1	LAND USE COMMISSION
2	STATE OF HAWAI'I
3	
4	HEARING AND ACTION
5	A87-617 BRIDGE 'AINA LE'A, LLC)
6	& DW 'AINA LE'A DEVELOPMENT, LLC)
7	
8	
9	
10	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
11	
12	The above-entitled matter came on for a Public Hearing
13	at Ballroom #1 and 2, King Kamehameha's Kona Beach
14	Hotel, 75-5660 Palani Road, Kailua-Kona, Hawai'i
15	commencing at 9:10 a.m. on Thursday, November 18, 2010
16	pursuant to Notice.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	REPORTED BY: HOLLY M. HACKETT, CSR #130, RPR
22	Certified Shorthand Reporter
23	
2 4	
25	

1	APPEA	R A N C E S					
2	COMMISSIONERS: KYLE CHOCK THOMAS CONTRADES (Presiding Officer) RONALD HELLER CHARLES JENCKS LISA M. JUDGE DUANE KANUHA NORMAND LEZY						
3							
4							
5							
6	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: ORLANDO DAVIDSON						
7	ACTING CHIEF CLERK: RILEY HAKODA STAFF PLANNERS: SCOTT DERRICKSON DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL: DIANE ERICKSON, ESQ.						
9	AUDIO TECHNICIAN: WALTER	MENCHING					
10							
11 12	Docket No. A87-617 Bridge Le'a Development, LLC.	'Aina Le'A, LLC & DW 'Aina					
13	For the Petitioner:	ALAN OKAMOTO, ESQ. JEREL YAMAMOTO, ESQ.					
14							
15	For the Co-Petitioner:	BRUCE VOSS, ESQ. MICHAEL CARROLL, ESQ,.					
16 17	For the County:	WILLIAM BRILHANTE, ESQ. Deputy Corporation Counsel DARYN ARAI Planning Dept.					
18 19 20	For the State:	BRYAN YEE, ESQ. Deputy Attorney General ABBEY MAYER Office of Planning					
21		Office of Planning					
22							
23							
24							
25							

ı				
		_		3
1		I N D E	E X	
2	PUBLIC WITNESSES		PAGE	
3	Byron Harris		9	
4	Philip Harris		10	
5	Olithia Lai		11	
6	Corina Salmo		13	
7	Walter Fullerton		15	
8	Kevin Woods		17	
9	Pua Correa		18	
10	John Harner		20	
11	Gretchen Lambeth		21	
12	Edward Brown		2 4	
13	Robert Martinson		26	
14	Keolani Keawe		29	
15	Peter Dahlberg		30	
16	Christian Reve		33	
17	George Robertson		3 4	
18	Randy Vitousek		36	
19	William Campbell		38	
20	Daisy Mitchell		41	
21	Lily Singer		42	
22	Keith Whinney		45	
23				
24				
25				

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Let's call the meeting back to order. Good morning, everyone. This is a meeting of the Land Use Commission. We are at the King Kamehameha's Kona Beach Hotel, 75-5660 Palani Road, Kailua-Kona, Hawai'i.

2.1

2.4

And this is a hearing and action meeting regarding A87-617 DW 'Aina Le'a Development LLC and Bridge 'Aina Le'a's Order to Show Cause issued December 9, 2008, rescinded upon condition precedent August 27, 2009, reinstated by Order finding failure of condition precedent filed July 15, 2010; and to consider DW 'Aina Le'a's Motion to Amend Conditions 1, 5, and 7.

Will the parties please identify themselves for the record.

MR. OKAMOTO: Good morning, members of the Commission. I'm Alan Okamoto, attorney for DW 'Aina Le'a Development, LLC. Mr. Yamamoto from my office is with me today and so is Mr. Robert Wessells of DW.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Thank you.

MR. VOSS: Good morning, Chairman. This is Bruce Voss and Mike Carroll on behalf of Co-petitioner Bridge 'Aina Le'a LLC.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Thank you.

MR. BRILHANTE: Good morning, Vice Chair and

Commissioners. William Brilhante, deputy corporation
counsel, county of Hawai'i. Also present is Mr. Daryn
Arai from the Planning Department of the county of
Hawai'i.

2.1

2.4

MR. YEE: Good morning. Deputy Attorney

General Bryan Yee on behalf of the Office of Planning.

With me is Abbey Mayer, director of the Office of

Planning.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Thank you.

Let me first explain our procedure for today. The

Commission will hear evidence and argument on the Show

Cause Order, both substantive and procedural. After

hearing such evidence and argument, decision-making on

the Show Cause Order in A87-617 will be deferred due

to the limited number of Commissioners available today

and pending advice from our legal counsel. There will

be a subsequent opportunity to present arguments on

this matter.

In addition, the Motion to Amend Conditions will be deferred so no arguments will be heard on this matter today. Are there any questions regarding this procedure? Hearing none... on July 26, 2010 the Commission mailed its July 15, 2010 Order Finding Failure to Meet Condition Precedent for Rescinding Order to Show Cause to Parties.

On August 31st, 2010 the Commission received Petitioner's Motion to Amend Conditions 1, 5 and 7.

On September 8th, 2010 the Commission received OP's Memorandum in Opposition to Petitioner's Motion to Amend Conditions 1, 5 and 7.

Also on September 8th the Commission received Petitioner Bridge 'Aina Le'a LLC's Statement of Position regarding DW 'Aina Le'a Development's Motion to Amend Conditions 1, 5 and 7.

On September 10, 2010 the Commission received County of Hawai'i Planning Department's Statement of No Opposition to Petitioner's Motion to Amend Conditions 1, 5 and 7 filed August 31st, 2010.

On September 28th, 2010 the Commission received OP's Supplemental Memorandum in Opposition to Petitioner's Motion to Amend Conditions 1, 5 and 7.

On October 6, 2010 the Commission received County of Hawai'i's progress report on DW 'Aina Le'a Development LLC.

On November 1st, 2010 the Commission received a response to its comments letter from J.M.

Leonard Planning, LLC regarding the Villages of 'Aina Le'a Draft EIS and a copy of the Final EIS.

On November 8th, 2010 the Commission received Co-Petitioner DW 'Aina Le'a Development LLC's

1 | Exhibit 2 to Motion to Amend Conditions 1, 5 and 7.

2.1

2.4

On November 10, 2010 the Commission received written correspondence from Michelle Kerr.

On November 12, 2010 the Commission received Bridge 'Aina Le'a LLC's Motion re: Order to Show Cause; Memorandum in Support.

On November 16, 2010 the Commission received Co-Petitioner DW 'Aina Le'a Development LLC's Joinder in Bridge 'Aina Le'a LLC's Motion re: Order to Show Cause.

First, we will call all individuals desiring to provide public testimony to identify themselves. All such individuals will be called in turn to our witness box where they will provide their testimony. Let me remind you that all of the public testimony from previous hearings was transcribed and is part of the record.

For those who are testifying again the Commission would appreciate if you could confine your testimony to new information. There will be a 3-minute time limit on testimony that will be enforced. So are there any individuals desiring to provide public testimony on this docket? Dan, will read out the names for us.

MR. DAVIDSON: First is Byron Harris

followed by Philip Harris. So first Byron Harris
followed by Philip Harris.

3 BYRON HARRIS

2.1

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Would you please identify yourself and give us your address.

THE WITNESS: My name is Byron Harris. My address is 73-456 Waipahi Street, Kailua-Kona, Hawai'i 96740.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: I work with PA Harris

Electric. We'll be installing a solar PV system on
the carports over at the 'Aina Le'a Project. And one
of the things we just wanted to convey is the fact
that as being part of, like, a new emerging technology
we understand that this particular Project is gonna be
kind of in the forefront.

So not only are they going to be providing metered homes and the affordability for the people of Kona, but also jobs for those that need it, as things are pretty slim right now. And that this is just going to be another feather in the cap for Kona and the people of Kona in all regards.

And I believe that was basically it. So I was just hoping to convey, hoping to express my hope that the Commission would grant the extension for the Project. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Thank you.

6 Any questions? Commissioners? Thank you.

MR. DAVIDSON: Philip Harris followed by Olithia Lai.

9 PHILIP HARRIS,

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined
and testified as follows:

12 THE WITNESS: Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Would you please state your name and address.

THE WITNESS: My name is Philip Harris. I reside in Captain Cook, P. O. Box 709. I am the owner with my sons of PA Harris Electric. We have been in business for 40 years. Close to 20 of those years here in Kona.

We, two and-a-half years ago we employed 46 people on our payroll. Today we have 26 people. And so I'm here today not only to speak for myself but to speak for the individuals we employ and for their families.

I don't have to tell you that we are in a

very difficult time. And to limit the opportunities for employment I think is counterproductive for the people of this Big Island.

We recognize that this Project is more than just a condo project. And because of the diverse nature of this Project that there'll be opportunities for not only union but non-union contractors to work on this Project.

And so we humbly ask that you approve the Petition that's being put before you because it will be an opportunity for further employment for all of those concerned here on the Big Island. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Thank you.

14 | Questions? Commissioners? Thank you.

MR. DAVIDSON: Olithia Lai followed by
Corina Salmo.

17 OLITHIA LAI

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

19

20

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: I do.

21 PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Please state
22 your name and your address.

23 THE WITNESS: My name is Elithia Lai and I 24 live at 66-1455B Ala Kahakai in Wailea Bay.

25 | Technically it's Kamuela, Hawai'i. I've been in the

Puako area for 10 years. I'm president of the condo association. I run a nonprofit garden in Waimea. And I've seen a lot of development go up in our area and our community which is right across the street from Puako. Originally it was called Puako Mauka.

And I've been championing for a project that would bring sustainable development to the Big Island. I think that this Project is one of the first examples that I've seen. It hits the three important bottom lines, the triple bottom line which is: The planet, people, and profit.

They have provided great opportunities for the community, with their connection road, with the stop light that hopefully will go in, the affordable housing. It's good for the planet. They're the first development that I've seen that's environmentally friendly with their solar rays, their wastewater treatment plant and obviously, as everyone's been hitting, the profits.

Construction has definitely dried up in our area. And it's offering a lot of opportunity. So I'm here to say -- for speaking for a lot of the community that's across the street from Puako, that this is a supported development. So I recommend that the council give them the extension that they're asking

1 for. Thank you.

2 PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Thank you.

3 | Any questions? Commissioners? Thank you.

MR. DAVIDSON: Corina Salmo followed by

5 | Walter Fullerton.

4

7

8

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

6 CORINA SALMO

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

9 THE WITNESS: Yes I, do.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Would you

11 please state your name and address for the record?

12 THE WITNESS: Corina Salmo, 77-151 Koakoa

13 Street, Kailua-Kona. I'm here representing the ILWU

14 | Local 142 today and its members.

I would like to read what we had prepared because I want to make sure we cover all the bases and the concerns that we have for ourselves and our members and our communities.

I represent the West Hawai'i units, namely the Sheraton Keauhou Bay Resort and Spa and the Hilton Waikoloa Village. The ILWU represents at least 3,500 workers along the Kohala Coast and in West Hawai'i whose jobs classifications range from stevedores to waitresses.

The Hawai'i division of the ILWU would like

to reiterate its support for the extension of the urban classification for this property, and request that the Commission favorably grant the Applicant's time extension request.

2.1

2.4

This Project is important for us for a number of reasons. For one, where there have been questions whether this Project would ever get off of the ground, we feel reasonably confident that it will proceed.

True, they have not completed 385 units.

However, in about two years and in spite of difficulty in securing the desired finances, DW 'Aina Le'a has completed 16 units and are well on their way to completing the balance. Among other things, it has completed the needed EIS and construction plans for the much needed traffic lights in the Mauna Lani intersection.

DW has done more for this Project in a short period of time than any other developer or former developers have. Additionally, DW 'Aina Le'a has renewed the commitment to work with us on the workforce housing project. It's very important to us and to our members because many of them commute long distances from East Hawai'i and Ka'u.

And since becoming the developer that they

have updated the housing needs -- I'm sorry -- we are presently updating our housing needs study. And upon completion will be meeting with them to finalize the workforce housing program.

2.1

More importantly, DW is working on financing programs to allow our workers to live in the area in which they work. We at the ILWU desire the Land Use Commission to extend the building and -- I'm sorry -- to extend the time for the building and the sale of the homes to allow our members to obtain financing to buy the homes in the Project. Additionally, this Project will be providing much needed --

MR. DAVIDSON: Excuse me. Thirty seconds.

THE WITNESS: Okay. More importantly this job will create a lot of construction and construction-related jobs for the people of the Big Island, which we need desperately. So please our last plea is to please allow this extension for DW 'Aina Le'a. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Thank you.

Any questions? Commissioners? Thank you.

MR. DAVIDSON: Walter Fullerton followed by Kevin Woods.

24 WALTER FULLERTON,

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined

and testified as follows:

2.1

2.4

THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Would you state your name and address for the record please.

THE WITNESS: My name is Walter Fullerton.

I'm the Project architect for 'Aina Le'a LLC. My

address is 75-5656 Kuakini Highway, just a few minutes

from here. I am a local architect.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: I think this is a very, very good project. I think the developers have done a fantastic job under some of the most difficult circumstances of getting this Project together. One of the most important aspects of it is they are using all local people. There are no crews coming out of the mainland or anything of that nature.

We need the housing or affordable housing in this area because if you go across the street and find a condominium for less square footage, you'll find it for a million to \$2 million. Most of the people that service this area come, as mentioned earlier, from the Hilo side, from south of the island, some traveling as much as two hours one way to get to this Project.

If you come into Kailua-Kona you'll find that there are projects here that aren't as affordable

as the 'Aina Le'a Project right now. I think the
developers have done very well, far beyond what I
expected. They've done a much better job of putting
this thing together under some of the most extreme
circumstances. And I thank you for your attention.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Thank you.

Ouestions? Commissioners? Thank you.

MR. DAVIDSON: Kevin Woods followed by Pua Correa.

10 KEVIN WOODS

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: State your name and address for the record.

THE WITNESS: Kevin Woods, 78-623 Ihilani
Place, Kailua-Kona. As I said, my name is Kevin
Woods. And I'm the general manager of Honsador Wood
here in Kona. We have been providing building
materials for the past 30 years.

And I would like to say we are 100 percent in favor of this Project and have made a commitment from our branch. We have ramped up our levels of inventory to accommodate this Project. And this Project, based on the timeframe and the materials that

have gone out, could easily represent 50 percent of my annual business.

And with that it also has been stated, creates job opportunities. We have the ability to ramp up our personnel and add bodies to accommodate this Project. Also like to say over the past years we've developed a strong working relationship with DW 'Aina Le'a and True Style. And that has carried over to other projects on other islands as well.

And with that, very proud to say that we've provided quality materials in all phases of the Project which, bottom line, is going to provide the end user with an excellent dwelling. That's it.

14 PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Thank you.

15 Questions? Commissioners? Thank you.

MR. DAVIDSON: Pua Correa followed by John
Harner.

18 PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Good morning.

THE WITNESS: Good morning. Aloha.

20 PUA CORREA

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

19

2.1

22

23

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: I do.

24 PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Please state

25 | your name and address for the record.

THE WITNESS: I'm Pua Correa, P. O. Box 55

Kamuela, Hawai'i 96743.

2.1

2.4

affordable homes.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Go ahead.

I'm born and raised in Hawai'i. And I must say I went to the Project. And although I'm a realtor here with Hawai'i Brokers I'm not hear on that behalf. Although that so many people reiterated that we need new affordable homes, didn't say "new" but it is new

The thing is I'm here because I do a lot of community work with Kulakai Aupuni, Punanaleo o Hawai'i. We opened up the Waimea Branch. And I also do Pop Warner. We have 3,000 kids in our Pop Warner Association here on the Big Island from the whole island.

And the thing is when I read his plan -- and he has 300 or more acres of greenway parkways and call of the above -- if he only knew how much our program needs another field. Because we are trying our best to keep our youth off of the streets.

And when I saw that they are willing to do, like, a stadium style park, I really wish you Commissioners would come to some of our Pop Warner games. And you could actually see the needs and

- they're actually going to perform the ability for us
 to keep our kids off the street. And that, too, is
 really important for us.
- So that's why I'm here to support the

 community aspect, not only the building or the jobs

 that are created off of this 'cause there's many on

 the list regarding what 'Aina Le'a is planning to do.
- 8 I'm looking at also the benefits of the community.
- 9 Any questions?
- 10 PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Any questions?
- 11 | Commissioners? Thank you.
- 12 THE WITNESS: I hope you say yes. Please
- 13 | say yes. Extend them. (Laughter)
- MR. DAVIDSON: John Harner followed by
- 15 Gretchen Lambeth.
- 16 JOHN HARNER
- 17 being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined
- 18 | and testified as follows:
- 19 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 20 PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Please state
- 21 your name and address for the record.
- THE WITNESS: John Harner, 71-1679
- 23 | Pu'ukamana Loop Kailua-Kona. I'm here -- I'm probably
- 24 | going to sound a little redundant. I can't see what
- 25 | anything but an approval by the committee would

benefit, how that would benefit the community whatsoever.

2.

The people involved 'Aina Le'a and TrueStyle Pacific have shown a great commitment to the community as well as this Project. The amount of work they were able to achieve in a very short amount of time under great financial stress and circumstances was incredible.

I know a lot of people in the construction industry that didn't think that could be accomplished. So I think they have proven their commitment to this job.

The number of jobs that would be created by this Project is obviously pretty immense. Not just dozens but hundreds. So my suggestion is that you approve this. I can't see of a better way to use that land. Agriculture I'm sure would be difficult. So my plea is for approval from the committee.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Thank you.

