1	
2	LAND USE COMMISSION
3	STATE OF HAWAI'I
4	HEARING AND ACTION PAGE
5	A10-789 A&B Wai'ale) 5
6	A12-795 West Maui Land Co. Inc. Kahoma) 33
7	/
8	
9	
10	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
11	
12	The above-entitled matters came on for a Public
13	Hearing/Action Meeting at Maui Cultural & Arts Center,
14	Kahului, Maui, Hawai'i, commencing at 10:20 a.m. on
15	Wednesday, June 6, 2012, pursuant to Notice.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	REPORTED BY: HOLLY M. HACKETT, CSR #130, RPR
21	Certified Shorthand Reporter
22	
23	
24	
25	

			۷
1	APPEAR.	ANCES	
2	COMMISSIONERS:		
3	KYLE CHOCK THOMAS CONTRADES		
4	RONALD HELLER LISA M. JUDGE		
5	NORMAND LEZY (Chairman) CHAD McDONALD		
6	JAYE NAPUA MAKUA NICHOLAS TEVES, JR.		
7			
8	INTERIM EXECUTIVE OFFICER: BE	ERT SARUWATARI	
9	CHIEF CLERK: RILEY HAKODA STAFF PLANNER: SCOTT DERRICKS		
10	ALSO PRESENT: EXECUTIVE OFFIC	CER: DAN ORODENKER	
11	DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL: SARA	AH HIRAKAMI, ESQ.	
12	AUDIO TECHNICIAN: WALTER ME	ENCHING	
13			
14	Docket No. A10-789 A&B Proper	cties, Wai'ale	
15			
16		ENJAMIN MATSUBARA, ESQ.	
17		JRTIS TABATA, ESQ.	
18	For the County: MI	ICHAEL HOPPER, ESQ.	
19	DA DA	eputy Corporation Counsel ANNY DIAZ, Planning Dept.	
20		RYAN YEE, ESQ.	
21	RC	eputy Attorney General DDNEY FUNAKOSHI irector Office of Plannin) CT
22		riector Office of Fiamilin	.y
23			
24			
25			

			3
1	APPEARAI	N C E S, cont'd.	
2			
3	Docket No. A12-795 West Maui	Land Company, Inc	
4	Kahoma Residential, LLC (Maui	Ĺ)	
5			
6	For the Petitioner:	JAMES GEIGER, ESQ. HEIDI BIGELOW,	
7	V	West Maui Land	
8	For the County:	JAMES GIROUX, ESQ. KURT WOLLENHAUPT,	
9		Planning Dept.	
10		BRYAN YEE, ESQ. Deputy Attorney General	
11	F	RODNEÝ FUNAKOSÁI, OP	
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1 CHAIRMAN LEZY: (gavel) Good morning. This is a meeting of the state of Hawai'i Land Use 2 3 Commission. First item on the agenda is adoption of minutes of the May 21, 22 meeting. Commissioners, any 4 5 revisions? Hearing none, do I have a motion? 6 COMMISSIONER JUDGE: Move to approve. 7 COMMISSIONER McDONALD: Second. 8 CHAIRMAN LEZY: All in favor? (Aye). All 9 opposed? Mr. Saruwatari, the tentative meeting 10 schedule. 11 MR. SARUWATARI: There's really nothing to 12. The next set of meetings will be on the report. 13 possible adoption of the orders for Castle & Cooke and 14 D.R. Horton-Schuler. 15 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Thank you. Before we move 16 on to the substantive items that are on the list 17 today, I'd like to introduce to the parties and the 18 public the Commission's new Executive Officer Dan 19 Orodenker who will be stepping in shortly. Welcome 2.0 aboard and we're glad to have you. 21 MR. ORODENKER: Thank you. I appreciate 22 it. I look forward to working with the Commission. 23 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Next item on the agenda is 24 an action meeting on Docket A10-789 A&B Properties, to 25 approve the form of the order in this matter. On May

- 1 21, 2012 the Commission met in Kahului, Maui, Hawai'i
- 2 and voted to grant Docket No. A10-789 to amend the
- 3 | Agricultural Land Use District Boundary into the Urban
- 4 District for approximately 545.229 acres at Wailuku
- 5 and Waikapu, county of Maui, state of Hawai'i TMK:
- 6 3-8-05: portion of 23 and 37, 3-8-07:71 and portion of 7 101 and 104.
- 8 Mr. Saruwatari, is there anybody signed up 9 for public testimony?
- 10 MR. SARUWATARI: Nobody signed up.
- 11 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Is there anybody in the
- 12 | audience who'd like to provide public testimony on
- 13 this matter? Step forward, sir. 'Morning.
- 14 KANILOA KAMAUNU
- being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
- 17 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 18 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Please state your name and 19 address and proceed.
- 20 THE WITNESS: My name is Kaniloa Kamaunu.
- 21 | I'm from 222 Waihe'e Valley Road, Wailuku, Maui. I'm
- 22 here in actually protest to the action that is being
- 23 taken by the Commission, and for the urban boundaries
- 24 | for 500 acres of property that I still believe is in
- 25 | controversy due to the land tenancy.

I don't believe that the subject of standing has been taken into consideration. As I reiterated once before, this seems to have the look of a legal process. Then all sides need to be carefully taken into consideration for the purpose of the changes that are going to come about.

12.

2.2

As far as we know in this area, the excavation has been done many times and they're still coming up with iwi kupuna. So that needs to be addressed.

This is not going to stop. As many times as they have excavated, they have dug, they still are coming up with burials. And I know I was told that this is not the Land Use's privy and it goes to the Burial Council.

I have objection to that also because as has been clarified by the council chair or commission for burial council, that they have no authority to make recommendations.

And we've seen the process that the Burial Council comes into play after awards have been granted which really doesn't do anything. It really binds them, because now instead of them being our process to be able to protect our kupuna, they become nothing more but just a place for us to vent our frustrations

because they have no authority to do anything.

12.

And if this process is allowed to follow through, even they are a state entity recognized, it does nothing because they're gonna excavate, they're gonna pull up some more bodies. That's already been proven. It's happening today.

This area just came up in the Burial Council that they have an area which they — one of the projects they dug around. And now because they dug around that area that was specified to be protected, now they're in fear that it will collapse.

And yet that's why I'm saying, you know, we're told about the Burial Council but they have no teeth. They come in after the fact. These gentlemen will go in and they'll do their work and my iwi kupuna, which I have relatives there, are going to be taken away without any type of protection.

Again, I reiterate the law of 1860. It's the sepulcher law. It determines any disturbance by prior to or to the event of disturbance or internment there is a law which protects them and states the penalty is a thousand dollars per person per iwi or kupuna found. And it is also two years of hard labor.

This, of course, was from the 1860's. So we're looking over a hundred years. But these things,

1 they -- what I'm trying to say is they have vested rights that was legislated, was adjudicated in law. 3 Even though t's a Kingdom of Hawai'i you have to address that the state does not have authority to 4 permit these gentlemen to go in and remove them. This 6 is -- by the time the burial council gets to it it's a 7 done deal.

5

8

9

10

11

12.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

We have hundreds of parties being excavated and taken. And sad to say some of the building's sidewalks have iwi kupuna because some of them are crushed and put into sand, used for cement, and may also be scattered through our beaches that have excavated sands from our area.

This is an atrocity. But again we try to go through the process but we get no satisfaction. Our kupuna... (pausing) excuse me. It's hard. ah, business as usual. So I have to oppose the action that's being taken today on behalf of myself, my kupuna iwi that are there, and once more recite our vested rights that are given to them which are a foreign substance to the state of Hawai'i because they do not fall in the jurisdiction because they belong to a foreign government which is the Kingdom of Hawai'i.

We know that Hawai'i is only occupied by the United States. They really have no claims to

1 | land. They really do not claim ownership of that.

They have no U.S. patents here because they cannot

3 grant patents. The patents that are here are Royal

4 Patents. A lot of these areas that are going to be

5 used have LCA's which mean they've been adjudicated in

6 court.

7

8

9

10

11

12.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Hawai'i Supreme Court has already said that they will not go behind an adjudicated case pertaining to lands because of the royal patents, which are significant. But it needs to be — that's what I'm saying, this is bigger than this.

