

PLANNING DEPARTMENT, COUNTY OF KAUAI STATE OF HAWAII

In the matter of

HEMMETER/VMS KAUAI COUNTY

6 ZONING AMENDMENT ZA-87-6,

·

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

Hearing held on Wednesday, August 13th, 1986, commencing at 11:00 a.m., in the Council Chambers, County of Kauai, 4396 Rice Street, Lihue, Hawaii BEFORE:

THOMAS CONTRADES, Planning Commissioner Chairman

AVERY YOUN, Planning Director

H. MUNECHIKA, Commissioner

7 REBECCA SAILANA, Commissioner

RONALD HARKER, Commissioner

9 BETTY MATSUMURA, Commssioner

SUNSHYNNE COSTA, Commissioner

MAX W. J. GRAHAM, JR., Deputy County Attorney

22 | REPORTED BY:

25

Richard D. Jacobs, CSR No. 256

Notary Public, State of Hawaii

-000-

	•	2									
1	INDEX										
2		PAGE									
3											
4	OPENING OF THE HEARING (By Chairman Contrades)	4									
5											
6	PRESENTATIONS BY:										
7	Mike Laureta, County Staff Member	4									
8											
9	Chris Hemmeter	26									
10											
11											
12	,										
13	*										
14											
15											
16											
17											
18											
19											
20											
21											
22											
23											
24											
25											
	POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815										
l											

THE CHAIRMAN: That now brings us on to 1 2 item B.1.c., zoning amendment ZA-86-7, change from 3 Agriculture District(A) to Resort-Residential (RR 20); special management area use permit 4 SMA(U)-86-16, project development use permit 5 6 U-86-60, special permit SP-86-18 and Class IV zoning permit Z-IV-86-70 Hemmeter/VMS Company III (Expansion 7 of Resort Project Nawiliwili). 8 9 The staff report will be done by Mike. 10 MR. LAURETA: Background: To review the permits requested and projects involved, the 11 12 following summary is provided: 13 Α. Zoning amendment- for approximately 58 plus or minus acres from agriculture district (A) to 14 resort residential district (RR-20) for the following 15 uses proposed in the urban district: 16 1. Future 750 unit resort hotel. Accessory 17 permits will be applied at a later date. 18 19 2. 15,000 square feet restaurant (makai 20 area). 21 3. Three commercial areas totaling 110,000 22 square feet. 23 4. Miscellaneous golf holes, lagoons, boat

B. Special management area use permit

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

docks, access, drives, pathways, et cetera.

24

25

	4
1	for:
2	1. Makai restaurant.
3	2. Three commercial areas.
4	3. Boat docks.
5	4. Lagoons.
6	5. Parking areas.
7	6. Portion of the golf course, pathway
8	system, access drives and shoreline access.
9	C. <u>Special permit</u> - to establish certain
10	uses within the state land use "Agriculture" District
11	that are not agriculturally related, and which
12	include:
13	1. Re-design of the existing 18-hole golf
14	course.
15	2. A new driving rage.
16	3. New golf clubhouse and starter's shed.
17	4. Golf and grounds maintenance areas.
18	5. Boat docks for one hundred charter boats
19	and three ferries.
20	6. Approximately 30 acres of waterways and
21	lake.
22	7. Parking area for six hundred plus cars.
23	8. Boat maintenance facility.
24	9. Irrigation lagoon of approximately nine
25	acres.

- 10. Airport, service and public access for driveways, and,
 - 11. Miscellaneous accessory uses.
- D. <u>Project Development Use Permit</u> is to allow parking, golf course and maintenance shop in the Agriculture District, and parking and boat docks in the Open District.
- E. <u>Class IV Zoning Permit</u> is a procedural requirement since a project development use permit is being requested and because the parcel qualifies for more than 25 units.
- F. Several concerns and recommendations were also explored by the public at the July 23, 1986 public hearing and are summarized as follows:
- 1. The applicant must consult with the appropriate Federal or State authorities regarding the pair of endangered Hawaiian Gallinule at the drainage pond, before proceeding with any plans to alter the habitat.
- 2. Regarding exterior lighting in order to minimize the autumnal fallout of Newell's shearwaters:
- a) Install exterior light fixtures only of the following types: shielded lights, cut-off luminaries, or indirect lighting

3

4

5

6

7 8 9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

b) Avoid unnecessary lighting during the critical shearwater fallout period.

3. Traffic, population, helicopter, noise and dust concerns.

Evaluation:

Other than requested zoning amendment to RR-20 to accompany a 750 room hotel in the future, we are of the opinion that all the uses and improvements requested are resort related amenities that will serve to enhance the uses previously approved in Phase I.

Relative to the zoning amendment Α. request - This RR-20 zoning amendment request is in order to provide a future 750 unit resort hotel with related commercial areas and restaurant, and other amenities interwoven with golf courses, horse carriage paths and lagoons and waterways. The Lihue Development Plan recommends the establishment of a hotel in this area, provided development of new resort facilities include contiguous public access to the shoreline.

The applicant is committed to creating high quality destination resort center. This process has already begun with the approved Westin Kauai Resort Hotel now undergoing renovation and expansion.

2.1

addition of the new development proposals will increase the level of amenity and recreational choices that the visitors will have at their disposal this will also serve to enhance the self-contained aspects of the complete resort complex and allow for the development and maintenance of a high quality, world class resort area.

We are of the opinion that the requested rezoning will have a large impact in upgrading the quality of visitor services in Lihue, and which will occur in an area General Plan as an urban resort area, and planned for resort use by the Lihue Development Plan.

resort hotel units will aggravate the present traffic problems in the Lihue District. Traffic improvements must accompany this aspect of the project in order to mitigate traffic impacts since the hotel is not intended for construction until 1992, the planning for traffic improvements must begin now. By the time the SMA and zoning permits are sought for the hotel, specific traffic improvements should already be identified such that it could be implemented as part of the project. A major consideration that would lessen the traffic impact in the Lihue area would be

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

=

the completion of the Ahukini bypass highway. Such completion would be necessary before the hotel could be allowed to open.

According to the applicant's traffic study, the following improvments could improve the potential traffic problems generated by the hotel.

Construction of an additional eastbound lane on Kuhio Highway from Hardy Avenue to Ahukini Road. This would compliment the Kapule Highway extension described above and speed vehicles out of downtown Lihue.

Elimination of the traffic signal at the Ehiko Street entrance to the Isenberg tract.

Prohibiting parking along the northern side of Rice Street between Kalena Street and the Kuhio/Kaumaulii Highway intersection during the afternoon peak-hour; this would provide two outbound lanes instead of the current one.

Prohibiting left turns from Kuhio Highway onto Rice Street. Other routes are available for the relatively few drivers needing them, and elimination of this movement would allow additional time to be allocated to the two remaining cycles of the light.

Prohibiting parking on the southern side of Rice Street.



2

4

6

5

7

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

....

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

2. Airport Noise - DOT's Airports Division does not anticipate completion of their Lihue airport noise study for approximately three months. Since federal guidelines already exist relative to permitted uses within certain LDN sound contours, we are of the opinion that the siting of the Lagoon's commercial site should be based on the 1995 "Projected Fixed Wing Aircraft and Helicopter Noise Contours", utilizing the 60 LDN contour level as a setback line. Federal standards reflect that such a non-habitable commercial use can be established up to a 70 LDN contour, however we would not consider that appropriate due to the location and type of environment the applicant wishes to create. the hotel site is projected for development in 1992, whatever guidelines or recommendations made by the DOT study can be reviewed and imposed during the SMA review process for the resort project.

To further mitigate noise impact to the Lagoon's commercial site we are of the opinion that the applicant should provide a berm, landscaped with mataure landscaping on the airport side of the project. Technical aspects can be reviewed with the grading and landscaping plans prior to building permit approval.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

As commented by the Public Works Department, disposal of the Lihue mill wastewater and Lihue Sewage

Treatment Plant effluent remain concerns until such time that agreements are reached between the applicant, the County and Lihue Plantation. These wastewater disposal problems must be resolved prior to any permits being issued for development of Phase II land areas. We are aware that negotiations are under way between the applicant and Lihue Plantation

Company to develop a system to dispose of the mill wastewater into fields mauka of the Lihue. Such a system would eliminate the odor and mosquito problems and also solve the disposal problem now occurring on the lands proposed for development surrounding the airport.

B. Relative To Special Management Area Use

Permit - This SMA Use Permit request is for all

portions of the project within the SMA except for the

hotel site, as this will be covered by a separate SMA

application at a later date.

The proposed improvments will not encroach into the 40 foot shoreline setback area, will not adversely affect ocean dependent resources, will improve beach access and public parking areas, will improve upland drainage and waterways which will help restoration of nearshore coastal waters, will not affect historical or archaeological resources or dusrupt coastal ecosystems, makes provisions for solid and liquid waste treatment and/or disposal in order to minimize any potential for degradation of the coastal resources, and will not reduce existing public rights to beaches shoreline streams or similar tidal areas over what presently excess exists.

Specific areas of concerning within the SMA

include the following:

1. Prior to beginning any work on the pond with a pair of Hawaiian Gallinule are located, the applicant must consult with the appropriate federal or state authorities regarding preservation or reservation of this wildlife habitat.

- 2. To minimize adverse impacts of Newell's shearwaters, exterior lighting fixtures shall be only of the following types: Shielded lights, cut-off luminaires or indirect lighting. Spotlighting of any structures or the ocean should be reviewed and approved by the Planning Director.
- 3. As reflected on the plans submitted, it appears that certain structures/used proposed by the applicant may adversely affect the quality of the coastal scenic and open space resources and/or the existing recreational resources of the site. No structure on the coastal bluff shall be higher than that permitted by the Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance. The design and siting of these structurs should be subject to review and approval by the Planning Director; design criteria should include non-reflectaive roof materials, no mirrored windows, landscaping, staggered and graduated building heights and building envelopes, and the establishment of a

=

All improvments along the coastal bluff should be staked out for review and approval in order to assure these improvements are architecturally compatible, unobtrusive and properly located the Conservation District should also be staked out. The architectural design review and approval and staking out should occur prior to building permit application setbacks along the bluff shall be established at this time depentent upon the height, design and landscaping of the structure or improvement, subject to review and approval by the Planning Director.

