1

2 APPEARANCES

3 COMMISSIONERS:

KYLE CHOCK

4 THOMAS CONTRADES

VLADIMIR DEVENS (Chairman)

5 RONALD HELLER

CHARLES JENCKS

6 LISA M. JUDGE

DUANE KANUHA
NORMAND LEZY

NICHOLAS TEVES, JR.

8

EXECUTIVE OFFICER: ORLANDO DAVIDSON

9 ACTING CHIEF CLERK: RILEY HAKODA

STAFF PLANNERS: SCOTT DERRICKSON

10 DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL: DIANE ERICKSON, ESQ.

11 AUDIO TECHNICIAN: WALTER MENCHING

12

13 Docket No. A07-774 NORTH KONA VILLAGE, LLC

14 For the Petitioner: STEVEN LIM, ESQ.

JENNIFER BENCK, ESQ.

15

For the County: WILLIAM BRILHANTE, ESQ.

Deputy Corporation Counsel BOBBY JEAN LEITHEAD-TODD, ESQ.

Director, County Planning Dept.

18

19 For the State: BRYAN YEE, ESQ.

Deputy Attorney General
ABBEY MAYER, Director
Office of Planning

21

22 For the Intervenor NPS: Superintendent KATHY BILLINGS, SALLIE BUCHAL,

23 Kaloko-Honokohau National Historical Park

24

25

			3
1			
2	I N	D E X	
3	PUBLIC WITNESSES:	PAGE	
4	Al Lardizabal	6	
5	Mark Travalino	7	
6	Brenda Ford	8	
7	Janice Palma-Glennie	12	
8	Marnie Herkes	15	
9	Cynthia Hope	16	
10	Michelle Tomas	19	
11	Diane Corcoran	21	
12	Sammy Stanbro	23	
13	Charles Flaherty	25	
14	Shannon Rudolph	28	
15	Glennon Gingo	29	
16	Angel Pilago	31	
17	Winfield Chang	35	
18	Kari Teshima	37	
19	Rebecca Viegas	39	
20	Joy Mills	41	
21	Misty Lambeth	4 4	
22			
23			
24			
25			

```
CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Morning. This is a meeting of the State Land Use Commission today is November 5th, 2010. We are here in Kailua-Kona for this agenda hearing.
```

2.1

2.4

This is a deliberation and action meeting on Docket A07-774 'O'oma Beachside Village, LLC to consider the reclassification for approximately 181.169 acres of land currently in the Conservation District into the Urban District at 'O'oma 2nd, Kaloko, North Kona, Hawai'i, Tax Map Key Nos.: (3)7-3-009:004 and 7-3-009 portion of state right-of-way for beachside residential community with mixed uses. Will the parties please identify themselves for the record.

MS. BENCK: Good morning, Chairman,

Commissioners. This is Jennifer Benck representing

Petitioner 'O'oma Beachside Village. To my right is

Steve Lim also representing the Petitioner.

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Good morning to you.

MR. BRILHANTE: Good morning, Chair,
Commissioners. William Brilhante, county of Hawai'i
office of the corporation counsel. Also present is
Ms. Bobby Jean Leithead-Todd, planning director,
county of Hawai'i.

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Good morning to you.

MR. YEE: Good morning. Deputy Attorney

General Bryan Yee on behalf of the Office of Planning.

With me is Mr. Abbey Mayer from the Office of

Planning.

1.3

2.1

2.4

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Good morning, Mr. Yee.

MS. BILLINGS: Kathy Billings, superintendent of Kaloko-Honokohau and Sally Beavers, chief of resources at Kaloko-Honokohau.

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Let me update the record. From November 1, 2010 to November 3rd, 2010 the Commission received various written correspondence via email and the names are available with our executive officer.

Before I call on public witnesses, let me remind you that all of the public testimony from previous hearings was transcribed and is part of the record. For those that are testifying again the Commission would appreciate it if you could confine your testimony to new information.

In addition, a 3-minute time limit on testimony will be enforced for those that are testifying for the first time on this matter. A 2-minute time limit will be enforced for those that have testified previously.

We'll have our executive officer call those

who have signed up. If you want to testify and have not signed up, please come up and sign up on the list and we'll give you a chance to testify. Dan.

MR. DAVIDSON: Our first of seven sign-ups is Al Lardizabal followed by Mark Travalino.

6 CHAIRMAN DEVENS: If I can swear you in, 7 sir.

AL LARDIZABAL

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

11 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.

12 CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Tell us your name and 13 address, please.

14 THE WITNESS: Good morning, Commissioners.

15 My name is Al Lardizabal, the work of government

16 | relations for the Hawai'i Union and staff lobbyist.

17 CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: Thank you for the opportunity.

19 | I have a short statement to make. I know it's

20 redundant. But in Hawai'i we have about 409 members,

21 | roughly 27 percent unemployed with 114.

In September we had eight job calls only.

23 And October we had 10 job calls. So we're desperate

24 for work. We fully support this Project. Thank you

25 very.

4

5

8

9

10

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Parties have any questions
for this witness? Hearing none, next witness.

3 MR. DAVIDSON: Mark Travalino, followed by 4 Brenda Ford.

MARK TRAVALINO,

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: I certainly do.

9 CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Tell us your name and 10 address.

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: My name a Mark Travalino,
73-1228 Iolani Street, Kailua-Kona, Hawai'i. Once
again, I'm submittin' testimony for the 'O'oma
Project. I an organizer for the Labor Union Local
368. As I said --

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Just slow down. The court reporter's going to have a hard time transcribing.

THE WITNESS: Work is extremely slow. I can talk a little slower. Real bad. Unemployment's running out for a lot of our members. As Al said it's bad, hardly any job calls.

We need some development in Kona in the private sector. We do have some federal jobs going, some highway work going on. The civic center is wrapping up. It's lookin' like the bench is gonna

1 fill up again. We're desperate. The projects look 2 really good to us. Being a resident of Kona for over 3 20 years, I believe that we need to get some 4 development going again over here. Thank you. 5 CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Parties have any questions 6 for this witness? Hearing none, Commissioners. None. 7 Thank you for your testimony. 8 MR. DAVIDSON: Brenda Ford followed by Janice Palma-Glennie. 9 10 CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Brenda, if we can swear 11 you in. BRENDA FORD 12 13 being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined 14 and testified as follows: 15 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 16 CHAIRMAN DEVENS: State your name and 17 address. 18 THE WITNESS: My name is Brenda Ford. Do 19 you want my full address at home or work? 20 CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Whichever address you want to use. 2.1 22 THE WITNESS: My work is 75-5706 Hanauma 23 Place, suite 109, Kailua-Kona.

THE WITNESS: I'm a current councilmember

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Go ahead.

2.4

25

for Central Kona District 7. I've been here on island more than 15 years now. I come from the San Francisco Bay area. We have an airport San Jose International Airport which is a small regional airport when I was growing up. The powers that be in San Jose allowed subdivisions to be built around the ends of the runway. And from the minute people started moving into those houses the complaints to the airport and the police department became unbelievably high.

2.1

2.4

The police have no jurisdiction over an airport or the noise that the airport creates. So it was a lot of unnecessary calls coming in. Every time a plan took off the windows of all the houses rattled to the point people started complaining. It made their life, quality of life pretty unbearable. A lot of turnover in that subdivision.

When I first heard about this particular development I immediately called the airport manager here at Kona International Airport. And he was distraught to say the least at the time I talked to him about the fact that he would not be able to control the noise of the jets. You have to get the jets up to certain speed. And takeoffs are nosier than landings.

I also called the Kona Airport operations

manager. He was even more distraught over the situation. Then I called the Honolulu Airport manager asked all of them, "What do you think about putting a subdivision at the end of a runway?" Without exception they all said, "It's the craziest thing." Kona Airport is the only airport in the state that's in open land. And the only airport where we are not having this kind of problem. The minute we build a subdivision there the problem will start.