Any questions? Commissioners? Thank you.

MR. DAVIDSON: Gretchen Lambeth followed by
22 Ed Brown.

GRETCHEN LAMBETH

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

1 THE WITNESS: I do.

2.1

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Please state your name and address for the record.

THE WITNESS: Gretchen Lambeth, P. O. Box 5076 Kailua-Kona, 96745. I'm a 20-year resident of Kona. I come out of the construction industry formerly. I was part of the projects at Four Seasons and Hokuli'a. I'm a realtor here in Kona now. I'm an avid watcher of development, and I did doubt that DW 'Aina Le'a could even make it as far as they have.

With both hands tied behind their back they have done an amazing job of getting out of ground in a horrific economic time that they were even willing to put the money on the line.

That said, the Department of Business and Economic Tourism projects that the Hawai'i County do in years we are going to have a 51 percent increase in our residents. If you do this the math with the 2008 census, that's 90,000 new people to this island. If only 25 percent of them move to the west side that's 20,000 people that need housing.

In 2004 Chris Yuen, our current planning commissioner at that time, suggested that the only way to solve our horrific traffic problem was either to build Southern California style highways or more

houses where workers work. His suggestion was obviously to build the houses where the workers are. And that's exactly what this Project proposes to do.

2.1

2.4

If they've missed a deadline, shame on them. But maybe the deadline was too tight to start with. And I think we need to evaluate the bigger need for our community. The state doesn't have money to build giant highways between here and Ocean View where they're commuting from or to Puna. We need them here in our communities.

Their quality of life depends on living close to where they work or they spend hours on the road. None of us want to do that. All of us probably have some sort of commute. But the lowest economic people shouldn't have the longest commute.

We need to address that issue. We need the cohesion that Pua talked about with our families to be able to do the sports. If parents have to drive two hours to the kid's baseball game they're never going to make it. We need the community. We need it in the resort areas. And I ask you urgently to approve this extension. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Questions? Commissioners? Thank you.

MR. DAVIDSON: Ed Brown followed by Robert

Martinson.

2.1

2.4

2.5

2 EDWARD BROWN

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: I do.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Could you please state your name and address for the record.

THE WITNESS: My name's Edward Brown. I live at 68-35 Akaka Way, Waikoloa, 96738. My name's Ed Brown. I'm the regional manager for Goodfellow Brothers. We're also the design/build contractor for all the site infrastructure for 'Aina Le'a. We've made some huge progress since we started. I know today we are supposed to come in here and be able to turn over all infrastructure to the client.

But because of some hiccups with financing through these bad times we've lost a little bit of momentum. But now that we've got a good positive cash flow coming in from Capital Asia, I think that we really need to support this Project. We need to give it an extension give some time. It's going to employ about 60 to 70 of my employees that live here. We support the Project. And I think having the burden of this land being reverted back to ag in such a short timeframe is a big burden for the owner.

So I would support, please, granting this extension and give us an opportunity to finish what we've started. I think you guys will be pleased when we're done.

5 PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Thank you.
6 Questions? Bryan.

MR. YEE: Has Goodfellow Brothers been completely paid for the work it's done?

THE WITNESS: No, we've not. Right now we get funds from Capitol Asia, which is about 700,000 a month.

MR. YEE: And how much is the current debt that's still owing?

14 THE WITNESS: 4.3 million.

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

MR. YEE: And is Goodfellow Brothers
extending further credit beyond that 4.3 million to do
additional work?

THE WITNESS: What we're hopin' is because the Capital Asia funds have been really consistent and the sales have been great, we're hopin' to build up reserve and to reschedule the work based on the reserve that's coming in.

23 MR. YEE: So you will be providing work as 24 the money comes in?

THE WITNESS: Correct.

MR. YEE: But you won't be extending further credit if the money is not present?

THE WITNESS: That's something I would have

to discuss. But, um, every two weeks there's another handful of money that comes in. So it's been very consistent. So we're hoping that -- it will slow the schedule down a little bit but I think it's something that's doable.

MR. YEE: Okay. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Commissioners,
any questions? Thank you.

MR. DAVIDSON: Robert Martinson followed by

Karen Grimp.

14 THE WITNESS: Good morning.

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

2.5

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Good morning.

16 ROBERT MARTINSON,

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: I do.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Please state your name and address for the record.

THE WITNESS: Robert Martinson, 75-1027

Henry Street, Kailua-Kona 96740. My name is Robert

Martinson. I'm the retail sales manager for Bank of

America home loans in the Kailua-Kona branch here on

the Big Island.

2.1

My team has been working with DW and the 'Aina Le'a Project for the better part of a year to provide guidance for the future financing of homes within the community. I'm here to address any concerns that the Commission may have regarding the financing of the units within the Project.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Could you slow down just a little bit.

THE WITNESS: Surely. Bank of America has worked to ensure that the Project meets the established guidelines of Fannie Mae, the Federal Housing Authority, the Department of Veteran Affairs and the U.S. Department of Agriculture. Through our reviews we have found that the Project is approvable through all these programs and we'll be able to provide the appropriate financing for the individuals and the families buying in 'Aina Le'a.

While being able to handling future residents from all backgrounds, we are set up to provide financing to first-time buyers, particularly those in need of affordable housing, as soon as these homes are available for sale. The programs we look to use require little or no money down to give hard working families a chance to own homes without having

to dig deep into their savings.

2.1

2.4

We are also able to accommodate residents in many income categories according to their needs. Bank of America is committed to a high level of service to our clients and we are awaiting the opportunity to approve the future residents of the 'Aina Le'a community as soon as those properties come online. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Thank you.

Questions? Go ahead.

able to provide financing as soon as units are available for sale. I believe there were 16 units that were represented to be completed. Are those available for sale?

THE WITNESS: We cannot lend until we have at least 51 percent of those with contracts available. Then we can start closing on those. So that's what I mean. The regulations state that 51 percent of each phase has to be pre-sold before we can close on those loans. But once they are available and once they have sold then we can start closing.

COMMISSIONER HELLER: So from your perspective there are no units that are available yet.

25 | THE WITNESS: There are no units that I can

finance yet. But that's because I don't have nine
pre-sold contracts in my hand.

3 COMMISSIONER HELLER: Okay. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Any other

questions? Thank you.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

MR. DAVIDSON: Karen Grimp followed by
Keolani Keawe. (no response) Keolani Keawe followed
by Peter Dahlberg.

KEOLANI KEAWE

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Could you please state your name and address for the record.

THE WITNESS: Keolani Keawe, 76-5990

Mamalahoa Highway, Holualoa. I wasn't prepared to speak today. I was just coming to listen to everyone. I've been a realtor on the west side of the Big Island for 10 years, born and raised here. I pretty much want to just reiterate what everyone was saying about

You see the people that are struggling the most having to drive from Ka'u from the east side coming to work at the hotels. I mean it's unsafe driving. It's all that time with parents away from

the commute. That's the biggest thing I see.

their children. Giving them the opportunity to live closer to where they work to me is just one of the biggest priorities.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

22

23

Also I've been learning a little bit about the 'Aina Le'a Project, just their community gardens, the energy efficiency, really trying to, coming, asking what the local people want culturally and being here to support it.

So I just think their focus is in the right direction and their heart is also not just for making the profits, but making it a better living for the people here. Mahalo.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Thank you.

14 Questions? Commissioners? Thank you.

MR. DAVIDSON: Peter Dahlberg followed by

Christian Reve.

17 THE WITNESS: Good morning.

PETER DAHLBERG

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

21 THE WITNESS: Good morning. I do.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Please state your name and address for the record.

THE WITNESS: Peter Dahlberg, 74-4290 Kiwi

25 | Street, Kailua-Kona. I'm a civil engineer assisting

```
1
    DW with the wastewater design. In short, the
 2
    wastewater design proposed is a MBR system, membrane
 3
    filtration membrane bioreactor specifically is what
 4
    MBR stands for. It is designed specifically suited to
 5
    deliver high quality effluent, which is the water
 6
    that's released from the wastewater treatment plant,
 7
    primarily to be used for irrigation reuse and to have
 8
    minimal impacts to the environment.
              I'm in favor of the extension from the Land
 9
10
    Use Commission. And if it would be beneficial to the
11
    LUC I have prepared a fact sheet on MBR technology.
12
    That's all I have.
13
              PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Thank you.
14
    Questions? Bryan.
15
                       Is the proposed sewage treatment
              MR. YEE:
16
    facility sized for 385 units?
17
              THE WITNESS: Not at the moment. It's sized
18
    for the Phase I development only.
19
                        How many would that be?
              MR. YEE:
20
              THE WITNESS: It's sized to receive
2.1
    25,000 gallons per day.
22
              MR. YEE: It would not be sized, then, for
23
    the entire Project over the 1,000 acres then?
2.4
              THE WITNESS: Not currently. It's
```

expandable to 40,000 gallons but not to receive the

25

```
1
    entire flow from the proposed buildout.
 2
                        Thank you. Nothing further.
              MR. YEE:
 3
              PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Go ahead.
 4
              COMMISSIONER JENCKS: I'm just curious.
 5
    What is the status of the MBR plant today in terms of
    its installation and ability to serve the Project?
 6
 7
              THE WITNESS: The design has been submitted
 8
    partially to the Department of Health for an approval
    to construct. And the Phase I a temporary plant is in
 9
10
    transit to be delivered to DW.
11
              COMMISSIONER JENCKS: What's your expected
12
    permit issuance from the Department of Health
1.3
    timeframe-wise?
14
              THE WITNESS: That could be anywhere from a
15
    few months to 6 months to a year.
16
              COMMISSIONER JENCKS: When did you submit to
17
    DOH?
18
              THE WITNESS: Last week.
19
              COMMISSIONER JENCKS: Last week. The plant,
20
    physical plant is on its way.
2.1
              THE WITNESS: To my understanding.
22
              COMMISSIONER JENCKS: And you have the
23
    permits to install the plant on the property, not to
24
    operate it -- that's the DOH responsibility -- but you
25
    have the permits to install the plant?
```

1 THE WITNESS: Not yet. 2 COMMISSIONER JENCKS: Thank you. 3 PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Anyone else? 4 Thank you. 5 THE WITNESS: Would you like to receive the 6 fact sheet? 7 MR. DAVIDSON: You can give it to this 8 gentleman over here. Christian Reve followed by 9 George Richard. 10 CHRISTIAN REVE 11 being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined 12 and testified as follows: 13 THE WITNESS: Yes. 14 PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Could you 15 please state your name and address for the record. 16 THE WITNESS: Christian Reve, 69-1010 Kiano 17 Place Unit A103, Waikoloa, 96738. 18 I'm here as a first time home buyer. 19 believe that Luana Gardens has a great opportunity for 20 both first time homebuyers and then also under 2.1 affordable housing as well. And I'm very interested 22 in purchasing a unit there. 23 I feel that it has a great potential of 24 opportunity as a community and also in the long-term

scheme of things helping out the community in this

25

- 1 | South Kohala district as well.
- I would please ask for the reconsideration
- 3 of cutting off the Project and giving an extension.
- 4 Thank you.
- 5 PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Thank you.
- 6 Questions? Commissioners? Thank you.
- 7 MR. DAVIDSON: George Robertson followed by
- 8 Randy Vitousek.
- 9 GEORGE ROBERTSON
- 10 | being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined
- 11 | and testified as follows:
- 12 THE WITNESS: I do.
- 13 PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Please state
- 14 | your name and address.
- 15 THE WITNESS: George Robertson, P. O Box
- 16 | 4490 Kamuela, Hawai'i 96743.
- 17 PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Go ahead.
- 18 Thank you.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Good morning, Commissioners.
- 20 | I've been before you many times regarding this
- 21 | Project. I represent the Puako Community Association.
- 22 | We've watched this development for 20 or more years
- 23 | from the days of Signal Oil to Nansay, Bridge 'Aina
- 24 | Le'a, and now DW 'Aina Le'a. And it's just caused our
- 25 | community a tremendous amount of stress over the years

watching what has turned out to be, for us, a really bad development above our small community at Puako.

2.1

2.4

We see threats to the use of resources, the beaches and so on. And we're not at all impressed by the folks that have come down on the line all the way particularly with Bridge and DW 'Aina Le'a.

We're very upset about the design and construction of the affordable homes that were once promised to be interspersed throughout the development. Right now they're clustered. And fortunately not that many units are up so there's hope that maybe it won't continue for us. Because we think it's just a little affordable ghetto.

And in our opinion the Land Use Commission has given enough extensions already. Those 385 units should have been built and been available for occupancy yesterday, the 17th of November. That hasn't happened.

It seems to me like we, including the folks in this audience, have been enabling a drug addict that constantly comes back for more. And we keep giving him more and more extensions.

It's concerning for us that the Land Use Commission, the Land Use Commission's integrity and credibility's at stake when you keep doing this,

1 granting extensions year after year without a lot of 2 proof on the other side of performance. 3 So anyway that's what the community, the 4 Puako Community Association feels. I just want to 5 reiterate that today. Thank you. 6 PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Questions? 7 Thank you. 8 AUDIENCE MEMBER: She had a question. PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: I'm sorry. 9 10 You don't get to ask questions. 11 (Audience laughter). 12 MR. DAVIDSON: Randy Vitousek followed by 13 Bill Campbell. That's the last of the signed up 14 witnesses. THE WITNESS: Good morning, Commissioners. 15 PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Good morning. 16 17 RANDY VITOUSEK 18 being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined 19 and testified as follows: 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. 2.1 PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: State your 22 name and address for the record. 23 THE WITNESS: My name's Randy Vitousek. I'm 2.4 attorney for the Mauna Lani Resort Association.

Resort Association doesn't take any position with

2.5

respect to the request to amend the conditions, nor does it take any position -- hasn't taken any position with respect to the Order to Show Cause.

2.1

2.4

The Resort Association is concerned that if there is any action by the Commission to continue to extend the Decision and Order approving the reclassification, that the conditions be amended to require the developer to complete construction of the signalized intersection before any certificates of occupancy are issued on any portion of the program.

That's been a consistent issue on the part of the Association. We support the intersection being built. We understand the developer has committed to do that. We just would like to see it included in a specific condition if there's any extension of the permit.

The Mauna Lani Resort Association is also concerned that we don't think there's been adequate attention given to the impact that residents of the Aina Le'a area will have on the natural and cultural resources of the surrounding area. If you look at 'Aina Le'a's brochure it contains photographs of the Mauna Lani golf course, the beach at Mauna Lani and the pool at Mauna Lani. It doesn't contain any pictures of the Project itself.

1 And so what we ask the Commission to 2 consider, and we commented on the EIS in this regard, 3 but we ask the Commission to consider some further 4 offsite mitigation with respect to recreational, 5 cultural resources in the area, in other words, 6 offsite improvements to mitigate the impacts that additional population will have on these areas. 7 8 Because they're advertising these areas and people are 9 going to use these areas. So we ask that that be 10 addressed. That's it. 11 PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Thank you. 12 Any questions? Commissioners? Thank you. 13 MR. DAVIDSON: Bill Campbell. 14 THE WITNESS: That's me Bill Campbell. 15 WILLIAM CAMPBELL 16 being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined 17 and testified as follows: 18 THE WITNESS: I do. 19 PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Would you 20 state your name and address for the record. 2.1 THE WITNESS: My name is William Campbell. 22 I live at 68-1845 Waikoloa Road, Waikoloa. I am a 23 resident of Waikoloa of course. I actually live at 2.4 the end of the golf course. My neighbors are 'Aina 2.5 Le'a.

I've been in Waikoloa for a number of years.

I've just stepped down from the Board of Waikoloa

Village Association, which at that time, supported the development, probably still does.

2.4

I have a very selfish interest in 'Aina Le'a being developed. I have a 14-year-old boy who doesn't have anything do to at nights because the Village at Waikoloa itself has very little to do. It hasn't got a pharmacy, hasn't got community center. It's got no cinema. It's got one reasonable store. It's got no urgent care. It's got nothing.

What it lacks is massive people to support these things. 'Aina Le'a brings along a lot of support for it. It brings in the community center that we really want and need. It also brings in, which is tremendously important to the Village, it brings in a relief road which a lot of people are very, very worried that there's very little access and ingress into the Village as it is.

The point being with an emergency -- and, of course, there was an emergency just a few months ago and nobody could move -- we were stuck because the fire surrounded the village, both sides of the core of the village.

So as a resident of the village, if 'Aina

Le'a had been actually built before I purchased in Waikoloa I'd have bought in 'Aina Le'a. It just offers so much more. It offers my children -- child so much more.

2.1

And it saves them somewhat of a commute to a school, 10 minutes up to the wherever the high school's going to be built is much better than the 40 minutes commute he's got at the moment.

And the other things with 'Aina Le'a it brings some employment. The Village of Waikoloa is really in a poor state of employment, lot of foreclosures, a lot sadness. We need that input into the economy. We need some vital new stores, new facilities. I'm really hoping that you will extend the deadline for, for the building of 'Aina Le'a; that you will work with these people that have been very, very brave.