- 4. Extensive landscaping proposed for the project will improve the quality of the coastal scenic and open spaces of the site. A landscaping master plan, subject to prior review and approval should also be required.
- C. Relative to the 5 Point Special Permit

 Test:
- 1. The requested uses within the state's "Agriculture" Land Use District will not be contrast' to the Land Use Law since the land in question is either vacant or uncultivated or used as part of the Kauai Surf Golf Course. Those portions used by the Lihue Plantation are a minor portion of their land

holdings and are not essential to their agricultural operations.

- 2. The proposed activities will not generate adverse noise, odors or emissions which could adversely impact surrounding properties. The activities proposed are low intensity in nature.
- 3. The requested uses will not unreasonably burden public agencies to provide roads and streets, sewers, water, drainage and school improvments or police and fire protection. All public service functions are available, and where they are not or they are substandard, appropriate upgrades and improvments will be made by the applicant as required by the affected government agencies and/or the Planning Commission as conditions of approval.
- 4. Unusual conditions, trends, and needs have arisen since districts boundaries and regulations were estaablished. The proposed uses and improvements are in response to trends and needs within the community and the visitor industry to provide visitors with experiences that are unique and make optimum use of existing and available resources. The passive use of the subject property for the uses requested is a unique approach as compared to the traditional development of resource

2 3 4

areas which emphasize only the beach oriented activities. The requested uses are an extension of the primary hotel/resort function of the overall property and, functionally more a part of that than an agricultural activity.

5. Although the land upon which the proposed uses are sought is suited for the purposes permitted within the Agricultural Districts, it would be incompatible to the surrounding uses due to the expanded nature of both the airport operations and the Westin Kauai resort operations.

Since the area involved with this Special Permit exceeds 15 acres, review and approval by the Land Use Commission will be necessary. At the time Phase I was reviewed and approved by the Planning Commission, a condition of approval was imposed that would require the applicant to submit a Land Use Boundary Amendment application to the Land Use Commission for all of the lands in the Agriculture District subject to the permits being requested at that time. The same requirement should also be applicable in this instance. However, in order to coordinate the expanded nature of this project, the time requirement for submittal to the LUC for Phase I should be consolidated with this phrase, thereby

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

=

requiring one submittal for both phases within one year from the date of approval by the Planning Commission.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

D. Relative to the Product Development Use Permit - The establishment of parking areas, maintenance shops and golf course in the Agriculture District, and parking and boat docks within the Open zone would not create adverse impacts to public health, safety, and welfare and are considered accessory uses to the resort function. The proposed improvments will also implement the resort designation goals for the General Plan and the Lihue Development Plan. They are a continuation of the already approved renovation and expansion of the old Kauai Surf Hotel. The proposed improvments will help integrate all the various uses into a well-designed destination resort complex, thereby stablizing the resort operations. The entire project area will be landscaped and properly maintained, creating a desirable and elegant ambiance.

E. Relative to the Class IV Zoning Permit —
All of the projects discussed previously are subject
to a Class IV Zoning Permit also; however, for those
projects under the zoning amendment request a Class
IV zoning permit cannot be issued unless the zoning

amendment is approved first. Should a Class IV zoning permit be granted for these items, it would have to be conditioned subject to approval of the zoning amendment first, and a subsequent review by the Planning Commission would be further required ones more detailed plans are available and prior to building permit application.

F. Future Applications - To realize completion of the entire project, a Special Permit is needed to accommodate the proposed additional 18-hole golf course. For the future hotel itself, an SMA and a Class IV Zoning permit will be needed.

CONCLUSION:

1. Relative to the Zoning Amendment requested, it is concluded that the proposed conforms to the recommendations of both the Lihue Development Plan and General Plan Update relative to the development of a resort at this location. Further, it is concluded that the traffic related improvments within the Lihue area and opening of the Ahukini bypass road will be necessary in order to lessen the impact caused by the additional traffic that would be generated from a 750 unit hotel, however, such improvments need not be provided now, but should be implemented at the time of the Class IV and SMA

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

Ē

permit review for the hotel.

- 2. Relative to the Special Management Area Use Permit, it is concluded that the proposed project:
- environmental or ecological effect. Any adverse environmental or ecological effect that may result will be minimized to the extend practicable and is clearly outweighed by public health, safety and welfare, and other compelling interests. The development of the property will not have adverse effects by itself or in conjunction with other individual developments, the potential cumulative impacts of which would result in a substantial adverse environmental ecological effect and the elimination of planning options.
- b) Is consistent with the objectives and policies contained in HRS Chapter 205-A; the objectives, policies and guidelines of the SMA Rules.
- c) Is consistent with the Kauai General Plan Update Ordinance and Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance.
- 3. Relative to the Special Permit it is concluded that the project conforms to the requirements of Part V of the State Land Use

Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, and
District Regulation relative to the 5-point test for
the issuance of Special Permits.

4. Additionally, the project comforms to the requirements for the issuance of a Project Development Use Permit in that those projects within the Agriculture and Open Districts will be part of the harmonious and integrated plan that will contribute positive benefits to this resort destination area.

5. It is finally concluded that the Class
IV zoning permits is appropriate, however for those
projects being considered under the zoning amendment,
such permits must be subject to the zoning amendment
approval and a subsequent review by the Planning
Commission.

RECOMMENDATION:

A. Based on the foregoing findings, evaluation and conclusion, it is hereby recommended SPECIAL PERMIT SP-86-6 be approved subject to the condition that within one year from Planning Commission approval, the applicant shall submit a Land Use Boundary Amendment application to the Land Use Commission for all lands within the Agriculture District subject to all phases of development.



B. Based on the foregoing findings, evaluation and conclusion, it is hereby recommended that ZONING AMENDMENT ZA-86-7 from Agriculture Districts (A) to Resort Residential District (RR-20) be apoproved subject to the following conditions.

Number one has been amended to read the number of hotel units shall not exceed 750 rooms unless authorized and deemed to be consistent with the zoning designation by the Planning Commission.

- 2. Prior to building permit approval for any improvments within Phase II, the applicant shall submit the necessary documentation and/or legal agreements reflecting the resolution of the following:
- a) The disposal or use of the Lihue Sewage
 Treatment effluent for golf course irrigation and use
 within the lagoons.
- b) Disposal of the Lihue mill wastewater and the L-20 reservoir.
- c) Conditions upon which sewer service will be provided by the County since the proposed development is outside of the service area of the present 1.5 mgd STP capacity.
- 3. Applicant shall establish a landscape buffer zone around the Lihue STP. Size of the buffer

4. Applicable conditions imposed by the State Land Use Commission at time of district boundary amendment shall be completed by August 22, 1988.

Number five has been amended to read opening of the hotel shall occur no earlier than the completion of the Ahukini bypass road which is anticipated to be in service by January 1988. This condition is subject to further review.

- minimum number of public parking stalls, to be determined by the Planning Commission, at the eastern end of the project in the vicinity of the Ninini Point access and public beach right-of-way as part of this project. Improvements shall further include restroom and shower facilities, and shall be so maintained by the applicant. Such improvments shall be credited to any park dedication requirement, if applicable.
- 7. No habitable structures shall be constructed within areas falling within the Projected 1995 Fixed Wing Aircraft and Helicopter noise contour level of 60 LDN. For added mitigative measures, a

berm, landscaped with mature trees shall be provided along the property line that parallels the runway and lagoon's commercial area, and shall be reflected on the landscape master plan.

- 8. Traffic improvments to intersections or roadways within the Lihue District that would be adversely impacted by the increase in traffic generated by the hotel project shall be considered and, as may be appropriate, required by the Planning Commission at time of consideration of the Special Management Area Use Permit for the proposed hotel.
- C. Based on the foregoing findings, evaluation and conclusion, it is hereby recommended that SPECIAL MANAGEMENT AREA USE PERMIT SMA

 (U)-86-16, PROJECT DEVELOPMENT USE PERMIT PDU-86-60 and Class IV ZONING PERMIT Z-IV-86-70 be approved subject to the following conditions:
- 1. The Class IV Zoning permit approved at this time covers the following projects:
- a. those projects that are part of the Project Development approval within Ag and Open zones; and
- b. those projects under the Special Permit approval.

The Class IV zoning for those projects

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

Ξ

incorporated as part of the zoning amendment application are hereby approved subject to approval of the Zoning Amendment by the County Council.

All Class IV approvals are subject to further review and approval by the Planning Commission when more detailed plans become available.

Exterior lighting fixtures shall be only of the following types: shielded lights, cut-off luminaires or indirect lighting. Spotlighting of ocean or any structures during the evening hours shall be subject to review and approval by the Planning Director.

- 3. Prior to building permit application the applicant shall:
- a. Submit schematic design plans for review and approval by the Planning Department for all structures in this phase of development along the coastal bluffs. All structures proposed to be constructed along the coastal bluffs should be designed to meet the recommendations of the Kauai Urban Design Plan.
- b. Submit a landscaping master plan for review and approval by the Planning Department.
 - c. Stake out for review and approval by

the Planning Department, a) seaward locations of all structures and improvments proposed on the coastal bluff, and b) the Conservation District. Greater setbacks can be required at this time in order to mitigate adverse visual impacts from occurring and/or to mitigate impacts to the Conservation District.

- and approval by the Planning Director a shoreline access plan which reflects public access to the shoreline, particularly in the Ninini Point and Running Waters Beach; lateral access along the coast between the subject properties and Kukii Point; vehicular parking; and a restroom/shower facility.
- e. Consult with the appropriate Federal or State authorities regarding preservation and/or relocation of the Hawaiian Gallinule wildlife habitat. Applicant shall comply with any requirements imposed by these authorities.
- 4. Requirements and concerns of the State
 Health Department, County Public Works, Water and
 Fire Departments shall be met and resolved with the
 respective agencies.
- 5. The Planning Commission may impose additional conditions, restrictions or requirements on the permits approved herein should unanticipated

Thank

24

25

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

they're quite technical, and they will certainly

planning department on so many these matters, because

affect our ability to develop the project.

A project of this nature is very complex at best. So much so that we brought 32 members from our banking group, from around the world, to Kauai last week to visit the project and to make a presentation to them as to this phase, what we designate as the Phase III improvments.

Subsequent to that trip to Kauai, we've had extensive meetings; we will continue those today with the various legal counsels of the banking groups to see how in the world we're going to put together something this complex within a very short period of time that we have; and time is so critical at this point.

It's critical because if we don't follow in a development sequence that will allow us to open the hotel next September, with golf, at least a golf course playable, then we will not be able to take advantage of all of the aspects of this Phase III development program, the extended golf, which would incorporate the lands of Amfac, because we're bound by our 350 million dollar mortgage to provide these facilities within that particular period of time.

