| 1 | |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | LAND USE COMMISSION |
| 3 | STATE OF HAWAI'I |
| 4 | CONTINUED HEARING |
| 5 | A12-795 WEST MAUI LAND COMPANY, INC.) KAHOMA RESIDENTIAL, LLC) |
| 6 |) |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS |
| 10 | |
| 11 | The above-entitled matter came on for a Public Hearing |
| 12 | at the Courtyard Maui Kahului Airport, Haleakala Room, |
| 13 | 532 Keolani Place, Kahului, Maui, Hawai'i, commencing |
| 14 | at 9:30 a.m. on October 4, 2012, pursuant to Notice. |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | REPORTED BY: HOLLY M. HACKETT, CSR #130, RPR |
| 20 | REPORTED BY: HOLLY M. HACKETT, CSR #130, RPR Certified Shorthand Reporter |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

| | | Z | | | |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 1 | APPEA | RANCES | | | |
| 2 | COMMISSIONERS: | | | | |
| 3 | SHELDON BIGA THOMAS CONTRADES | | | | |
| 4 | RONALD HELLER, Presiding Officer LANCE M. INOUYE | | | | |
| 5 | ERNEST MATSUMURA | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | |
| 7 | EXECUTIVE OFFICER: DAN ORODENKER | | | | |
| 8 | CHIEF CLERK: RILEY HAKODA STAFF PLANNER: SCOTT DERRICKSON | | | | |
| 9 | DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL: SZ | ARAH HIRAKAMI, ESQ. | | | |
| 10 | AUDIO TECHNICIAN: WALTER | MENCHING | | | |
| 11 | | | | | |
| 12 | Docket No. A12-795 West Mar | ui Land, Inc. (Maui) | | | |
| 13 | For the Petitioner: | JAMES GEIGER, ESQ. HEIDI BIGELOW | | | |
| 14 | | West Maui Land Co. Inc. | | | |
| 15 | For the County: | JAMES GIROUX, ESO. | | | |
| 16 | TOT CHE COUNTRY. | Deputy Corporation Counsel KURT WOLLENHAUPT, Planner | | | |
| 17 | For the State: | BRYAN YEE, ESQ. | | | |
| 18 | TOT CHE BEACE. | Deputy Attorney General RODNEY FUNAKOSHI, OP | | | |
| 19 | | TODIVET TOTAL CONTINUE OF | | | |
| 20 | Intervenor: | MICHELE LINCOLN | | | |
| 21 | Intervenor: | ROUTH BOLOMET | | | |
| 22 | Also Present: | KUMU MICHAEL LEE | | | |
| 23 | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | |

| | | | 3 |
|---------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|---|
| 1 | INDEX | | |
| 2 | PUBLIC WITNESSES | PAGE | |
| 3 | CLARE APANA | 10 | |
| 4 | DOCKET WITNESSES | | |
| 5 | MICHELE LINCOLN | | |
| 6 7 | Cross-Examination by Mr. Geiger Cross-Examination by Mr. Giroux Cross-Examination by Ms. Bolomet | 49 74 84 | |
| 8 | ROBIN KNOX | | |
| 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Direct Examination by Ms. Bolomet Voir Dire Examination by Mr. Yee Cont'd Direct Examination by Ms. Bolomet Cross-Examination by Mr. Geiger Cross-Examination by Ms. Giroux Cross-Examination by Mr. Yee Cross-Examination by Ms. Lincoln Redirect Examination by Ms. Bolomet Recross Examination by Mr. Geiger MICHAEL LEE Direct Examination by Ms. Bolomet | 96 135 135 140 166 178 187 194 206 | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| | | | |

1 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Let's get The first order of business is the adoption 2 started. 3 of minutes of our September 14th meeting. Does 4 anybody have corrections or comments on the minutes? 5 Is there a motion to approve? 6 COMMISSIONER INOUYE: So moved. 7 COMMISSIONER MATSUMURA: Second. 8 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: All in favor say 9 (voting: Aye) Any opposed? Okay. Tentative 10 meeting schedule. Dan, you want to give us a quick 11 update? 12. MR. ORODENKER: Yes, Mr. Chair. The next 13 meeting of the Land Use Commission will be on 14 October 19th on the Big Island. It will be Y-O 15 Limited Partnership. November 1st and 2nd we'll be 16 back here on Maui for Kauonoulu Ranch Order to Show 17 Cause motions. 18 November 15th and 16th is the continuation 19 of the Kauonoulu Ranch Orders to Show Cause. 20 And December 6th and 7th we will be back 21 for the West Maui Land oral argument and 2.2 decision-making. That takes us through the calendar 23 'til the end of the year. 24 XX 25 XX

1 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Thank you. 2 item on the docket is A12-795 West Maui Land Company, 3 Inc. Kahoma Residential LLC, Maui to reconsider the 4 reclassification of approximately 16.7 acres of land 5 from the Agricultural District to the Urban District 6 at Lahaina, Maui, Hawai'i for a residential 7 subdivision to provide 68 single-family affordable 8 housing units to families earning less than 160 of the 9 median family income of families in Maui County, 10 Hawai'i TMK No. (2) 4-5-10:005. 11 Will the parties please identify themselves 12. for the record. 13 MR. GEIGER: Good morning, Chair, 14 Commissioners. James Geiger on behalf of the 15 Petitioner. Also present with me is the Petitioner's 16 representative Heidi Bigelow. MR. GIROUX: James Giroux on behalf of the 17 18 Department of Planning County of Maui. And with me is 19 Kurt Wollenhaupt, Planner. 20 MR. YEE: Good morning. Deputy Attorney 21 General Bryan Yee on behalf of the Office of Planning. 2.2 With me is Rodney Funakoshi from the Office of 23 Planning. 24 MS. LINCOLN: Michele Lincoln Intervenor. 25 MS. BOLOMET: Routh Bolomet Intervenor.

1 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Thank you. Let 2 me update the record in this matter. The following 3 materials were received at the September 7th, 2012 LUC 4 meeting on Maui: From Michael Lee, Clare Apana and 5 Intervenor Bolomet, written correspondence from Clare 6 Apana, Daniel Kanahele regarding Michael Lee, and 7 Kaniloa Lani Kamaunu regarding Michael Lee. 8 A copy of the email from Stephen Gingerich, 9 Ph.D. Research Hydrologist to Routh Bolomet; papers 10 referring to Michael Lee marked as Exhibits 1-6 not 11 attached to any document. 12. Advertisement of Pule Kala and Kapu Kapu 13 Ceremony for the Pu'uone of Wailuku and Waikapu 14 traditional Hawaiian ceremonies with Kahu Mike Lee 15 March 3 and 4, 2012. 16 From Michele Lincoln: Exhibit 15, revised 17 testimony of Elle Cochran, substituted for earlier 18 submitted testimony. 19 From Maui County: A bio of Rowena 20 Dagdag-Andaya, Deputy Director - Maui County 21 Department of Public Works, County Exhibit 9; 22 From Petitioner Maui Land: Petitioner's 23 Rebuttal Exhibit List, Exhibit 40. 24 From Intervenor Bolomet: Papers Marked: 25 Grant 11073 to Pioneer Mill Company, Ltd.; Grant 2998

1 to W.Ap. Johnes J-o-h-n-e-s being also a portion of Parcel 5-A Kahoma Stream Flood Control Project. 3 Motion to Disallow Michael Dega's Incomplete Archaeological Assessment submitted with Papers marked 4 5 out of sequence Exhibit 1, 2, 3, 3a, 4, 5, and 6. On September 11th, 2012 the Commission 6 7 received returned mailing of Certified Mailing of 8 Certified Mail Return Receipt Requested sent to 9 Intervenor Bolomet with errata notice re: correction 10 to Bolomet order. 11 On September 14, 2012 the Commission 12. received written correspondence from Cindy Blair. 13 On September 18th, 2012 the Commission 14 received return mail from U.S. Postal Service 15 containing agenda notice sent to Intervenor Bolomet 16 marked "P. O. Box closed USPS unable to forward"; 17 Petitioner's Memorandum in Opposition to 18 Intervenor's Motion to Disallow Michael Dega's 19 Incomplete Archaeological Assessment; Appendices 1-2, 2.0 Exhibit 1. 21 The LUC sent an e-mail to investigate the 22 cause of postal and telecommunication problems with 23 Intervenor Bolomet. 24 On September 21, 2012 the Commission 25 received Petitioner's declaration of Michael Dega.

On September 24, 2012 the Commission received an e-mail from Intervenor Bolomet providing her explanation for her postal and telecommunication problems and what was being done to resolve them.

12.

2.2

On the same day the Commission also received the Office of Planning's Memorandum in Opposition to Intervenor Routh Bolomet's Motion to Disallow Michael Dega's Incomplete Archaeological Assessment.

On September 26, 2012 the Commission mailed the agenda notice for the October 4-5, 2012 LUC meeting to the parties and to the statewide and Maui mailing lists.

On September 27, 2012 the Commission received Maui County's Joinder in Petitioner's Memorandum in Opposition to Intervenor's Motion to disallow Michael Dega's Incomplete Archaeological Assessment.

Let me briefly describe our procedure for today on this docket. First, I will call for those individuals desiring to provide public testimony to identify themselves. All such individuals will be called in turn to our witness box where they will be sworn in prior to their testimony.

If the proceedings on this matter are not

concluded today, they will resume tomorrow at 9:00 a.m. Public testimony for this agenda item, however, will be taken today only.

12.

The Commission will then consider any additional exhibits that the parties wish to offer into evidence.

After the admission of exhibits, if any,
Intervenor Bolomet will make her presentation on her
Motion to Disallow Michael Dega's Incomplete
Archaeological Assessment.

After the completion of her presentation we will receive any comments from Petitioner West Maui Land, Inc., Maui County, the State Office of Planning and/or Intervenor Lincoln.

After we have received the comments of the Petitioner, the County and the State and Ms. Lincoln, the Chair will decide on Ms. Bolomet's motion.

After the Chair has decided on Ms. Bolomet's motion we will continue proceedings on Docket No. A12-795 starting with the remainder of Intervenor Lincoln's testimony and presentation.

We will then hear from Intervenor Bolomet and rebuttal witness, Rory Frampton.

The Chair anticipates closing the evidence in this docket by tomorrow. I'd like to add a comment

1 here that we very much want to get through the 2 evidentiary portion of this hearing. And it's our 3 goal if not today to at least complete by tomorrow. Are there any questions regarding our planned 4 5 procedures for today? 6 MR. GEIGER: No questions, Chair. 7 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Hearing none, is 8 there anyone in the audience who desires to provide public testimony on this matter? Has anyone signed up 9 10 for public testimony? 11 MR. ORODENKER: We have no one signed up. 12. PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Is there anyone 13 present who wishes to provide public testimony? 14 That's okay. You can just step forward. Before 15 we start is there anyone else present who desires to 16 provide public testimony? 17 MS. BOLOMET: Can I say something? We have 18 some farmers who would like to come but on Thursdays 19 are the days that they do their chores on their farms. 20 So they might come, be able to come in the afternoon. 21 Would there be an opportunity for them to 22 speak then? Because it's every Thursday and you're not going to have any public testimony on Friday. 23 24 The meeting was PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: 25 announced and scheduled. And those people who want to

1 provide public testimony are being given that 2 opportunity right now. We're going to proceed. 3 MS. BOLOMET: Okay. 4 CLARE APANA 5 being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined 6 and testified as follows: 7 THE WITNESS: Yes. 8 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Please state 9 your name, and then your address for the record 10 please. 11 THE WITNESS: Clare Apana, 220 Halenani 12. Drive, Wailuku, Maui. 13 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Ms. Apana, let 14 me remind you that previous testimony is already part 15 of the record and need not be repeated. Please go 16 ahead. 17 THE WITNESS: Thank you. Thank you for 18 allowing me to come to testify before you, 19 Commissioners and Intervenors and developers, staff. It's been a wonderful, long process for myself. And I 2.0 21 have truly learned a great deal in doing this Project. 22 And today I just want to clarify a point 23 which is a very pertinent point for those of us who 24 believe in defending our culture and our cultural 25 rights in this state.

Sometimes what I've seen from the different AIS's that I have read people do very, very good jobs. I know you read all the EIS's and the DEIS's, FEIS's, EA's, FEA's. And you know that sometimes they're very, very long, very detailed and sometimes very short.

12.

But in the case of the one that comes with this Project I have never seen one where lands that are not in the Project are the ones that are described as being the lands of the Project. And I cannot say why it's that way or what purpose there was in doing such a thing or was it just a simple mistake.

But I want to show you how far — I don't know if you have much — you know, I know you drove out and had the site visit. But if you know where Lahaina Town is to the site and the beginning of Lahaina Town, that is where the Project lands are being described in this report. And it is 7-miles away from where the Kahoma Project is.

(Stepping up to map) It's here. And so if you can see all of this in between that's how far apart it is. I mean I ask you. You can go up and look at it. But I testified the last time and said that how far it was. It is 7 miles apart.

There's something wrong with that. And

1 it's not even to say this is my culture, you know, you 2 must respect me. I have found this. 3 It is the wrong area. And I ask you to please take that into consideration that this is not 4 5 It's not, it's not acceptable to have such complete. 6 work. And I know Mr. Dega. And I've spoken to him 7 for years. I've watched him in Burial Council. 8 watched him in different Planning Commission meetings. 9 And I have never seen this so bad. 10 those questions, but this is really the wrong place. 11 So I thank you for letting me say that today. 12. PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Okay. Thank 13 you. 14 MR. GEIGER: No questions. 15 MR. GIROUX: No questions from the County. 16 THE WITNESS: And I broke your mic. It's 17 falling down, if somebody wants to fix it. 18 MR. YEE: No questions. 19 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Ms. Lincoln? 20 MS. LINCOLN: No questions. 21 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Ms. Bolomet? 22 MS. BOLOMET: Ms. Apana. The TMKs that are 23 listed in the archaeological report, are they all of 24 'em? Are they part of the proposed Project site? 25 THE WITNESS: No, they're not.

1 MS. BOLOMET: And are those the ones that 2 you were pointing out the two different areas because 3 they were in two different areas? 4 THE WITNESS: Yes. They're describing a 5 different piece, portion of Maui than is this Project. 6 MS. BOLOMET: Have you ever known of a 7 project to have an archaeological report to propose 8 TMKs or LCAs in two different areas? 9 MR. GEIGER: Chair, I'm going to object. 10 This seems to be very far afield from what the 11 witness's testimony was. And she's not being offered 12. as an expert. It's just public testimony which should 13 be limited to this Project. 14 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Ms. Bolomet, 15 please confine your questions to what Ms. Apana 16 testified about rather than going into new questions. 17 If you have any questions about what she said those are appropriate questions. 18 19 MS. BOLOMET: Okay. Do you have 20 anything else to say? 21 THE WITNESS: Thank you for allowing me to 22 be part of this process. 23 MS. BOLOMET: Thank you. 24 Commissioners, PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: 25 any questions? Thank you. That concludes public

1 testimony. Do any of the parties have any additional 2 exhibits that they wish to offer at this time? 3 Petitioner? Yes. We would have one 4 MR. GEIGER: 5 additional exhibit. We would expect it would come in 6 during the rebuttal of Mr. Frampton but I have it here 7 available now and I can hand it out for everybody if 8 you'd like. Or I can do it during a break, whichever 9 is the staff's preference. 10 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Okay. Let's do 11 it at the break. 12. MR. GEIGER: Thank you. 13 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: County? 14 MR. GIROUX: We have no additional exhibits 15 at this time. 16 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: OP? 17 MR. YEE: Nothing more. 18 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Ms. Lincoln? 19 MS. LINCOLN: No. 2.0 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Ms. Bolomet? 21 MS. BOLOMET: I'll have one additional but 22 I can hand it out at the break. 23 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Okay. Thank 24 That brings us to Ms. Bolomet's motion.

Ms. Bolomet, go ahead and make whatever presentation

25

you want to make at this time.

12.

MS. BOLOMET: My motion was to have Mr. Dega's archaeological report removed or excluded because it was incompleted — or incomplete from, based on just the criteria that it was supposed to represent all the lots in the Project Area. In there it only represents four of the LCAs.

And the reason that I found that so important to know, see the boundary descriptions of all the LCAs is because in the other LCAs it actually points out archaeological features.

And had they included those you would see that where the trenches were put, actually dug, was nowhere near any of these archaeological features.

So if you dig in an area that's far away from archaeological features, you're not gonna find archaeological features. But those survey notes and boundary notes are actually a road map to tell you where to look.

And also there's maps that they were referring to, 1884 maps. They put these microscopic maps in. So I got the big map and we found some other maps. And it actually shows the archaeological features of the site. And none of the trenches are near those features.

Now, this is the first time I've ever read an Archaeological Assessment. So I was quite confused as to why the cover at SHPD would have one name on it called Makila I think. And then in the Petition it has another name. It says Moali'i, but then it includes LCAs from Aki, LCAs from Moali'i and then other LCAs Kilawea.

12.

So it was very confusing to me. And I kept digging and digging and just following their own evidence. And it was conflicting. It seemed like there were things either mistakenly left out or purposely left out. But the things that were left out showed the archaeological features. And, therefore, I felt that it was incomplete.

And I understand the rules are unless you have a complete picture of what the site is, it's very difficult for you as Commissioners to make a decision as to if this should be changed into urban.

From what I can see there's going to be houses built on precious archaeological finds that — I did a lot of digging and I've actually submitted a letter to Theresa Donham which is the archaeology person at SHPD pointing out point by point.

It's a 16-page letter of all the things that we found that were either missing or conflicting.

And, you know, that's a lot of things that are not correct.

12.

2.0

To me it makes it an incomplete survey.

'Cause in your, in the rules it goes point by point all the things that need to be covered. And those things aren't fully represented. So I don't know the procedure. I'm told that you cannot make a judgment on a SHPD report.

However, you should be able to make a judgment on whether something fulfilled the criteria or not whether there's a letter in there or not because we're talking about two different areas.

So in my mind it's obvious that it did not fulfill the criteria. That's my argument.

PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Okay. Anything else you want to say at this point?

MS. BOLOMET: Please take this into serious consideration because I think it will be of great imminent harm to my culture and our cultural practices that occurs on the land.

PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Thank you. Petitioner, any response?

MR. GEIGER: Yes. I'm not going to repeat what was in the Memorandum in Opposition, assume the Chair's read it since the Chair's going to rule.

Effectively the motion's defective because procedurally it doesn't meet the requirements.

12.

There has to be an affidavit in support of any of the exhibits that are not part of the record.

No affidavit is attached to the motion.

The second thing is, as the Chair has heard, this argument only goes to the weight, it doesn't go to anything else. All of these things should have been brought up in cross exam of Mr. Dega so he would have an opportunity to respond to all those.

The choice was not to bring any of this up in Mr. Dega's questioning but, instead, to go ahead and bring it up in a motion after he's done.

Obviously they're now arguing about the weight of

this. They're not arguing about anything else. The motion should be denied in all respects.

PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: County?

MR. GIROUX: We have no argument. We join in the objection.

PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: OP?

MR. YEE: As we indicated, to the extent the request is to exclude the documents and the testimony that the time period to make that objection has passed. In fact she's stipulated to the admission

of the documents. We believe that right was waived.

To the extent she's asking for a determination under Chapter 6E, that is not within the Land Use Commission's jurisdiction to make a determination of incompleteness under 6E.

To the extent she's asking simply that she does not believe that this particular Archaeological Assessment fulfills the criteria under the Land Use Commission's determination as to whether this land should be reclassified, that is simply an argument that should be brought up at the time of final argument and is not appropriate to bring up at this time. For these reasons we oppose the motion.

PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Thank you.

Ms. Lincoln?

12.

MS. LINCOLN: No comment.

17 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Ms. Bolomet,

18 | I'll give you a chance to rebut.

MS. BOLOMET: Okay. Thank you. So I keep hearing there's rules that I'm supposed to follow and with affidavits and that sort of thing. And I prepared a whole stack of evidence that I actually have sent to Theresa Donham. But I was told I couldn't put those in because it was after the timeframe.

However, it wasn't until I heard Mr. Dega speak in Lahaina that it just — it really didn't make sense to me. So I went looking. Up to that point when I looked at this Petition, first of all I didn't even know what to really look at, you know. I mean I read it. I assumed it was true. But as we kept looking, and as time went on we kept seeing the contradictions.

12.

So it's kind of impossible to, when you're not familiar with an area that you don't live in every day to know all the nuances of that area. Obviously I think some of the things that we pulled out just by looking at different archaeological reports that are in SHPD, there was some obvious things that were missed that could have been put in. And Mr. Dega, I believe, said that they get their information from SHPD reports.

So I just looked at the same things that they looked at. And I didn't even know to go and look at these things because I just assumed everything in there was truthful, everything was complete just like you assumed that everything is complete.

So until you start hearing contradictions from what you read and what they're saying you don't go looking. And that's why I didn't know to put

things in.

12.

2.0

I didn't know to look for additional evidence because I didn't hear it until I believe it was August 20th where we had the testimony with Mr. Dega. And I was told I had to get everything in by August 1st. But by August 1st I wasn't aware of these things.

So it's a bit difficult and I think prejudicial if I can't bring in additional information to rebut the very things that were said that came out that made it evident that it was, there were some contradictions that needed to be looked at. And nobody else is looking. And I did. And I found, you know, the contradictions.

So for that reason I don't know the procedure to ask how to do this. I understand you're not SHPD and you can't make a determination. But I'm under the understanding that you can make a determination if something is in an area and represented properly or not.

I don't think that takes the State
Historical Preservation people to determine that.
It's right on the map in front of us. So I didn't
think that would take a big procedural go 'around with
SHPD to make that decision.

So I'm asking that based on what's in front of us — I know you can't change SHPD's report. And in time I hope they will give us a rescinding letter and ask for an archaeological study.

12.

2.2

But up 'til now this is, you know, in the timeframe that I had this is was what we were able to support. And I have a very thick set of documents, 53 pages of evidence, showing what we found in there through other archaeological reports.

Oh, and can we speak to Mike Dega again tomorrow? I mean is that part of the rebuttal? Or should we just stop here with my evidence?

PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: The rebuttal witnesses called by each party are up to that party.

I don't know if there's any intent to bring them back.

In any event I don't think that question is before us right now.

MS. BOLOMET: Okay.

PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Let me just clarify. The motion seeks, as I understand it, to strike Petitioner's Exhibit 7 or at least the archaeological assessment that's included in Petitioner's Exhibit 7 and Petitioner's Exhibit 19 which is the written testimony of Mr. Dega, correct?

MS. BOLOMET: That's correct.

1 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Is there any 2 dispute that those exhibits were provided to you in a 3 timely manner in accordance with our procedural order? MS. BOLOMET: No, there's no dispute on 4 5 that. 6 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Then the ruling 7 I'm going to make is that motion is denied in so far 8 as you're seeking to strike those exhibits from the They are part of the record. They were 9 10 admitted by stipulation. They were timely provided. 11 Any objections could have and should have been raised 12. at the time they were offered to be admitted. 13 Now, to the extent you're challenging the 14 credibility of the Archaeological Assessment or the 15 testimony of Mr. Dega, you have every right to argue the credibility. And, in fact, you've done that by 16 17 submitting your motion. You will have further 18 opportunities to submit final argument, and to submit 19 proposed findings and conclusions. 20 You can make whatever points you want to 21 make regarding his credibility or the asserted lack 2.2 thereof. But the motion to strike the exhibits from 23 the record is denied.

MS. BOLOMET: Okay.

24

25

PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Which I think

now gets us to proceeding with Ms. Lincoln's case.

12.

MS. LINCOLN: Thank you. Do I need to be sworn in again or just get started?

PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: I guess if you're testifying we should officially have you do it under oath.

MICHELE LINCOLN

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

MS. LINCOLN: I do.

PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Proceed.

MS. LINCOLN: There was some questions last time about where my witnesses lived. So I put little Post It notes, I don't remember who asked, but just showing where they lived, if that's important. And then these were the condos and the townhouses here that are all developed. And then this is the Weinberg property I was telling you about that was 24 acres.

I tried to find out how many homes are going in there. I was not able to get that number, but it is part of the General Plan that they were working on there.

Also I just wanted to make sure a couple things that I said last time to clarify. When I quoted Elle Cochran's letter and she said that the

general planning committee allowed for 16,000 units on West Maui, thousands of those are single-family dwellings but those also include multi-family dwelling and timeshare.

12.

2.2

So I just wanted to make sure that's not all single-family dwellings. That's the capacity for units. I just don't want to misrepresent anything.

But my point is that there's plenty of pre-approved housing already on the books. And one of the other things I wanted to bring up was when we were talking about the retention basin I had said West Nile Virus from the mosquito population. It's dengue fever. I wanted to make sure I clarified that. We do not have West Nile in Hawai'i. Thank goodness. We have a mosquito that carries it but we don't have it. I meant to say "dengue fever". So my point there, I guess, was just that mosquitoes can cause harm and just something to be considered.

And also when we were talking about digging the 8-foot retention basin they were talking about hitting bedrock. Well, the section all along that whole property was previously a stream. And so you hit bedrock in less than 8 feet. And I believe sewer lines go below that.

So I just never really considered that

before until I started hearing about the bedrock
issue, that that is gonna be quite a noise for either
blasting or pounding to get it out. That will be a
significant impact to the existing neighborhood
because it will take longer. And it will be more of a
noise thing which I never really considered before we
talked about that.

(Approaching maps) And I was finishing up talking about the flooding. Just to kind of show on the property here. This is where, this wall is at the top of the property, then this comes down.

12.

When we did the tour they were saying that the kind of housing starts more at the bottom of the treeline and goes down here.

As you can see when the water comes this is the direction. This side is all going to be developed eventually on the finishing phases of Emerald Plaza. But you can see when the water comes down how it comes up here. So if something were to happen with that retention wall, or if floodings gonna happen, this is the natural flow 'cause that's the low spot right there.

And I also wanted to point out the bypass bridge -- you may have remembered seeing that -- is above this wall. Then there's a bridge at the bottom

of the property which the people from this property would be using to access in and out of the property.

12.

Then it has one more bridge that crosses Highway 30 and one more bridge at the bottom that crosses Front Street. So there's a series of four bridges that cross this property.

And I just wanted to bring up a couple flood facts which I got from floodsmart.gov and FEMA.gov. Quote, "Flash floods are the number 1 weather-related killer in the U.S. They can roll boulders, tear out trees and destroy buildings and bridges.

Zone X, which is what this property is, zone X for flooding is considered moderate to low risk areas. The risk of being flooded is reduced but not completely removed. These areas submit 20 percent of the National Flood Insurance program claims and receive one third of disaster assistance for flooding.

Again, who's liable in the event of a flood? I don't believe it should be the Petitioner because they may not be around when it happens or they may not have the finances to be able to compensate the families that are living there. But I just think that that is something being built next to a flood channel that does need to be addressed.

Continuing on with my presentation. On Page 68 I had done a revised Kahoma Residential Subdivision. When I met with Isaac Hall for that consult on how to write this paper, he said, you know, "You need to have an alternative to denial." And I had this blank look on my face. He goes, "You don't know what I'm saying."

12.

I'm like, "No." He goes, "You need to have a Plan B." I'm like "Okay." So he hands me this paper. And he had penciled in the two lots behind where my house is on one of the Petitioner's papers that they had submitted.

And he goes, "Ask them to put the park there instead." And I looked up at him and he goes, "You're not gonna do that, are you." And I'm like, "No, I am not."

And he says, "Will you promise me you'll do an alternative to denial and come up with a plan?" I says, "I will but it's not gonna be asking them to put the park in my backyard."

So I started thinking about it. I'm like:
Okay. If they feel like they have to have these
houses, and all the other thousands of houses that are
pre-approved for the west side are not adequate, we
need 68 more, then what could we do.

So I tried to think what would be best for the entire community. So I started thinking about it. One of the main issues is the traffic because of our old existing neighborhood. You can put in a cul-de-sac there. You can get a county waiver to do that. So if they made it a cul-de-sac for those 68 homes so that there's not through traffic, that would alleviate a lot of the concerns for the local neighborhood.

12.

The other is taking away our only open space and park. By leaving a larger area at the top that would not just service this small neighborhood but the entire existing community. That would be meeting some of the need you're taking away. Lot of the acreage but it could have several acres to actually have a real park in at the top so we have nice views like we've got now. At least we'd salvage that.

By putting the bike paths and the walking, the sidewalks behind their properties, if you look at my plan they go around the outside perimeter of it. When you do go walking like you're hearing Jane talk about walking with her dog, crossing 68 driveways would be problematic.

But if you could walk around and you're not

crossing anybody's driveways, little kids riding their bikes and their little scooters and whatnot, the property of the bike path and the walking path went around the backside perimeter that would also protect that.

12.

In addition to that, also it would be more affordable because the lots would be smaller so they wouldn't be able to put 'ohanas on 'em. So therefore the impacts at schools — like right now the Princess Nahienaena said they were 80 students overcapacity from last year. They're a hundred students over. They're lacking two teachers at this point.

They don't even have, I think it's the kindergarten, and I'm not sure if it's a third or fourth grade teacher. But they're short staffed right now without even adding these additional homes.

So just by having a little smaller community would help with the less negative impact. So that's what some of my things... One of my things on there is moving the rocks from behind my house up to that property.

And back in June when this paper was due I had only four days to work on it. And I work fulltime so I only had, like, three hours of sleep at night.

And I'm working on this thing.

I told my husband — it was on Father's Day, I go, "Can you just celebrate Father's Day 'til 3 o'clock? And can you help me 'til you go to bed just type some stuff? 'Cause else I'm not going to get it done in time to get it in the mail on that date."

12.

So he comes in at 3. This is about the part where I was at and he's typing this part about the boulders. And he's, like, "They've got to move the rocks to the park." I'm like, "Yes."