I'm a civil engineer actually, similar as

Peter Dahlberg. And I see a lot of developments

throughout the islands and throughout the mainland are

not doing so well. And here these guys are trying

their best to make something happen. They're trying

to bring employment. They're trying to provide

housing. And I just don't know how anybody could sit

there and suggest that they're not trying, they're not

- 1 | bringing something worthwhile to the community.
- 2 | That's all I got to say. Thank you.
- 3 PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Thank you.
- 4 Questions? Commissioners? Thank you. Is there
- 5 | anyone else who wishes to testify? Please come
- 6 forward.
- 7 DAISY MITCHELL
- 8 being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined
- 9 and testified as follows:
- 10 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 11 PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Please state
- 12 | your name and address for the record.
- THE WITNESS: Daisy Mitchell, P. O. Box 2627
- 14 | Kailua-Kona. It's me again. I'm a realtor. So I
- 15 | come with the same sentiments as my fellow realtors in
- 16 | the room. But I'm also an ex-banker. And when I used
- 17 | to work for the bank I did consumer mortgage and
- 18 | commercial lending.
- And at the time I was at the bank it was not
- 20 | the economic times that we have now. But even back
- 21 | then to close a commercial deal there are extensions.
- 22 | There are extensions. And I'm just talking from the
- 23 bank side.
- So today, listening to what's been happening
- 25 | with this Project, with what we face with

economically, an extension sounds like it's inevitable in order for this thing to take off.

2.1

2.4

I kinda want to comment on the gentleman that was from Puako that said that this is a ghetto project. Well, I beg to differ. I got to sit with people who are running it on the real estate side and got to see the planning. And for us being here all my life, born and raised, it's refreshing to see a community project.

And like Pua Correa, I'm also Pop Warner, very active in our community. And to see our kids split between Kohala and Waimea and the kids from Waikoloa, it's very difficult to have such an opportunity to have more available for them to visit.

So I just, I am a supporter of 'Aina Le'a for many reasons. I am a mother as well. I just encourage you guys to do grant them their extension.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Thank you.

Any questions? Commissioners? Thank you, Daisy.

(Applause)

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Hi. My name is Lily Singer. And I'm an independent business consultant.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Could I please swear you in first.

LILY SINGER

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.

2.

2.1

2.4

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: State your name and address for the record.

THE WITNESS: My name is Lily Singer. I live at 75-673 Pualena Street, Kailua-Kona 96740. I'm an independent business consultant. And I've lived here for two and a half years.

It's a beautiful community. I've been doing work up at the Mauna Lani area so I have a 40-minute commute every day, minimum 40 minutes, behind trucks, tourists, lollygagers. And it's very frustrating driving up and down the highway.

There's affordable housing that will be available to people that are in the area where there isn't any affordable housing. I beg to differ on the tenement type comment because I've been in the units. They are beautiful.

I live in an over a million dollar home overlooking Kailua-Kona. And I will tell you my cabinets aren't as nice as the ones that are in the units that are up there. I'm sorry. I'm nervous.

Anyway, I think because of -- I moved here when the economy started to downturn. My boyfriend's

in the construction industry. We have seen many of our friends laid off on unemployment.

2.1

2.4

I think this Project going forward will employ many people in the area, not just in the construction industry, but for all the businesses surrounding, not to mention supporting the local merchants and everything.

Because once there is, once that development starts it creates a confidence in the island that will bring people here and know that we're a thriving island, that we're not dying out. And I think it's really an important, viable community. And I think if you'll look to the future of the families, I grew up in a neighborhood very similar with parks, recreation, shopping.

And I have the most wonderful memories of where I grew up and how I was able to live. I mean I can name off all my friends that I grew up in the neighborhood with.

So I think if you think about the families and how it will impact, everything up in that area is revolving around tourists and resorts. This development is for families to live in, to work in, to play in and to grow in. And I think it's a very, very important Project. Thank you.

1 PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Thank vou. Any questions? Commissioners? (Applause. 2 Audience 3 member approaching microphone) KEITH WINNIE 4 5 being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows: 6 7 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: 8 Please state 9 your name and address for the record. 10 THE WITNESS: My name is Keith Winnie. 11 I'm a local architect. I live at 7563 Makapono Place, 12 Kailua-Kona. And I don't know who the developers are. 13 I've only met them recently. My point I wanted to 14 bring to your attention is the biggest obstacle to 15 affordable housing in most places, including this 16 place, is the hurdles that are placed in front of the 17 developer. 18 And in order to get to the point where you 19 can actually build something it takes as long time. 20 You might consider that. These people want to build 2.1 affordable housing as part of their Project. So I'd

2.4 that you let them build one. Thank you. 25

22

23

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Thank you.

be in favor of that. And it's hard to find affordable

housing sites. So if they have one it's probably good

Any questions? Thank you. Anyone else wishing to testify? Okay. Thank you very much. We will now move on to presentations by the parties. But before we do, the parties have any exhibits that they want to introduce? Petitioner?

2.1

MR. OKAMOTO: Chair Contrades, we have previously submitted, I think it was Exhibit II which was the planning director's letter to the Office of Environmental Quality Control. This is the EIS for this Project.

We are submitting Exhibits JJ and KK which are the publication in OEQC Bulletin for the Final EIS. And I believe KK is the report on a moth survey that was done by Reggie David involving this Project. Those will be associated with the testimony we would offer of Mr. James Leonard, who is the person who prepared the EIS for this Project.

We'll also be introducing a Petition which I just saw this morning through Mr. Wessels, who will testify after Mr. Leonard. The remaining exhibits are for demonstrative purposes only. We will not introduce those. They are the photographic blowups that are on poster board in the back of this room right now. There are five of those photographs.

Before Mr. Wessels testifies we ask those be marked.

1	But they are simply to have him use during his
2	testimony.
3	PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: County?
4	MR. BRILHANTE: No submittals.
5	MR. YEE: No submittals, no objection.
6	PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: All right
7	then. Before we proceed could we please take a 5
8	minute break.
9	(Recess was held.)
10	PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: (10:25) Back
11	on the record. Mr. Okamoto, you're going first?
12	MR. OKAMOTO: Yes, sir. We would like to
13	call Mr. James Leonard to testify please.
14	JAMES LEONARD,
15	being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined
16	and testified as follows:
17	THE WITNESS: I do.
18	PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Please state
19	your name and address for the record.
20	THE WITNESS: My name is James Leonard. My
21	address is 1100 'Aina Lako Road, Hilo, Hawai'i.
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. OKAMOTO:
24	Q James, did you prepare an EIS for the 'Aina
25	Le'a Project?

A Yes, I did.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q Okay. That includes that the Phase 1 area, the 61-acre area that the affordable housing is on?
 - A That's correct.
 - Q Okay. I've left with you copies of what's been marked Exhibit II, which is a letter from the planning director to OEQC.
 - A Yes.
 - Q I'm sorry. Before I do that, Mr. Leonard, can I ask you for a little background as to your professional experience in preparing EIS's.
 - A Yes. I've been preparing EIS's for over 20 years. I started out actually specializing in environmental impact reports and environmental statements, and have done EIS statements, have prepared them for large developments around the state and, in particular, Maui and on the Big Island done several of them.
 - Q At the present time you are an independent consultant in this area.
- 21 A Yes, I am.
- Q Previously were you associated with another company that did this type of work?
- A Yes. Previously I was with the planning and landscape architectural firm of PBR Hawai'i. I worked

- at PBR for over 15 years. And was, when I left PBR,
 was a principal with that firm. And now I'm an
 independent planning consultant.
 - Q Okay. And the work that you've done, the projects that you've done, have those been on this island?
 - A By and large they've been on this island.
 - Q Are you familiar with the Waikoloa area and the South Kohala resort areas?
 - A Very familiar with it.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- 11 Q Now, going back to Exhibit II, can you tell
 12 us what that is?
 - A Exhibit II is a letter from the planning director to Katherine Kealoha, director of OEQC stating that "The Planning Department accepts the Final environmental impact statement for the subject project and requests publication in the next issue of the Environmental Notice." And they're transmitting with this letter the CD and the required copies of the Final EIS.
 - Q Under the EIS process what is the effect of that letter?
- 23 A That's the accepting agency's letter of acceptance of the Final EIS.
 - Q I also left you Exhibit JJ. Can you tell us

what that is? Exhibit?

2.1

2.4

A Exhibit JJ is the Environmental Notice from, published November 8th, 2010 published by the Office of Environmental Quality Control.

- Q What's the significance of that publication?
- A In this publication the Environmental Notice includes the notification of the Final EIS, the availability of the Final EIS for the Villages of 'Aina Le'a.
- Q Mr. Leonard, earlier this year, I think it was July, we had provided information to the Commission about what we expected in the way of completing the Final Environmental Impact Statement.

 And it would appear from Exhibit II, it did take a bit longer than we had expected.
 - A That's correct.
- Q Okay. Can you explain to us what was involved in getting to the point at which you could get the October 20th letter issued by the director?
- A Yes. Well, it wasn't just responding to the comments that come in, which is sometimes the case where you receive the comments that come from agencies and from individuals. But there was issues that were raised that needed to be addressed.
 - One of the issues had to do with some

technical aspects of the Traffic Impact Assessment
Report that was prepared for the Project. And one of
the comments was that it wasn't complete in that it
didn't address the potential development of the
surrounding area.

On the surface it appeared that it did. But when you looked very deep into the tables and how it was calculated, we realized that those figures for the surrounding development were not part of that calculation. And the Traffic Impact Assessment Report needed to be updated.

- Q The area you're talking about, is that area that Bridge 'Aina Le'a still has title to, the 2,000 areas around the thousand acres of urban?
 - A That's correct.

2.1

2.4

- Q So what was done as far as updating that information?
- A They took the potential development of the surrounding lands, the Bridge 'Aina Le'a lands that surround the Project, and factored that into their calculations for the traffic impacts.
- Q Okay. And that would be the engineering firm SSFM?
 - A That's correct.
 - Q Okay. And did they generate a supplementary

study of some sort?

2.1

2.4

A They did. They updated the Traffic Impact
Analysis Report with that analysis as part of it. The
conclusions in terms of recommendations for
improvements did not change as a result of that but it
included that as part of the overall analysis.

Q Okay. So when you got the supplementary study what did you do with it?

A When I received it I went through it thoroughly to see what difference there was in the conclusions. There were no differences in the conclusions but it was included. And it replaced the previous traffic impact report with the updated report. And that's included as part of the Final EIS.

 $\ensuremath{\mathtt{Q}}$ $\ensuremath{\mathtt{So}}$ the traffic study as supplemented is a part of the Final EIS.

A That's correct.

Q Okay. Now, was there anything else that had to be resolved in terms of coming to the point at which we could get a Final EIS accepted?

A Yes, there was. One of the requests that was made by the U.S. Department of Fish and Wildlife Service was to do a survey of the property to determine if there were any plants that might support the Blackburn's sphinx moth, which is an endangered

species in Hawai'i that's of concern to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife. And they asked that this survey be done as part of the Final EIS.

Q Was there a citing of the moth?

2.1

A No, there was no citing of the moth but there was suspicion that some plants -- it's a common -- there's a family of plants, the Solanaceae family of plants. It's a common plant. And you'll see -- tree tobacco, for instance, is a member of that family. And you'll see that along the roads in West Hawai'i and other disturbed areas.

So there was a chance that it might have become established on the property as well. And that's a secondary support plant to the Blackburn's sphinx moth.

Q Okay. So what was done to address those concerns of the Fish and Wildlife?

'Aina Le'a development -- agreed to do a survey of the complete property to determine if any of those plants were present on the property. And if they were present, part of the reason that it took so much time to go ahead and do this survey is that we needed to work out with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service the protocols that would be used to implement that survey.

So we hired or DW 'Aina Le'a hired Reggie David to conduct that survey.

- Q And what is Mr. David's background?
- A Mr. David is a biologist. He's well respected. He has a long history of doing biological surveys in West Hawai'i and throughout the islands.
- Q Okay. I understand there were some practical problems of getting hold of the Fish and Wildlife people that were involved.
- A That's true too. The key person at U.S.

 Fish and Wildlife Service who originated their letter was away at the time. He was put on temporary assignment to the Gulf and was actually offshore in the Gulf when we finally got ahold of him to discuss the nature of the protocols that would be used for the survey.
- Q Now, at some point in the very recent past I take it Mr. David was able to go out and do the survey?
- 20 A Yes. He conducted the survey of the whole 21 property. He actually did that yesterday.
 - Q And that was arranged ahead of time?
 - A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

2.4

- Q But it was done yesterday.
- 25 A Yes. That's true.

Q Could you look at Exhibit KK and tell me what that is?

A Exhibit KK is the report from Reggie David from RANA Biological Consulting, Incorporated giving a summary and the results of his survey of the property.

Q And what were the results?

2.1

A The results were that he found no members of that particular family and in particular any species -- any plants of the tree tobacco which was the one member of that family that was suspected to be found there.

He found no evidence of that on the property. He said that it's not unlikely that he would -- it wasn't surprising that he didn't find it considering the rather dry and sparse vegetation that's found throughout that property.

Q With respect to the concerns of Fish and Wildlife are those reflected in the Final EIS?

A Yes, they are.

Q So as far as we can determine at this point what further would DW have to be doing in terms of the moth and the tree tobacco?

A Well, they've agreed to provide a copy of this survey report to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

And as part of that there's ongoing monitoring for

- should this plant reappear or appear on the property,
 then a biologist would be called in and surveyed again
 to determine if there's any moths present on those
 plants.
 - Q Just to be clear, Mr. Leonard, the tree tobacco plant, it sounds like it's a weed.
 - A It is. It's a rather common weed and other members of that family, it's a very broad family of plants. And gypsum weed belongs to it, tomatoes, tobacco, cucumbers, very common garden varieties are all part of that same family of plants.
- MR. OKAMOTO: At this point in time, Chair
 Contrades, I would offer Exhibits II, JJ and KK into
 evidence.
- PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Any objections?
- MR. BRILHANTE: No objection.
- MR. YEE: No objection.
- MR. OKAMOTO: I have no further questions of
- 20 Mr. Leonard.

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 21 PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: County?
- MR. BRILHANTE: No questions.
- 23 | PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Bridge?
- 24 MR. VOSS: No questions, Chairman.
- 25 PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: OP?

1 MR. YEE: Thank you. 2 CROSS-EXAMINATION 3 BY MR. YEE: Regarding Exhibit KK, do you have a copy 4 Q with you, which is the letter? 5 6 Α Yes. 7 Can I ask you do you see on the fourth 8 paragraph where it says, "On November 17th, 2010 I 9 conducted an on-ground survey?" Do you see that? 10 Α Yes. 11 And on the top of the letter the letter is dated November 16, 2010. Can you explain that 12 13 discrepancy? 14 I think that's a typo error on his part. Α 15 What's the correct? 0 16 It should be November 17. Α 17 So he did the site visit and did the letter 18 on the same day. 19 Α Yes, he did. 20 And this was done subsequent to the 2.1 acceptance of the -- subsequent to the submittal and 22 acceptance of the EIS, is that correct? 23 Yes. the agreement with the U.S. Fish and 2.4 Wildlife -- and this is through a number of

discussions with the Planning Department and U.S. Fish

and Wildlife -- was that the survey was to be done prior to any further land alteration on the site.

So there would be no further grading, grubbing or any land alteration on the site 'til this complete survey was done to determine if any of these plants were present.

- Q Then with respect to the -- the Final EIS contains a number of responses, response letters dated September 30th, 2010 correct?
 - A That's correct.

2.4

- Q And one of the requirements of an EIS is that you respond to people who submitted comments and that you include those responses to the EIS. That's one of the requirements, correct?
 - A That's correct.
- Q How many of those responses were sent before the EIS was submitted to the county?
 - A Um, none of them were sent out before.
- 20 So each of the responses are dated
 20 September 30th, but were not sent until sometime in
 21 November, correct?
 - A October 30th I think.
 - Q So none of the people who had comments would have known what the responses were until after the Final EIS, until they were notified of either received

that letter or got a copy of the Final EIS.

A That's true.

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

- Q Do you consider the fact that you didn't send a letter to be a response?
 - A I'm not sure I understand the question.
 - Q I will rephrase. Because you did not send a letter to the people who submitted comments, do you believe you've responded to them prior to the EIS?
 - A I believe that we responded to all the comments that were received commenting on the EIS.
- 11 Q But you responded after the submittal of the 12 EIS to the county, correct?
- 13 A The county had a copy of all those response 14 letters.
- Q Which were never sent to the people who sent to the comments, correct --
- 17 A They were sent.
- 18 Q -- until after the EIS was given to the 19 county.
- 20 A That's correct.
- 21 Q So the people who gave you comments never 22 had a response at the time the EIS was approved.
- A It was submitted to the Planning Department and they accepted the EIS. And we then distributed the letters to those who had commented.

- Q One of the comments from the Office of Planning was with respect to the geographic area of environmental impact statement study. Do you remember that?
 - A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q As I understand, and you can correct me if I'm wrong, the EIS covered essentially 1,000 acres of the Petition Area.
- A A little over 1,000 acres.
- 10 Q Initially the Environmental Impact Statement
 11 Preparation Notice stated that it would cover the
 12 3,000 acres of the entire Villages of 'Aina Le'a,
 13 correct?
- 14 A In the environmental impact --
- 15 Q EISPN.
- 16 A Yes, the preparation notice.
- 2 So initially it was going to be 3,000 acres that covers the entire Villages of 'Aina Le'a.
- 19 A That's correct.
- Q When you did the Draft EIS you only
 covered -- well, you covered 1,000 acres which
 constitute -- approximately 1,000 acres which
 constitute the Petition Area.
- A And the Petition Area was a part of that 3,000, yeah.