We've demonstrated to the banking community's satisfaction that it is possible to do

this. I didn't indicate it was probable, but it is possible. And it will take a great deal of effort by a lot people who have the belief, hopefully they do believe this is important for the island of Kauai and our people.

These extraordinary efforts will be rewarded in time. So, I am greatfully appreciative of your efforts in this light.

You can see also, we were fortunate enough to make arrangements for a court reporter, who will be able to get the transcripts out as quickly as possible, due to again these timing issues. If we don't get this transcript to the County Council by tomorrow, we'll be delayed another significant period of time, that had been demonstrated to me that it exceeds a month, puts us past our critical go or no go date, and we would have to forego the opportunity of this development. That's how critical timing is.

I would like to make a comment on a few of the items in your recommendations, and have you refocus on these, at least help me understand some of the implications.

The first one is on page nine, item number five, and it's really the substance of what we're discussing.

6 7

9

10

8

11 12 13

15 16

14

17 18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

When we indicate that a building permit for the hotel shall not be processed until such a time that the bypass highway is completed, what we're really saying there is that -- excuse me, let me even back up and read the revised language which said opening of the proposed hotel shall occur no earlier than the completion of the bypass road, Ahukini bypass road which is anticipated to be in service by January of 1988.

From a lending standpoint there is no banking institution, that I'm aware of, that will provide a development loan, or a construction loan to any organization, wherein the opening of the asset, of the facilities is subject to a third party, or a condition that is out of the control of the developer.

So, essentially what that recommendation is stating is that until the bypass road is complete, there will not be any financing provided for the hotel, and thus there will not even be a building permit issued.

So, you're talking about a situation, with this language, that puts you way way down the line.

Let us assume for a moment that the January 1988 date is accurate, and that that bypass road is

completed at that time. Maybe I'm a little cynical,

but when I look at government project of that nature

and I see dates of this nature that are reflective of

past experiences, I would suggest that that might be

5 an optomistic date, and one that I would hope for.

But let us assume for a moment that the January 1988 date was in fact achieved. At that particular point in time we would then have to go to our lenders and say that this condition has been removed, and we would go through the normal legal checks and the like, that might take two, three months, and then we would be given a go ahead from our lenders to proceed with the development process. We, at that point in time, would wrap up the plans, submit them to the building department, go through the normal inspection period, hopefully have the hotel under construction sometime in late 1988 and be involved in development sometime in 1990, or in the opening sometime in 1990.

So, I think it's a little bit of a misnomer to say that the opening of the proposed hotel shall occur no earlier than that 1988 date, because what you're really saying is in the 1990's as a practical matter.

I would like to suggest that rather than

saying the opening of the hotel, we really clarify that and suggest that a building permit for the proposed hotel shall not be issued earlier than the completion of the Ahukini bypass road, which is anticipated to be in service by January 1988, or, no later than March 1988, whichever comes first.

January 1988, or even before that, and I understand that there are people in high government levels that believe it will be completed about before January of 1988, fine, then we can look to the issuance of the permit. But if it doesn't happen by that time, and it happens let's say in 1995, or some extended period of time down the line, we at least have a date there that we can grab hold of and say fine, we misguessed when that bypass road would be completed but we certainly feel that we haven't misguessed by over a hundred percent; which means that this January 1988 date is only 18 months from now.

So, if you were to add 18 months on to January 1988, you are in theory saying even at a hundred percent fail-safe that the hotel would not open before June of 1989. And I'm suggesting to you that that would be a rather safe date, being a hundred percent wrong on that guesstimate.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

Thus, if we had an issuance of the building permit no later than March of 1988, at least we have a financeable package here. Something that tracks.

That, I would like you to at least to consider, and as a practical issue put you way down line on the opening.

COMMISSIONER MATSUMURA: Can I interrupt. I think we're talking about the proposed hotel that is to come on this, and not the one that is being renovated and refurbished now.

MR. LAURETA: That's correct. The second hotel being proposed, 750 rooms.

COMMISSIONER MATSUMURA: The 750 unit. A11 right, excuse me.

MR. HEMMETER: Yes, that is correct.

The way your staff recommendations read right now, it would suggest that we could in fact open the hotel, that hotel, that second hotel, as early as January of 1988, if in fact we achieve the goals that we anticipate will come about. And I'm suggesting that we would even be willing to live with something that would give you a hundred percent fail-safe on the timing, if that was a great consideration, and say we can't even draw a building permit until such a time. Because I'm telling you,

2.3

a s	a practical	issue,	that's	what	it	really	is
say	/ing.						

MR. YOUN: I've got a question. Originally when we first reviewed this proposal it was projected to be opened in 1992. And did you move up the opening date for the new hotel?

MR. HEMMETER: I noticed that in the report, and that was going to be my next comment. I think if you review the transcript, we would say early 1990 is what we had indicated, and the 1992 date was a date that I don't recall being that specific.

But the answer is that we would anticipate opening that hotel in the early 1990's.

MR. YOUN: Can I read your proposed condition again, and correct me if I'm wrong. A building permit for the hotel shall not be issued earlier than the completion of the Ahukini bypass road which is anticipated to be in service by January 1988, or no later than March '88, March 1988, whichever comes first.

Is that special management area use use how you stated your condition?

MR. HEMMETER: Yes, sir. Our first preference would be to strike the entire issue.

MR. YOUN: In my interpretation of your
proposal, that means if the bypass road is not under
construction or completed by March 1988, that we have

to give you a building permit anyway.

MR. HEMMETER: We can apply for a building permit. You wouldn't have to issue it, but we can apply for it at that particular time, and subject to meeting all the normal conditions, it could be issued at that particular point in time.

And what I'm further suggesting is that construction of the hotel of that nature will take between 21 months and 24 months, essentially two years to open. And so rather than an opening in 1988, which is the condition you've provided in here, we're really talking an opening in the early 1990's, which is what we had represented at the last public hearing. Just as a practical issue of timing again, issuance of the permit and the construction process thereafter.

MR. YOUN: Can I ask you another question.

I'm somewhat like you, I think it's highly optomistic

that the road will be open in January '88. But if we

added a clause to your condition, say after the

wording, "or no later than March 1988", "provided the

bypass road is under construction". Would that pose

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

=

a problem also?

MR. HEMMETER: Yes it would.

MR. YOUN: Rather than completed.

MR. HEMMETER: Yes it would. I don't know, if it's under construction right now it wouldn't add a problem, but the problem that exists again, a banker will make a very simple statement and he'll say, can you absolutely warrant that that road will be under construction at that time. And we'll say no. And they'll say then you don't have your loan. And that's the practical way it works.

Because, Mr. Youn, what the problem is, is once they commit to the development financing, then we have the right to go and spend that money. And that money, the sixty-two and a half million dollars on this Phase III with an additional two hundred million on that hotel portion. And once we spend that money, the 65, or the 62.5 million, excuse me, on the Phase III, it's in anticipation of the Phase IV development. And if that Phase IV development doesn't come about, then the Phase III money just doesn't make any sense at all.

And in my testimony at the last public hearing, I indicated to you what we were trying to do is set up a series of events here that made a logical

planning process, and so that we could have our critical path move in such a direction that we could accommodate certain events today, in anticipation of certain events in the future taking place.

If we eliminate the possibility of those future events, then it's difficult.

Now, we would have no problem with the banking community, suggesting to them that we recognize we must have a building permit before we can build. That's an unknown. But to some degree,, or in fact almost entirely it's in our hands because that's a very defined issue. Once we meet those regulations, the building permit would be issued subject to the proper zoning.

It's the unknown factor that's difficult.

If I may make one last comment, my good friend suggested I make myself clear.

What we're suggesting, which provides the fail-safe again, is the permit being issued at the earliest, upon the completion of the highway.

Therefore, we would suggest that the traffic problem would at least be addressed. Or, 21 to 24 months from March 1988, which provides us an awful long period of time as a fail-safe against that January date of 1988. Because that's the earliest we could

POWERS & ASSOCIATES - (808) 521-7815

Ξ

open that hotel.

2.3

He said another thing to me that distresses me. He said well, you all get another shot at us when we come in for the SMA, in any event. And I recognize that. But I think that this particular issue, which is a high charged issue, and rightly so, needs to be addressed at this point, at this particular point, because we either have to abort or move forward at an early stage.

THE CHAIRMAN: The director has requested we take a short recess. We'll take a five minute recess.

(Short recess.)

THE CHAIRMAN: We'll call our meeting back to order please.

MR. YOUN: During the recess we discussed a suggested alternative. And rather than relating to any building permit at all, we tried to relate this condition to the occupancy permit. And we have a suggestion that goes: In order to assure adequate timing for completion of the Ahukini bypass road, no occupancy permit for the hotel shall be issued prior to January 1st, 1990. This gives the developer the option to secure his building permit way before that time, and it also does not make the project dependant

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

Ξ

1 on completion of a government project. 2 THE CHAIRMAN: Is that agreeable? 3 MR. HEMMETER: Yes. I think that is 4 agreeable to us, because from the banking standpoint we then can demonstrate that we have the finances 5 available to carry the costs of the interim 6 7 development loan up through a given period of time. As long as we can tie back to a specific period of 8 9 time as a minimum, then we can satisfy the banking 10 community. 11 THE CHAIRMAN: Do you have any other items 12 that you that you wanted to discuss? 13 MR. HEMMETER: No, sir. 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Does the commission have anything else that they want to question Mr. Hemmeter 15 16 on? 17 Thank you very much. Okay, just for an explanation for the 18 commission, we will be acting first on item B, which 19 20 is the zoning amendment. ZA-86-7, change from Agriculture District (A) to Resort Residential 21 district (RR-20). And then we'll backtrack to the 22 23 other two items.

What is the pleasure of the commission?

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

Commissioner Matsumura.

24

25

COMMISSIONER MATSUMURA: Mr. Chairman, I move to accept staff's recommendation on zoning amendment ZA-86-7 be approved with its amended condition.

MR. YOUN: And the amended condition

MR. YOUN: And the amended condition reads: "In order to assure"-- this condition replaces condition number 5: "In order to assure adequate time for completion of the Ahukini bypass road, no occupancy permit for the hotel shall be issued prior to January 18th, 1990."

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any discussion? Was there a second?

COMMISSIONER COSTA: Second.

(ROLL CALL VOTE WAS TAKEN).

THE CHAIRMAN: Any discussion? Hearing none, Madeline, may we have a roll call vote please.

THE CHAIRMAN: That has been carried.