He goes, "That's a deal breaker." I'm, like, for me it is because those rocks right behind my house are kind of in a semi-circle. I go, "Those are my Ebenezer." I don't know if you're familiar with an Ebenezer.

But an Ebenezer is a rock of remembrance. You don't worship the rocks but you look at the rock and you're reminded of God's faithfulness in the past. What has he done for you in the past? And when you're going through really difficult times, which we all go through, you look at them and you go, "What am I thankful for right now? What is God doing in my life right now?" And then you just know He's going to sustain you to the end so that you can be assured that He's going to be with you.

And you probably know Ebenezer best by the

movie that comes out at Christmastime, "A Christmas Carol," and Ebenezer Scrooge and he has the ghost of Christmas Past, Christmas Present and Christmas Future.

12.

And that's exactly what State Land Use does. You look at the past use of this land, what was this land used for in the past. You're looking at what's the present use, what are we using it for right now and what's going to be the best future use.

So with that in mind that's what I kinda wanna look at. So the past would be Hawaiian history is acknowledged. No one here has denied that there was a Hawaiian village there and this is where Hawaiian history happened.

But what they're saying is there's not enough physical evidence because it was compromised by 150 years of plantation history. So it's basically two histories that have collided in this one particular area.

The beauty of it is is that it is historical. It can be remembered. And because it's not sacred ground, they're not finding these sacred spots necessarily, it's a place that the public can actually go on and enjoy and learn and not have this, you know, area that's roped off that you can't go

there. But it's definitely historical.

12.

The proximity to the elementary school, intermediate school, high school, the Maui College annex is right across the highway from where this property is.

They could teach agricultural, study of nature, history, culture. Let people see how history collided and they can go to that rock pile and go, "Heiau or push pile?" They're both history.

What's the present day use? The present day use, if you watched my DVD, is used as natural beauty. It's used as the study of nature. You probably are wondering on my Page 13 of my exhibit there's this, like, picture of this bird in the corner. It's just a small one. But I never carry my camera out there. I just walk out there. I thought, well, if I can find someone to make that disk I'll do it. If I can't I won't worry about it.

And so I'm walking out there with my camera and six giant frigate birds, they have a 7-foot wing span — they flew right over my head and circled for a long time. Now, I have a camera that doesn't have a little view finder so I just had to point my camera up in the sky and shoot. But I got a picture. And that's why I put it in there.

You're probably wondering why did she do that? But you see Nene out there. You see all kinds of really amazing birds. But these frigate birds were the most amazing I've seen out there. There were six of them and they just hovered for a long time and then they flew away. They came back again the next time, the next day and I haven't seen them since. But also we used to have an owl —

THE REPORTER: Ms. Lincoln, would you just --

12.

MS. LINCOLN: Slow down? I'm sorry. Also I have a story of an owl that hunted out there for years. Their expert didn't see it. That's too bad because it was an amazing thing to see.

But a little boy moved in our neighborhood — he's actually pictured on Page 53 where I was showing the height of the illegal dirt that was put at the bottom there — but Kai moved in in March of 2005. So I know the owl was there at that time and after that because he's the one who named it Moon Face.

And so Moon Face hunted out there. We would see him. We walked more like along the road there with our dogs and then Moon Face would hunt for mice and rats and whatnot out there. And then those

dead trees behind our house, Kai calls the Halloween trees. He'd go up in those trees and eat his prey. It was pretty amazing to watch.

12.

I do know that Moon Face was still there in July 2009 because we adopted a little dog that's pictured on one of the pages in here too. And the dog weighed about 13 pounds when we got here. I noticed we were walking and Moon Face was flying right overhead. I'm thinking, "Cool."

All these years I've been out here walking and I've never seen Moon Face that close. Then I realized it was checking out Emma, my little dog. So I had to go rescue my dog.

But those are the two memories I know for sure that the owl was still there then. Moon Face is gone. I don't know what happened to him, if he was killed or died of natural causes. But he was there during that time.

I have seen other owls out there but I've not seen them hunt regularly like that one. So I do know that the study of nature does go on.

Of course, it's a buffer zone between the present use for this land, be a buffer zone between the light industrial and the existing housing.

There's walking, jogging, biking done out there. It's

a dog park. That's how Jane and I met walking our dogs out there. It's a playground, a driving range and other recreational activities.

12.

The county has used it since the Kahoma Flood Channel was built for dumping silt and dirt, sand, sludge, driftwood, anything that would clean out and maintain the flood control and any of the other debris areas they've been dumping.

So that major part at the bottom was just more recently. But through the years every winter they clear out the flood channel there. So the county uses that land.

Also access for machinery. I was gonna point this out. Okay. (pointing to map) This is the county access right here. When we did our tour, when you guys did the onsite visit you actually drove up right here. There is a cliff right here. Because of the angle of this and coming around to here, regular trucks can make it. They clean out the basin.

But the big machinery that they needed to get up to build the footings of the bridge and to build the bypass bridge, one of the big machines went over the cliff there.

So they had to bring in big boulders and rocks so that these machines could get enough force to

get up this little incline here and around the corner and then up. So I don't know if you guys remember that when you were in the van. They kinda had to make a little right for it here to get around. But that part that we actually drove on is not the county access road. That belongs to Kahoma.

12.

And at the bottom of the property where we accessed it the big machines could not get around the county access road — and I don't have a picture of it, but there's a pole there right at the end of the bridge. And regular maintenance trucks can make it around that and get up along the access road.

But the big vehicles, those big machinery that they needed to get up there to do the construction, they had to move the boulders at the bottom of the hill where we access the property — that's gonna be the future ingress and egress for this property — that's how those big machines got up there.

And I'm thinking: Okay. Well, when this is all developed — I know it's a brand new bridge, but a hundred years from now it's not gonna be a brand new bridge.

Or if we had an earthquake and something happened with the footings and they had to get up

there, how are they supposed to access the bridge and this retention wall? If something's to happen with this and I mean cement doesn't last forever. Rebar doesn't last forever.

So if they have to get big equipment up there, how are they going to get up there on that access road that they cannot get the machinery up there right now without having to access where they move the boulders, where the access for this property would be and this corner up here is not that wide? They can't get the machines around it.

(resuming seat)

12.

So those are just things that need to be taken into consideration before we develop that area.

so in its current condition it's meeting — so the land in its current condition is meeting many needs in the community and for the county.

So now you're looking into the future. And they have a beautiful subdivision planned. Their home plans are absolutely fabulous. The way they have the, you know, the streets lined out, they're gonna be wider than normal. They're gonna have sidewalks. They're gonna have bike paths, those little turnouts with the landscaping. It's really going to be a very beautiful community.

So if you look down into the future and you guys choose to do that, it will be a darling little community. But it's going to be nestled between 40 and 100 year-old houses. I live in an 80 year-old one. It's going to be nestled between Light Industrial which is not completed yet. Light Industrial is going clear up to here.

12.

So you'll have— here's these homes that'll all be built in here. And you will have 40 to a hundred—year—old homes right here, industrial here, bridges here. There's condos, townhouses below the property.

And this will be your greenway, that little gravel road right there, and then the flood channel.

That will be what that community looks like.

This picture right here, that's what it looks like right now, nobody doing anything to it. In fact that's showing over 20 years of abuse and neglect. People dump trash on it. The county's been dumbing stuff on it.

When they dump their dirt — if you look on the picture on my exhibit there's a tire sitting out of it — they're not just dumping dirt. There's parts of road signs and rubbish and all kinds of stuff.

This land has been abused and neglected.

And I hope you watch my DVD because it's still beautiful out there. Doesn't matter what you do to this land. It still is beautiful and keeps on giving and giving to the community even though that's what's happened to it. So imagine this is what it looks right now with no water, no care, people dumping junk on it and no one taking care of it. So what could be?

12.

So that's 20 years of abuse and neglect and the land is still beautiful, natural beauty serving a densely populated and growing community with a clean and healthful environment and the last greenway in Lahaina.

As funds would allow the future could hold many possibilities: Paths for bikes, strollers, wheelchairs, a community garden, a plantation, historical playground and picnic area at the bottom and a Hawaiian Heritage Park at the top.

The fourth grade kids at our schools learn about Hawaiian history. Can you imagine if you had a playground designed around a Hawaiian culture theme and they could go there and learn about Hawaiian history playing on a playground that's around that type of theme? And they plant their little native plants in their little milk cartons. When it gets too big for the milk carton they bring it down, plant it

in the native garden.

12.

And picture our high school shop class builds these beautiful canoes. When they did the Festival of Canoes our kids built canoes. And then they put them on exhibit when they're finished up at the high school.

And I'm thinkin' how cool would it be to get it in a rotation system and have Hawaiian canoes on exhibit for kids to crawl on, make play structures so they can really imagine what it was like with the Hawaiians coming over on those canoes and that huge ocean and finding these tiny little islands.

And just the amount of history that can be taught there through active learning where instead of just with books you're out exploring. The college is expanding their Hawaiian classes. And they also have building classes.

Can you imagine if they can reconstruct — there's plenty of rock out there — some of the old Hawaiian structures and make them picnic areas and just areas for people to enjoy.

And also in the event of a tsunami this would be a great staging area for people for all those houses. Look at all the houses. I mean you have all these houses right here but this doesn't show off

here, here and here.

12.

Imagine these people being able to come up here and get above the tsunami line. And pets aren't allowed into the school. So there's a lot of people who would be able to take their pets and be able to stay there during tsunami warnings.

There's a couple mango trees that have managed to survive on that property without water. I'm just picturing what if we got water there which there are stream diversion plans. I found them online. They don't look that complicated to do, divert the stream water. But imagine a mango grove. That land used to grow some serious mangoes. And we can do it again.

Our neighbor's crop was going off in April and May and the first part of June. And our crop on our trees was going off June, July and August. There's six months right there. We're not farmers. We don't even know what we're doing and our trees give us mangoes.

So I can't even imagine with somebody that could grow some mango trees you could have a lot of months of mangoes growin' out there. A good cause for community fundraiser. Can you imagine having an annual mango festival?

We can't just think about the here and now. You gotta think about the future. You start growin' those mango trees now, in the future they could have festivals that could help you either sell the mangoes to help defray the cost of park maintenance, or you could donate it to local food banks. But there's a lot of possibilities of what this land could be.

12.

And it doesn't take anything to make it beautiful 'cause it's beautiful right now. And you can just grow it as the community would allow, funds would allow.

I love the state motto. "The life of the land is perpetuated in righteousness." I'm sure you get a lot of intervenors. And a lot of them are in the "Not in my backyard" kinda people. That's why I really love the people I got to testify for me.

Cindy Cajugal, this is her father—in—law. And he's dying. And he was not even physically able to come to the last meetings but he was at the county council ones. But he just wants to know before he dies what's going to happen with this land. Why would a man dying give a rip? It's not gonna affect his property values, his bottom line bank statement. But he cares about that.

Jane making the comment about, "Well, maybe

by the time it goes through I'll be dead and I won't have to worry about finding another place to walk my dog, Mana."

12.

I found people in our community that live by this property that have wisdom. And I heard them speak at the county — well, not Jane but Herman and the Cajugal family. I heard them speak at the county council meetings and that's why I called them up when I found out I was going to be an Intervenor to ask if they'd testify for me because they speak with wisdom.

And they've been in this community a lot longer that I have. And I think it's important to listen to people who have age and it's not just knowledge, it's wisdom. They know stuff.

And I get to speak because it is in my backyard. That's what qualifies me to do this. So I'm really concerned about all agricultural land. I'm not just concerned about that little strip of land.

I'm concerned that West Maui Land has had thousands of acres that they got. They describe this piece as a remnant piece. It bothers me that they're not planting anything. It bothers me that we are not putting in more active agriculture. We just keep building subdivisions.

From Ukumehame -- I'm concerned about all

of Hawai'i, but really my heart's passion is for Ukumehame to Honolua Bay. It's like that's my hometown west side girl. I'm concerned. It's like we need to take care of the land. You take of the land and the land takes care of you.

12.

We live thousands of milles away from the continent. They're having global droughts. To think that we can't have a dust bowl happen again? We are sadly mistaken. It can happen again. There's a lot more people on this planet. We need to start planting. We need to start growing our own food and raising our own livestock.

At the same time it's going to keep our island beautiful. People fly thousands of miles and spend thousands of dollars to come. They're not comin' to look at strip malls and they are not comin' to look at housing subdivisions. They're coming to look at our beautiful green island and our beautiful beaches.

So when you're driving along the pali I don't want to see a bunch of greenhouses. I wanna see green plants growing up and mango groves and plumeria groves. And we should be sustainable. We should not have to ship anything here that can grow here. It should not be flown here.

These are things I'm concerned about. You know, when I looked up the word "perpetuated" in the dictionary, "The life of the land is perpetuated in righteousness." It means "last for eternity".

12.

People will always need food and water. So these things we must guard and protect at all costs.

"The life of the land is perpetuated in righteousness." Pono. Do what is right. You

Commissioners are appointed into making decisions that are gonna affect the land and the people forever. And I will trust in your wisdom.

And I will pray that you guys make wise decisions not just on this land but everything you do because it really is affecting our islands forever.

And you have to think about, "What am I doing today that a hundred years from now are going to make a difference?"

And I don't know if there's anything I'm doing but I know there's things you guys are doing. Because you're affecting by your decisions. And I'm not just talkin' about this land. I'm talking about all the stuff that you guys have to consider. It's pretty weighty stuff.

The decisions you're making are affecting whether Hawai'i's gonna make it or not in the tourism.

Whether it's gonna make it or not — if there's a global drought our people here, what are we gonna do? They're not gonna ship food to Hawai'i. We're gonna be last on their list.

We can grow stuff here. It's more healthy and nutritious. And if you just make it where they can't ship it here if it can be grown here, then it will be financially more feasible. And I think there's a lot of farmers that know how to do it. The plantation days are over. I agree that they can't do it the way they used to do it.

But there are other ways to farm. And I think that we need to find that. And I think that we need to protect our open spaces and watch out for people instead of our bottom lines.

And I just want to thank you for letting me have this opportunity to speak and share my thoughts with you and hope that it will help you give a perspective on whatever decisions you're making.

20 Thank you.

21 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Thank you.

22 | Petitioner, questions?

23 MR. GEIGER: Yes, we do.

24 xx

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

25 xx

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. GEIGER:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

25

Q Ms. Lincoln, thank you very much for your comments. You understand that the Commission is here acting and receiving testimony, receiving facts concerning certain criteria that they have to review for this Petition, correct?

A Yes.

Q Okay. And those are facts as opposed to opinions. You understand that.

A Yes.

Q And you were allowed to intervene. In fact you were provided a copy of the criteria you were allowed to intervene on, four separate criteria, correct?

A Yes.

Q Okay. I want to talk to you about those four criteria and find out what facts, not opinions, but facts you have about those four criteria. Okay? First of all, let me make sure you're not claiming to be a soils scientist.

A (Laughing) No.

Q And you're not claiming to be an agronomist.

A No.

1 Q You're not claiming to be a biologist. 2 you're not claiming to be a real estate appraiser. 3 Absolutely not. Α Or you're not claiming to be a planner. 4 0 5 I'm an absolute nobody. Got it right. Α I didn't say you were a nobody. 6 0 That 7 wasn't what I was saying. I just want to make sure 8 that you're not trying to tell the Commission that 9 what you've said has any basis as far as an expert 10 opinion, correct? 11 Absolutely not. And I said that, I think, Α 12. last time. 13 I want to talk to you first about an 14 opinion you just made that said: Well, let's make it a 15 long cul-de-sac one road going in, correct? That's 16 what you suggested. 17 Α Yes. 18 Did you take a look at the design criteria 0 19 for subdivisions in the county of Maui? 20 No. But I called and talked with the 21 subdivision department. And they said the county could make a variance for that. I asked Will Spence 2.2 23 during his testimony. He said that they could do 24 that.

Do you know what limitation is in a

25

Q

subdivision?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

A Twenty homes.

Q No, no, no. What the limitation is for the length of a cul-de-sac, not the number of homes it serves, the length of a cul-de-sac.

A But from I asked with the --

Q That's not my question.

A —— I don't know. You already said that I'm not an expert on this.

Q Understand. It will go easier, Ma'am, if you answer my question as opposed to try to say something else. Okay.

A Okay.

Q Would it surprise you to know that the length of a cul-de-sac in a subdivision under county ordinance is 550 feet?

A How does Lahaina meet that criteria?

Q No, that's not the question, ma'am. You don't get to ask a question in response. You have to answer. Would that surprise you?

A Well, that does surprise me because

Lahainaluna is a cul-de-sac and has been for over a

hundred years. And that is more than 500 feet.

24 There's no other ingress or egress out of Lahainaluna 25 in all that community other than the... 1 Q Do you understand what the definition of a 2 cul-de-sac is?
3 A It's one -- no, I guess I don't. Go ahead, 4 explain.
5 Do you understand Lahainaluna has other

Q Do you understand Lahainaluna has other roads that connect to it, other streets that connect to it?

A Not in and out of it.

Q That's not my question. You understand that Lahainaluna has intersections where other streets connect to it, correct?

A Yes.

6

8

9

10

11

12.

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

13 Q For example, Kalena Street is one.

A Sure.

Q A cul-de-sac doesn't have any other streets that connect to it. It's strictly one way in, one way out, no off connectors. You understand that now?

A No. Because if you want to get out of Lahainaluna you have to — it doesn't matter. You can go down Kalena and you can come down Aki and all the rest, but you still cannot get out of the bottom of the hill. You're stuck.

Q Then by your definition Crater Road would be a cul-de-sac, correct?

A Sure.

1 Q Do you know how long the property is? 2 Α No. 3 If the property is 2400-foot long, that's 4 almost five times or excuse me, that's almost five 5 times what the maximum length of a cul-de-sac is. Do 6 you understand that? Α Yes. So in light of that did you ask anybody 8 9 from the county: Would it be likely to receive a 10 variance that's five times the length of the 11 cul-de-sac for a subdivision design? 12. Α I was given the impression that pretty much 13 if the county council approved it they could do anything they wanted to do. And there is the county 14 15 access road right along the property. So if you'd 16 like to make a couple roads connecting to the county 17 access road for safety, you could do that as well. 18 You understand that would have to then be 19 improved, correct? 2.0 Α Sure. 21 It would have to be paved, correct? Q 22 Α If you needed that to be -- if that was a 23 criteria, sure. 24 You're suggesting that we should do that. 25 So I'm making sure you understand that this greenway

will no longer be a greenway. It will be paved over. Is that what you wish?

A So you're just saying grey cement versus grey gravel.

- Q No. You're the one who suggested it should be connected to the county roadway. I'm saying --
 - A No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12.

16

23

24

25

- 8 Q if you understand that then that would 9 be the result.
 - A No. What I said was it should be left as open space but I promised Mr. Isaac Hall that I would come up with a plan B. That is what I said.
- Q And maybe your plan -- well, let me go on to something else. You bought in the neighborhood in 15 1992, correct?
 - A Yes. But I've lived there since 1983.
- 17 Q And that's in this neighborhood, correct?
- 18 A Yes, sir.
- 19 Q You understand that the Lahaina Business
 20 Park on the other side of the Kahoma Stream Flood
 21 Control Channel also went through a boundary amendment
 22 with the Land Use Commission.
 - A Oh, I'm sure lots of land on the west side has. That's why I'm saying it's not -- my concern is not just this land. It's all of the ag land getting,

yeah.

12.

2.0

2.2

Q It was a boundary amendment from Agricultural to Urban. Do you understand that?

A Yes.

Q That was done in the early '90s. Do you understand that?

A Yes.

Q But you didn't participate in that particular proceeding, did you?

A I had a baby on September 11, 1992. My priorities were a little different. And I didn't know that until I called someone at the county. And I think I made that clear when I called the county to say that, you know, find out if West Maui Land had brought it up to State Land Use. Someone at the county told me I could be an intervenor, I didn't know I could do that. I didn't even know you could go to county council meetings and say anything.

Q Ma'am, I understand. My question was you didn't intervene in the Lahaina Business Park Land Use Commission proceeding, did you?

A No because I had a baby. He's 20 now. I'm an empty nester. I have time for this.

Q You want to talk about maintenance of agricultural resources. Would you agree that the

1 Department of Agriculture classified this land as 2 Other Important Agricultural Lands? 3 I don't know what that means. 4 Did you read the exhibits that were 0 5 attached to the Petition? 6 Α Not in its entirety. 7 Did you look at the Final Environmental 0 8 Assessment? 9 Α Absolutely not. 10 Did you look at the testimonies of the Q 11 witnesses, the direct testimonies of the witnesses provided by the Petitioner in this case? 12. 13 Α Yes. Did you review the testimony of 14 0 15 Mr. Singleton the agronomist? That was your friend. 16 Α 17 Q No. 18 Α That was Dr. Singleton? 19 It's Dr. Singleton. Q I'm just trying to get it in my head who he 20 Α 21 is, yes. 22 Did you review his testimony? Q 23 Α Yes. 24 Okay. And in his testimony he pointed out 0

that other Important Agricultural Lands, that's what

25

this land was classified, correct?

12.

A I don't remember but I'll agree with you if you saw so.

Q And in fact Exhibit 7, which is the Final EA, also contains a map published by the State Department of Agriculture that shows what the land is classified. And he referred to that in his testimony. Did you understand that that is the lowest level that Ag land could be classified at?

A Like you said I'm not an expert on this. What I did remember Paul Singleton saying was about that mango trees grew there and why aren't there mango trees. And I remember him commenting about how it's highly densely populated.

So he didn't see why having agriculture there would be a good thing because, like he mentioned the pig farm — and I love bacon, but I really don't want a pig farm there. I agree with him on that.

I do remember him saying, though, you could —— "anything can grow there" is what he said. It's just not a great place because the rocky and because it's surrounded by highly densely populated which is kind of my point because we don't need more houses there. So, yeah, I agreed a lot with what he said, and he mentioned mango trees. And there are still

1 mango trees there so... You understood he said that this land would 2 3 not be commercially viable for agriculture. 4 I agree. Not commercially viable. Does Α 5 everything have to have a bottom line? 6 0 Did you read or review the State 7 Agricultural Functional Plan? 8 Can you remind me what it is? I probably 9 did read that one. 10 Well, it's one of the criteria that you've 11 intervened on. Did you take a look at the State 12. Agricultural Functional Plan in connection with your intervention in this matter? 13 14 I probably did but I don't remember. 15 Well, let me refresh your memory then. Do 16 you recollect that there were two objectives, two 17 fundamental objectives of the State Functional 18 Agricultural Plan? Can you -- no, I don't. I remember reading 19 it but, no, I don't. 2.0 21 The two objectives, to refresh your memory, 22 are: To have continued viability in sugar and 23 pineapple industry. And to have continued growth and

development of diversified crops and products.

24

25

HOLLY M. HACKETT RPR, CSR Ph/Fax (808) 538-6458

Now, let me ask you. You would agree that

this land would not be suitable for sugarcane anymore, correct?

A I think in my testimony that's what I was saying. We're past the plantation era. I'm not sure sugarcane and pineapple is the answer. But growing food that we can eat is and I do believe that could happen there.

Q Now, did you take a look at what diversified crops and products were in the State Agricultural Functional Plan?

A I don't recall.

12.

2.2

Q In the State Agricultural Functional Plan diversified crops and products are to be market niche driven and Hawai'i differentiated for the export market.

Is there anything in particular that you have heard Mr. Singleton say or anyone else say that this land could be used for market niche driven in Hawai'i differentiated products for export?

A I heard him mention manges. It does have mango trees on it. And it did have mango trees on it. And mangoes are expensive in the market. And I think if they started planting orchards all over the islands, yeah, I do think we could export mangos instead getting those horrible things that they import

from South America. Ours are way better.

12.

Q Have you done any research to find out if it would be commercially viable to export mangoes?

A No, I have not but that's what my concern is that no one is doing that. They're taking all this Ag land that used to be sugarcane and pineapple and they continue to build houses on it. And they're not looking into making this — we're an agriculture place. We should remain in agriculture and be self-sustainable.

Q How many acres of Ag land are there available on Maui?

A I don't know. That's not my -- that's not my area of expertise but I care.

Q So you can't tell the Commission what percentage of the agricultural land available on Maui this particular 16 acres makes up.

A No, I cannot.

Q Would it surprise you it's less than 1/10th of 1 percent of the available Ag land on Maui?

A But if you say that for every project, and every project they condemn it, then we're gonna run out of it.

Q Ma'am, I appreciate you want to argue with me about this but you need to answer my questions.

Would that surprise you?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12.

19

20

21

22

- A I forgot the question.
- Q I understand. You have your -- you have your agenda you want to get out. What I'm asking is would it surprise you if this land is less than 1/10 of 1 percent of all the available Ag land on Maui.
- A No. That wouldn't surprise me. It's 16.7 acres. That's wouldn't surprise me. We're an island but we're not that small.
- Q And you understand "Hawai'i differentiated" would mean things like Kona coffee or Maui onions, don't you?
- 13 A I'm sorry?
- 14 Q You understand "Hawai'i differentiated",
 15 which is a marketing term, would mean like Kona coffee
 16 or Maui onions, correct?
- 17 A No, I don't know what you're talking about.
 18 I'm not an expert in those sorts of things.
 - Q Okay. Let me talk to you a little bit about another area that you wanted to intervene on, that's on the commitment of state funds, which was the traffic aspect.
- 23 A Okay.
- Q Now, we know you didn't review the Final Environmental Assessment. Are you aware that the

Final Environmental Assessment contained a Traffic Impact Assessment Report? Or 'Analysis' Report. I'm sorry. Traffic Impact Analysis Report.

12.

2.2

A I think what I was trying to say with the traffic is that we're looking at different things. I agree that 68 houses, I agree with the traffic impact is not going to make a difference on our roads having 68 more homes.

I'm just talking about what Herman was talking about in his testimony. And that's just the logistics of our neighborhood which you don't live there so you don't know. So that's the only expert. So it's just his opinion versus our opinions because we live there.

Q And you're not an expert traffic engineer.

And you're not purporting to be an expert traffic engineer, correct?

A No, I already said that. On every point you're bringing up I am not an expert.

Q You understand that there's going to be absolutely no impact on the Level of Service in any of the intersections in the area that were addressed in any of the Traffic Impact Reports whether or not this Project is built. Level of Service will be the same.

A I will agree to that if you'll agree that

Jane and Herman and my neighborhood will be affected by extra cars driving through it. Because I don't care what your traffic expert says. They're talking about ingresses and egresses. They're talking about highways. We don't care about that. We're caring about our streets that we live on to make 'em safe.

We're apples and oranges here. It's different. Of course your assessments are correct. But that's not what we're talking about. We're

12.

talking about safety in the existing neighborhoods.

Q You have no basis, no facts that you can provide to this Commission that the neighborhood will

A No. I guess you're going to have to hit a kid or something for me to get my point across.

be any less safe as a result of this Project.

Q Ma'am, I'm not going to hit anybody. The point is you have no facts that you can provide us.

A No. Of course not. Because it's not done yet.

Q You would agree with me, wouldn't you, that if there were other ways to get individuals to the park as opposed to walking on the streets that that might be a nice way to handle some of your concerns about safety?

A Are you talking about the less than 1-acre

park that has no features on it that's in the middle of the thing with no views? Is that the park you're talking about?

12.

Q That's the park I'm talking about.

A Yeah. No, that doesn't seem like a destination that's gonna, like, restore me after a day of work. But no.

So I don't think any amount of extra sidewalks because we need a bigger park than that. That's really only big enough if you even wanted to say for 68 families?

I can't even imagine all the kids out it there. What are they supposed to do? So, no, I don't think that park is adequate. That's why I had my revised plan for a bigger park.

Q And you're not an expert on recreation, correct?

A Am I a parks and recreation person? No, I am not.

Q You're not here providing any facts to this Commission that says that parks are adequate or inadequate. It's just your opinion you think it should be something else.

A I have raised a family. I have hanai'd a bunch of kids. Every week at my house during the

school year I have anywhere from 30 to 60 kids. I feed 'em dinner. So I do know a little bit about kids and recreation and games and space that you need for that sort of thing.

So am I an expert? Do I have a degree?

No. But do I have expertise in recreation? Yes.

I've got all the baseball mits. I've got all the volleyball setup and I've got the games for kids. So, yeah, I do know something about recreation and what kind of space you need.

Q Okay. Do you know what the county requirements are, design requirements are for a park?

A Nope.

12.

Q Would it surprise you that the county design requirements are 500 square foot per lot?

A For a neighborhood park you mean.

Q Yes.

A Yes.

Q That's what we're talking about here.

A That's what I'm saying your park that you've designed is perfect for your little neighborhood. But I'm saying it doesn't meet the neighborhood's needs from 40 to 100 years ago which is what's abutting this property.

That's my point exactly is that no parks

were, you know, implemented back when Jane's house was built a hundred years ago and mine was built 80 years ago. They didn't have that requirement. So that land out there is our park space. It was our park space.

Q Well, when the houses were built 40 to 80 years ago that land was in sugarcane production, correct?

A It was a stream bed with mango trees and plum trees.

Q Are you telling the Commissioners that the whole 16.7 acres was a stream bed?

A No.

12.

Q The majority of the land was in sugarcane production, correct?

A No. There was a pond behind there. There was a pig farm behind my house. Jane was telling me about some of the stuff that was back there. There was a flume that came down. So during that time it was further over.

Q You've seen the aerial photos that were introduced into evidence, is that correct?

A From the '70s, yes.

Q Yes.

A But I'm talking Jane's been around since the '30's. So I'm talking going back to that era when

she was talking about her little bento lunches and stuff.

12.

I'm talking, like, that era when the houses were actually built. My house was built in 1932. You're showing pictures from 1977.

Q And at that point in time there was no park there. It was used as sugarcane production, correct?

A No. It was used as a park. That's what Jane said.

Q In 1977 with the photograph is that your testimony?

A Oh, no. When Jane was growing up when she was younger. And I didn't get there until the '80s so I didn't use it 'til then.

