1	LAND USE COMMISSION
2	STATE OF HAWAI'I
3	CONTINUED HEARING
4	A10-789 A&B PROPERTIES, INC.(WAI'ALE) Maui)
5	)
6	
7	
8	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
9	
10	The above-entitled matter came on for a Public Hearing
11	at Maui Arts & Cultural Center, Alexa Higashi Meeting
12	Room, One Cameron Way, Kahului, Maui, Hawai'i, 96732,
13	Hawai'i, commencing at 10:20 a.m. on April 4, 2012,
14	pursuant to Notice.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	REPORTED BY: HOLLY M. HACKETT, CSR #130, RPR
20	Certified Shorthand Reporter
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

25

		-	3
1	INDEX		
2	PUBLIC WITNESS TESTIMONY	PAGE	
3	Michael Lee	7	
4	Nick Harders	15	
5	Hanalei Fergerstrom	16	
6	Hannah Bernard	21	
7	Lucienne de Naie	27	
8	Dick Mayer	34	
9	His Highness Kukini	40	
10	Johanna Kamaunu	44	
11	Kaneloa Kamaunu	47	
12	Clare Apana	52	
13	Pono Kealoha	60	
14	David Taylor	63	
15	DOCKET WITNESSES		
16	JO-ANN RIDAO		
17	Direct Examination by Mr. Hopper	71	
18	JADINE URASAKI		
19	Direct Examination by Mr. Yee	81	
20	Cross-Examination by Mr. Matsubara	88	
21	Redirect Examination by Mr. Yee	91	
22	RODNEY FUNAKOSHI		
23	Direct Examination by Mr. Yee	93	
24			
25			

1 CHAIRMAN LEZY: (Gavel) Good morning. 2 This is a meeting of the State of Hawai'i Land Use 3 Commission. The first item on the agenda is the 4 adoption of minutes from the March 15-16, 2012 5 meeting. Commissioners, any revisions? Hearing none, 6 do I have a motion? ..... anyone? 7 COMMISSIONER McDONALD: Move to accept. 8 CHAIRMAN LEZY: This is what happens when 9 you have just a month left on your term. (Laughter) 10 COMMISSIONER MATSUMURA: 11 Thank you. All in favor? CHAIRMAN LEZY: 12. (aye) All opposed? Passed. Tentative meeting 13 schedule, please, Mr. Davidson. 14 MR. DAVIDSON: You have the tentative 15 meeting schedule with, as you will note, very 16 significant items, second meeting in May and into June. So as always please contact Riley with any 17 18 conflicts regarding the schedule. Thank you. 19 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Thank you. The next item 20 on the agenda is the continued hearing on Docket No. 21 A10-789 A&B Properties, Inc., Wai'ale, Petition to 2.2 Amend the Agricultural Land Use District Boundary into 23 the Urban District for approximately 545.229 acres at 24 the Wailuku and Waikapu, county of Maui, state of 25 Hawai'i TMK: 3-8-05: portion of 23 and 37, 3-8-07: 71,

1 portion of 101 and 104. Parties, appearances, please. 2 MR. MATSUBARA: 'Morning, Mr. Chairman, 3 members of the Commission. Benjamin Matsubara and 4 Curtis Tabata on behalf of Petitioner A&B Properties, 5 Inc. 6 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Good morning. 7 MR. HOPPER: Good morning, Mr. Chair, 8 members of the Commission. Michael Hopper with the 9 Department of Corporation Counsel representing the 10 Maui County Department of Planning. With me is Danny 11 Dias as well as William Spence, planning director. 12. CHAIRMAN LEZY: 'Morning. 13 MR. YEE: Good morning. Deputy Attorney 14 General Bryan Yee on behalf of the Office of Planning. 15 With me is Rodney Funakoshi and Robyn Loudermilk from 16 the Office of Planning. 17 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Good morning. Let me 18 update the record on this docket. On February 21, 19 2012 the Commission received written correspondence 2.0 from Abraham Freeman. 21 On March 29, 2012 the Commission received 22 Maui County's Amended List of Witnesses and Exhibit 8. 23 On April 2, 2012 the Commission received 24 Office of Planning's First Amended List of Exhibits 25 and Exhibits 12 through 16.

On April 3, 2012 the Commission received a memorandum from the State Commission on Water Resource Management regarding this docket matter.

12.

2.2

I'll first call for those individuals desiring to provide public testimony to identify themselves. All such individuals will be called in turn to our witness box where they'll be sworn prior to their testimony.

After completion of the public testimony portion of the proceedings we will move into the docket matter. I'll give opportunity for the parties to admit to the record any additional exhibits. After the admission of exhibits, the County of Maui Planning Department and the State Office of Planning and the Petitioner will continue presentation of their respective cases. For the information of the parties, closing arguments will be held after submission of the proposed Decisions and Orders.

I also note for the parties and the public that from time to time I'll be calling for short breaks. Are there any questions regarding our procedures for today?

MR. MATSUBARA: No questions.

MR. HOPPER: No, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Is there anyone who wishes

to provide public testimony on this matter? I'm sorry Mr. Davidson, you have the list.

MR. DAVIDSON: We have four signups, Chair. First, Michael Lee followed by Nick Harders followed by Hannah Bernard.

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Good morning.

THE WITNESS: Good morning.

MICHAEL LEE,

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: I do.

12.

2.2

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Please state your name, your address and proceed.

THE WITNESS: My name is Michael Kumukauoha Lee. I reside at 91-1200 Keaunui Drive, unit 614, 'Ewa Beach, Hawai'i, 96701. The nature of my testimony is two-fold today, Commissioners. First, I am the kahu or keeper for the iwikupuna Alapa warriors of Kulu'u.

Because of my genealogical background as the sixth great grandson of Kahekilinui'ahumanu, the King of Maui, and the seventh great son — great grandson of Kalaniopu'u, the king of the Big Island, it affords me what we call kuleana, the right to perform ceremonies to take care of spiritually in our

religion our Alapa iwi kupuna which we have done in December 21st of 2011 with a pule kapu -- pule kala ceremony.

12.

2.2

And we also did the ceremony recently March 24, 2012.

My first testimony is to refute A&B's claim that it actually owns this property. The records from the land libers that are certified with the Bureau of Conveyances, and the records of the courts, the records of basically testimonies that are certifiable that are being entered, show that the Board of Education did a lease to F.A. Enders in 1879 for this property.

There is no way that A&B can claim that Mr. Cornwell, after selling to Claus Spreckels, a warranty deed which does not confer ownership under the law, that the Board of Education could have in its inventory this land portion known as the Union School at the time.

In the case of the matter of the boundaries of Pulehu Nui, the Supreme Court of the Kingdom of Hawai'i <u>4 Hawai'i Report 239 October 1879</u> decided that all royal patents were canceled in this matter. And that reverted this property back to the Board of Education.

The Board of Education did a lease to F.A. Enders which clearly states in no ambiguities for certified documents by the Bureau of Conveyances, that this property doesn't belong to Alexander & Baldwin. So they have no control over property that doesn't exist in their inventory based on certified documents that I'm presenting here to you now in my testimony.

12.

2.2

To do anything less would be fraud or perjury. There's no statute of limitations when it comes to Land Court on fraud. There is no vested interest on theft. And clearly this is what it is in written testimonies that have been put down before you in this case.

If this Board decides in favor of A&B, it will be suborning perjury and fraud which you will be liable for under these certified documents that clearly refute A&B's claim that it owns it under a warranty deed which does not confer ownership. That's one point.

The second point. Article XII Section 7 of the State Constitution that provides the highest law of the land, that Hawaiian native cultural practitioners have the right to practice their religion without being destroyed or removed. And part of it is the Alapa warriors that we have at the sand

1 dunes of Kahulu'u, three sections are my lineal family that are buried there. And as three sections of my 3 lineal family, Judge Moon in his Supreme Court 2010 4 decision said that lineal family members have direct 5 standing within cases dealing with development. 6 So this is foundation of standing of 7 eminent with this proceeding particularly for this 8 development complex. 9 I've been recognized in several court 10 proceedings in First Circuit Court as a Native 11 Hawaiian cultural practitioner, and with the Land Use 12. Commission for the Ho'opili project as well. 13 This smacks against the highest law of the 14 land on several points. One, that A&B does not own 15 the property as it claims. And two, this is going to 16 cause imminent harm in foundation of standing to my 17 family that is that buried there. 18 So I highly recommend that this Project be 19 canceled because there will be a court challenge if it 2.0 isn't. Thank you. 21 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Parties, questions? 22 MR. MATSUBARA: No questions. 23 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Commissioners, questions? 24 Commissioner Makua.

Aloha.

COMMISSIONER MAKUA:

25

1	THE WITNESS: Aloha.
2	COMMISSIONER MAKUA: Mr. Yee, do you have
3	the Wai'ale Project?
4	THE WITNESS: I don't have it with me, no.
5	COMMISSIONER MAKUA: If it's okay I wanted
6	to see because I have the maps that you included for
7	us in here.
8	THE WITNESS: Right.
9	COMMISSIONER MAKUA: I just wanted to see
10	if you could more closely show me where these are
11	here.
12	THE WITNESS: Sure. I would ask for
13	orientation for Clare Apana to assist me here. I know
14	I could take you out there and show you where they
15	are. But as far as orientation on the map I would
16	need Clare to assist me on this. Is that okay, Chair?
17	CHAIRMAN LEZY: Sure. Could you take the
18	microphone. (Ms. Apana looking at maps on wall)
19	MS. LOUDERMILK: (Addressing Ms. Apana)
20	Why don't you pull off the first map because
21	underneath that is the Project map.
22	CHAIRMAN LEZY: We just need to make sure
23	that everybody's got a microphone.
24	MS. APANA: This is the large burial
25	preservation. And you see Kamehameha extension

highway coming up. One of the family burials is in 1 2 the Kamehameha extension road and are slated to be 3 moved. 4 The other ones are as you come in from the 5 old dump road, you come up and into the preservation 6 this way. And there's one here, and there's another 7 one up on the high bluff in this area. 8 There're also in preservation area 3 the 9 ali'i burials with niho palaoa that was found. 10 not the sure where those burials are now, but those 11 are royal burials. Those are ali'i burials also. 12. COMMISSIONER MAKUA: I'm kind of looking 13 for where that part is to these where those areas are 14 here on these maps. 15 MR. LEE: It's the original 1849 survey 16 notes for the royal patent of Kuihelani 1996 LCA 420. 17 COMMISSIONER MAKUA: So where does this 18 Project come in here on these original maps? 19 MS. APANA: This is Kuihelani Royal Patent. 20 Is that relevant to what you're looking at? 21 COMMISSIONER MAKUA: I'm wondering where 22 this Project is in where the royal patents are. 23 MS. APANA: You have to look at the older

MR. LEE: This map is bifurcated, actually

24

25

map to put them in there.

trifurcated, in three places. So we have to attach them together. We have to pull them apart and attach them together to show where this outline from here.

12.

2.2

MR. YEE: Chair Lezy, just for the record the map they're referring to is the Office of Planning Exhibit 16.

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Thank you.

MR. LEE: I understand what you're saying as far as where it is. Had we known we could have gotten a cartographer to take those and overlay it over the Project. But the basic claim for this Project that you have there, the Kuihelani royal patent is basically the foundation for the claim for the royal patent for this area. So it was reverted into what was called the Union School Board of Education property.

And this is the ground zero, point zero of this royal patent that is the primary cede source of where this land comes from. But this patent was canceled. All patents were canceled because of poor boundary notes that were done in this — it came to the people of Pulehu Nui that sued Claus Spreckels for encroaching on their patents that they received when the Board of Education put up this land for sale in 1875.