We'll now can act on item A, and C together. A, being the Special Permit SP-86-6 and C being the Special Management Area Use Permit.

COMMISSIONER COSTA: Mr. Chairman, I move that Special Permit SP-86-6 and SMA Use Permit U-86-16, Project Development Use Permit U-86-60 and Class IV Zoning Permit Z-IV-86-70 be approved as recommended by staff.

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815



COMMISSIONER SIALANA: I second. THE CHAIRMAN: It has been moved and seconded that SP-86-6 and Special Management Area Use SMA (U)-86-16, Project Development Use Permit PDU-86-60 and Class IV Zoning Permit Z- IV-86-70 be approved as accepted by staff. Is there any discussion? Madeline, may we have a roll call vote please. (ROLL CALL VOTE WAS TAKEN). THE CHAIRMAN: It has been approved. Thank you very much: We'll recess until one thirty for lunch. (The hearing was concluded at 12:15 p.m.)



-	-	-	
	-	-	-
	_	=	_

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, $\int (c)+iA_{FO} D \int A_{CO} D$ hereby certify that
4	the foregoing is a full, true and correct statement of
5	the proceedings held on $\frac{13}{4}$, as taken
6	down by me in stenotype and thereafter transcribed into
7	typewriting under my supervision.
8	DATED: Honolulu, Hawaii, 8-13-85.
9	
10	Rutaul Jacks CSR756
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	*
20	
21	i vita de la companya
22	
23	
24	,
25	

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815



PLANNING DEPARTMENT, COUNTY OF KAUAI STATE OF HAWAII

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

In the matter of

5 HEMMETER/VMS KAUAI COMPANY

ZONING AMENDMENT ZA-86-7

STATE OF HAWAII

TRANSCRIPT OF TAPE RECORDING OF HEARING

Hearing held on Wednesday, July 23, 1986, commencing at 4:39 PM, in the Council Chambers, County of Kauai, 4396 Rice Street, Lihue, Hawaii.

BEFORE:

THOMAS CONTRADES, Planning Commissioner Chairman

SUNSHYNE COSTA, Commissioner

GWEN HAMABATA, Commissioner

BETTY MATSUMURA, Commissioner

REBECCA SIALANA, Commissioner

AVERY YOUN, Planning Director

MICHAEL LAURETA, Planner

21

22

23

24

25

POWERS & ASSOCIATES



\underline{I} \underline{N} \underline{D} \underline{E} \underline{X}

3	PRESENTATIONS BY:	PAGE NO.
4	Owen Miyamoto	5
5	Tony Kunimura	12
6	Chris Hemmeter	18
7		4 3
	Cheryl Lovell Obatake	31
8	Dr. Albert Ley	38
	David Pratt	49
10	Bert Lyon	49
11	_	
	Andy Nichols	51
12	Leslie Lovell	57
13		,

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

THE CHAIRMAN: We're now on item B7,
Zoning Amendment ZA-86-7, and change from Agricultural, District A, to Resort Residential, RR 20,
Special Management Area Use Permit SMAU 86-16,
Project Development Use Permit U86-60, Special
Permit SP 86-18, and Class 4 Zoning Permit Z486-70.
Hemmeter/VMS Kauai Company III, Expansion of Resort
Project in Nawiliwili.

Michael will be doing the staff report for us. Michael? Mike will locate the subject property for the commissioners.

MR. LAURETA: I think everybody knows where it is.

THE CHAIRMAN: I think so.

MR. LAURETA: Tax map keys are 3502 and 3501, portions of Parcels 6, 27, 82, 83 and Parcel 1. The total acreage of the overall Westin resort will be approximately 454, plus or minus, acres. The total acreage of the first Westin permit request was for 214 acres.

Land use classification: conservation, urban and agriculture. Existing uses: agriculture, resort hotel and related uses, and golf course. The General Plan designation is Resort, Urban Mixed Use, Open and Agriculture. Zoning: agriculture and open.

POWERS & ASSOCIATES



=

Utilizing this map, I'd like to locate the different phases of the project.

Those improvements(?)* of Phase 1 included: revise entryway in the parking, revise existing ten-story structures and the convention center, a restaurant and paddling club, the walkway, the swimming pool, ten-story structure and parking areas here, a Japanese inn, relocated access to the Pali Kai cottages in this configuration here, parking by the Pali Kai cottages, a restaurant at the Point, a chapel, a spa and health center here.

Those were basically the major considerations in the first request.

This request coming in now is for the areas in blue, is this lagoon, approximately 40 acres of lagoon here, three commercial areas, cliffs, lagoons and the islands located(?) in yellow, revised golf course layout, a clubhouse, a driving range, new entryway off Kapuli(?) Highway and from the airport.

This application also requests a zoning amendment for a future hotel site. This application does not -- does not refer to this hotel site now. It's just for zoning amendment. It will come in later. They'll have to go through another public

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(808) 521-7815

^{*}Indicates hearing or spelling uncertainty.

hearing for the SMA(?) zoning use permits at a later date.

Access(?) required, project description and use: A special permit is required since the applicant proposes to establish certain uses within the State Land Use Agricultural District that are not agriculturally related. The requested uses and improvements include, one, the redesign of the existing 18-hole golf course by Jack Nicholas; two, a new driving range; three, a new golf clubhouse and starter shed, approximately 26,000 square feet; four, golf and grounds maintenance areas; five, approximately 30 acres of waterways and lake; six, boat docks for 100 charter boats and three ferries; seven, a parking area for 600-plus cars; eight, irrigation lagoon of approximately nine acres; nine, the boat maintenance facility --(?)

MR. MIYAMOTO: Appreciate your consideration. My name is Owen Miyamoto. I'm the Airports Administrator for Department of Transportation.

I'm not here to speak either for or against the project. We have been working closely with Mr. Hemmeter and his consultants in the development of this project.

They have come to us for information

POWERS & ASSOCIATES



concerning the existing conditions at the airport as well as future plans for the development of the airport.

I think, as all of you are familiar, there has been a lot of interest in our airport and its future role in providing service for overseas carriers. There have been a lot of comments as to whether or not we should provide a longer runway for Lihue Airport. Now, that consideration, although it is not an approved project at this present time -- and let me emphasize that particular point. We do not have the approval by the Legislature to build a longer runway for Lihue Airport. We have to, of course, consider in the development of the Master Plan for the airport such a possibility.

As you all know, we previously had a hearing here in Kauai concerning a longer runway for the airport. The reaction that we received at the public hearings were that the community was not in favor of the runway at that time. And so we proceeded to build a second runway here at Lihue Airport, a 6500-foot-long runway.

At the present time we do have approval for the extension of the former -- or the existing

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

old runway, Runway 321, which is right in front of the terminal building, from its present length to 6500 feet. This is one of the concerns that we have expressed to the noise consultants for the developer, that he should take into consideration the fact that extension of the old runway is imminent. That runway is, of course, very close to the development, and should be considered in planning for the noise impact of that runway.

Another project that we have is a project called a Part 150 (?) study that is under a federal aid program for the examination of the noise impact of the airport and any mitigation efforts that we should take to reduce noise impact to the community. The project will start very soon. We will have public information meetings here in Kauai to advise the community as to what we plan to do in that particular area.

Under that program, a new type of noise identification called the loudness-day-and-night indicator, which was referred to in the presentation by the consultant, will be developed in that project, literally(?). And in that project, when the noise contours are developed under that program, it will give you a very good idea as to what should be

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

developed in various areas and what should not. It will be based on not only the plans for the existing runway but any future development that may be considered, that may be approved, in the future for Lihue Airport.

So my main reason for being here today -and I appreciate again your consideration of my
testimony, without any written information -- is
that you take into consideration the fact that
there is an airport immediately adjacent to this
development -- and I'm sure that this is an obvious
thing, but that in your consideration, that the
developer be asked to continue to work with us
very closely so that their development, as well as
ours, are compatible to each other.

Thank you very much. If you have any questions, I'd be happy to answer them at this time.

THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioners, do you have any questions?

The director has a question.

MR. YOUN: You're using LDN(?) now?

MR. MIYAMOTO: Yes, we are.

MR. YOUN: Our commission is more familiar with decibel levels. Now, the LDN numbers and the db numbers, how can you tell what they (?)

POWERS & ASSOCIATES



(unintelligible)?

MR. MIYAMOTO: Yes. We can correlate them for you. This is part of the program that we have so we can make a direct comparison between LDNs and decibels. You know, actually, the LDN is a system where it takes into account, as your staff has indicated, the frequency of operations, not only the loudness but how many times an airplane goes back and forth over an affected area.

The studies that have been conducted worldwide on the impact of airport noise is that it's not only the one event that occurs but also how many times that event occurs. The more time it occurs, obviously the people are going to get more annoyed. But the decibel system merely measures a single event, the loudness level, and I know that you're familiar with that. But what we're trying to do is combine the single event with the frequency of operation.

We expect the Lihue Airport to continue to grow. The number of flights will continue to increase. So that has to be cranked into this formula that we have to give you the LDN system. But we will try to make it, when the presentation is made, as clear as possible and to relate it to

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

Disposal of effluent from our Lihue

sewage treatment plant is a serious concern. Similar
to conditions imposed by the State Land Use Commission
on the reclassifying of the subject lands, the

23

24

25

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

County should impose conditions to the permits to resolve the problem of effluent disposal satisfactorily.

Also, No. 5 is page 4, Roman numeral IV, item 19, Golf Course Irrigation. The report indicates that sewage effluent from the STP is available for golf course irrigations. Previously we had an agreement with InterIsland Resorts for the use of effluent from our Lihue STP for golf course irrigation. However, at the time of transfer of ownership of Kauai Surf Hotel to Hemmeter/VMS, the assumption of that agreement was to have been prepared by the new owner. To date no assumption of the agreement has been made.

The original agreement with InterIsland Resorts expires in June 1989. In light of what is being proposed relative to use of the effluent, a new agreement for use of the effluent should be entered into.

Item 7, Roman numeral IV, item 21,
Sewerage. The report indicates that the existing
county sewage facilities can accommodate the sewage
to be generated by the proposed development.
However, the report fails to indicate that
accommodation is conditional. The proposed

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

development is outside of the service area of the present 1.5 NGB(?) capacity. An agreement must be reached on the conditions upon which sewer service will be provided by the County.

All other agency comments are attached. This concludes the report.

THE CHAIRMAN: Do the commissioners have any questions?

Thank you, Mike.