2.1

Plus there's going to be two more runways.

I'm sure a lot of this you've heard before but this is the first time I've had a chance to testify in front of this group. Two more runways are going to be built.

As everyone here knows that during the daytime the winds run from the ocean up to the mountains. And the wind does carry sound. So the sound will be coming not over the path that's been delineated on some of your maps, it's going to go much farther inland as the wind pushes it inland.

The other problems tha I have are beach access. On this island we have a real problem with public access to shorelines. We have several hotels that have limited public parking for the public to get into the beaches.

We have very old, historic shoreline access along Ali'i Drive that you literally have to trespass on somebody's property to get to the beach in some cases.

2.1

2.4

When this proposal first came forward to myself, Mr. Greenwell and I, we were both on the county council, talked to Peter Young and suggested that we work with the state to do a land swap, get a much bigger piece of land which, of course, would be less expensive in the mauka region.

MR. DAVIDSON: Thirty seconds.

THE WITNESS: And we were turned down by

Peter Young who said, "We have a more marketable

product if we can use the word "beach development" or

"shoreline development."

There's no guarantee that workers will be hired for this project will be hired here. And our workers are desperate people. We need to have development here. I'm not opposed to development. This one is bad.

With the land swap was in order to change this parcel of land into a park and put the development up mauka where we're going to have infrastructure to support it. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Parties have any questions

1	for this witness? Hearing none, Commissioners?	
2	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	
3	CHAIRMAN DEVENS: None. Thank you.	
4	MR. DAVIDSON: Janice Palma-Glennie followed	
5	by Marnie Herkes.	
6	MS. PALMA-GLENNIE: I just wanted to say that	
7	I just got a call from a couple of other people who	
8	wanted to testify that are coming shortly. So people	
9	were under the misimpression it was at 9:00 o'clock.	
10	CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Can we swear you in first,	
11	please.	
12	THE WITNESS: Yes, my name's	
13	JANICE PALMA-GLENNIE	
14	being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined	
15	and testified as follows:	
16	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
17	CHAIRMAN DEVENS: State your name and	
18	address.	
19	THE WITNESS: Janice Palma-Glennie, P. O.	
20	Box 4849, Kailua-Kona. Good morning.	
21	CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Good morning.	
22	THE WITNESS: Aloha. I'm speaking today on	
23	behalf of the Surfrider Foundation's Kona Kai Ea	
24	Chapter. Commissioners have heard and read over three	
25	years of testimony regarding this petition. Yet	

despite testimony to the contrary by Petitioners and the development community there's still no solid proof that the reclassification of 'O'oma is necessary, timely, justified or even safe. Unrelenting public support for 'O'oma's conservation protection proves that there can be no realistic claims that reclassification would serve the public's best interest.

And no claim can be made that the owners of the property have entitlements or legal justification for demanding other than what they're allowed to use the property as they purchased it in the ways that conservation classification allows.

2.1

2.4

In fact Commissioners have even more reasons to uphold past 'O'oma reclassification denials than they did in the earlier 1990s.

Those reasons include limiting traditional public shoreline access, economic non-viability, threats to public safety, financial threat to government taxpayers and homeowners due to allowing urban development to be built next to an expanding airport, compromise the public trust resources, lack of definitively identified potable water sources and wastewater treatment, willful ignorance of county planning laws.

This is the end of an arduous planning road as you know. And we appreciate the time Commissioners have taken looking into their heart and the facts of this Petition and past reclassification denials.

2.1

2.4

We must assume that your goal and your kuleana would be the same as the community's. And that is to ensure that 'O'oma's rare, natural, cultural, recreational resources are protected from unnecessary, untimely destruction.

One final note: We've been told that the UWEA (sp) Charter School, which has been operating for over a decade on the land next to 'O'oma and the airport is being moved due to noise and safety reasons.

How ironic. The taxpayers will likely need to foot relocation and other significant costs due to an extremely poor decision made over 10 years ago which was ignoring the threat of expanding international airport. Added to this scenario --

MR. DAVIDSON: Thirty seconds.

THE WITNESS: -- is testimony by the current principle of WEA (sp) who believes for no other reason than blind faith, that there will be another third charter school built and paid for by 'O'oma Beachside Villages.

That school, again, would be threatened by its ill-conceived location as well as lacking a legally binding agreement that would require 'O'oma's owners to pay for that third school no mater what.

2.1

The larger question for the LUC is: Will an entire town need to be moved at taxpayer expense as well as possible legal costs incurred if the wrong decision is made here today. Mahalo so much for all your time. And I am really hoping that you see that we need for you to make the right decision here today. Aloha.

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Parties have any questions for this witness? None. Commissioners? None. Thank you very much.

MR. DAVIDSON: Marnie Herkes followed by Cynthia Hope.

MARNIE HERKES,

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: I do.

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: State your name and address.

THE WITNESS: Marnie Herkes, Post Office Box

1 571, Holualoa, 96725. I'm on the advisory committee

25 for 'O'oma. And I'm in support of the Project. The

developer has been very receptive to suggestions that advisory committee has given him. And it's been a really nice planning process.

Thank you.

This exemplified -- this village exemplifies the best practices of urban growth and Smart Growth which is density with open space. And the open space is from the Project to the beach. And that is in all of our best interests to have it that way.

I did at one time suggest that they should call this the 'O'oma Highway Village but that doesn't sell as well as Beachside Village. But I think they're going to have a problem because they are not beachside. They are a thousand, 1117 feet from the beach.

Thank you very much for your time. This has been a long process for all of us. We appreciate all of your attention and your good decision making.

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Any questions for this witness? None from the parties. Commissioners?

None. Thank you.

MR. DAVIDSON: Cynthia Hope followed by Michelle Tomas.

24 CYNTHIA HOPE

25 | being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined

1 | and testified as follows:

2.1

2.4

2.5

THE WITNESS: I do.

3 CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Tell us your name and 4 address.

THE WITNESS: My name is Cynthia Hope,
H-o-p-e. And I'm 76-426 Ali'i drive No. 365. I've
been asked to present this Petition. It was going to
be signed by more people but this started earlier so
they haven't shown up. But I will present it to you
anyway because we do have some signatures. It's new
testimony for 'O'oma rezoning.

Approval of Hawai'i County Charter Amendment No. 6 on November 2nd, 2010 and the Kona Community Development Plan, LU-16 17-mile protected coastline. We the undersigned do not support the rezoning of 'O'oma from conservation to urban.

With the overwhelming approval, 78 percent of the Hawai'i County Charter Amendment No. 6 relating to the preservation of natural and cultural resources on Tuesday, November 2nd, 2010, the citizens of Hawai'i have mandated that Hawai'i County shall conserve and protect Hawai'i's natural beauty and all natural and cultural resources and shall promote the development and utilization of these resources in a manner --

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: You'll have to slow down, ma'am, I?m sorry.

2.1

2.4

THE WITNESS: Oh. I'll give to this to you.
CHAIRMAN DEVENS: That's fine.

THE WITNESS: -- in a manner consistent with their conservation. This zoning proposal does not honor that mandate. In addition, this proposal does not honor the spirit and intention of the Kona Community Development policy LU-1.6, the 17-mile protected coastline nor the Kona Community Planning support of conservation of open space.

LU-1.6, the 17-mile protected coastline size is as follows: As part of any discretionary land use approvals such as SMA major permits, rezonings and State Land Use boundary amendments implement the vision of a 17-mile long protected stretch of open coastline from Makaweo to basically Kukio development. Most of this area is already publicly owned.