- Q Okay. And it's correct that the Office of Planning argued to you that the EIS should cover the entire 3,000 acres to avoid parceling the survey.
 - A That was one of their comments.
- Q That was one of the comments. And the traffic impact statement supplement was, I assume, partly in response to that by including that analysis of the entire 3,000 acres for the traffic impact analysis?
- A Yes. And with the traffic impact analysis it typically looks at the development that may occur in the area to make a projection on future traffic conditions.
- 14 Q Typical EIS's look at the impact on sewer, 15 electrical and landfill, correct?
- 16 A That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

17

18

19

22

- Q Did this EIS cover the impact to sewer, electric and landfill for the entire 3,000 acres or just the 1,000 acres?
- 20 A In the Draft EIS it just looked at the 21 Project itself, the Villages of 'Aina Le'a.
 - Q What about the Final EIS?
 - A And the same with the Final EIS.
- Q Would the same be true with respect -- well,
 let me ask the question. The Archaeological Impact

Survey, was there a walk-through done of 3,000 acres or 1,000 acres?

A It was done initially of the full 3,000 acres.

- Q The flora and fauna study, was that done of the entire 3,000 acres?
 - A That's correct.
- Q Was a cultural impact analysis done of the entire 3,000 acres?
 - A I believe so.

2.1

2.4

- Q Did you look at drainage for the entire 3,000 acres and what would be required for the entire 3,000 acres for drainage?
- A In terms of drainage improvements in the preliminary engineering report they looked at what improvements would be required for the development of the Project itself, for the Villages of Aina Le'a, not for the -- although the drainage report does consider offsite impacts to drainage conditions, what might be occurring in the current time in terms of the impact to the natural drainage-ways.

But it looked specifically at what drainage improvements would be feed within the Project itself.

Q In your answer you used the term both "Project" and "Villages of 'Aina Le'a". My

understanding is the Project covers a thousand acres and the Villages of 'Aina Le'a covers 3,000 acres.

Would that be --

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- A That's not --
- Q That's not how you understood --
- A The Project is the Villages of 'Aina Le'a.
- Q Okay. Do you have a term you're using for the 3,000 acres?
- A Well, there's the Project, which is the Villages of 'Aina Lea and then there's the surrounding Bridge lands.
- 12 Q The Villages of 'Aina Le'a include lands
 13 owned by Bridge 'Aina Le'a, correct?
- 14 A Yes, that's my understanding that they're
 15 owners of that.
- 16 Q They own the majority of the Petition Area, 17 currently, correct?
- A Well, I understand that there's a purchase agreement for purchasing those lands.
- Q But at the moment is it your understanding
 that Bridge 'Aina Le'a owns the majority of the
 Petition Area?
- 23 A Um, I'm not really, ah, that versed in terms 24 of the ownership.
- Q Okay. Did the societal impacts look at the

development of the entire 3,000 acres or just the 1,000 acres?

A The social impact assessment really looked at the Villages of 'Aina Le'a, the Project impact.

MR. YEE: Okay. Thank you very much.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Any questions,

Commissioners? Commissioner Lezy.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

2.1

22

COMMISSIONER LEZY: Thank you, Chair. Just one question, Mr. Leonard. Why weren't the letters responding to the comments made on the EIS sent on the date that the letters were dated?

THE WITNESS: Um, because we needed to first -- and this is standard operating procedure -- is first submitting the EIS and gaining acceptance of the EIS from the accepting agencies before those letters are sent out.

COMMISSIONER LEZY: Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Any other questions? Any redirect?

MR. OKAMOTO: No.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Thank you.

MR. OKAMOTO: Our second and last witness would be Mr. Robert Wessells.

would be Mr. Robert Wessells.

24 PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Mr. Wessels,

25 | could I please swear you in?

1 THE WITNESS: Yes.

2 ROBERT WESSELS

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined
and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Could you please state your name and address for the record.

8 THE WITNESS: Robert Wessels, 1802 North 9 Keniku (sp) in Mauna Lani.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

11 BY MR. OKAMOTO:

5

6

7

10

12

13

14

22

23

24

25

- Q Mr. Wessels, you've testified before this Commission before from last year.
- A Yes, I have.
- 2 And today what I would like you to do is
 summarize for us where you are on this Project right
 now. For these purposes and for demonstrative
 purposes only we've brought in five photographs. One
 is, I believe, an aerial. The second one shows
 buildings and foundation slabs. And the other three
 are for showing the interior of a model unit.

Mr. Wessels, if you could, can you briefly describe for us what's been done to get to the stage at which there's buildings on the 61-acre parcel we refer to as DW-1B.

A Yes. Within the last year, as the Commission knows, we entered into a purchase contract for the entire 1062 acres in February of 2009. When we got the right to go ahead as a Co-Petitioner and move forward on it, we subdivided out lot D1B, which is 61.37 acres on which we are moving forward to build the affordable units.

2.1

2.4

We retained an architect to design a unit that we were very familiar with. And I believe we discussed with the Commission when we were discussing it, those plans were prepared. We had done the background to make sure there was a consumer acceptance for that type of family unit. We did a survey.

We had SMS do a survey of the Big Island to determine the support for the Project of which we had a 74 percent support as long as we complied with the South Kohala Planning, which we have in terms of what we're doing.

We also had a -- 9 percent of the island was looking for housing. And to a great extent part of that is family housing. So we went, moved forward on releasing and hired Goodfellows to coordinate the construction of the infrastructure and coordinate the engineering. We used Tom Nance on the water

- 1 | engineering, SSFM on the civil engineering.
- 2 | Wastewater -- excuse me -- Waterworks initially on the
- 3 | sewer plant, and Phil Dahlberg on the actual
- 4 installation of the first phase of the MBR plant.
- 5 We moved forward on the EIS and retained all
- 6 | the consultants. And James Leonard, as you just
- 7 heard, did the correspondence. We made some
- 8 adjustments to our plans based on the comments that
- 9 came in to the initial EIS.
- The Health Department had recommended the
- 11 MBR system. It was in one of the -- in their letters
- 12 on the EIS it was one of the systems that we were
- 13 | considering. We finalized the implementation of that.
- We awarded a construction contract to True
- 15 Style Pacific Builders. And they went out and
- 16 | constructed the first 16 units. Actually they
- 17 | constructed -- I quess they have pretty much 40 units
- 18 | at the roof top at this point. But they constructed
- 19 | the first 16 units that we felt were the requirements
- 20 | for meeting March 31. And they retained the
- 21 | subcontractors and that too to complete that.
- 22 Q So, Mr. Wessels, looking at the aerial do
- 23 | you know when that was taken?
- 24 A That aerial was taken by HELCO as part of
- 25 | its flyover and design. It was taken approximately, I

- would say -- we just received it about a week
 and-a-half ago. But I believe it was taken about a
 month ago.
- Q Okay. Does that show the buildings that are up right now?
 - A Yes, it does.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

- Q How much of the area that's going to be developed in Phase I was graded out?
- A All of the Phase I, which is the 432 townhouse units is graded. There's still a little bit of work that needs to be done on some of the lots and that. But primarily the infrastructure on that part of the work is done.
- The installation of the in-road lines for the sewer and water still have to be -- some of the blasting and that on the trenching is completed but that still has to be completed.
- 18 Q Is that on a construction schedule at this
 19 point as far as getting it done?
 - A Yes.
- Q Okay. And the minimum 385 affordable units is that within the 432 townhomes?
- 23 A Yes, it is.
- Q And as far as the buildings that are now up

 -- in order to finish those buildings where are the

materials for those buildings?

2.1

2.4

A Well, the buildings that are up are either in the buildings. There are actually materials. As you'll see the lumber in that picture there are materials, wall sections that were built on site for an additional 24 townhouses. So those materials are primarily there.

There's some -- the cabinets are stored in one of the buildings that would complete the units that are there. So I would say on the 40 units all the material's on site are effectively on site.

For the next 24 units, um, most of the framing lumber is on site and much of the -- almost all the plumbing, the rough-in plumbing is on site. I don't believe there's electrical for those 24 on site yet.

Q Okay. And just looking at that and the next photo which shows the exterior of the buildings, how many of those foundation slabs are waiting framing? How many foundations do you have in that don't have buildings on 'em?

A We have three that don't have buildings on them. If you consider that a foundation because that's got the wire that just has to be unrolled and the concrete poured in the concrete forming. So

1 there's three like that in the basic condition.
2 That's 24 townhomes.

2.1

2.4

- Q What is the status as far as landscaping goes on these buildings?
- A The landscaping around -- the landscaping's designed. There's been quite a bit of input based on the comments that we received on the EIS. Basically a very extensive modification on the zeri-landscaping for that, putting a lot more of the garden-type things in. It's designed -- some of the plants for the models are on order. The balance of 'em will be there. So we expect to landscape the models in the month of December.
 - Q Where is the irrigation water coming from?
- A The irrigation water in the long run comes from our R1 water. As you will recall, and I'm sure the Commission will recall, part of what our agreements were or are with California Water is that we would take the 200,000 gallons a day from their Waikoloa plant and do the upgrade to R1 water and use that, combine it with our water.

Initially we have, we'll use some of the brackish water from our well that we're also using on our road dust control, whatever.

Q So as I recall you have a pond of some sort

that was used to store the water for the dust control.

A Yes. The initial, the initial -- initially we did what is about a 700 -- I believe it's a 750,000 gallons pond. It's fairly deep and lined. And that's where they pull out the dust control water.

It's also where the first stage of our wastewater treatment plant will be pumped into to mix with the brackish water as part of the initial landscaping.

Q Now, if I could briefly go over with you the wastewater treatment plant. I think we had the engineer appear during the public testimony. And I thought you had mentioned something about that plant when you were referencing the EIS.

A Yes.

2.1

Q How was it that you selected that particular plant?

A Waterworks Engineering out of California submitted the initially draft for the entire wastewater treatment facility in the entire plan, and submitted it to the Department of Health. And they, the Department of Health, reviewed it.

And responding to the EIS they specifically said that they recommended and liked what we were using in terms of the MBR system both because of the

financial tightness of us and of the economy we are getting that plant in pieces.

2.1

2.4

When I say "getting it in pieces", each one is a modular component of the total. It allowed us to bring the first component in. We can do it as the equipment under a finance lease that basically we lease it for a period. And at the end of 5 years it becomes ours.

As we add units to it it will take care of and -- I think the engineer talked about -- the amount of water when you relate that back to the 4-bedroom and 3-bedroom homes it takes care about 110 townhomes to begin with. The next component will be added on, take care of approximately the same amount.

If this board, if this Commission authorizes us to use the agricultural property, where we eventually would like to use the wastewater treatment plant, then the second phase will be moved on. It will be phased into there. If it is denied we will continue to add to the plant in this location.

Q So just so we're clear. The plant that is going to go in first is that within the urban classified area of the property?

A Yes, it is, yeah. It's within the urban classified area. It's in kind of a hollowed out area

in the lava that provides for no visual sight. I'm told that because it's the state-of-the-art that there will be no odor. It's away from the townhome Project to a great extent. So we're hoping that it isn't but we've taken precautions to do it on the -- to build it on the urban land but to be certain that it complies.

- Q But you are asking the Commission as one of the items in the conditions to be able to move it elsewhere.
- A That's correct.

- Q And why is that?
- A There's always the appearance. No one wants to live next to a wastewater treatment plant no matter how good, how everybody, the manufacturer, say how wonderful it is, if nothing more than just the appearance of it. There's is also always there's always the possibility of a malfunction which could produce odor. It's just an abundance of caution.
- Q Now, this MBR plant, is it a package type of plant?
- 21 A Yes, it is.
- Q So in terms of when the materials get here what's going to get involved in getting this thing operational?
- 25 A We'll need to pull -- the engineer and our

construction people will pull the various permits that are necessary to put in the concrete pads. There will be some connections to the piping, blowers. There will be the solar panels and generator to run the electrical on the plant.

Those will all require permits, but they're pretty standard. And the engineering from the manufacturer is in great detail. It comes in books about this thick. It's a plant that's used routinely and approved here in Hawai'i regularly.

- Q So to your knowledge this is a plant that the Department of Health is familiar with.
- A Yes.

2.1

- Q This type of plant.
- 15 A This is -- this particular type is 16 specifically what they recommended.
 - Q And right now where is this plant physically?

A Um, as it was supposed to be shipped from Austin, Texas about three, four days ago, I believe it's en route to being loaded at the port, on the port. It's somewhere en route. The leasing company issued the standby letter of credit. And the plant was finished. And it's my understanding it's been shipped. But it was supposed to arrive here on the

20th of November. I don't expect it to be here by the 20th but it will be within the next couple weeks 3 following it.

Q So I take it your hope is also by the time it gets here you'll have the Department of Health's approval?

2.1

- A Yes. Some of the inspections in the approval process will be the way that the R1 water is reused. So there's a number of things that will relate to approvals as it's being installed and before it's turned on for operations. So it's a -- it'll take a -- once it's finally approved it will be operational.
 - Q Have you got estimates on how long it will take once we have the Department of Health approvals to physically install this plant?
 - A According to the manufacturer the installation and the phasing in operations, other than the permitting, is 30 days or less because it pretty much comes on skids and they attach to each other.

And because it's a pre-approved engineering package that should be a fairly, a fairly consistent situation. But, um, there's -- we have, we have planned on, um, phasing it in so that we could actually turn it on in the first quarter of the year.

Q Okay. And what is the status on connecting it up to get potable water to these units?

2.1

A We have the -- from Blue Water Tanks we have the 250,000-gallon tank which is the long lead time item. Was shipped on the 7th of November from a port in Australia. It is due to arrive the first week of December.

And the crew from the California office or whatever, is due to arrive here, scheduled at this point to arrive here on the 13th of December to do the installation so that the tank will be available by the end of December.

Now, we still have and it's somewhat dependent upon the cash flow from Capital Asia alternate financing, we still have the trenching to do in that. The piping, the water piping has been located so that we have that. The sewer piping is on site and manholes are on site. Many of the long lead time items are en route or at the site at this time.

Q Okay. And what is the status on the utilities that will service this Project?

A HELCO was finishing -- I shouldn't say finish -- they're in the process of their design on the individual towers to bring their line into it. But we are starting. We have the solar panels and

battery pack are being shipped. They will be in our facility.

2.1

2.4

I'm told by the manufacture they will be in the facility, because of the tax credits that there are there, they will be in our facility by the end of December.

The installation for the first 16 units, the solar's being installed on the carports. The battery pack is related to those 16 units. And I think the Commission heard testimony from Brian -- or Byron, I'm sorry, Harris that they can install and they're moving forward to do the installation. They've done the engineering on it. There's still some permits that'll have to be pulled on that.

So we're hoping to have and anticipating that we'll have the power from the solar functioning in January or by the first week of February. That's on the first 16 units.

And it is our intent to be able to roll through all of the 432 units with solar carports, depending on the finance/leasing and the arrangements on the carports and the power purchase agreements with HELCO as to exactly how the whole Project runs out. We still don't have that finished but it's in the start.

The HELCO line is a -- they don't have to bring a substation in. They're bringing the power in directly. And so that will come in and tie in. And we will be able to both sell, feed it into the system through the battery packs and be able to draw down.

2.1

2.4

But we still have a number of negotiations and contracts to do with HELCO on that part of it.

But in terms of the payment and the money to bring the line, the HELCO line in to connect and provide services, the payment's been made and the engineering is being completed.

- Q Okay. And when these, the solar system is set up, I mean how much power is it going to provide to the units themselves?
- A The solar system on the carports provides about 25 percent more power than it's estimated that the units will consume because of the size and the number of panels.

The batteries that we, that would go into the system, um, will provide 8 hours of storage at full usage of the homes. So then we have a 200-amp or 200-kilowatt, I'm sorry, generator as a backup to that if it's necessary.

For instance, it's several cloudy days in a row or something like that so it's there. But we

don't anticipate that that'll be used very often.

2.1

2.4

Q What would the impact of putting in this solar generating facility be on the homeowners of the affordable units?

A We still have to work with the PUC to work out what we eventually want. We actually want to do it like a co-op where the homeowners will get a dividend of the power that's sold to HELCO. But it's a substantial reduction in the power costs.

Again, it's one of the many ways like the exterior metal roofs and the stucco, one of the many ways that we're trying to make sure that these units are affordable and they stay affordable for people. This has been a real challenge for us, one that we've really focused on.

I think we're well on our way to probably having our windows, our insulation and things like this put in the units, bring us to a LEED program.

And I believe that we will have the quote "greenest" project in Hawai'i, and probably the least cost of operations, certainly in the resort area, but hopefully one of the least cost of homeownership in Hawai'i.

Q Okay. Have you looked at what the monthly costs would be for a unit owner in this Project?

A We have had Armstrong work with Hawaiiana on the reserve study. And that our maintenance cost of the, of the units will run approximately -- it will run -- right now it runs at about \$143 a month. We're still working on trying to reduce that down. But that's what it basically works out at the moment.

2.1

Q The next questions I have for you have to do with the Queen Ka'ahumanu intersection, which is right opposite Mauna Lani. We heard from Mr. Vitousek from the Association.

What's the status on that intersection?

A The intersection is designed by Wilson Okamoto, one of the engineers under Goodfellows' direction. And they have submitted to the Department of Transportation. It has not, as I understand it there haven't been any significant comments to it, but it has not been approved.

Q And when it is approved what arrangements have been made to actually build the intersection?

A It's part of the contract with Goodfellows.

They will -- they will move on it and do the installation. We do not have -- and one of the reasons why the Mauna Lani Homeowners Association has got Mr. Vitousek pounding on the table saying, "Get it in," and I respect it, is that we're the only ones

- putting it in. It's not being shared by the Mauna
 Lani Association. It's our cost.
- Q And that intersection design, does that include a stop light?
 - A It includes, you know, the stop lights, the full signalization.
 - Q Then all the normal lanes for acceleration/deceleration?