Mr. Hemmeter, are you going to be making a presentation? At this time we will let you, if you want to come here or at the mike.

MR. HEMMETER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Before I start my presentation, I know the mayor is very kind to be here today, and his time might be pressing. If you would like to receive any testimony or any comments from the mayor, if he had plans on making such, due to his time schedule I'll be happy to defer my comments until the mayor has made his comments.

MR. KUNIMURA: Thank you very much (?), Mr. Hemmeter.

Members of the Commission, as you know,

I very seldom come up to Commission hearings, but
this particular project I feel is of such magnitude

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(808) 521-7815

that it becomes incumbent to be present here to 1 testify and to share with you some of my -- you 2 know (?).

My whole concept of this project will not be just to determine the Hemmeter development but to take the total existence or the survival of Lihue Plantation, which is a sugar plantation. share with you that it's becoming extremely difficult for the sugar industry to survive from the present conditions, starting from mostly (?) sugar.

This will give, if approved and become a reality, will give Lihue Plantation a certain amount of income other than sugar, and we may be able to sustain the plantation for quite a few years in(?) the future.

The other factors that I would like to share with you, and, as I have discussed this matter with the manager of the Lihue Plantation and some of the Amfac officials, as well as with Mr. Hemmeter, that -- the effect of the County capital outlay to get(?) rid of the effluent from our sewage treatment plant to an outflow in the ocean would become necessary. There might be (?) quite a bit of savings for us because this

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(808) 521-7815

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

development may be using all the known (?) -the effluent from the sewage treatment plant for
the irrigation of the golf course, as stated (?).

Also, one very, very sore spot in the Lihue Plantation environmental quality is that settling(?) pond down near the airport across from the new terminal. That thing, I'm told by the Lihue Plantation officials, that pond will be filled and dumping of clean wash water will be terminated and that fluming of cane down to the present mill would be eliminated and a conveyor system would be developed. So less water will be used to flume the cane down to the mill and then subsequently pumped up again and recycled back and forth and become very, very muddy and dirty.

And when all these things happen, and

I hope it will, then the present cultivation of
sugar cane in this particular area, which is very
rich soil -- but at the present time, according
to all the statistics, one of the most highly
classified ag lands has become close to a watercress
patch because of the high amount of water that was
necessary to be dumped to satisfy the excess water
spreading over this great area.

So the sugarcane, because -- being in

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the grass family, you need to have this drying process or ripening process, once that sugargane becomes mature, as I'm told, because I was raised on a plantation. But after the sugarcane becomes mature, it becomes necessary that you cut out the irrigation and you dry out the field to a certain -- for a certain period so that you develop the brick (?). That's the quantity of sugar. And if you continue to apply water, then the sugarcane will continue to grow because it has no point in time where it becomes -- where it won't stop growing because it belongs to the grass family and will continue the process of photosynthesis and will not you know, be providing the necessary quantity of sugar in the stalk but rather just leaves and high fiber and a lot of water and very low quality of juice for sugar manufacturing.

So, taking all of these things into consideration, there never will be (?) an environmental impact on the lifestyle, maybe (?), on Kauai. But we're going to have to determine whether we're going to continue to have sugar cultivation or we're going to continue to have job opportunity on this island. And if you search(?) some of the statistics provided by the statisticians

POWERS & ASSOCIATES



=

of the state and the HVB, that Kauai, since 1979, I believe, the highest point, began to decline, and then all of a sudden back in 1984 we started to climb again after Hurricane Iwa. 1983, of course, was not a true reflection because of the heavy damage sustained by Hurricane Iwa.

But I feel that until we can find some alternative other than tourism or sugar, we've got to do the best we can with what we can do best. And I would like to recommend that this Commission be very serious about considering this project, and I would like to recommend that I personally will be supporting this because I feel that every effort is being made to make this one of the best resort areas in the world. And Kauai had been left, maybe rightfully so, to be relegated to a position where Hawaii, Maui and Oahu have had major first-class-type, world-class-type developments, except Kauai has lagged behind, maybe rightfully. You know, it's a good thing it happened to us because we learn from their mistakes.

But I would rather have one of these -one of this development here than the kind of a
honky-tonk that came up along Kihei in Maui
because the quality of the visitor here is very

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(808) 521-7815

=

important: if you're going to have one visitor spend \$100 and one type of class come over here, the quality visitor. And the other way, to have Kihei reenacted or, you know, developed on Kauai, then you're going to have 300 people come over here to spend the same amount of money. Then I think we will be making a big mistake.

I would like to surely recommend that every individual coming over here has a volume, a cubic displacement, a square-foot displacement, understand(?), and the number of vehicles on the road. I certainly would like to recommend a quality development over some of the cheaper-type developments from bringing (?) a lot of people here with very little spending. I'd rather see a few people come over here and spend a lot of money.

Thank you very much. I have an appointment at Coco Palms at 6 o'clock because some of the people making a presentation will have to catch their plane home, and so I have to rush over. But if you have any questions, I'd be most happy to answer.

THE CHAIRMAN: Are there any questions, Commissioners?

Thank you, Mr. Mayor.

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

MR. KUNIMURA: Thank you very much.

THE CHAIRMAN: Turn it over to you, Mr.

Hemmeter.

MR. HEMMETER: Thank you very much, Mr. Commissioner.

After listening to Mike's report, I was astounded at how complex these developments can become, and after reading them, I'm even more amazed.

I would like to reduce some things back to a more simple form and try to address those because all the technical issues have been well addressed, and maybe some of the larger and more important issues should be highlighted. Maybe if I could make a few comments on those, I would appreciate it.

The area that we're talking about in this particular application is currently cane land, and, more importantly, this area is a dumping ground for effluent and for their wastewater. When we acquired the Kauai Surf project, we knew that the No. 1 problem that we had in that location was the mosquito problem, the mill-wastewater-dumping problem, the burning-of-the-cane problem, as it relates to that particular hotel. This has caused some serious

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

problems in the past, and we had to address that issue.

When we got involved in studying that issue, we found out that this particular area that we're discussing today was receiving about 6.5 million gallons a day from the Lihue Plantation of a very brown, milky water, and it was being dumped here because there was no other area for this water to be dumped. It was the cheapest area. It could gravity-feed to this area. What we were dealing with, really, was a great saturation basin.

Across on the makai side, the east side, of the runway there were silting ponds where they tried to get the water to move through the soil at a more rapid pace. They had assigned something in the neighborhood of 10,000 gallons for every acre of land to be dumped into this area. This was causing our problems with the mosquitos in the area and caused us additional problems with emergency dumping of the mill wastewater into Nawiliwili Stream and a lot of the pollution in the bay, Nawiliwili Harbor.

So, when we took a look at that, we decided that -- because it became a larger problem than we originally thought, we decided that we

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

would talk to Amfac and see if there was some possibility that we could acquire the majority of those lands around the airport and put them to other uses, to be selectively used for recreational purposes and where we could develop a few golf courses, 36 holes of golf in that area.

The Lihue Plantation folks indicated their interest in our discussions for a number of reasons, including the fact that they knew they had to clear up this problem in some way and some day, this dumping problem of water.

So, after a lengthy survey, it was indicated that it would cost about 3.6 million dollars to accommodate their problem, to put in a mechanical system for moving cane as opposed to a flume system to move the water up into the upper reservoirs and so it could be used properly for the irrigation of the canefields. Lihue Plantation indicated to us that if we were willing to pay that sum of money and redo their entire mill system, as it relates to this wastewater dumping, that we in fact could enter into discussions on the alternate use of this property. We agreed to do that and proceeded with the engineering drawings and the like to accommodate Amfac and Lihue Plantation

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

on that dumping problem.

When our original application was made for this hotel project, we at that time were talking about 214 acres of land. With this additional acreage, I believe we're somewhere over 550 acres, closer to 580 acres.

We were able to accommodate a number of other benefits through this land acquisition program. One of the benefits was the fact that we would be able to access traffic directly from the airport onto our properties and that the guests coming to the Westin Kauai hotel would not have to go out and use public roadways. So when we talk about increase in traffic, we're certainly not talking about an increase in -- a great amount of increase in traffic from the guests of this resort hotel.

If I was to suggest that Belt Collins was to do a study and compare it back to the original Kauai Surf hotel as to the traffic it generated vis-a-vis the traffic we would generate, knowing that we will have access directly from the airport parking ramps directly onto our property for guests arriving and departing from our resort, I would suggest that we might even be decreasing the amount of traffic on the roads of the county.

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

On the other hand, we are increasing traffic as it relates to our employees. We will be employing a great deal of additional folks, and these folks will have to come in from the varying bedroom communities surrounding the area. This will cause traffic. Employment causes traffic.

On the way here today, somebody turned to me and said, "Yes, Chris, but I think unemployment might cause more traffic." I thought that one through a little bit and thought maybe that could be something we might wish to think about also.

And, lo and behold, it might well be true.

As it relates to the sound situation, we have had continuing meetings with the Airports

Division. We are pleased in our working relation—
ship with them to explore areas where we can accommodate and reduce the sound, the effective sound, on the resort. The 856 rooms are already at the resort. There were 553 rooms at the resort prior to our arriving on the scene. We have learned that we could substantially reduce the noise by burming around the airport lands, by heavily landscaping that area and the like, because sound, to some degree, follows line of sight and drops off very quickly once line of sight is

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(818 521-7815

broken.

So we are going to be moving hundreds of thousands of cubic yards of material, and our plan calls for substantial burming around the entire airport property and substantial landscaping, which should certainly reduce the sound levels of what is currently at the resort. We will also, of course, stay within the LDN noise-contour lines.

In further developing our resort, we found other benefits from this program. One was that our golf courses were able to expand and we were able to utilize more land and more open space in this development. So we didn't get down to the restricted areas. And we were able to introduce these lakes.

A gentleman stopped me today, and he said, "I understand you're proposing 125 boat slips out on the ocean for charter boats." I indicated to him that we were not doing that but what we had was 125 slips on this 30-some-acre lake that we were creating and that what we were going to do is these boats would be outrigger canoes that would be widened a little bit, they would be paddled by young fellows in the community who wish to take on this kind of employment, and that these

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

particular boats would be operated about 14 hours a day and that we were looking at anywhere from a five-to-seven-hour utilization of these boats for canoe trips around the lake.

Well, to give you an idea of the size of the lake, the lake has over ten miles of shoreline. So you're talking about a substantial-size lake. If we were to interrelate the three ferry boats, the three motorized boats, between the three commercial centers, then we would be talking about a trip that's over three and a half miles by ferry-boat on the lakes themselves.