1 In 1879 the court canceled all patents in 2 this area including this warranty deed which really 3 does not confer ownership. So it reverted back. This 4 entire property --5 I think you're MR. DAVIDSON: Excuse me. 6 covering ground you mentioned before so could we go on 7 to the next witness if there are any additional 8 questions. 9 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Before we move on, though, 10 Commissioner Makua did your question get answered? 11 COMMISSIONER MAKUA: So you're saying that 12. the whole entire area is what you're --13 MEMBER LEE: Yes, is what I'm saying, the 14 entire area. Oh, this is the actual stamped with all 15 the stamps from the Bureau of Conveyances that need to 16 be put in evidence as the source document from 17 everything that was passed out is admissible in court 18 as rules of evidence. So I need to have someone of 19 your staff take that. 20 CHAIRMAN LEZY: You can give it to our 21 clerk. 22 Next witness Mr. Harders, MR. DAVIDSON: 23 followed by Hanalei Fergerstrom, followed by Hannah 24

Bernard. Oh, and we are going to try to enforce a

three-minute rule so we can complete our business

25

today. Thank you.

12.

2.2

## NICK HARDERS

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows.

THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Please state your name, your address and proceed.

THE WITNESS: I'm Nick Makaniole Harders,

1422 Luna Place Wailuku — or Waikapu, Hawai'i. I am
the seventh generation of my family born and raised in
Waikapu, a lineal descendant of the iwi kupuna at
kulu'u.

I speak today on their behalf because they are very concerned about their future and the future of their resting place. Where they are today is their cemetery. So I ask why do you think you even have the right to build houses and put a road on my family's graves. Does that are sound right? No. That is a complete disregard for my culture.

Try and look at this from a Hawaiian perspective. Try to understand that they aren't just bones in the sand. They are my 'ohana, my family. And I can't sit on the side anymore watching you guys do what you want, only finding out about these things when you guys put up the black dust fence.

1 Don't underestimate the possibility of 2 Hawaiians making wise choices with their own lands. 3 Ola na iwi. 4 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Wait, just a moment, 5 please. Parties, questions? 6 MR. MATSUBARA: No questions. 7 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Commissioners, questions? 8 Thank you for your testimony. 9 MR. DAVIDSON: Hanalei Fergerstrom followed 10 by Hannah Bernard followed by Lucienne DeNei. 11 THE WITNESS: Good morning, Commissioners. 12. CHAIRMAN LEZY: Good morning. 13 HANALEI FERGERSTROM 14 being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined 15 and testified as follows: 16 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 17 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Please state your name, 18 your address and proceed. 19 THE WITNESS: My name is Hanalei 2.0 Fergerstrom. I'm from the Island of Hawai'i, aumakua I am also a practitioner of the ancient 21 Keawe. 2.2 Hawaiian religion, in specific the temple of Lono. I'm 23 also an ia kupuna Ko'olau He'iau. I've come here 24 because the iwi that's in question, the Alapa 25 warriors, are from my island. They're my people.

They're my ancestors. And some of the testimony you heard this morning of the ali'i burials, they're also my burials.

12.

2.2

I happen to come from both sides of this argument which makes it more fundamentally important that I come forth as a representative of both sides of the family and a representative of the Big Island.

I'm also the spokesperson for Na Kapuna
Moku Keawe which we have all six districts of the
Hawaii Island working together as a team to address
such large matters as our kupuna iwi.

I have worked constantly in the courtrooms with kupuna. I was very involved with the Naoe Project that is, of course, not finished yet, which is littered with fraud, and I can almost certainly say will end up in federal court. But my greatest concern is to make sure that we are on the record being represented, that the Big Island is represented. Those are our bodies from our island.

You know, in looking at this I'm always trying to look at a way to broaden this picture so it's not us against you stuff. It doesn't work that way. It doesn't, doesn't help anybody.

But in looking at this whole area, you know there's -- it's littered with bodies all over the

place. Now, I can't go say, There's one here, one there," because I wasn't there at that time. But there's no, no question that during the exploratory pits that have been dug they found iwi. They found iwi almost every place they've dug.

12.

2.2

Very unfortunately just a week ago we did a ceremony to remove some of the ea over there, it's really sad to see that these iwi have been exposed to the lepo po, the graves have been left open. I mean you've got a tarp. The pit's still open. Tarps are mangled up. You got iwi bodies exposed to the elements. It's, like, what is wrong with this picture? This is certainly not the way any of us would want our iwi to be treated.

So I'm looking at this and I'm going now,
"What is the expense if we continue the way we're
going with development?" What kind of expenses are we
gonna run into as a state if we have to deal with all
these kinda things? And given the, given the vastness
of the area and the amount of known bodies, we're only
talking about the Alapa. But you have to understand
that Hawaiians were very practical when it came to
burials. It was simply easier to put then in sand
than it was to do rock. So you find many layers of
graves there.

But — and I think you've had testimony to this effect: I would certainly suggest to you that there may be a better use for that land that would be more viable for the island of Maui and for the state. And that's to have it as an open space area. And we can really avoid the inadvertent finds or destruction of known burial sites, and create an open space area for the island of Maui.

12.

And so, anyway, in conclusion, I just want to make sure we're on record that Big Island did show up for our people. In doing these ceremonies, these religious ceremonies, I've come across a few people — I can only say it from hearsay because they're not here to speak for themselves — but I understand there has been many problems with, I guess you could say, wandering ohani in this area that apparently Charley Maxwell, who was the kahuna over there — or kahu over there — spent a lot of time dealing with personal families who's having problems with strange spiritual type things happening in their property.

MR. DAVIDSON: Excuse me, sir, about 30 seconds to conclude.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Even some of us today we're going to be addressing some problems that's happening at the school right next to where the dunes

are because they have all kinds of abnormalities going on there. But it's from a religious side and also as a warrior side that I present myself. Thank you very much.

5 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Parties, questions? 6 Commissioners, questions?

COMMISSIONER MAKUA: I just wanted to clarify, you said when you folks went through the alea that you saw iwi.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

11 COMMISSIONER MAKUA: So you saw them
12 exposed.

12 exposed.

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

13 | THE WITNESS: Yes.

14 COMMISSIONER MAKUA: At the project site.

THE WITNESS: Yes. And there's — you can see where the exploratory pits were done. When they dug them they didn't bother covering them. They put a tarp over it and some pallets. I mean it's, like,

19 certainly that can't be correct in nobody's mind.

A year ago when I was here and I first started to become familiar with that it was horrifying. I mean it was, it was so horrifying that anybody in their right mind would think that this was okay to do it in any culture.

COMMISSIONER MAKUA: And this is when?

1 THE WITNESS: This is a week and-a-half 2 ago? A week and-a-half ago. 3 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Any additional questions? 4 Thank you for your testimony, sir. 5 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 6 MR. DAVIDSON: Hannah Bernard followed by 7 Lucienne de Nei followed by Dick Mayer. 8 THE WITNESS: Good morning. Aloha. 9 HANNAH BERNARD 10 being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined 11 and testified as follows: 12. THE WITNESS: I do. CHAIRMAN LEZY: Please state your name, 13 14 your address and proceed. 15 THE WITNESS: My name is Hannah Bernard. 16 My address is P. O. Box 790637, Paia, 96779. Good 17 morning again. I'm the president of the Hawai'i 18 Wildlife Fund, a non-profit based both on here on Maui 19 and the island of Hawai'i. Our mission is to protect 2.0 and perpetuate Hawaii's native wildlife and emphasis 21 on marinelife. 22 I'm speaking to you today because our work 23 in the ocean has led us mauka. Everything we do on 24 land affects the sea, eventually. And in the 25 interviewing 40 kupuna, leaders, elected officials,

fishermen and agencies of Maui in 2003, my colleague
Ann Fielding and I sought to provide this group with
the vehicle to address concerns about the health of
our nearshore waters. One of the most important
problems identified by these groups was the diversion
of the streams, the loss of freshwater from our
streams that doesn't make its way to the ocean like it
used to.

Before the massive diversions this blue-green bridge used to provide millions of tons of biomass of aquatic life in the form of o'opu and 'opae, native fish and shrimp, and there's this and insect larvae to the nearshore waters.

12.

2.2

So in addition, this freshwater will bring nutrients to the area, the limu, seaweed beds. So in participating in a pule limu kala ceremony and learning experience for this land Pu'u One O Kahulu'u, I find myself before you today.

I've read nearly a thousand pages of documents handed you about this proposed Wai'ale development. And I know others have already spoken eloquently about their concerns such as freshwater sources and the still unsettled Na Wai Eha case, wastewater treatment, socio-economic issues, et cetera. So I'm not going to duplicate the testimony

of good folks like Hoku'ao Pellegrino, Lucienne de Naie, Dick Mayer, Clare Apana. But I agree wholeheartedly with what they said before you.

The development should not go forward as planned for many additional reasons I'll give you now. This area is the last remaining dune system that was once a massive one, as you probably know, that extended nearly all the way across the isthmus of Maui. Therefore, it's even more critical —

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Sorry. I need to slow you down.

12 THE WITNESS: Sorry.

13 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Our court reporter's 14 getting crazy.

THE WITNESS: It is written. Does that matter?

CHAIRMAN LEZY: If you want to -- right.

But if you want to sum -- more importantly, if you want to summarize your testimony we have your written testimony in front of us.

THE WITNESS: Right. The dunes system is worthy of protection because of its own intrinsic cultural and ecological value. And I know that even in the Cultural Impact Assessment for Wai'ale it was acknowledged that all the pu'uone or the sand dunes

are culturally significant features, even though this might not be reflected in other expert witness testimony.

12.

2.0

2.2

In addition to being the site of one of the most significance battles of Maui's history, it's the resting place of the iwi kupuna, this habitat is also home to the endangered insect, the very special first insect of dubious distinction to be listed in the ESA, Blackburn's Sphinx Moth, which is also endemic or unique to Hawai'i. In fact it's so unique it's found only on Maui, the Island of Hawai'i and Kaho'olawe. And it had a much wider range at one time. But it evolved here. It's a Hawaiian insect.

So I spoke with the biologist who did the Wai'ale Flora and Fauna Assessment, and confirmed that there are several dozen host plants of probably around 300 where this insect is utilizing this habitat.

And so I'm very concerned with the proposed EIS treatment to look for the moth eggs and they cut down the tree tobacco which is the new host plant for the species if no eggs are found. Not only is this an inadequate treatment, but it doesn't take into account the fact that this moth has an extended period of time in the leaf litter around these trees.

I've confirmed with Fish and Wildlife

Service that they're waiting for the Applicants to submit the required Habitat Conservation Plan for over a year now. They also produce a plan similar to the way Art Medeiros is recovering the Auwai dryland forest including such features as fire suppression, invasive plant removal, and 10-foot tall fencing to exclude access there and an endowment in perpetuity to pay for these features.

12.

MR. DAVIDSON: If you could conclude in about 30 seconds.

THE WITNESS: Okay. So I have documents that I've submitted to you and then this map which comes from the Maui Island Plan which shows that this area, the area of development of Wai'ale, was originally expected to be in open space or park.

And I have another suggestion. And that is to mirror the Papo Haku sand dunes cultural and natural area reserve. And there is a plan that was produced by the State Department of Land and Natural Resources and OHA to manage that area, which is very similar to this one.

And in fact, we have given you a draft, very draft document, that's essentially taking momomi or the Papo Haku Sand Dunes area natural and cultural resource plan and seeding it into the context of this

area so that there is another option. And that this area should be absolutely protected in conservation easement.

12.

2.2

And that's the law. That's the federal law according to the protection for the Blackburn's Sphinx Moth, at least 1/5 acre per 100 acres at minimum for conservation easement should be set aside in this area where the dunes are. And I think that's pretty much — I do have some specific language for the conditions that are in my written testimony that would probably be the best to refer to for supporting the testimony.