- A It's got -- yeah, the acceleration/deceleration lanes, I think they, yeah acceleration/deceleration and the turn lanes. Coming into the property there's a couple of extra lanes that we have away from the intersection on the property just to improve the appearance of the entrance and widen the appearance of the entrance.
 - Q Okay. I'd now like to ask you about what the status is on the construction financing for the first phase. We were here before. We told the Commission about the EXIM application. So I think we should start there.
- A Okay. Well, we have continued to work with EXIM. We have provided a lot of information to them.
 They've had some additional questions on cash flows.
 We actually paid an additional extension fee on that.
- 25 And they say they're going to make the loan.

We have advised them that to take -- if they're gonna do it, to go ahead, take \$20 million of the 98 million, put it into a CD because we've actually accomplished more than that in work that was originally going to be funded while they've been working on this.

2.1

It's out of the Service Corporation for the Export Import Bank. It's a syndication of, I guess, a Korean and Italian investment group. But they have not disbursed it. We haven't drawn a dime of that.

We've had some backup lenders that have worked -- that have looked at it. And we have basically said: If you guys are -- if you don't have to grow corn on this land then you're going to grow people, we will move forward on it. So I think we've got some alternatives.

But what we've done is we have managed and we will continue to manage, if given the time extension, the Capital Asia money is very consistent at about a million and a half dollars a month.

And so we have been able to use that to get caught up, not totally, but to get caught up to pay some of the subcontractors on site that have advanced their funds to carry us to that first phase. We'll continue to do that.

Then, of course, as soon as we can get the first units into sales contracts, since we haven't had any financing, all of that cash flow from closing can roll back into the property.

2.1

2.4

So we're still looking and we're still hoping for the construction financing. But we have now, through a lot of effort on a lot of people from the Big Island, have been able to bring this Project where with the continuation of the Capital Asia financing we can actually build the 432 units without any outside financing other than Capital Asia.

But it would be -- we would need a good part of the two years to be able to roll the cash flow to be able to do that.

- Q So, Mr. Wessels fair to say you still feel that you would need to have the construction financing?
- A We are still looking. We still requested it. We're in very serious negotiations for construction financing. And it will allow us to do some of the things that we want to do for the entire Project faster. And it will also allow us, hopefully will allow us the funds to be able to pay the balance off on our purchase contract.
 - Q At this point, however, without that loan

financing are you able to proceed?

A Yes.

2.1

- Q And I take it if this Commission were to give you an extension that will be a considerable help as far as loan financing is concerned.
- A That will be a tremendous help. There is no question.
- Q All right. Now, Mr. Wessels, in the process of getting this Project ready to move forward I understand you've done a fair amount as far as marketing, contacting of prospective buyers and realtors.
- A That's correct. I mean there's several reasons for that. One is just to make sure that the product we were building that there was, in fact, a real market.
- And that the people that we were aiming and that the county was requesting wanted these units and wanted the privacy of the gardens and things like this that were related and would pay for it. So there was that.
- The other thing is almost every construction lender in today's economy one of the first questions is, "How many pre-sales do you have?" You know, that's kind of their first question out of the box.

So we -- what we've done is we prepared our brochures. We actually went out to the real estate community. We held seven seminars that we invited real estate agents to come to.

2.1

We've had conversations and discussions with over 300 realtors on the Big Island, both in Hilo and different areas on the west side and up in Kamuela.

We have -- we have, I think it's 128 that we have in hand and some additional signed reservations from people saying they want to buy. Not all of them will qualify as first-time home buyers. Some of them may not even qualify as the income qualified buyers under the county programs.

But we looked at it because we have some market rate units as well in here, to find out people that want this location that want the product. So we've had a -- we've had what we feel is a good, a good success.

We've run, I think it is nine ads in the newspaper and asking people to -- giving them some idea of what the financing costs are. They can actually own these units with the financing from Hawai'i Credit Union or by the Bank of America financing programs and whatever, they can own the units for a very low downpayment.

And their total cost including maintenance and everything is less than \$2,000. The current county housing for the 120 percent, their rental is just at 2300. So they actually can own the house for about \$300 a month less than what the county is authorizing for a rental at this level.

And I'm not saying that all of them are paying 2300, but in this particular case there's a very solid, very solid economic.

And what we've done is the ones that signed reservations by the 3rd of November, we agreed to -- and we worked with Bank of America to protect the interest rates on that so that they could keep their payment the same, provided they were in a position to close, provided that we were ready to close the units, provided they were in a position to close by March 31.

A number of them have started working with the financial institutions to get themselves in position to do that.

- Q Do you recall what the interest was?
- A Four percent.

2.1

2.4

- Q So on the affordable scale I think we're up to 140 percent of median?
 - A No. We're 120 percent of median.
 - Q One hundred twenty. What would the monthly

1 look like for a 3-bedroom unit?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- A The monthly is just under \$2,000 a month with everything. That's the taxes, that's the monthly maintenance fees and the mortgage principal and interest.
- Q Now, the reference to reservations, before we both get into trouble, this is a non-binding reservation.
- A This is a non-binding reservation. We have not -- we're very close to filing the condominium documents and then the public report. But we are not -- until that's done we are not allowed to take a hard purchase and sale contract.
- Q All right. So we've heard from Bank of America. You've been working with them on certain loan programs. And you've also referenced Hawai'i First Credit Union?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Okay. Those are the folks that have 20 offices, I think, in Waimea and Waikoloa?
- 21 A Yes, they do.
- Q Have you been able to work out a program
 with them that you think would help the sales of these
 units?
- 25 A Yes. They have a Hawai'i homeownership

program where they train first-time homebuyers in all the costs related to the homes and whatever. They set up a savings program while they're going through that.

We have agreed and we're working with them on that program providing both the information and providing the funding. Once those people finish the program Hawai'i Federal Credit participates with them in the down payment, provides a conventional financing of 90 percent, and lends the balance to it if they — provided that they have done what's necessary for it.

I know there are five persons in that program right now. There may be more because there's been several that have asked to go up. But I'm only aware that five have actually signed and started the program.

Q My last series of questions, and there won't be too many, has to do with our Motion to Amend Conditions. I'm going to take the quickest ones, I think, which would be the condition having to do with wastewater. I think we've already addressed that to the Commission on why you want that.

A Yes.

2.1

Q And the other one is the condition for the Department of Education site?

MR. YEE: Mr. Chair, I believe the Motion to

1 Amend has been deferred for another day. So... PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Yes. 2 3 MR. YEE: So unless it's relevant to the OSC 4 I believe it's better taken up on a different day. 5 PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: I agree. 6 MR. OKAMOTO: Okay. I will not get into 7 that line. Well, Chair Contrades, what I intended to 8 do was have Mr. Wessels present his thoughts on the extension period. Would that be out of order? 9 10 PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: I think it 11 would be, yes. MR. OKAMOTO: It is. (Pause) In that event 12 13 I'm going to have to stop. Oh, I'm sorry, there's one 14 more. I'm sorry, Chair Contrades. Mr. Wessels, did DW circulate a petition 15 16

Q Mr. Wessels, did DW circulate a petition among various people asking to see if they were in support of your Project?

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

A We did. A number of people that -- business owners primarily initially and then we added individuals -- had said, "Look, we can't come and speak because we're working and whatever, but don't you have something that we can sign to represent that we want you to continue with this Project?"

So we did. We have about 1200 names in these petitions, these are double sided, that have

business owners and individuals both in Hilo and here on West Hawai'i that have signed a petition. I'll read the petition so that I don't make a mistake:

"The undersigned support DW 'Aina Le'a

Development LLC and Luana Gardens at the Villages of
'Aina Le'a. We ask the LUC to approve the extension
request coming before them on November 18, 2010.

Thank you."

2.1

2.4

And these are signed and some of them are, were done via the Internet. But many of them, the original ones were done specifically with the individual signing the form.

MR. OKAMOTO: Mr. Chairman, at this time we would ask, I believe we're going to mark it MM, the DW petition. I made a mistake, Mr. Chairman. I did not have them prepare enough copies. I have original and 15 in the room right now.

 $\label{eq:solution} \text{So if the Commission would indulge me I}$ would have to make additional copies today and submit to the parties.}

MR. YEE: I don't believe I have an objection. But would I be able to see the document?

MR. OKAMOTO: Yes. And I apologize. The error was mine. It's not my client's.

MR. DAVIDSON: Give each to the parties and

1	give us an original and 12. You can supplement that.
2	MR. OKAMOTO: All right.
3	(Pause)
4	MR. YEE: OP has no objection.
5	MR. BRILHANTE: County has no objection.
6	PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Thank you.
7	MR. OKAMOTO: Thank you, sir.
8	PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: We have JJ and
9	KK. You're going to make this MM. What happened to
10	LL? (Laughter)
11	MR. OKAMOTO: Chair Contrades, it's the next
12	exhibit in order. I'm sorry. I'm pretty bad at this.
13	I lose track of exhibit numbers. We're into the
14	double alphabets now.
15	PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: LL. We'll
16	make it LL.
17	MR. OKAMOTO: Okay. Yeah. Thank you.
18	PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: County, do you
19	have any questions?
20	MR. BRILHANTE: Yes, sir, just a couple.
21	CROSS-EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. BRILHANTE:
23	Q Mr. Wessels, as it relates to your financing
24	I notice or I read in the transcripts of previous
25	hearings that you have submitted a request with the

county in order to determine availability of CFD funding for the Project.

2.1

Can you give us a brief update as to how that process is moving along?

A Yes. We submitted -- we have worked with a number of the consultants for the county. We did a tax apportionment review. I think they've hired a -- well, I know they have hired an appraiser to look at the overall to make sure the evaluations.

In going through it because of the very high cost of the infrastructure on this first phase, we have rearranged the phases. And the first phase includes the commercial and a couple of the pads, although we won't be able to build on them initially, but some of the higher end pads. So the evaluation, the ability to do the first phasing of the CFD bonding.

We've also had conversations both with the Piper Japhray Meryl, the underwriters and also with the Wisconsin Teachers Pension Fund about taking down some of that.

We also have some interests both from Hong Kong and from Kuala Lumpur, and possibly taking down part of that depends to a great extent on the interest rates.

The interest rates that were being quoted to begin with in this were high. They were -- they were almost 7 percent or right at 7 percent. It looks like we will, in fact, be able to bring those down on a 40-year bond and have buyers somewhere in the 5, 5 and a quarter percent. We don't have that locked in yet. We still have some work that we have to finish.

2.1

2.4

We are moving forward on it. There was a delay partially because of the concern of the underwriters and ours on the high interest rate. But we have to put the infrastructure in before we can draw the bonds anyway. So we were -- we were looking at the doing some analysis on it and going back and forth.

There also was some delay on the part of the county. There is a roughly, because of the connector road that's part of it, there's some county things.

The issue was where and when and how, that we still need some final input on to complete.

But I believe that we will have that completed within the next 30 days. And this is -- this is, as you're aware, this is a bond that attaches on our property.

It has no, takes no credit whatsoever from any governmental authority. So it just simply

facilitates it on a tax free nature.

2.1

- Q Could you also -- as part of the conditions was the connector road or the Waikoloa Village access road. Do you have any updated information as it relates to the status of that component of the Project?
- A Basically we were waiting for the final determination. It still looks like it will come out of Hula Street. We have actually had some conversations with the Waikoloa Village Action Committee that's part of the South Kohala Planning. There still there isn't a final determination. In some cases they want to connect it to our commercial center.

Some of the members up there would like it moved over to where the County's housing project is and quite aways to the other side.

So there's still, there's still some decisions on that road that have to be made before it can be finalized. But I'm hoping to get those decisions made in the next 30 to 60 days.

Q To your knowledge has there been any on-the-ground actual work done, whether it be grading or the like, as it relates to the Waikoloa access road or is that still to be determined?

A The county and the prior owners, and I'm not sure how they participated, but there was the blading of the fire access road from the Hula Street to the Queen K. That road, if they chose that road that road could be just expanded and prove to be able to create that.

2.1

The residents on Hula Street are the ones that are objecting the most to that becoming a major thoroughfare road because it obviously goes right past the front of their homes. So there's still some evaluation and consideration being given as to whether it is purely an emergency or whether it becomes a permanent road.

Q Okay. Just the final area's some questions regarding Queen K improvements. It's my understanding that the Queen K -- completion of the Queen K improvements is a condition of the county prior to the signing off of the Certificate of Occupancy. Is that your understanding as well?

A Yes. We were hoping, 'cause the intersection will take a period of time, we were hoping, like a lot of things, we could do, if necessary, we could do a temporary road while it's being cut because of the -- but we don't have -- we know that we have to have that as a condition.

MR. BRILHANTE: Thank you very much. That's all I have, Vice Chair.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: OP?

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. YEE:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

Q During your testimony I heard you say that the onsite infrastructure was almost done. Is that a correct statement or...?

A I don't know. If I said "almost done," I may have made -- the engineering is done. Some of the trenching, some of the blasting for the trenching and that is done.

The sewer piping and manholes are on site.

The easements and the surveying for the easements both offsite and onsite are there.

The legal descriptions for the roadways to carve out for the condominium and things are done. So there's a lot of work that has been done, but I don't want to misrepresent that it's completed.

- Q I take it, then, are the lines for the sewer finished?
- A No.
- Q The same for water and electricity?
- 24 A That's correct.
- 25 Q So since those aren't done I assume the

roads can't be finished out either because you need to finish that.

A As long as those lines are put in the roadway that would be correct, yes. And that will be done -- just for your information, that will be done in phases.

One of the reasons why in the longer we have 16 units per phase, is that we started at, well, as you can see we started at this end and we will work our way back so that the front end, the blasting and a lot of work is already done to tie into it.

And we will work our way back on the property. So it will be done in phases. We won't have all 432 connected at the same time until we're done.

- Q Do you have an estimate of when that's going to be done for phase 1 or the affordable housing component?
- A At this point we're hoping and under our current cash flows it will take us till probably March if we're able to --
 - Q March of which year?
- 23 A -- get some, you know, one of these 24 construction loans it will speed that up a little.
 - Q March of 2011?

2.1

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q So that'd be the infrastructure, onsite
- 3 infrastructure will be completed for all 385 units by
- 4 March 2011.
- 5 A No. No, no. It will be completed in
- 6 phases.
- 7 Q My question was related to the entire phase.
- 8 When will the entire phase --
- 9 A Oh, okay. The entire phase will take us, I
- 10 | would say, at least through, if we have our
- 11 | construction financing it will take us through
- 12 probably June. And...
- 13 Q June 2011?
- 14 A June 2011.
- 15 Q And if you don't have your construction
- 16 financing?
- 17 A It could take us till September, October.
- 18 O Of 2011?
- 19 A Of 2011.
- 20 \ Q With respect to the sewage treatment plant,
- 21 | as I understand the prior testimony it's being sized
- 22 | for the affordable housing component, not for the
- 23 entire Project, not for the 1,000 acres; is that
- 24 correct?
- 25 A Yes. The original design is for the whole

plant. Then we have the modules that go into that whole plant. This is the first module. Then as we add more of the affordable housing to it we'll add another module to it. The plant's designed in that manner.

2.1

- Q I understood the testimony to be that it's expandable to 40,000 gallons but that that would not be enough for the entire 1,000 acres of the Project.
- A That's the first module, that's correct. It actually will be, by adding the modules it will go to, I believe it's a million or a million quarter gallons but I would have to check the -- but it is in modules.
- Q At one time in the documents you were anticipating building a new sewage treatment plant and then perhaps mothballing this current sewage treatment plant. Is that still your plan?
- A I actually -- we, you know, as technology has improved we will be moving, if this Commission approves our locating in the agriculture, we will take the second module and start it in the agriculture.

 Then we will take this module and move it to that location so move 'em all to one location.
- Q I did want to ask about that. Because at the last hearing you were proposing to build this first module in the agriculture district, correct?

- 1 A We were hoping to, yes.
- 2 Q You needed a special permit at the time.
- 3 A It was pointed out we needed the
- 4 | Commission's approval and a special permit.
- 5 Q So at this point are you saying you're 6 proposing to build it within the Petition Area?
 - A Yes, we are.

7

8

11

12

13

- Q Is that reflected in the EIS?
- 9 A You know, I don't -- I can't -- I don't know
 10 the answer to that.
 - Q You talked about your potable water, you're getting a 250,000-gallon tank. Is that sized for the entire Project or just this first affordable housing component?
- 15 A Just the first phase.
- Q You talked about a connection to HELCO and where you're on that process. Do you have a date by which that connection would be completed?
- 19 A I'm sorry? Pardon me?
- 20 Q You talked about a connection to the HELCO 21 grid. Remember that?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q Did you have a date by which that connection would exist?
- 25 A They haven't given us a date for certain

1 yet.

- Q Is that sized for the entire Project or just the affordable housing component?
- A That's sized just for the affordable housing.
 - Q Now, you talked about the need for construction financing. And I just wanted to be clear in my mind about what that meant. Is the EXIM Corporation considered to be construction financing?
 - A It's a combination. Their commitment is \$98 million of which roughly 15 million is used to pay off the balance of our purchase contract on the residential. About 3 million is engineering for the thousand acres to lay out and complete, rearrange the engineering and the sewer lines and on that. And the balance is construction financing.
 - Q Would you need additional monies for construction financing if you got the \$98 million from EXIM Corporation?
 - A I'm sorry. I didn't understand.
- Q Will you need additional financing,
 additional construction financing if you get the
 math system william from EXIM Corporation?
- A No. Well, on the first phase not at all. I mean in terms of the affordable and that. The reason

- why it was set up that way is the entire construction financing, hard construction financing other than the land is \$73 million. So that was the -- so it's included in that. It's a roll. We'll never draw that much money on the first phase.
- Q So it will be enough for construction financing the first phase. And if you wanted to develop the remaining 1,000 acres you'll need additional construction financing.
- A That's correct.

