

CADES SCHUTTE
A Limited Liability Law Partnership

CALVERT G. CHIPCHASE 7757
CHRISTOPHER T. GOODIN 8562
Cades Schutte Building
1000 Bishop Street, Suite 1200
Honolulu, HI 96813-4212
Telephone: (808) 521-9200
Email: cchipchase@cades.com
 cgoodin@cades.com

Attorneys for Petitioner
HONOIPU HIDEAWAY, LLC



BEFORE THE LAND USE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

In the Matter of the Petition of

HONOIPU HIDEAWAY, LLC

For Boundary Interpretation of certain
land consisting of approximately 17.5470
acres situated at 56-102 Old Coast Guard
Road, Tax Map Key No. (3) 5-6-001-074,
Kapaa-Upolu, North Kohala, County of
Hawaii, State of Hawaii.

DOCKET NO. DR21-73

**PETITIONER'S OBJECTION TO
THE DRAFT MINUTES OF THE
JUNE 24, 2026 MEETING OF THE
LAND USE COMMISSION**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

**PETITIONER’S OBJECTION TO THE DRAFT MINUTES OF THE JUNE
24, 2026 MEETING OF THE LAND USE COMMISSION**

Petitioner Honoipu Hideaway, LLC (“**Petitioner**”) hereby objects to the draft Land Use Commission Meeting Minutes for June 24, 2026.

Under the Commission’s Rules, “The commission shall keep written minutes of all meetings. Unless otherwise required by law, neither a full transcript nor a recording of the meeting is required, but the written minutes shall give a true reflection of the matters discussed at the meeting and the views of the participants.” Hawai‘i Administrative Rules § 15-15-15(a). “The minutes shall include, but need not be limited to: . . . (3) The substance of all matters proposed, discussed, or decided” *Id.*

The draft minutes here state in part:

During deliberations, Commissioners U‘u and Hayashida expressed their belief that the evidence supported correcting a historical mapping error and that the boundary interpretation process existed to remedy such errors. **Commissioners Kamakea-‘Ōhelo, Yamane, and Kahele expressed concerns that the official record did not sufficiently establish a mapping error, that changing the boundary could create an undesirable precedent, and that a district boundary amendment appeared to be the more appropriate process.** Following discussion, the motion failed with 2 ayes and 5 nays.

<https://files.hawaii.gov/luc/meetings/minutes/2026/2026-06-24.pdf> at 3 (emphasis added).

Commissioners Kamakea-‘Ōhelo, Yamane, and Kahele did not state that “the official record did not sufficiently establish a mapping error.” According to the YouTube video, Commissioner Yamane stated, “What I’m hearing is a line that I didn’t think was a mistake. I think was the process was used to determine at the time

to develop that boundary.” State of Hawaii: Land Use Commission – 06/24/2026, available at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PbTMk9hY-U> (“YouTube Video”) at 2:09:23-2:09:36. The minutes should accurately reflect Commissioner Yamane’s discussion.

Additionally, the quoted paragraph omits Commissioner Kamakea-‘Ōhelo’s points made during deliberations. According to the YouTube Video, Commissioner Kamakea-‘Ōhelo stated:

In the petitioner’s exhibit, exhibit one, page seven, if you go all the way down to the four major conditions that have been recognized and recommendations based upon these conditions that the LUC has determined the conservation district boundary.

The petitioner assumes yeah that the LUC followed the physical demarcations under one and it’s highlighted within the exhibit. But my question earlier about could the LUC of 1969 follow the vegetation line. If we look just under in number two, it says right here, “Where a vegetation line such as a windbreak or a row of trees more clearly marks the edge of the agricultural practice,” this was used.

That’s where I hold my line because I’m ma’a to that area, just a stone’s throw away at the Bautello’s property I was raised.

I am ma’a to the coastline. As a cultural practitioner sitting here on this board. I must say yeah that kilo and observation matters as well.

So therefore I will be voting against the motion.

YouTube Video at 2:10:27-2:12:00. The minutes should be complete and accurately reflect Commissioner Kamakea-‘Ōhelo’s discussion.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawai'i, July 10, 2026.

CADES SCHUTTE
A Limited Liability Law Partnership

/s/ Christopher T. Goodin

CALVERT G. CHIPCHASE
CHRISTOPHER T. GOODIN
Attorneys for Petitioner
HONOIPU HIDEAWAY, LLC

BEFORE THE LAND USE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

In the Matter of the Petition of

HONOIPU HIDEAWAY, LLC

For Boundary Interpretation of certain
land consisting of approximately 17.5470
acres situated at 56-102 Old Coast Guard
Road, Tax Map Key No. (3) 5-6-001-074,
Kapaa-Upolu, North Kohala, County of
Hawaii, State of Hawaii.

DOCKET NO. DR21-73

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certify that on this date, a copy of the foregoing document
was duly served on the following persons at their last known address by email:

RENEE N.C. SCHOEN
Corporation Counsel
JEAN K. CAMPBELL
Deputy Corporation Counsel
Office of the Corporation Counsel
101 Aupuni Street, Suite 325
Hilo, HI 96720
Email: JeanK.Campbell@hawaiicounty.gov

JEFF DARROW
County of Hawaii Planning Department
101 Pauahi Street, Suite 3
Hilo, HI 96720
Email: planning@hawaiicounty.gov

County of Hawaii and Planning Department

ALISON KATO
Deputy Attorney General
425 Queen Street
Honolulu, HI 96813
Email: alison.s.kato@hawaii.gov

MARY ALICE EVANS
Director
Office of Planning
P.O. Box 2359
Honolulu, HI 96804-2359
Email: maryalice.evans@hawaii.gov

State of Hawaii and Office of Planning and Sustainable Development

DATED: Honolulu, Hawai'i, July 10, 2026.

CADES SCHUTTE
A Limited Liability Law Partnership

/s/ Christopher T. Goodin

CALVERT G. CHIPCHASE
CHRISTOPHER T. GOODIN
Attorneys for Petitioner
HONOIPU HIDEAWAY, LLC