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Thank you. Your written testimony and all the materials that you referenced have been made part of the record.

THE WITNESS: Thank you. And then this map — I only have one of this Maui Island Plan map for reference, I'm sorry to say, but it shows the area that I'm talking about.

And in the green, the dark green and the light green, that's proposed park and open space. And I would say recommendation to go even further and make it a very strongly protected conservation easement for both the Blackburn's Sphinx Moth and the cultural resources in that area.

1 CHAIRMAN LEZY: That map is already in as 2 an exhibit. 3 THE WITNESS: Good. 4 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Parties, questions? 5 Commissioners, questions? Thank you for your 6 testimony. 7 Lucienne followed by Dick MR. DAVIDSON: 8 Mayer. 9 THE WITNESS: Good morning. 10 LUCTENNE de NATE 11 being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined 12. and testified as follows: 13 THE WITNESS: I do. 14 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Please state your name, 15 your address and proceed. 16 THE WITNESS: Luciene Denaie, P. O. Box 610 17 Haiku, 96708. I'm testifying today on my own behalf. 18 I want to point out I do have some credentials with 19 this committee. I've been certified as an expert 2.0 witness in front of the Commission in around 2003 on 21 water resource issues based on the research that I've 2.2 done for a 200-page report on Maui's water resources. 23 I'm sorry, I got caught in a traffic jam 24 and didn't have time to print copies to distribute to 25 you folks today. I can give you one copy of my

testimony. I also obviously served on the General Plan Advisory Committee as I've stated before. And I participated in the Wai'ale planning process in 2005.

12.

2.0

2.2

I would like to address some of the statements that have been made during your witness examination.

One. Discussion with the county about the conformance of what is being proposed with the Community Plan. County's fine with it. That's extremely disappointing because people didn't really like Wai'ale that much. The compromise was to put in a protected area in the middle because our vision was a series of small towns in Maui surrounded by agriculture. Kinda hard to figure why Wai'ale would fit into that vision. That's why it needed something to kind of break it up.

It's very disappointing to me that A&B insists on changing history and calling this an extension of Kahului. This is the Waikapu Commons. It's in the ahupua'a of Waikapu.

And Kahului is kind of a figment of our imagination. It was a little railroad town. Now all of a sudden it goes all the way into historic areas that it really doesn't belong in.

So I would just like to point out that it

is possible that these bodies that reviewed this plan and gave its approval, the Maui Island Plan, if they had known that there was no possibility of having a large central area that was protected if there was not going to be 50 percent affordable housing, but instead 25 percent, might have looked at it differently. So please just keep that in mind.

12.

2.0

As to — by the way, that whole 400 acres was offered to the county in 2004. I don't know if you're aware of that. The Wai'ale Project was going to be land further towards Waikapu direction from there. I have a map that shows that A&B was in discussion with the county in 2004.

It appears that A&B might want to get rid of the liability area that had the sand dunes, the burials, et cetera.

As to water issues. You were given a lot of information. I want to supplement your information because I would ask you to put a condition on this Project.

And that condition would be that if it proves that the water supply is not sufficient and available at the time the project district Phase I approval, the size of the Project should be adjusted downward to fit the water supply.

The reason I'm saying this is you've been given a lot of figures about: "Oh, well, it's not really going to use 1.9 million gallons. It's only gonna use 1.1 and the rest would be non-potable and somehow that will be supplied by a different system."

12.

I don't know if anyone did the math, but that means each unit is going to use — and this isn't counting any of the commercial units or the schools but just the housing units — is going to use about 431 gallons a day.

Now, is anyone going to enforce that? And Mr. Nance did not refer in his testimony that the 1.9 million that was in the EIS — and it was portrayed as potable water demand — they had a separate demand for non-potable in the EIS, so it was as little confusing — but that 1.9 million is an average. The peak demand is 2.8 million.

How much of that is actually potable demand? Because your water source must plan for your peak demand, not your average demand, as we all know.

So we are a little fast and loose with some of the numbers here, and we should get it clear especially if the preferred source is going to be the wells in Waikapu. If the demand is going to be upwards of 1.1 million in potable, say closer to 2,

and there's going to be another .9 million needed for the Waikapu Country Town Development --

12.

MR. DAVIDSON: Excuse me, about 30 seconds to conclude.

THE WITNESS: -- you're going to be needing the entire output of the Waikapu aquifer. And this should be mitigated. If you're going to have two projects demand the entire aquifer, it should be mitigated by restoring stream flow in Waikapu Stream, A&B, the other subsidiary, has the ability to do that.

I also just want to point out that they have no permit — they have no water use permit for the Wai'ale Treatment Plant. Mr. Nance was not clear about that. There's two parts to the process. I wish I had more time because I have knowledge that might be useful to you folks, but I can see you're in a hurry to rush towards decision.

So let me just sum up by saying that the water situation there is really less than clear, and A&B was the first to go and testify that the "Show me the water" bill should sunset in two years. And so if you're depending upon that to make sure that the county will have some say over whether there's available water for this Project, probably our friends at A&B will be back in two years and ask that that

bill sunset again. It squeaked through this time, but I think it's a little disingenuous to hold up on one and say, "Oh, this will take care of it," and the other side you're lobbying to get rid of the bill that you say is gonna take care of your water obligations. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Ma'am, I can assure you that nobody on the Commission is in any rush to do anything of the sort you mentioned. How much more time do you think you need to make your point?

THE WITNESS: Probably another minute or two.

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Go ahead.

12.

THE WITNESS: Thank you very much. I would like to point out that the analysis of the wastewater situation has not been fully explained. Every wastewater treatment plant must have injection wells as per Department of Health rules. The reason being even if they do not intend to inject all their water but their intent is to reuse it, when it rains there's no place to send that water. So they must have injection wells as a backup.

So there will be injection wells. So just to make that clear. I don't think it was made clear in the testimony.

The Kahului Airport — the Kahului Aquifer portion of this is discussing that the unlimited potential of this aquifer — and it's not mentioned that it's actually in the underground control area where you're really not encouraged to develop sources of potable water.

12.

2.0

These are areas where there are injection wells. There have been contaminated wells in this aquifer already. The county had a well just adjacent to Wai'ale, the Reynolds well that was closed for contamination.

There are some problems in this aquifer.

It's a hit and miss. So just to look at that, if it doesn't work out with the Atherton Wells that somehow you could go to Kahului aquifer, it's not entirely proveable.

And most people feel that the main output of Kahului aquifer is water that is coming from the leaking reservoirs, from the ditch water, and from — shall I say it, dumping water, putting 14,000 gallons an acre on dry areas per day, which is far more than those acreages would need.

This information was gained during the contested case contest of Nawaieha. It's also interesting that Mr. Nance has told you that there's

- far more ability of the Kahului aquifer to supply
  water, whereas exactly the opposite was referred to by
  A&B during the contested case. They said they had to
  cut down pumping in their Kahului aquifer F wells
  because the capacity just wasn't there.

  They were asked to supplement the water
  - They were asked to supplement the water that might be used for stream restoration with increased pumping on some of their wells that had large capacity like 20 to 40 million gallons a day. But they swore that they just couldn't do that because
- But they swore that they just couldn't do that because the source was diminishing.
- So either it's one way or the other. And I just feel that you should tie this Project to water because there may not be a "show me the water" bill.
- 15 And your condition will allow something viable to
- 16 | happen. Thank you.
- 17 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Parties, questions?
- 18 Commissioners, questions? Thank you for your
- 19 testimony.

7

8

9

- 20 MR. DAVIDSON: Dick Mayer followed by His
- 21 | Highness Kukini.
- 22 DICK MAYER
- 23 being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined
- 24 and testified as follows:
- 25 THE WITNESS: I do.

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Please state your name, your address and proceed.

12.

2.0

2.2

THE WITNESS: My name is Dick Mayer. I live at 1111 Lower Kimo Drive, Kula. At the time of the last meetings there was discussion about what the Maui Island Plan was saying. As you may know I'm the vice chair of the General Plan Advisory Committee, which is still constituted to recommend on the Maui Island Plan.

About the same date that you had that meeting the planning director issued the third — another map. This is the GPAC map. This is the one that had previously been done by the previous director. This is the present one. I'll pass this around after I conclude my testimony and you can see the three versions.

And this in large green area is the buffer that's been talked about on several occasions here, here and here very consistently. And we would like, I think members of the GPAC, and I think the county will also insist, that a park area or preservation area, open space area be preserved between Maui Lani and Wai'ale.

And some of that land encroaches, is on the plan of Wai'ale but not all of it going all the way

across this whole area.

12.

2.2

Second item -- I've six items altogether -- the total number of units. According to the appendix J of the EIS Page 14 it references, when it talks about traffic, that there will be 4,850 other units in nearby large projects: Waikapu Town Center, Maui Lani, the remainder Kealani and Pu'unani.

In addition, Wai'ale itself is requesting 2550. In other words, there will be a total of 7,400 units packed into this area. I'll come back to that number a little later in the testimony. But my main point is I want you to be aware of how many units are really unbuilt in this area or if this Project is approved will be built in this area and the implications for that very large number.

Next item. The reference was made to schools. And there's only an intermediate school being required in this area. Given that very large number of units I would urge the LUC to request from the DOE, as opposed to what the Applicant has said verbally without any documentation, what the plan is for the DOE to provide schools for these 7,400 additional units at all three levels: elementary, intermediate, and high school.

And you should have a plan to locate --

know where those schools are going to be located, when they might be built by the DOE, because A&B is not building any schools, what the acreage needed for these schools may be and the funding that would be possible.

12.

In other words, will there be adequate schooling for the children that are in this area? I think that's very important and maybe put down as a condition in addition to just the intermediate school they're asking for.

Next item. Last meeting I mentioned that A&B has several of the housing projects on Page 55 of your minutes last meeting. And I mentioned four housing projects that A&B has gained some titles for, or complete entitlements for. Commissioner McDonald correctly asked some explanation. And vice president Chun from A&B answered this. But he, I think, did a bait and switch.

When he discussed the projects he mentioned two that I had not mentioned at all and they were commercial projects, saying these two commercial projects are being built.

I mentioned only housing projects. And it's those four housing projects, some of them dating back into the mid '90s, that have not yet been built

by A&B even though they have these entitlements.

12.

2.2

I'm urging you to consider that A&B has not built the housing projects that they so carefully asked the county or the state to get entitlements for.

Last item regarding mitigation measures.

Mr. Chun on Page 74 of the minutes of your last meeting promised that all mitigation measures recommended by the consultants would be built. He was pressed on that by Mr. Yee. And he finally — first said, "We are committed to them," and then finally said, "Yes, we will do them."

I call your special attention to the mitigation measures recommended by the consultants in their traffic study. They have a long list of them on Page 64 and 65 of the minutes from the last meeting. And excuse me, the Page 64, 65 of appendix J, a long list of mitigation measures.

I would ask for a special condition inserted into your final decision, if you approve this Project, that specifies that they have to complete those particular mitigation measures. They've also tried to sort of get around it, I think, by saying, we'll have a subsequent TIAR at a later date that may have other mitigation measures."

I would hope that it would not be a TIAR

that they are doing but the State DOT and/or the county together do the TIAR for these multiple projects, not just this one Project, but all these projects to see what the traffic mitigation needs will be and to hold A&B responsible for this.

12.

2.2

I'm very concerned that the traffic issues have not been properly addressed. And given the 7,400 units put into this area, plus the fact that since you met last time the mayor has announced he intends to have a hundred acre central baseyard for Maui put into this area. He's gone to the public and talked about and urges the county to buy the land for this baseyard.

And also what was not mentioned, was not referred to in the documents, there's a large industrial park that's being put in right in the middle of Wai'ale. If you look at that white space in the middle of the maps here you'll see a white space. That's an industrial park that has not yet been built.