And for this action to happen this is insufficient because not enough work has been done to protect our rights as living and to protect those that have gone. Because the EIS's have been given are inadequate.

The archaeologist or the person claims to be the archaeologist on the Project is not accredited. She has a principal investigator but he has never come forward to testify on the work that she has done. She can only be an expert witness on how she did the work. She cannot interpret the intricacies of those findings because she's not certificated. She hasn't been able to go through the process.

Being so, her expertise is only so far.

1 She cannot make comment on what the findings and the facts mean because she is not certified. And if she's 3 not certified then the principal investigator should have given her a letter to authorize her to read what 4 5 he has. 6 Or he should have showed up to these 7 Commission meetings to be able to be spoken to instead 8 of someone that's not adequately or is not certified 9 to do so. So this is my testimony. Thank you. 10 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Parties, questions? Commissioners, any questions? Thank you for your 11 12. testimony, Mr. Kamaunu. Parties, appearances? MR. MATSUBARA: Chairman Lezy, members of 13 14 the Commission --15 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Hang on just a minute, 16 Mr. Matsubara. Would you like to provide some 17 testimony? Is there anybody else who would like to 18 provide testimony? If you would, please, step up to 19 the front row. Is there anybody else who wishes to 20 provide public testimony? Morning. 21 JOHANNA KAMAUNU 22 being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined 23 and testified as follows: 24 THE WITNESS: Yes. 25 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Please state your name,

1 your address and proceed.

12.

2.0

2.2

THE WITNESS: Johanna Kamaunu, Waihe'e Valley, 22 Waihe'e Valley Road, Wailuku, Maui, Hawai'i. I add my objection to the decision that you

5 | folks have made on this Project.

You know, early in the 1900's large landowners realized they had responsibility to the forests and the watersheds of these islands. And they made laws to protect it. The whole reason was because they were losing waters on the lower parts of the land. They needed to have the water. They needed that.

But, unfortunately, the so-called landowners at *this* time have not provided that same kind of concern for those waters and yet they continue to develop those waters.

The least that you could do as this body is to provide some considerations regarding the production of water in this area or at least the preservation of the watershed in these areas.

Maui County has been delinquent in their responsibilities to that. And that in the last few wildfires that we've had that raged across this mountainside, they let it burn, burn itself out rather than to go in and put the fire out.

They may argue the cause of water, the need for freshwater. But still that's where you're going get water from. And if you're not going to protect it then you're not going to have that availability.

12.

2.2

Like I said, in the early 1900s the governing powers at that time, as well as the large landowners, agreed to protect the watersheds, agreed to enforce laws that would provide waters for the rest of the land.

These current landowners are not doing that. And yet they continue to draw from those resources. You need — you have the ability to require, as they continue to make developments in this area, that they are not found deficient after the Project's completed. You have thousands of development homes and other businesses coming up in this area. Where are they going to get their water from once we start depleting our resources here?

I heard a Commissioner recite in this, this body what the parameters of your office are. And the main focus was to protect the resources, protect the land. The least you can do in this particular case is to do that.

I know you've seen that our needs probably were not as important as the rest, as this Project,

but you cannot deny that the need for water will be a continuing need. It's gonna grow. And if you don't do something to protect it or to make conditions according to that, we're going to be in more trouble later on.

12.

So that's my objection. If you're gonna continue with this case, that you do something to afford those conditions that will provide for our resources to continue to be productive, then I can live with this for now, but I cannot support this. I cannot support knowing full well that you'll deplete our resources.

I do not support this knowing that it affords the county and the state to be delinquent in their responsibilities to us.

You know, if anything, I see these men sitting at this table, their positions mainly, they're nothing more than high-priced pimps for our resources. We need to do something serious to protect it. We're losing the water.

We're losing the resources and there's nothing that can recover it once you give it away.

I'm sorry for the description but that's the way it looks to me.

We're losing this. And there's no benefit

1 coming from this Project to those of us who have spent 2 hundreds of years on this land. And there's no 3 protection to our families in the future to have these 4 waters, these resources available to them. 5 We come here continually to plea for these 6 things. And it seems that we have not enough to persuade you otherwise. My request at this time is to 8 at least, at very least, provide some conditions that 9 will require these people to put more responsibility 10 in making sure that these resources are productive. 11 Thank you. 12. CHAIRMAN LEZY: Parties, questions Commissioners, questions? Thank you for your 13 testimony. Is there anybody else who wishes to 14 15 provide public testimony? If so step forward, please. 16 THE WITNESS: Aloha. 17 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Aloha. 18 VICTORIA NOHEALANI KALUNA 19 being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined 2.0 and testified as follows: 21 THE WITNESS: Always. 22 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Please state your name and 23 address and proceed. 24 THE WITNESS: My name is Victoria Nohealani 25 Kaluna. I'm married to Palafox. My address is the

12.5 mile marker, Makanewa, Ukumehame, in the moku of Lahaina.

12.

I sit here this morning, my first visit to this place. And all my mana'o and all the things I have to say within this place is all about authority. Where does this authority come from?

I request for cease and desist of these activities that you do for the pure fact that in Kolowai Pa'a'aina we have constitutions that you are breaking. I cannot see why eha he'e na kanaka needs to sit here and explain ourselves to you how wrongful doing this is for when the land is once destroyed, as these developers have been doing for many, many, years already, we have no benefit. Nothing.

The waters of life have been taken away from us without permission. We sit here in this room and we speak of why should we think that what you are doing is proper. I cannot sit and hear things like this because all that is within this building to me is against my kanaka constitutional right.

By removing the iwi's of these lands you are stripping the living kanaka of their flesh. How mean you are to do that to us for all these hundreds of years. We have come before you humbly, this entity. And in the law of nations it is, corporate is

not life. They are brought together by other entities. They are made up.

12.

2.2

I am real. I am a pure kanaka. I have children. I have bred pure Hawaiian children, but this state says that there's no more Hawaiians. And people have said by 2045 that there will be no more Hawaiians.

This Project that you bring in this area of the sand dune is all my kupuna iwis. I am direct lineal descendant. I ask you as a kanaka of this land to cease what you are doing. Because what you are doing is killing, it is death. What you have been bringing here to our place has never worked in a positive manner for us. It doesn't feed myself and my children for generations. I live Ukumehame and I grow my own food because I'm afraid that what these new stores going to bring. They going bring poison to my body.

I ask please stop what you're doing and feel that energy. If it was you there, would you not want us to help to protect you in your last place of noho? Because for me even if there was a foreigner in this sand dune, we will stand and protect that person. It does not necessarily have to be eha e kanaka.

Thank you for your time and your energy in

1 coming here and allowing us to share this mana'o and this information with you. Thank you. 3 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Parties, questions? Commissioners, questions? Thank you, ma'am. 4 5 MIKAHALA ROY 6 being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:. 8 THE WITNESS: I do. 9 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Please state your name, address and proceed. 10 11 THE WITNESS: Aloha. My name is Mikahala 12. Roy. My address is P. O. Box 596 Kailua-Kona, 13 Hawai'i, 96745. I wonder if I may ask for you to read this docket entry please again. 14 15 CHAIRMAN LEZY: I'm sorry? 16 THE WITNESS: Could you please read the 17 entry that you read regarding this case, the 18 application? 19 CHAIRMAN LEZY: What Docket Number this is? 2.0 THE WITNESS: Yes, and the whole... 21 CHAIRMAN LEZY: This is Docket A10-789 A&B 22 Properties, Inc. 23 THE WITNESS: And the matter is? 24 CHAIRMAN LEZY: The matter is to Amend the 25 Agricultural Land Use District Boundary into the Urban District for approximately 545.229 acres at Wailuku and Waikapu, county of Maui, state of Hawai'i,

TMK:3-8-05: portion of 23 and 37, 3-8-07:71, portion of 101 and 104.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

the Hawaiian Islands in the modern era.

12.

CHAIRMAN LEZY: You're welcome.