This particular model that you're looking at is an incomplete model. We tried to rush it through, and the fellows were working on it until late last night. But about, oh, 70 or 80 percent of the landscaping has been omitted from the model -- we didn't get it in in time -- because the entire shoreline will be lined with palm trees and the like. So I think the esthetic presentation of this model will be greatly improved as we complete it, and, of course, the site likewise.

It's important that I define what these commercial centers are. Two of them are 15,000 square feet. To give you an idea of how much 15,000

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

square feet is, that's approximately the number of retail stores that were in the old Kauai Surf hotel. So we're not talking about regional shopping centers. The third area is 40,000 square feet. That is about the same amount of shop area that currently exists in the Hyatt Regency Maui hotel. So, again, we're not talking about regional shopping complexes. We're talking about very small resort centers that activate the lake, that allow people to get out onto the lakes and take their trips and to enjoy their horse-and-carriage rides around the lake, and the like.

Somebody had indicated to me also that there was some concern about the financing arrangements on this project. In our first phase, we closed our major loan in June of this year. We were behind schedule. We were behind schedule about four months in closing that loan. When we got the legal bills at the end of that period and the time that we closed it, we found out that the legal work to close the Surf hotel loans were 35 person-years of legal work, equaling 3.5 million dollars.

So, when we're talking about financing, we're not talking about going down to the bank and

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

borrowing some money to buy a car or something like that. It's just an enormous job. There were numbers of law firms all over the world working on this, from Japan to New York to Hawaii. As I say, there was a total of over 35 person-years' work put in on the loan closing alone.

During the time that we were delayed, I might further indicate that we met over \$11,000,000 to get our people working and to have that program move forward so that we could meet our construction dates. \$11,000,000 equates to the same amount of money that was spent on a lot of the -- a number of the hotels here in Kauai on a turn-key basis. So that was just our interim program.

I bring that up to you not to suggest that this is something extraordinary but to suggest to you that these types of projects, which will be competitive on a world basis and which will be able to stand with the great resorts of the world, are large, are complex and do take a great deal of time and effort and a great deal of confidence and a lot of people.

When we talk about size, there seems to be some concern about "My goodness, we're getting too large." Well, our Phase 2 hotel project here

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(803) 521-7815

of 750 rooms is scheduled to come on in the early 1990s, and we're not talking about something that we're going to break ground tomorrow on. This is long-range planning. But unless we have in place long-range planning, we cannot accomplish short-term goals. We cannot go out and put sixty-two and a half million dollars worth of additional expenditure in our golf course operations and our lakes program in anticipation that down the line we will be able to further develop these properties unless we have some understanding of the critical path that might lead to those accomplishments, even though that they're years down the line.

We recognize that if you were kind enough to consider this application favorably, that we would have to come back in for SMA permits, we would have to come back in for all other types of permits. So we're not suggesting for a moment that the new hotel is even a fait accompli. All we're suggesting is that the new hotel at least be recognized in the long-range planning of this community as something that might be appropriate for us.

The density is going to be low. When you look at 580 acres with these two hotel facilities

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

and the high quality of facilities, the amount of amenities that are present here, as it relates to other hotel projects that are built on 10, 20, 30 acres of land, you can see that the densities utilized in the overall areas of the project are significantly lower than anything that exists.

The phasing-in of these projects is going to be done in a way that is -- that makes sense not only to us but to the community at large. You're going to continue to control that, and you should control that factor.

The financial community, to some degree, is also going to have a hand in controlling the long-range development of Kauai because unless the funds can be brought from around the world to these projects, these projects are not going to be built.

I'm aware of other projects on this island that are in the planning stage and have come before you and that I think will be fine projects. These projects have not yet been able to consummate their financing. This is the difficult link in this whole process. It's the most difficult link in the process.

I think that it will come about because of the responsible people behind these projects.

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

But it still opens that avenue and suggests that there might well be a question as to the future growth on this island.

So those are the types of long-range plans that we wish to address with this project. We wish to look at this project as a project that can stand with the finest resorts of the world, that is not sprawling out along the shorelines of our islands, that has a road map, a critical path, to a future development, that addresses planning issues in a sensible fashion, that looks at studies such as -- sound studies such as traffic studies and the like and finds out how we can participate and accommodate the conclusions that you would like to arrive at in those issues.

So we're pleased to continue to work very closely with you all to bring Kauai something that we can all be very, very proud of. We think that this larger concept accommodates these kinds of goals, and I would be more than pleased to answer any questions that you might have. I'm also pleased to announce that a number of the cottage owners are present today. They are our neighbors. We have been able to acquire 12 of the 23 cottages along the cliffs. We have entered into a contract

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

to have those cottages removed from the cliffs. Unfortunately, we found out it cost us \$10,000 a cottage to demolish them. So if you and any of your friends would like to go see Hawaiian Dredging and agree to pull them away, that's the budget just to get rid of those, and that doesn't include the planting and landscaping of that area.

So we think that that area is going to have a less density and it's going to be a lovely living spot for those who wish to remain there.

We have also entered into an agreement with the cottage owners that we would provide them with a private lane and a private parking area completely separate from the public parking areas up in that area.

I think that what we tried to do there is, after we went through all the jostling of our first zoning application, our first public hearings before you, we were appreciative of their support, and we came back to them without their request and suggested that we accommodate them with these additional improvements to their area so that they could have quiet enjoyment and be separate from the traffic that we might create in the resort area.

So I'm pleased that those folks are with

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

us today. I think together we can pull this resort together to something we can be proud of. Thanks so much.

THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioners, do you have any questions?

Thank you, Mr. Hemmeter.

MR. HEMMETER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

THE CHAIRMAN: Are you going to have anyone else present anything? No? OK.

At this time we'll open the hearing to the public. Is there anyone wishing to speak for this application?

MS. OBATAKE: Mr. Chairman and members of this Commission, my name is Cheryl Lovell Obatake. I represent several members of my family, Mrs. Beatrice Lovell, my grandmother, Mr. Leslie Lovell, my father, both of whom are real property owners of Tax Map Key 3-5-32-10, further described to be adjacent landowners to Westin Kauai's present development at Kalapaki. This property is located between the old Kuboyama hotel and Nawilili Marine Supply.

As you can recall, May 8th, 1985, at this Council Chambers, Mr. Hemmeter first proposed his plans for the new Westin Kauai project. For

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

this Commission's reference, the transcript of proceedings dated May 8th, 1985, which was commenced at 3:23 PM at the Council Chambers, regarding Special Management Use Permit SMA 3-85-3, Project Development Permit U-28-85, Special Permit SP-8A5-A-5, and Class 4 Zone Permit E-4-85-35,

I wish to relate my concerns towards
the May 8th, 1985, transcript of proceedings, which
is on records. As you can recall, our attorney,
Mr. Hartwell Blake, of Case, Kay & Lynch, personally
represented my family during the May 8, 1985, hearing
as an intervenor. Also, a letter filed by Miss
Candace McCaslin of Case, Kay & Lynch, who submitted
two claims on my family's behalf: one, a protectional(?) easement document with the Bureau of
Conveyances December 14th, 1962, Book No. 451,
page 388; two, title claim to property we thought
we had title to because of the lawsuit that
involved my family, which we found out later the
incident occurred on Kauai Surf's property.

At this time I'd like to direct my concerns to our first claim, which is in the easement adjacent to Westin's project and alongside Nawilili Stream out to Kalapaki Beach. A copy of transcript

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

=

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of proceedings dated May 8th, 1985, page 7, line 4 to 19, indicates Mr. Shigikane's(?) statement of acknowledging the easement. Mr. Shigikane represented Mr. Hemmeter during the May 8th, 1985, hearing as Mr. Hemmeter's attorney. As the transcript reads, "With respect to the application of Mrs. Lovell, however, I should like to say that, as I understand the letter which she has filed, which was done by Miss McCaslin of Case, Kay & Lynch of Honolulu, she has just two claims. of it is that she has got an easement, and she wants that to be protected. " "She has got an easement" -- I'm sorry. "And the second is that she has in hand certain kinds of documents which would form (?) the basis of a claim of property rights within the lines (?) on which these developments are contemplated.

"With respect to the first, if she does have an easement and we understand there is an easement, we certainly are not going to do anything to destroy those rights. But I don't think the protection of that easement right needs any kind of protection by intervention in this Planning Commission hearing."(?)

Commissioners and Mr. Hemmeter, as of

POWERS & ASSOCIATES



July 3rd, 1985, this easement was graded. Dirt was removed. It was a three- to four-feet drop to the easement, making it impossible for me or my family members to have access to the beach.

Secondly, a proposal granted for a box(?) culvert to divert certain spring water on Westin's property to flow into Nawiliwili Stream. But(?) however the plan goes, it may cross over the easement. But(?) today's proposal that Westin is now presenting concerns my family. How much more water is going to be diverted into this box culvert into Nawiliwili Stream, such as the proposed lagoons, storm drains, and water --(?) future lake?

I also represent Lovell's Incorporated, which was established September 11, 1967, by my late grandfather, Mr. Imo Kalawa (?) III. And Mr. Norito Kawakami (?), my uncle through marriage and family legal counselor, presently owns four parcels of land along Nawiliwili Stream, Tax Map Key 3-42-06-22, 3-2-07-17, 3-2-06-4 and 3-2-06-02. Our nature of business is real property leasing.

As adjacent landowners by the stream, we are concerned with several environmental impacts to our properties. One, whenever a high surf occurs, the

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(808) 521-7815

mouth of the stream is blocked by sand, causing the water to return within the stream and overflow onto the adjacent properties. Two, the bed(?) of the stream has accumulated an extreme amount of sedimentation and silt during the past years.

Therefore, it does not have much depth to retain the amount of water when a flood occurs. The proposed plan going(?) to Westin will add more water to Nawiliwili Stream. Basically, it's like overpouring into a glass of water that is already full. The act of God has been overlooked by the various authorities and developers.

The impacts that may be encountered:

one, flood damages to homes and various businesses;

two, sewage backup; three, property depreciation,

depreciation caused by flood due to wet land; four,

infestation of rats and mosquitos on the wet land.

Questions regarding my concerns:

- 1. Is there an actual environmental statement that proves Westin's project to be fault-less of any liabilities? If so, how can I obtain a copy?
- 2. Who is responsible for maintaining the mouth of the stream when a high surf occurs?
 - 3. Who is responsible for cleaning

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

Nawiliwili Stream when it has accumulated enough silt, sedimentation and overgrown vegetation?