Q You understand that the park, the neighborhood park as is designed is going to exceed the county design standards for parks.

A And I've already said for your little neighborhood that is adequate.

Q Ma'am, that wasn't my question. My question was you understand that it exceeds the county requirements.

A For a subdivision, yes.

Q Again, it exceeds the county requirements, correct?

1 Α It exceeds the county's requirements for... 2 a...subdivision. 3 For a neighborhood park. Q PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Mr. Geiger, I 4 5 think the point has been made. 6 MR. GEIGER: I think so too. 7 Let me move on to the provision of housing opportunities to low, low-moderate and gap groups. 8 9 That's another area in which you intervened. 10 would agree that this Project is a 100 percent 11 affordable Project under Maui County rules? 12. Α Under HUD quidelines yes, it is. 13 Q Under the Maui County rules. Which are HUD guidelines, yes. 14 Α 15 Now, you just mentioned today that you are 16 arguing that there are over 16,000 new units. I thank 17 you for correcting your testimony. Because it isn't 18 all single-family, correct? 19 That's correct. Α 20 Now, included in your count, because you 21 asked questions about this of other witnesses, was the 2.2 Villages of Leiali'i, correct? 23 Α Yes. 24 And that Project was approved sometime ago 25 for approximately 4850 units. Is that your

understanding?

12.

2.0

- A Yes.
- 3 Q How many of those units have been built?
- 4 A I don't know.
 - Q Did you review the written direct testimonies that were provided in this matter by the Petitioner?
 - A I don't know which one you're referring to.
 - Q I will refer to you to Anthony Ricky Gonsalves' written direct testimony. He indicated he worked on that Project. And only 101 units were built. Is that consistent with your understanding of how many units were built?
 - A I don't know, but I just know that there's a lot that are approved, that are on the books. Whether it happens now or whether it happens 50 years from now the fact is it's already designated for construction and for pavement and for homes. So I don't understand why we need to keep taking out Ag land or open space. That's just my contention.

If the Commissioners decide that that's what's best for it, I will honor that. But just to keep saying: "Well, that project didn't go all the way through," that doesn't make it right to keep taking Ag land out and making it — because it is

already pre-approved before whether it's going to happen now or 50 years or a hundred years from now we have to look a little bit beyond our lifetime to make decisions. But go ahead. So, no, I don't --

12.

Q The county council approved this Project. You understand that?

A The county council — I was at that meeting and I heard all their objections up and down. They all had to say their little thing before they voted. And if they had denied this and you guys brought it to these guys, and they approved it, they would not have gotten all their amendments in.

And they got some very good amendments in there about like the time restraints, and the capping of the pricing and all that. So they wanted to make sure that if they didn't vote it in it would have come without modifications.

Don Couch made a point of saying that before it got passed on. But almost every one of 'em made some comment about, like, the traffic or Ricky Hakomo was big about the flood issue. And what's the one guy? He didn't say anything the whole time. And the end he goes, "I don't see how 685 is affordable."

I mean they all made their little comments. But I think they also understood it was gonna come to

these guys to make a decision and it was better to get their amendments. That's what I took from that.

You took it as a stamp of: Hey, let's get this through. And I took it as a: Hey, it's gonna go to State Land Use regardless what we decide. So let's make sure our amendments get in there. That was my take from it. You had a different take. That was my take.

- Q Ma'am, I just asked you the question:
 "Didn't the county council approve it?" The answer
 would be "yes", correct?
- 12 A Yep.

- 13 Q And the vote was 7 to 2, correct?
- A Yes. And the one who voted against it was our representative who represents the people of the west side and what our feelings are. Yes, that's correct.
 - Q Now, are you aware that certain projects that have gone before the Maui county council for affordable housing under the fast track have been denied?
 - A Oh, I'm sure. Like you said I'm more involved in kids, in regular household and working than in county issues.
 - Q The county council in the past has shown

1 that if they didn't want to approve a project for the affordable housing they would deny it, correct? 2 3 Oh, I'm sure. Α In fact, you heard the testimony of 4 0 5 Ms. Bigelow that another project fort affordable 6 housing in Lahaina at the south end was denied by the county council two times. You remember that 8 testimony? 9 Α I remember that testimony. 10 Isn't it correct that the county council 0 11 ultimately decided that it was more important to have 12. housing than these other concerns? 13 I don't feel that. Like I said I feel like 14 what I just said before. I think that if it had --15 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Mr. Geiger. 16 MR. GEIGER: Yes. 17 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Just in terms of 18 scheduling it's about time to give our reporter a 19 break. Are we at a convenient place to take about a 2.0 10 minute break? 21 This would be fine. MR. GEIGER: 22 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Let's take a 23 10-minute recess. 24 (Recess was held 12:10) 25 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Okay. Let's go

1 back on the record. I believe, Mr. Geiger, you were 2 in the process of questioning Intervenor Lincoln. 3 MR. GEIGER: Thank you, Chair, 4 Commissioners. 5 Ms. Lincoln, let me move on to a couple Q 6 other things. First of all, with regard to the flood 7 rating that you mentioned. Have you reviewed any of 8 the flood maps that were published by FEMA for this 9 Project or for this area? 10 No, other than just noting it was flood Α 11 zone maps. 12. Do you know where flood zone X falls within 13 the classification of flood zone? 14 А No. I just looked up online what FEMA said 15 about it. 16 Flood zone X is the lowest classification 17 that they make of flood zones. Would that surprise 18 you? 19 No. That's what I said in my testimony. Α 20 It's a low risk. But 20 percent of the flood 21 insurance claims are from flood X. And one third of 2.2 our disaster relief is for that flood zone. So a 23 third of our disaster relief, that seems pretty

You understand that the FEMA went through

significant for even minimal risk.

24

25

Q

and updated the flood maps for the Lahaina area in 2009?

A No.

12.

MR. GEIGER: Pass the witness.

PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: County?

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. GIROUX:

Q I'll keep this short and nice. I just want clarity. Your position is that you want this piece of property to remain in Ag?

A Well, open space. And then if we can use it for all the things I mentioned like community uses and it can grow mango trees or put in a community garden, but not commercial Ag, but Ag growing native plants and be able to use it more for educational purposes than commercially viable agriculture. That's my heart's desire.

Q Okay. But as far as a zoning for open space do you know if that's a permitable — approved permitted use? Because when we're talking about open space there's a Community Plan Open Space, right?

A Well, it's in the West Maui Community Plan, the 1996 it is as open space had quite a bit of dialogue regarding that wanting it to be left as open space.

Q What about possible uses in the Community Plan then?

A The community — what do you mean? The Community Plan said to do minimal just like put pasture? Is that what you're talking about?

Q Right.

12.

A I'm not sure what your question is.

They -- when they discussed it they said put, like,
they didn't want it to be a ball field. Is that what
you're asking? I'm not exactly sure of your question.

Q I'm confused because, I mean, if the desire is to put it into open space, but the concern is that you're losing ag, is that a contradictory in wants of use?

A Oh, I think you can do both. I think you can do -- you can grow native plants and be educational and beautify. Can have mango grove like I mentioned. You can have a community garden. I don't see where they're not compatible from one another providing a habitat for bird watching and growing things.

I'm just saying commercially viable? No, it probably would not be a good commercially viable. But I don't understand why it can't be growing food like all those condos down at the bottom. Those are

the people who mentioned the community garden. I won't be in the community garden but the people in the condos below are the ones that had mentioned to me, the ones that walk up. They're going, "It'd be nice if there was a garden for us to use."

So that's the only reason I brought that up. I think that land, it does grow things. People have grown things out there. There's mango trees still out there.

So I don't understand why you can't have an open space, community space and be growing food and native plants for medicinal purposes or whatever. I don't see how they're not inclusive all together.

Q I mean just for clarity because that depends on ownership, right? I mean who actually owns and controls that property will depend whether this property will meet your vision of the use of the land.

A Yes. So, but I guess I'm confused why the county council back in 1996, when they wrote that, why they thought it was their land to decide that on in the first place what, you know, was there a question of ownership at that point. That was one of my questions.

Q Right.

12.

A Because what made them assume -- that they

made some comments in the minutes about: Well, let's not beautify this point. Let's use our resources to buy *other* land for parks and open spaces.

12.

It made me assume that they thought that that was part of their control. And that land. So I'm confused why the council when they made those decisions didn't say, "Hey, let's go ahead and buy this property." They kind of assumed that in the whole testimony there.

So that was one of my concerns as well.

And I'm sorry I just don't know how to research to find what would make them — I tried to call some of the past councilmembers that had testified and they just didn't remember specifically that parcel of land. I wasn't able to ask them what made them think that they could put that as open space when it wasn't their land to do it with.

The concept — and I could look it up if you wanted me to, but the minutes said: When they looked into it it's like they researched it. It seemed like it was county land. So I don't know why they had that impression.

Q Okay. So as far as the research that you've done you've confirmed that the county never put funds aside to purchase this property?

A No. Because it sounds like they assumed that they already had control of it. That was what I took away from the minutes of that. I can look up the testimony if you want to wait.

12.

2.0

Q No, I don't think we need to go into that depth. It's just that as a fact that property has never been county property. And it has never been put aside as county property.

A I don't know if that's true because I don't know if you can own a stream bed. And it was a stream previously. So I'm not sure that the county didn't own it. I think that — I don't know if people can own rivers, stream beds. I'm not — I'm from Montana. You can't own a stream bed or a river in Montana. If the river moved over onto your property you lose your property. So I don't know Hawai'i.

But that was mostly stream bed. So I'm not a hundred percent sure that what you're saying is true, that it was never county owned. I don't know that.

Q We can agree that the majority of that property is not county to this date.

A I don't know where the stream bed went. So I would not necessarily agree to that treatment.

Q All right. Well, the county council, would

1 you agree, is the policy maker for the county? 2 Α Yes. 3 Okay. And the county council is the body Q 4 that determines community planning? 5 Α Yes. 6 0 Okay. And it's the same body that 7 determined the 201-H process for this property? 8 I believe that they made that decision based on it had to come to State Land Use and they 9 10 wanted their amendment. So, yes, I would agree to 11 that. 12. Okay. You made the statement that your 13 opinion was that if they didn't vote for it that the 14 State Land Use would have the ability to do affordable 15 housing without county authority. Was that your 16 statement? 17 Α Yes. 18 Okay. Would it surprise you that the

Q Okay. Would it surprise you that the actual law is that if they didn't vote or take action in a certain amount of time, then it would go to the Land Use without any input from the county?

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Yeah, that they had to make a decision.

That's why they were kinda rushing it through. 'Cause they had to have a certain deadline that they had or it just came through without any -- their big thing is

they wanted to talk about their modifications and get their modifications in before it came to State Land Use so that the county could have their input.

12.

But the ultimate decision is really with State Land Use because it's over 15 areas. So it really wasn't their final decision. It's State Land Use's final decision.

Q Well, they had the opportunity to do an absolute no vote on this Project.

A If they did an absolute no vote, though, it'd still come to State Land Use because it's still their decision.

It just would have been harder to get it through State Land Use without having the approval of the mayor and the county council. But it would not have been impossible.

The State Land Use -- I guess -- maybe I'm misunderstanding. I thought State Land Use was the person, the people who decided on parcels of land over 15 acres. I thought they were the discerning body on that. Am I incorrect on that?

Q Well, I'll let the state attorney general advice you on what --

A Okay. That might have been my misconception. That was my understanding is that it's

their decision. And that it could have come to these guys first and then gone to the county. But there's no --

Q Okay.

12.

A It's just easier to get it through the State Land Use if it's already gone through the county process.

Q You understand that the State Land Use doesn't have authority to zone something open space?

A I believe that the Commissioners can, as being appointed officials, can uphold our constitutional rights.

And if a constitutional right is for people like me to have an open space and a healthful environment, then I think in that context that possibly they do.

I'm not a lawyer. I don't know all the nuances of laws, but I do know we have the Constitution of the state of Hawai'i in that there are certain people who are supposed to be watching out for that. So I would imagine as appointed officials that that would be part of their critera.

Q Do you understand the powers that they have is about a designation. And the designation that they're looking at is Ag and moving it out of Ag into

affordable housing Urban.

12.

2.2

A Right. And I guess my contention — that's why I'm here — if they still say that that's what it needs to be I will agree with them. They're wise people.

Q They don't have a — they don't have the option of open space. That would be a county designation.

A I'm not sure that they don't have the option of open space.

Q Okay.

A If they are upholding the Constitution of the State of Hawai'i --

Q You answered my question. That's sufficient.

A But if they believe that that's in the best interest of the public, then I don't see why that wouldn't maybe be in the bounds of their authority.

Q Okay. You understand that if it's left in Ag that this Commission would have no control over how that Ag process would go about? Do you understand that?

A Yes. I guess I do. If it's left Ag someone could put a pig farm in there. Is that what you're saying?

| | | 83 |
|----|------------|----------------------------------------------|
| 1 | Q | Right. |
| 2 | А | Okay. |
| 3 | Q | And you understand the Right to Farm Act. |
| 4 | А | Sure. I'm from Montana. |
| 5 | Q | Okay. So if it's the status quo you would |
| 6 | not have a | ny input or control over that property? Do |
| 7 | you unders | tand that? |
| 8 | А | I don't have any over it right now. |
| 9 | Q | Well, you are an intervenor and you do have |
| 10 | the right | to participate in this procedure. |
| 11 | А | True. And I'm sure if something went in |
| 12 | there was | offensive to the majority of the community |
| 13 | there woul | d be some forum in the community to speak on |
| 14 | that. | |
| 15 | Q | That's true. |
| 16 | А | Yeah. |
| 17 | Q | But it would remain in Ag. |
| 18 | А | Sure. |
| 19 | | MR. GIROUX: I have no further questions. |
| 20 | | PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: OP. Yes. |
| 21 | | MR. YEE: No questions. |
| 22 | | PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Ms. Bolomet? |
| 23 | | MS. BOLOMET: I have questions. |
| 24 | XX | |
| 25 | XX | |
| | | |

CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 BY MS. BOLOMET:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

Q Following the line of questioning you just had, do you understand the Right to Farm Act? Do you know the laws on that?

A I don't.

Q Would it surprise you to know that if a farmer was spraying chemicals and it came into your house they don't have the right to do that?

A I didn't know that, but that's good.

Q Despite the Right to Farm Act.

A Okay.

Q Did you know that you as a people if your health is being affected the Right to Farm Act does not protect the farmer? Do you understand that?

MR. GEIGER: I'm going to object. First of all, it's argumentative. Secondly, it calls for speculation. The witness has testified she doesn't know about the Right to Farm Act.

PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Sustained.

She's indicated she didn't have knowledge of the Act.

22 Asking her what she suspects or doesn't suspect is not

a useful exercise.

Q (By Ms. Bolomet): Okay. Do you understand that there's different forms of commercial

agriculture?

12.

2.2

A No, I'm not a -- know a lot about agriculture.

Q Could you please give us your definition of what commercial agriculture is?

A Well, usually you think of it as --

MR. GEIGER: Chair, I would object. She said she doesn't know what commercial ag is. Now they're asking for a definition of something she doesn't know. So I think it's calling for speculation and I think it's cumulative.

MS. BOLOMET: I'm asking specifically what her definition of commercial ag is.

PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: She indicated that she was opposed to commercial agriculture or at least didn't believe the property was suitable for commercial agriculture. So I'll allow the question to clarify what she meant by that statement.

THE WITNESS: Just like when they were mentioning in their thing, I believe it was Paul Singleton's testimony, when he said that you can't bring the big machinery in and what it would take for the large production type. That's what I meant.

Because normally you think commercial agriculture you

think really large-scale stuff with big equipment and

all that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12.

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

But I know that there are what -- I don't know if they call it boutique farming. They used to call it share cropping after the plantation days were over. So I don't know what they call it will now. I know there are smaller ways of growing things that would be viable.

But as far as what their definition of "commercial" was with the large machinery and the big sprayers and whatnot, I would agree with Paul Singleton's assessment on that that, you know, it's too small for giant machinery to go in there.

But he also said things would grow there as well.

Q Would you have any opposition to lo'i farms being there with Hawaiian farmers growing and making money selling their kalo?

A I don't think I've ever had lo'i. I don't know what that is exactly. Can I still walk out there with my dogs?

- Q You still can.
- A All right. Then no problem.
- Q Are you aware that lo'i ponds are just ponds with kalo being grown into it?
- 25 MR. GEIGER: I'm going to object. She's

1 already testified she doesn't know what it is. 2 we're having the questioner testify. 3 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Sustained. THE WITNESS: I don't know what it is but 4 5 it sounds nice. 6 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: The objection 7 was sustained. 8 (By Ms. Bolomet): Would you have any 9 objections to farmers growing in terraces around your 10 house that would still be nice to look at? 11 Anything growing, that's what I had Α No. 12. said earlier in my testimony, is I don't like the idea 13 of the greenhouse, like those greenhouses that they 14 build and then there're buildings with plants in them. 15 I like the idea of plants out where you're looking at 16 nature. 17 I love -- I don't care what kind of plants. 18 We just did a cross-country trip. I love looking at 19 any kind of plants. It's beautiful. So I'm sure any 20 native thing that you plant out there would be 21 absolutely wonderful. 22 Okay. So you agree that commercial farming 23 could be more than just big trucks and sprayers. 24 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: I think that's

25

been asked and answered.

MS. BOLOMET: Okay.

Q Would you say your chosen profession is a mom?

A Yes.

12.

2.0

Q And would you say that you're an expert in child rearing?

A Yeah.

Q And as a mom did you ever have to be on the roads commuting with your children back and forth to school and to games?

A Yeah.

Q And as a driver on the road how many times a day or how long would you spend on the road every day?

A I'm kind of a bad one to ask because my one biological son I home schooled. And so I really wasn't on the road during those times. We adopted a couple teenagers. Because driving on the roads making that left-hand turn on Lahainaluna was so stressful, a lot of times our neighbor would take the kids up to school and pick them up because they had to go anyway.

Most of the teenagers that we hanaied my husband would take 'em to school in the morning and then they'd catch rides home with friends after 'cause ours was the hanging out house after school.

```
1
               So to be perfectly honest, I didn't do all
 2
    that commuting and running around because I home
3
    schooled, and because most of the kids I hanaied were
 4
    high school and had cars or access to friends with
 5
    cars. So I'm probably not the best person to ask.
               But you do drive, right?
6
         Q
 7
         Α
               Oh, yeah.
 8
               And when you drive -- how many years have
         0
    you been driving?
9
10
         Α
               In my whole life?
11
               Uh-huh.
         Q
12.
               Since I'm 15.
         Α
13
         0
               So 10 years.
                              (Laughter). So anyway so
    that means you have experience as a driver.
14
15
         Α
               Yes.
16
               And usually when you go to college to get
         0
    degrees to be an expert in anything you go for, say,
17
18
    four years?
19
         Α
               Yes.
2.0
               And you get a master's in six years?
         Q
21
         Α
               Yes.
22
               And you can get a Ph.D. within eight years?
         Q
23
         Α
               Okay.
24
               So would you say you have a Ph.D. in being
         Q
25
    a mom?
```

| | | 90 | |
|----|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--|
| 1 | А | Yes. | |
| 2 | Q | And being a driver? | |
| 3 | А | Yes. | |
| 4 | Q | So you're an expert in driving on the roads | |
| 5 | in Lahaina. | | |
| 6 | А | Yes. | |
| 7 | Q | Is that true. And you're an expert when it | |
| 8 | comes to recreation for your children. | | |
| 9 | A | Yes. | |
| 10 | Q | And parks? | |
| 11 | А | Yes. | |
| 12 | Q | But not in the school sense. | |
| 13 | А | Correct. | |
| 14 | Q | Are you aware that colleges give credits | |
| 15 | for life | experience that will go towards degrees? | |
| 16 | | MR. GEIGER: I'm going to object because | |
| 17 | this has i | no relevance to any of the issues presently | |
| 18 | involved : | in this case or any of the testimony that she | |
| 19 | had given | • | |
| 20 | | PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Well, I think | |
| 21 | you opened | d the door as to whether or not she was an | |
| | | | |

expert in certain areas. I'm going to allow this. But I will suggest to Ms. Bolomet that questions as opposed to argument are more appropriate at this point.

22

23

24

25

Q (By Ms. Bolomet): Okay. As an expert do you find that your opinion of what happens on your roads more valid than an expert that doesn't live that that makes a report?

12.

MR. GEIGER: I'm going to object. That is argumentative. There's no question being asked. She's just asking: Do you believe your opinion's more valid than somebody else's? That doesn't do anything for this case.

PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: OP, did you have a question or a comment?

MR. YEE: Yeah. I think our only, I guess objection, is to the extent she's using as a rhetorical device the Office of Planning is willing to give some leeway.

But to be clear, Ms. Lincoln has not been qualified as an expert before the Land Use Commission. We would oppose, I think, any attempt to qualify her as an expert.

PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Ms. Bolomet, you will have a chance to present further argument in this proceeding. It will be an opportunity to submit proposed findings and conclusions. You'll be able to make your arguments. You don't need to make arguments just by asking rhetorical questions of the witness.

With that kind of a general statement I'm going to allow this question. But I'd ask you to try to move through this quickly.

12.

MS. BOLOMET: Okay. But can I ask you a question? I'm trying to establish that just because you don't have a degree doesn't make you not an expert for your own neighborhood. There's a lot of strangers that keep coming into a neighborhood and tells the people that live there they are experts on their neighborhood, what's best for them.

And I think that's what I heard in Ms. Lincoln's testimony. And I just want to get real clear, you know, on making it clear that you don't need a degree to be an expert. And sometimes experts are wrong.

PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: And that's the type of argument that you have the opportunity to make. But you don't need to make argument in the form of questions to a particular witness.

Q (By Mr. Bolomet): Okay. So were you consulted for any of the planning when it came to the parks for the neighborhood, the proposed neighborhood?

A Well, the only one we had that I was able to attend was the one in May of 2008 when they asked for the community input. And our neighborhood was a

hundred percent opposed to it. I was at that one. So that was our input from the community at that point.

But as far as once they decided they were going to go ahead with it after that, no we were never consulted, like, on individual planning phases of it other than the meeting in, I think it was March of 2010 which I was not able to attend that one. My husband was. I went to the May 2008.

Other than that I was not consulted or the community neighborhood was not consulted to my recollection.

- Q And were you a part of traffic planning or any of the traffic reports?
- 14 A No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12.

13

23

24

25

- 15 Q Besides the county council meetings were 16 you a part of any other planning with -- regarding 17 this proposed neighborhood?
- 18 A No.
- 19 Q So your expertise was not consulted in any 20 of this?
- 21 A No, I don't think anybody in the 22 neighborhood was.
 - Q Okay. Oh. On September 19th you gave me a call regarding some digging going on on the lands behind your house. Could you please tell us what you

witnessed?

12.

2.2

A I was in the shower and I just heard a big machine out there. So I jumped out, ran up there. And they just had a backhoe kind of by those rocks I was telling you about that I want to move up. Those are my rocks. I just wanted to make sure they didn't move 'em. They didn't.

But anyway, they were digging a swimming pool right there which shall be left empty. They were just digging a big hole, the backhoe. And Mr. Dega was there and another gentleman. And they were gonna dig another one a little further over.

And I didn't stick around to watch that one. But I watched them dig and they hit bedrock, I believe at 8 feet or so.

That's what brought up my concern -- I didn't really think about that in our backyard with the bedrock being right there. That's going to be very noisy, blasting and digging that out.

But, yes, they were digging back there to look for archaeological findings I guess with the backhoe.

Q Did you see them with any kind of screens sifting the dirt?

A No, I didn't see them with that. There was

1 one guy operating the backhoe and Mr. Dega and another 2 gentleman were watching, observing as the fill was coming out in rocks and whatnot. But I didn't -- I 3 4 didn't see any screening or whatnot going on. 5 MS. BOLOMET: Okay. That's good. Thank 6 you. 7 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Ms. Lincoln, 8 normally you would get a chance to redirect, ask 9 further questions of the witness. If you have 10 anything you want to add I'll give you the 11 opportunity. 12. MS. LINCOLN: I'm fine. 13 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Then, 14 Ms. Bolomet we're ready for your case. 15 MS. BOLOMET: Can we just have a little bit 16 to set up? 17 MR. GEIGER: That would be fine. 18 want to make sure, I don't know if the Chair needs to 19 formally get from Ms. Lincoln that she's rested her 2.0 case or not. 21 MS. LINCOLN: I'm finished. I have rested 22 my case. Thank you. 23 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Ms. Bolomet, 24 would it be more efficient to take our lunch recess

25

now --

| 1 | MS. BOLOMET: Sure. | | |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| 2 | PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: and then you | | |
| 3 | start when we come back? | | |
| 4 | MS. BOLOMET: Sure. That would be great. | | |
| 5 | PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Let's try to | | |
| 6 | keep this to one hour and reconvene at 12:30. | | |
| 7 | (Recess was held. 11:30) | | |
| 8 | PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Okay. Back on | | |
| 9 | the record. Ms. Bolomet, are you ready to proceed? | | |
| 10 | MS. BOLOMET: Yes, I am. | | |
| 11 | PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Please go ahead. | | |
| 12 | We're going to be calling Robin Knox. | | |
| 13 | ROBIN KNOX | | |
| 14 | being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined | | |
| 15 | and testified as follows: | | |
| 16 | THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. | | |
| 17 | PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Go ahead. | | |
| 18 | DIRECT EXAMINATION | | |
| 19 | BY MS. BOLOMET: | | |
| 20 | Q Ms. Knox, could you please describe your | | |
| 21 | qualifications and areas of expertise? | | |
| 22 | A Would you like me to state my name and | | |
| 23 | address for the record? | | |
| 24 | PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Yes, please. | | |
| 25 | A My name is Robin S. Knox. I live at 28 | | |
| | | | |

Waikalani Place in Kihei, Maui, Hawai'i. My qualifications are in the areas of environmental science, environmental health, water quality management and planning, wastewater treatment and coastal restoration.

12.

2.2

I'm going to expand a little bit on the details of that because I think that a significant issue in this case is the qualifications of professionals making decisions.

And there's a particular professional discipline that is not quite as matured in Hawai'i as it is in other places operating under these same federal laws. So I'm going to expand a little bit on the qualifications.

I have a background with undergraduate college courses, environmental chemistry, water quality analysis and graduate level courses in civil engineering and water and wastewater treatment.

And that qualifies me to look at two sides of the equation: The impacts out in the environment as well as technical issues and feasibility related to technologies and levels of treatment needed from an engineering standpoint.

I've been a research scientist. I've been a planner, a water quality modeler which means

creating mathematical models. It's a form of engineering. And I was an actual permit writer with the state of Louisiana writing wastewater discharge permits.

12.

2.2

So I think I have a more integrated and interdisciplinary background than perhaps many of the professionals that you've heard from so far. I think that's important because there are criteria that you're required to make your decision on.

And the Petitioner has relied heavily on the Environmental Impact Statements and the acceptance of those statements to support your decision criteria.

So it's important for you to understand the need for inner disciplinary integration of all these different types of information like engineering, science, hydrology, and so forth and environmental decision-making.

I have spent more than 20 years helping a variety of clients address these issues and particularly issues of water quality and water quality standards. So I do have the background and experience to look at this from a variety of perspectives of state, local and federal government as well as private business.

I have completed 17 hours of graduate level

1 engineering courses on physical, chemical and biological unit operations. And I have worked closely 2 3 with the Corps of Engineers in coastal restoration 4 projects and have experienced an exposure to that type 5 of engineering. 6 0 Did you submit a testimony? 7 Α Yes, I did. Is there anything in there that you'd like 8 0 9 to change at this time? 10 Α I don't think I have anything to 11 change. 12. Would you like to summarize your testimony 0 13 for us, please? 14 Α Sure. Overall as a brief summary in 15 looking at this issue I determined that the Land Use 16 Commission, pursuant to Hawaii Administrative 17 Regulations (sic) 15-15-17, the criteria for the 18 decision, include four items that I feel qualified to

Those four items are the availability of basic services including wastewater and drainage; the topographically appropriate and free from danger of flood, tsunami, unstable soil conditions and other adverse effects; the sufficient reserve area for foreseeable urban growth; and be contiguous with

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

comment on.

existing urban areas. Those are the four criteria that you're obligated to consider that I will comment on.

Over all I think the most important one is the basic services including wastewater and drainage as far as technically what I have to say and how it relates to the regulations in terms of priority.

I think the comments that I have to make about the safety of living near a flood control structure are in regard to the precious nature of human life and are important from that standpoint.

Q Okay. Does the subject Project meet the decision criteria pursuant to HAR 15-15-17 for the availability of basic services including wastewater and drainage?

A No, it does not.

12.

2.0

2.2

Q Is it true, as the Petitioner claims, that the public service and facilities together with improvements to be constructed are adequate to support the Project?

A That is not supported by the information that I have reviewed. I believe that claim to be untrue. There's not currently adequate existing wastewater treatment capacity at the Lahaina Wastewater Treatment Plant, which is what the Project

proposes to use as their wastewater treatment. They have not proposed any onsite or their own independent wastewater treatment.

12.

According to county of Maui engineering reports by CH2, M. Hill which is their engineering consultant, the existing plant capacity is not adequate. The existing plant discharge is an average dry weather flow of 5 million gallons today — or 5 million gallons per day.

And the existing plant capacity, average dry weather capacity, what's called reliable capacity, is only 4.5 million gallons per day. So the plant is already half a million gallons per day over what's considered to be reliable capacity.

Furthermore, the county of Maui in almost every area where it intersects with the Clean Water Act, has been having alleged non-compliance and has entered into legal agreements, consent decrees and other documents.

For instance, the collection system itself is under a consent decree. These are the pipes that pick up the raw sewage that you would have to put the sewage from this development into.

And those, that collection system is currently the subject of a consent decree. And if you

go to the county's website you can find their quarterly reports that they submit to EPA and Department of Health in compliance with that consent decree.