And much has already been set aside for public park purposes. This incorporates the Kaloko-Honokohau National Historical Park portions deed the county under terms of existing SMA permits for Kohanaiki and its specific, the mauka portion of 'O'oma 2."

MR. DAVIDSON: Excuse me. 30 seconds.

THE WITNESS: "Meha, the state lands mauka
of the airport runway to the extent that they can be
used for public recreation consistent with the
requirements of NELHA and the airport, Kaha Kai State
Park and Makalawena." And you can have the Petition.

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: We'll be happy to make that part of the record. Parties have any questions for this witness? None. Commissioners. None. Thank you.

MR. DAVIDSON: Michelle Tomas followed by

Diane Corcoran.

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: There's others that have come in since we have started, if you want to testify sign up and we will call you.

MICHELLE TOMAS

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: Yes.

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: State your name and address.

THE WITNESS: My name is Michelle Tomas, P.
O. Box 337, Kailua-Kona 96745. I've testified before.
What I'd like to say I don't think anyone's said

before. We've had so much testimony from the unions

1 | The economy has changed, but this Project's not gonna

- 2 | save our economy. It's not going to make anything
- 3 | better. I'd like to say to the union: Lai'opua,
- 4 | Hawaiian Homes Villages, the water project,
- 5 | Liliuokalani Subdivision, the brand new Jack 'n the
- 6 Box right here on the corner of Henry Street and
- 7 Kuakini. All new projects.
- The mid-level road, Ane Keohokalole, all
- 9 | that, they didn't get the jobs because they're
- 10 | overbidding. It's not because we don't have enough
- 11 | projects. I know projects are down. But there are
- 12 projects out there. They're just not bidding low
- 13 enough. So to take away this conservation land under
- 14 | the guise of, "Oh, we need this project," is untrue.
- 15 There's so many projects.
- My husband is non-union. Their company just
- 17 | happens to bid lower. Probably when he was union we
- 18 | got paid less. We paid union dues, we have 401K. We
- 19 have medical/dental we have everything. It's just the
- 20 | unions are over bidding. Don't tell me that there's
- 21 | no jobs out there. There's jobs out there. So I beg
- 22 to differ on that.
- 23 This is the third time -- I know you guys
- 24 | all have limits on how long you guys can serve on the
- 25 | Land Use Commission Board. This is the third time

this has come up. Previous, when this came up previously twice before it was voted against reclassification.

2.1

2.4

Nothing's changed. The land is loved by all of the people in Kona. Across this whole Big Island people come over from everywhere to enjoy this area, surfers, especially surfers.

The last time in Waikoloa they said there would with be no runoff. I don't think so. There's gonna be runoff. This is going to impact all of us. Yeah, say you guys, you know, reclassify, they build all their buildings, all that land is gone, gone all the caves under there, the living caves, the dwelling caves. It's gonna be bulldozed. It's gonna be mass ex. It's gone.

Take the time, look into your heart and do what's best for the people of this whole state of Kona, of Hawai'i, everywhere. We don't want Waikiki here. People come here because they want the open space. They don't wanna see Maui where it's all built up and developed. They don't want that.

They come here because they know it's beautiful. They can go see the manta rays. They go underwater. They know it's clean and clear.

MR. DAVIDSON: Thirty seconds.

1	THE WITNESS: Thank you for your time.		
2	CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Parties have any		
3	questions? None. Commissioners. None. Thank you.		
4	THE WITNESS: Thank you.		
5	MR. DAVIDSON: Diane Corcoran followed by		
6	Sammy Stanbro.		
7	CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Can I swear you in?		
8	DIANE CORCORAN		
9	being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined		
10	and testified as follows:		
11	THE WITNESS: I do.		
12	CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Please state your name and		
13	address.		
14	THE WITNESS: Diane Corcoran Kalani Way,		
15	Kailua-Kona. Good morning		
16	CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Good morning.		
17	THE WITNESS: Land Use Commissioners		
18	again. I'm here to say basically what I have already		
19	said to restate what my heart feels so deeply. We		
20	need to save this land, keep open land. Sure, we need		
21	jobs. But if they were building this just for jobs we		
22	can build it elsewhere and have those jobs.		
23	I heard the developer sit here a few minutes		
24	ago saying it's not really beachfront but we'll fib to		
25	our people and tell 'em it's beachfront to sell it. I		

wonder if those same developers bring that "we'll fib" policy to us when they're presenting their plans.

I have a lot of fear of the unstated impact of these plans. They sound so good. Are they going to follow through? You know, we need to build it elsewhere. It's not the right place. I beg you not to let it go through.

I'm begging you for the people and the future of Kona. Once it's there it isn't going to go away. It's there forever, forever, forever. How many generations future is that will be impacted? We'll never have that back. Ever. Thank you for your time. Aloha.

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Any questions for this party? None. Commissioners? Thank you very much.

MR. DAVIDSON: Sammy Stanbro.

THE WITNESS: Good morning ladies and gentlemen.

19 SAMMY STANBRO,

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

20

2.1

23 CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Please state your name and address.

THE WITNESS: My name is Sammy Stanbro. I

live in Holualoa. I first really, really want to tell
you guys -- and I'm going to get teary for a minute
here -- I'm going to tell you how much I appreciate
you. I just got back from Haiti. I was there for
three weeks. I saw what happens to land when they
don't have people like you to help people make
decisions.

I see what it's like not having a government. I'm glad I can complain about a government. Thank you very much for being here. It really make as big difference.

2.1

I wanna tell you something new that you haven't heard because I've been here for the last times you've been here and I've pleaded the case for keeping the conservation lands.

But I want to tell you something new that you haven't heard as of this voting date. The voters of this island reinstated the importance that comes up in every single poll we've taken as our open land on this island is the most thing to us.

It's the most important thing to the tourists that come here. In our voting, I know you guys are from O'ahu and you don't know what happens here in our voting, but we just voted to have one percent of our property taxes locked in in place. We

had 2 percent that wasn't locked in.

2.1

The voters came back again and said we want this locked in so that it can't be tampered with. So we really value our open space here.

With that money that we got from this last voting record we could actually purchase more properties that we didn't have when this property was for sale for \$2 million.

\$2 million bought it so he could take it and get a zone change. That was his only purpose. He put it on the market and he illegally, as far as I'm concerned, he advertised it as having a zone change and he was able to sell it so the gentleman that bought into it that that's trying to do this Project now.

When Mr. Clifto came through all this process before, we fought it.

MR. DAVIDSON: Excuse me, 30 seconds.

THE WITNESS: Okay. We fought it then. He did -- he did -- I mean he had it on the Internet saying that it had already passed the zoning. It did not. He raised the price to \$23 million.

So as community when we have people like that around and Mr. Clifto is still involved in ownership of this property or was the last I heard,

that's the type of people you don't really want for
neighbors.

You've heard all the other reasons that are very good reasons for that Project not taking place.

But the most important thing is to keep that conservation land conservation land. Thank you very

much. And again I'm so glad you guys are here. Thank

8 you.

3

4

5

6

7

9 CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Parties, Commissioners
10 have any questions for this witness? None. Thank
11 you.

MR. DAVIDSON: Chuck Flaherty followed by

Wendy Hart.

14 THE WITNESS: Good morning, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Good morning.

THE WITNESS: Oh, that's right. (Raising

17 hand)

15

18 CHARLES FLAHERTY,

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

21 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, I do.

22 CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Please state your name and 23 address.

address.

THE WITNESS: Charles Flaherty, P. O. Box

25 | 992 Captain Cook, Hawai'i 96704.

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Charles, just for the record we do have the testimony you gave us dated today. We'll make that part of the record.

2.1

THE WITNESS: Thank you very much. I also gave you something on Forest City but I just found out that's a moot point.