The traffic from that project was not included even in the TIAR. It's a large 35, 40-acre parcel in addition to all the other development right in the middle of Wai'ale. So please add those conditions if you go ahead and approve them. Please look into them. I thank you.

1	CHAIRMAN LEZY: Parties, questions?
2	Commissioners questions? Thank you for your
3	testimony.
4	THE WITNESS: I'll pass this out, the maps
5	are identified.
6	CHAIRMAN LEZY: You can give that to our
7	clerk.
8	THE WITNESS: I will do that.
9	MR. DAVIDSON: His Highness Kukini. The
10	chief clerk has a signup sheet if anybody wants to
11	sign up.
12	THE WITNESS: Aloha.
13	CHAIRMAN LEZY: Good morning. Aloha.
14	HIS HIGHNESS KUKINI
15	being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined
16	and testified as follows:
17	THE WITNESS: So help me God.
18	CHAIRMAN LEZY: Please state your name,
19	your address and proceed.
20	THE WITNESS: His Highness Kukini. No
21	residence. They bus' up my house about five times.
22	That's what they do, yeah? But I'm here, you know, on
23	behalf and I likes what everybody say. They put the
24	burials things around, then they remove 'em, then they
25	continue construction, yeah. That is sad. As we went

on our way to Hana last, maybe two weeks ago, lotta water. This weekend we went out there, no water.

12.

All these businesses, yeah — and my grandfather came visit me 2001 and told me, "Boy, you going start now or what going happen?" I been to a lot of testimonies. All I ask 'nuf is enough digging up our ancestors, or kupunas putting 'em in plastic bags, into boxes, into the containers.

You know we here we work with all. We need respect. No matter what you guys do when you guys come here and just pick up the land, buy the land, sell 'em too. The land, first of all, ain't fo' sale. You know, a lot of you not from here, maybe from other places.

We wouldn't go to your places, dig up, put 'em on the side of the road. We do have respect ovah here. We had a lot of aloha and still have. But as all these corruption continues nobody pilikia about our ohana, our ancestors, our family.

Everybody go down to their genealogy, yeah? We all want family. So what it gonna take to stop all of this? Lotta you come here, buy, destroy and move on. It's time to bring back what belongs to our people. We all can be one big happy family. We can do things right.

I went through a lot of court cases, see how corrupt it is. I ask God every day, yeah, how can we do right when wrong be put upon. Yeah? I thought our system was right, the laws was right. I served 18 years with the military. I try to bring back, to give back to the people, our childrens, our kupuna, whatever left of them, the best time of their life, but everywhere you turn you got destruction going on, people digging up our iwis, no respect.

12.

The federation notes, everybody know where that come from. But it's 'nuf destruction, 'nuf digging up our iwis, our ancestors. I know you guys get heart.

We all got hearts. But when we going start believing it and living it? It's not about bringing all the things here to destroy. Waihe'e Valley, watching this 8-inch pipe of PVC bringing out water. Come on. That was our backyard. It hurts. It does hurt.

Sometime I wish you guys was part of us or come from here to see the truth, to feel the truth. I mean 'nuf is enough, you know. I hope you guys do and make the right decision of all this testimony that you guys heard.

We cannot go, like, you know, four months

1 ago I had these two Germans came over from Germany, 70 years old, 72 years old. They found me at the beach. 3 I took them for a tour of that Garden of Eden. Then I 4 took 'em down to Keanai Landing. Pop said, "Maybe you can help me." I already knew what he was going ask 5 6 me. 7 So I said, "Bring it." 8 He said, "Maybe you can make the rest of my 9 life here." 10 I said, "Pops, I sorry, but right now we're 11 trying to get our lands back to take care our people. 12. But when I get one house you can bring your suitcase 13 and you guys can come over vacation." 14 We could understand. I couldn't go 15 Germany, buy land or dig up places. I can't go Japan 16 or China do the same or Korea. All I ask, do what is 17 right. I respect all of you. Maybe you guys don't 18 know me but I have heart for you guys all and know you 19 guys can do the right thing. That's all we ask. 20 That's all I have to say. Mahalo no. 21 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Parties, questions? Commissioners, questions? Thank you for your 22 23 testimony, sir. 24 THE WITNESS: Mahalo. 25 MR. DAVIDSON: Johanna Kamaunu followed by

1 Kanaloa Kamaunu. Those are the two final signed up witnesses. 3 THE WITNESS: Morning. JOHANNA KAMAUNU 4 5 being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined 6 and testified as follows: 7 THE WITNESS: I do. 8 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Please state your name, 9 your address and proceed. 10 THE WITNESS: Johanna Kamaunu, 222 Waihe'e 11 Valley Road. Kamaunu is K-a-m as in Mary-a-u-n as in 12. Thank you. As I was considering my Nancy-u. 13 testimony today because I'd already given testimony at 14 a previous meeting, I couldn't help reflecting on the 15 conversations and the testimonies that came after us, 16 in particular the report from -- the archaeology 17 report. And I guess there were a couple people that 18 testified as to the community's interest in this. I want to state that I'm a Native Hawaiian. 19 I live in Waihe'e Valley. I intend to be there the 20 21 rest of my life. But I'm being injured. I'm being 2.2 injured by the plans there are coming forth today.

In 2010 Maui County created the Water Use

Our valley is very rich in water. And there's always

a need under water in Maui.

23

24

25

Development Plan. In that plan they languaged it such that kuleana representatives could be acknowledged at the beginning of an application procession. And we were pleased because that was the first time that kuleanas would be brought to the table of the discussion regarding water. That was December 2010.

12.

2.0

2.2

This year Planning Department presented new language. And the language inevitably approved was that a water engineering report would not be required until just before approval. That practically eliminates us from the process of giving recommendations and being consulted on the use of water what would invariably come from our valley.

I tell you I'm being injured today because our auwais are not receiving the amount of water they used to receive, not even half the amount that used to be received. And if this continues we will no longer be able to grow our loi's — grow our kalo. We will not be able to bring our land to the point where it was considered the bread basket of Maui.

I cannot speak for the rest of Maui. But I know that in Waihe'e we have enough land, we have enough water, we have an intact reef that we could feed ourselves. We could if we were left with our resources. But this plan for the Wai'ale Treatment

Plant, for the expansion of the Maui Lani, that will all impact us severely.

12.

Every time an EIS gets completed it is based only on a partial study of the area. The law only requires, I guess, a minimum of 10 percent study. That means there's 90 percent that's not evaluated. How can you base a decision on our future on only 10 percent of the use of land? 10 percent. And it's real easy for me to look at a parcel of land and pinpoint ten areas that's not gonna have any significant impact.

I cannot in good conscience not come forward and tell you how this is going to impact us. That's why I'm here today. I'm sure there's other things I could be talking to you about, but right now it escapes me.

My main concern is that the water is going to be detrimental — the use of water by this Project will be detrimental to us. I had thought at first it would only be detrimental to me and family. But I realized it's the entire ahupua'a of Waihe'e. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Parties, questions?

Commissioners, questions? Thank you for your testimony.

MR. DAVIDSON: Kaneloa. Aloha.

12.

2.2

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Aloha, morning.

## KANELOA KAMAUNU

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows: I do.

6 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Please state your name,
7 your address and proceed.

THE WITNESS: My name is Kaneloa Kamaunu. I come from Waihe'e Valley, 222 Waihe'e Valley, excuse me. And I'm here today basically reiterating the last testimony that I gave in Makena to maybe make more clarification of some of the statements I made.

I understand this is a legal process. I've been sworn in. We have a body of officials here to make decisions on some legal permits permitting these people to be able to participate in the use of their property along with the resources that they feel are theirs.

I feel I would have to look at this in a situation where we have two legal parties here and the public is one of those legal — three, I should say — we have you, we have you and we have the public. But there's another one that's spoke about before this that's not considered. And that is the legality of the kuleanas. The kuleanas are in the law. They're

our laws for us, HRS 7-1. We know this is the gathering laws. We talk HRS 172-11,12.

12.

These reiterate the entitlements of the people who have received Land Commission Awards and Royal Patents. These are not land patents. These are Royal Patents which means that legally we have to look at who we are.

We are separate from the process that is being taken upon here because this process talks about land patents or talks about things that are actually foreign to what I have or my kupuna received. The entitlements are tied to those. We're talking about water. We're talking about right-of-ways. We're talking about use of all resources that was entitled to my kupuna which is entitled to me.

Now, when I look at A&B do they have such entitlements? Their claims is that they have Royal Patent lands, they have lands that they're using. But, yet, do they really tie, as their statement or their claims to the property that they're holding legally and rightfully theirs?

And do they have the same type of entitlements that I have? If not, then they become secondary. Meaning that their claims are inferior to the claims that I have or have been entitled to.

Because when you talk about kuleana you're talking about allodial. When you talk about allodial you're talking about absolute owner. So each parcel that as their Land Commission Award, Royal Patent, is an allodial title which was given to only native tenants or native subjects.

12.

2.2

Others had leaseholds and grants. So their entitlements are limited to the control of the king. Whereas, for kuleana he vested his rights, he gave those rights away to the kuleanas, which means that the kuleana, the person receiving these awards and entitlements, became the absolute owner and he was king of his own lands. Even the King respected that and understood that. Implementation of the pulimas was for the remembrance of the King. He understood his place.

So what we're saying here now, these entitlements for resources do they have a legitimate claims. Is the claim to what they're saying real? This is what has to be investigated first. We're looking at taking steps. We cannot go forward with B if A hasn't been cleared, which is what are the entitlements and how legit are the entitlements.

If the entitlements are not within the land, 'cause you're looking at mine comes from 1746

during the Mahele, where do they follow in? And what type of land tenure do they have? Is it an allodial title? Is it a grant? Is it a lease? Because every one has different entitlements. And some of them don't last forever.

12.

So the question in here is whether or not their standing, or if they do have a standing, is that standing legal and lawful compared to the kuleana which is already verified and is legal and lawful and has a standing.

So now if you're gonna take the time to consider their proposal you have to consider our side. Our side is that we do have entitlements that is by law. It's been shown from the king up to now. There is no break in my entitlement.

So now if you're thinking of giving, permitting them to use such resources, then how do I get compensated? Where is the compensation? The county and state will be compensated by permits. What is the compensation for the true entitlements of a person like me who has kuleana, who is an absolute owner, who is actually the king of my own property?

So what I need last is: If you're taking resources from my area, then I need to know how is that compensation that you will be entitled to because

they have to pay for permits to do things? And where does that entitlement, where does that filter down to me? Or in what area of process of the circle am I placed in?

12.

Because the question of liability, the question of legality and standing come into question. What is the standing of everyone? Is it a leasehold? Is it a grant? Is it an allodial title? We need to clear up all these factors first before a determination can be made.

And if we look at the iwi, if we go to the iwi they also have entitlements. Because in 1860 it refers to the sepulchre law. Any disturbance of such bodies for such people there is a fine for. There is a law.

So what I'm saying is if this is a legal process and this is supposed to be a lawful process, how far is this process going? Are we only going to mitigate certain parts or do we mitigate all things? I'm just trying to be fair. That's what I'm looking at. Where do I fall in? If I don't fall in this process, then this is not a legal and lawful process. Thank you. (Applause)

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Parties, questions Commissioners, questions? Thank you for your

1 testimony, sir. 2 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 3 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Let's move on to admission 4 of the exhibits. (Ms. Apana:) "I would like to 5 testify. I just received my documents." 6 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Step forward, please. Can 7 you give the documents to our clerk. 8 CLARE APANA, being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined 9 10 and testified as follows: 11 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. 12. CHAIRMAN LEZY: Please state your name, 13 your address and proceed. THE WITNESS: Clare Apana, 260 Halenani 14 15 Drive, Wailuku, Maui. 16 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Please go ahead. 17 THE WITNESS: Forgive me. I'm very nervous 18 This is such an important culmination of the 19 kuleana and work that I've done for so many years. 2.0 Forgive me if I am unable to speak clearly. 21 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Take your time. 22 THE WITNESS: Thank you. It seems that in 23 all these years that I've been trying to work with 24 this Project, people choose to see what they want to 25 see and not what is there. And as I have been

standing in protection of the iwi kupuna, in protection of the sand dunes, in protection of Maui and the water resources, it's almost as if I've become an invisible person and actually a person to be minimized.