Commissioners, you can recall Hawaii's state emblem with the motto "Ua Mau Ke Ea O Ka Aina I Ka Pono," "The Right of the Land Is Perpetuated in Righteousness." Do you believe this is righteousness?

The enclosed tax map keys designates properties owned by my family. We might become money-makers made by Japan. We're just one of the few Hawaiians who are trying to preserve and protect our inheritance of land. If in God we trust, the right of the land will be perpetuated in righteousness. God is not to blame. The act of God has been overlooked by the Commission, the various authorities and the big-time developers.

Before I came to this hearing, I met with Mr. Hemmeter, and we discussed several ideas as far as how we could resolve our easement. I said to him that I could not give him a decision then because I only represent my father and my grandmother. But I am for this project because of the land that we have presently at Harvest(?) Village. We see potentials for that. But we'd like to make aware some of these environmental impacts

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

1 that will be involved in some of our land. 2 you. 3 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioners, do you 4 have any questions? S MR. YOUN(?): Yes, we have (?). 6 THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead. 7 MR. YOUN: You first started out talking 8 about the easement? 9 MS. OBATAKE: Mm-hmm. MR. YOUN: Now, what's wrong with the 10 11 easement now? MS. OBATAKE: Well, presently it has 12 13 been restored. There is a six-foot pathway. We 14 have not negotiated or had any written negotiations 15 as far as what we should do next, whether to follow 16 the pathway of what is proposed on the culvert or should we decide to leave it existingly(?), like 17 18 how it was. It has not been decided yet because I 19 have to talk to my dad and my grandmother yet. 20 MR. YOUN: Isn't it located where it's 21 supposed to be now? 22 MS. OBATAKE: Yes, it is, presently now. MR. YOUN: Isn't access improved as to 23 24 what existed before? 25 MS. OBATAKE: Access is improved, yes,

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (£13) 521-7815

1 now, but during the survey by Mr. Hemmeter's 2 surveyors, we have found that our easement has been 3 eroded by Nawiliwili Stream. And again there's the question who is responsible for the maintenance 5 of Nawiliwili Stream. If it was maintained, then 6 the overflow of the water would not erode our 7 easement. Because there is a lot of sedimentation and silt built up, there is no depth to retain the 9 water. MR. YOUN: Can you leave us a copy of your 10 11 testimony so we can answer all your questions in 12 writing? 13 MS. OBATAKE: I'm sorry. I was so 14 anxious to say my testimony, I forgot to give it 15 out. 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Any further questions? 17 Thank you, Cheryl. 18 Is there anyone wishing to speak against 19 the application? 20 DR. LEY: Mr. Contrades and Commissioners, 21 I spoke at the May 5th hearing, as you recall, as 22 an intervenor, and I'm still an intervenor, I believe. 23 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Excuse me. 25 (Unintelligible) Dr. Ley (?)? MR. ?: **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** (808) 521-7815

Ξ

DR. LEY(?): I think you(?) (unintelligible).

THE CHAIRMAN: Are you requesting to be an intervenor?

DR. LEY: I request intervenor status, please.

THE CHAIRMAN: Could you state your reason?

DR. LEY: I'll state my reason here.

As an adjoining landowner of Lot 21, Kalapaki
Subdivision, I am opposed to granting a permit for an additional 750-room hotel site before the new
Westin Kauai hotel now under construction has been completed and the impact of this present expanded hotel project has been assessed.

Now, there has been some very, how shall I say, slick argument that all the people are going to come in to this hotel from the airport and they won't cause any additional traffic because they're all going to stay there. Are they going to lock them in? I don't know. But if they want to go up to see the canyon, if they want to go up to see Hanalei, I think they're going to rent a car and go. Until we resolve our present road congestion, an additional 750-room hotel, in addition to the

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(818) 521-7815

Sec.

additional rooms that Kauai Westin is going to produce, will further stagnate our traffic.

So what I'm simply requesting is that we go a little bit slow in getting all these hotel rooms until we've completed the infrastructure that will give people a reasonable access to their homes.

It's true that the new employees will considerably increase the traffic, but so will the new guests. See, the new guests aren't going to be very happy if they have to sit in a traffic jam to try to get out on the highway to try to go up to the canyon.

Also, I think we have yet to see how well Hemmeter Company meets the obligations it now has with the current subdivision owners, and I say that advisedly. I have here in my file a copy of an agreement that was signed -- and it's dated 12th of November, 1985, and it was signed by Henry Shigikane(?), who I believe is one of the members of this corporation who is qualified to sign a contract.

Let me read from this: "H/VMS shall permit owner or his designee the exclusive use of three assigned, reserved and designated parking

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

stalls to be constructed along the cottage access road in an area roughly opposite Cottages 11 through 23. In the event that E/VMS in the future ceases to own the motel, H/VMS shall require that, as part of the transaction," etc. It will require the hotel operator to maintain these.

A map, which I'm sure you have in your files, is included, which shows these additional designated parking stalls.

Now, on July 16th of this year I received a letter from Diane Plotts(?), who is also a qualified member of this corporation, I believe, and she states in that letter that now we're simply going to have the parking spaces that we originally had. Mr. Hemmeter also referred to this, that now they've changed the road.

So what I'm trying to demonstrate to you is that this is in a state of flux. They say one thing, they sign a contract with the proper names(?) for one kind of accommodation. Here at this hearing, as well as is witnessed by this letter, which you should also have a copy of, from Hemmeter/VMS Kauai Company II, they're changing it.

I'd like to wait and see what happens.

So that's the basis of my requesting to

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(803) 521-7815

be an intervenor and to object to this proposal to 1 2 grant another hotel zoning permit. And I give you 3 the copies of my letter. Thank you. MR. ?: (Unintelligible.) (?) 5 MR. ?: (Unintelligible) being proposed 6 (?).7 MR. YOUN: The request for intervention 8 here as to what he can intervene on and what we 9 will not allow, firstly, on the special permit you have in your findings, Dr. Ley would qualify 10 11 intervention on the special permit. but it does not include the hotel because the 12 13 hotel at this stage is only for a zoning amendment, and it's a legislative action, and we're not the 14 15 final authority on that. So this body is not the body to intervene with on the hotel itself. 16 17 You can intervene on the special permit 18 request, which does not include the hotel, and also 19 on the SMA requests, which does not include the 20 hotel, also. 21 DR. LEY: Should I, then, submit a

separate request?

22

23

24

25

MR. YOUN: I'm just trying to clarify as to what you're going to intervene on. initially stated only the hotel facility.

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

1 this project incorporates --DR. LEY: Yes, I understand. 2 MR. YOUN: -- commercial areas, boat 3 docks and lagoons, which is all part of the SMA 4 and special permit application. 5 DR. LEY: All right. 6 7 MR. YOUN: We cannot grant your -- we will not grant your intervention status on the hotel 8 zoning amendment. 9 DR. LEY: OK. I'll amend my request 10 for intervenor status, then, to include the 11 project. 12 MR. YOUN: For the special permit and 13 for the Special Management Area Use Permit? 14 that what you're amending it to? 15 DR. LEY: That's correct. 16 If you wish, I'll make that in writing 17 to the commissioners. 18 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Hemmeter, do you have 19 a response? 20 MR. HEMMETER: Yes. I strongly oppose 21 his intervention. 22 You know, folks like the doctor come up here 23 and state one thing that he claims we talk about 24 and finds out that he can't make inroads that way, 25

=

so he switches his story and decides he'll amend it and jump around and do anything he can to be an obstructionist. I think that doesn't add great dignity to what we're trying to accomplish here.

Let me make a comment on his comment about parking. Of course, you know, we've been in business a long time in this community and in the state of Hawaii, and I think one of the things that our company has done over the years that's made us stand tall is we do what we say we're going to do. In the case of the doctor's property, where we said we'd provide him with three stalls, of course we're going to do that.

We flew to Scottsdale -- I did -- spent some time with another one of the owners, came back, worked up a beautiful -- this, by the way, has caught me totally by surprise. I mean, this really caught me. We came back with a program for a whole private lane, parking right at their homes, things that are going to cost us -- I think they cost us so much we're over \$140,000 to accommodate these folks on these additional improvements.

And then I hear this. Well, if it wasn't for some of my other good friends up there, I'd revert back to what I said we were going to do in

POWERS & ASSOCIATES



_

the first place. Or maybe we can just leave the doctor's area out of our program.

So I strongly oppose it. When he talks about the traffic relating to the hotel and then finds out that he can't intervene on that area, I don't think these public hearings are set up to allow people this type of freedom of abuse of our system, especially of the public hearing system. I very much oppose it and am appalled.

(Unintelligible discussion.)

on this (?). What you have to do is vote. The Commission will have to vote on the intervention request. And just, again, the standard would be that if you believe Dr. Ley has demonstrated that he will be so directly and immediately affected by the proposed change -- and that's limited to the SMA special permit -- that his interests in the proceeding is clearly distinguishable from that of the general public, then he should be admitted as an intervening party. It's up to you to determine that on the basis of his situation.

It might be helpful to clarify whether his property is actually adjacent to the property; that is, the parcel that is being considered in

POWERS & ASSOCIATES



1 this application.

(Unintelligible discussion.)

MR. YOUN: Dr. Ley is not an immediately abutting owner. We are -- the application before you today is for the Anini property or the Amfac property, as applied for about a year ago.

(Unintelligible discussion.)

THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioners, at this time we have to make a decision on whether or not we will allow Dr. Ley to be an intervenor. We need a motion.

(Unintelligible discussion.)

MS. MATSUMURA: Mr. Chairman --

THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Matsumura?

MS. MATSUMURA: -- I move that we do not accept Dr. Ley's intervention status.

MS. ?: I second it.

THE CHAIRMAN: It has been moved and seconded that Dr. Ley's request to intervene not be accepted. Is there any discussion?

MS. MATSUMURA: Yes. The reason I'm saying that we do not accept his intervention is because what he is doing is something that we have already acted upon and it's something between he and Mr. Hemmeter. You know, when it comes to

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

their parking and whatever, it's a business transaction between the developer and Dr. Ley.

The areas we are concerned and we are working on is not what has already been passed by this Commission. These are the lands outside of what has been passed. For these reasons, he is -- and, furthermore, it's almost identical to some of the lands that -- or the same lands that Amfac had come in for rezoning changes, and at that time we have had no intervention.