Anyway, good morning, Mr. Chair and members of the Land Use Commission. Again I would also like to thank you for coming here to Kona and also appreciate your volunteer service to our state.

Our organization has provided you with a large volume of documents, almost a thousand dollars' worth of printing costs. And I don't know if you've read them all. But they do provide a great deal of information as far as the reasons why this Petition should not be accepted.

As Sammy just said there were the people of this island did make it clear what their desires were. I'd like read to you the County of Hawai'i charter amendment that was passed on last Tuesday's ballot.

"Relating to preservation of natural and cultural resources: Shall the Charter of the County of Hawai'i be amended by adding a new section to Article XIII to recognize that the county shall conserve and protect Hawai'i's natural beauty and all natural and

cultural resources and shall promote the development and utilization of these resources in a manner consistent with their conservation and in furtherance of the self-sufficiency of the county?

2.1

2.4

"Charter commission summary: This proposal, which is based on a state constitutional provision, would put in the Charter an obligation on the county government to take into consideration the conservation and protection of Hawai'i's natural beauty and its natural and cultural resource when making decisions.

"The State provision does not include cultural resources, wahi pana, surf spots, historic sites, and historic structures. The proposal recognizes the county's public trust responsibility for all publicly owned natural resources and explicitly adds cultural resources as public trust resources."

This amendment passed by a vote of 37,659 to 10,619. In other words, 8 of every 10 voters in our county voted to conserve Hawai'i's natural beauty and all natural and cultural resources. You the Land Use Commission has a clear mandate by the people of this island. There are no ifs, ands or buts. Please keep 'O'oma in conservation. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Parties, Commissioners

have any questions for this witness. Hearing none,
thank you.

3 MR. DAVIDSON: Shannon Rudolph followed by 4 Glennon Gingo.

SHANNON RUDOLPH

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: Yes.

5

6

7

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

2.4

25

9 CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Tell us your name and 10 address.

11 THE WITNESS: My name is Shannon Rudolph.

12 | I live in Holualoa, Hawai'i.

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Go ahead, Shannon.

there was such a big take away for the residents yesterday, that you should give back something today for what is it 3500 houses we're talking here between the two projects? That's an awful lot of people that are gonna need recreational area close by. This beach is close by to both projects. And I ask that you give

21 something back today. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Any questions for this witness? Hearing none, thank you.

MR. DAVIDSON: Glennon Gingo.

THE WITNESS: Good morning.

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Good morning. If we could swear you in, sir.

3 GLENNON GINGO

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: State your name and address, please.

9 THE WITNESS: Glennon Gingo P. O. Box 396, 10 Holualoa, Hawai'i.

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Go ahead, sir.

THE WITNESS: Thank you. I've been at several of the meetings. I'm actually pleasantly surprised after looking into more of the attributes of this Project.

And it's done nothing but give me a lot more confidence that these developers are doing the right thing for the community and taking the right approach to protecting the natural resources including our shoreline.

I've spent about 20 years on our shoreline teaching diving, free driving, spearfishing, hunting. And I myself have a lot of concerns about the quality of water on our shoreline and how the developers take the steps to insure that we have a future for these

aquatic activities.

2.1

2.4

And because of all of that, including going out and parking along the runways at the airport listening to some of the C-117s make their landing pattern, that I'm very confident also the noise issues and especially the areas in and around the buffer zone to give people a chance to drive out to these areas and enjoy 'em without having 4-wheel drive trucks, without damaging their vehicles to enjoy the shoreline.

So with that I'm totally in support of
Petitioner's request to reclassify the property in the
State Land Use Urban District. And I thank you for
your time.

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Any questions for the witness? Hearing none, thank you. Are there any other witnesses that wish to give public testimony in this matter? If you want you can step forward.

MS. PALMA-GLENNIE: I had a call from our council person who's going to be late. Is the public going to be able to testify at any other time today or is that the close?

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: No. We are ready to deliberate on this matter. What we'll do, is we'll take a short 5 minute break and resume from there.

1 (Recess was held.) CHAIRMAN DEVENS: (9:20) We're back on the 2 3 There were a few more witnesses that have record. 4 signed up. 5 MR. DAVIDSON: Angel Pilago. 6 CHAIRMAN DEVENS: If we can swear you in. 7 ANGEL PILAGO, 8 being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined 9 and testified as follows: 10 THE WITNESS: I do. 11 CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Please state your name and 12 address. 13 THE WITNESS: My name is Angel Pilago. Ι 14 live at 73-1224 Ka'iminani Drive. And that's in 15 Kalaua here in Kailua. 16 CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Thank you, sir. Go ahead 17 and testify. 18 THE WITNESS: That you, ladies and 19 gentlemen, members of the Commission. Please I 20 apologize. We just drove in from Hilo. As you know 2.1 we were recently elected. I was one of those recently 22 elected to the county council. One of the reasons for 23 being in Hilo was to try and effect a good and 2.4 compassionate county council. And I'm going to use that as a preface to 25

part of our testimony today. Sometimes in your work and in your purview you're separated from these kinds of local feelings and the pulse of the community.

2.1

2.4

I'm one of those here that beg you to help also avoid any semblance of conflict and confrontation in the community in an issue as controversial as this one here.

As you can see and sense there's a divisiveness within the community in respect to projects like this and this Project in particular. We ask you to hold off and not give sway to the arguments that say in this economic downturn it's important that we approve any project whatever they provide for us.

I think we should keep the character of our community in place. And I think administrative agencies such as yourself should take into account the pulse, the feel, the character, the values of the community.

And this community certainly feels like we should maintain our rural character, and we should keep true to those values and beliefs. And we ask you to have compassion, caring and concern and respect to that belief.

This may and does not fall within your limited descriptions of our means of operations. But

I ask you to reach beyond yourselves to raise the bar and become what we all truly are and what you are truly are and what we see in you. And that's character as Hawaiians, as local and as dignity and respect for our community here.

2.1

In that sense we ask you, ladies and gentlemen, to take into account the human values and the human ethnics that lie beyond partisan politics and to not approve this Project because it is not a good Project in terms of character building, quality of life, wellness and health of the community.

We ask you to rise above yourselves and your duties and your purview as we talked about earlier, and to become what you truly are.

And that's members of a society here in Hawai'i and represent who you truly are, your friends and your family, who happen to reside here in proximity to this development.

Again, vote no to this development. We do not need it. We have other things we need to do to build character.

And you certainly need to help us in that to avoid the conflict and the confrontation that may be likely in this case.

In closing, this community has always been

1 successful in terms of the Waokele O Puna Supreme 2 Court case. We've been successful in that. In terms 3 of Kohanaiki Supreme Court case gathering the excise 4 case --5

MR. DAVIDSON: Excuse me, sir, 30 seconds.

THE WITNESS: Thank you. We've been successful in that. In terms of Kaupulehu Supreme Court case we have been successful at that. This is not a threat, ladies and gentlemen.

But it certainly shows the dignity and character of a community here on Hawai'i Island that had the forthright, the sense and resources to unify the island in the 1700s. We will do so again today.

Thank you, ladies and gentlemen for your courtesy, your time and your consideration. Again our apologies for being late. Thank you very much.

(Applause)

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: See if the parties have any questions for this witness. Hearing none, Commissioners? None. Thank you very much, sir.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. Thank you, ladies and gentlemen.

23 MR. DAVIDSON: Winfield Chang followed by Kari Teshima. 2.4

25 ХХ

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

WINFIELD CHANG

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined
and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: I do.

2.1

2.4

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: State your name and address.

THE WITNESS: My name is Winfield Chang. I live at 73-1432 Kaloko Drive here in Kailua-Kona. Good morning, Commissioners. You've been handed an opportunity to consider the community's overwhelming opposition to the development of one of West Hawai'i's last remaining pristine coastal areas over the current administration's support to reclassify the lands at 'O'oma.