12.

2.2

From looking at the testimony of the cultural consultants, what is true about that is you do not have an interview of me. You do not have an interview of me that I have given. That cultural impact assessment is incomplete. And it's your duty to make sure that you protect my rights. You have not done enough to find out what are the cultural impacts to me.

I am a cultural practitioner on this land.

I was called to this land. And I would have to say

Kumu Taua, I fear for you. You said you would take on

this mission of dealing with the iwi. And I fear for

you, the deepest respect. They have not chosen you to

be the one to represent them.

In our cultural practice that is very dangerous. I really fear for you. I hope that you will not be asked to do this as part of your job because life is precious and every minute of your life is precious.

I would like to make a comment about sand

mining. There is no sand mining permit in Maui. Yet the sand mining areas, the collection areas of Ameron and HC&S grow daily with sands that are brought from projects that are urban and are grading. And in those sands are often found our bones of our iwi kupuna.

12.

I would like to ask you if you are not able to come to the conclusion that this company does not have any rights to this land, and that I will not be impacted by this development, that you make them strain, sift with fine screen every single part of sand that they are using in grading.

When they grade something it should be finely screened. Because in their own report there's an area where they had a pile of A&B sand where they were grading. And in these piles they found iwi. And out of those piles came 17 sets of iwi: Two niho palaoa, royal fish hooks, and adzes.

Every single piece of sand needs to be finely screened. Let's not make this about getting the sand. If you're really doing a development and you're not looking to make money on the sand, then you will be very conscious to make sure you do not take any of my ancestors' bones out of this Project.

Next, I'd like to say that in the history of Kakanelua, the Battle of Kakanelua, you have

qualified and accepted an as expert Lisa

Rotunno-Hazuka. I have been trying to work with her since 2007. I brought her the history, talked about the battle, talked how in Hawaiian culture yes, families are there.

12.

2.2

There are many instances with queens being taken off of the battle field and saved. There are — (outside noise) Pardon me? — there are so many things that are wrong with your acceptance of her as an expert. I believe she has to or had to have her reports co-signed because she's not eligible to do that.

I have a letter here from 2007 where she's thanking me for bringing in, coming in and talking to her and saying that, "I know about the battle of Sand Hills but I've never heard of the name Kakanelua."

And you took her expert testimony about this battle when someone like Hokuao Pellegrino gives you the mo'olelo with the land area.

He has been studying this as a cultural practitioner and his field of study and an expert. And you would take her testimony and accept it. I would ask you to please rethink that and look at it because that is not expert testimony.

And so I have written just a few things

because I have done very lengthy comments to you. And I actually, after the acceptance of the EIS, filed a lawsuit against this body. And that lawsuit was a half day late in time. And I had no mercy from the judge. Although your attorney failed to give me the motion. But it was okay for him. So you see what I'm up against. It's just me. It's just me.

12.

2.2

If I'm going to protect this area, if you don't do your job in finding out what are the cultural impacts, I'm going to have to sue you. I'm going to have to find money to sue you. I can barely pay for the copying fees. But I will.

I show you this owl feather. (holding up feather) It was found at Kuihelani Avenue right at the property site. This is a Pueo feather. In 2010 I interviewed with the cultural impact assessment Hana Pono. I told them I was hiking in there in the Wai'ale area and I saw a Pueo on the ground. Do you see that in the cultural inventory assessment? Here's the feather. This is a great symbol. The owl is an embodiment of Tutu Pele. There are many places where there were owl heiau. This is an owl area. The lowlands are their habitat.

But as always I am minimized. You don't hear my voice. I'm standing here in front of you. Do

you hear my voice? Was I in that cultural impact assessment? Did you see anybody acknowledge the Pueo? Like the Pueo, you'd rather not see me, rather not hear me.

12.

2.2

But what is in danger is my history, the history of this area, the history of Maui, the history of Hawai'i's significant battle, significant battlefield, cultural sites decimated by sand mining for the greed of sand mining, burial sites, burial areas.

It breaks my heart to see a young man cry because he can't save his own ancestor's burials because you hold all the power. You hold the power. Listen to me. Hear me. I'm standing here before you. I have the cultural practice of this land. And it is in great danger.

Because if you allow this developer to keep going the way it's going without consulting with the proper cultural representatives, we will have Maui Lani again where people have to have their houses blessed regularly; children hear things; the school has the floor coming up like there's a worm going through the cafeteria.

And how long has this been? Twenty years. Same sand dune. Same exact topography. Same M-O:

Let's get our right to build. Let's take the water
and the rights. There are four wells on this property
but let's take the water from Waikapu to go for this
Project. And when we get our right to build we'll
sand mine; take the sand out, hope there are no
burials and if there are let's shove them into a
preservation area. Then let's build. Let's build
houses and schools for the kids.

Do you even realize what you're doing to our island? Do you even realize — would you want to threaten your own children and family like that?

12.

2.2

I live in the sand dunes. And I'm safe, but not everyone's like me. There are many disturbances. And I stand here before because I was called by the ancestors.

And I stand here before you because I want to see Maui developed in a good way. This area you have a model for a preservation. It should be preserved. This is all that's left to show that this great piece of history happened. This is all that's left of these great sand dunes, the largest in all of the islands.

And should we build so that children can have disturbances? Please, do your job, I know you can, and find out what are the cultural impacts. How

does it affect me? How does it affect every one of the people that testified before you and the people who are to come?

12.

2.2

What are the cultural impacts of your decision? And I point to the <u>Ka Pa'akai case vs. Land Use Commission</u>. It outlines in a very nice way how you can do that. Thank you for your patience in allowing me to testify.

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Parties, questions? Commissioners, questions? Commissioner Makua.

COMMISSIONER MAKUA: I'm just curious, and believe me I, I hear you. I believe we all hear you. But you also had some pretty strong comments as far as our kuleana. I'm just curious. At the hearing we had to approve the EIS, you assured us then that if we approved it you would file to be an Intervenor in this docket. Did you?

THE WITNESS: I would have done that. I didn't get a notice that that was going to happen, that the Applicant had filed. I didn't have a notice. I have gotten them from the LUC for other things, for Kula Ridge, for various projects, for Kahoma, but I did not get one for this Project.

I had no idea that they had filed months before they were going to actually come to before you,

1 and before the time period that had elapsed when the EIS could be challenged. My only recourse was to file suit challenging the EIS. You make it very difficult. 3 4 The rules make it very difficult. 5 I thank you for coming to the central part 6 of Maui. That's helped us a lot today. But it's very difficult. I mean I had to come, as your staff knows, 8 to your office to pick up the minutes to be able to 9 view the expert testimony. It's not available on 10 Maui. I have to go to Honolulu to get it. It is not 11 user friendly for the public. 12. CHAIRMAN LEZY: Commissioners, other 13 questions? Thank you for your testimony, Ms. Apana. 14 Let's take a ten minute break. 15 (Recess was held 11:45-12:00) 16 CHAIRMAN LEZY: (Gavel) Morning. 17 PONO KEALOHA 18 being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined 19 and testified as follows: 20 THE WITNESS: I do. 21

21 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Please state your name, 22 your address and proceed.

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: My name a Pono Kealoha. Some of you are familiar with me. My address is the illegally occupied nation of Hawai'i. We've been

ongoing with cultural practice.

12.

2.2

Can I see how many people here in the room have been participating in helping to take care of the iwi and setting up for the iwi in Wai'ale? We come from many different islands. This is how strongly we feel. You see me on O'ahu. This is like another Ho'opili case.

These are resources that belong to us that needs to be cherished that needs to be malama. I can't look at my kid and say I'm doing my kuleana while this is going on. This is a war against us, against the first people's here, and until you guys realize we're not second class citizens wearing a yellow star.

Please have it in your heart. I want to give my child some hope for their future children. Please think about us as living human beings just wanting to be pono with everybody. That's all we ask. Not putting ourselves above everybody, but at least having standing; not being a social triage where we're being systematically cut out of the system where we have no say at all, which is happening. And again this is genocide. Mahalo, aloha.

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Parties, questions?

Commissioners, questions? Thank you for your

- 1 testimony, sir. Let's move on to parties' additional
- 2 exhibits. Mr. Matsubara, do you have any additional
- 3 exhibits you wish to offer?
- 4 MR. MATSUBARA: No further exhibits,
- 5 Mr. Chair.
- 6 CHAIRMAN LEZY: County?
- 7 MR. HOPPER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. We have
- 8 | submitted Exhibit No. 8. We'll have to submit an
- 9 Amended Exhibit List. We also submitted an Amended
- 10 List of Witnesses just to clarify that Dave Taylor and
- 11 Jo-Ann Ridao will not be testifying as experts. They
- 12 | don't have written testimony.
- 13 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Any objections to Exhibit 8
- 14 | from the other parties?
- MR. MATSUBARA: No objections.
- MR. YEE: No objection.
- 17 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Admitted. When do you
- 18 | think you'll be able to submit the Amended Exhibit
- 19 | List and Witness Lists?
- 20 MR. HOPPER: Well, the Amended Witness List
- 21 has been submitted, but we will need to submit the
- 22 Amended Exhibit List. That should be very soon, right
- 23 after the hearing, in fact.
- 24 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Okay. Great. Thank you.
- 25 Office of Planning.

1	MR. YEE: The Office of Planning would like
2	to submit Exhibits 12 through 16, all of which are
3	related to the Department of Transportation's
4	testimony today. We appreciate the parties'
5	understanding in this matter.
6	CHAIRMAN LEZY: Objections?
7	MR. MATSUBARA: None.
8	CHAIRMAN LEZY: County, objection?
9	MR. HOPPER: No.
10	CHAIRMAN LEZY: They're admitted.
11	MR. YEE: Just for the Chair's information
12	we're not changing our Witness List but we will not be
13	calling the Department of Education witness. It will
14	be just the Department of Transportation and the
15	Office of Planning.
16	CHAIRMAN LEZY: Thank you. Mr. Hopper,
17	ready to proceed?
18	MR. HOPPER: Yes. The County will call
19	David Taylor, director of the Department of Water
20	Supply.
21	DAVID TAYLOR,
22	being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined
23	and testified as follows:
24	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
25	CHAIRMAN LEZY: Please state your name and

1 your business address. 2 THE WITNESS: My name is David Taylor. 3 business address is 200 South High Street in Wailuku. DIRECT EXAMINATION 4 5 BY MR. HOPPER: 6 0 Mr. Taylor, what's your current position 7 with the County of Maui? 8 I'm the director of water supply. Α And how long have you been in that 9 10 position? 11 Since January 2011. Α 12. What was your position with the county 0 13 prior to that? 14 Α I was the chief of the County's wastewater 15 and recycled water division. 16 Are you familiar with the Wai'ale Project? 0 Yes, I am. 17 Α 18 Is the developer's estimated water demand 0 19 of 1.87 million gallons a day an adequate estimate? 2.0 It's consistent with our standards. Α 21 Have you had an opportunity to review the 0 proposed water plans for the Project in both the EIS 22 23 and the testimony provided by Mr. Nance? 24 Yes, I have. Α 25 And what's your opinion of the option that Q

1 had been forwarded by Mr. Nance to the Commission? 2 Are you referring to the wells in Waikapu? 3 Yes. Do you believe that that's a feasible 4 option for this Project to provide water? 5 Yes, I believe it's a feasible option. Α Could you explain the requirements of the 6 0 7 Chapter 14.12 of the Maui County Code. 8 Chapter 14.12 of the Maui County Code is 9 technically called the Water Availability Ordinance. 10 It's commonly known as "show me the water." What it 11 basically says in a nutshell is before subdivision is 12. approved there has to be an adequate source of water 13 available. 14 So it's an ordinance that says you can't 15 get past the subdivision approval phase of development 16 without an adequate water source. And it's your understanding for this 17 Q 18 Project that the alternative provided by Mr. Nance is 19 the preferred option to provide water to this Project 2.0 at this stage? 21 That is my understanding. Α 22

Q And the water availability ordinance that you spoke on, or the "show me the water", does that require verification of a long-term reliable source of water prior to the granting of a District Boundary

23

24

25

Amendment?