THE WITNESS: I'm a resident of Kona,
Hawai'i, but I have ties to Maui. And I'm Kahu of
Ahuena He'iau. My father, David Kehele Mauna Roy,
Jr., restored the first temple, religious temple of

In the '70s he presented here on the island of Maui to defend the history of the Alapa Warriors. He contributed to the bulk of information that now will serve the children of this land, whatever race they are.

It is paramount that in any land truth be known and history be told. Here our history streams in the wind. It is potent, it is true, it is spirit. We all, no matter what blood flows in our veins, understand this.

This place that we are speaking of here in this application needs to be protected and identified for future children's knowledge, for future world knowledge. Because here is where a great battle took

place that describes a lifeway of interaction of relatives of these lands that talk about the people of these lands. They talk about a heritage of interaction socially on all levels, but most importantly spiritually.

12.

2.0

Therefore, A&B has no right to come before you with this application, none whatsoever. You have the responsibility. The occupying state has the responsibility to uphold the vestiges of Hawaiian culture; that at the top of the list is spirit. You must uphold that.

And I as a descendant may live anywhere in this world, but I, when I'm connected to these burials, will feel them. And I thank the people of Maui who are courageous enough to go down to these places and step up and say they will not leave there because they are feeling the hurt so badly.

I salute these people. I will enforce these people who come forward. And I will be there for them and these burials as long as I live, as long as I'm here to contribute.

This is wrong. Do not allow this. Do not allow this any further. My father's testimony was testimony that I grew up with about these warriors. They were the zenith. They were the finest of the

greatest trained warriors. And I'm sure if you're
Japanese you can relate to the Samurai. You can
relate to any of the cultures of the world for the
finest. They all come down to spirit. It all starts
there.

So I ask your deepest place in you, I ask your high selves consider this. Do not allow this. Stop this because it's an atrocious thing for me to come here years after my father did, and see what has come as a result of all the years that have passed, and to hear that cultural specialists have even said to — brought up to the idea that battles were not taking place or did not take place in sand. Cultural specialists? Words that define someone who's supposed to be a knowledgeable person to Hawaiian knowledge?

I will say to you as an `oiwi, that's not a reflection of Hawaiian knowledge. That's a matter of your record I understand. So do not allow this. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Parties, questions?

Commissioners, questions? Thank you, ma'am.

THE WITNESS: Clare Apana, Wailuku, Maui.

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Let me swear you in, Ms.

24 Apana.

25 xx

12.

CLARE APANA

12.

2.2

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Please go ahead.

THE WITNESS: At this juncture I find that I still have so much to tell you. I want to remember this. You made no provision for the future burials, and you made no provision for saving the sand dunes. Even after one of your Commissioners read your mandate you did not follow it. Not one condition. That's pretty sad.

So I spoke to Mr. Vincennes after the last testimony. And I said, "Are you serious? Tell me you're going to keep sand mining through here?" And, he said, "Oh, no, no, no, no, no." And I said, "What, you're gonna grab and grade and take all the burials and the sand out?"

He said, "Oh, no. Not here." I wanted every one of you to hear this because perhaps they were willing to do that. Mr. Vincennes said he was. You could have protected this area. You could have put a condition in there to stop that from ever happening. Because undoubtedly they will sell this property. And the next person will not protect the

sand dunes because you did not protect the sand dunes. You couldn't even follow two of your mandates.

12.

2.2

I'm so ashamed to say that this is how our state is run. But I do want to tell you that luckily for our 'aina and for our people, you may not be the last judges of this land. You may not be the last ones to make this decision, but you had your chance to make a decision that would have been at least somewhat, somewhat fair and you chose not to. And that doesn't go unrecorded in the Big Book upstairs.

I'm disappointed to say that I live in a state where you cannot follow your own mandates. And you waste the time of people, honest citizens coming to testify before you to bring the true knowledge. And you would put forth an expert who cannot even sign her own report. And you accept her as an expert instead of taking our own testimony for our own history 'a'ole.

You're gonna take something fake. Well, that's exactly what I think this Commission is at this time. You're really not for the people. You can't even follow your own mandate. But I'm not sorry that I spoke up for my culture, my history, for the iwi, for the sand because someone had to tell you the truth, but you chose to ignore it. Not one condition.

1 Not one. That's very, very sad.

12.

So I thank you for letting us speak as long as we wanted to as long as we had things to say. But it's sad that it fell on really, really deaf ears even by law.

I hope that the next projects you do you'll remember somewhere within your heart and soul that you didn't follow your own mandates and you did not protect our cultural rights. And you did not protect the 'aina. You did not protect the iwi as mandated by our constitution and your own mandate. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Parties, questions?

Commissioners, questions? Thank you for your testimony, Ms. Apana. Good morning.

ROUTH BOLOMET

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: Yes, I will.

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Please state your name, your address and proceed.

THE WITNESS: My name is Routh Bolomet. My address is P. O. Box 37371 Honolulu, Hawai'i 96837.

I'm here to speak today because this weekend I

25 recently found out that my kupunas were awardee in

these lands. You'll see them in your maps under "Napela".

12.

2.2

I am a lineal descendant, therefore you do not have any kind of warrantee deeds that supersede my titles. Under your laws there's a case called Foster
Vs.Nielsen
. In it the lands on one side of the Mississippi were land grants or patents that were put out by the Spanish. This was in the Florida district. There were Spanish grants and there were French grants.

And when the U.S. took over they gave the

-- there were treaties to hand over the lands. But

the allodial titles that were given by the Spanish and

the French prior to these treaties were not

transferred in those treaties.

So when there was a case that went to the Supreme Court, all the way up to the Supreme Court, the Supreme Court ruled that the U.S. had no jurisdiction over foreign allodial titles.

Please tell me what is different about that case, which is in the confines of the United States, versus on these lands where you're illegally occupying our lands? It's very emotional for our families to see people that seem to be quite intelligent ruling for businesses rather than for the people.

What I learned about the United States
Constitution was that it was for the people and by the people. And we keep forgetting that. We keep thinking it's for the businesses. And I know the trend has moved to that. We can all look at the trends. Look at our environment. Look at what is happening to our health. All of that is because we have chosen to support businesses rather than supporting the people.

12.

Look at what's happening to all of us. We are all the victims of the bottom line. The bottom line doesn't look after the people. So if you continue down this route you are setting yourself up for many tort suits. There's absolutely no grounds for you guys to make these decisions today on any allodial titles.

So I'm asking you to reconsider your decisions and make sure that you do not do this for any future allodial titles, which you do not have any jurisdiction for, or let's say, you do not have the authority to assert your jurisdiction. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Parties, questions?

Commissioners, questions? Commissioner Makua.

COMMISSIONER MAKUA: Can you explain to us the process that happens after you find your title,

your patent and you realize somebody else owns that land today. Can you explain to us where do you then go and what happens.

12.

2.2

THE WITNESS: Well, there's several processes. If we have imminent harm, then we can use your court system to get a remedy. We can go back onto our lands without anybody's permission.

And we choose to do it as peacefully as possible by using your laws to help you use your enforcement to make sure your people understand they're breaking the laws. That has been the steps that we have used up to date.

But in many cases it's been quite unsuccessful. And there's a lot of people being tied up in cases for many, many years. And I'm involved in another case which involves the Charles Kanaina heirs. And it's been going on for over 96 years. How many resources and people's lives? People have died fighting for their lands.

Just to let you know I'm not like those people. I am not like my ancestors. I will not wait 96 years. I will take back my lands. And I'll use every resource we need to use and every law that you have to make sure this doesn't continue. It stops. So do you have any other questions?

COMMISSIONER MAKUA: For me after our last meeting I don't believe everybody understands how real the right of those titles are.

12.

2.2

THE WITNESS: Then you need to study international law because you're exercising it by trying to stomp all over our allodial titles. You need to go beyond your rules if you're going to be making decisions that affect international law.

You've got the Apology Bill that protects our rights. You've got cases that went to the international courts in 2001 that protects our rights, that says Hawai'i is still sovereign, and the United States continues to occupy these lands illegally.

Your own HRS laws gives you no authority to supercede our laws. So if you're gonna take the positions of authority to make decisions on lands that you have absolutely no authority, it behooves you to know the laws. It's your responsibility to. They should be trained. Everybody that takes these positions should be trained properly. The attorneys that are manipulating through the different laws and using loopholes, they know the laws very well. They're not fooling anybody because I watched the way they squirmed through all the loopholes. But I'm not going to stop. And you guys will not get these lands.

