILBERT COLOMA-AGARAN, ESQ.
15	For the State of Hawaii:	RICK EICHOR, ESQ. Deputy Attorney General
16		LORENE MAKI, OSP
17	For the County of Maui:	GARY ZAKIAN, ESQ.
18		Deputy Corporation Counsel ANN CUA, Planner
19		
20		
21		·
22		
23		
24		
~-		

1 INDEX 2 WITNESSES: PAGE: 3 Case No. A94-706 Witness: THOMAS WITTEN Direct Examination/Petitioner 16 5 Cross-Examination/State 21 6 Witness: WARREN UNEMORI Direct Examination/Petitioner 24 7 Cross-Examination/County 32 Cross-Examination/State 36 8 Redirect Examination/Petitioner 41 Recross Examination/County 41 9 Witness: JULIAN NG 10 Direct Examination/Petitioner 50 Cross-Examination/County 61 11 Cross-Examination/State 64 12 Witness: ERIC FREDERICKSEN Direct Examination/Petitioner 71 Cross-Examination/State 13 76 14 Witness: LLOYD SODETANI Direct Examination/Petitioner 77 15 Cross-Examination/County 89 Cross-Examination/State 94 16 Witness: MILTON ARAKAWA 17 Direct Examination/Petitioner 109 Cross-Examination/State 118 Redirect-Examination/Petitioner 18 120 19 Witness: HENRY RICE Direct Examination/Petitioner 121 20 Cross-Examination/State 129 21 Witness: CHARLES JENKS Direct Examination/County 131 22 Witness: BRIAN MISKAE Direct Examination/County 23 137 24 25

1	MR. CHAIRMAN: All those in favor? (Aye.) Any
2	opposed? All right. The motion will be granted. Any other
3	requests?
4	MR. EICHOR: Thank you. Yes. We also submitted a
5	letter request I don't recall the specific date, but
6	believe it was last month requesting this matter be scheduled
7	for hearing before a hearings officer. We would request
8	consideration from the Commission on that request as well.
9	MR. CHAIRMAN: I think that's also contained in
10	your correspondence dated October 19th. The Chair will also
11	request a motion to assign docket BR let me make a
12	correction to the record. This docket is A94-708 so this
13	matter be assigned to hearings officer to proceed in
14	accordance with our rules.
15	COMMISSIONER MATTSON: So moved.
16	COMMISSIONER SENDA: Second.
17	MR. CHAIRMAN: All those if favor? Aye. Any
18	opposed? So ordered.
19	MR. EICHOR: Thank you.
20	MR. CHAIRMAN: We will take a short recess.
21	(Recess)
22	MR. CHAIRMAN: We will reconvene this morning's
23	proceedings. This will be a hearing on the Land Use
24	Commission's Docket A94-706 Kaonoulu Ranch to consider their
25	petition to reclassifying approximately 88 acres of land

currently if the Agricultural District into the Urban District 1 2 at Kaonoulu, Makawao-Wailuku Maui for commercial and light 3 industrial subdivision. 4 Will the parties please state their presence for 5 the record. MR. LUNA: Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, 6 7 I'm Martin Luna, attorney the petitioner. MR. COLOMA-AGARAN: My names' Gilbert Coloma-Agaran 8 9 attorney for the petitioner. 10 MR. ZAKIAN: Gary Zakian appearing on behalf of the 11 county of Maui. With me is Ann Kua from the Maui Planning Department. I believe this is Ann's first time here. 12 13 MR. CHAIRMAN: She has been here before. 14 MR. EICHOR: Rick Eichor representing the Office of State Planning. With me is Lorene Maki from the Land Use 15 16 Division. 17 MR. CHAIRMAN: All right. Pursuant to our rules 18 the Commission has conducted a prehearing conference on this 19 petition on October 11th. Are there any individuals in the 20 audience who will be presenting public testimony this morning as public witnesses? All right, if not will all of those 21 22 individuals who will be called either as witnesses for any of 23 the parties present please rise to be sworn.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. You may be seated.

(Witnesses sworn.)