12.

2.0

A No, it does not.

Q Has your department had discussions with the developers of this Project regarding water for this Project?

A Yes, we have.

Q And how it's going to be provided.

A Yes, we have.

Q Could you give a description of those discussions you've had to date?

A I think the discussions were summarized in a letter from the mayor to the developer, which says that our department is open to the concept of having a developer build the wells as described and dedicate them to the department.

Q Could you give a, if you could, brief understanding? I know there's been a testimony by Mr. Nance on this Project, but a brief description of what you understand to be Mr. Nance's option that he described in the testimony provided?

A There are -- I don't know the exact number -- there are a couple of wells that have been drilled in the Waikapu Aquifer that are owned by a private entity. The Waikapu Aquifer has, by the State Water Commission numbers, a sustainable yield of

3 million gallons a day. So more wells could be drilled on this other party's land.

So my understanding of the concept is that the Applicant in this process would make a deal with the current landowner and well owner to develop those wells, build a water system to serve the Project and eventually turn that over to the county in exchange, probably, for water source credits to serve the Project.

- Q The "other developer" you're referring to that would be Mr. Atherton?
- 12 A That's correct.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

- 13 Q The letter you referred to by the mayor's 14 office, is that the letter in evidence as Exhibit 24, 15 Petitioner's Exhibit 24?
  - A I don't have it in front of me but...
- 17 Q It's a letter dated January 6, 2012 from 18 the Office of the Mayor.
- 19 A That sounds like what the letter is.
- 20 Q And does that letter summarize the 21 current...
- 22 (document handed to witness)
- 23 A Yes, that's the letter I was referring to.
- Q Sorry. And does that letter -- does that letter state the current status of the discussions

between the Department of Water Supply and the Project at this point?

A Yes, it does.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12.

13

15

16

17

18

19

Q Has the county agreed to anything specific regarding the supply of water to this Project?

A No. The county hasn't agreed because any acquisition such as this would have to be approved by the County Council eventually. So we are not in a position to agree on it until there's something formally approved by the County Council.

- Q Aside from the acquisition the developer to this point has not shown access to a reliable long-term source of water at this stage.
- 14 A That's correct.
  - Q Okay. So what would remain to be done in order for them would they need they would need to, based on Mr. Nance's testimony, come to an agreement with Mr. Atherton and secure the rights to use the water discussed in his testimony, correct?
- 20 A Yes, that's correct.
- Q Okay. Do you believe, based on the plans submitted by Mr. Nance, that it would be feasible for the Project to satisfy the requirements of Chapter 14-1.2 of the Maui County Code as you
- 25 described prior to subdivision approval?

1 Α Yes. 2 MR. HOPPER: Thank you. I have no further 3 questions. Petitioner? 4 CHAIRMAN LEZY: 5 MR. TABATA: No questions. 6 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Office of Planning? 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. YEE: 9 Just two brief areas. One of the 10 testifiers talked about a sunset provision on the 11 "show me the water" bill. Currently is there a sunset 12. provision in the "show me the water" ordinance? 13 Α There's not. 14 And then you testified that the Waikapu 15 option is a feasible option to satisfy the county 16 "show me the water" ordinance. And you would agree 17 that Waikapu is certainly feasible from a resource 18 analysis, right? 19 Α That's correct. 20 I was wondering whether you're at a point 21 in the process where you could say that the Waikapu 2.2 wells are feasible from an economic analysis. Or is that part of the criteria that you used for 23 2.4 feasibility? 25 Α I can tell you from our own analysis,

1 because we've looked at acquiring those wells 2 ourselves, so we felt that development of those wells 3 and adding those wells to our system is an option that 4 we are open to if this doesn't happen. 5 So from our standpoint of economic analysis 6 we think it's very feasible economically. And it's --I can't predict the future, but I would say if this 8 Project doesn't go through it would probably move 9 toward the top of our list to develop this Project 10 ourselves at some point. 11 So given that, it would also indicate that Q 12. the operation costs would be acceptable or likely to 13 be acceptable to the County of Maui. 14 They fit in with our current operational costs in that same order of magnitude. 15 16 MR. YEE: Thank you. Nothing further. 17 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Redirect? 18 MR. HOPPER: No, sir. CHAIRMAN LEZY: Commissioners, questions? 19 20 Thank you very much for your testimony. Okay. 21 The County calls Jo-Ann Ridao, MR. HOPPER: 22 Director of the Department of Housing and Human 23 Concerns. 24 XX 25 JO-ANN RIDAO,

1 being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows: 3 THE WITNESS: Yes. 4 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Please state your name, 5 your business address. 6 THE WITNESS: My name is Jo-Ann Ridao. I'm 7 the Director of Housing and Human Concerns for the county of Maui. And my business address is 200 South 8 9 High Street, Wailuku. 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. HOPPER: Ms. Ridao, how long have you been in your 12. 13 position as Director of the Department of Housing and 14 Human Concerns? 15 Α I've been the director for about 15 months 16 now. As David, I started in January of 2011. And what was your position with the county 17 Q 18 prior to that? Prior to that I was the deputy director of 19 2.0 the department. 21 Have you had an opportunity to review the Q 2.2 Wai'ale Project? 23 Α Yes, I have. 24 There was testimony earlier in this 25 proceeding regarding a condition for the Maui Business

1 Park Phase 2 approval for A&B that required the 2 Petitioner to provide lands to the county. And it was 3 discussed as 50 acres to the county for various 4 purposes including affordable housing, parks and a 5 community center. 6 Could you give a brief summary of that requirement and your understanding of that 7 8 requirement? 9 Α Yes. My understanding of that requirement 10 is that A&B will be providing 50 acres of land, 11 40 acres of which will be for affordable housing, 12. 7 acres for a community center and 3 acres for park. 13 And specifically we're discussing a 14 condition for the change in zoning for that Project? 15 Α Yes. 16 And how much land must be dedicated for affordable housing purposes? 17 18 Forty acres. Α 19 Okay. And what does that Project require 20 regarding the location of the land for affordable 21 housing? 2.2 I wanted to read those conditions because Α 23 they are pretty specific. 24 Certainly. Q

The CIZ, the change in zoning condition,

25

Α

states that "The property must be at the approximate location of the terminus of Kamehameha Avenue near the new Maui Lani Park and Pohmaika'i Elementary School."

12.

2.2

It also states that, "The precise location of these lands shall be acceptable to the Department of Housing and Human Concerns and the Department of Parks and Recreation and that Alexander & Baldwin shall perform archaeological and topographical surveys of the land for the county's evaluation of the property's acceptability."

Q The Petitioner has estimated that the county could construct approximately 300 units on the 40 acres that it must provide regarding the affordable housing land. Does this sound like a reasonable estimate to you?

A That does sound like a reasonable estimate to me. Our department uses approximately six to eight units per acre. So that is an estimate that would be reasonable to us.

Q Have you discussed the affordable housing land requirement based on this condition with the Petitioner?

A Yes, we have.

Q And in order to accept any land the Maui County Council must accept dedication, correct?

A That is correct.

Q And based on the condition your department would also have to approve of the location?

A That is correct.

Q You would also make a recommendation to the council on its acceptance of the land, correct?

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q Has your department committed to accepting land in any particular location in satisfaction of the condition for this Project?

A We have not accepted any land location at this time.

Q And you've had the opportunity, though, to see the conceptual site plan provided by the Petitioner in this docket, correct?

A Yes, we have.

Q Could you give a brief summary of your opinion on the proposed location in the conceptual plan.

A Yes. I need to state that this has not been the first location that we looked at. We've talked about this for a couple of times. And primarily the location currently is something that I think the county would like to have more community input on.

1 We'd probably like to do some research and 2 study on if there are any laws or regulations that 3 will affect the site relative to the old dump site 4 that is close by. 5 So, again, to reiterate you have not --Q 6 your department has not committed to this particular site --8 Α No. 9 Q -- as the affordable housing site. 10 We have not. Α 11 And you would want to do additional Q 12. community input and research on this area as well 13 before coming to a conclusion on this site? 14 Yes, before we would make any 15 recommendation, yes. 16 In fact they would need to -- A&B would 0 17 need to go through project district zoning and various 18 other entitlements to deal with the specific location, 19 correct? 2.0 Correct. А 21 Are you familiar with Chapter 2.96 of the Q 22 Maui County Code regarding affordable housing? 23 Α Yes, I am. 24 Could you give a summary what that law 25 requires in general?

A In general for this Project the law would require that any units that are built over — I should say any units that are built are subject to the law. If the units, market units, are over \$600,000, then the developer would have to give back 25 percent. If the units, market units, are \$600,000 and above, then they would have to provide 50 percent in affordable.

- Q Basically that means 25 percent of the Project.
  - A The total Project count.
- 11 Q Right.
- 12 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

- 13 Q Now, A&B in their submittals to the
  14 Commission stated that just to reiterate on the
  15 law, though, an affordable housing agreement is
  16 finalized with the department that outlines based on
  17 the law specifically how they're going to satisfy
  18 their affordable housing requirement, correct?
- 19 A That is correct.
- 20 Q And that's done prior to subdivision?
- 21 A That is correct.
- 22 Q So there's no such agreement in place 23 currently, correct?
- 24 A There is no agreement.
- Q While that's not required, could you give

1 an estimate based on this Project's design on how much, how many affordable units will need to be 3 provided? 4 Α It is my understanding that the 5 estimated number of units for this Project is 2250. 6 0 This is -- this is not counting the 300 7 units that the county would be providing on 40 acres 8 though, correct? 9 Α Those would be additional, correct. 10 So a 2550 units without that, the 300 0 11 provided by the county, correct? 12. Correct. So based on that number A&B's Α 13 requirement would be 563 affordable units. 14 That's based on 25 percent of the 2,250 15 total units? 16 Α Correct. 17 And then to reiterate, so this is not an 18 issue of double counting where the county affordable 19 units are also, again, count for the business park are 20 not again being counted as affordable units for this 21 Project, correct? 22

Α That is correct.

23

24

25

MR. HOPPER: Thank you. I have no further questions.

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Petitioner?

MR. TABATA: No questions. 1 2 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Mr. Yee? 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. YEE: 4 5 Currently do you know what, what current 6 requirement or determination of affordability is for the AMI? The affordability I believe for a family of 8 Α 9 4 AMI is 70,000, yeah, for a family of four. 10 MR. YEE: Thank you. 11 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Redirect? 12. MR. HOPPER: No. 13 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Commissioners, questions? 14 Commissioner Makua. 15 COMMISSIONER MAKUA: What kind of stuck out 16 to me when I first saw the Petition Area when we went 17 to our site visit, is how the county housing areas are 18 pretty much in the dunes. I'm wondering how you folks feel about that and how that's going to weigh into 19 2.0 your decision. 21 THE WITNESS: I think that is a very good 22 question. For the department we don't have any 23 objection to the location. My concerns, as I stated 24 earlier, are the location as it's in respect to where 25 it's located next to the sand dune -- I mean --

COMMISSIONER MAKUA: The dump.