12.

2.0

And that says that the US EPA and state of Hawai'i filed two lawsuits against county of Maui alleging violations of the federal and state law. The County of Maui entered into a consent decree with US EPA and State Department of Health. The consent decree was filed November 9th — sorry, November 8th, 1999. So this is not a new thing. This is a long-standing problem.

It does include problems in the Lahaina area with force mains and sewer lines in the collection system that are not adequate for the waste that we currently have. So to add anything to this is going to burden the existing system.

In addition, the wastewater facility, the Petitioner and in the EIS, they talk about that the Lahaina Wastewater Facility has a permit. It is true they have a permit. It is an underground injection control permit under the Safe Drinking Water Act.

There's currently -- EPA has taken an action called an Order for Information, a 308 Order. And in my experience that is EPA's first step when

they intend to regulate something. They ask for the regulated entity to provide information. They have asked that of the county of Maui already.

12.

Secondly, there has been a lawsuit, Clean Water Act citizen enforcement suit, filed by the Hawai'i Wildlife Fund against the county of Maui alleging that the injection wells also need, in addition to the underground injection control permit under the Safe Drinking Water Act; that they need what's called a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit. It's a wastewater discharge permit in the Clean Water Act.

The significance of the difference is that Safe Drinking Water Act only protects potable drinking water supply. Clean Water Act protects the entire resource. I think that's all I had on that question. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Ms. Bolomet?

Q (By Ms. Bolomet): Yes. What will the cost be for the county to increase sewage treatment capacity to accommodate this new development?

A According — this goes toward the question of whether or not this would burden the existing system. I'm sorry. I need to explain one more thing about the current situation.

The current underground injection control permit, because it only protects drinking water supplies, does not have conditions that would be anticipated under the Clean Water Act permits that would protect aquatic life like fisheries, the limu, recreational uses, other uses.

And so the costs that have been estimated by the county's engineers assumed that they would not have to meet more stringent effluent quality limits.

10 And they assumed they could go up to

12.

11 | 12 million gallons a day in capacity.

Right now based on what EPA has put in their draft underground injection control permit, and the likely outcome of the litigation, I would say that we should not count on the Lahaina treatment plant being able to discharge more wastewater or more pounds of pollution in the future.

We should count on it needing to discharge less, which means we need to find other alternatives for disposal other than the injection.

And we need to make our treatment more efficient so that the quality of what's coming out, the pollution of what's coming out is less.

Even if we assumed that we didn't have to improve either the quantity that we can treat or the

quality that we can treat, we would still be looking at \$5.45 million just to get to 6.7 million gallons per day of reliable capacity.

12.

The county reported to their engineering consultant that they need 12 million gallons per day of reliable capacity for development needs. That would cost 59 to \$76 million. So this community, county of Maui, is facing a major crisis with wastewater capacity and ability to treat wastewater on the west side.

Everything from the collection system to the effluent has been subject to, you know, the alleged violations and subject to consent decree.

It's in litigation.

It's widely accepted scientifically that we need to reduce the pounds of pollution. No matter how small a development is, if you add wastewater and you don't improve the treatment plant first, you are adding pounds of pollution.

So I don't think that this Project meets this criteria of having adequate water and wastewater -- I'm sorry, adequate wastewater facilities to meet this criteria to change it to Urban from Ag. I don't think that we have the infrastructure to do more Urban development.

1 Q Do you think these costs are accurate? 2 No. As I explained earlier, I don't. I Α 3 think if you look at the increased flows -- another 4 assumption that the engineers made was that they would not have to have non-chlorine disinfection or UV. 5 The EPA has already ordered additional UV 6 7 capacity for disinfection removal of disease-causing 8 microorganisms from Lahaina. 9 So, again, there are costs that weren't 10 considered in those engineering costs like expanded 11 hydraulic capacity, meaning more wastewater can go in; 12. expanded ability to remove pollutants, meaning a 13 better quality of effluent coming out; or any increase 14 in the total loads of pollutants that can be 15 discharged. Those need to go down. 16 So there are a lot of indicators that the 17 costs to get to where we need to be for all the future 18 development that's been proposed is getting pretty 19 high, up into 80, maybe even closer to hundreds of millions of dollars. 2.0 21 The Petitioner says the wastewater facility Q 22 has a permit. What kind of permit do they have? 23 Α As I explained earlier they currently have 24 an underground injection control permit.

What are effluent limits in the current

25

Q

permits?

12.

A The current permits actually do not have a lot of limits on the quality of the effluent. They have an action level that if the total nitrogen gets to 10 million grams per liter at a flow of 9 million gallons per day, that they're supposed to take some action. But it's not an actual limit. And it's not considered a violation if they go over it.

However, in the proposed permit that's currently under consideration EPA is putting actual limits.

Q So has the EPA permit expired?

A The existing underground injection control permit is currently expired and is administratively extended and is currently being held up pending the state issuing — the Department of Health issuing a Water Quality Certificate.

A Clean Water Act Section 401 Water Quality Certificate is where the state says to EPA "because you're issuing a federal permit we need to certify your federal permit meets our state water quality standards."

So that permit has not been issued pending the issuance of a certificate from the State

Department of Health saying, "Yes, this permit will

comply with standards." So the jury's out on that one.

12.

Q Does the proposed renewed permit allow the conditions assumed in the cost estimate?

A No. As I alluded to earlier there are some restrictions that EPA is now considering. For instance, the permitted injection rate and the proposed permit is issued to an average of 7 million gallons for any calendar week.

And the non-chlorine disinfection or UV disinfection is required for all of the effluent, 100 percent of the effluent. Right now they treat between 4 and 16 million gallons a day. But they only have UV capacity for 1 million gallons a day. You cannot reuse the water or do anything basically other than inject it unless you disinfect it first.

The Total Nitrogen load that EPA is considering is reduced to 50 percent of the current load, again indicating the need that we will have to have improvement to wastewater treatment facilities in order for the sewage that we have right now today in order to continue to meet what's required to protect the ocean water quality.

Q Can we go back just a little bit. I guess I'm not really clear on what an injection facility is.

Can you explain that more?

12.

A Sure. That's a good question. An injection well is a hole in the ground that's deeper than it is wide. So to distinguish it from a maybe a pond which is a hole in the ground that's wider than it is deep.

The county of Maui uses injection wells for disposal. Injection is not a treatment. It's just a way of getting rid of the water after you've treated it.

So the county does do some level of treatment. It is better than the minimum technology-based standards. But it in my opinion is not good enough to meet the likely requirements for achieving our water quality goals in the ocean.

Q So since they inject this untreated could it get into our groundwater, the water tables?

A They do inject it directly into the water table. The wells are relatively shallow, as injection wells go. They're 2 to 300 feet deep. So people think that's sounds deep but it's not really deep for an injection well.

And when you inject freshwater — sewage is freshwater — into the mixed salty water, brackish water in the coastal areas, that groundwater is

brackish. When you inject freshwater into saltwater the freshwater is more bouyant. And it's gonna pop to the top.

In fact that's been confirmed in studies by UH and USGS the constituents of wastewater and in fact wastewater signatures that are specifically from an advanced secondary treatment plant like Lahaina, have been detected in those waters. That's the basis for the lawsuit.

So we know — we know that the effluent that is not being disinfected to remove harmful pathogens is, in fact, reaching the ocean in recreational waters where people swim.

This is actually just a few feet from the shoreline at Ka'anapali. There are some major seeps that get a pretty good percent of wastewater. We've detected up to 20 percent, I think, in some of these seeps.

- Q So where we swim and where we collect limu?
- 20 A Correct.

12.

- 21 Q Medicine, our food?
- 22 A Correct.
- Q Okay. That's disturbing. Is it likely
 that the EPA will relax the restrictions to allow more
 wastewater and pollutants to be discharged?

A It's highly unlikely. As I said earlier there is some widespread consensus among scientists that the injected pollutant loads along with other land-based pollutant like drainage, stormwater runoff, is causing rapid reef decline and endangering public health.

12.

Q Okay. What is the current water quality status of the waters that will receive surface water drainage and sewage discharge from the Project?

A Under the Clean Water Act there are sections called 305B, which is a section that requires states to assess their water quality periodically, and 303D which is a section that requires them to list the waters that are impaired. Which means they're not meeting the federally and state-mandated goals.

So the Department of Health, the Hawai'i
Department of Health has reported to the Environmental
Protection Agency and to the U.S. Congress that the
receiving waters for discharges from the Project Area,
which would be — this is how they're named in the DOH
report — it would be Kahoma Stream, Mala Wharf and
Ka'anapali. Those areas are not meeting Clean Water
Act goals and state water quality standards.

Q Okay. I going to go back again to these injection wells. I'm a little disturbed by this. So

are you saying, like, our toilet water goes into these injection wells untreated?

12.

2.2

A I am saying that when you flush your toilet that water goes to a collection system which has been deemed inadequate and alleged to be in violation of the Clean Water Act and is under a consent decree.

That system then carries the wastewater to the treatment plant where it does receive the minimum requirement of what the federal requirements are and state requirements are. But it does not receive treatment adequate to meet the state water quality standards, which are state law.

So it's partially treated. It needs more removal of bacteria to meet recreational standards. It is highly probable that there's a need for significant reduction of Total Nitrogen and in particular nitrates, in order to meet those nutrient standards. And there may — it's yet unseen — there may also be the need to reduce toxic components.

All the pharmaceuticals, everything that anybody puts in their body comes out or any cleaning supplies that they use are disposed of down the drain. That all comes out, goes to the treatment plant. Not all of it gets removed by the treatment processes there.

Then it's injected into the groundwater, which not only is the water that goes out to the ocean and we swim in and the limu and the fish, the coral grow in, but it's also water that under several, several proposals from developers have proposed to use that water with the reverse osmosis unit and to reclaim that saltwater for drinking water.

12.

So this is going — you know, there's a lot of controversy about what's called toilet—to—tap like recycling wastewater from a treatment plant back to drinking water. The reality is on the planet it is and always has been toilet—to—tap. You are always drinking somebody else's wastewater. So that's why it's important to treat it before it gets discharged.

Q So you said anything in our body like pharmaceuticals. So that means birth control pills, which are hormones, Viagra, which is hormones. Is that why the fish are getting tumors and their reproductive systems are getting messed up?

A The birth control pills and other hormones in particular cause what's known as endocrine disruption, which means that the reproductive and hormonal systems of the animals are affected.

Animals such as amphibians and fish can change gender. Animals cannot reproduce at all or

they can fail to grow and thrive. So there's all kinds of effects from toxic chemicals. That's one of the reasons that there's this momentum to get an NPDES permit on the wastewater discharges because that type of permit puts limits on those type of contaminants.

12.

2.2

But right now those type of contaminants are not limited. They are present. They have been detected in the ocean waters. Any additional housing that we add, any additional sewage we add is just making the problem worse.

Q So are there any studies that actually link the increase in endocrine type cancers in humans to, say, swimming in the ocean and being exposed to these waters?

A Well, I think there would be different effects from different things. I've not read anything about human endocrine systems being affected by recreational exposure.

Animals who live in the water are definitely affected. And that's quite prevalent in the literature. The more likely impact on people using the water recreationally and the more eminent threat to them is the fact there's more pathogenic or disease—causing organisms in the wastewater that's coming out in the recreational area.

So there are several studies underway that have not been published yet. I spoke with a researcher from South Carolina who was here testing for staph aureus or staphylococcus bacteria which is one of the prevalent. Maui actually has one of the highest rates in the country of staph infections.

12.

And this researcher was very surprised at the concentrations that she was seeing. They were very similar to the ones I saw when I worked with Hawai'i Institute of Marine Biology to do some microbial testing in 2010.

Q So the surfers that are having problems with staph infections not getting healed it's probably from these wastewaters that they're getting into?

A That is at least one possibility. The Department of Health puts out a second possibility that surfers just have bad hygiene and that they're all contaminating each other through their towels.

And they don't wash their canoes — the paddlers also, the didn't wash their canoes or their surf boards or they shared towels. So that is also a potential pathway.

In my opinion you can't just go on epidemiology in these kinds of things. You have to just, like common sense, look at the watershed. If

they're in a big honking treatment plant they're discharging wastewater? Maybe that could be part of it too. It's kinda common sense.

12.

Q What are the water quality standards?

A The water quality standards are state regulations. The state is required by the Clean Water Act to promulgate these regulations. And the standards themselves have several parts. And one part is the use of the water.

So you've heard me say "recreational use."

And we've talked about fish and limu and coral. Those would be "aquatic life use." Drinking water is a use. Industrial use is a use of water. So all of those are listed uses.

And there are also criteria which are specific qualities of the water to be attained. So criteria can be numeric like there's, there are criteria for total nitrogen and nitrates.

And so this is where some of the distinction between drinking water protection and the protection of the whole water resource get important.

For instance, under the Safe Drinking Water Act the criteria for nitrates is 10 milligrams per liter which is fairly high. And that's to protect from the depletion of oxygen in your blood by the

nitrates.

12.

2.0

But for aquatic life out in the ocean it's a million times less — or more sensitive. The criteria are in the micrograms per liter or part per billion range rather than part per million range.

So there's orders of magnitude. There's a need for orders of magnitude more protection to protect aquatic resources and recreational use than there is to protect water quality — I mean drinking water. And I think that's a common misunderstanding.

People think if you protect drinking water that's the most stringent thing. But for many pollutants the aquatic life is actually more sensitive than humans are.

Q So no matter what we may think and how far this Project may be, it will have an effect on the ocean waters and the reefs. So just like the old Hawaiians, they said from mauka to makai and one ahupua'a everything was connected. Do you agree with that?

A That would be the underlying premise of the Clean Water Act. Those programs through mandatory and voluntary controls in the center-based controls, promote watershed planning and integrated water resource management. So that we see that these are

not separate issues. That drinking water, stormwater, ocean water, stream water, groundwater is all one water.

Q What happens if water bodies don't meet water quality standards?

12.

A Well, under the Clean Water Act those waters are considered impaired and not meeting their designated uses. The responsible agencies, in this case Environmental Protection Agency Region 9 and Hawai'i Department of Health, are responsible for determining what's called a total maximum daily load.

And that is simply, if you can think of it as the pollution pie. It's how much total pollution from the whole watershed, from every source, stormwater runoff, groundwater, wastewater discharge, that total pollutant load cannot exceed the TMDL.

We set a limit on the total load in order to assure we meet the standard in the ocean. And then that gets back calculated to each individual source as an allocation, a pollutant allocation that they are then limited to.

Q What are the implications of impaired waters listing for the Lahaina WWRF?

A In my opinion misnamed, Lahaina Wastewater Reclamation Facility, it does not reclaim very much of

its wastewater because of the inadequate treatment. What DOH is reporting to EPA and Congress is that we already have too much pollution.

12.

2.2

The pounds per day being discharged are greater than the amount that can be discharged and still attain our state water quality goals, which are promulgated regulations under state and federal law.

So it means that we need to reduce the total pounds per day of pollution from the current levels. So if we want to add development we've got to get a whole lot more efficient in how we manage stormwater and wastewater on the west side.

Q When you're talking about developments are you talking about including all the future developments that have been already approved? Is that part of the equation?

A Well, if we were doing a TMDL, which is required by law and it is on the list, so it will be done unless someone de-lists it somehow — the — I'm sorry. I lost the question. What was the question?

Q Let's see if I can remember the question.

After lunch I lose it.

A Oh, you asked about all the planned development.

Q Right. Right. Including all the --

A The TMDL, if it was done, could include a margin for future development. They can set aside a reserve allocation and say: Okay, that's for future development.

But what these reports are saying is right now, today, without adding, whether it's approved already or not, without adding one more gallon of wastewater we are over the limit. We are not meeting the goals. We are in violation of state water quality standards.

So without — no matter how much the county has promised to people that there's capacity and they can add on, what EPA and DOH are saying is "not one more pound"?

Q "DOH" is?

12.

A Department of Health, Hawai'i Department of Health.

Q What are the implications of the impaired waters listings for this Project?

A The implications for this or any project that's not currently discharging to the system, is that any new project no matter how small, is going to add pounds per day of pollutants to the wastewater and stormwater pollutant loads that is already too high and must be reduced.

The treatment plant is already over both process and hydraulic capacity and is not likely to receive permits to allow increased effluent disposal rates or increased pollutant loads.

12.

2.0

Reducing pollutant loads while increasing population in areas served will require upgraded treatment and water reuse systems. At the time that I wrote this there was currently no watershed plan. But there is currently a draft watershed plan being prepared for the area.

But there is no final watershed plan, total maximum daily load or other plan in place to reduce the overall pollutant loads for this area. There may not be adequate treatment capacity or waste loads to allow for increased pollutant loads for new development.

Sixty-eight more homes when added cumulatively with all the projects that already exist and are already approved in Lahaina, will add to an already problematic scenario.

Q So if the Department of Health says "not one more pound" and the EPA says "not one more pound of wastewater," how can this body approve, go through a step that would essentially allow this to be built? Do you have any idea?

A Well, I don't believe that this Commission can approve this change to Urban based on meeting this criteria availability of basic services including wastewater and drainage. I think both from a stormwater standpoint and the wastewater standpoint that there are questions definitely of pollutant load capacity or the ability of the system to assimilate the waste and still meet standards.

12.

2.2

These questions are pretty clear. The fact that the pollutants are getting out there, the fact that the capacity is what it is, those are the county's own engineers and those are government agencies saying that.

So those are pretty certain things that we as a county are going to have to deal with. And we're going to have to find ways to upgrade our treatment, get more effect.

And there have been, in my experience, in my career, times when EPA did not allow any more wastewater discharge permits. They did that to the City of Dallas because they couldn't resolve how to meet standards and meet their TMDL's. And they said, "We just don't have the money." And EPA said, "Fine. No more new development."

And then magically they figured out how to

get the money. And they were able to resolve it and they were able to continue development by having improved wastewater treatment.

Q Whose responsibility is it to assure compliance with state water quality standards?

12.

2.0

2.2

A It's actually everyone's responsibility. The state regulations say that no person or body should be allowed to pursue activities or discharges that cause non-attainment of the water quality standards.

Q What is a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit? DPDES.

A That is the permit required under the Clean Water Act, which is a federal law, for the discharge of pollutants from point sources to waters of the U.S.

Just as a point of clarification there is in the county's permit, applications for the underground injection control permit. There are pollutants listed there as constituents of their discharge.

Those same pollutants have been found in the ocean waters which is a water of the U.S. And injection wells — well specifically the word "well" is included in the definition of a point source under the Clean Water Act.

So that is the basis of the allegations that the county is in violation for not having that permit is that those three criteria: Point source, pollutants, waters of the U.S.

- Q Okay. Does the Lahaina WWRF have a NPDES permit?
 - A No, they do not.

12.

2.2

- Q Is the Lahaina WWRF plant required to have an NPDES permit?
- A That's the subject of both the EPA, Clean Water Act Order for Information and a citizen suit alleging that the county is discharging without an NPDES permit in violation of the Clean Water Act.
- Q What does an NPDES permit require that the UIC permit does not?
- A Site specific considerations for protection of aquatic life such as limu, coral, fisheries and humans consuming aquatic life or swimming in the water.

UIC permits are for protection of the underground drinking water supplies. I should also add that the TMDL's that we talked about, those allocations are actually what determine the limits in an NPDES permit. And by law the TMDL allocations have to be placed in the permit as well.

1 Q Can you tell me again what a UIC is? 2 Α Underground Injection Control permit. 3 Okay. Does the UIC permit that currently Q 4 allows the Lahaina WWRF to discharge without 5 provisions to protect the aquatic life and other 6 existing uses of the receiving waters, limu, coral and 7 fishery recreation? 8 The UIC permit does not contain any 9 provision specifically to protect aquatic life, 10 recreation or other existing uses of the water with 11 the exception of drinking water sources which it does 12. protect. 13 But, so the protection would come from the 14 State Constitution for protecting waters or the Water 15 Commission rules? 16 It may fall under those jurisdictions. Α 17 haven't researched that. But it definitively falls 18 under the federal jurisdiction of Clean Water Act and 19 the authorities delegated to the state Department of 2.0 Health from EPA under the Clean Water Act. 21 Do you have any recommendations regarding 0 22 wastewater treatment for the proposed Project? 23 Α If the LUC should decide to change the land 24

use district to Urban, there should be a condition

requiring that any development Project, whether it's

25

affordable or not, pay its fair-share of the wastewater treatment and disposal cost.

12.

Q Again, what do you think that's going to cost this Project?

A It depends on the ultimate effluent quality that we have to meet and the quantity that we decide that we have to build for. But it could be in excess of \$80 million according to the county's estimates which I think are underestimates because of changing conditions with the regulations.

Q How would these wastewaters actually affect the coral, the reef?

A Well, right now, as I said, we have evidence that the particular nitrogen that is sourced from not only from Lahaina but the Kihei Treatment Plant, that that is what's fueling invasive algae blooms, harmful algal blooms that are damaging the coral. That part's been fairly substantively demonstrated by the UH Manoa Botany Department. There was a publication by Daylor, et al. I was the co-author on that publication.

Some of the other questions are dead zones on the Ka'anapali reef that we're not sure of the exact, if there's a like to the wastewater or not.

25 | That's still being investigated.

But you can envision if we had a geology where we're injecting this buoyant water and it's coming out wherever it can be released that it might be coming out in thousands of little seeps all along the reef. We do know that submarine discharges of groundwater like that occurs.

12.

So that groundwater movement can convey the wastewater to all those places. So it's possible that all those dead zones are somehow connected to seepage that includes wastewater.

Again, there's a lot of research. A lot of the world's best universities are coming to Maui to study this.

So it's not conclusive about impacts for toxicants, endocrine disruptors and things like that. But when it comes to nutrients, specifically — well, I won't say specifically, nitrogen is thought to be the major player. But what we find is that the algae like wastewater better than they like pure nitrogen.

So I could go in and make a chemical concentration and make it tailored to the chemical concentration of pure chemical nitrogen, and put the algae in it and they didn't take off and bloom. But when she gave 'em wastewater it's like the perfect mix of micronutrients and macronutrients and whatever the

algae like.

12.

2.2

And they really respond. You can see it visually. Their pigments get darker. They grow faster. They get darker in color. So they clearly take off, their growth curves take off with wastewater.

So it's that — we have to keep remembering about wastewater. It's a combination of a lot of things. And the algae just really like the wastewater. They really grow well on it.

Q So that's the invasive algaes you're talking about in limu?

A Well, both native and invasives can grow out of control and become a harmful algal bloom if conditions are conducive to it. So what we're really doing with environmental management and water quality management is trying to control those conditions so that they can provide us with, you know, the outcomes that we want in terms of edible fish, swimmable waters, edible limu, healthy coral.

Q So that's how it relates to me. It affects the fish, the fish that I might eat, and the quality of the nutrients from that fish?

A Those are the direct impacts of discharges of both stormwater and wastewater on things like coral

and algae. There can be more direct effects to the coral directly, not just with the algae. Like I said we've not even begun to scratch the surface yet on toxicity.

Sediment is a known damaging effect. I was actually somewhat surprised at the amount of coral that I saw at the mouth of the Kahoma Channel considering how much sediment does get delivered there. I was expecting it to be an area that would be considered more degraded than what I saw.

So that kind of gave me hope that our water quality programs might work and that we have an opportunity here, if we manage development right, to preserve those resources and protect them.

- Q Do you know of any coral laws that's protecting the corals and the reefs for the state of Hawai'i?
- 18 A Coral laws?

12.

2.2

19 Q Like laws that, that protect the corals.
20 Did Linda Lingle mention anything?

A I'm not sure exactly what you're speaking of. But I am familiar with the state regulations and laws related to implementation of the Clean Water Act. And those definitely protect the coral and the other aquatic life.

Q Does the Project meet the land use decision criteria of "sufficient reserve areas for foreseeable urban growth"?

12.

2.0

2.2

A When I first read that criteria I was not real clear on what the typical interpretation of that criteria is for this body. But the thought that occurred to me is as a watershed manager and a water quality manager looking at this watershed, I think the reserve area that's most needed in this urban area is a reserve area for infiltration of stormwater into the ground rather than running off.

In other words, areas that are pervious rather than impervious. And one of the damaging aspects of development is rooftops, roads, concrete are all impervious. Water cannot penetrate and go back into the groundwater. It just runs off down the channel carrying pollutants with it.

In the Lahaina area there's not much green or open space in the urban developed areas. So I think a real need for reserve capacity in that urban area is to just have open space where infiltration can occur.

- Q What does it mean when they say a hundred year floor or 50 year flood?
 - A It's often misunderstood. People often

think when you say "hundred year flood" that means the flood that's gonna happen once every hundred years.

But what it really means is the size of flood that's likely, that has a 1 in 100 chance of happening in any given year.

12.

It's the flood that there's a 1 percent chance that it's going to happen this year. So a 50-year flood is the one that there's a 2 percent chance that it's going to happen this year.

Q Does the hundred year flood volume change?

A Yeah, I think that's important to understand that it's a statistic. So it's based on past data. What we know right now with global climate change is that dry periods or drought periods are getting longer. And while overall rainfall, the amount of rainfall is getting smaller, it's falling in these more intense storms. So you're likely to get more water at one time even though we're experiencing less rainfall.

So that's going to mean what is the hundred year storm, that volume of water is changing. So it can change when precipitation patterns change like that. But it can also change due to development within the watershed and more of that impervious surface.

And so what has been happening — that was, that was designed for the hundred year flood when it was designed which I think the design started in the '70s. And it was finally completed in the '90s.

12.

2.2

Well, both the amount of impervious surface within the watershed as well as the precipitation patterns, both of those things have changed. So now the hundred year flood statistic is going to change as a result.

So what we really need to be talking about is: What is the volume of water that we expect to come all at one time? And what is the capacity of that structure to contain that volume safely? That's a discussion I really haven't heard in all of these proceedings.

Q Does the Project meet the land use decision criteria of land with adequate topography?

A When I read the Petition to address this criteria, the Petition mainly concerned itself with the slope of the land. However, I believe that the elevation of the land should also be considered and its position adjacent to a major flood control structure.

And the recorded presence by current residents of wet weather flows in the former

streambed, that all of these things potentially make this an area of *not* satisfactory topography as it is not free from danger of flooding, unstable soil conditions or other environmental affects.

12.

As I said earlier, due to climate change and changes within the watershed, we can expect more intense flooding, more inches per hour, increased flood volumes.

One of the things to understand about flood control is that if a flood control structure fails, there can actually be more damage than what would have happened if it had never been built in the first place and flooding had been allowed to naturally occur on the flood plain.

Q Do you have recommendations regarding the Project's stormwater drainage?

A I would recommend, first of all, to leave this in some kind of open or green space. Whether that's Ag or Urban, you know, is more of a technicality. But leaving it open where water can infiltrate.

And I would say that if you did change it to Urban, which would allow more development and structure, that you should require low impact development which is EPA's latest design standards,

what's known as green infrastructure which is less impervious surface, more natural systems that let the water go back into the groundwater.

Also water quality-based standards for the drainage design.

- Q Where do you come from?
- A I'm from Louisiana.
- Q Were you there during Katrina?
- 9 A I was.

- 10 Q What's your opinion regarding the U.S. Army
 11 Corps of Engineers design flood control structures
 12 there?
 - MR. YEE: I'm going to object on the grounds of relevance. We're going pretty far afield if we're going to talk about the Army Corps of Engineers water quality efforts in Louisiana.
 - MS. BOLOMET: The reason I'm bringing it up is because her experience with flooding and the flood channels like we have in Kahoma, there's going to be a relationship that I think would be important for the Commissioners to have to make their decision.
 - PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: I'll allow a few questions in this area. But I do think it's a pretty far stretch. Let's try to get through this quicker.
- MS. BOLOMET: Okay.

| 1 | MR. GEIGER: I'd also point out that I |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | don't believe any foundation's been laid that this |
| 3 | witness would have any ability to comment on what was |
| 4 | just offered for the offer of proof. |
| 5 | Q (By Ms. Bolomet) Well, do you have any |
| 6 | proof of your qualifications to answer this question? |
| 7 | A As a water quality specialist I deal with |
| 8 | assessing and addressing, mitigating or avoiding the |
| 9 | impacts of projects approved and permitted by the Army |
| 10 | Corps of Engineers in some cases where they're |
| 11 | directly engaged as a project sponsor. So I am |
| 12 | familiar with the impacts of their projects. |
| 13 | And I am personally familiar because I knew |
| 14 | a lot of the technical data that was going on in |
| 15 | Louisiana where some of the reliance upon Corps of |
| 16 | Engineers did not prove to be well founded. |
| 17 | MR. YEE: May I voir dire on the issue? |
| 18 | PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Yes, you may. |
| 19 | VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION |
| 20 | BY MR. YEE: |
| 21 | Q Is your expertise in the review of the |
| 22 | environmental impacts on Army Corps of Engineer |
| 23 | permits? |
| 24 | A I do have that expertise, yes. |
| 25 | Q I take it you do not have an engineering |
| | |

degree.

12.

A I do not have an engineering degree.

Q Your review, then, of flood control calculations is not based upon an educational degree.

A I would say that's not true. My review of those calculations would not be related to the structural components of the design. It would be more related to the ecological, environmental or water quality impacts.

MR. YEE: We have no objection to the discussion on environmental issues. But when it comes to flooding, which is a mathematical, engineering and structural analysis, we would object on that basis.

PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Your objection is noted. Ms. Bolomet, as I understand it these questions regarding what happened in Louisiana are basically just background for discussion of the Kahoma Channel and how it relates to this Project.

Given that it's just background I'll let you ask a few questions. But I'll ask you to try and do it quickly and briefly.

CONT'D DIRECT EXAMINATION

23 BY MS. BOLOMET:

Q Okay. Have you reviewed the FEIS for the Kahoma Flood Control structure? And if so did you

note any information important to this decision?

A That's a different question. Did you want me to answer?

Q Oh, oh, go ahead. I'm sorry.