2.1

2.4

2.5

- 11 Q Okay. Now, have you signed the contract
 12 with EXIM Corporation?
 - A Oh, yes. I think -- I think we provided a copy of the commitment in the past. Then we have done an extension and additional things. But we do have -- we don't have loan documents in escrow yet.
 - Q Then you said that EXIM Corporation was somehow connected to the Export Import Bank. Could you explain that?
 - A As I understand it's one of the service arms or the service arm of the Export Import Bank, thereby they're "EXIM" shortened.
 - Q Are there any preconditions or conditions to the loan for getting that construction financing from EXIM?

A There was a very large one that we had. I don't know if you looked at the commitment or had a chance, but they required a standby letter of credit for \$25 million, or we had to agree to put out of the first draw a \$20 million, set aside a \$21 million out of the first draw as a CD.

2.1

2.4

It took us a very long time to be able to get in position to put up the million dollars and the other things that were necessary to get the standby letter of credit. And the cost of that in today's banking world is rough.

So we have now, because of the investments that we've made, we actually have enough that we have told them to go ahead and complete the documents setting aside \$20 million.

Q So with respect to Phase I you then have construction financing without any type of pre-condition because you've met whatever pre-condition exists; is that right?

A In today's lending world that's my understanding. They haven't given us any additional but they haven't put the money in the escrow account. So the only one that I absolutely can count is Capital Asia. And that's a million -- roughly a million and a half dollars a month.

Q I understand that some of the investors in Capital Asia have been paid off. Is that your understanding?

2.1

2.4

A No. (Laughing) No. That's -- there was a couple of people actually, and I don't mean to laugh, but there was a couple of people that saw kind of a promotion out of Kuala Lumpur office of Capital Asia or True North.

The program is when they buy into it they turn and enter immediately into a joint venture document with True Style to be able to subordinate the land that provides the equity base for their, the construction financing for the townhouses.

And so when they do that there is a lease payment. And that lease payment is very important for two reasons. One, it binds -- it's a lease payment that's \$5,000 a lot. It binds the -- it binds the owner of the land at that point to the joint venture agreement.

It also gives them a U.S. income to be able to file under the U.S. taxes. So it does two things for them. They become a U.S. taxpayer as part of that. But it's a \$5,000.

The picture of the gentleman holding the check, it's a lease check. And I don't even know what

the amount is but it wasn't a significant amount. But it was, it was used because he's one of the, one of the people on the financial advisors in Kuala Lumpur.

So that was the -- that was the -- that was the basis of it. But I did have several phone calls from people saying, "What are they doing? Taking their money back?"

That's not it. It truly is the form of the commercial transaction that subordinates their ownership to the builder.

Q I guess my question, though, is -- I mean I assume there's no income coming in from this Project at this point. You're not generating a revenue because you need to sell a house first, don't you?

A No. We're actually selling lots first that generates the income. So there is income, paper income. But I mean -- well, it's cash income but it goes right back into the Project. So it's not -- it's not coming out of the Project but that's what's funding the Project.

Q I see. So you're selling lots. And then they're leasing it back to you.

- A That's correct.
- Q Or just someone?
- A Yeah.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

- Q And they're getting lease payments on that lot, that undivided interest?
- A They're getting one lease payment for 30 months. That lease payment is \$5,000 a lot which they have bought for 96,000.
- Q They get one lease payment. So they don't get it every so often. They just get once.
 - A They get one for the 30-month period.
- 9 Q So was there a real estate agent involved in 10 the transaction?
- 11 A Ah, it depends. In Singapore there are some 12 real estate but most of the investing are financial 13 advisors.
- In Hong Kong they all come through Century
 15 21, ERA, they're real estate agents.
 - I don't know in Kuala Lumpur whether it is, whether True North is just a financial advisor or a real estate agent. I'm not aware of that. They all have their licenses and are in compliance with the local, the local codes for whatever license they need.
 - Q No local real estate agents, though?
- 22 A Pardon me?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q No local real estate agents are involved.
- A The only one that we have is our, um, the
 John Bansemer, our local license holder for 'Aina

- Le'a. He really isn't -- they give him power of attorney to execute any minimal documents that may need to be completed if there's anything. But, no, there's not a local real estate agent involved in it.
- Q I take it there are no local investors under this process.
 - A Pardon me?
 - Q There are no local investors --
- 9 A No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 10 Q -- in this process.
- A We're actually prohibited from local investors because of the exemption that we have under the public offering statement.
 - Q Is that public offering statement that was submitted to DCCA that you referenced in July of 2010?

 Or is that something different?
 - A Yes. It was the -- it's a public offering statement I think was approved in October I believe. I'd have to look, I think it was October 16, 2009.
 - Q And at the time in July of 2010 you talked about filing a condo property regime. And today I think you said you were going to be close to filing it, is that right?
- A We were. We thought we were. Couple of things that came up. But the biggest single problem

that we had was our attorney was working on it had a heart attack, a triple bypass. So there was a period that it was delayed. So we, we didn't move. Even though he's with a firm, he's one of the few that actually does it. So we didn't move. He's back very close.

2.1

2.4

One of reasons we were hoping that the, that Commission could find their way to lift the Order to Show Cause is so we don't have to have that as an additional public disclosure on our own condominium filing. But we're very close on the condominium and public offering filings.

Q You talked about presale meetings, and their nonbinding discussion, I suppose. Were their nonbinding documents signed? I'm just trying to get a sense of the presale meeting, whether it was just an informative meeting. Did money change hands? What happened in the presale meeting?

A No money changed hands. We actually conducted the seminars. We invited the real estate agents in. A couple of them were on our, directly just for our property. A couple of 'em we shared with Bank of America.

We went through what we have. We gave them, of course, the brochures; gave them the type of

```
financing and people that would work with their clients and the pricing structure.
```

So it was -- it was more to educate the realtor to be able to communicate with their clients. We didn't have direct clients in at all. The realtors went out and collected the nonbinding reservations from their clients. It's part of the, part of the way to get started on the, um, on the financing process in today's difficult world.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Excuse me,

Bryan, how much more do you have?

MR. YEE: Five minutes?

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Holly, you okay with that? Okay. Go ahead.

Q (By Mr. Yee): You mentioned CFD financing. What's the amount?

A It's allocated for \$125 million is what we're working at. Of that we will net, after we set aside the money for the road and things like this, we will net just under \$90 million towards the infrastructure on the property.

Q Is that for the Phase I projects? Or is that --

24 A No.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

25 Q -- for the rest of the Project?

A That amount is for the entire Project.

2.1

- Q And you mentioned a 30-day period. Is that the 30 days by which you're going to get approval or 30 days by which you're going to submit something? Do you remember?
- A The 30 days is primarily -- no, we have to go to the county council with the ordinance. I think we've got -- we have one of them authorized, the resolution. But we have to then go through the ordinance of formation. Then we have to go through underwriting.
- The 320 days is basically what we expect that we will have as a commitment back from the county on the decisions of what additional cash public benefits that we have included in the CFD.
- Q I forgot to ask one question regarding the Singapore investors, the investors from Capital Asia. When they give you the money and they get a lease interest or undivided interest in the portion, I take it then they get an ownership interest in the property but control remains in someone else. Is that my understanding?
 - A That's correct.
- Q But they do have an ownership interest in the actual land.

1 They actually have -- they're a multi- a tenant in common but there's a lot of 'em. 2 3 MR. YEE: Okay. Thank you. That's all the 4 questions of. PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: 5 Thank you. 6 Let's take a 5 minute break, please. 7 (Recess was held. 12:00) PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: 8 (12:15) Okay. 9 Let's get back to work. Co-Petitioner, I understand 10 you have some questions. 11 MR. VOSS: Yes, I appreciate the indulgence, 12 Vice-Chair. Two or three quick questions. 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. VOSS: 15 Mr. Wessels, following up on some of the 16 questions regarding financing. If the Commission were 17 to lift the existing Order to Show Cause, from your 18 experience with this Project would that assist DW in 19 obtaining long-term construction and/or take out 20 financing? 2.1 Yes, I believe it would. Α 22 And how would it assist DW? Q

finance lease for the wastewater treatment plant, when

they realized we had an Order to Show Cause possible

Well, we've had both in terms of our first

23

2.4

25

Α

reversion overhanging, they declined. We have also had other lenders that weren't interested in finishing the processing until this was, the entitlements were firm and solid.

2.1

2.4

- Q And in your testimony previously you reported or talked about some lenders being concerned that you would be growing corn on this property rather than people. So I take it from that that the existing Order to Show Cause has, in fact, been a deterrent to lenders being willing to provide long-term construction or take-out financing?
- A Yes, yes. As you can imagine in this type of economy the value of the asset that's used to secure a loan is very important. And with the entitlements that are there the value of the asset is one number. It's significantly lower if it was unentitled.
- Q The spectre, if you will, of the Order to Show Cause and the impacts it's had on financing, was that one of the factors that made it a challenge to complete 385 units by November 17, 2010?
- A It certainly was a factor. The economy, the fact that most of the banks were bankrupt was a big issue. And then the ones that did have money weren't interested in getting into anything that in any way

had any controversy. So, yes, it had a factor.

2.1

2.4

MR. VOSS: Thank you. That's all the questions I have, Chair.

4 PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Thank you.

5 Commissioners, questions? Commissioner Lezy.

COMMISSIONER LEZY: Thank you, Chair. Good afternoon, Mr. Wessels. Thank you for your testimony. Just a few clarifying questions for you. The 16 units that have been built out in the first phase, when do you expect the certificates of occupancy to be issued for those?

THE WITNESS: I would hope that they would be issued in the -- during the first quarter of next year. And the condition, of course, is if we can't find a way around to do a temporary access through the intersection, it could be delayed. But it's our intent and our belief that we, that one way or the other we can -- and we hope that DOT will give the approval of the plans to move forward quickly so that we don't have an issue on that. But that's a controlling factor on it.

COMMISSIONER LEZY: So by March 31, that area?

THE WITNESS: That's really what we're talking to the realtors about. That we are looking

for the first unit sales to be in position to close by March 31st.

2.1

2.4

COMMISSIONER LEZY: You had testified earlier about non-binding reservations in connection with the first increment of the development. How many of those non-binding reservations did you folks actually take?

THE WITNESS: We have 128 as of -- and the realtors have said they have a few more. We have 128 that qualify for the interest, interest lot that we offered if they were in by a certain date, the November 5th date.

How many of those will actually qualify into converting into a purchase and sale agreement that qualifies under the county housing program in terms of first-time home buyers or qualified home buyers, we haven't -- we haven't sorted that all out. That's part of what we've got our lender team working on at the moment.

COMMISSIONER LEZY: When do you expect that this process will matriculate to the presale process?

THE WITNESS: I'm hoping that we have the condominium documents and the public report filed. As I understand from our attorney on that, once we have it filed we can actually go forward and take the

purchase and sale agreement. And I'm expecting that to be filed very shortly.

2.1

2.4

I mean the attorney that had the medical problem is still working on it. There's certain -- he only works a certain number of hours each week. But he's pretty well done.

And there was a couple of final things that he wanted to have us do which have been done now. So I believe it will be very shortly that that will be filed.

COMMISSIONER LEZY: You believe you'll move into the presale process before the end of the year?

THE WITNESS: I do, yes.

COMMISSIONER LEZY: The improvements to the intersection with Queen Ka'ahumanu Highway, what is the estimated costs to make those improvements?

THE WITNESS: It's right at about 2 million. We originally estimated or Goodfellows originally estimated it at 2.9 million. There's been some modifications on it. The last estimate I saw was about \$2 million.

COMMISSIONER LEZY: And speaking of Goodfellows, as things stand right now what is Goodfellows currently owed?

THE WITNESS: Ed said when he testified it

was 4.3 million. I think he's looking at not having credited the last \$400,000 increment. But it's roughly between 4 and 4.3 million.

1.3

2.1

COMMISSIONER LEZY: Is Goodfellows currently providing services on the Project? Or are they holding back until they've received full payment on the amount owed?

THE WITNESS: They are providing some services obviously for your permits and your communication, stuff like this. But there's not heavy equipment out there pushing, pushing lava around. And realistically, there was some engineering and things that had to be finished.

They still are -- they're still active with us in the thing. They haven't -- they haven't abandoned. But they're not spending a lot of money right now, waiting 'til we get them caught up.

COMMISSIONER LEZY: Based on the Goodfellows representative's testimony it sounds like about half of what you're taking in monthly from Capital Asia is going to Goodfellows, is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Actually it's higher than that. I think he's averaged what it was over a couple months. It's actually increased a little bit. So I think it's closer to about a million dollars a month.

As I say there's a \$400,000 payment that they either have just received from Title or will receive this week from Title. So it's averaging up and down, but it's averaging about between 2- and \$300,000 a week now.

COMMISSIONER LEZY: With regard to this -- and I'm not exactly sure how to characterize it -- b

2.1

2.4

2.5

and I'm not exactly sure how to characterize it -- but this scheme with Capital Asia, how many current investors are there in that scheme?

THE WITNESS: We have -- and because this is a weekly process -- of there are about, the last count was about 619 current investors from Hong Kong and Singapore and Kuala Lumpur.

COMMISSIONER LEZY: I assume because you're continuing to receive money from Capital Asia that that requires new investors periodically, correct?

THE WITNESS: Every week.

COMMISSIONER LEZY: And at what point will the scheme close to new investors?

THE WITNESS: Either when we have built all the lots and we're going into the sales thing, or until the lots are all sold.

COMMISSIONER LEZY: So there is no set number of investors who may take this undivided interest in the Petition Area, correct?

THE WITNESS: There's not -- there's been a couple of large investors that have been negotiating. But this is an all-cash transaction because we need the money for the infrastructure. So no, it will continue, I think it will continue primarily with the smaller investors because they look at it as an investment for them.

COMMISSIONER LEZY: How does the investor in the end analysis, how are they paid for their investment? How will they realize some sort of return on their investment?

THE WITNESS: When the townhome is sold to a third-party buyer there's \$125,000, less the \$5,000 for the -- that's already been paid in the lease payment. So there's 120,000 out of the purchase price, out of the closing from escrow is paid. And if there's more than one owner in that portion of the condominium lot, then it will be divided amongst them.

Basically if you look at it per townhouse \$120,000 out of each townhouse is repurchasing the land to sell to the buyer of the townhome.

COMMISSIONER LEZY: So do I understand correctly, then, that the investment that's made is actually tied to the individual townhomes? that...?

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

1 THE WITNESS: When they make it they know where their investment is. It's a tenant in common. 2 3 And as we get to a certain number -- and right now. 4 We've got approximately a third of all the 5 lots are being transferred into a trust that's managed 6 by a subsidiary of Hill International -- and they will 7 then receive from that trust when they sell the townhouse in batches of 16 townhomes. When those 16 8 9 townhomes are sold, then those funds are disbursed to 10 those investors that are in that, in those lots. 11 COMMISSIONER LEZY: And I presume that the 12 16 townhome lots doesn't necessarily transfer to 16 13 investors. You must have multiple numbers of 14 investors. 15 THE WITNESS: We do. We have multiple 16 numbers. 17 COMMISSIONER LEZY: Is there a minimum 18 investment amount to participate in this? 19 THE WITNESS: Yes. 20 COMMISSIONER LEZY: What is that? 2.1 THE WITNESS: The minimum is \$9600 or one 22 tenth of one lot. 23 COMMISSIONER LEZY: And you testified 24 earlier, Mr. Wessels, if I understood you correctly,

that this Capitol Asia scheme now is the principal

25

mode of financing for the Project, is that correct?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

2.1

2.4

COMMISSIONER LEZY: And that you are relying on the continuation of the receipts from the Capitol Asia scheme in order to continue the development, is that correct?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER LEZY: So fair to say if for some reason investor confidence dropped or disappeared that would leave you at this juncture no form of financing for the Project.

THE WITNESS: I'm not saying that would be the only form, but it's only way I'm totally sure of right now. In terms of we're committed, we're working with lenders. But I looked at it as a fallback situation.

Capital Asia we can count on because we've got a year of consistent progress. And there's been some pretty bad newspaper articles on this Project.

And it affects them to some degree, but they all understand that they're getting an interest in a piece of land, which is very important to them.

And they understand the overall. And to be honest with you most of investors, especially out of Singapore, investing because it is a community thing.

It is something that they are, they look at as doing for us to be able to meet our commitments to the community. And so it's not as hard nosed as I guess most U.S. investors would be.

2.1

2.4

But, yeah, if something was done -- if it was converted to agriculture it would have a major impact on us. There's no questions.

COMMISSIONER LEZY: And this investment is not open to U.S. citizens, is that correct?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER LEZY: And that's because it's not a legal -- it's not a legal securitization of the interest in this property under U.S. law. Is that accurate?

THE WITNESS: No, that's not accurate. It is because of the number of investors and the fact that there's a fixed return it would fall under, and we would have to register it, under the Securities and Exchange Commission.

But there's a specific regulation, and the lawyers have documented it, and that for the title company and whatever the specific regulation called Regulation S that is a foreign investment -- or that the investment is made and done, the sale is done of the, as a foreign, out of the United States basically,

out of the jurisdiction of the SEC.

2.1

So it's not an illegal transaction at all.

There is a specific SEC regulation in it. If we were to move it to the United States we would then have to register it because there is a fixed return. And we'd have to register with the SEC or the Interstate Land Sales or both.

COMMISSIONER LEZY: Was there consideration given to making this investment available to U.S. investors?