And now I feel that because it's -Hemmeter/VMS is coming on, they -- he is trying
to put two pieces together, which one has already
been adopted and passed, and one that is set, the
one we are working on, is (inaudible).

THE CHAIRMAN: Any further discussion?

MS. MATSUMURA: I hope I made it clear.

THE CHAIRMAN: Clear as mud.

If there is no further discussion, we'll take a voice vote on this. All those in favor of the motion signify by saying aye.

THE COMMISSIONERS: Aye.

THE CHAIRMAN: Opposed?

Your request has been denied, Dr. Ley.

MR. ?: Let him continue, Dr. Ley. (?)

POWERS & ASSOCIATES



THE CHAIRMAN: However, if you would like to continue as testifying against the application, you may do so.

DR. LEY: Well, I don't want to take up the Commission's time with what appears to be an ex parte locata (?) agreement. I don't think, from what I understand of what you're saying, that it's fair to delay your proceedings. I think that's something I'll have to try to resolve with the Hemmeter Company. They tell me one thing in their contract and their attorney denies it (?). Their presentation today, their letter from Diane Plotts indicates they've changed their mind about things (?).

So I apologize if I've come to you with something that (?) -- some misinterpretation on their part or change in mind about what kind of an agreement they made with me back in December(?). That I'll have to take up with legal counsel.

MS. MATSUMURA: Mr. Chairman -THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.

MS. MATSUMURA: -- although we have voted against the intervention, the statement he had made I think is on record. He has sort of testified in opposition and already knew of our

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(808) 521-7815

......

1 deliberations (?). Although he has not intervened, 2 I believe that the case (?) is on record and something can be done later on. 3 THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Is there anyone wishing to testify for the application? ő 6 MR. PRATT: I have not studied the 7 intricacies of drainage or cottage parking. I would like to speak in favor of the project 8 generally as something that we need on Kauai. 9 This type of well-planned resort is something that 10 our economy will need in the long run, and so I 11 think we can just -- we can take it (?) on that 12 basis. 13 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions, Commis-15 sioners? Thank you. 16 Anyone wishing to speak against the 17 application? 18 19 Anyone else wishing to speak for the application? 20 MR. LYON: (Unintelligible.) 21 THE CHAIRMAN: I don't think we have 22 in-betweens(?). But if you would like to come up 23 and do that (?), Mr. Lyon, we'll be glad to listen. 24 MR. LYON: This could be your first 25

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

in-between. This is a letter from the Kauai Group of the Hawaii Chapter of the Sierra Club. I'll just quote from (?) the letter.

"Our concerns regarding the above" -"the proposed Hemmeter/VMS resort expansion
proposal are as follows:

- "1. We trust that the applicant will abide by the 40-foot height limitation with respect to the 750-room hotel.
- "2. We also trust that the applicant has consulted or will consult with federal and state authorities regarding a breeding," paren(?),

 "(endangered) Hawaiian gallibill (?) as to the damage (?) (unintelligible) of the former Kauai Surf Resorts golf course before proceeding with any plans to alter habitat.
- "3. We ask that the Planning Commission require the applicant to do the following regarding exterior lighting in order to minimize the autumnal (?) fallout of rural shearwaters:
- "a. Install exterior light fixtures only of the following types: shielded lights, cut-off luminaires or indirect lighting, and
- "b. Avoid using unnecessary lighting during the critical shearwater fallout period

POWERS & ASSOCIATES



1 October and November each year, such as floodlights 2 that light up the surf or shine upward upon buildings or trees. 3 "Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Burt Lyon, Conservation Chairman." 5 THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions, Commission-6 7 ers? I would like to make one observation on 8 behalf of everyone here. We are not approving a 9 750-room hotel today. OK? 10 MR. LYON: I realize that. (?) 11 THE CHAIRMAN: I want everybody to please 12 understand that. We are not approving the hotel 13 today. 14 Any questions? 15 Thank you. 16 (Unintelligible discussion.) 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Sir, would you like to 18 come forward now? 19 MR. NICHOLS: My name is Andy Nichols. 20 I live in Cottage 10, Pali Kai. 21 In the beginning, when this project was 22 passed, I had some concerns myself, and I did some 23 research into the Westin Inn(?) organization and found 24 them to be -- they are really good. All their 25 **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** (808) 521-7815

hotels are priced fine (?). This is a showpiece.

I may have been responsible for the confusion that the doctor is having on the parking. The letters that he refers to, I also received them. I felt that they were more proposals. They were proposing to make a parking lot for us in the area of our homes.

There was a few things I personally didn't like about it. I didn't like the idea that my stall -- I had to pull posts (?) out of the ground in order to keep somebody from parking in my stall and that I -- the way it is now, I drive up in front of my house, and I get my groceries, and I will high-feet(?) in the door, and I put them away. I didn't want to walk to a parking lot.

I expressed these concerns, and I couldn't believe how quickly they reacted on them. They did it in a first-class manner. They treated me very well. I live there now. They've cut off my mail. They hand you over it, because they're concerned that I might not get the mail. And I was real unhappy I wouldn't (?) get it myself. But they don't even wait for me to come down. They bring it to me.

They gave us our own private road.

POWERS & ASSOCIATES



=

But I didn't want to park in a parking lot, and I don't think anybody who lives in a house wants to walk across the street or down the road and park in some parking lot anywhere. I park in front of my house now, and I want it to stay that way. And they have made accommodations for us to have a private road with our own parking place still right in front of our house.

That was the proposal I made back to them, and they accepted it. This is why the doctor now got a new letter. I'm sure, however, if the doctor would like to walk across the street and across the landscape going into a parking lot to get to his car, the Hemmeter organization wouldn't care if he wanted to do that. But if he wants to park in front of his house like now -- like he does now, that's the arrangement that I made.

I just wanted to say I'm really for this project. I think it's not -- in my opinion, it's not only the best project on Kauai, it's going to be one of the best in the world, pretty near. It's a showplace. It's something to really be proud of.

Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(808) 521-7815

MR. NICHOLS(?): Good evening (?).

MR. LAURETA(?): I'm going to try and explain(?), as I understand it -- the drawing isn't exact -- but another concept explained to me by Mr. Blotten(?).

This is the Pali Kai lots. This is the ocean here. The existing driveway as it comes is this here. What Mr. Hemmeter proposes to do is split this off to make it a private road with a card gate, entry only by a card. It's going to be one-way, circle around to the cul-de-sac and come back. This will be two ways. So the people coming up to the point will come right past here. This will be a burm, landscape burm, landscape over here, and parking this way.

So what the Pali Kai cottage owners will have is approximately three parking stalls per lot, that Mr. Hemmeter will be providing to them, plus landscaped areas. So landscaping, three parking stalls, a private driveway, this is what Mr. Ley and the other gentleman are referring to. This is what Mr. Hemmeter has done to help resolve this problem about parking and access.

Am I (?) substantially correct?

MR. HEMMETER: Yeah. We are also (?)

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

developing around the side (?) of the cottages 1 (unintelligible). 2 3 MR. LAURETA(?): Here(?)? MR. HEMMETER: Yeah. Those are the ones 5 that are especially earmarked for the cottages (?). 6 MR. LAURETA: Right. Here? Parking 7 here? (?) 8 MR. HEMMETER: Right. No one comes down that lane. There is 9 no one allowed down that lane except the owner 10 himself (?). 11 Right. Only the owners MR. LAURETA: 12 13 will have access here. So everything else will be 14 pushed away from the owners? MR. HEMMETER: Right. And then, in 15 addition, the burming will do one additional thing. 16 17 It will tend to give isolation for the cottages 18 from the activity that is (unintelligible) property (unintelligible). 19 20 MR. LAURETA: And this agreement has been reached with the Pali Kai owners? 21 22 MR. HEMMETER: That agreement was actually relayed to the owners. We also indicated that 23 they would even park their cars in a direction 24

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

away from the cottages so the headlights wouldn't

25

(803) 521-7815

shine into the cottages and (unintelligible).

So not only do they have a private road, not only do they have a private iron gate (?), not only do they have their parking in front of their cottages, not only do their guests have parking directly across the way that come to visit them, but they're separated from the public by a burm. They've got a landscaped burm. That's all (?) (unintelligible).

MR. LAURETA: Yeah. Now this -- (?)

MR. HEMMETER: That's why I was a little bit astounded to hear both (unintelligible) our integrity being questioned when we do things of this nature.

MR. LAURETA(?): No, this was not a requirement of the previous premise. Mr. Hemmeter resolved this as, you know, just provided as an amenity to the Pali Kai owners.

DR. LEY(?): When was this provided?

This is absolutely new information we have (?).

It's the first time I've heard it (?).

MR. LAURETA(?): It was presented -- it has been worked out or is in the process of being worked out. The plans are going to be submitted, plus any written agreements, so --

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

808) 521-7815

1 (Unintelligible discussion.) 2 THE CHAIRMAN: Anyone else wishing to 3 speak for this application? MR. LOVELL: My name is Leslie Lovell. 5 I'm one of the owners of the adjacent property, sir. 6 And I not only speak for myself, 7 but I speak for my mother as well, Mrs. Lovell, that we are for the planning of this thing here (?). 8 9 There are some other concerns that we have that my daughter expressed that we hope that 10 11 we can work it out with Mr. Hemmeter. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 12 13 Anyone else wishing to speak? If not, then I'll close this proceeding 14 (?). 15 Do you have a question? 16 17 MS. ?: (Unintelligible.) 18 MR. YOUN(?): The guestion I have: They're doing a box culvert right now that runs 19 into Nawiliwili Stream, you know. Will the 20 additional lakes be draining into this box culvert, 21 22 aiso? MR. HEMMETER: No, they won't. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Hemmeter, would you 24



please use the microphone, just so we can --

(808) 521-7815



25



MR. HEMMETER: No. The additional lakes go down and drain down towards Anini Point, out that way. They come down in there. That's where they will drain, and there will be evaporation which will take care of part of the water, and then any additional overflow will come out down where I explained to you.

MR. YOUN(?): The drainage basin (?)
(unintelligible)?

MR. HEMMETER(?): Yes. That box culvert only faces (?) the drainage basin to(?) that culvert.

THE CHAIRMAN: We will now close this portion of the public hearing. Thank you all for testifying. We will not be acting on this matter today.

(Hearing concluded, 6:22 PM.)

-000-

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(813) 521-7815



TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE

I, Merrill Zundell, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and correct transcript of the electronic recording designated herein, as transcribed by me into typewriting to the best of my ability.

Mersill Zundell

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(818) 521-7815