24

1	A	In my opinion no.
2	1	MR. EICHOR: Thank you. That's all?
3	I	MR. CHAIRMAN: Commissioners? Thank you. Let's
4	take our lu	nch recess now, be back at 1 o'clock.
5		(Lunch recess)
6	. 1	MR. CHAIRMAN: Back on the record. Mr. Luna, your
7	next witness	S.
8		First off Mr. Sodetani is being offered as an
9	expert in ma	arket feasibility and market friends. Any
10	objections?	There being none he's so qualified.
11		LLOYD SODETANI
12	called as a	witness by and on behalf of the Petitioner having
13	been previously duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth	
14	and nothing	but the truth, was examined and testified as
15	follows:	
16		EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. LUNA	:
18	Q A	Mr. Sodetani, will you state your name and address?
19	A 1	My name is Lloyd Sodetani. My address is 185 Main
20	Street, Wail	luku.
21	Q 2	You're employed by Maui Realty?
22	A · 1	That is correct.
23	Q P	That is your position there?
24	A I	I'm the broker in charge and director for the
25	company.	·

The only things

1 You're familiar with the 88 acres the subject of 2 this petition? 3 Α Yes, I am. Q What was your, the work that you did to do the 5 market study for the project? 6 Α I'm sorry? 7 Q What did you do in preparing for the market study? :8 To prepare for the market study I determined 9 whether there was a need for light industrial park or light industrial properties in the South Maui area. And in doing so 10 11 it required that I research the various other geographical 12 areas on Maui with regard to light industrial properties. addition to that I gathered information and material that 13 14 affected the needs and the feasibility of the market 15 conditions in South Maui with regard to light industrial 16 property. 17 Q So what other geographical areas did you look at? 18. Α Specifically I looked at Kahului-Wailuku, but more 19 so at West Maui which had greater similarities with South 20 Maui. 21 Q In what way were they similar? 22 Both of them are isolated from the government and 23 business had you been of the island of Maui which is Wailuku and Kahului. And both of them are, West Maui and South Maui 24

are both considered as resort destinations.

- that were dissimilar were the fact that West Maui still has
- 2 agriculture as a major source of employment. And also it has
- 3 an airport that services West Maui to other neighbor island
- 4 locations.
- 5 Q Did you mentioned you also looked at
- 6 Wailuku-Kahului industrial areas?
- 7 A Yes, I did. But in comparison I would say there's
- 8 quite a contrast between Wailuku and Kahului and South Maui
- 9 and West Maui. The primary reason I looked at Wailuku and
- 10 Kahului was because there were really no other marketing
- 11 activities with regard to light industrial properties on the
- island of Maui accept in these locations Wailuku and Kahului.
- 13 Q So in the number of acres that you determined to be
- 14 available for light industrial in West Maui was how many?
- 15 A It approximates 120 acres at this time. West Maui
- has approximately 85 acres that are currently developed as far
- as light industrial properties are concerned. I understand
- that there's some effort to redesignate some of that for
- 19 business but also to reclassify other lands to light
- 20 industrial as well to increase the total amount of acreage to
- 21 approximately 150 acres in the West Maui side.
- 22 Q And how many, you say currently there's 120
- currently and projected another 30 increase to 150?
- 24 A Approximately, yes.
- 25 Q What about South Maui?

1	A South Maui there's, in actuality there's only about
2	24 acres of light industrial properties in South Maui to
3	service the Kihei-Makena-Wailea area. Although there are
4	other spots in South Maui such as Maalaea that might have a
5	few remnant pieces of light industrial properties they would
6	not be considered as significant.
7	Q So in defining South Maui region you're looking at
8	Maalaea to Makena?
9	A That is correct.
LO	Q Then the other part of your research was besides
L1 .	comparing them with the West Maui and looking at Kahului and
12	Wailuku, what else did you do in your research?
L3	A To determine the feasibility of developing or
4	creating this light industrial subdivision at Kaonoulu,
.5	there's obviously a need for light industrial properties in
16	there, although there's 24 acres adjacent to this property
L 7	that is currently zoned for light industrial purposes of which
18	I would say two thirds is developed. There are other
.9	indications that are, or inquiries that were made to us as to
20	whether these properties would be made available for purchase.
21.	Most of the inquiries that we have had so far have
22	been from businesses located here on Maui that want to expand
23	or want to relocate into South Maui area. Many of the
24	businesses that have expressed an interest in this particular
25	location or in the South Maui area are classified within that