THE WITNESS: The old dump, yeah. And that I think I would like to get more information on as far as if there any rules or regulations as far as having housing in that proximity.

As far as the, site for the burials they're calling it, ah, what are they calling it?

COMMISSIONER MAKUA: They're calling -- I

see a little portion, well, a portion is for the cultural preserve.

11 THE WITNESS: Yeah.

12 COMMISSIONER MAKUA: But I know on the

13 | side --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

14 THE WITNESS: Cultural preserve --

15 COMMISSIONER MAKUA: -- on the sides is

16 | county housing.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. And this is only a personal, you know, feeling. It's not a feeling of the department. I personally don't have a problem with that because my Hawaiian family on the Big Island, our house is right next to our burials.

So it's not — actually I see it as a very peaceful environment. I see it as a protection environment. So it's my way of thinking. It's not necessarily the county's perspective.

1 COMMISSIONER MAKUA: So you don't see a 2 difference from you being able to care for your own 3 kupuna. 4 THE WITNESS: Yes. 5 COMMISSIONER MAKUA: You don't see that being different from strangers being on other people's 6 7 kupuna. 8 THE WITNESS: Well, you know, I kinda see 9 this as a responsibility of our community. And the 10 kupuna there are our community's kupuna. I think 11 maybe I look at it as a different way. I don't see it 12. as like a personal. I see it like that that's our 13 community's responsibility to take care of our kupuna. 14 And I hope that the people would be able to live in 15 this community will be proud to live there be able to 16 take care of that. 17 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Commissioners, any other 18 questions? Thank you for your testimony. 19 MR. HOPPER: The County has no more 20 witnesses, Mr. Chair. 21 CHAIRMAN LEZY: So, Mr. Hopper, you're 22 resting. 23 MR. HOPPER: Yes, that's correct. 24 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Office of Planning? 25 MR. YEE: First witness will be Jadine

- 1 Urasaki Deputy Director of the Department of
- 2 Transportation. For the Commission's information on
- 3 | the wall are enlarged maps, the site map with the red
- 4 lettering is OP Exhibit 16. The map which would be
- 5 rather hard for some of you to view because it's
- 6 directly behind you, is a 5-mile radius map which is
- 7 OP Exhibit 15.
- 8 JADINE URASAKI
- 9 being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined
- 10 and testified as follows:
- 11 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 12 CHAIRMAN LEZY: State your name, your
- 13 business address.
- 14 THE WITNESS: My name is Jadine Urasaki.
- 15 | I'm the deputy director for the Department of
- 16 Transportation. Our business address is 869 Punchbowl
- 17 | Street, suite 511 Honolulu, Hawai'i 86813.
- 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 19 BY MR. YEE:
- 20 Ms. Urasaki, what is your current position
- 21 | with the state?
- 22 A Deputy director for the Department of
- 23 Transportation.
- 24 Q And was the DOT's written testimony, OP
- 25 Exhibit 12, prepared by you or at your direction?

A Yes, that is correct.

12.

2.2

Q Could you please summarize for the Commission your testimony in this case?

A Sure. So Exhibit 12 that you should have, as Bryan had indicated, basically the State Department of Transportation recognizes the need for transportation system or state transportation system that supports the planned growth, which is consistent with and will accommodate the objectives of the state and the county of Maui.

The proposed development abuts the state principal arterial, Kuihelani Highway, and is also in the proximity of the state principal arterial Honoapi'ilani Highway and our Kahalu'i Airport.

The DOT provides some of our concerns to the Project as related to some of the impacts to our transportation system. There are two modes of transportation associated with this subject Petition that are of concern to the DOT.

One is to our airports and the other is to our highways. We feel these effects need to be addressed through these land use conditions. The DOT is working with and through the State Office of Planning regarding the specific language for these conditions as well as the Petitioner.

Our concerns, just to summarize, with respect to our airports, Kahului Airport as you see on Exhibit 15, again, I know it's hard. But basically we are concerned that due to the proximity — I take it back. That will be for the second part. That can be related just to note where the location of the airport runway is.

12.

2.2

But we are concerned that due to the proximity of the Petition Area to the airport, again, we have flight operations in, at and out of the airport that may affect the Petition Area.

In order to address this concern the DOT feels that the Petitioner and any subsequent owners should be notified and formally disclose to all prospective buyers, developers and lessees of the real property in the Petition Area, that there is the potential for aircraft noise emissions, vibrations and other effects from the overflight of aircraft and other incidences of aircraft operations.

Second. And, again I guess going back to the exhibit. The Wai'ale development will require the construction of a new stormwater drainage system consisting of open swales, storm drains, detention as well as retention basins, and the possibility for an onsite wastewater treatment plant.

We are concerned that these improvements will have the potential to attract hazardous wildlife and threaten aviation safety. The Federal Aviation Administration or the FAA, has an advisory circular 150-200-33B, Hazardous Wildlife Attractants on or Near Airports, and recommends a distance of 5 statute miles, which is shown on the exhibit. The circular red area that shows within the perimeter the development itself.

12.

2.2

And basically we're saying that because of this they need to address how they're going to mitigate to ensure that the design, engineering, construction and operation of this development will not create any aboveground standing water.

To that regard we will work with the Petitioner to execute a Memorandum of Agreement to ensure that the FAA advisory circular conditions are met and that the new Project does not create a safety hazard to our aircraft operations.

The other division, which is our Highways Division, we note several concerns. Basically I'll summarize it. The revised Traffic Impact Analysis Report will have to be reviewed and accepted by the D&O prior to approval of a change in zone from the county of Maui.

The Traffic Impact Analysis Report should provide and validate all recommended mitigation measures for potential Project-related traffic impacts on state facilities to the satisfaction of the department.

12.

2.0

2.2

On this Exhibit 16 here shows some of the accesses. On there we will limit the access to road C. It's further to the right of the exhibit. I think it's highlighted in green on your exhibit package as road C. And the existing intersection with East Waiko Road as they have identified in their Traffic Impact Assessment Report.

Other accesses are also shown in your exhibit, Road E access will not be allowed onto Kuihelani Highway. The third access as shown on there, which further to the left, again we would look at as soon as that agricultural access that's currently being used and when the land use changes we will shut that access off and allow them the access on road F.

Should note that the Petitioner has indicated that they will provide for North/South Road connectivity by establishing a road and right-of-way stubouts to extend the Kamehameha Avenue to the south boundary of Wai'ale.

They will fund and provide for the planning and design and construction of all traffic improvements required to mitigate the local and direct project-generated and our related traffic impacts and also our regional impacts.

12.

2.2

Basically anything to do in mitigating the traffic issues and concerns of the department, we recommend the Petitioner address.

Additionally, noise traffic levels that currently exceeds the DOT's limits of the 66 decibel noise levels along Kuihelani Highway should also be addressed by the Petitioner with noise compatible planning and sound abatement measures for the exterior of such sensitive receptors as residences, parks, hospitals and schools to comply with our standards for the exterior of the Project sensitivity.

Similar to our airports we will work with the Petitioner on a Memorandum of Understanding or Memorandum of Agreement that would document the conditions and agree upon in terms of the phasing and the Traffic Impact Assessment Reports that would have to be provided.

Q Just a couple follow-ups. You referred to the noise provision. Is that mitigation pursuant to a written policy quideline that the Department of

Transportation has adopted?

12.

2.0

A Yes. That is correct. The Department of Transportation as well as with the Federal Highways Administration has adopted our noise policy and abatement guideline April 25, 2011. And that was also provided to the Petitioner.

Q And then because we haven't specifically addressed this issue in prior cases, is there a reason why you want a Memorandum of Agreement for your employees to use rather than carrying around a copy of the decision and order in this case?

A Yes. I think one of the changes is more on the Airport side. I think for Highways we've always had a Memorandum of Agreement, so that it was very clear as to the impacts.

The Airports Division similarly, I mean our staff, our operation staff out here they shouldn't be having to carry and looking for the large files. And so having that Memorandum of Agreement also makes it easier for them to make sure that there's compliance and it's happening from the development.

Also it can allow us to ensure that the conditions are being met and also with discussions with our partners at the Federal Aviation

Administration.

Q And, finally, just to conclude, you've expressed some of the concerns and analyses you've applied, but do you believe that these concerns can be resolved through appropriate conditions?

A Yes.

12.

2.0

MR. YEE: I have nothing further.

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Petitioner?

CROSS-EXAMINATION

## BY MR. MATSUBARA:

Q Just a few questions. Ms. Urasaki, the thorough listing you have of the concerns the Airports in Hawai'i relates to topics that DOT intends for the Office of Planning to continue to discuss with the Petitioner in arriving at a Memorandum of Understanding which both parties will agree.

A I think just to separate that. The DOT has extensive amount of concerns as shown in Exhibit 12.

And that we're working with the Office of Planning to put in those conditions as part of the Decision and Order.

That being said, there are provisions, concerns both related to Airports and Highways that have a Memorandum of Agreement or — Memorandum of — yeah, Memorandum of Agreement that we would work in concert with the Petitioner, with you folks to ensure

that all of those concerns are captured. Like I said, our staff has been meeting with the Petitioner on all of these issues and concerns.

Q So the continued discussions relate both to the ultimate conditions that you will ask the Commission to include in the decision and order.

A Yes.

12.

2.2

Q And also a Memorandum of Agreement which after discussions will be executed between the parties?

A Yes, that's usually our standard practice.

Q Thank you. Under paragraph 9 under the Highways Division, that relates to "Any significant changes in Project phasing and development shall require a revised TIAR.

And any additional mitigation required as a result of these changes to be provided in the updated TIAR which should be submitted to the DOT for review and approval."

That provision basically states a right that DOT always has, is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q Whenever there's a change in conditions in order to ensure that any plans or permits that are requested are designed to mitigate any traffic

concerns you have, you can request a TIAR.

A That is correct.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 3 Q Or a revision to an earlier submitted TIAR.
  - A Yeah, a supplemental TIAR.
  - Q And until you provide acceptance or approval of that revision or TIAR, Petitioner is not able to proceed with Project development if he hasn't received your approval.
    - A That is correct.
  - Q Is there some logic to having the final revised TIAR close in time to the beginning of construction?
  - A Yes. I think one of our conditions was at the approval process. That we're saying versus a submittal process is that there would be no change. That's pretty much definitive as to how you're going to be proceeding with the Project. So we're basically going off something that is more finite.
  - Q Finite like, for example, would subdivision approval --
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q be one of those processes?
- 23 A That is correct.
- Q It also would make sense that upon approval of the TIAR or the final revisions that are requested,

1 that at that point it makes sense to finalize the MOA because that way you'd be assured that what was 3 provided to you in the TIAR or revised TIAR is 4 incorporated in that MOA. 5 Α That's correct. 6 MR. MATSUBARA: Thank you very much. No 7 further questions. 8 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Mr. Hopper? 9 MR. HOPPER: No questions. 10 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Redirect, Mr. Yee? 11 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 12. BY MR. YEE: 13 Are you familiar that in the county land 14 use process there's both a zoning approval and a 15 subdivision approval typically? 16 Α Yes. With respect to the zoning type approval 17 18 would you be looking for the acceptance of -- is that 19 when the acceptance of the TIAR --2.0 TIAR, yes, that is correct. Α 21 But you mentioned the subdivision approval. Q 22 That would be for the Memorandum of Α Understanding. 23 24 Thank you. Nothing further. Q 25 THE WITNESS: I mean "Agreement". Yeah.