Your decision, which we assume has been made given the best information available and done in the interest of the people of Kona, will reflect the credibility of a governmental agency to protect and serve a specific population.

Given the Kenoi administration's blatant refusal to recognize the wishes of the people that it purports to represent, and the planning commissioner's power trip in her zeal to advance developers' profit-motivating objectives, it appears that the results of this public confrontation will be made

abundantly clear. Kona's desire to project its unique character and lifestyle is at stake.

2.1

2.4

While the Kenoi administration has far too long looked at Kona as its cash cow and in spite of Kona's inordinate burden with providing the county with the bulk of tax revenues there seems to be no end to the additional sacrifices this community has been asked to provide.

Chiefly among them is the preciously dwindling open space and particularly the unobstructed coastal view planes that made Kona one of the most desirable resort destinations in Hawai'i.

It appears that absent any concern over appropriate development, the mayor through his planning director, Ms. Bobby Jean Leithead-Todd, has abandoned future generations to the opportunity to enjoy what has heretofore been full access to one of our greatest privileges: Recreational beach activities unencumbered by needless and unwanted development.

With the assistance of a kama'aina law firm, the public relations firm of Current Events and under the dubiously responsible Leeward Planning Commission, the county is determined to forge ahead to alter the course of our community's future.

1 We will continue to preserve our way of live 2 in face of the county's position and work to elect an 3 administration more in tune with our wishes and 4 desires, an administration that considers wisdom and 5 planning development, high density, infrastructure 6 deficiencies and respect for the legacy of ali'i 7 trusts that provides for its beneficiaries, the keiki of Hawai'i. Thank you for your time. 8

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Any questions for this witness. Hearing none, thank you very much.

MR. DAVIDSON: Kari Teshima.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

KARI TESHIMA,

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Please state your name and address.

THE WITNESS: Kari Teshima, 77-6504 Leialoha Street, Kona. I'm a 30-year resident here. I've got five children. Up and down this coastline we've camped, we have harvested all the fish -- not all the fish. (Audience Laughter) That's you yolks with pesticides killing all our babies.

I'm just concerned, I've watched the exploitation of this coastline from Kua Bay all the

way to Kukio 2. And we have no access to these area. If there's 10 cars in those parkings stalls nobody can get in.

2.1

We have no parks here for our children. We have no parks here for us. When they open up Pine Trees or 'O'oma to our camping trips you'll plainly see there's 4- or 500 people camping. We do not have any other place to go.

There's obviously a demand for this. You cut us all off what are we going to do. Where are we going to go? Our kids are going to hit the streets. They're going to start getting involved in drugs and gangs. We need to allow all of us to have the access to all these places and stop exploiting our land.

It's like Hilo. We have nowhere to go here. We can't accommodate all of our needs at the old airport. There's no parking. There's no pools to swim in.

I understand they're already blocking off the whole coastline from Kua Bay to Kukio for fishing and diving allegedly because the fish are depleted. That's a reflection of the pesticides and all the runoff from all this development. Has nothing to do with the locals fishing out these coastlines.

So I believe so I'm speaking for everyone to

allow us to have our access and let our children grow up with the swimming, the surfing, the diving.

Stop exploiting Kona and let the people have what we deserve. I'm speaking for all of us, also my children and our grandchildren. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: (Applause) Parties,

Commission have any questions for this witness? None.

That was the last witness that has signed up. That will conclude the public testimony portion of this hearing.

11 (Audience member) I should be signed up:
12 Rebecca Viegas.

13 CHAIRMAN DEVENS: If you want to.

14 THE WITNESS: (off mic) Aloha. My name's
15 Rebecca Viegas.

16 CHAIRMAN DEVENS: If we can swear you in 17 first.

18 REBECCA VIEGAS,

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

21 THE WITNESS: I do.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

22 CHAIRMAN DEVENS: State your name and 23 address please.

THE WITNESS: Aloha. My name is Rebecca

Viegas. I live at 77-6639 Wailua Road, Kailua-Kona,

Hawai'i. Thank you for having me here again to speak to you. I'm speaking on behalf of myself and my family and Kohanaiki Ohana as president of that nonprofit organization.

2.1

I'm here again today. I've spoken before given written testimony and with the help of many members of our community we have submitted hundreds if not thousands of signatures from community members asking that the Land Use Commission continue to uphold the conservation zoning of the land parcel at 'O'oma.

Some of the statistics that I'd like to present to you again today relates to the already-zoned, already-approved and already-just waiting to be built developments that are in existence in Kailua-Kona.

We have thousands of homes slated to be built as soon as the economic times transition. In fact another development was just approved yesterday, if I understand you correctly. The need for another development with more homes is unnecessary at this time.

And I believe that's your responsibility of the Land Use Commission to weigh the need and the necessity for what the community and our economic structure needs at this time versus the needs of the

1 developer and their ideas nor this euphoric 2 development. That I want to remind everybody in this 3 room they are not any circumstance legally bound to 4 build this development that's LEED Certified and what 5 could be quite potentially would be, if God forbid 6 this zoning is approved, a great development. 7 However, they are not legally responsible to do that. And if the zoning is changed the property values 8 9 change drastically at which point it's speculation. 10 MR. DAVIDSON: Excuse me, 30 seconds. 11 THE WITNESS: Great. And the zoning could be changed. So what I'd like to just point out and 12 13 appeal to you in looking at statistics here, is that 14 we have many jobs in the construction industry waiting 15 to be reinstated as soon as the economic times change. 16 We don't have a need to take away conservation 17 property on our coastline for another development that 18 would only add to what will end up being a glut of houses on the market. mahalo for your consideration. 19 20 Aloha. 2.1 CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Parties, Commissioners, 22 have any questions for this witness? None, thank you. 23 (Audience member) I also would like to 2.4 testify.

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Ma'am, if we can swear you

25

1 in.

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

2 JOY MILLS

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined
and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: Aye.

6 CHAIRMAN DEVENS: State your name and 7 address.

THE WITNESS: Owau Kuinoa Joy

Keahipuakawikiweku Mills, P. O. Box 4273 Kailua-Kona,

96745. Aloha kakou or kakou kakahiaka. My mo'o

ku'auhau is Ho'okano from Kohala, Miloli'i therefore I

am given right to speak here on this island here on

this mo'okua nui that I've love. From here to O'ahu

to Kaua'i my family lineage stretches far. And I

simply want to say I object to the rezoning of 'O'oma.

Please, there's other things that we can do with this land. There's other ways that we can malama this land. Our kanaka ma'oli, we speak, we are strong and we are backed by those who love this land who may not have the koko, but in their hearts, in their na'au they love this land.

And I just simply ask you reconsider. Don't give it all away. It's not necessary anymore. We live strong. We can live in peace without any more construction, without any more development. We can.

1 Our people can. We're coming back lipolipo. Our 2 'olelo says lipolipo from the forest our people will 3 come back. My queen, she stepped down. She stepped 4 back from being taken because she knew the day would 5 come when her people would rise. And this day is now. 6 Our 'olelo is strong. I wasn't allowed to speak 7 'olelo. My grandfather, he spoke but he didn't teach 8 us because he knew I needed to speak English. He sent 9 us to school so I could speak properly and I could 10 communicate with all of you today and let you know 11 that my people are strong, and we live through all of 12 you. 13 Our koko may be a little bit in some people. 14 They have blue eyes, they have blonde hair. My grand 15 niece, blue eyes, brown hair, but she speaks. 16 'olelos Hawaiian. She's a kanaka maoli. And she 17 passes on our lineage. And I'm proud to say I'm 18 Hawaiian today. Before I couldn't say that because I 19 don't speak but I'm learning.