12.

2.2

A With regard to Hurricane Katrina and Corps of Engineers' structures, there was quite a hot debate for close to 20 years about the environmental, ecological and water quality impacts of both navigation and flood control structures on the Louisiana coast in the area of New Orleans.

The mathematical models, which I am trained in, do review and do work with mathematically quite a bit, those models indicated that some of these structures were actually channeling water and increasing velocity and perhaps increasing hazards in the New Orleans area.

After the failure of the levies a colleague notified me that he noticed — he had been — during the storm, as the storm moved in he was looking at the levy elevations on the Corps of Engineers website. Shortly after the storm those elevation drawings were removed from the website.

Later it was determined that the levies were not as high as we thought they were because the Corps of Engineers benchmarks had been sinking.

And I would like to be really clear on this that I'm not casting any doubt on the professionalism of the Corps of Engineers and the work that they do. I just would like to draw the Commission's attention to the fact that this is your decision. And because somebody checked a box at an agency and said, "Yeah, it meets this list," that's not the only consideration.

12.

We have to consider that we don't know everything as humans; that we are fallible and that these are powerful forces of nature. And every engineering design has a limit.

So the question should be, if you're looking at water quality and all of that, it's what's the limit of the pollutant load and the mass of water that can be put through the ecosystems.

There are other questions of safety which I am not an expert on, but I have personal experience with. And I just think that we all should really think about the bigger picture.

When we see a design, understand it's an engineering design. It's for a certain sets of conditions. And if conditions outside that range do occur, then that design may or may not be adequate.

Don't just assume that it's adequate

because 20 years ago the Corps of Engineers said this is great. Well, this is not 20 years ago.

12.

Q So relating to this specifically, do you have anything else to add with your experience with Kahoma, say with the concretizing of the channel?

A Well, my experience with hardened channels in general is that they can cause loss of sediment from the land, land loss, erosion, delivery of sediment to the ocean.

I did look at the Environmental Impact
Statement for the Kahoma Flood Control Channel. And
there was in the Land Use Commission decision to
change from Ag to Urban, in order to build that
channel the Fish and Wildlife Service requested that
the former channel remain a green space and be planted
with trees and shrubs for wildlife habitat.

And in that same document they brought up an amendment after the Final EIS. And one of the issues in the amendment was that the local community was saying: We really want this to be open space or green space and not be developed.

So that goes as far back as the '70s that that's been the premise of what should be happening with this land.

Q Okay. Is there anything else you'd like to

1 add to that regarding this Project? 2 I think that's it. 3 Okay. Have you reviewed the FEIS for the 4 Kahoma Flood Control structure? If so did you note 5 any information important to this decision? 6 Α I think I just answered that one. 7 MS. BOLOMET: Well, I have concluded my 8 questions. 9 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Thank you. 10 Petitioner. 11 MR. GEIGER: Ready to go unless the court reporter would like a break. We've been at it about 12. 60 minutes. 13 14 THE REPORTER: Short one. 15 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Okay. 16 (Recess was held. 1:40) 17 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: (1:50) (gavel) 18 Okay. Back on the record. Petitioner, go ahead with 19 cross-examination. 20 Thank you, Mr. Chair. MR. GEIGER: 21 CROSS-EXAMINATION 2.2 BY MR. GEIGER: 23 Good afternoon, Ms. Knox. Q 24 Good afternoon. Α 25 Q I just want to make sure I understand a

1 little bit about what you did and your qualifications.

And I'll get into some questions. So first of all, as

3 | I understand it you provided written direct testimony.

And so that we're on the same page, the testimony I received started out "Affidavit of Robin S. Knox" and was about five pages long. Is that the written direct testimony you were referencing?

A Yes, sir.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Q It wasn't signed, but regardless whether it was signed or not, you adopted that as your written direct testimony in this case.

A Yes, sir.

Q Now, I believe you testified you didn't have a degree in civil engineering. Am I correct that you are not a licensed civil engineer?

A That is correct. I would like to provide some further explanation.

Q You'll get an opportunity in a minute. But if I could go ahead and finish my questions here. I assume also you're not a -- you have no degree in land use planning.

- A That is correct.
- Q And I assume you do not have a law degree.
- 24 A That is correct.
 - Q You made some comments about the likely or

what you believe to be the outcome of certain
litigation. That's based upon your own lay person's
view. That is not based upon a legal opinion, is that
correct?

A That is based upon 30 years of regulatory experience with the Clean Water Act. It is an expert opinion.

12.

2.0

2.2

A It is an expert opinion on the likely outcome of the regulatory processes that have been set into place under the Clean Water Act.

Q Okay. Let me, then, focus a little bit on that. What were you given so far as this specific Petition Area to review?

A I think the only thing I was directly given was the Petitioner's, I guess it's called Petition the information that had.... I'm not sure. I looked at the EIS's. I looked at information that Routh e-mailed to me. I looked at transcripts. And I looked at Rory's -- there was a presentation that Rory did.

Q Okay. Well, specifically you said the "Petition". Were you given all of the exhibits that were attached to the Petition?

| 1 | A No. I don't believe I've seen all the |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | exhibits attached. |
| 3 | Q Were you given the Final Environmental |
| 4 | Assessment in full? |
| 5 | A I reviewed what was available on the |
| 6 | state's Office of Environmental Quality website. |
| 7 | Q Did you know that the Final Environmental |
| 8 | Assessment was attached as an Exhibit 7 to the |
| 9 | Petition? |
| 10 | A Yes. I actually looked in a book that |
| 11 | Routh had and saw that it was Exhibit 7. |
| 12 | Q Okay. And you noted that there were |
| 13 | certain departments that would make comments to the |
| 14 | Final EA, correct or to the draft EA? |
| 15 | A Correct, yes. |
| 16 | Q And did you note that the Department of |
| 17 | Health made comments, the state of Hawai'i Department |
| 18 | of Health? |
| 19 | A Yes, I did. |
| 20 | Q And did you note that they effectively did |
| 21 | not make any of the comments that you're making today |
| 22 | concerning "not another pound"? |
| 23 | A Yes. And I would like to clarify that as |
| 24 | well. |
| 25 | Q You can. In a minute I'll give you an |
| | |

opportunity to do that. But you would agree with me that the state of Hawai'i Department of Health did not make that comment to the Final EA for this Project.

A I would agree that they did not say it in those words. But I also think that coded within the regulatory lingo in their letter is your warning.

Q Let me ask you that because you spent a lot of time telling the Commission about the Lahaina Wastewater reclamation facility. And in your testimony, which you've adopted, you referred to a report that the county's consultants provided, correct?

A Mm-hmm.

12.

Q There were three reports provided by the county's consultants, weren't there?

A Mm-hmm.

Q And the report you referenced was the very first report, correct?

A I think in the original testimony I've since did more detailed review the second and third reports.

Q Okay. But you haven't told us that you changed your testimony. So now I'm hearing you say you've done something else.

A I didn't change my testimony. I simply

reviewed additional reports which confirmed what I said in the beginning.

12.

Q You recognize that in the third of the final report of the consultants they said that their information in the first report that you rely upon was inaccurate, correct?

A They said that some information was inaccurate, but they still concluded that the average dry weather flow is 4.5 million gallons per day and that the current flow is 5 million gallons per day.

Q Well, I think that that's not totally correct. But didn't they recognize, ma'am, that they were concerned because, as you said, the capacity seemed to be less than what they were actually treating each day?

A They said, "The reliable plant capacity for liquids treatment is currently approximately
4.5 million gallons a day on an average dry weather basis. The estimated dry weather capacity is below the average observed flow."

Which means that the flow is greater than the reliable plant capacity. That last part was my words.

Q I understand that's your words. And in fact isn't it correct that they were concerned that it

appeared that the plant was able to treat more than the capacity so they re-evaluated the data?

A Well, what they --

12.

- Q Isn't that correct, ma'am? Isn't that correct?
- A I don't think it is correct. Can you say that again?
- Q Sure. Isn't it correct that they were concerned that the results that they had from the first go 'round showed that the plant shouldn't have sufficient capacity? But they were treating the wastewater and not having any violations. So they felt something must have been wrong, correct?
- A They felt that their models wouldn't balance on the solids balance. And the only way that they were able to justify that there is adequate capacity is to allow the use of a basin that should be remaining empty as redundant capacity.

That's part of good engineering practices. That's part of what would be required if they were under a Clean Water Act permit. So the only way they can claim to have adequate capacity is to not follow standard practice of redundant equipment, and to put into — they said the only way — I think I've got it right here. "If it is to be assumed—"

"It's probable that the plant has not had any problems meeting permit requirements." First of all, I already told you there are no limits in the permit. It just triggers an action. So of course they had no trouble meeting the requirements. It's an extremely lenient permit.

12.

And, secondly, because the third clarifier has been available during peak months. They're taking a unit that should be out of service in case of emergency for redundancy and using it for their day-to-day compliance. That's -- that's not a mistake or a... that's a practice that the county does that is not a good engineering practice.

Q Whether it's a good engineering practice, bad engineering practice — the capacity with — using the third facility provides for a capacity in excess of what they're treating, correct?

A If you assume that the redundancy capacity for secondary clarifiers is not applied, the plant has approximately .5 million gallons per day excess capacity. That's a big 'if'. And that's what — their engineers are not saying that that's the capacity. Their engineers are saying that that capacity is only there if you do not follow the practice of having redundant treatment units, which is

the practice.

12.

2.0

Q That's how you read it. I understand that, ma'am. But it does say, and they came to the conclusion, that the plant was able to meet the capacity or the treatment because they made a mistake in the first report which you included in your written testimony?

A No, sir. I do not agree that they made a mistake or that they admitted to a mistake. That is inaccurate.

Q Okay. Isn't it correct that in this final phase report that we're talking about the consultant said that there were two things that Lahaina — that the Maui County could do which would allow them to have additional capacity that would be at no cost or at minimal cost?

A There were, I think, about five alternatives. Two of them were process improvements to the existing plant.

Q At no cost or minimal cost, correct?

A Yeah, they were fairly inexpensive compared to expanding the plant, upgrading the level of treatment.

Q In fact one of them would increase the capacities by a million gallons a day.

1 Α Without looking back at the report I can't 2 testify to that. 3 Page 10 if you want to look. Q 4 I don't have the complete report with me. Α 5 And the other one would increase it another 0 6 8/10 of a million gallons a day. And those would be 7 at no cost or minimal cost. Do you understand that? 8 I understand that there were several 9 alternatives and costs presented that are based on 10 assuming that you can get 12 million gallons per day 11 in your permit and 751 pounds per day of total 12. nitrogen in your permit. 13 Those were the assumptions behind this 14 costing, assumptions and conclusions in that 15 engineering report. And I do not believe those are 16 valid assumptions. 17 I understand you don't agree with that. 18 But that is what the county's consultants provided, 19 correct? 20 That is true. Α 21 Now, how many gallons of wastewater are 0 22 going to be added by this Project? 23 Α I don't recall the number from reading the 24 But normally there's 200 gallons per day per

person as an industry standard assumption, four people

25

per households, 68 houses. It's more than zero.

12.

Q I understand. I will tell you that according to the county standards it would be about 30,000 gallons a day. Does that sound reasonable to you?

A Without doing the math I don't want to answer the question.

Q Okay. That's not something you'd look at.

A But I would say it's probably relatively small compared to other projects. It's a small Project.

Q But that's not something you looked at in preparing for your testimony before the Commission.

A I did look at it. I didn't recall the number because from my perspective as an expert on water quality, when you're already exceeding water quality standards and a total maximum daily load has been mandated, that means the load needs to go down not up.

So you'd have to find a way to make the total pollution go down. And any new project adds more unless you also improve the treatment in order to get more efficient to bring the pounds down.

Q Well, first of all, you're not here speaking on behalf of the Environmental Protection

1 Agency, correct? 2 I'm not speaking on their behalf, no. 3 And you're not here speaking on behalf of Q 4 Department of Health of the state of Hawai'i, correct? 5 Α That is correct. 6 And you're not here speaking on behalf of 7 county of Maui Department of Environmental Management 8 and Wastewater whatever their current name is? 9 Α That is correct. Division of Environmental 10 Management. 11 So what you're here on is you're here Q 12. speaking on your views of this particular Project, 13 correct? 14 Α I'm here speaking as an expert in water 15 quality about the situation that currently exists with 16 the Lahaina Wastewater Reclamation Facility and the 17 claim of the Petitioner that there is adequate treatment available. I don't believe that that's 18 19 true. And that's what I'm here testifying. 20 I understand that's your belief. You 21 understand that the county of Maui has come to a 2.2 different belief.

A I don't know that I understand the county of Maui's beliefs.

Q Let me ask you a question about the

23

24

25

recommendations because I heard you make two recommendations to the Commission. You said: If you approve the change you oughta make sure there were two things done. One was to make certain that the developer had to pay its fair share costs, correct?

A Correct.

12.

2.0

Q Okay. And you used a number of 80 million to a hundred million, but that's not the developer's fair-share cost. That would be the entire cost of doing the upgrade, correct?

A That was the entire cost that was estimated by the county's engineers based on the assumptions of a 12 mgd permitted flow and a 751 pounds per day total nitrogen.

Q Understood. It's a huge number but the developer's fair-share would not be that entire 80 million, correct?

A I'm not familiar with the formulas for doing that. But I would assume that a fair share would not be the whole amount.

Q Were you familiar with or did anybody provide you with the resolution that the county council entered when they approved the Project?

A No.

Q Okay. I will tell you that one of the

provisions that the county council included was that if there was a capacity for wastewater treatment that the developer would have to pay its fair-share. I assume you agree that that's a good condition to include.

12.

A If the land were to be switched to urban, which would require that there actually be capacity, not that there be speculative capacity -- I'm sorry I didn't --

Q Well, or not that there would be speculative known capacity. The point is that you would agree that would be a good condition to include that the developer should pay its fair-share if there is a capacity?

A Whether there's capacity or not the developer should pay their fair-share.

Q I think the other recommendation you had would be that you should do a low impact design. Did you understand the developer, to the extent possible, is going to incorporate low impact design in this Project?

A My understanding was that the developer was going to comply with county drainage standards which are not up to the standards of low impact development.

Q Or higher. But that's okay. Your

understanding is only based upon what you've been told my Ms. Bolomet on that, correct?

A More of what I've read.

Q Okay. Now, let me ask you a little bit about that. The county standards. Are you familiar that the county made an ordinance in January changing some of their water quality standards for stormwater runoff?

A Yes, sir. I am.

2.0

10 Q And have you reviewed the proposed rules on 11 that?

12 A I have to some extent, not to the in-depth 13 extent I would like to.

Q And have you provided any public comment on those proposed rules?

A Yes, I have.

Q And you know that's in the process and probably will be adopted within the next 40 days, 45 days?

A I'm not familiar with the timeframe.

Q And you would agree that the developer should comply with those rules?

A At a minimum. My comment in the public notice was that those were 1993 recommendations from EPA and that we should go with their latest

1 recommendations which were low impact development and 2 green infrastructure. 3 But be that as it may, you and I aren't the 4 county council. We didn't adopt the rules. We have 5 to play by the rules they have, correct? 6 Α Well, at a minimum. 7 So you would agree that that would be 0 8 something that would be acceptable that the developer 9 should comply with those rules? 10 I think it's acceptable that the developer Α 11 comply, but it may not be enough to comply with water 12. quality standards. So you may need to go beyond what 13 the county requires. 14 But, again, that would be standards that 15 are enforced, if you will, by the Department of 16 Health, correct? 17 Α I think that... 18 Clean Water Act is enforced by the 0

Q Clean Water Act is enforced by the Department of Health in the state of Hawai'i.

A It's enforced by both EPA and the

Department of Health. If the DOH does not enforce

then -- if they've delegated -- if EPA has delegated

the authority to DOH and DOH doesn't do it then EPA --

Q May do it.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A -- step in.

1 Q But right now they've delegated it as far 2 as we know. 3 Certain things. Α 4 0 Yes. 5 Not everything. Α 6 0 But this they've delegated, correct? 7 What specifically? Α 8 Enforcement on the stormwater discharge, 0 9 correct? If you don't know that's fine. 10 Α I don't think that's specific enough Yeah. 11 to answer. 12. Okay. I had a question about your 0 13 comments. Have you done any research to find out what 14 the rainfall is at the Lahaina area on average? 15 Α I have not looked at Lahaina precipitation 16 data. I've looked in general at Maui precipitation 17 data. 18 Were you aware that there is a precipitation data available for Lahaina from 1916 to 19 2.0 the present? 21 Not specifically but I would expect to find Α it based on what I know about Maui. 2.2 23 So you really can't tell the Commission 0 24 anything so far as what the likely size of a storm

might be or what the likely rainfall might be in the

25

Lahaina area.

12.

2.0

A I can tell the Commission that it is likely that the intensity of storms will be greater than it's been in the past. That's based on reports from the state climatologist about Hawai'i.

And I've confirmed on Haleakala that it is true from the hundred years record of rainfall data on that side. So I haven't done that analysis for West Maui.

I would expect because it's a statewide, global and islandwide trend that we would probably see the same thing.

Q Well, you recognize, don't you, that Maui has a number of sub-climates. And so what happens on one side of the island doesn't necessarily follow through on the other side of the island, correct?

A That's correct.

Q Okay. So what experience you have concerning Haleakala may not follow through, for example, Lahaina.

A It may or may not.

Q Correct. So as far as what it would be it's speculation. You haven't done the work to find out or to tell the Commission what it would be in Lahaina, correct?

| 1 | A My comment is that we <i>should</i> know what |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | those numbers are in this discussion. And nobody that |
| 3 | I can see has done or presented that to the |
| 4 | Commission. |
| 5 | Q You don't know that because you haven't |
| 6 | been here to hear all the testimony. You haven't |
| 7 | reviewed all of the reports or the testimony of all |
| 8 | the experts, correct? |
| 9 | A I have not reviewed everything, that's |
| 10 | correct. |
| 11 | Q Let me ask you a question. Are you |
| 12 | familiar with the USGS gauging system that exists for |
| 13 | the streams throughout the state of Hawai'i actually? |
| 14 | A Yes. |
| 15 | Q Did you do any work or try to find out any |
| 16 | information about the Kahoma Stream, whether a gauge |
| 17 | exists or whatever the flows might be? |
| 18 | A Time did not allow me to do research on |
| 19 | that. |
| 20 | Q Okay. Did you do any research or try to |
| 21 | find out any information about the design capacity of |
| 22 | the Kahoma Stream flood control channel? |
| 23 | A I did see I did see some numbers on the |
| 24 | Corps of Engineers' website. I did not convert those |
| 25 | to gallons to see what they said. I looked at it but |

- I haven't converted it to a meaningful number that we can discuss at this point.
- Q You looked at it for the Kahoma Stream?
- 4 A I looked at the Corps of Engineers' 5 website.
- 6 Q Oh, I'm sorry.
 - A It had a description of the Kahoma Flood Control Project. In there they did have a cubic yard capacity for the debris basin?
- 10 Q Correct.

1

2

3

7

8

9

- 11 A And the length of concrete of the structure 12 itself.
- 13 Q They also had the design capacity in cubic 14 feet per second for the channel.
- 15 A 15,000.
- 16 Q 15,000 cubic feet per second, yeah. And 17 you're familiar with the gauging stations that USGS 18 runs. They do a cubic feet per second measurement 19 also, don't they?
- 20 A Some of them. It depends on the type of 21 station. They could be measuring levels and 22 calculating.
- Q Correct. Would you be surprised if I told that the USGS had records on Kahoma Stream gauging from 1963 to the present?

- A No, I would not be surprised.
- Q And those records had cubic feet per second flows. Would it surprise you to know that the maximum recorded discharge was 2490 cubic feet per second?
 - A Can you say that again?
 - Q 2,490 -- 2500 for ease of reference.
 - A Okay. Would it surprise me?
- 8 O Mm-hmm.

12.

- A I would think that it's low but I wouldn't say it would surprise me.
- Q The records are the records. That's what we have. So that as I do my math, and I'm not a math person like you are, but it's about 1/6th of the design of the Kahoma Stream flood control channel, isn't it?
 - A Well, what they're reporting is a facsimile of how often they measure. And it's also a report of the last hundred years worth of data. And what we're talking about is that the hundred year flood is a statistic and that the rainfall and precipitation patterns are changing.
 - So you cannot rely on the statistics of the last hundred years of data to tell you what's going to happen in the future.
 - Q I think you misunderstood my question. I

was only talking about the stream flow. The stream flow, the recorded stream flow from 1963 to the present, the maximum has been 2500 cubic feet per second. That number is approximately 1/6th of the design, isn't that correct?

A The number 2500 is approximately 1/6th of the 15,000. Is that your question?

Q Yeah.

12.

A Can I use a calculator?

Q Sure.

A I'm sorry. I don't do math in my head.
We've already established that. I will just say it's a fraction. Can we do that? Do you really need the math?

- Q No. I think the Commissioners can figure it out themselves.
- A Okay. It's in fraction.
- Q So this information that you received from neighbors that there had been overflows, that doesn't seem to square with the recorded data that we have, does it?

A I will say that my experience is that real world observation always trumps data because there are facsimiles in data and other things to consider when you're looking at these questions.

I did not say, I don't think, that the residents had seen overflows. What I said was that the residents had reported that when it rains currently that there is flow in the old streambed not in the channel itself.

12.

2.2

I have also seen photos provided by the Intervenor that had showed debris perched on the top of the structure, which would indicate that water levels in that structure had gotten high enough to place the debris on top of the structure.

So that means that at some point when this thing actually operates, which is not theory and data and all of that, it's the actual operations, that the water levels are filling up that debris basin.

So why could that be happening even though it's designed to be six times more than any recorded stream flow? Possibly it could be acting as a dam. If debris jambs up and then the water level, the elevation can get higher in that structure.

So those kinds of real world operational issues need to be taken into account in this situation.

Q Just so we're all on the same page. You're referring to the weir feature of the debris basin, correct?

A I would not call it a weir.

12.

Q Corps of Engineers calls it a weir. If you looked at the website you would have seen it's a weir. That's the feature you're referring to, correct?

A The feature that I'm referring to I've seen referred to as a debris basin.

- Q Within the debris basin you said that there was some debris perched on top of the structure, correct?
 - A Correct. I saw a picture.
- Q And that structure is at the bottom of the debris basin. It's a, if you will, almost a square with little openings in between?

A No, that's not what I'm talking about. What I saw was a picture of the concrete walls of the channel that are vertical. And inside there, what I'm calling a debris basin, inside that channel, there are structures that have, like, bars that you can see are to prevent big logs and things from going down in maybe the square box that you're talking about.

And the picture I saw had large debris, large logs and things on top of the vertical channel wall.

- Q This is what you're talking about, right?
- A Yes. I think I saw a picture from a

different perspective.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q You'll note at the top of the weir feature, I'll call it a weir feature, is lower than the top of the debris basin, correct?

A Say again.

Q Sure. The weir feature, the top of the weir feature is lower than the top of the debris basin, correct?

A You're saying the top of this inside --

Q Yes.

A -- box is lower than the debris basin.

Q Is lower than the top of the concrete on the outside of the debris basin.

A From the perspective of this photo it appears so. Let me just say that's not the same photo that I saw earlier.

Q When you looked at the Corps of Engineers website and found out about the Kahoma Channel, you didn't notice that the elevation difference was approximately 8 feet between the top of the debris basin and the top of the weir?

A I did not review design information in that.

Q You testified about the county of Maui since 1999 has been under a consent decree with regard

to the Lahaina Wastewater Reclamation.

12.

2.0

2.2

A That's actually for the entire county's collection systems.

Q Okay. And are you familiar with all of the replacement that has been done of the main lines in the sewer treatment or the wastewater facility in the Lahaina area?

A I do not know all of the details of county's program. I'm familiar in general with sewer collection system rehabilitation and how it's done and that considerable strides have been made under the consent decree to correct this situation.

Q That's one of the things they had to do because they were having a lot of leaks in the lines that were running to the sewer treatment plant or the wastewater treatment facility. They had to replace those lines, correct?

A I don't know if they were replaced. Many times they line the front line with products like In Situ Form and things like that. So I'm not sure if it was replaced or lined. But I know there has been rehabilitation projects.

Q But you weren't living in Maui at that a time, correct?

A That's correct.

| 1 | Q Do you have any facts upon which you can |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | tell the Commission that the wastewater line that runs |
| 3 | from Keawe Street to the Lahaina Wastewater |
| 4 | Reclamation facility has not been repaired or |
| 5 | replaced? |
| 6 | A I have no information about that particular |
| 7 | line. |
| 8 | MR. GEIGER: Just one second. (pause) |
| 9 | Nothing further. |
| 10 | PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: County? |
| 11 | CROSS-EXAMINATION |
| 12 | BY MR. GIROUX: |
| 13 | Q Thank you, Chair. Dr. Knox, as far as the |
| 14 | consent decree, have you read that decree? |
| 15 | A No. |
| 16 | Q So you have no information as far as what's |
| 17 | inside of that document? |
| 18 | A My information about that document was |
| 19 | taken from a county's quarterly report made pursuant |
| 20 | to that consent decree. |
| 21 | Q As far as your experience with the EPA, how |
| 22 | many consent decrees have you been involved with or |
| 23 | had the joy of working with? |
| 24 | A At least three or four. |
| 25 | Q Okay. And you're aware of the process of |
| | |

the EPA coming forward and basically taking jurisdiction of municipalities, sewer projects.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12.

13

14

15

16

17

18

23

24

25

A I'm aware that they can do things along those lines.

- Q And the consent decree is one of those ways.
- A I don't -- I don't know if I quite understand what you mean by "take control of". I think the consent decree is one way the EPA can affect the changes that they want to see.
- Q So they gain added oversight because of a consent decree.
- A Right. Because the party has consented to do some action.
 - Q And just because a party consents to be cooperative with the federal government doesn't mean that they're in actual violation at the time?
 - A That's correct.
- Q Okay. So as far as this consent decree, one of the things you do know about it is that there is a reliable capacity of \$5 million a day for this type of facility in Lahaina.
 - A I do not know that. I didn't see the capacity of the plant as being, at least in my review of the information, as being the focus of the consent

decree.

12.

2.0

Q So as far as this 5 million gallons a day, where does that come from?

A The 5 million gallons per day is a number from the CH-2 M.Hill final report that if you assume that you're not required to have any redundant basins, that using the 1985 Lahaina plant and one basin from the older plant that you can achieve 5 mgd or even 5.5 mgd capacity.

Q Okay. So if this plant went over that and went to the 6 mgd, they wouldn't be in violation of any type of consent decree.

A I can't really answer that because I've not read all the consent decrees to which the county is subject.

Q Can we safely say you're not an expert as far as the consent decree in this area?

A I would say as far as the specifics of the county's consent decree I'm not an expert at this point only because I haven't done a detailed review of it.

Q Okay. So any opinion that we are in violation of that consent decree would not be a professional opinion.

A I don't think I've rendered an opinion that

you're in violation of the consent decree.

12.

2.2

Q Okay. I'm just checking because I've heard a lot of talk about us, the county, being in violation of certain things. And what I want to do is just go down the line and clarify this.

A I think I said there were alleged violations.

Q Okay. So "alleged" means that there have not been any Notice of Violations to the county.

A I do not know because I have not looked for Notices of Violation.

Q So as part of your preparation for this case you did not look to see if the EPA has filed any Notices of Violation against the county.

A That is correct.

Q So can I safely say that you're not an expert in this area of whether or not the county is in violation of the Clean Water Act?

A No, you cannot say that. I think I'm very much an expert in questions of whether or not the county's in violation. I think what you can say is that I have not reviewed the information that would allow me to make that determination.

Q The EPA is the enforcement arm of the Clean Water Act, is it not?

| 1 | A It's their primary responsibility. They |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | can delegate it to the states. |
| 3 | Q Okay. So the state also has either |
| 4 | redundant or parallel enforcement authority of the |
| 5 | Clean Water Act. |
| 6 | A Correct. It varies from state to state. |
| 7 | And there can be both. For instance, on the UIC |
| 8 | permits there's actually redundant from the state and |
| 9 | federal levels. Whereas the NPDES there is not. |
| 10 | Q To your knowledge the county has not been |
| 11 | in receipt or been served with a Notice of Violation |
| 12 | from the Department of Health. |
| 13 | A Not to my knowledge. But, again, that's |
| 14 | not something I've investigated. |
| 15 | Q We were talking about a UIC, an underground |
| 16 | injection control permit. |
| 17 | A Correct. |
| 18 | Q And that is a permit that stems out of the |
| 19 | Safe Drinking Water Act. |
| 20 | A Correct. |
| 21 | Q And as far as you know that is also |
| 22 | governed by federal and state enforcement authority? |
| 23 | A Correct. |
| 24 | Q The county has not received any Notices of |
| 25 | Violation from either the federal or the state? |

| 1 | A That is not a question that I've |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | investigated. |
| 3 | Q So you did not look into any type of |
| 4 | violation that has already been given or investigated |
| 5 | pursuant to these types of permits, is that correct? |
| 6 | A I did not research or review any records |
| 7 | from Department of Health or EPA regarding Notices of |
| 8 | Violation to county of Maui. |
| 9 | Q I want to go into the NPDS. Am I saying |
| 10 | that right? |
| 11 | A NPDES. |
| 12 | Q NPDES. And that's the National Pollutant |
| 13 | Discharge Elimination |
| 14 | A System. |
| 15 | Q System. Okay. This is something that is |
| 16 | required to be in compliance with the Clean Water Act? |
| 17 | A It's required for the way the law's set |
| 18 | up, discharges of pollutants from point sources to |
| 19 | waters of the U.S. is not allowed without an NPDES |
| 20 | permit. |
| 21 | Q And the enforcement arm of that again is |
| 22 | the EPA and/or the Department of Health? |
| 23 | A It is the EPA and they can delegate |
| 24 | authority to the Department of Health. Then they can |
| 25 | also sten in and override authority of the Department |

of Health if they feel it's not being executed properly.