1 light industrial category; wholesaling, warehousing, things of 2 that nature. So businesses have already contacted you? 3 Q 4 Α That is correct. I think most of that was due to 5 the publication that was made or that was provided in the, one 6 of the news medias back in July. 7 Did you make any determination as to what type of 8 services would be provided out of this light industrial park? 9 Specifically we have not made any kind of 10 determination. However, there is a great need for various 11 types of services and products that South Maui can use. 12 Much of it deals with small, independent businesses 13 such as automotive repairs, like I said, wholesalers, 14 wholesale distribution for, let's see, contractors, 15 subcontractors. 16 The application also mentions some commercial 17 activity besides the light industrial? 18 A Yes. 19 Did you research that also? 20 Α Yes. Typically in any light industrial subdivision 21 it's expected to have some commercial activities. these activities are provided to support the primary occupants 22 23 there which would be light industrial services.

For example, it would be ideal to have some food

service to support employees or personnel who are employed in

24

that vicinity, perhaps a small branch of a bank would be ideal 1 2 to be co-located in an area like that as well. And these 3 types of services would be provided primarily for those 4 individuals who are employed in that proximity. 5 Q So did you also look at the population in the West 6 Maui and South Maui in making a comparison? 7 Α Yes, I did. Currently the information that we 8 received indicates that the population in West Maui is 9 slightly less than South Maui and the growth rate would be 10 about the same. By the year 2000 it's anticipated that both West Maui and South Maui would have about a 30 percent growth. 11 That being the case we can anticipate that the needs of 12 services will grow as well like to South Maui like it would 13 14 for West Maui. 15 So in your research were there any other factors 16 that you looked at before reaching any kind of conclusion with respect to the need for this project in the Kihei, in the 17 18 South Maui area? 19 Α We briefly looked at cost or price of or salability. And there are, there's no data available that can 20 21 support any price range at this time for either West Maui or South Maui because, as I mentioned, there's nothing available. 22 23 For Wailuku-Kahului, this is where we obtained the data that I submitted in my report, the sales have been 24 25 increasing gradually from 1988 time period up to the present,

1	mini malls and in these shopping centers will probably remain
2	where they're located. If not, if they're forced to move they
3	will probably move to other business owned locations with a
4	greater visibility.
5	There might be a few like a hair dresser might move
6	into this development, a restaurant to service the employees
7	as I mentioned earlier who are working in that would be
8	employed in that project. If there is any move it would not
9	be anything major or anything excessive.
10	COMMISSIONER WADA: One final question. As far as
11	this proposed project would you say that this is the first of
12	its find with respect to industrial park as far as the concept
13	for Maui with this type of landscaping?
14	THE WITNESS: Definitely. The only comparison that
15	I think that would come close to this would be the mill yard
16	with some landscaping but not even close to this. The streets
17	are narrow there and also at Wailuku industrial park. And I
18	think the width of these streets are that being proposed here
19	will accommodate the traffic concerns that they're
20	experiencing in these other existing projects.
21	COMMISSIONER WADA: Thank you. No other questions.
22	MR. CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Kajioka?
23	COMMISSIONER KAJIOKA: Lloyd, it appears in terms
24	of permitted uses within a light industrial it appears to be
25	pretty broad. B1, B2, B3 districts permitted uses. I'm also

- 1 kind of surprised how it seems to contradict one other aspect
- of the ordinances. Even apartment houses are permitted use in
- 3 light industrial.
- 4 THE WITNESS: Right.
- 5 COMMISSIONER KAJIOKA: In other words, we could
- 6 have a preponderance of retail and service type establishments
- 7 in this.
- 8 THE WITNESS: That's a possibility but I would say
- 9 that the light industrial entities would probably be more
- 10 likely to be located in a project like this rather than the
- 11 commercial entities as described.
- 12 COMMISSIONER KAJIOKA: But there's no way you can
- 13 stop them.
- 14 THE WITNESS: That's true. But I think the market
- will dictate that too. I think most of the businesses that we
- looked at under B1, 2, and 3, if you look around, have located
- themselves in shopping centers and office buildings, medical
- buildings, medical facilities, et cetera. The more, those in
- 19 the light industrial categories tend to stick in the
- 20 industrial parks, light industrial parks. Granted, you will
- 21 have a few, as I mentioned, hair dresser, restaurant or some
- 22 catering service probably okazu, something to service these
- 23 people, probably even a branch of a bank would be located
- 24 within a light industrial complex.
- And that's all to complement the employees and the