MR. DAVIDSON: Thirty seconds.

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

THE WITNESS: Mahalo, sir. Mahalo. I simply ask you hold strong, hold the line. 'A'ole. 'A'ole. Hold the line. Say no. We don't need to. Mahalo.

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Any questions for this

1 witness? Hearing none, thank you very much.

2 (Applause).

3

5

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MR. DAVIDSON: Misty Lambeth.

4 THE WITNESS: Aloha. Thank you for letting

me speak.

6 CHAIRMAN DEVENS: I'll swear you in.

MISTY LAMBETH

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

10 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.

11 CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Tell us your name and 12 address please.

THE WITNESS: Misty Lambeth, 73-1456 Hau Street Koloko, Kailua-Kona, Hawai'i 96740. I don't have any fancy facts or anything to give, but I'm just begging you guys please don't develop this area.

My son just turned one. He plays in the ponds all the time. We take him on the boogie board. He loves the water. I've grown up here my whole life. And Pine Trees is my favorite spot to be.

I'm just begging you guys, please, don't develop it. I would be so heartbroken if my son didn't get to experience it like I did. So just please don't develop it. This is conservation land for a reason. I believe the people who put that in

place did that 'cause they foresaw that this was gonna come. And it is conservation land. Please conserve it. Please don't take it away from these children, these keikis. Thank you.

2.1

2.4

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Any questions for this witness? (Applause) None. Thank you.

That was our last witness. That'll conclude the

public testimony portion of this hearing.

We are now in formal deliberations concerning whether to grant or deny the Petition. If the Commission decides to grant the Petition, it needs to determine what conditions of approval to impose.

During the Commission's deliberations we will not entertain additional input from the parties or the public unless those individuals or entities are specifically requested to do so. If called upon we would ask that any comments be limited to the question at hand.

The Commission held hearings on the merits of this Petition in 2010 on March 3rd and 4th; May 5th, June 16 and 17, and July 15. Oral argument was held on October 7.

Commissioners, let me first confirm that each of you have reviewed the record or read the transcripts for any meeting they may have missed and

1	are prepared to deliberate on the subject docket.
2	After I call your name, please signify with a yes or
3	no that you're prepared to deliberate on this matter.
4	Commissioner Chock?
5	COMMISSIONER CHOCK: Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Commissioner Contrades?
7	COMMISSIONER CONTRADES: Yes.
8	CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Commissioner Heller?
9	COMMISSIONER HELLER: Yes.
10	CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Commissioner Jencks?
11	COMMISSIONER JENCKS: Yes.
12	CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Commissioner Judge?
13	COMMISSIONER JUDGE: Yes.
14	CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Commissioner Kanuha?
15	COMMISSIONER KANUHA: Yes.
16	CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Commissioner Lezy?
17	COMMISSIONER LEZY: Yes.
18	CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Commissioner Teves?
19	COMMISSIONER TEVES: Yes.
20	CHAIRMAN DEVENS: I'm also prepared to
21	deliberate on this matter. The goal today is to
22	determine by way of motion the Commission's decision
23	on whether to grant Petitioner's request to reclassify
24	the subject property or to deny the Petition.
25	If a decision is reached today, and based

upon the Commission's guidance, staff will be directed to prepare Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and a Decision and Order reflecting the Commission's decision.

What is the Commission's pleasure? Commissioner Kanuha.

1.3

2.1

2.4

COMMISSIONER KANUHA: Mr. Chairman, for discussion purposes to move this along, in the matter of the Petition for North Kona Village, LLC to amend the Conservation Land Use District boundaries into the Urban Land Use District in Docket No. A07-774, I move to deny in part and approve in part the subject Petition.

COMMISSIONER LEZY: Second.

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Did you want to specify what party you're moving to grant and what part you're moving to deny?

COMMISSIONER KANUHA: Thank you. I'll clarify that. My motion for denial is any of the Petition Area that's located within the 1100-foot buffer zone. There is a portion of it that's within there that's subject to state park, I mean to private park. But based on the testimony and everything else that has come before the Commission I feel that at least that 1100-foot area should remain in

1 conservation.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

And I'm further prepared to provide additional language whereby declarations to that effect either via a conservation easement or recordation with the Bureau of Conveyances or whatever it takes to ensure that that stays there in perpetuity.

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Was there a second on this motion to grant in part, deny in part the Petition?

COMMISSIONER LEZY: My second remains,

11 Chair.

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Discussion?

COMMISSIONER TEVES: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Commissioner Teves.

COMMISSIONER TEVES: Yes. Thank you,

Mr. Chairman, fellow Commissioners, after so much time spending on this Petition it's my feeling that there's a number of things. One is the airport noise would be an adverse effect on anyone who lived in the area.

This is unbearable and would only cost the state in the future countless time and money to relieve the people that live there.

Secondly, I think the people of Kona and the island have spoken against this Project, not only that, by their passing their latest law in the city.

And most of all know that this is conservation land. It was put there for a purpose. And not just a section of it but the whole Petition Area should be denied any development. And I would vote no against this Project. Thank you.

2.1

2.4

(Loud applause from audience)

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Further discussion on this motion? Commissioner Heller.

COMMISSIONER HELLER: Yes. I find this a very difficult decision because there are a number of things that I do like about the Project as proposed, including the amount of conservation space and open land that's included in the plan; the developer's commitment. And I do respect the commitment to preserving beach access.

I appreciate the fact that they have gone to great lengths to promise and to ensure that there would be beach access even if the development goes forward.

However, for me the factor that I think tips the balance is the airport proximity issue. I think it is inevitable that if a number of homes are built that close to the airport, that it is going to lead to problems down the road.

And regardless of what language is put into

warnings to purchasers, regardless of what easements are put into deeds, I believe it's inevitable that there are going to be serious problems down the road as the airport expands and as that many people are living in close proximities is the airport.

Therefore, I'm voting sort of a reluctant no. I do like the Project, but I think it's just in the wrong place with respect to the airport.

(Applause).

2.1

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Any further discussion on this motion? Commissioner Lezy.

COMMISSIONER LEZY: Thank you, Chair. I'd like to thank -- first before I make a couple comments I'd like to thank the parties for all their work on this Petition. Thank the staff as well for their hard work on this Petition.

I seconded this motion for purposes of discussion. Commissioners Teves and Heller have made their positions known. Obviously we don't know how this vote will ultimately turn out. I will say, though, that I am inclined to vote for this Project. I believe that there is merit to it.

And my principal concern, as I have expressed during the course of these proceedings, is that the concerns of the public are adequately

mitigated if this Project is to go forward.

2.1

And I do think that there has been a genuine effort on the part of the Petitioner to mitigate the effects that this development would have on the interest of the public.

And I thank the public as well for their obviously tireless effort in helping us understand what their concerns are, what their desires are, where they would like to see this portion of their community go.

But I do think that there can be appropriate safeguards put in place, I think as Commissioner Kanuha as offered, to make sure that the principal concern, and that it seems to me to be access to the beach, and to be able to enjoy the beach area, that those desires can be protected but at the same time allow the Project to go forward.

The one thing I would like to state for the record is that if this Project is approved, I would ask the County, because I believe that it is the County's obligation in this circumstance, to ensure that the beach access that is provided as part of any development is not, is not false basically. That there is adequate access provided and appropriate number of parking spaces, that the facilities that are

provided are adequate for the number of people that use the area.

2.1

2.4

What I would like to make sure that is avoided is a false promise that the public will be —— the public's access to the beach area will be preserved by giving inadequate facilities, inadequate parking, inadequate access, time open/time close kind of thing.

So, again, with that it's not an easy decision to make. But we're bound as Commissioners to look at all of the criteria that are provided for under the law.