Q To your knowledge the EPA nor the
Department of Health has issued a letter or a notice
of warning to county telling them that they need to
get an NPDES permit?

A They have not issued a warning or Notice of Violation. They have issued a 308 Order for Information which in its body says: We have reason to believe that you may be discharging pollutants from a point source to waters of the U.S. without the required Clean Water Act permit.

And they have requested that the county provide information adequate for DOH and EPA to make a decision on that.

Q So as far as you know there is a process by which they're information gathering at this point.

A Correct.

12.

Q And to your knowledge that determination has been basically labeled "inconclusive" at this point?

A I would say it's been labeled "incomplete" not "inconclusive". I don't know if you can conclude before you complete. It's still working its way through.

Q So it's in the middle of a process, but there has been no conclusion.

A Correct.

12.

2.2

Q I just want to skip over to the process that the state fulfills its 305B and 303D requirements.

A Hmm-hmm.

Q Basically the test that's performed is basically a regional test, is it not?

A Each -- you'll recall I named three place names.

Q Yes.

A What the state does is they have an ongoing water quality assessment monitoring program. They are not adequately funded to monitor everywhere all the time. So they do kind of rotating regimes. They've set up what they call 'management units'. And so they have a certain geographic area.

And they have a certain number of tests that they can do within that geographic area for purposes of assaying whether or not — to put it simply, whether or not the waters are fishable and swimmable. So that's the process.

Q So it's not definitive. Those tests are not definitive as to a point source?

1 Α Those tests are not meant to be a monitor 2 of a particular point source. They are the monitoring 3 of the cumulative point and non-point sources 4 including natural or background, groundwater, the 5 whole shebang, the entire mass of pollution coming off 6 the land into the ocean. 7 One of your concerns was that these tests 8 were showing high levels of nitrogen. Is that your 9 opinion? Or is that your knowledge?

A I would not say that they were showing high levels. I would say that they were showing levels that exceed the water quality criteria concentration.

Q Okay. As far as your understanding of the demographics and geography of the Lahaina area, is that there are major hotels there?

A Yeah, I don't know them all. There are quite a few.

Q Okay. Would you say there's more than five major hotels there?

A I recently looked at a proposal where the Coral Reef Alliance is going to hold discussions with 23 hotel representatives on the west side. So perhaps there's as many as 23.

Q In this test area?

10

11

12.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

A I can't say how many are in a particular

water quality management unit. There is quite a concentration in the water quality management unit that they are calling Ka'anapali or Kahekili Beach Park, that area.

Q So in preparation for this case you did not go and look to see how many hotels were in the 305B test area?

A I would say that anyone would be extremely challenged to do that because the state has not actually mapped the geographic extent of their management units. So we don't really know where they start and end.

And they often change over time. So that's kind of one of the technical issues that the state's been grappling with.

Q Okay.

12.

2.0

A So it would be almost impossible for anyone to do that.

Q And as far as you know -- you're a scientist, right?

A Yes.

Q That hotels use a lot of fertilizer to keep their grounds and their golf courses nice and green, right?

A I don't know if they use a lot. I would

1 assume that they probably use something. 2 Okay. One of the main components of 3 fertilizer is nitrogen, correct? 4 Of certain types of fertilizers, and Α 5 there's different forms of nitrogen. 6 0 As a scientist you have not basically gone 7 out to these hotels to find out what kind of 8 fertilizer they're using. 9 Α Not yet. 10 Okay. And you have not determined whether 11 or not this nitrogen is making its way into the 12. nearshore waters from these hotel properties? 13 Α I don't think there's been a specific study What we do know is that there's nitrogen in 14 on that. 15 the water and that part of it's coming from the 16 treatment plant. 17 Q And it is your understanding that there is 18 other point sources of nitrogen that are making its 19 way into these impaired waters? 20 I'm not sure if there's other point Α 21

There are other non-point sources. sources.

22

23

24

25

And can you explain the difference to this Q body?

A point source is a discrete Sure. conveyance like a well or pipe or a drainage swale. Ι always use for my clients the example: If you can get a water sample it's probably a point source. A non-point source is diffused overland flow, runoff, that can be channeled into drainage structures and other things and then discharged.

12.

It's kind of a moving target in the regulatory program what has or has not been regulated as a point source. So sometimes that's a point of confusion. Most stormwater was not regulated as a point source when it first, when the programs first started.

But over time they've gradually started bringing in stormwater from construction activity, stormwater from industrial activity, things like that.

So if the stormwater that's non-point source gets into a channel, pipe or other drainage structure, it can become a point source and then be subject to regulation if EPA chooses to regulate.

Q And as far as you know all of these sources could be carrying nitrogen possibly?

A I can think of at least three potential sources of nitrogen to ocean waters on the west side being groundwater, point sources and stormwater.

Q I don't want to beat this into the ground. But, again, you're not a civil engineer, correct?

| 1 | A I am not a degreed or licensed civil |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | engineer. I have education and experience in |
| 3 | environmental engineering which is a branch of civil |
| 4 | engineering that is not licensed in the state. |
| 5 | Q But as far as in your preparation for this |
| 6 | case you did not investigate the potential of |
| 7 | wastewater that would be added to the Lahaina system |
| 8 | from this Project. |
| 9 | A I'm not sure I understand the question. |
| 10 | Q You didn't do any type of study or |
| 11 | calculation to find out how much added wastewater |
| 12 | would be added to the Lahaina system from this |
| 13 | Project? |
| 14 | A No, I did not. |
| 15 | Q You do know that it does have that |
| 16 | capacity, the reliable capacity of 5 million gallons. |
| 17 | A I believe that that's the number that was |
| 18 | in the final engineering report as the average dry |
| 19 | weather reliable capacity. |
| 20 | MR. GEIGER: I have no further questions. |
| 21 | PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: OP? |
| 22 | CROSS-EXAMINATION |
| 23 | BY MR. YEE: |
| 24 | Q Ms. Knox, my first question. I just want |
| 25 | to be clear about the issue of physical capacity of |
| | |

the Lahaina Wastewater Reclamation facility versus the clean water and environmental concerns and limitations.

With respect to physical capacity do you understand that there's a limitation based upon the physical capacity of the plant?

A Yes, I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Q What do you think is the current physical capacity of the plant to process wastewater?

A Five mgd.

Q So you believe, not with respect to whether or not it can comply with environmental laws, but simply the engineering capacity of the plant you're saying it cannot process more than 5 mgd.

A I am saying that when I looked at the engineering report there were both hydraulic and process capacity constraints. The hydraulic constraint was the 5 mgd. They had process constraints as low as 1 mgd, especially when you look at disinfection.

And there is a relation between the size of the plant and the effectiveness of the treatment that should be understood. You can push more water through but you're going to have lower quality effluent and put more pounds out.

1 Q And I have separate questions about the 2 environment. I need to focus for a moment on the 3 physical capacity. 4 My understanding is there're physical Α 5 hydraulic capacity and process capacity for removing 6 contaminants. So you're saying the physical capacity of 7 8 the plant is 5 mgd? 9 Α That is my understanding of the hydraulic 10 capacity. The average dry weather flow that's in 11 table 1 of the CH2 M. Hill 2006 report. You reviewed the FEIS, correct? 12. Q 13 Α I reviewed some parts of it. 14 Were you familiar with the opinion or the 15 statement in there that there's the capacity of 6.0 16 mgd for secondary treatment? I'm not familiar with that. 17 Α 18 Okay. Since you're not familiar you 0 wouldn't be able to address why there would be a 19 2.0 discrepancy. 21 I think I know why they are different Α 2.2 numbers. Even within the final report I can find 23 inconsistences in the capacities that are listed. And I think it just depends on where you are in the 24

calculations and the assumptions being made with that

25

1 particular number. So it really needs to be very 2 cautiously looked at. 3 Okay. Fair enough. So then because there 4 are maybe different assumptions, and you're looking at 5 different issues or purposes of the numbers, you're 6 saying these numbers could change? 7 Right. And you also have to be careful for 8 permitted numbers which are totally different than the 9 engineering reality based on those. 10 Okay. So then with respect to the 11 environmental concerns including the Clean Water Act, 12. the NPDES, the nitrogen levels, and any other 13 environmental concerns that you were talking about, I 14 take it these are concerns with the current operation 15 of the wastewater reclamation facility? 16 They would be concerns with or without the Α 17 Project. 18 So then these would be concerns that would 19 have to be addressed regardless of what the LUC does or doesn't do with this case? 2.0

A Well, I hope they have to be addressed.

21

22

23

24

25

Q You're familiar, generally, with the size of the wastewater demand from this Project in relation to the total wastewater production for the Lahaina Wastewater Reclamation facility?

1 A Yes.

12.

2.2

Q Fair to say that this Project has a very small fraction of that total amount.

A This Project is one of many small fractions that make up the cumulative total that impacts the ocean water quality.

Q Would it be fair to say that, however the county deals with these environmental concerns, the urbanization of this particular Project is unlikely to make an impact to the county's decision?

A I would say it would be unlikely to be a significant factor in the county's decision. I think everything has an impact and every pound of pollutants has an impact. It's a question of relative significance.

Q But whatever the county eventually does or may be required to do, it is likely — or it is unlikely that the urbanization of this Project would have a significant impact on that decision.

A I think that this Project's impact on the county's decision-making regarding wastewater treatment is related to its role as part of the cumulative waste load that the county has to handle.

Q Okay. With respect to -- you have in your written testimony a reference to treatment redundancy.

Is that a requirement of the Clean Water Act?

12.

2.2

having redundancy.

A It falls under the heading of Proper
Operation and Maintenance. That's the actual
requirement. And it's interpreted as needing to have
backup equipment including backup power supply. And I
have represented many clients who failed to have that
redundant equipment, and who were fined under the
Clean Water Act and have received penalties for not

- Q And is that necessary for a particular permit?
- A It's included in the permit language on NPDES permits.
 - Q So if you need an NPDES permit then you need to have these redundant capacities. Is that your position?
 - A That's from a regulatory perspective. Also from an engineering practice perspective it's also considered a good engineering practice to have redundant equipment, especially for things that affect human health and the environment the way raw wastewater would if it were released.
 - Q So if an NPDES permit is not needed then you're looking at a question of good management, good engineering practice.

A Correct.

12.

2.0

2.2

Q That's primarily at that point then it would be a county decision as the persons who are operating the wastewater treatment facility.

A I would say it would be their responsibility. I think that the citizenry have a weigh in on the decision itself.

Q Fair enough. Your reference to "not one more pound" is I take it an interpretation of a document, is that correct?

A Yes, sir. Thank you for allowing me to expand on that. I said that in that way for ease of understanding.

Q Okay.

A What actually has to happen is the TMDL study, which will establish the actual number of pounds. But the overall implication is if we're not meeting standards, that the pounds are too many right now and they need to go down. So that's the basis of my "not one more pound" statement.

Q Currently there's not a TMDL standard, correct?

A There is a TMDL required but it has not been done yet. It's mandated but not even scheduled yet by Department of Health.

Q Fair to say it's not — doesn't look as if there's going to be a TMDL standard in the next two years?

A To me it looks as if the priority for that TMDL is going to increase. Two years is a fairly short timeframe for a TMDL because it requires studies that require several rounds of annual data to really calibrate the models and get them to a level where everyone feels comfortable.

Q Who's doing the TMDL study?

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- A It's usually done by a contractor.
- Q I'm sorry. What I meant is who's going to contract for the study.

A I would imagine that it would go through Department of Health. But it is possible it could be done through EPA or even other federal agencies.

Q Does it seem like a fair interpretation of the DOH statement to say "not one more pound" if DOH is the entity responsible to do the TMDL study and they have not yet done so?

A I think it's a valid statement because DOH has reported to Congress that a TMDL is required because the waters are not meeting standards. The implication of that is that the pounds are too many and they need to be reduced.

So if you're trying to reduce you cannot add unless you're doing something else somewhere else to take way from.

12.

2.2

Q Then with respect to your statement or recommendation that if this Project is urbanized that there should be a payment of money for wastewater treatment costs or improvement costs or at least a fair share of that.

My question, then, is from an environmental perspective would it be fair to say that it doesn't matter who pays for the environmental mitigation as long as the environmental mitigation is done?

A From a strictly — the receptors out in the environment they will not know the difference of who paid. But environmental decision—making also involves societal decision—making and societal values. So from that standpoint I think the public weighs in again on the decision.

Q That's terrific because you sort of anticipated my next question which was: This recommendation is really a societal value judgment, correct?

A Right. And that's why the federal government recognizes that values differ from state to state. And that's why it is states that set the water

quality standards. The federal standards apply only when states fail to set their own standards.

Q So with respect to the payment of costs as to who should bear the cost of that, whether that cost should be borne by the developer, by the affordable housing residents or by the taxpayers in general because of some countervailing interest in affordable housing, that's a value judgment — that's simply a value judgment that needs to be made.

A It's a societal decision.

MR. YEE: Okay. That's all. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Ms. Lincoln?

CROSS-EXAMINATION

14 BY MS. LINCOLN:

12.

Q Just a couple questions. Are you aware that the Kahoma Flood Channel services the West Maui Mountains as far as water discharging out of the mountains there?

A I have not looked at the entire drainage area. I know that Kahoma Stream is one of two major streams that conveys water through the Lahaina area.

Q Okay. Are you aware that the West Maui Mountains, right above Lahaina, is known as one of the wettest spots in the world?

A Yes, I am.

Q So the water coming up from that stream is not necessarily in relationship to what's happening weather-wise on the West Maui lower lands. It's up in the mountains there. So you're getting that water. And then when we have a storm we have additional water.

12.

2.2

A The effect of the microclimates that were referred to earlier, is that especially on the leeward side you have greater rainfall at the higher elevations and much lower rainfall at the lower elevations.

And this whole discussion about stream flow, it really depends on where the gauge was. And the same thing for rainfall data. It really depends on where in the watershed the gauge is, what it's representing.

So, you know, you could find both high and low values within that watershed.

Q When this picture was taken of course there's always been water way higher than that. I don't go out there. It's dangerous when it's much higher than that.

But the Army Corps of Engineers has made sure that the county has maintained and kept that debris clear. So any of the debris that you're

seeing.

12.

So I'm just trying to clarify. So when the water comes over — and I've seen trees on top of the higher portion of the cement there — that have gotten lodged on top of that and that's with the debris basin, you're saying that even kept clear this retention wall can pose a hazard because it is a manmade structure. And if it could fail or bigger rocks or debris came through it could cause worse flooding.

Is that what you were saying in your testimony?

A What I could envision is that if you see the water is having to pass — (demonstrating off mic) these things are to block debris, right? So the water is having to pass through here.

If this was blocked for some reason and the water could not pass through and the cool elevation got higher, then it could back up even though you have this outlet that it's supposed to go through. That's what it looks like to me.

Q Okay. So the fact that that is happening now, I don't have it in the picture, but if that were happening now then you're saying it could definitely cause a risk in a higher storm if we get a wetter

winter or something like that.

12.

We've had a drought for the last few years. But you're saying it could pose a flooding risk even though there's a flood channel there?

A It is my experience that drainage and flood control structures, if they are blocked by debris, often cause the pool elevation behind the structure to rise and can cause flooding over the structure or back in the other direction.

Q So I'm just curious because they were asking questions about who's responsible for, like, the EPA's and all that. I don't know any of that stuff.

So my question for you is, like, when the county was dumping the illegal fill at the bottom of the property, I was the one who called and made a complaint. They basically said that nothing that goes on there. Now they're getting an after-the-fact permit.

Who actually monitors the county as far as flood issues, sewage issues? Who's, like, their big brother watching over to make sure they're doing everything?

They're saying they are doing what they're supposed to do. But obviously my experience directly

with them was that they didn't do what they were supposed to do. And if I hadn't made an issue of it here, no one at the county was listening when I called to explain it.

So can you explain to me how -- who monitors the county in relationship to flood and sewage issue?

12.

2.2

before they do the work.

A I do not know. Hawai'i is the only place that I am familiar with the concept of permit-after-the-fact from Corps of Engineers. Everywhere else I've worked people get their permits

Q You're saying that there's not enough sewage capacity for this Project. But the townhouses directly below this are just in the final completion stages. And the Weinberg property, which is 24 acres, below this property is already zoned for urban, multi-family development there.

So there's not even enough capacity necessarily — is that what you're saying — even for that, the one that's already rezoned or already zoned for urban use?

A According to the county of Maui Engineering Report the plant with the sewage that it has today is over its average dry weather capacity. If you consider that there would not be any redundancy of units, and you take credit for that extra capacity, I don't know what the total of all the projects that are already in the pipeline, so to speak, like the two you referred to, I don't know what the total of all those projects are added up and whether or not they would exceed the capacity that may or may not exist without redundant capacity.

12.

Q Okay. So in your opinion just as being an expert, leaving this last of the open space in Lahaina next to a flood channel is good for natural absorption, my understanding, like, to protect our reef but also for the safety of the community. Is that a correct assumption?

A My expert opinion is that in the Lahaina area there is a significant amount of impervious surface and that it would benefit water quality to have as much area as possible remain pervious to allowing rainfall to infiltrate back into the aquifer.

My observations regarding safety are not out of an expertise regarding structural controls or design of flood control structure.

They're out of an expertise of someone who is considered in the field of engineering science and engineering management to have the capacity to

understand engineering and models and what they do or do not actually mean.

So I'm not an expert on design of the structure, but I'm an expert on interpreting how it works in the larger system, and also understanding outputs of these models and kind of being willing to challenge the engineers a lot and say, "Okay. That's your black box. But does it make sense to you in the real world and taking it into management beyond just the engineering?"

MS. LINCOLN: Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Ms. Bolomet.

I'll allow a brief redirect. Just for planning

14 purposes what do you anticipate in terms of witnesses?

MS. BOLOMET: Michael Lee and that's it.

16 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: And that's it.

17 Okay. Does anybody have any problem with going til

18 5:00 o'clock this afternoon? Okay. Go ahead with

19 your redirect. We'll tentatively plan on going til 5

20 today to get as far as possible.

21 THE REPORTER: With a break inside there.

22 (laughter)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12.

13

15

23 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Do you want a

24 break now?

25 THE REPORTER: After we finish this

witness, please.

12.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. BOLOMET:

Q Could you give us more information about your education and if there's any kind of engineering involved in that engineering credentials?

A Sure. This is kind of what I was alluding to earlier when I spent a lot of time describing to you my qualifications. An environmental scientist or an environmental engineer is a very interdisciplinary field. I've kind of been on the cutting edge of the emergence of several fields of engineering.

So when I first started doing water quality monitoring the first thing you should understand is that a water quality model is built on an hydraulic model.

So the first thing you do is the hydrology and the flow and the quantity of the water. And you layer the water quality on top of that. So that aspect of engineering I do have training and experience in.

When I first started doing this work as an employee of the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality, my mentors advised me to apply for engineering registration, which I did. The

Engineering Board said that the work that I was doing was not engineering. It was considered what was called at that time "soft engineering" because I wasn't actually building a structure.

12.

Ten years later I went back and applied for my same job back there. And I was told that I needed to be a registered engineer to do that job. Because now it's considered engineering work even though it wasn't when I first was one of the first people ever to start doing it.

Since — what changed is that the Louisiana Board of Engineering started registering a specialty discipline called Environmental Engineering. That has not yet reached Hawai'i yet. There's no registration for Environmental Engineering.

So an engineer may be qualified as an engineer but that doesn't necessarily mean they're qualified to comment on environmental matters unless they're also trained as an environmental professional.

So that -- I'm very interdisciplinary. I get challenged all the time, "Are you an attorney?

Are you a chemist? Are you a biologist? Are you an engineer?"

I'm a little bit of all these things. My experience includes being the manager of an

engineering project for the Louisiana Department of Natural Resources, which was a coastal restoration project.

12.

It was more than a \$2 million preliminary engineering design report done with all the federal agencies, Corps of Engineers and all of that.

Every engineering firm I ever worked for made me the boss of my practice area within very short order of me coming onboard with their organizations.

So I will just say that I have a strong bent towards engineering. I'm not degreed. My bachelor's degree is in Agriculture. I have taken approximately 17 credit hours of graduate level civil engineering and the area of environmental engineering.

As a watershed planner I routinely work with NRCS to do runoff calculations on stormwater flows from watersheds, delineating watershed basins, finding flow paths, measuring flows, doing dye injection studies. A lot of things that people consider engineering I do.

So it's been classified as engineering management, engineering science, all these kind of, you know, ways to try to straddle it, but I do a little science and a little engineering.

Q Are you currently taking any classes?

A I'm currently enrolled in Vermont Law School in the Master's of Environmental Law and Policy Program.

12.

2.0

2.2

Q How would you apply that to the work that you're doing now or in the future?

A I think what I've learned already in my first class will make me a much better witness the next time around because I will be more exacting in how I provide my exhibits to the fine folks here at the table.

Q I mentioned, because this is what I understood, was that there was — that the county was in violation. But you said that there's alleged violations.

So does the Department of Health -- you mentioned something about the Department of Health going to Congress. Could you elaborate on that?

A There's two parts of the Clean Water Act programs. One part is the management of water quality. So that's the part under which the Department of Health has reported that the waters are impaired and not meeting the standards which are rules, regulations of the state that need to be complied with.

The other part the DOH is in charge of now

1 acting on and controlling that pollution now it's been 2 identified as a problem. So there's different 3 branches within both EPA and DOH involved in the assessment versus the action of control. 4 5 Now, has there been any recent rulings that Q 6 we need to be aware of whether it be court rulings 7 that pertain to water management? 8 MR. YEE: I'm going to object as it goes 9 beyond the scope of the cross-examination, at least as 10 It's so general and broad, it invites just phrased. 11 basically a whole new area of discussion. PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Right. 12. 13 Ms. Bolomet, the purpose of redirect is to go back to 14 topics that were raised in cross-examination to the 15 extent it's necessary to clarify her testimony. 16 MS. BOLOMET: Okav. 17 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: It's not 18 appropriate to raise new subjects. 19 MS. BOLOMET: Okay. I thought I was 20 clarifying something. 21 So when there's standards set by the county 22 or the state, if the county or the state doesn't meet 23 the federal standards, does the federal standards

Well, I think the term "standard" is a

supercede the county and the state standards?

24

25

Α

little generic for this discussion 'cause we've talked about all different — like we've talked design standards for stormwater drainage which are different than water quality standards. So is the question who's responsible? Is that the question?

12.

2.2

Q I want to know if the EPA would say the county of Maui sets a standard for wastewater at this level but the federal level is up here. Does the federal level supercede the county's level?

A You always have to meet the federal levels. The county and the state can be more stringent than federal requirements, but they can't be less stringent than federal requirements.

Q And currently is the county more or less stringent than federal requirements?

A I don't think the county directly — the way the program works is that there's carrots and sticks. So the permit system's enforcement are the sticks. Then they have carrot programs.

So like my understanding is that if the county of Maui had not adopted the 1993 EPA guidance — it's not a stick, it's a carrot — that if you didn't accept that the state would have lost Coastal Zone Management monies. Because the Coastal Zone Management program is required to be in

compliance with the Clean Water Act provisions of controlling point and non-point source pollution.

12.

So the way that's implemented is that counties are strongly urged and encouraged to adopt standards. And if the standards aren't adopted then monies can be revoked.

Q Regarding nitrogen fertilizer that's applied to the hotel grounds, would you agree that whether there's, there's, say, 2,000 people staying in a hotel on a 5-acre property or one house on a 5-acre property and both use chemical fertilizers, it just doesn't matter whether it's a hotel property or an individual home?

A It really matters what the form, amount, time and frequency of the application. Those are all factors that matter. So it's really the amount of pollution and what form it's in and the duration and frequency of releases that determine impact, not who it is putting it out.

Q Okay. And would you agree that when employees are applying commercial fertilizer or fertilizers they have to be trained to do the application?

A They should be. That is the kind of management practice that gets implemented in pollution

prevention programs, watershed management programs and so forth is to train people. This actually came up for this area in West Maui.

12.

2.2

There's a Waiahuli-Honokowai Watershed Plan that just came out this week for review. I have only skimmed through it. But I did see the engineer made a very strong statement. This is SGRI. It's an engineering firm from Honolulu.

They were saying that water reuse, which a lot of people think is our way out of this dilemma we have in West Maui with wastewater disposal, they were saying you cannot just think that that's your panacea and that water reuse can just be done without any control; that you have to very carefully control the application rate.

And you have to know how much nitrogen or other nutrients are in the wastewater and how much your soils have or need and how much your plants are uptaking and you have do not overwater.

So there's all kinds of constraints even if you reuse the water. If you reuse it inappropriately and it runs off and the pollutants get into the ocean, then it's only a marginal improvement over what we currently have.

So that was brought out in the watershed

plan for this area. That even if we had the hundred million dollars for water reuse, infrastructure and treatment and we were reusing all the water for irrigation, that you would still have to be very careful and you would have to manage it. And you would have to train people. And you would have to have nutrient management plans.

12.

Q Would you agree that homeowners don't have to go through the same type of training that someone who would apply a commercial fertilizer, say, like in a hotel through ocean absorption?

A I'm not familiar with any requirements for homeowners or, for that matter, commercial appliers for fertilizers to have training. I know there's requirements for herbicides.

Again, in the not-mandated or stick but in the carrot world the Clean Water Act that's actually what we do in watershed planning is we educate everybody, homeowners, county of Maui, hotels about their part.

Everybody is part of the problem. That's the overall message here. There's no part that's too small to pay attention to. All of it adds up. All of it counts.

So, you know, in a watershed plan, which is

an activity that's starting in West Maui, I actually met with someone to talk about doing a grant for a training, teaching a training course for homeowners on what they can do specifically as well as working — we're looking at a grant to work — we actually have already received a grant to work with the hotels to do water balances and material balances on their use to address this exact question.

12.

And I'd also like to say that overall if you look at the big picture of these watersheds that the area that's in urban and hotel development is relatively small surface area compared to the entire area of the watershed.

And that because these watersheds were used extensively for agriculture that added nutrient amendments there are reports. Stalazi did a report that showed that there are significant amounts of nitrogen in the groundwater already as a legacy from the fertilization from the ag companies. So, you know, I don't think anyone's done the math yet or the studies.

But when you look at just the surface area and the amounts applied in those different uses, I think that the amount from the past legacy may be much larger than the amount from the hotels.

But that math hasn't been done. That study hasn't been done yet. That's looking at indicators like watershed size and amount of materials typically applied in those industries.

- Q Would you agree that having numerous houses with numerous people on five acres applying different chemicals to their gardens to have pretty gardens, would most likely supercede what would be put on, say, a hotel resort?
- A I don't -- I don't think I can answer to that. I think it depends on the practices and the materials used by either group. Anything can be beneficial or not depending on how you manage it. That's kind of the crux of the issue.
- Q The point that I'm trying to get to is would it be more beneficial to the environment to leave it open space where water can run, permeate through the soil? Or to leave it as houses where there's people washing their cars and doing gardens?

A Well, without --

12.

MR. YEE: I'm sorry. I'm going to object on the basis it was asked and answered, stated at least two or three times.

PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: I think this has been covered before. I'll sustain the objection.

1 Q (By Mr. Bolomet): Okay. Is there anything 2 else that you'd like to elaborate on that you didn't 3 have a chance to do? I would object to that because 4 MR. GEIGER: 5 that's well beyond the redirect. It should be related to some sort of cross as opposed to open, "Tell us 6 7 whatever you want to tell us." 8 There were a couple of times MS. BOLOMET: 9 that she was trying to answer a question that 10 Mr. Geiger had posed to her. And he said he would 11 give her a chance to answer it. And I didn't see that 12. that chance happened. She was cut off. 13 So that's what I'm referring to are the 14 questions that he had posed to her and she didn't have 15 a chance to finish. 16 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: If you have a 17 specific question that you want to ask you may ask it. 18 "Just tell us anything you want to tell us" is not a 19 question. 20 MS. BOLOMET: Okay. 21 Do you want to look at the Petitioner's --0 22 the Petition that's referring -- that was on Page 10 23 that Mr. Geiger was speaking about. I have the book

I would like to look at it and see if I

here. Would you like to comment more on that?

24

25

Α

1 have a comment. 2 MR. GEIGER: Chair, to short circuit this I 3 wasn't referring to the Petition. It was actually the final phase report page 10. And she was just 4 5 referencing something on the page 10. Didn't have 6 page 10 apparently. (By Ms. Bolomet): In the Petition? 8 In the engineering report. Α 9 Q Oh, it's in here. 10 It was the engineering report that we were Α 11 talking about. 12. So it's not in here. I thought you said it 13 was in the Petition. Well, I'm complete. 14 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Do any of the 15 other parties have any essential recross? (laughter) 16 MR. GIROUX: Not from the County. 17 MR. YEE: No, thank you. 18 MR. GEIGER: I'm sorry, Chair. 19 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 2.0 BY MR. GEIGER: 21 But just for clarification. I think you're 0 22 referring to the -- I'll call it the Final Phase 23 Report. You called it the CH2, M. Hill report. 24 Actually, for the record we should probably get the

full name which is Schematic Design Report Lahaina

25

Wastewater Reclamation Facility September 20, 2006.

12.

2.0

You referred to table 1 as being the capacity. I think you meant to say that that is the actual, the average range of flows from 2001 to 2005 was 5 million gallons average dry weather?

A You're correct. That is the average flows that they used. And that was the -- I wouldn't call it a correction of an error but a refinement of their model. They did revisit and get a better data set for that period of time.

So actually the capacity average dry weather with no redundancy is 4.5. The actual is 5. And the capacity if you think you don't need redundancy is 5.5.

Q Right. And actually that's on the first page where they also talk about it in the executive summary where the plant's capable of treating approximately 5.5 million gallons per day although limits on the redundancy are identified there, correct?

A Yes. Essentially that's correct.

MR. GEIGER: That was it, Chair.

PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Any of the other parties any essential recross? Commissioners, any questions?

1 COMMISSIONER INOUYE: I do have just one. 2 I'm trying to understand. I'm reading section HAR 3 section 15-15-17 that you have in your testimony. 4 don't see any of the four criterias written there. 5 I'm trying to figure out if you are referencing 6 something else. 7 THE WITNESS: I would not rule out the 8 possibility that I might have made a typo. I could 9 check on that. 10 MR. YEE: May I? You may be referring to 11 205-17 Hawai'i Revised Statutes rather than the 12. Administrative Rules. 13 THE WITNESS: So it should be HRS instead 14 of HAR. 15 MR. YEE: And it's 205-17 not 15-15-17. 16 COMMISSIONER INOUYE: Or were you referring to HAR 15-15-77 which has a bunch of stuff? 17 18 THE WITNESS: I was looking at a document 19 that told me what the criteria were that you were to 2.0 consider in making a boundary amendment from 21 agricultural to urban, whichever of those cited 2.2 documents that was. And it had more than these four 23 criteria. These were just the four that I felt I 2.4 could comment on. 25 COMMISSIONER INOUYE: Thank you. I have

1 one more quick question. I'm getting kinda fuzzy, but you're the one that's talking about Functional Plan. 3 I think that came up earlier. THE WITNESS: Is it Agricultural Functional Plan? 4 5 MR. GEIGER: It was with regard to 6 questions of Ms. Lincoln. 7 COMMISSIONER INOUYE: Okay. 8 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Commissioners, 9 anything else? I just had one question. If I 10 understood correctly you basically said that as far as 11 the possibility of runoff pollution from fertilizers 12. and so forth, that you couldn't really say whether 13 residential use or hotel use would have a bigger 14 impact because it all depends on how it's managed. Is 15 that a fair summary? 16 THE WITNESS: That's correct. And lacking 17 any data on how it's actually being done, yeah, I 18 don't feel that I can comment on it. 19 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Would the same basic sentiment apply to the question of residential 20 21 use versus agricultural use? That is you need to know 2.2 all the details before you could say which is better

THE WITNESS: That would be correct. And I would say that both would require nutrient management

or which is worse from an environmental viewpoint?

23

24

25

1 to be environmentally sound. 2 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Thank vou. 3 Ms. Bolomet, that concludes this witness. Mr. Lee 4 will be your next witness? 5 MR. BOLOMET: Yes. 6 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: So let's take 7 our break now and then start with him when we come 8 back. Thank you. 9 (Recess was held 3:20-3:40) 10 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Back on the 11 record. Ms. Bolomet, you're calling Mr. Lee. 12. MTCHAEL LEE 13 being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined 14 and testified as follows: 15 THE WITNESS: I do. 16 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Please go ahead. 17 THE WITNESS: My name for the record is 18 Michael Kumukauoha Lee. I reside at 91-1200 Keaunui 19 Drive, unit 614, 'Ewa Beach, Hawai'i 96706. 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION 21 BY MS. BOLOMET: 2.2 In order for me to call you something other 23 than "cousin" --24 Α Yes. 25 Q -- can you please tell us all your

different titles?

12.

A In my Hawaiian cultural practice I am a papa kilo hoku which is a star priest, astrologer, astronomy, taught when I was very young by my grandfather and several teachers.

Within that I know 3,000 Hawaiian stars by name and story, 261 Hawaiian star constellations by name and story. And in the kumu lipo 6,000 kaunas that were passed to me from my grandfather, from my aunties over a period from when I was four years old when we lived in Lahaina.

We resided in Hana, Maui. My father was the resident assistant manager for the Hotel Hana Maui back in 1955. So conceived in Hana, and then we moved in 1962 from Hana to his next post was at Ka'anapali as an assistant manager to one of the hotels next to the airport where it was my first introduction to Lahaina as a little boy.

My maternal Hawaiian grandfather was the one who taught me. And then later on we moved to O'ahu. But I subsequently have come back here constantly to do my cultural practice.

As papa kilo hoku I do teach for the last two years out by the he'iau, the star hei'au at Makila, also at Haleakala. We did the transit of

Venus with a group of people.

12.

I'm also recognized in the Ho'opili case as a kahuna lapa'au o ke kai in First Circuit Court for Judge Eden Hifo on the Papipi Road case and also in the Kaloi Gulch case for DLNR recognized me as the native Hawaiian cultural practitioner in lapa'au o ke kai. That is a Hawaiian medicinal practitioner of limu medicine of which there's about 130 Hawaiian medicinal limus, invertebrates that we mix.

We also use corals. There are seven different kinds of corals. We use ana sponge. I'm also recognized in the case for <u>Kona Blue against Food and Water Watch</u> in Kahea as the native Hawaiian cultural practitioner on the Kohala Coast with the Blue Hawai'i cage incident of destroying some coral there.

I resided, worked at Mauna Lani as the overall, became terrace manager in 1989. I lived in Kona and I would practice my cultural practice throughout the entire island while I was there.

I would like to be called "kumu" not "kahu". And I also taught at Damien High School,

Damien Memorial High School from 1992 to 2002. I did teach a course on Pacific cultures dealing with

Hawaiian history. Then I went up to Kamehameha as a

substitute teacher.

12.

Q So can you tell us what "kumu" means.

A A kumu means teacher. It's a sign of respect. As I said my training basically started when I was four years old with my maternal grandfather, went up to 1983. Then he passed. Then my aunt, Aunty Alice Holukai, who was one of Queen Liliuokalani's children, Aunty Alice was born in 1900. She resided with the queen when she was nine in 1909. And she stayed with the queen for several years. The queen died I believe in 1918. She was one of my teachers.

Aunty Alice Holukai was born in 1896, resided with Queen Liliuokalani in 1906 when she was 10 years old up to the age of 15. And she taught me in 1977 at the same time my grandfather was still alive. And her teaching went on to her death around 1987.

And Aunty Alice picked it up in 1986 to 1922 (sic) that my uncle — she died in 1992 at the age of 92 — then it picked up with my Uncle Walter Kamana'a from 1998. He was a noted practitioner of seaweed medicine. And he was taught by his kahuna grandmother of Ni'ihau. So he picked it up 'til 2002 when he passed.

Q Okay. So you submitted a testimony, a

written testimony?

12.

2.2

A Yes. I did, yes.

Q Is there anything in there that you'd like to change?

A Yes. There are several amendments, one directly with Mike Lee's rebuttal to Rory Frampton's rebuttal testimony dated August 9th, 2012. The first thing is Paragraph 1 of Page 1.

MR. GEIGER: Chair, if I could. We would object to any rebuttal testimonies from the Intervenor after August 1. As the Commission may recall when we were here the first time in this room back in July, the Intervenor was given until August 1 to provide all written testimonies and all exhibits. And anything after that was to be barred, not allowed as part of the record.

This August 9 testimony and, in fact, I think something was filed today, again would be in violation of those orders. I think that the Chair was very clear. So we would object to the Commission either considering those or those things being part of the record as they violate this Commission's rulings in this case.

PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Let me just be clear on what's being offered here. This is in

1 response to supplemental testimony offered by
2 Mr. Frampton?
3 THE WITNESS: Yes.
4 MR. GEIGER: As the Chair will recall, the

12.

2.0

Chair who was ruling at the time allowed the Intervenors to submit 'til August 1 and then gave the Petitioner until August 9 or August 10. I don't remember which.

MS. BOLOMET: August 1st.

MR. GEIGER: No. It was August 1st for the Intervenor. It was August 10th for us to do a rebuttal to that. But there wasn't supposed to be any further testimony or rebuttal after our rebuttal. This came in as a rebuttal to our rebuttal. And there's another one that came in today as a further rebuttal to our rebuttal.

So we would object, move that these not be part of the record because they violate the orders and rulings that the Commission made back on July 19th when we started this.

PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: I do recall the objection and then the opportunity to submit a rebuttal. I'm going to not allow the question right now.

If, when we get to the rebuttal case

tomorrow the door gets reopened, I'm not necessarily precluding that, but for now the objection is sustained in so far as you're asking for a statement in response to the rebuttal testimony submitted by Mr. Frampton.

MS. BOLOMET: I'm not understanding completely what you're saying.

12.

2.0

PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: In other words, if what he's offering is a response to that supplemental testimony from Mr. Frampton that was submitted on August 9th or 10th, whichever it was, that was specifically to give them a chance to respond to a late submission from the Intervenor. And because it was to give them a chance to respond, we're not allowing sort of new rebuttal to that.

MR. BOLOMET: Oh. This rebuttal was based on — it was inaccurate what he was rebutting. So we wanted to make it clear what we were talking about. And in here we found some inaccuracies that we just want to correct that we submitted.

PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: I understand what you're offering. What I'm ruling for now is if the purpose is to respond to that supplemental testimony from Mr. Frampton, I'm not going to allow that right now.

1 If through questions and answers you want 2 to cover those topics that's a different story. 3 no specific question as to what was wrong with that 4 supplemental testimony. 5 MS. BOLOMET: So I shouldn't do that is 6 what you're saying. 7 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Right. MS. BOLOMET: 8 Okay. 9 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: I'm not. 10 precluding you from going into the subject areas. 11 just saying presenting it as a specific rebuttal to 12. the supplemental testimony is not the way to do it. 13 MS. BOLOMET: Okay. 14 THE WITNESS: I still have an amendment to 15 what we turned in in a timely manner for my testimony 16 for Docket No. A12-795. My testimony that was put in 17 I have several corrections to make. Page 1 --18 MR. GEIGER: Just so -- I'm sorry -- just 19 so I'm clear for the record is this the August 1st 20 testimony? THE WITNESS: This is the testimony I 21 22 provided for myself that was put into evidence with 23 all of my exhibits and everything. 24 MR. GEIGER: What date is that, sir? 25 THE WITNESS: This was the...

1 MR. BOLOMET: August 1. 2 THE WITNESS: August 1. 3 MR. GEIGER: Okay. 4 THE WITNESS: And this is not the Rory 5 Frampton thing. 6 MR. GEIGER: For the record I just want to 7 make sure which one you're talking about because you 8 also had some prior testimony in June or July. 9 wanted to make sure we were clear on which one you're 10 talking about. 11 THE WITNESS: Okay. So this is for the 12. August 1, amended testimony for August 1. Okay. 13 Page 1 line 2. It says "The Petition to the rezoning 14 area identifies as" it should be TMK then 2 15 parentheses or dash, whatever. 16 Then on Page 6 paragraph 2 it mentions on 17 TMK map 2-4-5-10: 005, 006. It says Exhibit 17D. 18 should refer to "please refer to public testimony of 19 Clare Apana Exhibit 2A, B, C, D and E." Then as it 20 goes down one, two, three, four, five, six, seven 21 lines it says "Article XII section 7 and Water Code" 2.2 with parentheses Exhibit 8. It should be Exhibit A 23 not B as in baker, but A. 24 Continuing down to the third paragraph, 25 one, two, three, the fourth line it says "the growth

of algae limu see exhibit" it says "13G" that should be amended to 17, one seven.

Then we go down to the fourth paragraph, second line after "property" period it says, "Exhibit 13 get from video." We took that out completely so that needs to be taken out completely.

Then we go to Page 7, the following page, the Deluge of Pele. It's the second — it's the last paragraph to the bottom, the fourth paragraph one, two, three, four, five, the sixth, seventh and eighth line where it says Exhibit 17A. That whole section "see photographs that's greater" and Exhibit 13E should be stricken with "where the burial of Pele's son, Aloha Ali'i period. Those three lines should be stricken. Page 7 last paragraph. And that's basically the corrections that I want to make to that.

- Q (By Ms. Bolomet): Okay. You've been onto the Kahoma property.
 - A That is correct.

12.

- Q Proposed property. How many times do you estimate you've been on the property?
 - A I would estimate maybe about eight times.
- Q Could you please tell us about your observations when you went onto the property?
 - A Very hilly. The property itself has rock

1 features, especially towards the ancient streambed 2 This property has some dead trees that were 3 there for a long time. And also the growth of the, of Keawe trees with a spattering of some Hawaiian herbs 4 5 there. And a big dead mango tree that was set on 6 fire. 7 In the settings of rocks that I believe is 8 a combination push pile plus a Hawaiian archaeological 9 feature. 10 Okay. As a cultural practitioner when you 0 walk onto this property what do you look for? 11 12. Α Anomalies based on the shape of the land 13 topography, what is happening that I can see. 14 Can I summarize my testimony so that you 15 can understand or is it just questions and I don't get 16 a summary of what my testimony is? 17 Q Please summarize. 18 Because this would put some of this Α 19 together. I'm asking the Chair. 20 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Well, if the 21

PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Well, if the most effective way to answer the question is to summarize a part of your testimony, that's okay. We have the testimony already.

22

23

24

25

A It's a very short summary. But it's to understand in a Hawaiian cultural practitioner's lens,

which is different from the other disciplines, is that we see the land as an entire complex from the mountain to the sea. We see two things: Things above the ground and something's happening below the ground.

12.

2.0

We look at the complex as not bifurcated. You don't chop it up into pieces. In the kumulipo Chant 1 it talks about the 13 limus. And in each of the 13 limus it discusses why the mountain plants are so important in guarding the ocean plants vis-a-vis the limus that we use, the 13 limus.

How can mountain plants guard what's in the ocean? Well, the streams that run down from the mountains take the material of these plants in the stream, and they give a ho'okupu or offering to those fishes in the fishery that would normally eat the limu. Here's these fruits and vegetables coming down from the mountain. And they have to make a choice which one they want to dine on. They actually want the stuff in the mountain and want to forego those 13 limus.

So in each line it says there's certain, certain fern in the mountain protects this certain, certain fern or seaweed in the ocean. What it's talking about is that this particular fern or this particular vine is giving up in this season of this

line.

12.

Each line in the chant is like August,
September, October, November. It's actually telling
you this part of the kaunas. It's telling you that
during this season this vine gives up this piece of
fruit or this piece of spore comes down. Fishes at
the ocean prefer that spore instead of that limu.
Then the sand hulis and covers limu. The limu
thrives.

So what this sets up is the management practice we have called konohiki system. How to increase the opportunity of abundance without collapsing the basic food source.

How do you do it? You don't over produce it. You don't kill it. How do you do that? You look at what we call kupuna o maka. We see in nature a blueprint that works. So what we do as kahunas of the ancient time in kahiko we target it, like, for the lokoia that there are several down here at Mala. Ala mihi, the biggest one, 5 acres fed all of Kamehameha's armies.

What we did is we noticed that when there were springs in the ocean, which we call karsts —
Americans call karsts — when we saw that we saw an explosion of life of invertebrates, shrimp, fish,

fisheries. So the Hawaiian practitioner said, "Look, if you go down the road and you see it's barren and desolate, what is not there that's here and what's here is the spring.'

12.

That mixture, freshwater, the waiwai, the wealth of the king going down the stream and mixing into and sometimes honowai, that freshwater coming up, that Jacuzzi mixing, we notice an explosion of life. So all our fishponds are based on putting it around springs. That's why a culture thrives.

So what does this have to do with Kahoma Project and Article XII section 7? Well, in the process of the islands building up in the story of life, a lei of calcium carbonate grew during the millions of years what we know of ice ages. When the ice built up on the north and south pole the water level went down. At one time 25,000 years ago Lana'i, Kaua'i, Kaho'olawe, Moloka'i were all connected as one huge island.

Over thousands of years it stayed down for a while and then the ice melted and it gradually came up. Well, this process repeated itself over millennia making this calcium carbonate lei around all islands from deep in the ocean going up. And this continued. Calcium carbonate when it comes to acidic water the acidic water bores a hole.

12.

2.0

2.2

We on the Big Island, Tutu Pele, she creates the lava flow. And we see it flowing down in the pahoehoe lava. And then as it continues it makes a lava tube. That lava tube, when it ceases, the lava flow becomes a cave, a pahoehoe lava cave.

What that becomes is the plumbing system of the island as water flows from the high source by gravity to a lower source it tracts underground in these ancients caves.

These ancient caves have to be identified as a Hawaiian cultural resource. Why is it a Hawaiian cultural resource? Because these caves have shelves that we put — why would Hawaiians want to dig through lava and burn up all their calories and get nowhere when you can find where the caves are and just put your dead there on shelves?

Now, these caves transport acidic water to the ocean. Then it hits that donut of the calcium carbonate lei around the island. That acidic water eats through that ancient coral reef. Now, they don't call it a cave anymore. The scientific term like on the Sterns map in my Exhibit 17 they call it a karst, k-a-r-s-t.

This karst can go all the way out to over

16 miles into the ocean bringing springs out in the sea. Why is this important to you and your deliberation? It's because under Article 12 section 7 our fishery is an Hawaiian cultural resource. It's also a public trust resource protected under our constitution. This is a complete complex from the mountain to the sea.

12.

Primary source material, kumu lipo. Other chants talk about Kuali'i in 1600 gathering limu at Kanahili, line 500. Then he goes to the Big Island. He jumps off his canoe by Hilo and he gathers the limu there. That was our icebox for medicine and food source. These are all protected practices under Article XII section 7.

What ties into this property specifically is what's going on beneath it and to the side of it. Now, as was brought up by the testimony of Robin Knox and Attorney Geiger, was that in 1963 we noticed that Kahoma Stream only had, like, 2500 feet, you know, water going through that. Very low, as Mr. Geiger brought out.

Why is that? From a Hawaiian cultural practitioner perspective it's because as it's flowing it's being sucked down into the earth because it's not concretized. You see all of our water channels from

the mountain to the sea were never concretized. So we've replenished the aquifer.

12.

We, in the cases through all of our — from Big Island to here — we Hawaiians, we channeled the water so it looks like he'iau terraces that are up there. Yes, we had lo'i terraces. But the reason why we had those terraces is we saw in nature if you didn't slow the water during heavy rains what would happen is it would tear and rip.

If it tears and rips it's gonna take soil, plants and vegetation through the river to the ocean and pilau our gathering places. And usually around springs and rivers you're going to have most of the profuse life of opihi, and invertebrates and shrimps.

When I was a little boy out here in Lahaina, I used to find 'opae lolo and 'opae huna 50 years ago. You can't find them anymore. What's changed, the amount of water has been taken away in damming up all these streams.

You know, the o'opu go to the ocean in October to spawn. When you concretize something like this you don't allow the natural fishes that are freshwater to go into the ocean. So when I step on a property and I try to do my assessment, I see what's there and what's not there based on my teaching from

my family.

12.

One of the important things that I found when we came on the 20th of August to this property, I noticed just from the road that there was these burned features all the way to this mango tree up here. I said, "What's going on here? This is really strange."

I found all of this charcoal burn mark.

Then I find this old mango tree completely burned

5 inches down toppled over into dry bush. It doesn't

make any sense as a cultural practitioner. How can a

tree that was on fire fall on dead bushes?

We went to the neighbors and we asked them. And they said three weeks ago on August 3rd — the night of the full moon, which is a cool night. As a practitioner that's the night of Tutu Pele — in August that tree caught on fire. It broke — burned, broke and fell down into dry bushes and the dry bushes never burned.

That anomaly is very weird. Okay. You don't have to be a cultural practitioner. We know based on the residents that we talked to, three years ago on the 4th of July fire crackers set the whole place ablaze. We know that for the record.

But that was — that was three years ago.

And since then the burnt features were all covered up

by growth over several seasons of rain and then dry.

This was the only feature that we took pictures of all

3 this burnt, burnt wood. It wasn't just burned all

4 over.

12.

2.2

You'd figure if you light a match it will burn inwards. But it looked like — it looked like someone went with a flame thrower purposely burning certain sections almost like the Pele Clan walking through touching down. And where they touched they burned. And where they didn't catch on fire.

This was from a Hawaiian cultural practitioner's point of view who happens to be the seventeenth great grandson of Tutu Pele who put this genealogy in evidence.

So from my cultural practitioner background this fire went right to this rock, this libation stone. Kamehameha used the same libation stone. It's called alua. "Lua" people think in terms of bathroom. You go to the lua. But what is a lua? "Lua" is an abbreviated Hawaiian term for "two." Akahi, alua, akolo. So we make it easier. We go, kahi, lua, ha, ono. We don't even go "a" anymore. We just shorten it. So lua can be two. Lua is also the pit. So there's a pit in the pohaku that we use for our awa

ceremony.

12.

2.0

Kamehameha used to use the same thing.

He'd have the children chew his awa, put it in there and mix it right there. Why is this important?

Because Opelu Ha'ali'i is the son of Tutu Pele Kamakua which we know right across is the lena where Tutu Pele comes. Why? Because her son is buried there.

8 | Because her son was born here. It's a memorial stone.

Now, there is — and I believe the archaeologist and Rory, we met. And I believe they are all true in what say that's a push pile. Yeah, I agree it was a push pile. But it was a push pile over an existing structure.

Now, there's disagreement on one of the, one of the maps that we have that were presented in evidence where that railroad is. That came up about where the railroad is. And the railroad has never been up to the mountainside where the existing railroad is, and we have it on the map behind me.

I'll move that so you can see.

This particular map shows in red. It says "railroad" over here. It's a little demarcation that says these redlines that we have highlighted for you is where the railroad is. Okay? And now this is the Kahoma Stream. And this is the property of Routh

Bolomet in green over here. And this is the mountain side. And this is the ocean side. And this is the railroad that crosses here.

12.

The controversy is the numbering of the poles don't seem to match up. So there's gotta be a mistake because there was no historical record from the 1884 map that was presented in evidence that ever showed a railroad was built in 1884, in 1910, 1930, 1940, 1950. There was never a railroad that came up here. Never.

So there's no evidence to back it up in rules of evidence of finding of standing that there is no railroad up here. The only railroad complex is here. Anybody wants to dispute it they can bring a map to prove this wrong.

So the feature that was put down in the Archaeological Assessment, which I did read, which basically said there was no feature, has a problem when you're looking at it through, number one, as you drove through it, lotta bushes.

How are you gonna see everything unless you weed whack a lot of these features? Because if you have push piles that go over existing features that, by the way, for 160 years were never maintained after the Mahele, you had floods coming down over 160 years

bringing debris, breaking down the walls.

12.

Nobody's repairing it. Remember Ka'ahumanu took away the kapu system. So it was forbidden for Hawaiians, unless you do it under the dark of night, to practice. So rebuilding your he'iaus was forbidden. Okay.

So you have erosion. You have debris coming down. And then the sugarcane company does come and they do what they always do, put a push pile. So both do exist. The native Hawaiian feature and the push pile exist. But how you gonna see that feature under a push pile with weeds that are 3 feet high unless you weed whack them?

So what we bring to it is a different perspective. It's a distinction of what we see. When I go to the ocean basically I know what kind of limu — and this was from — this is relevant because this comes from Makila which was named, this is the limu kala that we use.

This is the part of the libation stone from the Project Area that Rory saw us do a cultural practice the last time we were here on Saturday. And we availed ourselves to questions about it.

Now, remember the tax map keys clearly showed in some properties that Hawaiians were buried

there. Hawaiians don't have money to make a coffin. Coffins were very expensive. You wrapped them up in tapa and you buried them. So the tapa is going to degrade. The flesh is going to degrade over 160 years. So if you're gonna dig for bodies, you're not gonna find coffins.

12.

2.2

If you don't have screens you won't find the bones that, you know, if you just get a backhoe and just dig 'em up en masse. You have to screen it archaeologically. There're no standards in Hawai'i for archaeological screening for sites. That's up to the developer. That's up to SHPD. But if you're gonna do an accurate job to assess you have to get in the weeds for this.

So from my advantage point, and what I put into evidence here from the Sterns water map — the thing about the Sterns water map is it is one of the best maps that were done.

And even though Michael Dega kinda of poo-pooed it as being old, worn out, outdated that's not true. That is not true. This clearly shows in Mala where the ancient karst wells were here and where the springs were in the mountain.

So we know that water travels from a high source to a low source. You could not have so many

keawe trees alive in this Project Area even though the streams have been cut off. They're tapping into water that's underground. Now remember what I said.

12.

The fact that in this map they're trying to say that the karst doesn't go that high. But remember what I said.

The pahoehoe lava tubes, and the sources of water running through the lava channels underground, deep underground — not just 8 feet but maybe 90 feet down — are connecting to the karst system as it goes to a lower part.

So it switches from pahoehoe lava tubes to karsts. Now, when you get to the ocean side, the karsts pop up. And that's why you have Moku'ula Springs where you had Kamehameha's complex there. And that's how you had the fishponds.

If you go to the Sterns water map in Moloka'i and look at the 70 fishponds there, you're gonna find three springs in each fishpond. That is the pulmonary system of the fishpond. The fishpond is a he'iau because you have god stones there, Ku and Hina stones. It's a religious cultural practice.

We exercise a religious practice at Mala with the Hina stone. I know where the Hina stone is. We utilize the Hina stone for divination.

1 So it's connectivity to the property, to 2 the mountain, to the sea based on primary source 3 materials, Kumu lipo and our chants are clearly 4 delineated in a distinction of our exercising our 5 rights under Article XII section 7. So when we look 6 at a property, when I look at the ocean I can tell you what kind of seaweed grows in that ocean by looking at 8 the plants on the shore because they share a 9 commonality that we find in Kumu lipo that goes back 10 2300 years.

So in our practices we have learned to look at certain things that pass by other people. That's my summary.

Q I'd like to go over some of the Hawaiian words that you used so you can define in case some people may not understand the words.

A Okay.

11

12.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q So can you give a definition or a translation in English of what limu is.

A Limu is algae, seaweed to most people. And in Hawai'i we have about 200 different kinds of what we call endemic seaweed.

Q Okay. And what does the kaona mean?

A Okay. Kaona — ona is, you know, ona is like what you smell. So there's a resident smell

somewhere. It's a deeper meaning. So the Kumu lipo will tell you words. But there's a deeper meaning behind those words that is not evident to the person reading it when they see it. So we're told what those words mean on a deeper level.

12.

2.2

Q So someone that has not had actual training from a kahuna may not know those meanings even when they can read Hawaiian.

A That is correct. Even in the makahiki ceremony what is left out of that is the positioning of the stars and what stars. I know all the positioning of the stars to actually re-enact it the proper way and to call the spirit of Lono to come and impregnate into the statute. It's called the kuanoho. where some people in Christian religion call it a demon.

Some people in our Hawaiian religion will call it a guardian angel. I know the specific ceremony and words, what to do to prep the statute to call in the spirit of Lono to now impregnate, or some people would say possess this statute and it would be present there.

Q What does the word "huli" mean?

A Huli means— there are several meanings. Huli is taro that we use that as one of the sources

for taro. Huli means to turn. So, you know, Hawaiian words like kala, the sun, there are many -- some have maybe 15 different words -- meanings for one word.

Q What does kahuna o maka?

12.

placed on the mountain.

A Kahuna o maka. Ka the, huna hidden.

People look at kahunas as priests or what has been currently talked about is "specialist". The hidden specialist ka-hu-na.

Maka is your eye or your face. It's called observation. So kahuna o maka means the study or practice of what you see and what you observe.

Q So you explained what an ahupua'a was from the mountain to the sea. Can you explain the actual translation of ahupua'a?

A Well, the huna part of ahupua'a, ahu is an altar. Pua is the pig. Now, most people don't know that Kamapua is a star constellation. It's out right now. And it's a big pig. And it has eight legs. That pig is at the level of the mountain tops. So we see its mouth open. So the mountain becomes the ahu or the altar. And the pua'a is this star constellation that is placed on — the sacrifice is

So the ravines down the mountain almost act as the legs of the pig. They delineate the entire

marking from the mountain the sea. As we said our complex goes from the heavens to the mountain to the mid-range, to the land and then out to sea. It's an entire complex.

12.

2.0

Most Hawaiians don't know these stars so they don't know how to put it together. It's incomplete knowledge. A lot of it was due to the Organic Act of 1900.

Q "Pilau". What does pilau mean?

A Pilau means dirty or filthy. It's a derogatory comment.

Q So when you're saying that all the debris from the concretized channel goes down. Can you explain that to me and why you used that word?

A What I'm talking about, it was mentioned the siltation and debris that comes down. As I said there's seven different corals that we use for coral medicines. The ana sponge we use the invertebrates or kaytans I use in the ma wai wai ceremony for newborn babies to open up their pathway in life.

So these different rites and ceremonies that were taught to me, and the fire ceremony during the makahiki to increase the abundance of fish in the sea.

When you concretize something you see fresh

water becomes a pollutant when it's in high concentration like 15,000 cubic feet per second over the duration of two hours straight. That's poison to the ocean.

You try to take a freshwater fish or living coral or an opae, or an opihi and drop it in freshwater, a freshwater tank for one hour, that creature will die.

In its habitat it hasn't developed a way to deal with freshwater in that large quantity for a long duration. It's used to the tidal forces breaking it up, becoming brackish water.

You have different levels. And they live in that biosphere. So when you channelize — and in 1963 it was only 25,000 square cubic feet per second as opposed to 15,000 that does major damage to the fishery which is an Hawaiian cultural resource and a public trust resource.

Then when all those creatures die off whatever mud or siltation that comes in will cover, like in Kaneohe Bay, and cause great devastation. That's "pilau".

Q In the karsts you said that the dead were put on shelves.

A Yes.

12.

2.2

Q So could you explain where the burials are versus where the water runs, the distance between the two?

12.

A Okay. That's a fair question because you figure this cave has water running through it. Where are you going to put bodies with water? Is it like a channel, an aqueduct? Well, in the karst system because you had multiple rainfalls that would either bring a lot of water or not, what'd get is a widening effect for several years and then a narrowing effect you have drought, then a widening effect, and a narrowing effect and a widening effect. Now you have shelves. So you can put it on shelves.

Pahoehoe lava tubes that comes from the mountains can have shelves on it too as the lava turns and comes down. So both do have shelves in them.

Q Can you give us kinda like a visual explanation as to why it's shallower, seeing a karst is shallower at the ocean versus in the mountain?