1 So we can either start turning it around 2 now or we can start turning around some big tort 3 suits. Because too much has happened, too much harm has happened to our people. So until somebody can 4 5 show me how A&B's warrantee deeds supersede my 6 allodial titles and all of my kupunas' allodial 7 titles, all of my relatives' allodial titles? We're 8 starting to form a wall. You're not gettin' past us. 9 Any more questions? 10 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Thank you for your 11 testimony. Parties, questions? Commissioners? Thank 12. That concludes public testimony on this docket you. 13 matter. Appearances, please, parties. 14 MR. MATSUBARA: Chairman Lezy and Members 15 of the Commission. Benjamin Matsubara and Curtis 16 Tabata on behalf of A&B Properties. With me today is 17 Grant Chun, vice president of A&B Properties and 18 Daniel Yasui, director of planning and development. 19 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Good morning. 20 MR. HOPPER: Good morning, Mr. Chair, 21 members of the Commission. Michael Hopper with the 2.2 Department of Corporation Counsel representing the 23 Maui County Department of Planning. With me is Danny 24 Dias, staff planner. 25 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Good morning.

1	MR. YEE: Good morning. Deputy Attorney
2	General Bryan Yee on behalf of the Office of Planning.
3	With me is Rodney Funakoshi from the Office of
4	Planning.
5	CHAIRMAN LEZY: 'Morning. Parties,
6	anything else we need to discuss?
7	MR. MATSUBARA: Nothing further, Mr. Chair.
8	MR. YEE: Nothing further.
9	CHAIRMAN LEZY: Thank you. Commissioners,
10	before you is the Form of the Order granting the
11	Petition in this matter. The Chair will entertain a
12	motion.
13	COMMISSIONER JUDGE: I have a question.
14	CHAIRMAN LEZY: Sure. Commissioner Judge.
15	COMMISSIONER JUDGE: Thank you. At the
16	last meeting I had asked, there was a condition
17	formed, that the two parties would agree upon it. Did
18	that occur?
19	MR. YEE: Yes.
20	MR. MATSUBARA: That's correct. There was
21	an agreement. It's been incorporated as a condition.
22	COMMISSIONER JUDGE: Thank you.
23	CHAIRMAN LEZY: Any additional questions?
24	COMMISSIONER JUDGE: So I'll go ahead and
25	I'll make the motion to adopt the Order for Docket

1	A10-789 A&B Properties, Inc
2	CHAIRMAN LEZY: Second?
3	COMMISSIONER HELLER: Second.
4	CHAIRMAN LEZY: Discussion?
5	Mr. Saruwatari, if you'd poll the Commission, please.
6	MR. SARUWATARI: Motion to Approve the Form
7	of the Order. Commissioner Judge?
8	COMMISSIONER JUDGE: Yes.
9	MR. SARUWATARI: Commissioner Heller?
10	COMMISSIONER HELLER: Yes.
11	MR. SARUWATARI: Commissioner Teves?
12	COMMISSIONER TEVES: Yes.
13	MR. SARUWATARI: Commissioner McDonald?
14	COMMISSIONER McDONALD: Yes.
15	MR. SARUWATARI: Commissioner Contrades?
16	COMMISSIONER CONTRADES: Yes.
17	MR. SARUWATARI: Commissioner Makua?
18	COMMISSIONER MAKUA: 'A'ole.
19	MR. SARUWATARI: Commissioner Chock?
20	COMMISSIONER CHOCK: Yes.
21	MR. SARUWATARI: And, Chair Lezy?
22	CHAIRMAN LEZY: Yes.
23	MR. SARUWATARI: Chair, we have eight votes
24	in support of the Petition I'm sorry, seven votes
25	in support of the Petition.

```
CHAIRMAN LEZY: Thank you very much.
 1
 2
    Parties, anything else before we move on?
 3
               MR. MATSUBARA: Nothing further. Thank you
    very much. Thank you for your time.
 4
5
               CHAIRMAN LEZY: Let's take a five minute
    break for our court reporter.
 6
 7
                    (Recess was held. 10:05-10:15)
 8
    XX
9
    XX
10
    XX
11
    XX
12.
    XX
13
    XX
14
    XX
15
    XX
16
    XX
17
    XX
18
    XX
19
    XX
20
    XX
21
    XX
22
    XX
23
    XX
24
    XX
25
    XX
```

1 CHAIRMAN LEZY: (gavel) The next item on 2 the agenda is an action meeting on Docket A12-795 West 3 Maui Land Company, Inc. Kahoma Residential, LLC, Maui, 4 to consider Petitions to Intervene by Routh Bolomet 5 and Michelle Lincoln. 6 Before we move into the substantive matters 7 here, the Chair will entertain a Motion to Amend the 8 Agenda to add Routh Bolomet's Motion to Waive Intervenor's Filing Fee. 9 10 COMMISSIONER CHOCK: Chair, move to amend 11 the agenda. 12. COMMISSIONER TEVES: Second. CHAIRMAN LEZY: All in favor? (aye). 13 All 14 opposed? Motion passes. The motion -- Ms. Bolomet's 15 motion is added to the agenda. Parties, please 16 identify yourselves for the record. 17 MR. GEIGER: Good morning, Chair, 18 Commissioners. My name is James Geiger. I'm here on 19 behalf of the Petitioner. Also present is Heidi 2.0 Bigelow who is a representative of West Maui Land 21 Company, Inc. And with us also is Rory Frampton who 2.2 is our consultant on this Project. 23 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Thank you. 24 MR. GIROUX: Good morning, Chair and 25 Commissioners. James Giroux with corporation counsel.

- And representing the Planning Department with me is Kurt Wollenhaupt, planner.
- 3 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Good morning.
- 4 MR. YEE: Good morning. Deputy Attorney
 5 General Bryan Yee on behalf of the Office of Planning.
- 6 With me is Rodney Funakoshi from the Office of
- 7 Planning.
- 8 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Morning.
- 9 MS. LINCOLN: Michelle Lincoln, 452 Aki
- 10 | Street in Lahaina. And I'm an intervenor -- or
- 11 potential intervenor. Sorry.
- 12 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Good morning.
- MS. BOLOMET: Routh Bolomet, potential
- 14 | intervenor. Want my address?
- 15 CHAIRMAN LEZY: No, that's fine.
- 16 Ms. Bolomet, is Ms. Kamaunu going to sit with you at
- 17 | counsel table?
- 18 MS. BOLOMET: If you have any questions
- 19 about things that I'm saying, I would like her to
- 20 assist you in understanding.
- 21 MS. KAMAUNU. Yes, I'm providing them some
- 22 support.
- 23 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Okay. We'll deal with that
- 24 as it comes up. Thank you. Allow me to update the
- 25 record in this matter.

1 On January 18, 2012 the Commission received 2 Petitioner's Notice of Intent to File a Petition for 3 Land Use District Boundary Amendment. 4 On February 3, 2012 the Commission received 5 Petitioner's Affidavit of Mailing Notice of Intent to 6 File Petition, Exhibits A through C and Affidavit 7 Regarding Publication of Notice of Intent to File 8 Petition, Exhibits A through E. 9 On February 8, 2012 the Commission received 10 a Petition to Intervene from Routh Bolomet. 11 On April 4, 2012 the Commission received 12. the Petition for Land use District Boundary Amendment, 13 Exhibits 1 through 15. 14 On April 5, 2012 the Commission received 15 notice from Petitioner that it was not seeking a 201-H 16 Petition for Land Use District Boundary Amendment. On April 11, 2012 the Commission received a 17 18 Tax Map Key of the Petition Area from Petitioner. 19 On April 16, 2012 the Commission received Petitioner's Affidavit of Service of Petition for 2.0 21 Land Use District Boundary Amendment, Affidavit of 2.2 Sending Notification of Petition Filing. 23 On April 17, 2012 the Commission mailed a 24 letter advising Petitioner that its filing had been 25 deemed complete.