consumers who use those facilities. I think it's necessary to 1 have this mix. But again I think the market will dictate who 2 3 would go in there and who would not. 4 COMMISSIONER KAJIOKA: Is this trend true with the 5 one next door? Because all I can see from the street is 6 pretty much what looks to me like retail establishments. 7 THE WITNESS: I think that's one of the problems of 8 marketing that over there too. The way it's set up many of 9 the light industrial entities would have difficulty moving in 10 there to those bays. For example, automotive repair although 11 it's really under business to me it would be more like light 12 industrial use. But if there's a co-location like this or 13 co-use of common area parking it always conflicts. Most 14 existing businesses realize this so they would prefer being in 15 their own separate building. 16 Several businesses like this, contractors also 17 would prefer having their own individual buildings and the abilities to secure their possessions and materials whether it 18 19 be in the parking area within the structure itself. 20 I think these are considerations that should be 21 given as far as subdivision versus a large development like the 24 acres next door. So if you put up buildings, you know, 22 23 contiguous building and expecting to house or to have 10, 20 different entities occupying it you're bound to have this type 24

25

of conflicts.

1	COMMISSIONER KAJIOKA: The thrust of these
2	questions go back to this concern about traffic. I think one
3	can presume that if there is a heavy preponderance of B 1, 2
4	or 3 that they're going to have more traffic than some of the
5	more traditional light industrial activities.
6	And I'm wondering whether maybe we can get Julian
7	back on the stand, whether, if the trend is towards retail and
8	servicing, whether the traffic problems may not be greater
9	than what was represented to us this morning. Or did you tell
10	Julian that these things may happen and provide for 50 percent
11	more cars?
12	THE WITNESS: Well, you know I think the zoning
13	provides some restrictions with regard to, even though it
14	allows for B1, 2 and 3 uses the zoning for M 1 limits the
15	height or the density for M1 as opposed to B2 which allows for
16	150 percent density and B3 is I think, B3 is 200 percent I
.17	think it is. But M 1 is restricted to four stories, B2 six
18	stories, B3 is 12 stories.
19	Density-wise I think there's some restrictions
20	imposed for M 1 that would eliminate some of your concerns
21	with regard to greater traffic and greater uses. So I think
22	with this in mind, you know, it would probably be controlled;
23	control measures that would be implemented just by the code
24	itself.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Any further questions? All right.

increments are years away from being started. We try to do 1 2 one increment a year. 3 MR. ZAKIAN: No other questions, Mr. Chair. 4 MR. CHAIRMAN: Cross? 5 MR. LUNA: No questions. 6 MR. CHAIRMAN: OSP? 7 MR. EICHOR: No questions. 8 MR. ZAKIAN: As our second witness we call Mr. 9 Brian Miskae. 10 BRIAN MISKAE 11 called as a witness by and on behalf of the County having been 12 first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: 13 14 THE WITNESS: I do. 15 EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. ZAKIAN: 17 Could you please state your name and position with 18 the county? 19 My name is Brian Miskae. I'm planning director for 20 Maui county. 21 Q Trick question: What is your business address? It's 50 more than Charles, 250 South High Street. 22 Α 23 Mr. Miskae, we previously admitted into evidence 24 the position of the Maui Planning Department. And you've also

submitted as Exhibit number 2 the testimony of the Maui county

1	planning department. Could you briefly describe the county's
2	position on this matter and address any significant issues
3	that you would like to see included by way of perhaps
4	conditions should the Land Use Commission decide to grant this
5	reclassification.
6	A Mr. Chairman, the testimony of the county has been
7	submitted and I believe the county is on record. What I'd
8	like to say is that in 1980 community plan for Kihei Makena
9	did provide this area B for residential use. In light of the
10	number of residential properties that have raised up over the
11	last few years, we found that there was going to be a need for
12	additional employment centers for particular light industrial
L3	use.
14	We had proposed at the time of the recent update of
L5	the Kihei Makena community plan that this Project District in
L6	fact be designated for light industrial use rather than for
L7 ·	residential use for that purpose.
L8	We felt that over the long term additional light
L9	industrial areas would be needed for Kihei. To that end we
20	did speak with the applicant. The applicant had originally
21	produced a plan to the Kihei Makena Citizen Advisory Committee
22	to provide for a fairly large project district here which
23	would include a golf course, your standard type of long term
24	development. After looking at it he felt the light industrial