A Okay. If you were to take my arm as the mountain here, and you would take my fingers as the ocean side, you notice it's thicker up here because lotta lava built up through the cinder cone in the volcano.

So it's the pahoehoe, the ancient pahoehoe

lava tubes are underneath. It's under more mass and material. As you get to the fingerlings of the ocean you notice the finger becomes, can be just 13 feet under. Or it could cave in and that's what we call, when it caves in, they call it a sinkhole.

So it will be shallower, the karst will be shallower at the sea. And then at minus tide, 3 feet below tide, you're gonna see the springs coming out through this — through this aqueduct.

- Q Okay. So the archaeologist said they found a lot of basalt rock.
- 12 A Right.

- 13 Q Versus limestone.
- 14 A Right.
 - Q Can you explain why if there's a karst running underneath this property why is there all this basalt rock?
 - A First, I'm not an expert as an agronomist or geologist. I'm not here for that. But based on my cultural practice we know that the islands started from volcanic rock that came up.
 - We know by our chants that we put our bodies in volcanic pahoehoe lava tubes. They are deep. Some of them are 25 miles long on the Big Island.

I was told as a boy in Hana there's one that runs from Hana to where Nu'u is on the south side going all the way to Japan.

12.

2.0

2.2

I was also told as a little boy for the Mawekelakona line on O'ahu in the mountains of Wai'anae there are caves that go right under the ocean to Kaua'i and attach there.

So for all of these connections in our moku au hau which is the stories that were given, the ike, the knowledge that was passed on from generation to generation in oral culture through our chants, that these things existed.

And one on O'ahu is called papukaina or pohuaina. And it's a network all under downtown where Iolani Palace is. It's under where 'Ewa Beach is. It travels through Waipahu all the way out to the North Shore.

It goes — Aunty Alice told me this. That where that bay is behind Koko Head where all the tourists go, she went in the cave that goes 14 miles under the Ko'olau Mountain Range, underneath, deep underneath and comes out at Kaneohe. And it was Mary Kawena Pukui that took her through that. So these were passed on to us.

Q Could you -- are you familiar with the

1 story about the people that came from Kaua'i to --2 Α Yes. 3 -- the Ko'olaus through the lava tubes? Q There are people that actually live in 4 Α 5 those caves and have survived in those caves between 6 Kaua'i and actually even in recent times married for the surface dwellers and those who dwell below. 8 In Lahaina there are stories of Hina and 9 Maui and a pueo and Lahaina -- or actually 10 Lahainaluna. So that's right above this property. 11 Α Right. 12. Could you elaborate on that story? Q 13 Α The stories are that Hina, the wife of 14 Maui, also in other tales the mother of Maui, their 15 son -- and it should not shock you that in Hawaiian 16 cultural practice we have something called ni'aupi'o 17 where mother and son would be husband and wife, father 18 and daughter, brother and sister. That's a Hawaiian 19 cultural practice. The missionaries were appalled. But the facts are the facts. So their son was the 20 21 pueo. 22 So do you think it's a coincidence that you 23 hear people that live in the neighborhood next to this 24 Project site seeing pueo? 25 Α No. Based, again, you don't have to

believe this from coming from a different culture
because it's a different lens. So I understand that.
But, you know, in my family lens this is a fact.

In October Hawaiian Airlines inflight magazine is going to have a picture of me as a papa kila hoku in a 3,000 word essay written by Anthony Alto, president of the Sierra Club.

12.

They took several shots in April of this year. We chanted, asked me to chant. And they booked for me to take that picture and said, "We need a rainbow." There was a rainbow there four months this was booked ahead and there was the rainbow. I chanted. As you can see I have white hair. I'm 55 years old. I have no white hair just about. I don't even look 55 as I'm chanting. We have pictures up for the transit of Venus where I did show them how to look at the rock in the, what we call the water mirror.

And I'm all white. My hair is totally white. I look 75 years old. I cannot explain what happens when I do my cultural practice. But the pictures do not lie.

Q In your limu that you have on the table, what do you use that for?

A This is used for cleansing. Routh Bolomet has some real issues that are medically documented

with poisons in the environment. And those case studies have been proven. But her issue with the degassing of this carpet, I got this at Makila, harvested it. Every time we come to this room I've harvested the limu for her to eat. It cleanses you.

12.

We use — this limu is one of the most important limus that we have. It's the only limu in our tradition that can forgive sin no matter what your sin is. It can take your sin into itself. And it will be sweet. When it takes your sin it will be become very bitter. Then we do a chant and we go to the ocean and throw it into the ocean to take it out and have it departiculated in the ocean.

So for your body it's a cleanser. It will pull out poisons from your body.

Q Okay. On that map on the wall on the left it's got two streams. Can you show us all the different places that you collect limu from and do your cultural practice?

A (off mic) Here is the site. And then here is....

Q Can you get the microphone, please.

A Okay. Here's the Kahoma Stream here. And here's the Makila Stream here. I harvest limu from both sides. And there're different types of limus.

You'll find limu awa here and you won't find limu awa here but you'll find limu kohu by Mala.

I've done this for several years now. I've taught classes here that are on You-Tube. I've done several classes for the star he'iau here. Basically out here is cultural practice that we've done in this Project area site.

So this — the differentiation between limus between this Project site and this Project site both having springs, are uniquely different. So we have some limus, like I say, I will not find limu awa growing here. And we use that for medicine. But I will find limu koho and palahalahau which is a kind of a green lettucy limu used for lungs.

And then I'll find a lot of invertebrates here. But both of these are mentioned in the Project Area sites that were TMK'd.

Q Could you tell us what map that is that you're reading from?

A Okay. This is the Town of Lahaina Maui drawn in 1884.

Q Okay. The Kahoma Stream.

A Yes.

12.

Q Are you familiar with any of the history about, is that, like, a major stream for Lahaina?

A Yes. Because this is the bread basket of Lahaina where you have loke alamihi here. This fed Kamehameha's army for it to it and the terraces that were here with the bread basket that moved not just in the mountains but clearly it was down here in the mid range region.

12.

The necessity for flooding that took place is also the enrichment at the ocean when it's not in high concentrations. But for this to be the bread basket you had to have a lot of freshwater for this loke i'a to grow. For all these lo'i terraces, all these features lo'i terraces and the great wall that is mentioned in your AIS and your boundary notes.

This great wall was part of the demarcation of all these lo'i terraces that were following the stream, the water source.

In our konohiki is: How do you take water and use it a thousand times before you give it back to the ocean?

- Q Okay. And in there how many, how many pink LCAs are highlighted around Kahoma?
- 22 A Okay. Kahoma there are Kahoma 1, 2, 3, 23 4 here. And then here 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7. This is 24 Makila.
 - Q Yeah. And why are those highlighted?

1 Α In the Archaeological Assessment this was 2 part of the area that they're talking about for this 3 Project here. Okay. How far are you estimating that --4 0 5 Α This is about 7 to 7 and-a-half miles from 6 the Project Area where the TMK's have the Project Area 7 right in this area, the Kahoma Stream area. 8 Okay. Then there's -- so there's a lot of 9 LCA's that weren't represented in the AIS, is that 10 correct? 11 In the Project Area there's several Α Yeah. 12. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 in the 1884 map. 13 Okay. Would you say that there were probably features described in the survey notes or 14 15 boundary notes? 16 Yes, I would. The TMK's, the boundary Α 17 survey notes would have all these archaeological 18 features because they have to use them to show the 19 demarcation of where the property lines existed. 20 And on the other maps -- I'm sorry, you're 21 going to have to move your pictures -- can you read 2.2 what map that is? 23 Okay. This is the Lahaina middle section Α 24 Mali TH. And it says the survey of 1916. And it says

25

DM Surrat -- Monsarrat.

Q Okay. On that map it tends to show features around the way the TMKs are shaped. Can you elaborate on that?

12.

A These were wall structures. So this dot, circle, dash, dot, dash, dot is the walls. They were for your boundary notes to say where your property was, where the big stone was.

So when they pick up who's living next to you by what wall, what tree were all used as boundary notes. So these walls are all necessary not only as part of the cascading terraces for lo'is but for boundaries so that they could get it correct in the Mahele.

Q Now, why do you think the lots are so oddly shaped rather than just nice little rectangles like we do today?

A Because when they broke it up from section to section these were lots given by the king by the konohiki. And they're arranged by the lo'i that came down. And they used the walls for that.

So it's not — it was not a perfectly formulated cookie cutter approach. It was done by the terracing that took place and who was responsible as the overseer and underseer of that particular portion.

Q Now, would the shapes be dictated by the

1 topography that would have been there? 2 Α Yes. 3 Is that why they would put walls? Q Yes, because they were graduated terraces. 4 Α 5 Okay. And is it normal to have lo'i next Q 6 to streams? Yes. Because that is your major conduit. Α 8 It's like the artery to the veins. 9 Was Kahoma considered one of the major 10 streams? 11 One of the two major streams that you had Α 12. that were the resource of the king called waiwai. 13 That's why we have the makahiki was to ensure the fall 14 of the waiwai, the freshwater rain from the mountain 15 to the sea. 16 It was illegal, kanawai, by penalty of 17 death to block a stream. Because what you would do is 18 you would starve or destroy another person's 19 livelihood. So it was under penalty of death if you 2.0 blocked a stream under kanawai law. 21 So when the farmers, the plantation farmers 0 22 started diverting water and there was very little 23 water going down, is that why the taro patches, 24 everything was abandoned? 25 MR. GEIGER: Before you answer, I'm going

to object. I think we're very, very far afield of whatever his direct testimony was in writing, number one.

12.

2.2

Number two, this would not be relevant to anything.

Number three, she hasn't laid a foundation that this witness knows anything about what plantation owners may or may not have done as far as diversion. And particularly with regard to Pioneer Mill which is the one that's involved in this case at best.

PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: I'm going to sustain the objection in so far as it relates to the history of the plantations. He has not been established as an expert on the history of plantation agriculture. If you're going to go into that topic I think that's pretty far afield from what he's been offered for.

MS. BOLOMET: Okay. But at one point in history all of that was abandoned. And the point I'm trying to get across is: Why was it abandoned if it was considered a perennial stream in the past? So can I ask him that?

MR. GEIGER: I would object. It's irrelevant. It has to have some relation to this particular property and there doesn't seem to be any

1 tie-in, nor is there any foundation laid for this 2 witness. 3 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: I don't see the 4 connection to Hawaiian cultural practices. 5 MS. BOLOMET: Our practice was to be 6 farmers on this particular land. And the boundary 7 notes and the history shows that that's exactly what 8 happened there until this stream was diverted. 9 the old stream but the water was cut off. It went 10 from perennial to intermittent. 11 Why all of a sudden was it an intermittent 12. stream after being considered a major stream in 13 Lahaina and a major artery? 14 That's the -- I'm trying to build that 15 foundation by asking these questions. 16 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: It might be 17 simpler to just ask the question directly: Does he 18 know why the farming stopped. 19 MS. BOLOMET: Okay. 20 Do you know why the farming stopped? Q 21 Α Yes. 22 Can you please explain why. Q 23 Α From the Great Mahele the leases for the

In purchasing these deeds they basically

sugarcane companies purchased deeds from the Hawaiian

24

25

tenants.

took offline many of the lo'is that were in use that ceased to be in use. Then the control then went under the sugarcane companies.

12.

2.0

2.2

Q So there were -- "deeds" means they buy it, they own it forever and ever?

A According to the international law that it was set up allodial land titles were forever. The fee simple free hold were for --

MR. GEIGER: I'm going to object.

PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Yes. Before we get too far down this road, Ms. Bolomet, we made it clear at the time you intervened that we're not going into matters of title in this proceeding.

MS. BOLOMET: I'm not going there. I'm trying to show how he becomes an expert in being able to talk about this. And he does a lot of research. And he's looking at these documents all the time. By him explaining what they are and the differences you'll be able to see that he's actually an expert and can talk about this, this area.

PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: I don't think we're dealing with a challenge to his expertise right now. I think this is your opportunity to present what you want to present regarding the Hawaiian cultural impact issues.

Q (By Ms. Bolomet) All right. As far as the -- you referred to the, I believe it was the Robert Connolly map for the 36 Kahoma complex. That was submitted by Clare Apana --

A Yes.

12.

Q -- I believe on August 20th. Do you have that information? I think it was Exhibit 1.

A It says here Exhibit 1 Clare Apana, map Connolly A15-1975 showing location of Project, Exhibit 1.

Q Okay. So in that Connolly study by Bishop

A That's correct.

Q — they identified many what they call a complex. Can you explain what a "complex" is or what made up that complex?

A The complex is a feature of lo'i terraces from the mountain to the mid range and goes down right up to the railroad. It says "railroad" at the bottom marks here. Says "Kahoma Stream terrace system complex."

The terraces were graduated to stop the speed of water coming down at a high velocity to tear up everything and to channelize through open ditches so that part of the water would be sucked in through

1 percolation and go into the aguifer and the 2 underground -- part of the underground spring system. 3 The rest would be channelized to different lo'i 4 terraces that would branch out like fingers. 5 Okay. In there -- well, let me step back a Q 6 little bit. So on the map, says map 8, the 1916 map, 7 there's many different names of the area which 8 represent ahupua'as, is that correct? 9 Α That is correct. And ilis. 10 And is it customary that each ahupua'a Q 11 would actually have an altar? 12. Α Yes. Also in religious cultural practice 13 there were many personal family gods that were 14 worshiped. Some were Ku practitioners, Pele 15 practitioners, Lono, Kanaloa, Kane. 16 So depends on who your family came from in 17 their background or their genealogy. Multiple 18 practitioners mentioned also in the submitted 19 Archaeological Assessment reports. 20 Was it mentioned in the Cultural Assessment Q 21 that there -- that it's -- there were private he'iaus? 22 Α Yes. 23 And a private he'iau basically would not be Q

It goes back to Huna.

There's

24

25

publicized?

Α

No.

something called niele. For Hawaiians is that the nosey person would want to find out what your family is, what your family survival strategies are.

12.

Part of the survival strategy is if you knew where the underground karst system was you had a survival strategy. In time of war you could go into a cave and hide while everybody else got wiped out.

In time of drought you could go into that cave and retrieve water and still pull out water for your plants. While the other people languished you somehow got water.

So the idea of keeping strategies of survival hidden was always part of Hawaiian cultural practice because you give up an advantage if you just say, "Oh, by the way, here's my special spring."
You're going to find yourself dead.

And some ali'i will come in and take over that spring and most likely build a he'iau over it. Because most of our he'iaus are built over springs. And these underground systems of either pahoehoe lava tubes or karsts.

Q Okay. So if there's — every ahupua'a has an ahu or an altar or possibly a he'iau which is — is it a temple, a he'iau?

A There are different forms of he'iau. It

doesn't have to be like those big he'iaus that you see on the Big Island. The private he'iaus that you have for private worship for family god worship, can be the size of an ahu or an altar which is the size of this table.

12.

Q Why do you think, besides a memorial, why do you think there was a libation stone put next to the Kahoma? What would the value of that be?

A Well, again, you know in our Hawaiian cultural practice the three main things is: Ha, your breath. Because you exhale and inhale. Ha: Life and death. Very important.

Then there're two pikos. One here, spiritual piko at the top of your head and one where your belly button is. That we call aka cord. There is a spiritual blueprint in the heavens, the aka. And it says what we use to define what is.

And we're connected spiritually from this one to the higher self and this one to your relatives that you came from.

So we listen to our ancestors through our aka cord.

Q I noticed that there are several ahupua'a that cross the Project site. There's Aki, there's Paeohi, there's Moali'i. I think there might be one

more Kahua. Oh, and Kalawea. So there's that many in that site. That means there's at least that many ahus in that site and he'iaus of various sizes?

12.

A Because of the water. They're channeling the water.

Q Is that the he'iaus -- is it like a water -- I mean a power source or maybe spiritual?

A As I said that's the wealth of the king. The waiwai is the wealth of the king. Take away the water you take away his wealth. And he's just got a barren empty land that's not good for producing anything.

So without the water — that's the makahiki festival. It's all about ensuring the rainy season to come. When you had the Maya, they sacrificed people to keep that water flowing. Well, the makahiki is all about Lono, the staff of life replenishing the water from the mountain to the sea as one complex.

Q Now, in that area there's many ali'i names that own the ahupua'a. And it's around that he'iau. I noticed Princess Kamamalu's name keeps showing up where all these important he'iaus are. Why is that?

A For the Kamehameha family they're all related to Pele and to this opelo ali. That is their ancestor.

Q And why would it be given to Victoria
Kamamalu versus someone else?

A The thing about dealing with he'iaus is that Hawaiians would not take over a he'iau unless they had the mana to do it. Kamehameha conquered all the islands with his high priest that showed he had the standing on the spiritual side to do it.

For people to take over a place with he'iau, if things went awry would their family genealogy back them up as far as the spirits of their ancestors that are there? And if it isn't, the consequences would be one bad thing happening to that them after another.

The idea is that Kamehameha's kahuna nui after his passing would still be around to protect his progeny.

- Q Okay. Can you explain what mana is.
- A Mana is power.
- 20 So in this Project Area you have quite a 20 few ali'i. I understand that Princess Kamamalu's 21 sister was Princess Ruth.
 - A That is correct.
- Q And she was the guardian of -- I can't remember is it Kanehoewa'a?
- 25 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12.

13

14

15

16

17

18

1 0 And then there was at Ka'ala property and 2 that came from Naumu'u? 3 Yes. He was the konohiki. Α Was he the -- any relationship to Princess 4 0 5 Kamamalu? 6 Α Usually they're distant cousins. Usually 7 your konohikis was families. The reapportionment of 8 land tenure under the ancient kahiko system once a new 9 king came, he restructured all the positions under a 10 patronage position basically connected to the family 11 because you only trusted your family. 12. So did you find it unusual that plants, 13 fauna expert, the flora and fauna expert of the 14 Petitioner said that he found unique plants there that 15 weren't in other areas? But usually plants are seasonal. Limu 16 Α 17 is seasonal. As a cultural practitioner we use it 18 year 'round but they're not always around. They go 19 what we call hiamoe. They go to bed. That's part of 20 konohiki. Everything has to rest to be productive. 21 If you overproduce something you collapse it. 22 So konohiki in the time of makahiki was 23

konohiki'ing the people and the king and the priest.

The Lono priests were blindfolded, taken away so that they wouldn't see that the rules were suspended for

24

1 that three months season of makali'i. Those were the 2 times to reset the clock. 3 Okay. So as you go down the Kahoma, old 4 Kahoma Stream down to Alamihi and Mala, you said that 5 an Alamihi feed Kamehameha's warriors? 6 Α That's correct. 7 Were there, like, a hundred or a thousand? Q 8 How many? 9 Α There were thousands, over 14,000 warriors. 10 So 14,000 warriors were fed by one fishpond Q 11 area? 12. There were several fishponds there. Α No. 13 There was three older fishponds there but there was 14 that -- that fishpond was, like, 5 acres. 15 So did they take all the food or was there 16 still leftovers for the people? Because they replenish it. 17 Α No. So as 18 they're taking they're also replenishing. 19 So when you consider a site culturally 20 significant, do you take in all the different stories 21 of the areas as well as the archaeological finds?

A It's called "primary source". Primary sources are chants. The chants of Hawaiians define their culture. An outside culture cannot come in and then dictate, "This is your culture." So to find out

22

23

24

what is the real deal that wasn't made up 10 minutes ago, you have to look to the chants and the practices.

And when you have, like in the Bailey
House, you had several missionary families that took
journals. In those journals they made commentary on
the Hawaiian cultural practices that went on in
Lahaina. And as they made commentary they are
observers of what did take place. They may not know
the why or the how. But they are giving an assessment
from their point of review.

Q So based on their life experience they give an assessment from their perspective.

A That is correct.

12.

Q Which would you say it's as deep as a Hawaiian's perspective?

"Hawaiian" because the cultural practitioners did not share their knowledge with the regular maka'ainana which is the commoner. Your higher classes of kahuna kept the kahuna in secret just for their pupils and students. They did not share a lot of their deeper information with everyone else.

The king? No problem. But the regular commoner would not have access to a lot of the why and the how.

Q So from your research on who the different awardees were along the river, would you say those people were maka'ainana?

12.

A It was a combination. You had sea captains that were given grants. Depends what you did for the king. If you were — ingratiated yourself with the king and you were a sea captain, you would get a grant. If you were a blood Hawaiian citizen you would get an allodial patent.

Q But the people that were the awardees there were a lot of ali'i there. So would you say that was a pretty special place?

A Well, it was, it was the capital till 1843 when it moved to Honolulu. So it was the source of power as the — when Kamehameha I had the source of power in Kona and then moved it to Lahaina. When he passed, Kamehameha II had Lahaina as the capital of the kingdom.

So all your movers and shakers who had very high responsibilities within the kingdom government, royalty, ministers, missionaries, this was the place to be. It was the New York of the 1830s and '20s.

Q So would you say that the ali'i concentration of names over there? 'Cause I notice on maps there's, like, Princess Ruth and she has a

massive amount. But over here there's just a lot of little, what appears to be little, in comparison parcels to the different ali'i.

So would you say that was one of the concentrated settlements of ali'i?

12.

2.2

A This was the royal family's seat.

Kamehameha III had a home here. His, they say

"illegitimate children" where there. Pete Kaeo from

Jeannie Lailai. Jeannie Lailai, one of his many wives

were there. Several of his other wives were there.

High officials within the government surrounded there. Kamehameha IV, Kamehameha V, Mikahela Kekauonohi, one of the queens of Kamehameha II presided there. The royal family goes on and on. They surrounded where the king was like in a hive and a queen bee.

Q And so speaking specifically about this projected Project site would you say there were a lot of ali'i there?

A Family that were related to ali'i, yes.

Q Okay. So as far as you know there was — the kanaka that would do the lo'i farming, they were basically living and working in harmony with nature, would you say that?

A In the konohiki system they're known as

mahi'ai, the farmers. They would have to, because if you wanted to increase your harvest you would use limu as a natural nitrogen source to rest the patches that you weren't using. The patches would go on and off like a Christmas tree to rest it in one season.

12.

And to re-fertilize it they had little fishes that they would dam off the water and those fishes would die and decompose and the nutrients and nitrates would build up in the soil to be used again so you had healthy huli or taro.

Q So would you say looking back on the last hundred fifty, sixty years on back, who had the more successful agricultural practices?

A We have to look at food sustainability versus the western lense of commerce and trade. So when you're looking at Hawaiian cultural practice we had no choice but to survive by agriculture and fishing.

Remember there were tens of thousands of monk seals and harmony with Hawaiian fishermen using the same protein food source base which was the ocean.

So to be able to do that we had fishponds. We had terraces, we had the shrimp in the streams. So we utilized everything from the mountain to the sea as one complex. Then we turned it on and off.

1 When the fishponds were off, the terraces 2 When the terraces were off the fishponds were on. One fishpond was going, one fishpond was 3 were on. resting. So it was blinking on and off lights for 4 5 food sustainability. So we didn't collapse. 6 didn't just take everything at one time. We rested. 7 We turned on. We turned off. We turned on. 8 turned off in all these sites. That's why it's spread 9 out so far. That's why the productivity. 10 Part of the destruction of the productivity 11 is when you take down the natural forests where 12.

sandalwood was. Your basic system of your water cycle is destroyed and you don't hold the rain anymore.

So then as you see whether it's global warming or a deforestation in the mountains, a collapse of your watershed takes place.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

How many people, based on your research, was in the islands, say, during the time of Kamehameha I?

Well, Captain Cook, when he came here in March of 1778, in his journal said there was 1.2 million. Now, further historians have said 300,000. But that's without Matson and full sustainability for the use of the land for all the food products, protein source products.

Q And why do you think Lahaina between Kahoma and Makila were, it was very lush whereas it was desolate -
A Captain Vancouver says it was the Venice

12.

2.0

of the Pacific with all those channelized terraces.

He called it the Venice of the Pacific in 1794.

Q So there was also a couple of awais I understand that connected the two, two major streams Kau'ula and Kahoma. Was that how the watering happened in between the two?

A Again, as you terrace it you're breaking it up. The idea of konohiki is to use a thousand different ways of using that water from the mountain before it got to the sea. So you're breaking it up to water the lands. It's a natural system of gravity. Water flows from a high source to a low source.

But at the same time you're having the underground springs enrich the ocean to increase the opportunity of abundance there. What we do not lokoias. We build little he'iaus. And we plant limu in them as a basic food source we stock inside.

We also have what we call makaha which are gates that allow shrimps, opaelua, to come in. And it's also a basic food source.

We plant hauholo which is the Hau tree.

- 1 And its leaves biodegrade inside the water for food
- 2 | for the invertebrates or the shrimp. That is the
- 3 | foundation of the food source that we put inside.
- 4 Then the flowers of the Hau become the medicine.
- 5 Because when you have a huge population you increase
- 6 the chance of disease. Then we put a kapu, a
- 7 | barracuda to take out -- not a big six foot -- but a
- 8 juvenile to take out the sick fish so they don't cause
- 9 sickness throughout.

relationship?

Queen Emma's family.

16

24

- Then we put cleaner wrasses to clean the fishes that are populated. So that's how we keep it under control without a diseased population.
- Q In the Mala area, which is downstream and basically connected to this Project area, there was a coconut grove there. Can you tell me your
- 17 Α That relationship in 1737 my eighth 18 great-grandmother came from Tahiti. Her name was 19 Maria Tomatea of the Tomatea Royal Family that still 20 exists in Papeete. She came with her brother Tarua. 21 And she planted her coconuts there at Mala. She --2.2 one of her Hawaiian names were "Mala" because she 23 landed at Mala. She is one of the progenitors of
 - She was also known as Ka'umokunuiakea. And

she's found in the Bishop Museum with Queen Emma's genealogy. And she's my eighth great-grandmother buried at Haleauau He'iau at Lihue by the Stryker Brigade on O'ahu, Honouliuli.

Q And Alamehi Pond was crown lands. So that meant King Kamehameha III kept that.

A Yes.

12.

Q Can you tell me how you're related to the area?

A In the Maui genealogy --

MR. YEE: I'm sorry. At this the point we would like to object on the grounds this background appears to be cumulative, is not being directly related to the Petition Area. So we would ask that the information be directed toward, to the (audience member coughing) issue. Further background information is simply not being helpful at this point.

MS. BOLOMET: It's showing the connection between the upper and the lower, how all the ali'i's are connected and how this whole land — this Project area is not onto itself.

It's part of a whole. And I'm trying to establish — first we established it with the ecology. Now we're establishing it with the people that live there or they have lands there.

1 So I'm trying to make connections on many 2 different levels so you can understand the importance 3 and significance of this particular area culturally 4 for us. 5 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Let me ask you 6 this: What is your time estimate for how long it's 7 going to take you finish with Mr. Lee? 8 MS. BOLOMET: About three questions. 9 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Okay. Well, 10 then let's get through those three questions today. 11 I'll allow this. Bear in mind we're concerned with 12. this particular piece of property and not with 13 Hawaiian history in general. 14 MS. BOLOMET: Okay. 15 Q So can you please explain your connection? 16 Α Right now my connection is I'm the fourth 17 great grandson of Kamehameha III through this wife 18 Ali'i Hauli, that it's known in the Kamehameha 19 genealogies of five wives: Kalama, Kukao'o, La'amea, 2.0 Ali'i Hauli, and Queen Kalama. 21 He had five wives that are known to be. 2.2 And my grandmother Elisa Meek is his wife who had John 23 Meek, Jr. was Kamehameha III's son known as Kiaka who 24 lived next to him in an LCA in Lahaina.

And the royal families are connected

- 1 through our family Mataio Kekuanao'a who married Kinau 2 who also is there. And all the Kamehamehas are there. They're all part of our family, yours and mine. 3 4 So the Kamehamehas that are connected like 5 Princess Kamamalu all the way down to the ocean, would 6 that explain why there are an important he'iau on this 7 particular property? 8 Yes. Because it's our family. Tutu Pele 9 is my seventeenth great grandmother and yours. 10 it's also his, Kamehameha's fifteenth great 11 grandmother. 12. So would it surprise you if there were 13 other he'iaus or ahus in this area? 14 Α No, it wouldn't. 15 Q But it was kept secret because it was 16 private. 17 Α Yes, it is. 18 And it was because it was a power source? Q 19 Α Yes, it is. 20 MS. BOLOMET: That's it.
- 21 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: So you've
- 22 completed your questioning?
- 23 MS. BOLOMET: I've completed my
- 24 questioning.
- 25 PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Okay. Then this

| 1 | is a good place to recess for the day. We will |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | reconvene tomorrow morning at 9:00 a.m. Let me remind |
| 3 | everybody that our goal is to get completed with the |
| 4 | evidentiary portion of this hearing tomorrow. |
| 5 | MS. BOLOMET: What time will we be |
| 6 | finished finishing up the hearing tomorrow? |
| 7 | PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: Your guess is |
| 8 | probably better than mine at this point. |
| 9 | MS. BOLOMET: Because you usually leave |
| 10 | early for flights. Are we gonna be aiming for, like, |
| 11 | a 2:15? |
| 12 | PRESIDING OFFICER HELLER: I don't know |
| 13 | offhand. We can go off the record. We're in recess. |
| 14 | This is off the record. |
| 15 | (The proceedings were adjourned at 5:00 p.m.) |
| 16 | |
| 17 | 000000 |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12.

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

CERTIFICATE

I, HOLLY HACKETT, CSR, RPR, in and for the State of Hawai'i, do hereby certify;

That I was acting as court reporter in the foregoing LUC matter on the 4th day of October 2012;

That the proceedings were taken down in computerized machine shorthand by me and were thereafter reduced to print by me;

That the foregoing represents, to the best of my ability, a true and correct transcript of the proceedings had in the foregoing matter.

This____ day of____ 2012 DATED:

> HOLLY M. HACKETT, HI CSR #130, RPR Certified Shorthand Reporter