1 On April 23, 2012 the Commission received a 2 new Notice of Intent to Intervene from Routh Bolomet. On April 24, 2012 the Commission received a 3 corrected new Notice of Intent to Intervene from 4 5 Michelle Lincoln. 6 On May 1, 2012 the Commission received a 7 new Notice of Intent to Intervene from Michelle 8 Lincoln. 9 On May 4, 2012 the Commission received an 10 addendum to the April 23, filing Notice of Intent to 11 file Petition to Intervene from Routh Bolomet. 12. On May 16, 2012 the Commission received a 13 Statement of Position from the State Office of 14 Planning. 15 On May 17, 2012 the Commission received a 16 Statement of Position from the Maui County Planning 17 Department. 18 On May 25, 2012 the Commission received a 19 copy of a letter to James Geiger and Routh Bolomet. 20 On May 29, 2012 the Commission received: an 21 Addendum to the April 23, 2012 filing of Petition to 2.2 Intervene from Routh Bolomet and a Petition to 23 Intervene from Michelle Lincoln. 24 On May 30, 2012 the Commission received 25 State Office of Planning's Statement of No Objection

to the Petitions to Intervene of both Routh Bolomet and Michelle Lincoln.

12.

2.0

2.2

On June 4, 2012 the Commission received
Maui County's List of Witnesses, List of Exhibits and
Exhibits 1-5 including testimony for State Land Use
District Boundary Amendment, Maui County Statement of
No Objection to Routh Bolomet's Petition to Intervene;
Maui County's Statement of No Objection to Michelle
Lincoln's Petition to Intervene; Petitioner's
Opposition to Michelle Lincoln's Petition to
Intervene; Petitioner's Opposition to Michelle
Lincoln's Petition to Intervene; Petitioner's List of

Allow me to briefly describe our procedure for today in this docket. First, I will call for those individuals desiring to provide public testimony to identify themselves.

Exhibits, and Petitioner's List of Witnesses.

All such individuals will be called in turn to our witness box where they will be sworn in prior to their testimony.

Following completion of the public testimony portion of the proceedings, Ms. Bolomet will make her presentation. After the completion of Ms. Bolomet's presentation we'll receive any argument from Petitioner, Maui County and the State Office of

1 Planning. 2 After we've received the comments of the 3 Petitioner, the county and the state, we'll conduct 4 deliberations on Ms. Bolomet's petition. After 5 completion of deliberations regarding Ms. Bolomet's 6 petition, Ms. Lincoln will make her presentation. 7 After the completion of Ms. Lincoln's 8 presentation we'll receive any comments from 9 Petitioner, Maui County and the State Office of 10 Planning. After we receive the comments of 11 Petitioner, County and the State, we'll conduct our 12. deliberations on Ms. Lincoln's Petition. 13 Are there any questions regarding our 14 procedures for today? 15 MR. GEIGER: No, sir. 16 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Hearing none, Commissioner 17 Judge. 18 COMMISSIONER JUDGE: Thank you, Chair. I'd 19 like to ask or make a motion to go into executive 2.0 session to consult with the Board's attorney on 21 questions, issues pertaining to the board's powers, 2.2 duties, privileges, immunities and liabilities. 23

COMMISSIONER CHOCK: Second.

24

25

CHAIRMAN LEZY: All in favor? (aye) All opposed? Folks, you can stay here. We'll go outside.

1 (Executive session was held.) 2 CHAIRMAN LEZY: (11:35) Mr. Saruwatari, is 3 there anybody signed up for public testimony? 4 MR. SARUWATARI: We have three individual. 5 The first is Victoria Palafox followed by Mikahala 6 Roy. 7 CHAIRMAN LEZY: For the folks that are 8 providing public testimony, we're here today for a 9 very limited issue. It's the issue of whether or not 10 the folks who have asked for permission to intervene 11 in this matter will be given leave to intervene. 12. There will be, trust me, a number of 13 hearings here on the substantive matters that are 14 raised in this Petition. And people who wish to 15 provide public testimony on the substantive matters 16 regarding the Petition will have many opportunities to 17 do so. 18 So we would ask today, please, limit your 19 public testimony to the issue that's before the 2.0 Commission. And that is whether or not the Petitions 21 to Intervene should be granted or denied. 22 VICTORIA NOHEALANI KALUNA-PALAFOX 23 being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined 24 and testified as follows: 25 THE WITNESS: Always.

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Please state your name and address again and proceed.

12.

THE WITNESS: My name is Victoria Nohealani Kaluna. I'm married to Palafox. I live at 12 and-a-half mile marker Makanewa, Ukumehame located in Lahaina. Mahalo again for this opportunity to sit and I know and I thank you about the limited time I have.

So my main point as I noho and I sit here,
I'll describe my connection to these lands of West
Maui, Lahaina. My grandfather is Kukuhauakamoku. He
was the kahuna for Moku'ula who took care of
Kamehameha I's five-stone mahaku. That's very -- that
has a lot of mana.

I sit here before you and I support the families of these kuleana, of these royal patent lands. And I speak again, talking of constitutional Hawai'i Nei. And I speak to you about treason which I feel is what is going on at this moment in time. I have no part in this part of treason. But my purpose and reason for coming here is to help you, those who do not understand.

And I hope you know that when your name's Mr. Geiger, goes upon these papers and when hate comes and teaches our governing entity of what the Hawaiian nation is, you will speak no more. This is for true.

Because we have lawyers sitting here and entities of higher source that should know about the constitution, the law of the lands, the law of nations. And you should be akamai to what Hague has for us as a nation.

So my testimony today is to cease all that you do. This is a pure warning because you know what you're doing is illegal. Thou shall not steal. Thou shall not commit false witnesses. Thou shall not kill. These are the English 10 Commandments that come before us in pure written form. We kanaka never had a written language.

Again, I ask you be sure you know what you are basing your votes upon because we are still a nation. A man, Mr. Thurston, Stevens and Dole, has no right to have moved on this entity called state of Hawai'i. You don't see the kanaka people sitting here. It's not because we don't care. We care. But this place is so illegal. This place should not be. I don't see a table of nobles here. I thank you.

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Parties, questions?

22 Commissioners, questions? Thank you, ma'am.

MR. SARUWATARI: Mikahala Roy followed by
Kaniloa Kamaunu.

25 xx

12.

2.0

1 MIKAHALA ROY

12.

2.2

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: I do.

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Please state your name, your address and proceed.

THE WITNESS: My name is Mikahala Roy. My address is P. O. Box 596 Kailua-Kona, Hawai'i 96745. I am grateful to Akua, which is the name for most high God. And beneath that most high God is a pantheon of our representatives of the divine world. I'm grateful to them for reuniting me with my relative. Routh Bolomet is a relative of mine for I'm a Kanaina also.

And in a delightful experience in a mutual learning experience over the weekend in which I was taken to the property that you're going to be talking about today. And I'm delighted because I can feel the essence and the spirit of my ancestors talking to me.

We hear by forms of `aumakua, the first wildlife around us consists of birds like the Pueo. While there was one on the property that spoke to me and said, "Mikahala, you will speak and contribute and say that you are one of this vast estate of Charles Kanaina."

There are so many numerous heirs to this

estate, it will make all of our heads be full with names. And then there are those that come after. I have a grand-nephew by the name of Kilinahe which is absolutely a name in this genealogy. They are royal. They do not deserve to be treated in this fashion.

12.

2.2

So I testify today in absolute defense and discussion of support for these two intervenors that seek status. In support to the testimony before me I will say I come from the land that housed the family of Lorrin Thurston.

And what Lorrin Thurston has done to the United Kingdom of the Hawaiian Islands under Kamehameha the Great whose temple I uphold, should not be allowed to be done in a place where truth prevails. Ha is the breath. Wai is spirit of most high God. In this place the truth will be told and that is what is coming forward today in defense of the royal lines and in defense of the children yet to come.

We need to be the best we can be for them and for the world, for the earth. It starts right here at home. That's who I am and that's how I contribute. And I will stand by to contribute in any fashion I may. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Parties, questions?

Commissioners, questions? Thank you for your

testimony, ma'am.

12.

2.2

2 MR. SARUWATARI: Kaniloa Kamaunu.

3 KANILOA KAMAUNU

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Please state your name, your address and proceed.