THE WITNESS: We didn't on this basis for a number of reasons, not the least of which is with all the turmoil we didn't -- there wasn't a marketing arm to be able to approach it.

Now that we've got buildings and things like this we're starting to get real estate firms that are saying: "Gee, we'd like to do this." Getting a lot more investment banking firms that want to tag on and participate because of the fact that there's a large number of Asian investors that have made an investment here.

Because obviously -- well, not obviously, but we believe and it appears that from this we are really creating. And we're getting some very strong investments, evaluations being done on the hospital

and on some of the other things we are considering for this. And it's coming out of Asia where they have.

So it's a combination for us. It's a marketing for the whole Project. And most importantly it's a funding vehicle so that we can meet our commitments.

COMMISSIONER LEZY: Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Commissioner Heller.

COMMISSIONER HELLER: In follow up for a minute on the financing questions. You said that the condominium documents are close to being completed and filed, right?

14 THE WITNESS: That's correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.4

COMMISSIONER HELLER: So as of today there is no condominium property regime in effect obviously.

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER HELLER: Now, when an investor gets this undivided interest in the land, what exactly is he getting an undivided interest in? Is it the 1142 acres?

THE WITNESS: No. He gets on undivided interest in the 61.37 acres.

COMMISSIONER HELLER: Okay.

THE WITNESS: And I'm sure you haven't had a

chance 'cause it's kind of boring to read the public document. Once we have a section of that, that also gets carved out. So basically he will -- eventually he will own a piece of roughly 41 acres.

2.1

COMMISSIONER HELLER: Okay. And you said that he is somehow assigned to a specific condominium unit or specific townhouse unit.

THE WITNESS: Yes. And what we did was -- and it's part of our marketing or their marketing -- is that the entire property -- well, we don't have the -- but basically the civil engineering broken up so the property and what the addresses are gonna be and that is submitted.

So there's a number that relates to the address of the, of where the condominium is going to be built.

So as soon as the condominium is filed they will then be transferred into the trust in assignment to that. Right now they're part of the whole.

COMMISSIONER HELLER: So what they get as of today is an undivided interest in 61 acres?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER HELLER: And once there's a condominium regime in effect they will have an interest in a particular condominium unit.

1 THE WITNESS: That's correct.

2.1

2.4

COMMISSIONER HELLER: And how many investors can have an interest in a particular condominium unit?

THE WITNESS: A maximum of 10. In most cases it's averaging that there's just a couple of, couple of investors per condominium lot. But it can be -- in answer to your question, can be a maximum of 10.

misunderstood your answer to Commissioner Lezy's question. But wouldn't there then be a fixed number of total undivided interests you can sell? Because if each one is going to be assigned to a condominium unit and you've got a finite number of condominium units, then you --

THE WITNESS: Yes, there is. I guess I misspoke when I answered. Because I said when we sell out all the townhouse lots. But if you look at that that's 432. If you take 10 it's 4,320 potential investors. It's nowhere near that the way it's coming in. But in hypothetical you could have 4,320 investors in those 432 townhome lots.

COMMISSIONER HELLER: 4,320 based on the 1/10 tenth unit for each one.

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

1 COMMISSIONER HELLER: Based on a full unit 2 for each one you could have 432 investors. 3 THE WITNESS: 432. 4 COMMISSIONER HELLER: I think you said 5 earlier that you actually had approximately 619 6 investors as of today. 7 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 8 COMMISSIONER HELLER: So they must be averaging less than a full unit a piece. 9 10 THE WITNESS: They are averaging less than a 11 full unit. We have some -- we have a couple of 12 doctors from Raffles Hospital, one of which has, I 13 think, bought six lots at different times, full lots. 14 But we also have, you know, some people that work for 15 the Singapore Airlines, and stuff like this that put 16 in \$9,600, and sometimes have come back and put in 17 another \$9,600 in. So it varies. On that we have 18 roughly 200 lots sold in those 619 buyers. 19 COMMISSIONER HELLER: That would be 200 out 20 of the 432 that you can ultimately sell. 2.1 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 22 COMMISSIONER HELLER: So you're a little bit 23 less than half way to the maximum that you could sell. 2.4 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 25 COMMISSIONER HELLER: In terms of expenses

that have been incurred to date, are you at a similar percentage? In other words, are you a little bit more than half way to having everything paid for?

2.1

THE WITNESS: Part of the reason for doing the finance lease on the wastewater treatment plant and on the tank is to bring that in balance. If we sell out all the lots under the townhomes, and with the wastewater treatment plant being under the finance lease and the water tank, we're in balance. Actually we have a little bit extra.

But if we were to build the whole wastewater treatment plant we would have to -- we would have to find additional financing to do that, which is why I went to the finance lease.

COMMISSIONER HELLER: So, in other words, if you sell all of the 432 chunks that you can potentially sell, you're still going to have to borrow money to finish the Project.

THE WITNESS: Well, we have the finance lease to do it now.

COMMISSIONER HELLER: Okay. Let me shift gears a little bit and ask about the 16 units that are quote, unquote "completed". I think we've talked about a number of things that have to be done before people can actually move in and live in those units.

And I was trying to make sure I understand the timeframes for all of those things to happen. One of the things you mentioned, and I don't think I heard you say the timeframe, was for HELCO to bring in transmission lines and actually hook up to their grid.

2.1

2.4

THE WITNESS: We don't -- we didn't have a date that they're going to do that. Part of the reason why we've accelerated the solar program on the carports and the battery backup so that we actually can sustain the units electrically off of the grid until they catch up.

Now, the engineering is done. It depends on the delivery of the -- I was unaware until we worked with them that every pole that they bring in is specially engineered. So there's a delivery time on the poles and things like this. So I don't have a date yet.

Running the line is relatively easy. But it's the delivery of the poles and putting the poles in. They've indicated they will drill the poles and things like that to set the poles but they just are in the process of finishing the engineering for the poles.

COMMISSIONER HELLER: Do you have any estimate of the time when the Project, at least the 16

units, are actually going to be actually connected to the HELCO grid?

2.1

THE WITNESS: Again, I really don't. I mean I -- it's in our planning. We've basically figured that we would, we would be doing probably the first at least 64, maybe the first 96 units with solar and battery packs before the grid is fully connected.

COMMISSIONER HELLER: So your plan is you would actually sell the units and people would move in before there was a connection to the HELCO grid.

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER HELLER: Okay. On the sewer lines and wastewater treatment plant, if I understood correctly, the steps are you have to get -- you still have to get a Department of Health approval on the wastewater plant, correct?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER HELLER: Okay. And I think the engineer said that was going to take three to six months, possibly longer?

THE WITNESS: I'm not quite certain why he set the date out that far. But I defer to -- he's the person that's got the responsibility to do it. It appeared from the early comments on the engineering for the entire wastewater plant that it wouldn't take

1 | that long.

2.1

In our planning we expected we will be connected to it by February, by January/February which is really saying it's less -- in our planning we're at the low end of what his estimate is.

COMMISSIONER HELLER: You need to get the Department of Health approval and then you need to physically assemble the plant and connect up to it, right?

THE WITNESS: The plant comes on a skid. So the connection -- the connection to the plant is relatively literally very quick.

But the lines in terms of where the R1 water goes and what the -- and I'm not sure, I'm not a wastewater plant -- but basically they were explaining there's a chlorine mixing that goes through the line before it gets in and a number of other things that are part of that.

Those lines have to be, have to be installed and they have to be tested. They actually have to be before the Department of Health will give a final approval on the permit.

COMMISSIONER HELLER: Okay. And then you also need a permit to put in the solar panels on the 16 units, right?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

2.1

COMMISSIONER HELLER: So as you sit here today are you actually prepared to state, to commit to a firm date by which people could move into the 16 units?

THE WITNESS: Because there's three state agencies that require this to give a firm date, I'm uncomfortable doing a firm date.

I can tell you when we will file for it.

And a reasonable estimate of when it is, because we're doing very standard things with people that do it all the time, we think we have got a pretty good handle on it.

But having the situation where yesterday I didn't meet a deadline by a very major amount, I'm a little hesitant to say a drop dead date. But we're very comfortable and we have obviously invested a lot of money on the basis that we can start closing units at the end of the first quarter.

So I'm quite confident that we're looking at by March 31st. But I don't have -- I don't have absolute control over the situations to be able to say that. The major items like the EIS and stuff are now, are now behind us. But they're still things that we don't have control over.

```
1
              COMMISSIONER HELLER: Well, since you
 2
    brought up the EIS, there's a period of time in which
 3
    the public can object to the acceptance of the EIS,
 4
    correct?
 5
              THE WITNESS: I think so. But on the, on
 6
    the notice it said on there that it wasn't, it wasn't
 7
    -- I believe what I was told is there was 60 days from
 8
    when it was published. So I believe that's the
 9
    correct amount.
10
              COMMISSIONER HELLER: So that would be 60
11
    days that started from November 8th?
12
              THE WITNESS: November 8th, yes.
13
              COMMISSIONER HELLER: That's all the
14
    questions I have.
15
              PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Commissioner
16
    Jencks.
17
              COMMISSIONER JENCKS: Mr. Wessels, how you
18
    doin?
19
              THE WITNESS: Good.
20
              COMMISSIONER JENCKS: Been up here a long
2.1
    time. Couple questions. Commissioner Lezy was asking
22
    you about a deadline or timeframe for closings and
23
    occupancy. And you said "end of the first quarter".
2.4
              THE WITNESS: Yeah.
25
              COMMISSIONER JENCKS: And Mr. Heller was
```

```
1
    just asking you just to discuss the same general
    timeframes with Mr. Heller. I have a few questions
 2
 3
    relative to that.
 4
              THE WITNESS:
                            Okay.
 5
              COMMISSIONER JENCKS: You haven't yet
 6
    submitted condominium documents for the Project.
 7
              THE WITNESS: That's correct.
              COMMISSIONER JENCKS: Those have to be
 8
 9
    submitted and reviewed by the DCCA, and I think also a
10
    local reviewer here in the county of Hawai'i.
11
              The wastewater treatment plant isn't here
12
    yet. It's on a skid. It's a multiphase installation.
13
    The plans for that plant have been submitted to the
14
    Department of Health. They have yet to review and
15
    approve those plants, correct?
16
              THE WITNESS: That's correct.
17
              COMMISSIONER JENCKS: You're going to need
18
    to go to the PUC for their approval on what you're
    going to charge for the plant, correct?
19
20
              THE WITNESS:
                            Yes.
2.1
              COMMISSIONER JENCKS: Have you made that
22
    application yet?
23
              THE WITNESS: No.
2.4
              COMMISSIONER JENCKS:
                                    Okay. The domestic
25
    water supply will come from who?
```

THE WITNESS: From Californ -- initially 1 2 from California Water Supply. 3 COMMISSIONER JENCKS: Okay. So the tank for 4 that is on its way. You're going to need to apply for 5 the PUC for that fee structure as well, correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. 6 7 COMMISSIONER JENCKS: Have you done that 8 yet? 9 THE WITNESS: No. 10 COMMISSIONER JENCKS: To get a certificate 11 of occupancy I would suspect at least the increment 12 that you're going to occupy will need to be fully landscaped. And County of Hawai'i would require you 13 14 to have landscaping probably substantially complete. 15 THE WITNESS: Yes. 16 COMMISSIONER JENCKS: And that work, has 17 that started yet? 18 THE WITNESS: No. Just the drafting and the 19 design. But other than the design, no. 20 COMMISSIONER JENCKS: So the plans haven't 2.1 been submitted for review and approval yet for the 22 landscaping. 23 THE WITNESS: No. 2.4 COMMISSIONER JENCKS: Okay. The access onto

the highway I would suspect would need to be completed

25

and accepted by State for a certificate of occupancy as well. Don't you expect the same?

2.1

2.4

THE WITNESS: I believe so, yes.

COMMISSIONER JENCKS: So have you authorized the ordering of any signal mechanisms or anything to facilitate the construction of that intersection in the absence of any State DOT approval?

THE WITNESS: No, I haven't.

COMMISSIONER JENCKS: Okay. It seems to me that to be fair to everybody, based upon my practical experience with regard to this type of project, it would seem to me you're probably looking at close to a year before you could have a certificate of occupancy and be able to close these units for sale.

You know, I'm just -- given all the things, the questions I just asked you and truthfully what is the lack of submittals to these agencies and the time it take?

They're all unknowns, they're all discretionary, a significant amount of time and effort needs to be put in place plus construction time for all these regulatory authorities, I think you're talking about a significantly longer period of time than the end of the first quarter of next year. Wouldn't you agree?

THE WITNESS: You know, when you go through it that way, yes, I do. I mean we've had -- we've had tremendous help from everybody here on the Big Island moving things much faster than anybody has, can imagine.

COMMISSIONER JENCKS: I understand.

THE WITNESS: But to me it has somewhat spoiled me from the reality of things.

COMMISSIONER JENCKS: But to be realistic about the time it takes to get this kind of work done and to actually be able to close and occupy a unit.

THE WITNESS: Mm-hmm.

2.1

2.4

we have just discussed, it sounds to me you're looking at a year. I just, I caution you. But just the way it lines out to me and the timeframes we're talking about with the agencies, I think you're talking about a much greater period of time than the end of the first quarter next year.

THE WITNESS: And I very much appreciate that comment. We are very, very committed not only with the amount of money that we've got invested but equally as importantly to the community and what we've said to move forward with it.

I very much respect your timeline and what's

there. We will do everything that we can possibly do
to speed that up. But, you know, you may be, you may
be correct. I guess, like Mr. Heller asked in terms
of a deadline, I was kind of wishy-washy about saying
March 31st. And after you just kind of went through
that, you know, I have a tendency to be a little too
optimistic sometimes.

And I would much rather have a time, a performance as we go along kind of thing as a guideline to this committee or, you know, a longer extension with some performance on it.

We want -- we want to do exactly what's in the Order. But I also would like the Order to be something that we don't have to come back to do.

COMMISSIONER JENCKS: I just want to be realistic on the timeframes.

THE WITNESS: Yeah.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

COMMISSIONER JENCKS: Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Any further

20 questions? Mr. Okamoto, do you have any redirect?

MR. OKAMOTO: I think we've been here long enough, sir.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Co-Petitioners

138

- 1 your turn.
- 2 MR. VOSS: We have no additional witnesses,
- 3 | but would offer argument when given the opportunity by
- 4 the Commission.
- 5 PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Thank you.
- 6 County?
- 7 MR. BRILHANTE: County of Hawai'i has no
- 8 | witnesses either.
- 9 MR. YEE: Office of Planning has no
- 10 witnesses. Thank you.
- 11 PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Arguments.
- 12 | Would 10 minutes be enough for each of you to make
- 13 | your arguments?
- MR. VOSS: Is that 10 minutes apiece?
- 15 | PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: 10 minutes
- 16 apiece.
- 17 MR. VOSS: As long as we don't have to
- 18 | divide it amongst us.
- 19 PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: No, no, no,
- 20 no.
- MR. VOSS: 10 minutes would be fine for
- 22 Co-Petitioner.
- 23 PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Mr. Okamoto.
- MR. OKAMOTO: I would have to note in the
- 25 | beginning of this that, you know, I've gone through

Mr. Voss' motion. And it relates directly to the Order to Show Cause. Having gone through it, and I did learn quite a bit when I went through his detailed breakdown, we have had to conclude that we think he's correct. That will not make me perhaps the most popular person with this Commission.

2.1

But procedurally we think there's significant irregularities in what has taken place. It is understandable. It's very confusing. I've never been through one where the Commission was considering reclassifying the property or reverting it as it's commonly called.

One of my concerns in this is -- and I went back and I looked at the record of what you had -- and it seemed to me that the basic part of the OSC initially was a lack of progress. If you look around, you went to the property you didn't see much of anything.

I believe when this all started the concern of the Commission was it just hadn't gotten started. You were three and-a-half years, four years down the line and there was nothing going on.

And having looked at the record it seems to me that really the issue was whether or not we had any real commencement of this Project.

I would submit to you over and above the procedural problems, the due process problems, that's changed. You now have something on the ground. As has been pointed out, yes, there is lots of things to be done. There's lots of work to be done. DW might have been too optimistic about how quickly they could get things done.

2.1

2.4

But without a conventional lender, with problems with the financing that was lined up, they've got five buildings up; they've got materials on site. Pretty much they can roll if they're given an opportunity.

I believe that changes materially the situation that's before the Commission. We may still get in trouble. You may still have to get us in line if we don't comply. But it does seem to me that really the thrust of this OSC as it started was no substantial commencement under the statute. Yes, that is a statutory ground for reversion of this property.

But the circumstances have changed. You now have a Petitioner who's gone forward. Whether or not he could get conventional financing or not he's managed to get enough money to get this thing forward. And I believe that there's no reasonable view of this thing that would say we don't have a commencement

going.

2.1

2.4

I can't speak to the Condition 1 today because that's not on the agenda. But I think it's fair to say that they've come a long way in a very short period of time.

So it seems to me at this point the OSC really should be lifted. We have the other issues. I realize that the Commission will, I'm sure, press us very hard. There will be lots of questions. But as far as maintaining an OSC at this point I think it is appropriate that the Commission say: "Okay. That is history that should at this point be lifted." And I think I will stop there.

MR. VOSS: I'm aware I'm also not the most popular man in the room here. So with that I also went back and looked at the transcript. And I'd like to go back to September 18th, 2008 when this Commission first voted to approve the OSC.

Commissioner Kanuha said he supported the OSC because he hoped it would, quote, "light a fire under Bridge's butt." If that was the original intent of the OSC well, it worked. Bridge entered into the agreement with DW to sell the land. Sixteen affordable homes have been completed. Twenty-four are nearing completion.