1 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Commissioners, questions? 2 Commissioner McDonald. 3 COMMISSIONER McDONALD: Hi, Ms. Urasaki. 4 Thank you for your testimony. Just a quick question. 5 I believe there's some discussion with regards to the 6 runway extension at Kahului Airport. 7 If that goes through are there any 8 additional concerns that could be raised by Airports 9 Division with regards to that runway extension? 10 THE WITNESS: If that runway extension --11 right now I think that's very preliminary, premature 12. for me to comment on that because it has not even gone 13 through any environmental vetting out. 14 So I kinda would not like to preempt us on 15 committing to anything until an EIS or environmental 16 document is done to address whether or not there would 17 be an impact or not. 18 COMMISSIONER McDONALD: Fair enough. Thank 19 you. 20 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Commissioners, any other 21 questions? Thank you for your testimony. 2.2 MR. YEE: Our next witness is -- and 23 actually if I could pause for a moment. I apologize 24 because I forgot about this. Could I have Ms. Urasaki

qualified as an expert in the field of transportation?

25

1	CHAIRMAN LEZY: Yes.
2	MR. YEE: Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN LEZY: Just for you. (Laughter).
4	MR. YEE: Thank you.
5	MR. MATSUBARA: No objections.
6	MR. YEE: We have previously submitted her
7	resumé to the Commission.
8	CHAIRMAN LEZY: Just so the record's clear
9	there were no objections, I assume.
10	MR. MATSUBARA: No objections.
11	CHAIRMAN LEZY: Morning.
12	RODNEY FUNAKOSHI
13	being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined
14	and testified as follows:
15	THE WITNESS: Yes.
16	CHAIRMAN LEZY: Please state your name and
17	business address.
18	THE WITNESS: Rodney Funakoshi. Office of
19	Planning, 235 South Beretania Street, Honolulu.
20	MR. YEE: We are not seeking to have Mr
21	even though he may be an expert we're not seeking his
22	qualification in this case.
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. YEE:
25	Q Mr. Funakoshi, what's your current position

or title?

12.

A I'm the Planning Program Administrator with the Land Use Division, Office of Planning, Department of Business, Economic Development.

Q And was OP's written testimony, OP Exhibit 2, prepared by you or at your direction?

A Yes.

Q Could you please summarize the testimony and position of the Office of Planning in this case?

A Okay. Thank you. Overall the Office of Planning supports and recommends approval of the Petition subject to conditions of approval. And summarily the Project Area is consistent with standards for the Urban District. It is proximate to areas of trading and employment.

It is not consistent with the current Community Plan for the Wailuku/Kahului region which its current designation is Agricultural.

However, the Project is within the proposed Urban Growth Boundary on the Draft Maui Island Plan undergoing review by the Maui County Council.

Basic public services are available or will be provided by the Petitioner. Water availability is an outstanding issue. There's satisfactory topography and site drainage. It is

adjacent to Urban District lands.

12.

2.2

The Petition is generally consistent with the Hawaii State Plan, in particular the promotion of economic opportunities, sustainability and affordable housing. It complements the Administration's priorities in the New Day Comprehensive Plan relative to workforce housing, jobs and economic opportunities and infrastructure improvements.

I will be going over the areas of state concern. Agricultural resources: Most of the Petition Area is rated E by the Land Study Bureau except for a small C rated portion along the northern boundary.

There are no Prime Agricultural Lands under the ALISH classification system. Petitioner intends to work with current lessees to relocate operations.

We do have a recommended condition relative to agriculture. These are fairly standard: That there not be interference with or restraint to the existing farming operations. And that purchasers be informed of the State's Right to Farm Act.

Water resources is a continuing concern. Source of potable water remains an unresolved issue that Petitioner should resolve as soon as possible given the Project size and scope.

Briefly, the 'Iao Aquifer is surface water management area in the Na Wai Eha area.

12.

2.2

There is a proposed Wai'ale water treatment facility that has been proposed along with the Department of Water Supply with a capacity of 9 mgd. However, water use applications exceed available supply for the surface water source. And there's also a pending appeal of the Na Wai Eha decision.

Relative to the Kahului Aquifer there's only a 1 mgd sustainable yield. There is already substantial withdrawals, although most of these are for non-potable sources.

Potable withdrawals, given the Project's anticipated demand would approach the sustainable yield. Waikapu Aquifer, with a 3 mgd sustainable yield, appears to be very feasible except that the source is it not owned — lands of the source are not owned by the Petitioner and an agreement with the landowner would be needed.

The Office of Planning is not recommending a condition, but the Petitioner could apprize the Commission how water supply needs will be met, at the earliest practical time. The County's water availability policy should help to ensure its availability prior to the development.

Relative to wastewater. An onsite wastewater treatment facility would be subject to the Department of Health wastewater rules. Injection wells are prohibited in the critical wastewater disposal area.

12.

2.0

2.2

Further, the Department of Transportation's Airport concerns for avian impacts will also need to be addressed. We are, will be incorporating a condition for mitigating airport wildlife attractants. And in particular this is directed to any open or standing water areas.

Endangered species. The endangered Blackburn's Sphinx Month and tobacco tree habitat have been identified in surveys of the area. And what we are recommending is to encourage formal consultation with the Division of Forestry and Wildlife and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to develop a mitigation plan to avoid impacts to endangered species. This may include the need for a habitat conservation and incidental take, license and permit.

Archaeology. Archaeological Inventory

Survey has been accepted by the State Historic

Preservation Division. A preservation and data
recovery plan including burials, has also been
accepted by the State Historic Preservation Division.

A cultural preserve has been established to preserve burial features within five areas totaling 30 acres. Our recommended condition would essentially be to comply with the State Historic Preservation Division's recommendations and that there be continuous monitoring during site excavation activities and the standard stop work provision if previously unidentified burials or historic sites are found.

12.

2.0

2.2

Transportation. We concur with the Department of Transportation recommendations for Highways and Airports mitigation. Summarily we will not be reiterating all the detailed concerns of the Department of Transportation in the conditions themselves. But what we will be requiring is that there be an acceptable Traffic Impact Analysis Report prior to zone change approval by the County Council.

We would also want a Memorandum of
Agreement executed prior to final subdivision approval
that includes the Petitioner's responsibilities for
funding and construction, schedule of improvements and
TIAR updates phased proportional to any indirect or
secondary impacts caused by the Project.

And to address the DOT's concerns also we'll be including a condition to attenuate highway

noise above 66 dBA for noise sensitive uses such as homes and schools proposed along Kuihelani Highway.

12.

2.0

2.2

We'll also be incorporating an Airport's notification and disclosure condition to future developers and buyers of potential impacts such as noise and overflights from Kahului Airport.

On Civil Defense. There's a need to install two sirens in locations and timeframes to be determined by the State Civil Defense agency.

Schools. For the planned middle school on the site, an education agreement with the Department of Education needs to be executed prior to zone change approval. So we will be including a condition to that effect.

Solid waste. Per the Department of Health's recommendation a 300-foot buffer needs to be provided from the landfill boundary.

For stormwater management and drainage condition would be to implement Best Management Practices and low-impact development practices, to the extent feasible, for onsite stormwater recapture and reuse in site design and landscaping to control water quality and mitigating non-point sources of pollution.

Sustainability. We encourage the Petitioner to comply with its sustainability plan.

1	And affordable housing. Similarly need to
2	comply with the county's affordable housing
3	requirements.
4	And then, finally, infrastructure. Our
5	condition would remain that we would recommend
6	completing the backbone infrastructure for the Project
7	within ten years from approval. That concludes my
8	testimony.
9	MR. YEE: I have no further questions.
10	CHAIRMAN LEZY: Petitioner?
11	MR. MATSUBARA: Thank you, Mr. Funakoshi.
12	No questions.
13	CHAIRMAN LEZY: County?
14	MR. HOPPER: No questions.
15	CHAIRMAN LEZY: Redirect?
16	MR. YEE: No.
17	CHAIRMAN LEZY: Commissioners, questions?
18	I have to ask. No. Thank you for your testimony.
19	THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
20	MR. YEE: That concludes the Office of
21	Planning's case in this matter. We will rest.
22	CHAIRMAN LEZY: Thank you. Mr. Matsubara,
23	rebuttal?
24	MR. MATSUBARA: No rebuttal.
25	CHAIRMAN LEZY: Petitioner rests its case?

MR. MATSUBARA: Petitioner rests.

12.

2.0

2.2

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Given that the parties have completed presentation and have rested their cases, the evidentiary portion of this proceeding is deemed closed. I direct that the parties draft their individual proposed findings of fact, conclusions of law and decision and orders based upon the record in this docket and to serve the same upon the other parties and the Commission.

I remind the parties that the Commission has standard conditions which the Commission asks that the parties consider in preparing their proposed orders. A copy of the standard conditions is available from Commission staff.

To the extent feasible the parties are encouraged to stipulate to any portion or all findings of fact, conclusions of law and decision and order. Regardless of whether the parties pursue a partial or fully stipulated order, I direct that each party file its proposed submission with the Commission and serve copies on the other parties no later than close of business on April 25, 2012.

All comments and objections to the proposed orders shall be filed with the Commission and served upon the other parties no later than close of business

on May 2, 2012.

12.

2.0

2.2

Any responses to the objections shall be filed with the Commission and served on the other parties no later than close of business on May 7, 2012.

I ask that the parties consult with Commission staff early in this process to ensure that technical and non-substantive formatting protocols are adhered to.

Oral arguments will be scheduled after receipt of the parties' respective submissions. Are there any questions regarding our post-hearing procedures?

MR. MATSUBARA: No questions.

MR. YEE: Chair?

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Mr. Yee.

MR. YEE: Pursuant to HAR 15-15-34 with respect to waiver, the Office of Planning would respectfully ask that we be allowed to not file a decision and order in this case. We would certainly work with the Petitioner on any stipulations and that we be allowed to only file objections or responses.

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Petitioner, objections?

MR. MATSUBARA: No objection.

CHAIRMAN LEZY: County, objections?

1 MR. HOPPER: No. The County would ask for 2 the same. 3 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Just to make sure, and I 4 will say the Chair is inclined to allow it, but just 5 to make sure we have a proper record can you articulate a good cause for the requested waiver. 6 7 MR. YEE: Happy to. First, the parties I 8 think are, one, very close to an agreement on the D&O. 9 So it is unnecessary, we believe, to submit different 10 pieces of documents for your review. 11 We are certainly in agreement of the 12. So any disagreement will be limited to outcome. 13 probably particular language or wording of specific sections of the D&O. Consequently, because we are not 14 15 in dispute there is no need to submit D&O's from each 16 It would be most efficient for all concerned to side. 17 deal with one document in your decision-making. 18 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Mr. Hopper, I assume you

join in that explanation?

MR. HOPPER: Yes, Mr. Chair.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Commissioners, any concerns? Hearing none, I find there's good cause for suspension of the rule on that point.

Before we adjourn I'd like to thank all the folks who provided public testimony here today.

very helpful to the Commission. We appreciate your taking the time to come and speak with us. Parties, thank you also for presenting your cases in an efficient manner and for the help you provided to the Commission in understanding this case. With that we stand adjourned. MR. MATSUBARA: Thank you. MR. YEE: Thank you. (The proceedings were adjourned at 12:55 p.m.) --000000--

## 

## C E R T I F I C A T E

I, HOLLY HACKETT, CSR, RPR, in and for the State of Hawai'i, do hereby certify;

That I was acting as court reporter in the foregoing LUC matter on the 4th day of April 2012;

That the proceedings were taken down in computerized machine shorthand by me and were thereafter reduced to print by me;

That the foregoing represents, to the best of my ability, a true and correct transcript of the proceedings had in the foregoing matter.

DATED: This\_\_\_\_\_ day of\_\_\_\_\_\_\_2012

HOLLY M. HACKETT, HI CSR #130, RPR Certified Shorthand Reporter