And although we may feel strongly personally about a Petition, we have to look at the criteria that are imposed on us. And for that reason with the protections in place that Commissioner Kanuha has offered I will vote up for this.

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Further discussion? Commissioner Kanuha.

COMMISSIONER KANUHA: Thank you,
Mr. Chairman. I think there's a lot of misconception
in terms of what our role as a Commission is. If you
look at that map on the wall, all of the red is in
urban. When the State Land Use law was first created
all of the red was green on both sides of the road.

Okay? This was, you know, even before the Queen Ka'ahumanu Highway came in.

2.1

So as you can see over time from the beginning of the State Land Use law this pattern of planning and urbanization has extended out there, and it actually began when the airport in Old Kona just became inadequate for the same reasons, for flights to go in and out. So the airport was one of the first components to move out to where it was. And that kind of set, you know, that boundary. And the infill that's happened since then has been going on.

So again, you know, our role is just to decide whether or not from a long-term planning perspective areas should go into urban or not. To a certain extent we're not voting for a specific project.

It doesn't make a difference who owns the land, what they're going to do with it. Our criteria is whether or not it fits the State Land Use law, whether or not it comports with the County General Plan, in this particular case whether it comports with the Kona Community Development Plan.

And once we make that decision to put it in one category or another, then it's out of our hands because the ultimate determination of the use of this

property passes on to the county.

2.1

2.4

And if you have a sentiment about where that's going to go based on the testimony of two council people, that is their prerogative. That's the legislative prerogative of the county itself. We can't legislate. We're quasi-judicial. We're kind of judge, jury. But we just cannot legislate. That is left up to the county.

So once we make these decisions then it's out of our hands. All we can do is to ensure that if we approve a redesignation that whatever conditions we have there represent the best interests of the state.

And after that it's up to the county.

Because once things go into the Urban District it's no state agencies involved. It's clearly up to the county. And whether or not it goes further than that that's the legislative process. That's, you know, what finally makes it go. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Anything further?

Commissioner Contrades.

COMMISSIONER CONTRADES: I just have a question. So under Duane's motion exactly what would we be approving and what would be denied?

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: As I understand everything would be granted except for this buffer zone that he

1 referred to, that that would remain in conservation.

2 It would not be amended, the 1100-foot buffer zone, is

3 | that correct, Commissioner Kanuha? Does that make

4 sense?

5 COMMISSIONER KANUHA: From the shoreline,

6 correct.

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: So it's granted in part, denied in part. The only part that's being denied in this motion would be this buffer zone that would remain in conservation. It would not be amended.

COMMISSIONER CONTRADES: Thank you.

12 COMMISSIONER KANUHA: Mr. Chairman, can I

13 add more?

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER KANUHA: And in essence leaving it in the Conservation District it's not in our hands, it's not in the County's hands. It's in the hands of the DLNR.

So whatever they need to do is in their, you know, it's in the hands -- depending upon what kind of conditions we impose and my, my thoughts and my feelings on this is that I want it air tight. And these declarations, it doesn't matter who the owner is even if it is the state, ensure that the community has access to that whole area.

There's been a lot of talk about what we call mauka-makai because that's an issue. That's an issue in terms of how they go through the property, if they go through the property, if they go on the Kohanaiki side, if they go on the NELHA side.

2.1

2.4

And I want to make sure that's locked up as well no matter who owns the property, whether it's these guys, whoever they sell it to, whether it's to -- whether the county acquires it, same difference. So those are, you know -- that's the direction I want to go. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER TEVES: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Commissioner Teves.

COMMISSIONER TEVES: I have something I'd like to say. Last year we had a docket on O'ahu called Hawaii Memorial Park. Thirty odd years ago they came to the Land Use Commission. And when they wanted that area rezoned for a cemetery, they agreed to let a certain portion of that remain in conservation. Well, 30 years later they came to us and they wanted it rezoned. And we turned them down.

Then they went to the city. Now they're going to get the city to change the zoning in that area. That could very well happen here.

Whenever we make a declaration in this one

it won't matter. At the city level they can do whatever they want. And I think one day they're going come back and they're gonna get that beach land.

And we're gonna be out of luck up here. I think we should stop it now. That's conservation land. Somebody put it conservation land for a reason, not because it was in the Kona Development.

(Applause)

2.1

If you look at the red up there it's going to become another Honolulu real fast. And I don't think the people here want that. Thank you.

(Applause).

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Commissioner Judge.

COMMISSIONER JUDGE: Thank you. I'd just like to say, too, that we've gone through a lot listening to this Petition. And I appreciate the time and effort that the Petitioners made. It's a very well-designed development. But I'm going to have to concur with Commissioner Teves and Commissioner Heller that -- (applause) -- the airport issue just really bothers me.

I recall yesterday we had testimony from some high school students. And they made a very poignant point that the decisions we make here today not only affect us, our generation, but their

1	generation and all the generations to come.
2	And I think it may not be an issue in 10
3	years. It may not be an issue in 20 years. But I
4	think there's certainly a great potential for it to be
5	an issue in the future. And I think that's I just
6	can't get past that one. So I'm going to be also
7	voting 'no' on this Petition.
8	(Applause)
9	CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Any further discussion on
10	this? Commissioner Kanuha, you presented the motion
11	for discussion. Do you want it to go to the vote?
12	COMMISSIONER KANUHA: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
13	CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Dan.
14	MR. DAVIDSON: This is a motion to deny in
15	part and approve in part the Petition in A07-774.
16	Commissioner Chock?
17	COMMISSIONER CHOCK: Yes.
18	MR. DAVIDSON: Commissioner Kanuha?
19	COMMISSIONER KANUHA: Yes.
20	MR. DAVIDSON: Commissioner Lezy?
21	COMMISSIONER LEZY: Yes.
22	MR. DAVIDSON: Commissioner Contrades?
23	COMMISSIONER CONTRADES: No.
24	MR. DAVIDSON: Commissioner Heller?
25	COMMISSIONER HELLER: No.

1	MR. DAVIDSON: Commissioner Jencks?
2	COMMISSIONER JENCKS: Yes.
3	MR. DAVIDSON: Commissioner Judge?
4	COMMISSIONER JUDGE: No.
5	MR. DAVIDSON: Commissioner Teves?
6	COMMISSIONER TEVES: No.
7	MR. DAVIDSON: Chair Devens?
8	CHAIRMAN DEVENS: No.
9	MR. DAVIDSON: The motion fails, Chair.
10	(Audience cheering and applause)
11	CHAIRMAN DEVENS: So that motion was to
12	grant in part and deny in part. The motion has
13	failed. The Chair will call for any additional
14	motions on this Petition. Commissioner Teves, do you
15	want to make a motion on this? What's happened is
16	that the motion that was proposed was to grant in part
17	and deny in part. It has now failed.
18	COMMISSIONER TEVES: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
19	Regarding the docket A07-774 'O'oma Beachside Village,
20	LLC, Hawai'i I make the motion to deny this Petition.
21	CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Is there a second?
22	Commissioner Heller seconded the motion to deny. Is
23	there sny discussion on this motion? Hearing none,
24	Dan, call for the vote.
25	MR. DAVIDSON: Motion to deny A07-774.