THE WITNESS: Again, my name is Kaniloa Kamaunu. I'm from 222 Waihe'e Valley Road, Wailuku, 96793. As what has been proposed here today as far as reflecting upon those that are intervening, she claims to be lineal descendancy to the parcels that are there, the parcels which have Land Commission Awards and royal patents. Being such it allows her to intervene. I'm not sure about the other party because I don't know her. But as far as Routh Bolomet is concerned she does have descendancy to that land.

Being such, again, I will reiterate this being as such a legal forum that the party has come forward. She has made her claim as far as being attached to the property through her lineage. Being such, if this continues to go on the her side needs to be heard.

It needs to be presented because, again,

you go into the laws which afford her to be here: HRS 7-1. We have HRS 172-11 and 12 which talk about the land patents. We talk about — even though the parcel may be claimed by these people that have been purchased, they only have a color of title.

12.

TMK, even though it's mentioned, by right has no title. All this does is give the county the right or to say the right to charge the person a fee so that they can show that they actually have authority over the person. They really don't have authority over the title because the title does not belong to the state of Hawai'i, nor does it belong to the United States.

Being such they created a TMK to show their ties to the property. But if you investigated the TMK it does not warrant it to map out what portion of the property is being taxed. It has no legal entity as far as claiming its property. Basically you're paying a fee for that parcel or part of that parcel.

The title they are claiming is in question again because it's the color of title, they exchange a piece of paper saying a deed was transferred to them and a payment was made. Is it legal? It is in this sense as far as the western contents is concerned.

But if you're going into: Is it lawful?

"Lawful" states that there's a part of the conscience if there is a question lawfully you have to investigate to make sure that you're lawful.

12.

Is this a legal action that you're taking according to your, according to your rules of law or the creation of law? It is legal. Is it lawful? No. Because then you have to show your authority over the right to sit in these proceedings and to make a legal judgment.

And being that you're Commissioners assigned to this, that means you don't have the certification to be able to make *legal* decisions because you're laymen.

Unless you can show credentials that you have some study in law and that the state affirms that and certifies such, then action taken here is questionable because we have been sworn in to give truthful testimonies. And I have done that.

Being such, the parties receiving the information shall always have the power and authority to make such legal judgments.

If not, then this is a fiasco because it is giving authority to you as laymen, not certified by a state and county as legal authorities to give these people the opportunity to take possession of property

they legally -- going back to the title -- they have to tie theirselves through Land Commission Award.

12.

'Cause according to 172-11 the person that was awarded the title will always be the person that has the title. The word "enure" reflects that even though the person be dead — this is what the law says — even though the person be dead that the land will enure back to the original owner, such being whoever the legal owner of the LCA that's being presented by Routh, is always the legal and rightful owner because, again, as the Supreme Court of Hawai'i has stated, if it's been adjudicated in law, which they did in the Kingdom of Hawai'i.

And we had this come up where Corp. Counsel in one case stated, "Oh, it's an old law." They never said that the law was not good. All they said was "an old law".

And by right a law has vested rights contained and such cannot be taken away. So, see, there's complications and I must question: Do you understand the complications of the titles? Do you understand enough to make a judgment that gives them clear reign to do what they want? I have to say 'a'ole. Because it took me several years to understand the tenure of Hawai'i's title. And I'm

still learning.

12.

2.2

But to be able to show only a color of title because money and a piece of paper has been changed to make it a legal form, it is. Is it lawful? It isn't. 'Cause again it's a color of title. It has nothing more than just a façade. But in the court of the state of Hawai'i they recognize this as being legal.

"lawful" intends that you do your best according to your duties to make sure that what they present to you is correct. But, again, are you afforded the knowledge to be able to make such recommendations and such thoughts to be able to make it lawful? Many questions that need to be answered.

And I believe Routh gets the opportunity to intervene is only correct. Because she does have standing. She does have something at stake. And that it will be injurious to her. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Parties, questions?

Commissioners, questions? Thank you. That concludes public testimony on these matters. This first item here is Ms. Bolomet's Motion to Waive the Filing Fee. I find tha I can decide that issue as a procedural matter myself.

1 Ms. Bolomet, do you have any sort of 2 financial hardship that would prevent you from being 3 able to pay the \$50 filing fee? MS. BOLOMET: The hardship that I'm 4 5 claiming I'm not bringing these cases forward. Ι 6 am --7 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Ms. Bolomet, my question to 8 you is do you have any financial --9 MS. BOLOMET: When I'm not working -- I'm 10 self employed. When I'm not working I do not have 11 income. So, yes, as long as I'm doing a second work. 12. CHAIRMAN LEZY: Are you currently employed? 13 MS. BOLOMET: No. 14 CHAIRMAN LEZY: All right. The Chair will find, then, that you're entitled to a waiver of the 15 16 \$50 filing fee. 17 MS. BOLOMET: Thank you. 18 CHAIRMAN LEZY: The next matter is 19 Ms. Bolomet's Petition to Intervene. A couple of 2.0 initial matters. Mr. Geiger, Petitioner still opposes the petition? 21 22 MR. GEIGER: On the basis that we put 23 forward in our moving papers. Obviously we had 24 thought that Ms. Lincoln might be heard first. 25 therefore, there would only be an intervenor.

1 CHAIRMAN LEZY: I'm just asking whether 2 you, as we sit here right now, you continue to oppose. 3 MR. GEIGER: Yes. For the same reasons, 4 yes. 5 CHAIRMAN LEZY: And, County and State you 6 are not opposing the intervention, correct? 7 That's correct. MR. GIROUX: 8 MR. YEE: That's correct. 9 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Ms. Bolomet, you've 10 submitted a very detailed paper in support of your 11 position on intervention. Is there anything you'd 12. like to add to that briefly? 13 MS. BOLOMET: Yes, I would. Based on what 14 I saw in the vote that I saw happen with my other 15 property, I understand why my ancestors came to me, 16 had me put together this next testimony I'm about to 17 read. 18 Just as Commissioner Makua said, perhaps 19 you don't understand the laws that will affect your 20 decision. And though I'm not asking you to be judge 21 and jury if I am the owner or the lineal descendant of 2.2 these properties, I think you need to understand that 23 on what grounds you may have or not have authority to 24 assert jurisdiction.

I'm not asking you to, to judge if I'm the

25

1 owner or Kahoma's the owner. I know I'm the owner. But I know that you guys need a little bit of help so 3 I'm going to read to you what I think will assist you 4 in understanding the laws from which I'm basing -- not 5 just your laws -- but some of the international laws 6 that I'm basing --7 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Ms. Bolomet, the only issue 8 we're here to consider today is intervention. 9 MS. BOLOMET: Correct. 10 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Not the substantive basis 11 for your intervention. And as I mentioned to you 12. you've already filed a very detailed --13 MS. BOLOMET: This is in addition --14 CHAIRMAN LEZY: -- Petition -- I'm sorry, 15 let me finish. 16 MS. BOLOMET: Sorry. 17 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Okay. That's one thing we 18 need to be clear about with the intervenors here. 19 that if you are granted a right to intervene in this 2.0 case you're going to have to follow the exact same 21 rules that everybody else follows, which includes 2.2 allowing the Commissioners to say what they need to 23 say. Fair enough? 24 MS. BOLOMET: Fair enough. 25 CHAIRMAN LEZY: As I started to say you

filed a very detailed paper in support of your Petition for Intervention. Depending on how things come out here today, you will be allowed in great detail to present your substantive case here. All that we need to have you explain to us today is why you have a right to intervene under the rules that govern this Commission. I believe you've addressed that in detail in your papers.

12.

2.0

So what I'd like to ask you to do is if there's anything that you think you need to expand on on that limited issue, then please do. But it's not going to be helpful to us for you to explain the legal underpinnings of your larger position in this case.

MS. BOLOMET: Well, I would like to simply talk about my genealogy. And I am the sixth grand child of Ka'aaua is one of the awardees on these — that these lands belong to. He was my sixth great-grandfather. Princess Kamamalu was the daughter of Mataio Kekuanaoa. His wife was Kalima who's also my grandmother.