project probably would be of greater benefit to him and the

community and thus changed his focus. 1 2 I think what's important here is to maybe address Commissioner Kajioka's question with respect to the large 3 4 number of uses that are permitted in the light industrial. The community plans have provided for an additional 5 land use district called business industrial. 6 This would be 7 an all encompassing land use district that would allow a full range of businesses and industrial land uses. We intend to 9 amend our light industrial district ordinance that would then restrict the use to light industrial. Pending those changes 10 11 we have asked the county council to include as a zoning 12 condition to an A&B industrial project in a certain percentage 13 of their project be dedicated strictly to light industrial and 14 a small portion be allowed for multiple use both industrial 15 and commercial. 16 We would intend to ask the county council to do the 17 same thing with this project if in fact the Commission grants 18 the Urban District designation. We're not sure of the ratio 19 yet but we would intend a portion of the project could 20 accommodate retail and industrial, probably a larger portion of the project, maybe the back portion away from the highway 21 may in fact provide simply for light industrial. 22 We feel there's a need for long term market in the 23 24 area considering the number or residential projects in the

This may in fact address the traffic situation as

25

pipeline.

1	well in that, hopefully, employees and customers will be drawn
2	from the Kihei area rather than from afar.
3	Other than that I don't think I have anything
4	further to add other than what we have in our testimony Mr.
5	Chairman.
6	MR. ZAKIAN: No other questions, Mr. Chairman.
7	MR. CHAIRMAN: Petitioner? Mr. Miskae, we would
8	like to thank you for acknowledgement of our achievements in
9	solving Maui's housing crisis.
10	MR. MISKAE: Maybe I missed something here!
11	COMMISSIONER KAJIOKA: I notice you have apartment
12	permitted use with light industrial.
13	THE WITNESS: We have an ordinance that is,
14	perhaps, probably 30 years old. We have land uses that
15	probably people don't even know what they are anymore in our
16	ordinance. That's one of the major projects I have to try and
17	get cleaned up. In all likelihood we would remove apartments
18	from the industrial district.
19	MR. CHAIRMAN: We were just pleased by your
20	statement that housing is not a crisis in Kihei. Not as bad.
21	MR. MISKAE: Not as bad. (Laughter)
22	MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. OSP?
23	MR. EICHOR: Mr. Chairman, we submitted extensive
24	testimony as Exhibit number 1. And with your permission we

will rest on that. Mr. Mitsuda is here and available to

1	testify or answer any questions. And I can call him if you
2	would like but otherwise we are prepared to rest with that
3	testimony.
4	MR. CHAIRMAN: Objections?
5	MR. LUNA: No objection.
6	MR. ZAKIAN: No objection.
7	MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. You gentlemen know the
8	drill with regard to submissions of proposed findings and
9	conclusions. Submissions should be made by November 22nd.
10	And the parties will have until November 29th to respond if
11	they wish to do so. Thank you. This proceeding is now
12	closed.
13	MR. LUNA: Thank you very much.
14	(Proceedings concluded at 3:40 p.m.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF HAWAII)
3) SS. CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU)
4	•
5	I, HOLLY HACKETT, RPR, CSR #130, Notary Public,
6	State of Hawaii, do hereby certify;
7	That on November 1, 1994, there appeared before me
8	the witnesses who testified in the hearing contained herein;
9	That the testimony contained herein was reported by
10	machine shorthand and computer translated under my personal
11	supervision; that the foregoing represents, to the best of my
12	ability, a true and correct copy of the proceedings had in the
13	foregoing matter.
14	I further certify that I am not counsel for any of
15	the parties hereto, nor in any way interested in the outcome
16	of the causes named in the caption.
17	DATED: Honolulu, Hawaii, this 8 day of
18	november, 1994.
19	
20	
21	HOLLY M DACKETT PDP CCD #120
22	HOLLY M. AACKETT, RPR, CSR #130 Notary Public, State of Hawaii My commission expires: 12/19/96
23	My commission expires: 12/19/96
24	