Much of the infrastructure work has been done. The grading and site work is nearly done. The Final EIS has been approved. And the sewage treatment plant is on its way. In all more than \$20 million has been expended here.

2.1

Has it gone perfectly? No, it hasn't gone perfectly. But under the circumstances and given the financing environment I think it's a pretty amazing accomplishment.

So why are we here? It seems to me that at some point at the urging of the Office of Planning the purpose of this Order to Show Cause changed. It changed in that someone decided that they were going to use this case to set an example.

Before we talk about the constitutional and procedural problems with that I want everyone here to be clear what example is being set.

Because in here, my former life I've been coming to the Land Use Commission since 1984. This Commission has never revoked a project's entitlements because that developer has not met all the deadlines that he originally promised.

Take a step back and look at all the projects in this state that have not met their original promised timelines.

West Beach. Some of you remember when it was called West Beach before Ko Olina. Kuilima, Royal Kunia Phase 2. None of them met any of their original deadlines and this Commission did not revert. Go five minutes up the road, Kaloko Heights. This Commission reclassified 400 acres for 1400 homes in 1982. Not one home has been built. This Commission didn't revert, didn't even issue an OSC.

2.1

And I commend the Commission for that. I'm not criticizing the Commission for any of that.

Because in those cases the Commission understood its role and understood that major projects like 'Aina Le'a take a lot of time, a lot of money and, yes, a lot of patience.

Today, and whenever we reconvene, for the first time this Commission will consider killing a project because it did not meet what this Commission ruled on July 1st was a deadline to build 385 homes. And that will be an example. But it's not the example I think you want to send.

It will be an example to every developer in this state and out of this state that unless and until you finish every single unit in your project your entire capital investment is at risk.

It will be an example to every lender

nationwide and internationally that the security for your loan could vanish if every single proposed timetable is not met. And those lenders, they won't know the specifics of this case. They won't know what Bridge supposedly said or did or didn't do. They'll just know the result. They'll know that this Project was wiped out after \$20 million was invested.

2.1

And the message that will be given is this is not a place to put your money. Those units over there, if this Commission rescinds and revokes this classification, they will be a symbol up on the hill. You'll see them over and over again in the news media, on the Internet. And it will be an example to everyone why you shouldn't do business in Hawai'i, why you shouldn't invest in Hawai'i, why you shouldn't try to build affordable homes in Hawai'i.

And I know some of you have strong feelings about this Project, and many of you have strong feelings about Bridge. I understand that, and I respect that. But you have to understand that what you do, the decision you make in this case could have long-term ramifications for lenders across this country and the building of thousands of homes and yes, thousands of jobs in this state.

As Alan said, there are procedural problems.

I respectfully renew my motion regarding the Order to Show Cause and incorporate by reference. And I won't repeat all of it here in the interest of time in the 10 minutes.

2.1

2.4

But if we're talking about timeframes, the original OSC said unambiguously that this proceeding was subject to Subchapter 7 of the Commission's rules. And it's not disputed.

Subchapter 7 says that this proceeding, any proceeding must be completed within 365 days. And we are now almost two years from the date that this OSC was issued. And with all due respect, the OSC is invalid as a matter of law and cannot be acted upon.

The motion goes on to detail the other problems and they are in brief summary: This Commission has made factual findings. It has imposed additional orders without following the contested case procedures, and without giving full notice and opportunity to be heard.

This Commission has never given legally sufficient and valid notice of the OSC. That's hard to hear but it's a fact. It never was given. And, yes, there also have been violations of the Sunshine Law repeatedly in this docket but more egregiously for the July 1st hearing when the action the Commission

took simply was not on the docket.

2.1

Procedural issues are particularly important here, Commissioners, because we're talking about here is vested property rights. Some of you on the Commission are attorneys and have read <u>Allen</u> and have read the <u>Nukoli'i</u> decision and know what I'm talking about.

You need only look at those pictures there to know that any court as a matter of common sense is going to rule that these property rights have vested. And what the constitution says you cannot take vested property rights without due process and you cannot take them without paying just compensation.

This is not a threat. This is just a reality. If the Commission reverts there will be litigation and the damages claims will be well into the eight figures. I think we all would wish to avoid that.

Now, Office of State Planning no doubt is going to say: Well, we're just acting on a condition here. There's no constitutional problems.

But the problem with that is the constitution says, the equal protection clause is that: A state agency cannot create a class of one when it's dealing with a developer or someone else

seeking a relief in front of that agency. And that's what's happened here.

2.1

As our response details there's no doubt that this Project has been treated differently and less favorably than other projects here on this island and throughout the state. Again, you need only consider the projects that are up and down this Kohala Coast: Kohanaiki, Kaloko Heights, Palamanui, Waikoloa Mauka, just to name a few.

None of those projects have built a single home. And none of those projects have faced the same level of scrutiny, the same level of questioning that we hear today. Those are all good questions. I'm not disputing that.

All I'm saying is the constitution says you can't treat one project differently than another and take away its entitlements.

Section 205-4 is clear that six affirmative votes are necessary for this Commission to change the boundaries of this land. It is currently classified for urban lands. And if the Commission were to revert based on the OSC that would be a reclassification.

If the Commission does revert, that would be directly contrary to the County General Plan, directly contrary to the County Development Plan, and with all

due respect would violate this Commission's duties under HRS 205-17.

2.1

I say all these things not to be objectionable, not to poke a finger in anyone's eye, although I know some of you probably feel that I am.

I'm trying to do this to avoid litigation, to avoid years and years of huhu and heartache and unnecessary fighting to get this Project back where it should be.

The practical reality is that this Project will never get long-term construction or take-out financing as long as the Order to Show Cause is in place. That's just the reality of the financing world. Some of you on the Commission who are developers, who have assisted developers know that's the case.

So I would ask you here when you consider this motion, to work with DW, to work with Bridge to make this Project a success, to lift the Order to Show Cause. Because with all due respect, it is void as a matter of law. And help the people get the affordable homes that they want.

One last point. Hope is a funny thing.

It's a fragile thing. This community has hope in this Project. They believe it represents something to them in terms of sustainable development, in terms of jobs,

in terms of the ability of the community to resurrect itself and become financially viable again. I ask you not to kill that hope. Thank you very much.

MR. BRILHANTE: Good afternoon,

Commissioners. Yes, it is afternoon. As I've -since my assignment to this case I've been taking a
crash course in the development and the historical
perspective of what we have in front of us here today.

It harkens back to the late 1980s, early
1999 where application was made in this Project. I
understand that that's one of the primary concerns
that this Commission has is the long time, the tenuous
time it's taken to get to this point.

But I'll put this in front of you. From that moment 'til today we have seen progress with this Project. We have. We've gotten testimony that now there's possibly 40 units on the ground. Majority of the Project for the affordable housing component has been graded and it's ready for construction.

I think it's been very informative for me to hear the testimony from the representative from Honsador Lumber where we indicated approximately 50 percent of his construction material supply is coming from his business. I think that's what we're talking about here today. Talking about two things:

One, we're talking about the ability and the opportunity to provide affordable housing for the people, for the citizens in the County of Hawai'i in a place that historically and presently has no affordable housing component or option for it.

And two. We're talking about the continued economic stimulation that this Project is providing to the community. When I was preparing for today's hearing, I went back and I read the transcripts of the July 1st hearing that this Commission had.

I was taken aback by a statement made by the Director of the State Office of Planning, Ms. Mayer.

(sic) In there she said, "I know this --"

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: "Him". Him 15 right there. (indicating Mr. Mayer)

MR. BRILHANTE: Oh, I'm sorry, Mr. Mayer. He stated, "I know this is the only major project going on there." That's an admission. I agree with that. "I know this is a good place for the Project to happen." I agree with that as well.

"What we suggest is to revert," which I disagree. "We get a bona fide landowner, a bona fide petitioner, a bona fide developer to come back, make a bona fide proposal and move forward in a way that we can all feel comfortable with."

I pose to you if that was the route we were to take what timeframes are we looking at? I agree with Mr. Voss from 'Aina Le'a. If we are to move forward with reversion at this point, I can assure you that litigation will follow. It will follow a path that is incredibly long, incredibly taxing both resources and expenses for all parties involved.

2.1

If we were to follow the Office of Planning's directive we would never -- I would harken to say probably in my lifetime -- never find a bona fide investor, never find a bona fide petitioner, never find a bona fide developer to take over this Project.

And where does that leave us? That leaves us with continued absence of affordable housing in the Waikoloa area. And it also will significantly hurt the fragile business economy of the Island of Hawai'i.

We are in unprecedented economic times. In my 40+ years of existence I've never seen an economy that we have. And I think historically that supports that statement.

Is it correct, is it appropriate for this Commission to make such a significant finding, such a significant ruling to revert the Petition in this matter during these economic times? I think not.

If anything, and since financing is such a key component from what we heard from Mr. Wessels, since financing and continued financing is such a key component of this Project, I think it would be unfair for the Commission to revert at this time.

2.1

I say if the Commission should be anything -- and we have that ability -- the Commission has the ability to continue to monitor the progress, to continue to call DW Aina Le'a in front of us to get status reports, to continue to hold them to the fire until this Project moves forward. We have that opportunity and this Commission has that ability.

And that is what I request that we do.

Thank you very much for your time. Again, I spent a lot of time prepping for this case. And I appreciate your entertaining the County's position. Thank you very much.

MR. YEE: Good afternoon. Let me make a few comments. And I will try to restrict myself, given the fact that we are going to have another opportunity for argument. But I want to at least comment on a few things.

First, litigation or the potential for litigation should never be a basis for your decision-making. Whether or not there is or won't be

litigation should play no part in what you decide.

Second. I appreciate the fact that the County referred to Mr. Mayer's statements because I did want to provide a public clarification. Because there's been a press release out.

And I just wanted to say for the record that what the Office of Planning was saying is that this property should be reverted for the failure to keep their promises, for their misrepresentations and for their violations of conditions.

We acknowledged that the area is appropriate for urban expansion. We acknowledged the need for jobs and the need for people to have a place to work. But that was not a sufficient basis by which we were to ignore the significant and problematic issues with this particular Project, because you have to examine the particular petitioner before you and the particular circumstances.

And you can't justify that just upon the general basis of a theoretical -- that in general the area is appropriate for expansion or that in general people need jobs.

And we just wanted -- that was not the County's point, but apparently that quotation regarding the Office of Planning's acknowledgment of

the need for jobs and it's an appropriate place for expansion was taken to imply as if the Office of Planning was in support of the Project.

2.1

I just wanted to be clear that would be a quote taken just horrendously out of context and would in fact, be misleading.

Procedurally I wanted to note that there is a motion regarding Order to Show Cause that is pending. And I do have a suggestion and a request that either the Commission or that it asks its executive director to set a briefing schedule for that.

I ask this because the last time the Motion to Amend Conditions 1, 5 and 7 was filed, I did note that your rules require our opposition within seven calendar days. And while I certainly could provide the memo in opposition — fortunately Friday's a furlough day so I'd have until Monday, I would, frankly, appreciate some additional time to give you a comprehensive explanation.

And since the fact we don't yet know what the hearing date is I think would make sense as well to give DW -- I'm sorry Bridge 'Aina Le'a an opportunity to then give some additional time for their response. So I just wanted to note that at the

beginning.

2.1

2.4

On another procedural point I just wanted to note that the Order to Show Cause -- I just want to be clear what we mean by an Order to Show Cause. The Land Use Commission issued the Order to Show Cause.

And we had a hearing and the Commission reverted the property. It then went back and decided to say: Well, if you build, complete these 16 units, then we will lift the reversion.

And you then decided, no, those 16 units were not completed. And because that was a precondition the status of the land at this point is there's an Order which has reverted the Bridge Aina Le'a Petition Area.

So there is really no further decision to make per se. Although I understand that you're hearing this to make your own decisions as to whether or not you want to change your minds.

But the current procedural posture of the case is that the land has been reverted to agriculture, at least there's an Order to revert the land to agriculture.

So the complaints about the notice of the Order to Show Cause and all those procedural issues in my mind are made too late. They're made after the

1 decision.

2.1

There's been no showing of prejudice in any of those issues. And some of the issues, quite frankly, that they raised, were frivolous. The idea that you have to make a decision within 365 days, that rule is completely inapplicable to the Order to Show Cause. But we'll have another opportunity to argue that more comprehensively later.

What I do want to say is that if you decide -- well, you're continuing this hearing and you're taking the matter under advisement. And you're going to allow for additional argument.

If you're concerned about the procedural issues raised by Bridge 'Aina Le'a, I did want to make clear what we believe the violations are. If you so choose you could always issue a second or an additional Order to Show Cause.

The violations in our minds are as follows:

Condition 1B has been violated which required

certificates of occupancy for a minimum of 385

affordable units by November 17, 2010. To date there

have been no certificates of occupancy submitted.

At least initially Condition 5 was to be violated in that they were going to locate the sewage treatment plant outside of the Petition Area. And

Condition 5 required them to minimize the impact to adjacent properties. Instead, they actually located it on adjacent properties.

2.1

2.4

Condition 7 required that 16 acres be provided to the Department of Education. And those 16 acres had to be within the property. And they're proposing to provide those -- I'm sorry.

They also stated in 2005 and noted by you in Finding of Fact 32 in your November 25, 2005 Decision and Order that the Petitioner had committed to provide DOE with 30 acres.

So their proposal to locate it outside the Petition Area would also be in violation of Condition 7.

Condition 9 requires the Petitioner to comply with the eight conditions applicable to this golf course development prepared by the Department of Health and the development of a leach field, which was unpermitted and found to be in violation by the Department of Health violated Condition 9.

Condition 13 is the requirement that the Petitioner shall develop the property in substantial compliance with the representations made to the Commission.

We wanted to bring to your attention at

least three particular representations all of which are made on September 30th, 2005 at the time they came to you, asked you to amend or change your Decision and Order.

2.1

The first is on Page 95 lines 10 to 12 which stated, "We are here today saying we're going to build these homes," referring to the affordable homes, "on site and integrate the affordable housing with the rest of the development."

The Office of Planning belies that the affordable housing is located in one particular area. It is being built separate from rather than integrated into the development.

On Page 127 lines 22 to 24.

"Q. Is the Project Area entitled? Is it zoned and ready to be built upon?

"A. Yes, it has all the appropriate zoning." Unquote.

Clearly Petitioner had to go back to the county for a variety of approvals. And so the Project zoning was not all there and they violated that representation as well.

And the last representation that we'll note for you is on Page 105 line 22 through Page 106 line 1.

"Q. And that infrastructure is going to be sized for the rest of the Project? Or is it just designed to get the affordable housing component underway?

2.1

"A. No. We're going to bring everything in sized for the entire Project."

As the testimony today has shown the sewage treatment plant is not zoned for the entire Project. The water, potable water, 250-gallon tank is not sized for the entire Project.

They are building these just to get the affordable housing component underway. And they violated that representation as well.

Finally, Condition 14 requires that

Petitioner provide notice of any intent to voluntarily

alter the ownership interest in the property prior to

development.

As they have said over 600 individual investors have received an ownership interest in this property and notice has not been provided to the Land Use Commission.

We have a variety of other concerns and argument. We are, in particular, objecting or disagreeing with their constitutional provision. In fact, I've been before you arguing certainly Kuilima

case in particular. We came and we looked at the specific language in the Decision and Order and we said there was no language for a deadline.

2.1

2.4

And based upon that we had to come to the conclusion our position was you couldn't issue the Order to Show Cause. Instead, we recommended you change the order to put in such a deadline.

That's not true here. Here there is a condition. The concern is not that they -- the concern is not only that they haven't developed. The concern is that they made a promise to develop.

And that promise was so important that the Commission created that as a particular condition of this case. That's not true for all of the cases.

Many of the cases, certainly the older cases, there were no particular deadlines put into the condition.

But when it was important enough to you, and it was important, it was essential the deadline for construction of these affordable housing components was the reason why you decided to reduce the percentage of affordable housing from 60 percent to 20 percent.

So that wasn't just an issue. It was the issue in that decision. And based upon that you

specifically decided to put in a deadline as a condition.

2.1

So it is the violation of the condition which differentiates this from the other cases that are referenced by the Petitioner.

As I said we have other arguments, we have other concerns. We have spoken to you about these concerns in the past. I'm going to try to restrict myself and avoid the temptation of going through all of them.

But the Office of Planning, as it has consistently said, believes that this Project should be reverted to its original classification. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Okay. Thank you. Commissioners, do you have any further questions? Anybody? All right.

As noted previously, deliberation and action on this matter is being deferred. We will schedule a briefing as you requested. I will leave that up it you, Dan.

MR. DAVIDSON: Fine.

PRESIDING OFFICER CONTRADES: Okay. There
being nothing else the meeting is adjourned.

25 | (The proceedings were adjourned at 1:20 p.m.)

162 1 2 CERTIFICATE 3 4 I, HOLLY HACKETT, CSR, RPR, in and for the State 5 of Hawai'i, do hereby certify; 6 That I was acting as court reporter in the 7 foregoing LUC matter on the day of 2010 8 That the proceedings were taken down in 9 computerized machine shorthand by me and were 10 thereafter reduced to print by me; 11 That the foregoing represents, to the best 12 of my ability, a true and correct transcript of the 13 proceedings had in the foregoing matter. 14 This______ day of______2010 15 DATED: 16 17 18 19 20 HOLLY M. HACKETT, CSR #130, RPR Certified Shorthand Reporter 2.1 22 23 2.4

25