When Princess Kamamalu passed away before her father, all her lands went to her father. When her father passed away 50 percent went to my grandmother. So through those two I have the Princess Kamamalu lands and I also have the Ka'awa lands.

Then we have Keaohokalolei. We also have Keawaiwi. There are lineal descendants for all the lands there. And so I'm here to represent those lineal descendants, but I also believe that the Commission doesn't understand that when you took an oath to uphold the laws and your mandates, the state protects you for what you do if there's mistakes.

12.

2.2

But from what I understand you hold personal liability for what you fail to do. And you need to go and check with your attorney on that because this is what I'm finding is that you do become liable for not holding up the laws that you're supposed to hold up. You took that oath.

So I'm asking you to consider everything that I put into my first, my Petition. And if you would like to hear more, I do have more that has not been included in that, that my ancestors asked me to share with you so that you have clarity and it makes it easier for you to make the correct decision.

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Commissioners, questions for Ms. Bolomet? Just a tip, Ms. Bolomet. If you are allowed intervention I'd just say you're not getting off on the right foot by threatening the Commission regarding personal liability for the actions that they take. And I think it would probably behoove you to

keep in the back of your mind that maybe it's not a good idea to do so with those types of things going forward.

MS. BOLOMET: Okay.

12.

2.2

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Commissioner Judge.

COMMISSIONER JUDGE: Thank you.

Ms. Bolomet, we heard in your earlier testimony, you know, your concerns about the ownership and that there are other avenues that you're seeking regarding your land ownership issues. I'm just looking at the paper that you submitted regarding the waiver of the \$50 filing fee.

And on Page 3 No. C. it says that the only reason that you are intervening is regarding the chain of title. And I'm just concerned about that because this body doesn't — has no jurisdiction over title issues. So if you're intervening because of the title reasons, this body has no jurisdiction over that.

MS. BOLOMET: I'm intervening because there was a rule that says that they have to prove they have controlling interest in these lands in order for you to have the authority to make a ruling on these lands. That's what I understood the rules to be. And I asked Mr. Geiger at least three times for their proof that their ownership, their warrantee deed superceded my

allodial title.

12.

2.0

2.2

I looked at everything I could find. And all I found was that Pioneer Seed had a lease at one time with some of the landowners, some of the allodial title owners. When Pioneer Seed's lease was expired there was no more renewal of lease. There wasn't a selling of the lease. But what happened during that time in history was when the government was changing. And so the U.S. started to apply their rules over these allodial titles. So Pioneer Seed assumed the lands.

The current people that have these lands, if you look at the chain of title, there was only a \$10 fee that was used to create that — a conveyance fee to create that to give the illusion that they had authority over those lands.

COMMISSIONER JUDGE: So is it your intent during this intervention to just try and show that you have proper title and that you are a landowner in this? Is that the intent of your intervention?

THE WITNESS: My intent is to, is to show that Kahoma does not have control of these titles, so that their Petition cannot be seen or heard by you. And, you know, there is imminent harm that's affecting us throughout these hearings. And if it's to go

1 forward we will have continued imminent harm.
2 COMMISSIONER JUDGE: Okay. Like I said I

3 don't believe that's the intent of our intervention

4 rules. I mean we don't have jurisdiction over

6

7

8

9

10

11

12.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

5 settling the title issue that you're looking for.

There's another -- there's another avenue for that.

And I'm not a land use attorney or anything, but I don't believe that this is the avenue for you to clarify that issue. It's not this intervention process.

MS. BOLOMET: I understand that and I'm not asking you to clarify that. What I'm asking you to do is to notice that the Kahoma does not have control of these lands. So if I were to go and ask the LUC to change the zoning on your house, do I have — even if I make a chain of title — do I really have control of your house, you know? I made the chain of title, but I don't have the, what it takes to meet the requirements for me to come to you to ask you to make a decision on these lands. That's what I'm bringing up.

COMMISSIONER TEVES: Mr. Chairman? I have a question.

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Commissioner Teves.

COMMISSIONER TEVES: This is mostly for

1 staff. Have we determined from Petitioner who holds title to this property? Is it Scott or Bert who's 2 3 handling this? MR. SARUWATARI: I believe the Petition was 4 5 deemed accepted. 6 COMMISSIONER TEVES: So the title is 7 determined. And the Petitioner does have title. 8 MR. SARUWATARI: He met that criteria in 9 the Commission Administrative Rules Chapter 15-15. 10 THE REPORTER: Excuse me. Did you say, 11 "Met the criteria in" what? 12. MR. SARUWATARI: In the Commission's 13 Administrative Rules Chapter 15-15. 14 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Any other questions? 15 Mr. Geiger, would you like to add anything? 16 MR. GEIGER: No. I believe the Commissioners asked the questions that are necessary 17 18 to support our opposition. 19 MS. BOLOMET: May I say something else? 2.0 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Briefly. 21 MS. BOLOMET: I disagree with Commissioner 2.2 Judge. Because according to your rules I do have a 23 standing as a party of interest in this. And that's 24 according to your rules, with my allodial titles. 25 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Thank you. Commissioners,

1 what's your pleasure? 2 COMMISSIONER CHOCK: Chair, move to approve 3 intervention. 4 COMMISSIONER MAKUA: Second. 5 CHAIRMAN LEZY: We have a motion by 6 Commissioner Chock and second by Commissioner Makua. Discussion? 8 COMMISSIONER CHOCK: Chair. 9 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Commissioner Chock. 10 COMMISSIONER CHOCK: I'm in support of your 11 intervention. However, I would like to echo some of 12. the concerns raised by some of my colleagues with 13 respect to this forum not being the appropriate forum 14 or venue for determining who is the appropriate title 15 holder based on our rules and our staff's work that's 16 been done to get us to this point. 17 I think your intervention would be much 18 more helpful on the basis of other issues that may 19 impact your particular interest in the property and 2.0 the proposed Project versus the actual status of the 21 title of the property in question. 22 I think there's another venue that's 23

I think there's another venue that's perhaps more appropriate through the courts to address that. But that would certainly not be the LUC's responsibility. But having said that I do support

24

25

	39
1	your intervention.
2	MS. BOLOMET: Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN LEZY: Further discussion? Would
4	you poll the Commission, please.
5	MR. SARUWATARI: We have a motion to
6	approve Petition to Intervene filed by Routh Bolomet.
7	Commissioner Chock?
8	COMMISSIONER CHOCK: Yes.
9	MR. SARUWATARI: Commission Makua?
10	COMMISSIONER MAKUA: Aye.
11	MR. SARUWATARI: Commissioner Judge?
12	COMMISSIONER JUDGE: No.
13	MR. SARUWATARI: Commissioner Teves?
14	COMMISSIONER TEVES: No.
15	MR. SARUWATARI: Commissioner McDonald?
16	COMMISSIONER McDONALD: Yes.
17	MR. SARUWATARI: Commissioner Contrades?
18	COMMISSIONER CONTRADESS: No.
19	MR. SARUWATARI: Commissioner Heller?
20	COMMISSIONER HELLER: Yes.
21	MR. SARUWATARI: And, Chairperson Lezy?
22	CHAIRMAN LEZY: Yes.
23	MR. SARUWATARI: Chair, we have five votes
24	in support of the motion. Motion passes.
25	CHAIRMAN LEZY: You have been granted

intervention status.

12.

2.0

MS. BOLOMET: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN LEZY: And, again, as a reminder to you you're required to follow all of the rules that any other party has to follow.

And, again, I would strongly encourage you, Ms. Bolomet, to try to temper your very strong feelings, which I can understand, to make sure that as you present yourself before the Commission you do so with decorum and the respect we give to you, you give back to us.

MS. BOLOMET: Absolutely.

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Please contact the staff with regard to dates to submit your hearing filings, witness list, exhibit list, those kinds of things Okay? You can call them probably next week would be better.

MS. BOLOMET: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Okay. The next item is Ms. Lincoln's Petition to Intervene. I will pose the same set of initial questions. Mr. Geiger, you continue to oppose?

MR. GEIGER: Only to the extent that we'd like it limited to the three issues that were particularly raised in the intervention.