1	Commissioner Teves?
2	COMMISSIONER TEVES: No.
3	CHAIRMAN DEVENS: This is your motion.
4	(Laughter)
5	CHAIRMAN DEVENS: That was a yes from
6	Commissioner Teves.
7	COMMISSIONER TEVES: Yes.
8	MR. DAVIDSON: Commissioner Heller?
9	COMMISSIONER HELLER: Yes.
10	MR. DAVIDSON: Commissioner Chock?
11	COMMISSIONER CHOCK: No.
12	MR. DAVIDSON: Commissioner Contrades?
13	COMMISSIONER CONTRADES: Yes.
14	MR. DAVIDSON: Commissioner Jencks?
15	COMMISSIONER JENCKS: No.
16	MR. DAVIDSON: Commissioner Judge?
17	COMMISSIONER JUDGE: Yes.
18	MR. DAVIDSON: Commissioner Kanuha?
19	COMMISSIONER KANUHA: No.
20	MR. DAVIDSON: Commissioner Lezy?
21	COMMISSIONER LEZY: No.
22	MR. DAVIDSON: Chair Devens?
23	CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Yes.
24	MR. DAVIDSON: The motion fails 5 to 4.
25	(Applause)

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: We're going to take a short break. Just so the public understands in order to carry the motion it has to be carried by 6 votes at a minimum. So this motion to deny the Petition was not passed because there were only 5 votes. So we're going to take a short break and we're still on this matter. Take a 5 minute break.

(Recess was held. 10:10)

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Back on the record. We're going to move to go into executive session. It shouldn't take too long. And we will leave the room. So I make that motion to discuss the Commission's powers and authorities.

COMMISSIONER JUDGE: Second.

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: All those in favor say aye (Commissioners voting: Aye)

Unanimous.

2.1

2.4

(Executive session)

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: We're back on the record. We had a chance to review the Hawai'i Revised Statute that applies to us and also our own administrative rules as it comes to voting and what carries a motion or what can deny a motion.

And it appears that I was incorrect in that the motion to deny did pass because only a majority of

5 votes is required as opposed to the usual 6 votes.

(Audience loud applause)

2.1

2.4

So I wanted to state that on the record so the parties understand how we interpreted the rule in case this matter goes any further. I hope we didn't make a mistake on our interpretation, but that is our interpretation of the rule. Other than that,

Commissioners want to add anything to what I've just concluded as far as the motion passing? Parties?

Mr. Yee.

MR. YEE: Chair, I've always believed that you should decide issues on the substance rather than the procedures. So what I would request is to ask the Petitioner whether they would accept that legal decision that a 5 to 4 vote for denial constitutes denial under the rules. And if they don't then state for the record why not, and to allow the Commission an opportunity to fix, if they think it's appropriate, that issue.

MR. LIM: Thank you. Mr. Chairman, we were going to say that anyway, as the motion did not receive 6 affirmative votes either which way essentially. At least in our understanding the Commission and most administrative boards work like this, if you're unable to reach a decision either way

you continue it on to the next regularly scheduled meeting for another vote. If it fails at that point in time then the proposed action is denied.

2.1

MR. YEE: Chair, if I could just note
15-15-13B states that, "If a Petition for district
boundary amendment does not obtain 6 affirmative votes
for approval, then the Commission shall prepare
findings of fact, conclusions of law, and a decision
and order to deny the Petition."

Now, technically the motion to approve in part and deny in part is not simply a motion to approve. But if there is a concern by Petitioner that you needed to, for some reason, continue this to another matter, if I understand it correctly, his argument correctly, I think that's wrong.

If -- again it's only because I think substantive issues should be -- if you appeal, appeal on the substance. Don't appeal on the process question. So just as a matter of a helpful suggestion, our suggestion -- and it's up to you, you can stand by your prior ruling. That's the LUC's call.

My suggestion is perhaps you could make a motion, someone could make a motion to approve straight up. It's unlikely to receive 6 affirmative

votes. And that would fall squarely within 15-15-13B.

2.1

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Let me ask you what would happen if no further motions were made and it ended up the way it just ended up?

MR. YEE: The concern would be -- I mean if you're correct, and I think you very well may be, but if you're wrong, though, and no decision is made, then the Commission has a 365-day time limit to make a decision. And if you don't make any decision it is deemed approved subject to a variety of conditions. So that's the reason why I would suggest that this be clarified on the procedural issues.

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Mr. Lim, do you want to respond to that?

MR. LIM: Yes. I guess the same thing what I basically said. I don't think there's an affirmative vote either which way. My understanding of Robert's Rules of Order, and the Commission follows those, is that the matter should be continued on to the next hearing for another vote either which way.

The reason for that is because there are often cases in which the Commissions all over the state fail to reach an affirmative vote either which way. And this is a procedure by which you get a final decision, is at the next regularly scheduled meeting

if we don't have an affirmative vote either which way, then the Petition is denied.

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: I appreciate the info.

MR. BRILHANTE: Mr. Chair.

2.

2.1

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Yes, sir.

MR. BRILHANTE: The county of Hawai'i agrees with the Office of Planning's interpretation of the rules. I think it's essential that we protect the record here. And in order to accomplish that I think the conservative approach would be to entertain a motion approving the permit. And if that fails, then we're at least clearly in the guide, we fall within the guide of the rules as they are stated.

CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Appreciate that. Well, we're definitely trying to do the correct thing in trying to follow the law and our rules and try to interpret it to the best of our ability. Given the input from the parties what I will do is ask the Commission if there are any further motions anyone would like to make. If not, then we're going to adjourn. Commissioner Heller.

COMMISSIONER HELLER: Yes. Without necessarily conceding that it's required, just for purposes of clarifying the record I'd like to make a motion that the Petition in A07-774 be approved. And

1	this is not a motion to approve in part, and deny in
2	part. This is just a motion to approve period.
3	CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Is there a second?
4	COMMISSIONER JENCKS: Second.
5	CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Commissioner Jencks has
6	seconded the motion to approve the Petition. Did I
7	understand the motion correctly?
8	COMMISSIONER HELLER: Yes.
9	CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Discussion? Hearing none,
10	roll call.
11	MR. DAVIDSON: This is a motion to approve
12	the Petition in A07-774. Commissioner Heller?
13	COMMISSIONER HELLER: Vote no on my own
14	motion.
15	MR. DAVIDSON: Commissioner Jencks?
16	COMMISSIONER JENCKS: Aye.
17	MR. DAVIDSON: Commissioner Chock?
18	COMMISSIONER CHOCK: Yes.
19	MR. DAVIDSON: Commissioner Contrades?
20	COMMISSIONER CONTRADES: No.
21	MR. DAVIDSON: Commissioner Judge?
22	COMMISSIONER JUDGE: No.
23	MR. DAVIDSON: Commissioner Kanuha?
24	COMMISSIONER KANUHA: Yes.
25	MR. DAVIDSON: Commissioner Lezy?

1	COMMISSIONER LEZY: Yes.
2	MR. DAVIDSON: Commissioner Teves?
3	COMMISSIONER TEVES: No.
4	MR. DAVIDSON: Chair Devens?
5	CHAIRMAN DEVENS: No.
6	MR. DAVIDSON: This motion fails, Chair.
7	(Applause)
8	CHAIRMAN DEVENS: Is there any other
9	business? Commissioners wish to raise any other
10	matters in this case? We're going to direct the staff
11	to prepare the appropriate Findings of Fact and
12	Conclusions of Law and Decision and Order in this
13	matter based on the decision by this Commission. We
14	stand adjourned.
15	(The proceedings were adjourned at 10:25 a.m.)
16	000000
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

69 1 2 CERTIFICATE 3 4 I, HOLLY HACKETT, CSR, RPR, in and for the State 5 of Hawai'i, do hereby certify; 6 That I was acting as court reporter in the 7 foregoing LUC matter on the 5th day of November 2010 8 That the proceedings were taken down in 9 computerized machine shorthand by me and were 10 thereafter reduced to print by me; 11 That the foregoing represents, to the best 12 of my ability, a true and correct transcript of the 13 proceedings had in the foregoing matter. 14 This______2010 15 DATED: 16 17 18 19 20 HOLLY M. HACKETT, CSR #130, RPR Certified Shorthand Reporter 2.1 22 23 2.4 25