1 CHAIRMAN LEZY: County and state, you have 2 no position, correct? 3 That's correct. MR. GIROUX: That's correct. 4 MR. YEE: 5 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Ms. Lincoln, are you 6 agreeable to the limitations that the Petitioner has 7 proposed to the three issues that you've identified? 8 No, I am not. MS. LINCOLN: 9 CHAIRMAN LEZY: Is there something beyond 10 the three issues that you've identified in your 11 Petition? MS. LINCOLN: I like the state motto that 12. 13 "The life of land is perpetuated in righteousness." 14 And I do not know all the different issues that will 15 be brought up. I will not do anything to delay or 16 make your proceedings any longer than they have to be. 17 But if some issue comes up and you're discussing it I 18 do have common sense. I'm not educated but I do have 19 common sense. 20 And I do live in that area. And I just 21 noticed at the county council meetings they would ask, 2.2 for example, Mr. Frampton, the West Maui 23 representative for the West Maui Land. And they would 24 ask him a question. And I'm thinking in my mind, 25 "Well, ask him this." And they didn't really push

things to find out things.

12.

So I guess I just don't want to have, ask a question and then Mr. Geiger be able to say, "Oh, no that's not part of this." I just want to be able to sure — and I'm not gonna ask anything I don't know anything about.

But if there is something —— I'll give you an example: I wasn't able to make it to the first county council meeting but the second one regarding this.

I happened to call State Land Use and say — one of you guys answered the phone, and said, "How come it's in front of the county council if it's over 15 acres? I thought you guys had to decide on it." And he said, "Oh, well, they're going through county council. It's just one of those steps they have to go through."

I'm like, "Okay." I go, "When it does come to you what kind of question will you ask?" And they said, "Oh, we're going to ask questions like where's the water coming from, where the sewage." I'm, like, "Okay."

Then I called the water department. I'm, like, okay, what -- you know, "What sort of this thing with this Project? Why did you approve it? Is there

enough water?" And they said, "No, there's not enough water meters, but because it's the 201-H process we had to issue a Show Me the Water Permit."

12.

So then I called Bob Carrol, the chair of the land, and go, "Why were they given the Show Me the Water permit if there's not enough meters?" And I went through the process. But I don't know.

And he was kind of scolding me like, "Well, you should have brought that up before." And I'm, like, I'm just a lay person. I don't even know the questions to ask. I guess I just don't want to be limited by — if I ask a question I don't want the lawyers saying, "Oh, no. Remember the criteria here." But I'm not gonna do anything.

CHAIRMAN LEZY: You should know,

Ms. Lincoln, that since I've been on the Commission

I've never seen an intervenor who was granted

unlimited intervention, meaning that you're able to

address all issues that may arise during the course of
a hearing.

Typically intervenors are granted a right of intervention in instances where they have a particularized interest in the Petition Area at issue.

MS. LINCOLN: Okay.

CHAIRMAN LEZY: So let me just finish by

saying that you should probably be prepared for the fact that if you are granted intervenor status that will be on a limited basis.

12.

2.0

MS. LINCOLN: I understand.

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Is there anything you'd likely to add?

MS. LINCOLN: Okay. Can you tell me exactly in lay person's term, then, what they want me to limit my testimony on? I'll just see if there's some other area that I think I might want to include.

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Mr. Geiger.

MR. GEIGER: The criteria that we believe her intervention falls under is the impact on natural systems or habitat, maintenance of agricultural resources, and the provision of housing. That, I believe, is a fair reading of the items she raised in her intervention.

And just for Petitioner's benefit, as the Commission knows, there are six criteria which the Commission must review this Petition under. Those are three of the six criteria.

MS. LINCOLN: What are the other three that I would be able to testify on?

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Maybe Mr. Yee will help you out. Let's take two minutes, Mr. Yee, go over that

information with Ms. Lincoln?

12.

2.0

MS. LINCOLN: You don't have to read the whole thing, just briefly what I would not be able to...

CHAIRMAN LEZY: Let's go off the record for two minutes. He'll show it to you.

MS. LINCOLN: And can I just hand out -- I made like a little resumé since I saw the county did. You guys don't have to read it now. But just so you can kinda see where I'm coming from.

CHAIRMAN LEZY: You can give that to our clerk.

(Recess in place.)

CHAIRMAN LEZY: (12:20) Ms. Lincoln, you have a complete understanding now of those six criteria?

MS. LINCOLN: I do. And the only thing I would ask is if I could also be included in item D on the list. Since I did bring up traffic concerns that would be covered under item D on the list. That would be the only additional.

MR. YEE: For the Commission's information she's referring to the commitment of state funds and resources.

CHAIRMAN LEZY: All right. Mr. Geiger, you

1	have a problem with that?
2	MR. GEIGER: No. That would be fine.
3	CHAIRMAN LEZY: All right. With that in
4	mind do we have a motion?
5	COMMISSIONER HELLER: So moved. "Request"
6	to Petition subject to the limitations stated.
7	COMMISSIONER McDONALD: Second.
8	CHAIRMAN LEZY: Discussion?
9	Mr. Saruwatari, poll the Commission, please.
10	MR. SARUWATARI: On the motion to approve
11	the Petition to Intervene filed by Michelle Lincoln
12	with the limitations stated by the Movant:
13	Commissioner Heller?
14	COMMISSIONER HELLER: Yes.
15	MR. SARUWATARI: Commissioner McDonald?
16	COMMISSIONER McDONALD: Yes.
17	MR. SARUWATARI: Commissioner Judge?
18	COMMISSIONER JUDGE: Yes.
19	MR. SARUWATARI: Commissioner Teves?
20	COMMISSIONER TEVES: Yes.
21	MR. SARUWATARI: Commissioner Contrades?
22	COMMISSIONER CONTRADES: Yes.
23	MR. SARUWATARI: Commissioner Makua?
24	COMMISSIONER MAKUA: Aye.
25	MR. SARUWATARI: Commissioner Chock?

1	COMMISSIONER CHOCK: Yes.
2	MR. SARUWATARI: And, Chair Lezy?
3	CHAIRMAN LEZY: Yes.
4	MR. SARUWATARI: Chair, we have eight votes
5	in support of the motion. Motion passes.
6	CHAIRMAN LEZY: Ms. Lincoln, you heard the
7	discussion I had with Ms. Bolomet. I would encourage
8	you along the same lines, please, again contact staff
9	next week with regard to submission of your hearing
10	papers.
11	MS. LINCOLN: What exactly am who am I
12	supposed to call and what am I supposed to submit
13	exactly?
14	CHAIRMAN LEZY: You can call our executive
15	officer. And he will be expecting your call. He will
16	discuss with you the deadlines that you have in order
17	to submit the papers that you have to share with the
18	Commission and with the other parties regarding any
19	witnesses you intend to offer, exhibits you intend to
20	offer, things along those lines. Okay?
21	MS. LINCOLN: All right. Thank you.
22	CHAIRMAN LEZY: Anything else, folks?
23	MR. GEIGER: Chair, just a matter of
24	clarification. You had indicated in connection with
25	the last intervention that generally the interventions

1	are limited. I wasn't clear under the Commission's
2	actions whether Ms. Bolomet's intervention was limited
3	in its intervention. So I'm just asking for
4	clarification.
5	CHAIRMAN LEZY: And that would be the one
6	intervention that I've seen since I've been on the
7	Commission that was not limited.
8	MR. GEIGER: Okay. Thank you. I just
9	wanted clarification.
10	CHAIRMAN LEZY: Thank you. Anything else?
11	Thank you very much. We're adjourned.
12	
13	
14	(The proceedings were adjourned at 12:20 p.m.)
15	000000
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

2.0

CERTIFICATE

I, HOLLY HACKETT, CSR, RPR, in and for the State of Hawai'i, do hereby certify;

That I was acting as court reporter in the foregoing LUC matter on the 6th day of June 2012;

That the proceedings were taken down in computerized machine shorthand by me and were thereafter reduced to print by me;

That the foregoing represents, to the best of my ability, a true and correct transcript of the proceedings had in the foregoing matter.

DATED: This______day of_______2012

HOLLY M. HACKETT, HI CSR #130, RPR Certified Shorthand Reporter

